

Characterizations of Plateaued and Bent Functions in Characteristic p

Sihem Mesnager^{1,2}(✉)

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Paris VIII, Saint-Denis, France

² LAGA, UMR 7539, CNRS, University of Paris XIII, Villetaneuse, France
smesnager@univ-paris8.fr

Abstract. We characterize bent functions and plateaued functions in terms of moments of their Walsh transforms. We introduce in any characteristic the notion of directional difference and establish a link between the fourth moment and that notion. We show that this link allows to identify bent elements of particular families. Notably, we characterize bent functions of algebraic degree 3.

1 Introduction

Binary bent functions are usually called Boolean bent functions. These functions were first introduced by Rothaus in [12]. Bent functions are closely related to other combinatorial and algebraic objects such as Hadamard difference sets, relative difference sets, planar functions and commutative semi-fields. Later, this notion has been generalized to that of p -ary bent functions [11]. Several studies on p -ary bent functions have been performed (a non exhaustive list is [5, 7–10, 13]). Most of them concern constructions of bent functions or studies of their properties. Another important family of binary functions is that of plateaued functions [3]. Like the notion of bent function, the notion of plateaued function can be generalized to p -ary plateaued functions (see [4] for instance). In this paper, we establish characterizations of bent functions and plateaued functions in terms of sums of powers of the Walsh transform (Theorems 1 and 3). We also introduce the notion of directional difference for p -ary functions, generalizing the directional derivative of Boolean functions (Definition 1). We then show that one can establish identities linking sums of fourth-powers of the Walsh transform and directional derivatives of a p -ary function (Proposition 1). We then deduce from our characterizations of all bent p -ary functions of algebraic degree 3 when p is odd (Theorem 4). We finally establish a link between the bentness of all elements of a family of p -ary functions and counting zeros of their directional differences (Theorem 6 and Corollary 2).

2 Notation and Preliminaries

Let p be a prime integer, $n \geq 1$ be an integer. We will denote \mathbb{F}_{p^n} the finite field of size p^n and $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ the set of nonzero elements of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . Let ξ_p be a primitive

p th-root of unity and set $\chi_p(a) = \xi_p^a$. Let f be a function from \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to \mathbb{F}_p . The Walsh transform of f at $w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ is defined as

$$\widehat{\chi}_f(w) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \chi_p\left(f(x) - \text{Tr}_p^{p^n}(wx)\right).$$

Then f is bent if and only if $|\text{Waf}(w)|^2 = p^n$ for every $w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. It is said to be *regular bent* if there exists $f^* : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $\widehat{\chi}_f(w) = \chi_p(f^*(w))p^{\frac{n}{2}}$ for all $w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. The function f^* is called the *dual function* of f (in characteristic 2, all bent functions are regular bent; when p is odd, regular bent functions can exist only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$). A function $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ is said to be *weakly regular bent* if, for all $w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, we have $\widehat{\chi}_f(w) = \epsilon \chi_p(f^*(w))p^{\frac{n}{2}}$ for some complex number with $|\epsilon| = 1$ (in fact ϵ can only be ± 1 or $\pm i$). For every function f from \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to \mathbb{F}_p , we have

$$\sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \widehat{\chi}_f(w) = p^n \chi_p(f(0)). \tag{1}$$

Set $|z|^2 = z\bar{z}$ where \bar{z} stands for the conjugate of z . Then

$$\sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} |\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^2 = p^{2n}. \tag{2}$$

In the sequel, we shall refer to (2) as the *Parseval identity*. If $|\widehat{\chi}_f(w)| \in \{0, p^{\frac{n+s}{2}}\}$ for some nonnegative integer s then f is said to be *s-plateaued*. With this definition, bent functions are 0-plateaued functions (in the case where $s = 0$, $|\widehat{\chi}_f(w)| \in \{0, p^{\frac{n}{2}}\}$ is equivalent to $|\widehat{\chi}_f(w)| = p^{\frac{n}{2}}$). The Parseval identity allows to compute the multiplicity of each value of the Walsh transform (when $p = 2$, a more precise statement has been shown in [2]).

Lemma 1. *Let $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ be s-plateaued. Then the absolute value of the Walsh transform $\widehat{\chi}_f$ takes p^{n-s} times the value $p^{\frac{n+s}{2}}$ and $p^n - p^{n-s}$ times the value 0.*

Proof. If N denotes the number of $w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ such that $|\widehat{\chi}_f(w)| = p^{\frac{n+s}{2}}$, then $\sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} |\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^2 = p^{n+s}N$. Now, according to Eq. (2), one must have that $p^{n+s}N = p^{2n}$, that is, $N = p^{n-s}$. The result follows.

A map F from \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to \mathbb{F}_{p^n} is said to be planar if and only if the function from \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to \mathbb{F}_{p^n} induced by the polynomial $F(X+a) - F(x) - F(a)$ is bijective for every $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. We finally introduce the directional difference.

Definition 1. *Let $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$. The directional difference of f at $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ is the map $D_a f$ from \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to \mathbb{F}_p defined by*

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}, \quad D_a f(x) = f(x+a) - f(x).$$

3 New Characterizations of Plateaued Functions

Let p be a positive prime integer. For any nonnegative integer k , we set

$$S_k(f) = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} |\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^{2k} \text{ and } T_k(f) = \frac{S_{k+1}(f)}{S_k(f)}$$

with the convention regarding $k = 0$ that $S_0(f) = p^n$ (in this case, $T_0(f) = \frac{S_1(f)}{S_0(f)} = p^n$). Let us make a preliminary but important remark : for every integer A and every positive integer k , it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \left(|\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^2 - A \right)^2 |\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^{2(k-1)} \\ = S_{k+1}(f) - 2AS_k(f) + A^2S_{k-1}(f). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

We are now going to deduce from (3) a characterization of plateaued functions in terms of moments of the Walsh transform (in Sect. 4, we shall specialize our characterization to bent functions, see Theorem 3).

Theorem 1. *Let n and k be two positive integers. Let f be a function from \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to \mathbb{F}_p . Then, the two following assertions are equivalent.*

1. f is plateaued, that is, there exists a nonnegative integer s such that f is s -plateaued.
2. $T_{k+1}(f) = T_k(f)$.

Proof.

1. Suppose that f is s -plateaued for some nonnegative integer s , that is, $|\widehat{\chi}_f(w)| \in \{0, p^{\frac{n+s}{2}}\}$. Then, by Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(f) &= \sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} |\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^{2k} = p^{n-s} \times p^{k(n+s)} = p^{(k+1)n+(k-1)s} \\ S_{k+1}(f) &= p^{n-s} \times p^{(k+1)(n+s)} = p^{(k+2)n+ks} \\ S_{k+2}(f) &= p^{n-s} \times p^{(k+2)(n+s)} = p^{(k+3)n+(k+1)s}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$T_k(f) = \frac{p^{(k+2)n+ks}}{p^{(k+1)n+(k-1)s}} = p^{n+s}$$

and

$$T_{k+1}(f) = \frac{p^{(k+3)n+(k+1)s}}{p^{(k+2)n+ks}} = p^{n+s} = T_k(f).$$

2. Suppose $T_{k+1}(f) = T_k(f)$. According to (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \left(|\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^2 - T_k(f) \right)^2 |\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^{2k} \\ = S_{k+2}(f) - 2T_k(f)S_{k+1}(f) + T_k^2(f)S_k(f) \\ = S_{k+1}(f) (T_{k+1}(f) - 2T_k(f) + T_k(f)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

proving that $|\widehat{\chi}_f(w)| \in \{0, \sqrt{T_k(f)}\}$ for every $w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Thus,

$$\sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} |\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^2 = T_k(f) \#\{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid |\widehat{\chi}_f(w)| = \sqrt{T_k(f)}\}.$$

Now, the Parseval identity (2) states that

$$\sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} |\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^2 = p^{2n}.$$

Therefore $T_k(f)$ divides p^{2n} proving that $T_k(f) = p^\rho$ for some positive integer ρ . Now, one has $\#\{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid |\widehat{\chi}_f(w)| = \sqrt{T_k(f)}\} = p^{2n-\rho} \leq p^n$ which implies that $\rho \geq n$, that is, $\rho = n + s$ for some nonnegative integer s .

Remark 1. Specializing Theorem 1 to the case where $k = 1$, we get that f is plateaued if and only if $T_2(f) = T_1(f)$, that is

$$S_3(f)S_1(f) - S_2^2(f) = p^{2n}S_3(f) - S_2^2(f) = 0.$$

Remark 2. In the proof, we have shown more than the sole equivalence between (1) and (2). Indeed, we have shown that if (2) holds then f is s -plateaued and $|\widehat{\chi}_f(w)| \in \{0, \sqrt{T_k(f)}\}$.

In Theorem 1, we have considered the ratio of two consecutive sums $S_k(f)$. In fact, one can get a more general result than Theorem 1. Indeed, for every positive integer k and every nonnegative integer l , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \left(|\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^{2l} - A \right)^2 |\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^{2(k-1)} & \quad (4) \\ & = S_{k+2l-1}(f) - 2AS_{k+l-1}(f) + A^2S_{k-1}(f). \end{aligned}$$

Then, one can make the same kind of proof as that of Theorem 1 but with (4) in place of (3) (the proof being very similar, we omit it).

Theorem 2. *Let n, k and l be positive integers and $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$. Then, the two following assertions are equivalent*

1. f is plateaued, that is, there exists a nonnegative integer s such that f is s -plateaued.
2. $\frac{S_{k+2l}(f)}{S_{k+l}(f)} = \frac{S_{k+l}(f)}{S_k(f)}$.

4 The Case of Bent Functions

In this section, we shall specialize our study to bent functions and suppose that p is a positive prime integer. In the whole section, n is a positive integer. In Theorem 1, we have excluded the possibility to for the integer k to be equal to 0 because it does concern both plateaued functions and bent functions. In fact, if we aim to characterize only bent functions, we are going to show that it follows from comparing $T_1(f) = \frac{S_2(f)}{S_1(f)} = \frac{S_2(f)}{p^{2n}}$ to $T_0(f) = \frac{S_1(f)}{S_0(f)} = p^n$.

Theorem 3. *Let n be a positive integer. Let f be a function from \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to \mathbb{F}_p . Then*

$$S_2(f) = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} |\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^4 \geq p^{3n}$$

and f is bent if and only if $S_2(f) = p^{3n}$.

Proof. If we apply (3) with $A = p^n$ at $k = 1$, we get that

$$\sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \left(|\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^2 - p^n \right)^2 = S_2(f) - 2p^n S_1(f) + p^{2n} S_0(f).$$

Now, $S_0(f) = p^n$ and $S_1(f) = p^{2n}$ (Parseval identity, Eq. 2). Hence

$$\sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \left(|\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^2 - p^n \right)^2 = S_2(f) - p^{3n}. \tag{5}$$

Since $\left(|\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^2 - p^n \right)^2 \geq 0$ for every $w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, it implies that $S_2(f) \geq p^{3n}$.

Now, f is bent if and only if $|\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^2 = p^n$ for every $w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Therefore, f is bent if and only if the left-hand side of Eq. (5) vanishes, that is, if and only if $S_2(f) = p^{3n}$.

In characteristic 2, identities have been established involving the Walsh transform of a Boolean function and its directional derivatives (see [1, 3]). For instance, for every Boolean function f , $S_2(f)$ and the second-order derivatives of f have been linked. We now show that one can link $S_2(f)$ and the directional difference defined in Definition 1.

Proposition 1. *Let n be a positive integer. Let f be a function from \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to \mathbb{F}_p . Then*

$$\sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} |\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^4 = p^n \sum_{(a,b,x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^3} \chi_p(D_a D_b f(x)). \tag{6}$$

Proof. Since $|z|^4 = z^2 \bar{z}^2$ where \bar{z} stands for the conjugate of z and $\bar{\xi}_p = \xi_p^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} |\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^4 \\ &= \sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^4} \chi_p(f(x_1) - f(x_2) + f(x_3) - f(x_4) \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad - Tr_p^{p^n}(w(x_1 - x_2 + x_3 - x_4))). \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \chi_p(-Tr_p^{p^n}(w(x_1 - x_2 + x_3 - x_4))) = \begin{cases} p^n & \text{if } x_1 - x_2 + x_3 - x_4 = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} |\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^4 = p^n \sum_{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^3} \chi_p(f(x_1) - f(x_2) + f(x_3) - f(x_1 - x_2 + x_3)).$$

Now note that

$$D_{x_2-x_1} D_{x_3-x_2} f(x_1) = f(x_1) + f(x_3) - f(x_2) - f(x_1 + x_3 - x_2).$$

Then, since $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (x_1, x_2 - x_1, x_3 - x_2)$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^3$, we get

$$\sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} |\widehat{\chi}_f(w)|^4 = p^n \sum_{(a, b, x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^3} \chi_p(D_a D_b f(x)).$$

Remark 3. In odd characteristic p , when f is a quadratic form over \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , that is, $f(x) = \phi(x, x)$ for some symmetric bilinear map ϕ from $\mathbb{F}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ to \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , then, $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) + 2\phi(x, y)$. Let us now compute the directional differences of f at $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$:

$$\begin{aligned} D_b f(x) &= f(x + b) - f(x) = f(b) + 2\phi(b, x) \\ D_a D_b f(x) &= 2\phi(b, x + a) - 2\phi(b, x) = 2\phi(b, a). \end{aligned}$$

According to Proposition 1, one has

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(f) &= p^n \sum_{(a, b, x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^3} \chi_p(2\phi(b, a)) \\ &= p^{2n} \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \chi_p(2\phi(b, a)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, classical results about character sums over finite abelian groups say that

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \chi_p(2\phi(b, a)) = \begin{cases} p^n & \text{if } \phi(b, \bullet) = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$S_2(f) = p^{3n} \# \mathbf{rad}(\phi)$$

where $\mathbf{rad}(\phi)$ stands for the radical of ϕ : $\mathbf{rad}(\phi) = \{b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid \phi(b, \bullet) = 0\}$. One can then conclude thanks to Theorem 3 that f is bent if and only if $\mathbf{rad}(\phi) = \{0\}$.

Suppose that p is odd and consider now functions of the form

$$f(x) = Tr_p^{p^n} \left(\sum_{\substack{i, j, k=0 \\ i \neq j, j \neq k, k \neq i}}^{n-1} a_{ijk} x^{p^i + p^j + p^k} + \sum_{\substack{i, j=0 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} b_{ij} x^{p^i + p^j} \right). \tag{7}$$

We are going to characterize bent functions of that form thanks to Theorem 3 and Proposition 1. But before, let us note that we can rewrite the expression of f as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= Tr_p^{p^n} \left(\sum_{\substack{i,j,k=0 \\ i \neq j, j \neq k, k \neq i}}^{n-1} a_{ijk} x^{p^i + p^j + p^k} \right) + Tr_p^{p^n} \left(\sum_{\substack{i,j=0 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} b_{ij} x^{p^i + p^j} \right) \\ &= Tr_p^{p^n} \left(\sum_{\substack{i,j,k=0 \\ i \neq j, j \neq k, k \neq i}}^{n-1} a_{ijk}^{-i} x^{1 + p^{j-i} + p^{k-i}} \right) + Tr_p^{p^n} \left(\sum_{\substack{i,j=0 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} b_{ij} x^{p^i + p^j} \right) \\ &= Tr_p^{p^n} \left(x \sum_{\substack{i,j,k=0 \\ i \neq j, j \neq k, k \neq i}}^{n-1} a_{ijk}^{-i} x^{p^{j-i} + p^{k-i}} \right) + Tr_p^{p^n} \left(\sum_{\substack{i,j=0 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} b_{ij} x^{p^i + p^j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the second equality, we have used the fact that $Tr_p^{p^n}$ is invariant under the Frobenius map $x \mapsto x^p$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j,k=0 \\ i \neq j, j \neq k, k \neq i}}^{n-1} a_{ijk}^{-i} (x^{p^{j-i}} y^{p^{k-i}} + x^{p^{k-i}} y^{p^{j-i}}) \\ \phi(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2} Tr_p^{p^n} \left(\sum_{\substack{i,j=0 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} b_{ij} (x^{p^i} y^{p^j} + x^{p^j} y^{p^i}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, a function f of the form (7) can be written

$$f(x) = Tr_p^{p^n} (x\psi(x, x)) + \phi(x, x) \tag{8}$$

where $\psi : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ is a symmetric bilinear map and $\phi : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ is a symmetric bilinear form. We can now state our characterization.

Theorem 4. *Suppose that p is odd. Let ϕ be a symmetric bilinear form over $\mathbb{F}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ and ψ be a symmetric bilinear map from $\mathbb{F}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ to \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . Define $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ by $f(x) = Tr_p^{p^n} (x\psi(x, x)) + \phi(x, x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. For $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, set $\ell_{a,b}(x) = Tr_p^{p^n} (\psi(a, b)x + a\psi(b, x) + b\psi(a, x))$. For every $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, define the vector space $\mathfrak{K}_a = \{b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid \ell_{a,b} = 0\}$. Then f is bent if and only if $\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}, \phi(a, \bullet)|_{\mathfrak{K}_a} = 0\} = \{0\}$.*

Proof. According to Theorem 3 and Proposition 1, f is bent if and only if

$$\sum_{(a,b,x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^3} \chi_p(D_b D_a f(x)) = p^{2n}. \tag{9}$$

Now, for $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_p^{2n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_a f(x) &= Tr_p^{p^n} ((x+a)\psi(a+x, a+x) - x\psi(x, x)) \\ &\quad + \phi(x+a, x+a) - \phi(x, x) \\ &= Tr_p^{p^n} (a\psi(x, x) + 2x\psi(a, x) + 2a\psi(a, x) + x\psi(a, a) + a\psi(a, a)) \\ &\quad + 2\phi(a, x) + \phi(a, a). \\ D_b D_a f(x) &= Tr_p^{p^n} (2a\psi(b, x) + a\psi(b, b) + 2b\psi(a, x) + 2x\psi(a, b) + 2b\psi(a, b) \\ &\quad + 2a\psi(a, b) + b\psi(a, a)) + 2\phi(a, b) \\ &= 2\ell_{a,b}(x) + Tr_p^{p^n} (a\psi(b, b) + b\psi(a, a) + 2(a+b)\psi(a, b)) + 2\phi(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

Note that, $\ell_{a,b}$ is a linear map from \mathbb{F}_p^n to \mathbb{F}_p^n . Furthermore, for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ and $b \in \mathfrak{K}_a$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{a,b}(a) &= Tr_p^{p^n} (\psi(a, b)a + a\psi(b, a) + b\psi(a, a)) = 0, \\ \ell_{a,b}(b) &= Tr_p^{p^n} (\psi(a, b)b + a\psi(b, b) + b\psi(a, b)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which implies, summing those two equations, that

$$Tr_p^{p^n} (a\psi(b, b) + b\psi(a, a) + 2(a+b)\psi(a, b)) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(a,b,x) \in \mathbb{F}_p^{3n}} \chi_p(D_b D_a f(x)) &= \sum_{(a,b) \in \mathbb{F}_p^{2n}} \chi_p(2\phi(a, b)) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \chi_p(2\ell_{a,b}(x)) \\ &= p^n \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \sum_{b \in \mathfrak{K}_a} \chi_p(2\phi(a, b)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for every $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$, the map $b \in \mathfrak{K}_a \mapsto \phi(a, b)$ is linear over \mathfrak{K}_a . Therefore

$$\sum_{b \in \mathfrak{K}_a} \chi_p(2\phi(a, b)) = \begin{cases} \#\mathfrak{K}_a & \text{if } \phi(a, \bullet)|_{\mathfrak{K}_a} = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence, according to (9), f is bent if and only if

$$\sum_{(a,b,x) \in \mathbb{F}_p^{3n}} \chi_p(D_a D_b f(x)) = p^n \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p^n, \phi(a, \bullet)|_{\mathfrak{K}_a} = 0} \#\mathfrak{K}_a = p^{2n},$$

that is, if and only if,

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p^n, \phi(a, \bullet)|_{\mathfrak{K}_a} = 0} \#\mathfrak{K}_a = p^n.$$

Now, if $a = 0$, then $\mathfrak{K}_0 = \mathbb{F}_p^n$ because $\ell_{0,b} = 0$ for every $b \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$. Therefore, f is bent if and only if

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p^* \cup \{0\}, \phi(a, \bullet)|_{\mathfrak{K}_a} = 0} \#\mathfrak{K}_a = 0$$

which is equivalent to $\#\mathfrak{K}_a = 0$ for every $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ such that $\phi(a, \bullet)|_{\mathfrak{K}_a} = 0$.

We now turn our attention towards maps from \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to \mathbb{F}_{p^m} . Let us extend the notion of bentness to those maps as follows.

Definition 2. Let F be a Boolean map from \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to \mathbb{F}_{p^m} . For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$, define $f_\lambda : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ as $f_\lambda(x) = \text{Tr}_p^{p^m}(\lambda F(x))$ for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Then F is said to be bent if and only if f_λ is bent for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$.

Theorem 3 implies

Theorem 5. Let F be a map from \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to \mathbb{F}_{p^m} . Then, F is bent if and only if

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*} S_2(f_\lambda) = p^{3n}(p^m - 1). \quad (10)$$

Proof. According to Theorem 3, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$, f_λ is bent if and only if $S_2(f_\lambda) = p^{3n}$ which gives (10). Conversely, suppose that (10) holds. Theorem 3 states that $S_2(f_\lambda) \geq p^{3n}$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$. Thus, one has necessarily, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$, $S_2(f_\lambda) = p^{3n}$ implying that f_λ is bent for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$, proving that F is bent.

We now show that one can compute the left-hand side of (10) by counting the zeros of the second-order directional differences.

Proposition 2. Let F be a Boolean map from \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to \mathbb{F}_{p^m} . Then

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*} S_2(f_\lambda) = p^{n+m} \mathfrak{N}(F) - p^{4n}$$

where $\mathfrak{N}(F)$ is the number of elements of $\{(a, b, x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^3 \mid D_a D_b F(x) = 0\}$.

Proof. According to Proposition 1, we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*} S_2(f_\lambda) = p^n \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*} \sum_{a, b, x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \chi_p(D_a D_b f_\lambda(x)).$$

Next, $D_a D_b f_\lambda = \text{Tr}_p^{p^m}(\lambda D_a D_b F)$. Therefore

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*} S_2(f_\lambda) = p^n \sum_{a, b, x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*} \chi_p(\text{Tr}_p^{p^m}(\lambda D_a D_b F(x))).$$

That is

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*} S_2(f_\lambda) = p^n \sum_{a, b, x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*} \chi_p(\text{Tr}_p^{p^m}(\lambda D_a D_b F(x))) \right) - p^{4n}.$$

We finally get the result from

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*} \chi_p(\text{Tr}_p^{p^m}(\lambda D_a D_b F(x))) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } D_a D_b F(x) \neq 0 \\ p^m & \text{if } D_a D_b F(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

We then deduce from Theorem 3 a characterization of bentness in terms of zeros of the second-order directional differences.

Theorem 6. *Let F be a map from \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to \mathbb{F}_{p^m} . Then F is bent if and only if $\mathfrak{N}(F) = p^{3n-m} + p^{2n} - p^{2n-m}$.*

Proof. F is bent if and only if all the functions f_λ , $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$, are bent. Therefore, according to Proposition 3, if F is bent then

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*} S_2(f_\lambda) = (p^m - 1)p^{3n}.$$

Now, according to Proposition 2, one has

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*} S_2(f_\lambda) = p^{n+m}\mathfrak{N}(F) - p^{4n}.$$

We deduce from the two above equalities that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}(F) &= p^{-n-m}(p^{4n} + (p^m - 1)p^{3n}) \\ &= p^{3n-m} + p^{2n} - p^{2n-m}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that $\mathfrak{N}(F) = p^{3n-m} + p^{2n} - p^{2n-m}$. Then

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*} S_2(f_\lambda) = p^{n+m}\mathfrak{N}(F) - p^{4n} = p^{4n} + p^{3n+m} - p^{3n} - p^{4n} = p^{3n}(p^m - 1).$$

We then conclude by Theorem 5 that F is bent.

Note that when $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, $D_a D_b F$ is trivially equal to 0. We state below a slightly different version of Theorem 6 to exclude those trivial cases to characterize the bentness of F .

Corollary 1. *Let F be a map from \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to \mathbb{F}_{p^m} . Then F is bent if and only if $\mathfrak{N}^*(F) = p^n(p^n - 1)(p^{n-m} - 1)$ where $\mathfrak{N}^*(F)$ is the number of elements of $\{(a, b, x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^* \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^* \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid D_a D_b F(x) = 0\}$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2 by noting that $\{(a, b, x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^3 \mid D_a D_b F(x) = 0\}$ contains the set $\{(a, 0, x), a, x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}\} \cup \{(0, a, x), a, x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}\}$ whose cardinality equals $p^n(1 + 2(p^n - 1)) = 2p^{2n} - p^n$. Hence, the cardinality of $\mathfrak{N}^*(F)$ equals $p^{3n-m} + p^{2n} - p^{2n-m} - (2p^{2n} - p^n) = p^{3n-m} - p^{2n-m} + p^n - p^{2n} = p^{2n-m}(p^n - 1) + p^n(1 - p^n) = p^n(p^n - 1)(p^{n-m} - 1)$.

In the particular case of planar functions, Theorem 1 rewrites as follows

Corollary 2. *Let $F : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Then, F is planar if and only if, $D_a D_b F$ does not vanish on \mathbb{F}_{p^n} for every $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^* \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$.*

Proof. F is planar if and only if F is bent ([6, Lemma 1.1]). Hence, according to Corollary 1, F is planar if and only if $\mathfrak{N}^*(F) = 0$ proving the result.

References

1. Canteaut, A., Carlet, C., Charpin, P., Fontaine, C.: Propagation characteristics and correlation-immunity of highly nonlinear boolean functions. In: Preneel, B. (ed.) EUROCRYPT 2000. LNCS, vol. 1807, pp. 507–522. Springer, Heidelberg (2000)
2. Canteaut, A., Charpin, P.: Decomposing bent functions. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **49**(8), 2004–2019 (2003)
3. Carlet, C.: Boolean functions for cryptography and error correcting codes. In: Crama, Y., Hammer, P.L. (eds.) *Boolean Models and Methods in Mathematics, Computer Science, and Engineering*, pp. 257–397. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010)
4. Cesmelioglu, A., Meidl, W.: A construction of bent functions from plateaued functions. *Des. Codes Crypt.* **66**(1–3), 231–242 (2013)
5. Coulter, R.S., Matthews, R.W.: Planar functions and planes of Lenz-Barlotti class II. *Des. Codes Crypt.* **10**(2), 167–184 (1997)
6. Helleseht, T., Hollmann, H., Kholosha, A., Wang, Z., Xiang, Q.: Proofs of two conjectures on ternary weakly regular bent functions. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **55**(11), 5272–5283 (2009)
7. Helleseht, T., Kholosha, A.: Monomial and quadratic bent functions over the finite fields of odd characteristic. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **52**(5), 2018–2032 (2006)
8. Helleseht, T., Kholosha, A.: On the dual of monomial quadratic p -ary bent functions. In: Golomb, S.W., Gong, G., Helleseht, T., Song, H.-Y. (eds.) *SSC 2007*. LNCS, vol. 4893, pp. 50–61. Springer, Heidelberg (2007)
9. Hou, X.-D.: p -ary and q -ary versions of certain results about bent functions and resilient functions. *Finite Fields Appl.* **10**(4), 566–582 (2004)
10. Hou, X.-D.: On the dual of a Coulter-Matthews bent function. *Finite Fields Appl.* **14**(2), 505–514 (2008)
11. Kumar, P.V., Scholtz, R.A., Welch, L.R.: Generalized bent functions and their properties. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A* **40**(1), 90–107 (1985)
12. Rothaus, O.S.: On “bent” functions. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A* **20**(3), 300–305 (1976)
13. Tan, Y., Yang, J., Zhang, X.: A recursive construction of p -ary bent functions which are not weakly regular. In: *IEEE International Conference on Information Theory and Information Security (ICITIS)*, pp. 156–159 (2010)