Finger Knuckle Print Identification with Hierarchical Model of Local Gradient Features

Rafał Kozik and Michał Choraś

Institute of Telecommunications and Computer Science, UTP University of Science and Technology, Poland *{*rafal.kozik,michal.choras*}*@utp.edu.pl

Abstract. In this paper we present new biologically inspired method for biometric human identification based on the knuckle finger print (FKP). Knuckle is a part of hand, and therefore, is easily accessible, invariant to emotions and other behavioral aspects (e.g. tiredness) and most importantly is rich in texture features which usually are very distinctive. The proposed method is based on the hierarchical feature extraction model. We also showed the results obtained for PolyU knuckle image database.

Keywords: Finger Knuckle Print, FKP, Biometry, Local Gradient Features.

1 Introduction

In this paper the new biologically inspired feature extraction method for fingerknuckle-print (FKP) is presented. Knuckle is a part of hand, and therefore, is easily accessible, invariant to emotions and other behavioral aspects (e.g. tiredness) and most importantly is rich in texture features which usually are very distinctive. Requirements of users and stakeholders prove that biometric systems will soon have to be user-centric meaning requirements-free, friendly, accepted [an](#page-6-0)d mobile [1,2]. One of such emerging modalities satisfying those requirements is knuckle also termed as FKP (finger-knuckle-print). It has several advantages, suc[h](#page-1-0) as: invariance to emotions and other behavioural aspects, high-textured region (experiments prove it to be that very distinctive), up to 5 distinctive biometrics samples per one hand, and it is easily accessible. Knuckle biometrics methods can be used in biometric systems for user-centric, contactless and unrestricted access control e.g. for medium-security access control or verification systems dedicated for mobile devices (e.g. smartphones and mobile telecommunication services) [3]. The samples of knuckles images (index and middle finger for left hand and middle finger for right hand respectively) from PolyU Database are presented in Fig. 1.

Most of the applied methods originate from known signal processing transformations or image processing methodologies. In general those can be categorized as approaches based on: Gabor-based approach (including e.g. 1D Log-Gabor),

⁻c Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015 73

D. Barbucha et al. (eds.), *New Trends in Intelligent Information and Database Systems*, Studies in Computational Intelligence 598, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-16211-9_8

74 R. Kozik and M. Choras

Fig. 1. Sample knuckle images from PolyU Database for one person (index and middle finger for left hand and middle finger for right han[d\)](#page-6-1)

Ridgelets and transforms (Radon, Riesz), (Probabilistic) Hough transform (PHT), SIF[T a](#page-7-0)nd SURF as well as Phase correlation functions.

For example, Shariatmadar et al. [4] apply Gabor feature extraction followed by PCA and LDA for four fingers. Then feature level fusion is made before the matching process. Yang et al. [5] use Gabor filtering features and OLDA (Orthogonal LDA). Similarly, 8 Gabor filtering orientations and 5 scales are also used in FKP recognition based on Local Gabor Binary Patterns [6]. Meraoumia et al. [7] propose to use result of 1D Log-Gabor filtering in a palmprint and knuckle multimodal system.

Later Zhang et al. [8] proposed Gabor filtering to create improved competitive coding (ImCompCode) an[d m](#page-7-1)agni[tud](#page-7-2)e coding (MagCode) representations of knuckles. The same authors also proposed to use known Gabor characteristics to obtain ensemble of local and global features/information. They proposed an LGIC (local-global information combination) scheme basing on CompCode as wel[l as](#page-7-3) Phase Only Correlation (POC) and Band Limited Phase Only Correlation [9].

Global subspa[ce m](#page-7-4)ethods such as PCA, LDA and ICA can be used not only for dimensionality reduction (of. e.g. Gabor filtration based vectors) but also as global appearance feature extractors as shown in [10]. In [11] image is analyzed by means of Probabilistic Hough Transform (PHT), which is used both for determining the dominant orientation and also for building the intermediate feature vector. SIFT (scale invariant feature transform) was p[rop](#page-7-5)osed as knuckle feature extractor in [12] and [13]. SURF stands for Speeded Up Robust Features and it was used in [11] find the closest matching (if any) between querying image and the knuckle templates. Authors of [14] fused both extractors: SIFT and SURF, which allows to describe local patterns (of texture) [arou](#page-7-6)[nd](#page-7-7) key characteristic points. Of course, the images are to be enhanced before feature extraction step.

Another approach to knuckle feature extraction is to use ridgelets or known signal processing transforms such as Radon Transform and Riesz Transform. Such approach was used in several papers by Goh et al., e.g. in both [15] and [16] in their proposition of bi-modal knuckle-palm biometric system (however, they proposed other feature extractors to palmprints).

Another approach to represent local knuckle features is based on Phase Correlation Function (PCF) also termed as Phase Only Correlation (POC) [17,18]. In most realizations, in order to eliminate meaningless high frequency components (in classic PCF/POC all frequencies are involved), the Band-Limited Phase Only Correlation (BLPOC) is used [17].

This paper is structured as follows. First, the proposed method overview is presented. Particularly it is explained how th[e](#page-2-0) [o](#page-2-0)riginal images are preliminary process[ed](#page-7-8) [a](#page-7-8)nd how the information about relevant features are extracted and encoded. In the following section, the experiments and results are described. The conclusions are given thereafter.

2 Proposed Approach

The proposed approach follows the idea o[f H](#page-7-9)MAX model (see Fig.2) proposed by Riesenhuber and Poggio [19]. It exploits a hierarchical structure for the image processing and coding. The model is arranged in several layers that process information in a bottom-up manner. The lowest layer is fed with a grayscale image. The higher layers of the model are either called "S" or "C". These names correspond to simple the (S) and complex (C) cells discovered by Hubel and Wiesel [20].

In contrast to original model an additional layer that mimics the "retina codding" mechanism is added. Our previous experiments [24] showed that this step increases the robustness of the proposed method. This process is described in section 2.1.

Fig. 2. The structure of a hierarchical model HMAX. (used symbols: I - image, S simple cells, C - complex cells, GF - Gabor Filters, F - prototype features vectors, X convolution operation).

The second modification includes a different method for calculating the S_1 layer response. The original model adapts the 2D Gabor filters computed for four orientations (horizontal, vertical, and two diagonal) at each possible position and scale. The Gabor filters are 11x11 in [size,](#page-3-0) and are described by:

$$
G(x,y) = e^{-(X^2 + \gamma Y^2)/(2\sigma^2)} \cos(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda})
$$
\n
$$
\text{with } \phi \text{ and } Y = \text{min } \phi + \text{meas } \phi \text{ is } X \in \mathbb{C}.
$$
\n
$$
\text{and } \phi \text{ is } \phi \text{
$$

where $X = x \cos \phi - y \sin \phi$ and $Y = x \sin \phi + y \cos \phi$; $x, y \in \lt -5; 5 >$, and $z < 0$; $\pi >$ The aspect ratio (∞) effective width (σ) and wavelength () are $\phi \in < 0; \pi >$. The aspect ratio (γ) , effective width (σ) , and wavelength (λ) are set to 0.3, 4.5 and 5.6 respectively. In this approach, the responses of 4 Gabor filters (two diagonals, horizontal and vertical) responses are approximated using Prewitt filters and voting algorithm described in section 2.2.The original HMAX

76 R. Kozik and M. Chora´s

model is intended to solve object detection problem with ability to preserve (to some extend) scale and transposition invariance. Therefore, two additional layers $(S_2 \text{ and } C_2)$ are introduced. In the case of PolyU dataset, the scale and transposition effects of biometric samples are so small, that can be suppressed by S_1 . This is further explained in section 2.2. As a result, we replace these layers with cl[as](#page-3-1)sifier and use the C_1 layer responses as an input information.

2.1 Preprocessing Stage

In this work Difference of Gaussians (DoG) filter is used in preprocessing stage. It allows for feature enhancement and it involves the subtraction of two images blurred with different Gaussians filters (different standard deviation). It can be expressed with equation 2, where "*" represents convolution operation and σ_1 and σ_2 mentioned above standard deviations.

$$
DoG_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}(x, y) = I * \frac{1}{\sigma_1 \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} - I * \frac{1}{\sigma_2 \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma_2^2}}
$$
(2)

This preprocessing stage is intended to mimic the "retina coding" mechanism. Human retina shows remarkable and interesting properties of image enhancement. From a general point of view, the the retina serves as the first step of visual information processing. In the literature, there are several models explaining the basic mechanism the retina uses to encode visual information before it reaches visual cortex [22]. Basically, this model works as a filter that whitens the image spectrum and corrects luminance thanks to local adaptation. It has also the ability to filter out spatio-temporal noise and enhance the image details.

More simplistic approach to retina-based image enhancement was proposed in [23]. Authors adapted a local method that is based on a contrast equalisation. Within the sliding window authors normalised the luminance in the way, that the mean value is set to zero while the Euclidean norm is set to 1. This allowed to enhance image details and reduce the noise.

2.2 Gradient Information Coding

In our approach the S_1 layer covers whole knuc[kle](#page-4-0) sample image of WxH size. In this layer there are NxMx4 simple cells arranged in a grid of rectangular blocks. In each block there are 4 cells. Each cell is assigned a receptive field (pixels inside the block). Each cell is activated according depending on a stimuli. In this case there are four possible stimulus, namely vertical, horizontal, left diagonal, and right diagonal edges. As a result the S_1 simple cells layer output has dimensionality of a size 4 (x,y,scale and 4 cells). In order to compute responses of all four cells inside a given block (receptive field), an algorithm 1 is applied.

The algorithm computes the responses of all cells using only one iteration over the whole input image I. For each pixel at position (x, y) a vertical and horizontal gradients are computed $(G_x \text{ and } G_y)$. Given the pixel position (x, y) and gradient vector $[G_x, G_y]$ the algorithm indicates the block position (n, m) and type of cell

(*active*) that response has to be incremented by magnitude $|G| = \sqrt{G_x^2 + G_y^2}$. In order to classify given gradient vector $[G_x, G_y]$ as horizontal, L,R-diagonal (left or right) or vertical the *get cell type* (\cdot, \cdot) uses the wheel shown in Fig.3.

If a point $(|G_x|, |G_y|)$ is located between line $y = 0.3 \cdot x$ and $y = 3.3 \cdot x$ it is classified as a diagonal. If G_y is positive then the vector is classified as a right diagonal (otherwise it is a left diagonal). In case the point $(|G_x|, |G_y|)$ is located above line $y = 3.3 \cdot x$ the gradient vector is classified as vertical and as horizontal when it lies below line $y = 0.3 \cdot x$.

Data: Grayscaled image I of WxH size **Result**: S_1 layer of size $N \times M \times 4$ Assign G_{min} the low-threshold for gradient magnitude. **for** *each pixel* (x, y) *in image* I **do** Compute horizontal G_x and vertical G_y gradients using Prewitt operator; Compute gradient magnitude $|G|$ in point (x, y) ; **if** $|G| < G_{min}$ **then** go to next pixel; **else** // Get cell type (horizontal, L,R-diagonal or vertical) $active \leftarrow get_cell_type(G_x, G_y);$
// Calculate block indices $n \leftarrow \lfloor x \cdot \frac{N}{W} \rfloor;$
 $m \leftarrow \lfloor x \cdot \frac{N}{M} \rfloor.$ $m \leftarrow \lfloor y \cdot \frac{M}{W} \rfloor;$ // Increment response $S_1[n,m,active] \leftarrow S_1[n,m,active] + |G_{x,y}|;$ **end end**

Al[g](#page-7-10)orithm 1. Algorithm for calculating S_1 response

The C_1 complex layer response is computed using max-pooling filter, that is applied to S_1 layer.

3 Experiments and Results

The proposed approach was tested using PolyU Knuckle Database [25]. The knuckle images were obtained from 165 individuals. Each individual contributed 10-12 image samples per left index, left middle, right middle and right index finger.

For evaluation purposes we have adapted the stratified 10-fold cross-validation technique. For that approach the data obtained for learning and evaluation purposes is divided randomly into 10 parts (sets). For each part it is intended to preserve the proportions of labels in the full dataset. One part (10% of full dataset) is used for evaluation while the remaining 90% is used for training.

78 R. Kozik and M. Chora´s

Fig. 3. A part of wheel that is used by $get\text{ }cell\text{ }type(\cdot,\cdot)$ to recognise a given gradient vector $[G_x, G_y]$ as horizontal, diagonal or vertical

When the classifier is learnt the [ev](#page-5-0)aluation data set is used to calculate the error rates. The whole procedure is repeated 10 times, so each time different part is used for evaluation and different part of data set is used for training. The result for all 10 runs (10-folds) are averaged to yield an overall error estimate.

For evaluation purposes we have used WEKA toolkit. In these experiments we evaluated different classifiers but kNN (where $k=1$), NaiveBayes, and RandomTrees gave comparable and promising results. The numbers of correctly and incorrectly classified instances are presented in Table 1.

	Correctly Classified	Incorrectly Classified
kNN	98.94%	1.06%
NaiveBayes	95.81\%	4.19%
50-RandomTrees	91.92%	8.08%
150-RandomTrees	96.87%	3.13%

Table 1. Effectiveness for PolyU Dataset

In the Fig.4 the ROC curve for the kNN classifier is presented. The experiments showed that it is possible to achieve 0.9% of ERR (Equal Error Rate). However, the process of decreasing the False Acceptance Ratio causes rapid growth of False Rejection Ratio.

Fig. 4. FAR vs. FRR

4 Conclusions

In this paper new developm[en](#page-6-2)[ts](#page-7-5) [i](#page-7-5)n human identification based on knuckle texture [f](#page-7-5)eatures are presented. The major contribution of the paper is the proposal of new biologically inspired knuckle feature extraction methodology based on hierarchical HMAX model. The method was evaluated using the benchmark PolyU database and we report the promising results.

In our opinion, the effectiveness of FKP based identification, should shortly allow for the application of knuckles in multi-modal biometric systems, mainly with palmprint, hand features or hand veins [7,15], as well as for application of knuckles in contactless (touchless) scenarios, especially with images acquired by mobile phones [15].

References

- 1. Chora´s, M.: Novel techniques applied to biometric human identification. Electronics 3, 35–39 (2009)
- 2. Chora´s, M.: Emerging Methods of Biometrics Human Identification. In: Proc. of 2nd International Conference on Innovative Computing, Information and Control (ICICIC 2007), pp. 365–373. IEEE CS Press, Kumamoto (2007)
- 3. Kozik, R., Chora´s, M.: Combined Shape and Texture Information for Palmprint Biometrics. Journal of Information Assurance and Security 5(1), 58–63 (2010)
- 4. Shiariatmadar, Z.S., Faez, K.: A Novel Approach for Finger-Knuckle Print Recognition Based on Gabor Feature Extraction. In: Proc. of 4th International Congress on Image and Signal Processing, pp. 1480–1484 (2011)
- 5. Yang, W., Sun, C., Sun, Z.: Finger-Knuckle Print Recognition Using Gabor Feature and OLDA. In: Proc. of 30th Chinese Control Conference, Yantai, China, pp. 2975–2978 (2011)
- 6. Xiong, M., Yang, W., Sun, C.: Finger-Knuckle-Print Recognition Using LGBP. In: Liu, D., Zhang, H., Polycarpou, M., Alippi, C., He, H. (eds.) ISNN 2011, Part II. LNCS, vol. 6676, pp. 270–277. Springer, Heidelberg (2011)
- 7. Meraoumia, A., Chitroub, S., Bouridane, A.: Palmprint and Finger Knuckle Print for efficient person recognition based on Log-Gabor filter response. Analog Integr Circ Sig Process 69, 17–27 (2011)

80 R. Kozik and M. Choras

- 8. Zhang, L., Zhang, L., Zhang, D., Zhu, H.: Online finger-knuckle-print verification for personal authentication. Pattern Recognition 43, 2560–2571 (2010)
- 9. Zhang, L., Zhang, L., Zhang, D., Zhu, H.: Ensemble of local and global information for finger-knuckle print-recognition. Pattern Recognition 44, 1990–1998 (2011)
- 10. Kumar, A., Ravikanth, C.: Personal authentication using finger knuckle surface. IEEE Trans. Information Forensics and Security 4(1), 98–110 (2009)
- 11. Choras, M., Kozik, R.: Knuckle Biometrics Based on Texture Features. In: Proc. of International Workshop on Emerging Techniques and Challenges for Hand-based Biometrics (ETCH B2010), pp. 1–5. IEEE CS Press, Stambul (2010)
- 12. Morales, A., Travieso, C.M., Ferrer, M.A., Alonso, J.B.: Improved finger-knuckleprint authentication based on orientation enhancement. Electronics Letters 47(6) (2011)
- 13. Hemery, B., Giot, R., Rosenberger, C.: Sift Based Recognition of Finger Knuckle Print. In: Proc. of Norwegian Information Security Conference, pp. 45–56 (2010)
- 14. Badrinath, G.S., Nigam, A., Gupta, P.: An Efficient Finger-Knuckle-Print Based Recognition System Fusing SIFT and SURF Matching Scores. In: Qing, S., Susilo, W., Wang, G., Liu, D. (eds.) ICICS 2011. LNCS, vol. 7043, pp. 374–387. Springer, Heidelberg (2011)
- 15. Goh, K.O.M., Tee, C., Teoh, B.J.A.: An innovative contactless palm print and knuckle print recognition system. Pattern Recognition Letters 31, 1708–1719 (2010)
- 16. Goh, K.O.M., Tee, C., Teoh, B.J.A.: Bi-modal palm print and knuckle print recognition system. Journal of IT in Asia 3 (2010)
- 17. Zhang, L., Zhang, L., Zhang, D.: Finger-knuckle-print verification based on bandlimited phase-only correlation. In: Jiang, X., Petkov, N. (eds.) CAIP 2009. LNCS, vol. 5702, pp. 141–148. Springer, Heidelberg (2009)
- 18. Aoyama, S., Ito, K., Aoki, T.: Finger-Knuckle-Print Recognition Using BLPOC-Based Local block Matching, pp. 525–529 (2011)
- 19. Riesenhuber, M., Poggio, T.: Hierarchical models of object recognition in cortex. Nature Neuroscience 2, 1019–1025 (1999)
- 20. Hubel, D.H., Wiesel, T.N.: Receptive fields, binocular interaction and functional architecture in the cat's visual cortex. The Journal of physiology 160, 106–154 (1962)
- 21. Mutch, J., Object, D.G.: class recognition and localization using sparse features with limited receptive fields. International Journal of Computer Vision (IJCV) 80(1), 45–57 (2008)
- 22. Benoit, A., Caplier, A., Durette, B., Herault, J.: Using Human Visual System [Modeling For Bio-Inspired Low Leve](http://www4.comp.polyu.edu.hk/~biometrics/FKP.htm)l Image Processing. Computer Vision and Image Understanding 114, 758–773 (2010)
- 23. Brumby, S.P., Galbraith, A.E., Michael Ham, G., Kenyon, J.S.: George: Visual Cortex on a Chip: Large-scale, real-time functional models of mammalian visual cortex on a GPGPU. In: GPU Technology Conference (GTC), pp. 20–23 (2010)
- 24. Kozik, R.: A proposal of biologically inspired hierarchical approach to object recognition. Journal of Medical Informatics & Technologies 22, 169–176 (2013)
- 25. The Hong Kong Polytechnic University (PolyU) Finger-Knuckle-Print Database, http://www4.comp.polyu.edu.hk/~biometrics/FKP.htm