More Results on Clique-chromatic Numbers of Graphs with No Long Path

Tanawat Wichianpaisarn and Chariya Uivyasathian^(\boxtimes)

Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Chulalongkorn University, Payathai Rd., Bangkok 10330, Thailand tanawat.wp@gmail.com, chariya.u@chula.ac.th $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

Abstract. The clique-chromatic number of a graph is the least number of colors on the vertices of the graph so that no maximal clique of size at least two is monochromatic. In 2003, Gravier, Hoang, and Maffray have shown that, for any graph *F*, the class of *F*-free graphs has a bounded clique-chromatic number if and only if *F* is a vertex-disjoint union of paths, and they give an upper bound for all such cases. In this paper, their bounds for $F = P_2 + kP_1$ and $F = P_3 + kP_1$ with $k \geq 3$ are significantly reduced to $k + 1$ and $k + 2$ respectively, and sharp bounds are given for some subclasses.

Keywords: Clique-chromatic number · Clique-coloring

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C15

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are simple. We use terminologies from West's textbook [\[9](#page-5-0)]. $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the vertex set and the edge set of a graph G, respectively. The symbols K_n , P_n and C_n denote the complete graph, path, and cycle, with *n* vertices, respectively. The *diamond* is the complete graph K_4 minus an edge. The *neighborhood* of a vertex x in a graph G is the set of vertices adjacent to x, and is denoted by $N_G(x)$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, $N_S(x)$ stands for the neighborhood of a vertex x in S, that is, $N_S(x) = N_G(x) \cap S$. Given graphs G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k with pairwise disjoint vertex sets, the *disjoint union* of graphs G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k is the graph with vertex set $\bigcup_{i=1}^k V(G_i)$ and edge set $\bigcup_{i=1}^k E(G_i)$, denoted by $G_1 + G_2 + \cdots + G_k$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, kG is the disjoint union of k pairwise disjoint copies of a graph G.

A subset Q of V (G) is a *clique* of G if any two vertices of Q are adjacent. A clique is *maximal* if it is not properly contained in another clique. A *k-coloring* of a graph G is a function $f: V(G) \to \{1, 2, ..., k\}$. A *proper k-coloring* of a graph G is a k-coloring of G such that adjacent vertices have different colors. The *chromatic number* of a graph G is the smallest positive integer k such that

Tanawat Wichianpaisarn—Partially supported by His Royal Highness Crown Prince Maha Vajiralongkorn Fund.

⁻c Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2014

J. Akiyama et al. (Eds.): JCDCGG 2013, LNCS 8845, pp. 185–190, 2014. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-13287-7 16

G has a proper k-coloring, denoted by $\chi(G)$. A *proper k-clique-coloring* of a graph G is a k-coloring of G such that no maximal clique of G with size at least two is monochromatic. A graph G is *k-clique-colorable* if G has a proper k-clique-coloring. The *clique-chromatic number* of G is the smallest k such that G has a proper k-clique-coloring, denoted by $\chi_c(G)$. Note that $\chi_c(G) = 1$ if and only if G is an edgeless graph. Since any proper k -coloring of G is a proper k-clique-coloring of G, $\chi_c(G) \leq \chi(G)$. Recall that a *triangle* is the complete graph K_3 . If G is a triangle-free graph, then maximal cliques of G are edges; so $\chi_c(G) = \chi(G)$. Mycielski [\[8](#page-5-1)] showed that the family of triangle-free graphs has no bounded chromatic number. Consequently, it has no bounded clique-chromatic number, either. On the other hand, many families of graphs have bounded cliquechromatic numbers, for example, comparability graphs, cocomparability graphs, and the k-power of cycles (see $[2,4,5]$ $[2,4,5]$ $[2,4,5]$). In 2004, Bacso et al. $[1]$ $[1]$ proved that almost all perfect graphs are 3-clique-colorable and conjectured that all perfect graphs are 3-clique-colorable.

A subgraph H of a graph G is said to be *induced* if, for any pair of vertices x and y of H, xy is an edge of H if and only if xy is an edge of G. For a given graph F, a graph G is *F-free* if it does not contain F as an induced subgraph. A graph G is (F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_k) -free if it is F_i -free for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. In [\[6\]](#page-5-6), Gravier, Hoang and Maffray gave a significant result that, for any graph F , the family of all F -free graphs has a bounded clique-chromatic number if and only if F is a vertex-disjoint union of paths. Many authors explored more results in (F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_k) -free graphs. Gravier and Skrekovski [\[7\]](#page-5-7) in 2003 proved that $(P_3 + P_1)$ -free graphs unless it is C_5 , and (P_5, C_5) -free graphs are 2-cliquecolorable. In 2004, Bacso et al. [\[1](#page-5-5)] showed that (claw, odd hole)-free graphs are 2-clique-colorable. Later, Defossez [\[3\]](#page-5-8) in 2006 proved that (diamond, odd hole)-free graphs are 4-clique-colorable, and (bull, odd hole)-free graphs are 2 clique-colorable.

Given a graph F, let $f(F) = \max\{\chi_c(G) \mid G \text{ is an } F\text{-free graph}\}\.$ When F_1 is an induced subgraph of F_2 , if a graph G is F_1 -free then G is also F_2 -free, it follows that $f(F_1) \leq f(F_2)$. In 2003, Gravier, Hoang and Maffray [\[6\]](#page-5-6) showed the following result.

Theorem 1 [\[6\]](#page-5-6). Let F be a graph. Then $f(F)$ exists if and only if F is a vertex*disjoint union of paths. Moreover,*

- $-$ *if* $|V(F)| \leq 2$ *or* $F = P_3$ *then* $f(F) \leq 2$ *,*
- *else* $f(F)$ ≤ $cc(F) + |V(F)| 3$ where $cc(F)$ *is the number of connected components of a graph* F*.*

Furthermore, they proved that $(P_2 + 2P_1)$ -free graphs and $(P_3 + 2P_1)$ -free graphs are 3-clique-colorable. Since the cycle C_5 is both $(P_2 + 2P_1)$ -free and $(P_3 + 2P_1)$ -free with $\chi_c(C_5) = 3$, this bound is sharp.

2 Main Results

An *independent set* in a graph is a set of pairwise nonadjacent vertices. A *maximum independent set* of a graph G is a largest independent set of G and its size

is denoted by $\alpha(G)$. Bacso et al. [\[1\]](#page-5-5) stated the relationship between the cliquechromatic number and the size of a maximum independent set of a graph, as follows.

Theorem 2 [\[1\]](#page-5-5). Let G be a graph. If $G \neq C_5$ and G is not a complete graph, *then* $\chi_c(G) \leq \alpha(G)$ *.*

It follows from Theorem [1](#page-1-0) that every $(P_2 + kP_1)$ -free graph is $(2k)$ -cliquecolorable and every (P_3+kP_1) -free graph is $(2k+1)$ -clique-colorable. We improve these upper bounds for $k \geq 3$.

Theorem 3. For $k > 3$, a $(P_2 + kP_1)$ *-free graph is* $(k + 1)$ *-clique-colorable.*

Proof. Let G be a $(P_2 + kP_1)$ -free graph. Let $S = \{s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{\alpha(G)-1}\}\)$ be a maximum independent set of G. If $\alpha(G) \leq k$, then $\chi_c(G) \leq k$ by Theorem [2.](#page-2-0)

Assume $\alpha(G) \geq k+1$. Let $M(s_0) = V(G) \setminus (S \cup N_G(s_0))$. For $R \subseteq S \setminus \{s_0\},$ define $Y_R = \{v \in M(s_0) \mid N_S(v) = S \setminus (\{s_0\} \cup R)\}\$ and $\min(R) = \min\{i \in R\}$ $\mathbb{N} \mid s_i \notin R$. In particular, min(\emptyset) = 1. Note that $V(G)$ is the disjoint union of S, $N_G(s_0)$ and Y_R where $R \subseteq S \setminus \{s_0\}$. Let f be the coloring of G defined by

$$
f(v) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v \in S \\ 2, & \text{if } v \in N_G(s_0) \\ \min(R) + 2, & \text{if } v \in Y_R \text{ where } R = S \setminus (\{s_0\} \cup N_S(v)). \end{cases}
$$

Now, let $R \subseteq S \setminus \{s_0\}$ where $Y_R \neq \emptyset$, and let $y \in Y_R$. If $R = S \setminus \{s_0\}$, then $N_S(y) = \emptyset$; so $S \cup \{y\}$ is an independent set of G. This contradicts the maximality of S. Thus $R \neq S \setminus \{s_0\}$. If $|R| \geq k-1$, then the subgraph of G induced by $S \cup \{y\}$ contains an induced subgraph $P_2 + kP_1$, a contradiction. Thus $|R| \leq k-2$, and it follows that $\min(R) \leq k-1$. Therefore, f is a $(k+1)$ -coloring of G. Suppose that G has a monocolored maximal clique Q of size at least two, say colored by m. Since S is an independent set, $m \neq 1$. Thus $Q \cap S = \emptyset$. Note that $s_{\min(R)}$ is adjacent to all vertices of Y_R . Thus s_{m-2} is adjacent to all vertices of Q . Then $Q \cup \{s_{m-2}\}\$ is a clique of G. It contradicts the maximality of Q. Hence $\chi_c(G) \leq k+1.$

Theorem 4. *For* $k \geq 3$, a $(P_3 + kP_1)$ *-free graph is* $(k + 2)$ *-clique-colorable.*

Proof. Let G be a $(P_3 + kP_1)$ -free graph. Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{\alpha(G)}\}$ be a maximum independent set of G. If $\alpha(G) \leq k+1$, then $\chi_c(G) \leq k+1$ by Theorem [2.](#page-2-0) Assume $\alpha(G) \geq k+2$. For $1 \leq i \leq \alpha(G)$, let $X_i = \{v \in V(G) \backslash S \mid N_S(v) = \{s_i\}\}.$ Suppose that there is an edge, say $x_i x_j$, between X_i and X_j where $i \neq j$. Then there exist k vertices in $S \setminus \{s_i, s_j\}$ together with s_i, x_i, x_j form an induced subgraph P_3+kP_1 of G, a contradiction. Thus there is no edge between any two X_i 's. For $R \subseteq S$ where $|R| \neq \alpha(G) - 1$, define $Y_R = \{v \in V(G) \backslash S \mid N_S(v) = S \backslash R\}$ and $\min(R) = \min\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid s_i \notin R\}$. Note that $V(G)$ is the disjoint union of S, X_i where $1 \leq i \leq \alpha(G)$, and Y_R where $R \subseteq S$ and $|R| \neq \alpha(G) - 1$. Let f be the coloring of G defined by

$$
f(v) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v \in S \\ 2, & \text{if } v \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\alpha(G)} X_i \\ \min(R) + 2, & \text{if } v \in Y_R \text{ where } R = S \backslash N_S(v). \end{cases}
$$

Let $R \subseteq S$ where $Y_R \neq \emptyset$, and let $y \in Y_R$. If $R = S$, then $N_S(y) = \emptyset$; so $S \cup \{y\}$ is an independent set of G, a contradiction. If $k \leq |R| \leq \alpha(G) - 2$, then the subgraph of G induced by $S \cup \{y\}$ contains an induced subgraph $P_3 + kP_1$, a contradiction. Thus $|R| \leq k-1$, and it follows that $\min(R) \leq k$. Hence f is a $(k+2)$ -coloring of G. Now, suppose that G has a monocolored maximal clique Q of size at least two, say colored by m. Since S is an independent set, $m \neq 1$. If $m = 2$, then $Q \subseteq X_i$ for some i. We have that s_i is adjacent to all vertices of Q, a contradiction. Now, assume $m \geq 3$. Since $s_{\min(R)}$ is adjacent to all vertices of Y_R , s_{m-2} is adjacent to all vertices of Q, a contradiction. Thus f is a proper $(k+2)$ -clique-coloring of G, and hence $\chi_c(G) \leq k+2$.

Theorem [3](#page-2-1) ensures that every (P_2+kP_1) -free graph where $k \geq 3$ is $(k+1)$ -cliquecolorable but we have found no graph guaranteeing this sharpness yet. However, when $k = 3$ and 4, there is a $(P_2 + kP_1)$ -free graph which is k-clique-colorable, namely, the cycle C_5 is $(P_2 + 3P_1)$ -free and $\chi_c(C_5) = 3$, and the 4-chromatic Mycielski's graph G_4 [\[8\]](#page-5-1) is $(P_2 + 4P_1)$ $(P_2 + 4P_1)$ -free and $\chi_c(G_4) = 4$. (See Fig. 1) Notice that both of them are diamond-free, this suggests the result in Theorem [5.](#page-3-1)

Fig. 1. The 4-chromatic Mycielski's graph *G*⁴

Theorem 5. For $k \geq 3$, a $(P_2 + kP_1, diamond)$ -free graph is k-clique-colorable.

Proof. Let G be a $(P_2 + kP_1, \text{diamond})$ -free graph. If $\alpha(G) \leq k$, then $\chi_c(G) \leq k$ by Theorem [2.](#page-2-0) Assume $\alpha(G) \geq k+1$. Use the same terminologies and arguments as in the proof of Theorem [3,](#page-2-1) we can define a k-coloring of G as follows:

$$
g(v) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v \in S \\ 2, & \text{if } v \in N_G(s_0) \\ \min(R) + 2, & \text{if } v \in Y_R \text{ where } R = S \setminus (\{s_0\} \cup N_S(v)) \text{ and } \\ & \min(R) \le k - 2 \\ k, & \text{if } v \in Y_R \text{ where } R = S \setminus (\{s_0\} \cup N_S(v)) \text{ and } \\ & \min(R) = k - 1. \end{cases}
$$

To claim that q is a proper k-clique-coloring of G , suppose that G has a monocolored maximal clique Q of size at least two, say colored by m. Since S is an independent set, $m \neq 1$. If $m \leq k-1$, then s_{m-2} is adjacent to all vertices of Q, a contradiction. Assume $m = k$. Then $Q \subseteq \bigcup \{Y_R \mid R \subseteq S \setminus \{s_0\} \text{ and } Q \subseteq S \setminus \{s_0\} \}$ $k-2 \leq \min(R) \leq k-1$. Since $Y_R = \emptyset$ for all $R \subseteq S \setminus \{s_0\}$ where $|R| \geq k-1$, we consider only Y_R where $|R| \leq k-2$. Thus if $k-2 \leq \min(R) \leq k-1$, then $R = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{k-3}, s_t\}$ where $k - 2 \le t \le \alpha(G) - 1$. Since G is diamond-free and $\alpha(G) - 1 \geq k$, Y_R is an independent set, and then $|Q \cap Y_R| \leq 1$ for each $R \subseteq S \setminus \{s_0\}.$ If $|Q| \geq 3$, then there exists a diamond induced by a vertex in $S\backslash\{s_0\}$ and three vertices in Q, a contradiction. So $|Q|=2$. Let $Q\subseteq Y_{R_1}\cup Y_{R_2}$ for some $R_1, R_2 \subseteq S \setminus \{s_0\}$ where $R_1 \neq R_2$ and $k-2 \leq \min(R_1), \min(R_2) \leq k-1$. Then $|R_1 \cup R_2| \leq k-1$. Since $\alpha(G)-1 \geq k$, there exists a vertex in $S \setminus \{s_0\}$ that is adjacent to both vertices of Q, a contradiction. Hence $\chi_c(G) \leq k$.

Similarly to $(P_2 + kP_1)$ -free graphs, the result for $(P_3 + kP_1)$ -free graphs in Theorem [4](#page-2-2) has not been proved to be sharp. Theorem [6](#page-4-0) gives its subclass of graphs using at most $k + 1$ colors.

Theorem 6. For $k \geq 3$, a $(P_3 + kP_1, diamond)$ -free graph is $(k + 1)$ -clique*colorable.*

Proof. Let G be a $(P_3+kP_1,$ diamond)-free graph. If $\alpha(G) \leq k+1$, then $\chi_c(G) \leq$ $k+1$ by Theorem [2.](#page-2-0) Assume $\alpha(G) \geq k+2$. Use the same terminologies and arguments as in the proof of Theorem [4,](#page-2-2) we can define a $(k + 1)$ -coloring of G as follows:

$$
g(v) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v \in S \\ 2, & \text{if } v \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\alpha(G)} X_i \\ \min(R) + 2, & \text{if } v \in Y_R \text{ where } R = S \setminus N_S(v) \text{ and } \min(R) \le k - 1 \\ k + 1, & \text{if } v \in Y_R \text{ where } R = S \setminus N_S(v) \text{ and } \min(R) = k. \end{cases}
$$

Suppose that G has a monocolored maximal clique Q of size at least two, say colored by m. If $m = 2$, then $Q \subseteq X_i$ for some i; so s_i is adjacent to all vertices of Q, a contradiction. If $3 \leq m \leq k$, then s_{m-2} is adjacent to all vertices of Q, a contradiction. Assume $m = k + 1$. Then $Q \subseteq \bigcup \{Y_R \mid R \subseteq S\}$ and $k - 1 \le \min(R) \le k$. Since G is diamond-free and $\alpha(G) \ge k + 2$, Y_R is an independent set. Thus $|Q \cap Y_R| \leq 1$ for each $R \subseteq S$. If $|Q| \geq 3$, then there exist a vertex in S together with any three vertices in Q which induce a diamond, a contradiction. So $|Q| = 2$. Since $\alpha(G) \geq k + 2$, there exists a vertex in S that is adjacent to both vertices of Q, a contradiction. Hence $\chi_c(G) \leq k+1$.

Since the 4-chromatic Mycielski's graph G_4 is $(P_3 + 3P_1, \text{diamond})$ -free, the upper bound in Theorem [6](#page-4-0) for the case $k = 3$ is sharp.

References

- 1. Bacsó, G., Gravier, S., Gyárfás, A., Preissmann, M., Sebő, A.: Coloring the maximal cliques of graphs. SIAM J. Discrete Math. **17**, 361–376 (2004)
- 2. Campos, C.N., Dantasa, S., de Mello, C.P.: Colouring clique-hypergraphs of circulant graphs. Electron. Notes Discrete Math. **30**, 189–194 (2008)
- 3. Defossez, D.: Clique-coloring some classes of odd-hole-free. J. Graph Theory **53**, 233–249 (2006)
- 4. Duffus, D., Sands, B., Sauer, N., Woodrow, R.E.: Two-coloring all two-element maximal antichains. J. Comb. Theory Ser. A **57**, 109–116 (1991)
- 5. Duffus, D., Kierstead, H.A., Trotter, W.T.: Fibres and ordered set coloring. J. Comb. Theory Ser. A **58**, 158–164 (1991)
- 6. Gravier, S., Hoáng, C.T., Maffray, F.: Coloring the hypergraph of maximal cliques of a graph with no long path. Discrete Math. **272**, 285–290 (2003)
- 7. Gravier, S., Skrekovski, R.: Coloring the clique hypergraph of graphs without for- ˘ bidden structure, Les cahiers du laboratoire Leibniz **83**, (2003). [http://www-leibniz.](http://www-leibniz.imag.fr/LesCahiers/) [imag.fr/LesCahiers/](http://www-leibniz.imag.fr/LesCahiers/)
- 8. Mycielski, J.: Sur le coloriage des graphes. J. Colloq. Math. **3**, 161–162 (1955)
- 9. West, D.B.: Introduction to Graph Theory. Prentice Hall, New Jersey (2001)