# **Chapter 1 Consideration of Combined Stress: A Crucial Paradigm for Improving Multiple Stress Tolerance in Plants**

**Ramamurthy Mahalingam** 

### 1.1 Introduction

Food security is a major issue in the global policy agenda. In the next 40 years, demand for cereal production is predicted to increase by 60% as the population rises from the current 6.6 to 8.7 billion by the year 2050 (Bengtsson et al. 2006). In a world where population growth exceeds food supply (Malthus 1817), a second green revolution is necessary. But the challenges in overcoming the constraints in food production are complex. The ongoing change in climate mostly due to anthropogenic activities causes increases in carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions (Peters et al. 2011), further exacerbating the agricultural land deterioration due to increasing temperature (Kissoudis et al. 2014). Increasing temperature in turn leads to higher evapotranspiration, drought intensification, and increasing soil salinization (Munns and Tester 2008; Zhao and Running 2010). Though the existing data on the impact of climate change on pathogen spread are inconclusive, evidence points to increased reproductive potential and geographic expansion leading to interactions with more hosts and new virulent pathogenic strains (Garrett et al. 2006). An analysis of the natural disasters that resulted in more than a billion dollars in the USA in the past three decades clearly shows that both the frequency and intensity of these events are increasing (Fig. 1.1). Hence, the chances of plants encountering new combination of stresses in the future are likely to be higher. It thus behooves upon plant scientists working on stress resistance to consider the combination of stresses that are likely to co-occur under field conditions.

R. Mahalingam (🖂)

<sup>246</sup> Noble Research Center, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA e-mail: ramamurthy.mahalingam@okstate.edu

<sup>©</sup> Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015 P. Mahalingerr (ed.). Combined Strugger in Plants, DOI 10 1007/078 2 210 078

R. Mahalingam (ed.), Combined Stresses in Plants, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-07899-1\_1



Fig. 1.1 The US billion-dollar weather and climate disaster time series from 1980 to 2011. (Adapted from reference Smith and Katz 2013)

#### **1.2 Importance of Combined Stress**

Literature is replete with studies on plant responses to stresses. PubMed search using keywords "stress" and "plants" in title and abstract field alone identified nearly 15,300 citations while "combined stress" and "plants" retrieved 480 citations. A closer inspection of the latter search revealed only around 180 original articles that actually dealt with the combination of two or more stresses in plants. A listing of primary research articles on combined stress in various plant species is given in Table 1.1.

The combined occurrence of drought and heat in the USA from 1980 to 2012 was shown to cause fivefold more damage when compared to drought alone (Fig. 1.2). Increase in global surface temperature is a major indicator of global warming (Van Vuuren et al. 2008). This rise in mean global temperature is attributed to increases in the greenhouse gases such as  $CO_2$  and air pollutants such as ozone ( $O_3$ ) that have been brought about by anthropogenic activities. For the first time in recorded history, the average level of  $CO_2$  has topped 400 parts per million (ppm) for an entire month in April 2014 according to the Scripps Institution of Oceanography. Efforts to control  $CO_2$  emissions on a global scale will be difficult to enforce given the political and economic implications surrounding such legislations. More than 400 ppm of  $CO_2$  may thus be the new reality for crop plants in the future.

Stress combination	Plant species (references)
Drought + heat	<i>Arabidopsis</i> (Koussevitzky et al. 2008; Rizhsky et al. 2004; Vile et al. 2012; Wolfe and Tonsor 2014), tobacco (Cvikrova et al. 2013; Rizhsky et al. 2002), wheat (Keles and Oncel 2002; Prasad et al. 2011; Rampino et al. 2012; Szucs et al. 2010; Yang et al. 2011), <i>Sorghum</i> (Johnson et al. 2014), <i>Carissa spinarum</i> (Zhang et al. 2010), lotus (Sainz et al. 2010), soybeans (Simon-Sarkadi et al. 2005), <i>Jatropha</i> (Silva et al. 2010); barley (Rollins et al. 2013); poplar (Centritto et al. 2011); prosopis (Delatorre et al. 2008)
Drought + chilling	Sugarcane (Sales et al. 2013), maize (Aroca 2003)
Drought + high light	<i>Arabidopsis</i> (Estavillo et al. 2011; Giraud et al. 2008), <i>Haberlea rhodopensis</i> (Georgieva et al. 2010), rice (Zhou et al. 2007), watermelon (Nanasato et al. 2005), pearl millet and <i>Sorghum</i> (Masojidek et al. 1991); <i>Nerium oleander</i> (Demmig et al. 1988)
Drought + heavy metals	Red maple (de Silva et al. 2012); <i>Populus cathayana</i> (Han et al. 2013); oak (Sardans and Penuelas 2007); Stackhousia tryonii (Bhatia et al. 2005)
Drought + ozone	Birch (Paakkonen et al. 1998), beech (Nunn et al. 2007), <i>Medicago truncatula</i> (Iyer et al. 2013), Quercus (Alonso et al. 2014), poplar (Bohler et al. 2013), <i>Dactylis glomerata</i> , and <i>Ranunculus acris</i> (Wagg et al. 2012); wheat (Biswas and Jiang 2011; Herbinger et al. 2002), spruce (Karlsson et al. 1997; Kivimaenpaa et al. 2003); <i>Pinus halepensis</i> (Manes et al. 2001; Fontaine et al. 2003)
Drought + salinity	Barley (Ahmed et al. 2013a, b, c); <i>Sesuvium portulacastrum</i> (Slama et al. 2008)
Drought + soil compaction	Tobacco (Alameda et al. 2012)
Drought + nutrients	Maize (Kandianis et al. 2013; Makumburage and Stapleton 2011); wheat (Wei et al. 2013); potato (Germ et al. 2007)
Drought + UV	Maize (Makumburage et al. 2013); wheat (Feng et al. 2007; Zhao et al. 2009); <i>Arabidopsis</i> (Comont et al. 2012; Schmidt et al. 2000); barley (Bandurska et al. 2012); peas (Nogues et al. 1998); <i>Populus cathayana</i> (Lu 2009); willows (Turtola 2006); soybeans (Sullivan and Teramura 1990)
Drought + high CO <sub>2</sub>	Potato (Barnaby et al. 2014); maize (Sicher and Barnaby 2012); <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> (Medeiros and Ward 2013); <i>Viguiera discolor</i> (Oliveira et al. 2013); eucalyptus (Crous et al. 2012; Duursma et al. 2011; Lewis et al. 2013; Zeppel et al. 2011); maize and sorghum (Allen et al. 2011; Kakani et al. 2011; Leakey et al. 2006); pepper (del Amor et al. 2010); populus (Bobich et al. 2010); cucumber (Li et al. 2008); oak and pine (Schwanz et al. 1996)
Drought + pathogens/pest	<i>Arabidopsis</i> (Atkinson et al. 2013; Anderson et al. 2004); tobacco (Ramegowda et al. 2013); rice (Campo et al. 2012); <i>Alnus fruticosa</i> (Rohrs-Richey et al. 2011); beet and rice (Xu et al. 2008)
Salinity + heat	Tomato (Rivero et al. 2014); poplar (Behnke et al. 2013); <i>Artemisia</i> (Wen et al. 2005) <i>Swietenia macrophylla</i> (Rahman et al. 2013)

 Table 1.1 Primary research studies of combined stresses in various plant species

(	
Stress combination	Plant species (references)
Salinity + ozone	Alfalfa (Maggio et al. 2009); chickpea (Welfare et al. 2002); rice (Welfare et al. 1996); wheat (Zheng et al. 2012)
Salinity + pathogens	Rice (Xiong and Yang 2003)
Salinity + nutrients	Barley (Talbi Zribi et al. 2011); <i>Hordeum maritimum</i> (Talbi Zribi et al. 2012); spinach (Kaya et al. 2001); soybeans (Grat- tan and Maas 1988); peanuts (Silberbush and Ben-Asher 1989); <i>Crithmum maritimum</i> (Labidi et al. 2011); broccoli (del Carmen Martinez-Ballesta 2008)
Salinity + high CO <sub>2</sub>	<i>Arabidopsis</i> (Kanani et al. 2010); <i>Spartina maritima</i> (Mateos-Naranjo et al. 2010a); barley (Perez-Lopez et al. 2009, 2012); pepino (Chen et al. 1999); melon (Mavrogianopoulos et al. 1999); citrus (Garcia-Sanchez et al. 2006); olive (Melgar et al. 2008); aster (Geissler et al. 2009, 2010); tomato (Takagi et al. 2009); <i>Spartina densiflora</i> (Mateos-Naranjo et al. 2010b)
Heat + ozone	Birch (Kasurinen et al. 2012; Maenpaa et al. 2011; Riikonen et al. 2009, 2013); spruce (Riikonen et al. 2012); populus (Hartikainen et al. 2009); bean (Albertine and Manning 2009); radish (Kleier et al. 2001)
Heat + light	Sunflower (Hewezi et al. 2008); <i>Brassica</i> (Diaz et al. 2007), oats (Quiles 2006); seagrass (York et al. 2013); apple (Chen et al. 2008); grapes (Greer and Weedon 2012); <i>Arabidopsis</i> (Burgos et al. 2011; Lokhande et al. 2003; Vasseur et al. 2011); <i>Dunaliella salina</i> (Haghjou et al. 2009); <i>Phragmites australis</i> (Loreto et al. 2006); wheat (Monneveux et al. 2003); spruce (Mahoney et al. 1998)
Heat + UV	Wheat (Zheng et al. 2011); cucumber (Caldwell 1994)
Heat + high CO <sub>2</sub>	Tomato (Li et al. 2014b); Kentucky bluegrass (Song et al. 2014); aspen (Sun et al. 2013); soybeans (Sicher 2013); rice (Madan et al. 2012); eucalyptus (Loveys et al. 2006); bell pepper (Aloni et al. 2001; Karni and Aloni 2002); <i>Abutilon theophrasti</i> (Ziska 2001); cotton and tobacco (Crafts-Brandner and Salvucci 2000)
Temperature + pathogens	<i>Arabidopsis</i> (Szittya et al. 2003; Yang and Hau 2004; Zhu et al. 2010); tomato (de Jong et al. 2002)
Ozone + high CO <sub>2</sub>	Soybeans (Ainsworth et al. 2008; Gillespie et al. 2012); populus (Kets et al. 2010); wheat (Mishra et al. 2013)
Ozone + UV	Linseed (Tripathi and Agrawal 2013a, b); birch (Pliura et al. 2008); <i>Elymus athericus</i> (van de Staaij et al. 1997)
Ozone + pathogens	Tobacco (Ye et al. 2012); soybeans (Bilgin et al. 2008); Beech and spruce (Luedemann et al. 2005)
Chilling + high light	Tomato (Wang et al. 2008); cotton (Kornyeyev et al. 2001; Payton et al. 2001)
Chilling + pathogens	Arabidopsis (Yang et al. 2010)
UV + heavy metals	<i>Brassica campestris</i> (Shukla et al. 2008); <i>Pisum sativum</i> (Srivas- tava et al. 2012)
UV + pathogens	<i>Arabidopsis</i> (Kunz et al. 2006); tea (Gunasekera et al. 1997); cab- bage (Brown et al. 2001); tobacco (Yalpani et al. 1994)

Table 1.1 (continued)

Stress combination	Plant species (references)
High $CO_2$ + high light	Chlorella (Kozlowska-Szerenos et al. 2004)
Nutrient + pathogens	Arabidopsis (Amtmann et al. 2008)
Drought + heat + high light	<i>Hibiscus</i> (Munoz and Quiles 2013); <i>Rosa meillandina</i> (Paredes and Quiles 2013); wheat (Sharma and Singhal 1993)
Drought + high light + UV	Arabidopsis (Poulson et al. 2006)
Drought + heat + virus	Arabidopsis (Prasch and Sonnewald 2013)
$CO_2$ + temperature + UV	Cowpea (Singh et al. 2010); soybeans (Koti et al. 2005); birch (Lavola et al. 2013)
Ozone + light	Trifolium subterraneum (Vollsnes et al. 2009)
CO <sub>2</sub> + temperature + drought	Eucalyptus (Roden and Ball 1996)
UV + nutrients	Vigna radiata (Agrawal et al. 2006); wheat (Shukla et al. 2002)
$CO_2$ + ozone + insects	Soybeans (Casteel et al. 2008)
$CO_2$ + temperature + insects	Soybeans (Niziolek et al. 2013)

Table 1.1 (continued)



Fig. 1.2 A meta-analysis of naturally occurring disasters in the USA. Losses due to weatherrelated disasters (excluding tornadoes, hurricanes, and wildfires) occurring between 1980 and 2011 that exceeded more than a billion dollars were included in this analysis. Damage costs were normalized to the 2013 US dollar value. Raw data for this analysis were from reference (Smith and Katz 2013)

Here is an example to illustrate the importance of considering more than a single stress. A recent study indicated that rising CO<sub>2</sub> levels increased the estimated yield levels of soybeans during 2002–2006 by 4.34, 7.57, and 5.10%, in the USA, Brazil, and China, respectively (Sakurai et al. 2014). However, there are other studies using the free-air concentration enrichment (FACE) technology that consider the increasing levels of ozone, the most abundant air pollutant that will negate the fertilizing effects of CO<sub>2</sub> and predict a less-than-expected yield due to the increasing levels of CO<sub>2</sub> (Long et al. 2005, 2006).

## 1.3 Which Combination of Stresses to Study?

This begs the question which combination of stresses to study. As indicated earlier, plants are continually challenged by diverse array of biotic and abiotic agents from seed germination to senescence. We can envisage considering those stresses that are most likely to co-occur under field conditions and whose combined impact can adversely affect the final yield.

*Stress Matrix Approach* Mittler and coworkers have advocated the use of a stress matrix showing different combinations of potential environmental stresses that can affect crops. The use of colors to indicate potential positive and negative interactions provides a visually appealing schema for depicting combined stresses (Fig. 1.3). It



**Fig. 1.3** The stress matrix. Different combinations of potential environmental stresses that can affect crops in the field are shown in the form of a matrix. The matrix is color-coded to indicate stress combinations that were studied with a range of crops and their overall effect on plant growth and yield. References for these studies are given in the text and in Table 1.1. (Adapted from Suzuki et al. (2014) and modified from Mittler (2006))



**Fig. 1.4** Combined ozone and drought stress in two soybean cultivars. Cultivar Forrest (sensitive to ozone, sensitive to heat) and Essex (tolerant to ozone, tolerant to heat) were simultaneously exposed to 75-100 ppb of ozone and higher temperature of  $37 \,^{\circ}$ C for 3 h a day for 3 consecutive days. Photographs were taken at the end of the combined ozone and heat treatment on day 1 (A), day 2 (B), day 3 (C), 24 h after the end of the treatment (E), and 10 days of post-recovery in a growth chamber (F)

should be noted that this is a vast oversimplification of the complexity involved in combined stress scenarios. For example, Medicago truncatula cultivar Jemalong is sensitive to ozone and drought when the stresses were applied singly (Puckette et al. 2007). The combined application of drought and ozone in Jemalong evoked a very different transcriptome and metabolic response that manifested as a stress-tolerant phenotype (Iver 2013). To test whether this observation can be extended to other legumes, we used the soybean cultivar Forrest that is sensitive to drought and ozone when applied singly. The combined application of drought and ozone for a period of 3 days was detrimental for Forrest plants (Fig. 1.4). Thus, based on single-case studies, it is naïve to interpret the interactions between stress combinations as positive or negative. Biswas and Jiang (2011) reported that, under conditions of combined ozone and drought stress, the ozone-sensitive modern winter wheat cultivar (Triticum aestivum L. cv. Xiaoyan 22) improved its tolerance against ozone, while the ozone-tolerant primitive wheat (*Turgidum* ssp. durum) lost ozone tolerance. Crops show wide variability in their phenotypic responses to stresses and this includes both the intra- and inter-specific variation (Biswas et al. 2008; Brosche et al. 2010).

Furthermore, it has been shown that the order in which the stress combinations are applied may evoke a different response. An early drought could lead to a decrease in stomatal conductance and a subsequent protection against a later ozone exposure while the appearance of drought during preexisting ozone stress would suffer under the appearing sluggishness of stomata, initially caused by ozone (Paoletti and Grulke 2010).

Plants can show varied responses to stresses depending on their developmental stage. This adds an additional layer of complexity in the analysis of plant stress studies. If a field is affected by stress at a very early stage of development (e.g., seedling stage), a farmer may be able to undertake second planting and still recover his losses. On the other hand, a severe stress in field during the reproductive stage of development will not be amenable for such amends. It has been reported that most plants of agronomic importance are gullible to abiotic stresses during reproductive stages with detrimental consequences to the yield (Barnabas et al. 2008). Interestingly, the consequences to yield in response to stresses are not considered in most studies involving model plants like *Arabidopsis*. The usefulness of model plants for understanding plant stress responses can be greatly increased by assessing impact of stress on seed yield and seed quality. From an agronomic perspective, the most important aspect of plant stress interactions will be to understand its impact on the final yield.

#### 1.4 Omics of Combined Stress

A detailed review of the transcriptome studies on combined stresses in plants has been reported (Jambunathan et al. 2010). A few proteomic studies on the combined stresses have been reported. This includes drought and ozone in poplar (Bohler et al. 2013), drought, and heat in Arabidopsis, barley, Carissa spinarum (Koussevitzky et al. 2008; Rollins et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2010), toxic compounds like mercurv and salinity in Suaeda salsa (Liu et al. 2013), high temperature and humidity in Portulaca oleracea (Yang et al. 2012). Interestingly, transcriptomic and proteomic analysis of several different combined stresses in several different plant species converges on the antioxidant defense machinery as a key pathway. The observed higher antioxidant capacity and/or lower accumulation of the reactive oxygen species (ROS) seems to be a mechanism operative in plants tolerant to combined stresses (Iyer et al. 2013; Koussevitzky et al. 2008; Ahmed et al. 2013b; Perez-Lopez et al. 2009; Rivero et al. 2014; Sales et al. 2013). Omics approaches have also shown that there are unique transcription factors, hormone-responsive genes and osmolytes that are differentially expressed in response to different combined stresses (Iyer et al. 2013; Atkinson et al. 2013; Rasmussen et al. 2013; Rizhsky et al. 2004). An apparent gap in the knowledge is the lack of information on posttranscriptional gene regulation by microRNAs in response to combined stresses. In fact, a comprehensive analysis of transcriptome, proteome, metabolome, and miRNome even in response to a single stress has not been reported. Such integrated omics

studies of combined stresses imposed during reproductive stages of crop development are warranted.

DNA cytosine methylation and histone modifications such as methylation and acetylation affect transcription especially in response to changes in environment (Mirouze and Paszkowski 2011). Epigenetic modifications involving chromatin-regulated gene activation govern priming responses (Conrath 2011) and widespread alterations in DNA methylation have been reported in response to biotic and abiotic stresses (Bilichak et al. 2012; Dowen et al. 2012). The knowledge of epigenetic modifications in the wake of combined stresses is relatively unknown and is worthy of further investigations. It has been speculated that epigenetic modifications in response to a stress may predispose plants to a subsequent stress by either sensitizing or desensitizing. Such acclimation/predisposition may provide a novel avenue for preparing seeds for stressful environments (Kissoudis et al. 2014).

#### 1.5 Phenotypic Responses to Stresses

From an agronomic point of view, the definition of plant sensitivity to stresses can be misleading. For example, crops can be sensitive to ozone with reference to visible foliar damage at early stages of growth but may not have a net impact on the grain yield during harvest. In rice and wheat, plants with least visible foliar symptoms showed maximum yield losses (Picchi et al. 2010; Sawada and Kohno 2009) and this was explained on the basis of stomatal closure response. Cultivars in which ozone causes stomatal closure prevent the influx of ozone and reduce the extent of foliar injury. Thus, based on the damage to leaves, these cultivars are resistant to ozone. However, prolonged stomatal closure affects carbon fixation and in turn the amount of assimilates required for grain filling. Thus, with reference to yield these cultivars are ozone sensitive. Other mechanisms for the negative effect of ozone could be due to the reduction of new growth (McKee and Long 2001), reduced root biomass (Grantz et al. 2006), reduced phloem translocation efficiency, or reduced carbon portioning to grains over synthesis of protective chemicals (Betzelberger et al. 2010).

It is important to understand the differences between sensitive and resistant responses that can differ depending on the stress. Let us consider the example of ozone exposure. The visible injury symptoms due to ozone are mostly assessed by damage to foliage. In sensitive plants, they appear as small chlorotic or necrotic lesions on leaves that can coalesce into larger patches of injured area, and such leaves usually senesce early. This reduces the effective biomass that in turn will take a toll on crop yields (Wilkinson et al. 2012). The same necrotic lesions on the foliage in response to avirulent pathogen infections are termed as hypersensitive response and the plant is considered to be resistant to the pathogen. The characterization of the same phenotype as being resistant with respect to one stress and as sensitive response to another stress is important to bear in mind while considering the combination of biotic and abiotic stresses.

#### 1.6 Contrasts Between Laboratory and Field Studies

In several recent reviews, the limitations of single stress studies in controlled conditions compared to field conditions have been examined (Mittler and Blumwald 2010; Suzuki et al. 2014). The study of combined stresses in the laboratory is advocated so that the molecular pathways for tolerance to stresses that prevail under field condition can be identified. Most of the studies on combined stresses so far have been conducted under growth chamber or greenhouse conditions (Suzuki et al. 2014). Here, we have contrasted the combined stress studies in laboratory conditions versus the field conditions (Fig. 1.5). Combined stresses dealing with edaphic factors can be conducted effectively in greenhouse conditions. This includes the combinations of drought and nutrients, drought and salinity, drought and soil pathogen/pests such as nematodes. Combined stress experiments that involve interactions between climate change factors including CO<sub>2</sub>, ozone, and temperature extremes (heat or cold) are ideal for growth-chamber studies. But the main constraint here is the number of large-sized plants that can be accommodated in such chambers. If greenhouse space and infrastructure for regulating gaseous mixtures (for example, CO<sub>2</sub> and ozone) are available, it provides an ideal platform for conducting controlled combined stress analysis of climate change variables and edaphic factors. Several reviews have examined the advantages and disadvantages of open-top chambers (OTCs), FACE systems, and screen-aided CO<sub>2</sub> control (SACC; Ainsworth et al. 2008; Li et al. 2007). Though FACE and OTCs provides an opportunity to examine the impact of climate change factors in actual field environment, it will be hard to use these facilities in combined stress scenarios such as drought or temperature stress. Rainout shelters can be constructed for studying drought in combination with other climate change factors in a FACE but may be expensive.



Growth chamber Controlled environmental conditions. Studies on stress treatment combinations are easy to conduct. No interactions with other weed plants, or insect pests

Uniform soil but limited soil volume

Most suitable for small sized plants like Arabidopsis, brachypodium, foxtail millet.



Green house Controlled environmental conditions. Only some stress treatment combinations are easy to conduct. No interactions with other weed plants, some insect pests possible

Uniform soil but limited soil volume – can be improved by using large sized pots

Can accommodate more number of plants compared to a growth chamber. Suitable for larger plants like soybeans, wheat, corn



Field studies Heterogeneous environmental conditions Plants are exposed to multiple stresses from germination to maturity Constant interactions with weeds, pests, and vagaries of nature

Soil physical properties maybe heterogeneous but soil volume is not limiting

Can accommodate large number of plants for providing robust assessment of phenotypes in any crop plants

Fig. 1.5 Comparisons between growth chamber, green house, and field studies for analyzing the effects of combined stresses in plants

#### 1.7 Advances in Phenomics

Following the enormous advances in the sequencing technologies, it has now become routine to sequence large collections of accessions or mapping populations in a plant species (Lam et al. 2010; Li et al. 2014a; Weigel and Mott 2009). The major bottleneck currently in utilizing the genome sequence deluge is the ability to procure reliable phenotype data. Over the past decade field, phenotyping has made rapid strides by utilizing remote-sensing technologies for crop monitoring (Furbank and Tester 2011). The field of phenomics described as a "high-throughput plant physiology" makes use of noninvasive imaging, infrared thermography, spectroscopy, robotics, image analysis, and high-performance computing. Several successful phenotyping screens for single stresses such as drought, UVB have been reported in model plant systems (Jansen et al. 2010; Woo et al. 2008) as well as in crop plants (Chapuis et al. 2012; Honsdorf et al. 2014; Sirault et al. 2009).

For UV stress and temperature extremes, the photosynthetic light-harvesting apparatus is often the first site of damage. UV stress can result in oxidative damage to the photosystems, perceived as a loss of efficiency of light harvesting, that can be exploited as a screening tool for tolerance to UVB exposure (Jansen et al. 2010). In the case of temperature extremes, the effects on photosynthesis and even changes in membrane lipid properties can lead to immediate effects on chlorophyll fluorescence (Armond et al. 1980).

Digital imaging in visible wavelength regions provides information on plant size, and also on the color of the plants. This information enables the quantification of senescence arising from nutrient deficiencies or toxicities, or pathogen infections. Germanium, a toxic analog of boron, was tested in a mapping population of barley to identify a Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) at the same locus as previously identified for boron tolerance using a visual score of symptoms (Schnurbusch et al. 2010).

Near-surface reflectance spectroscopy was used to monitor the leaf nitrogen and chlorophyll content and epoxidation state of xanthophyll cycle pigments in field-grown soybean plants exposed to ozone (Ainsworth et al. 2014). This study shows that the leaf optical properties can be monitored using remote-sensing techniques to assess ozone damage and provide a promising tool for elucidating ozone tolerance in plants.

The examples mentioned above demonstrate the utility of the phenomics tools for precisely monitoring the physiological impacts of single stresses such as drought, salinity, nutrient deficiency, and air pollutants. It is conceivable that these tools will be harnessed for the analysis of combined stresses in the future.

# **1.8** Strategies for Improving Tolerance to Combined Stresses

Two major strategies can be envisaged for improving the tolerance to combined stresses (Fig. 1.6). First strategy involves the meta-analysis of whole genome expression studies in response to various biotic and abiotic stresses that can be



Cisgenesis or transgenesis of desired genes or gene cassettes into commercial cultivars and advanced breeding lines

**Fig. 1.6** Strategies for building tolerance to combined stresses in plants. A compendium approach for identifying key regulatory factors or by pyramiding key genes important in co-occurring stress scenarios that can be transferred into desired cultivars by genetic engineering. Another strategy will be to use genome-wide association mapping to identify novel germplasm containing alleles favorable for imparting tolerance to combined stresses and use naturally occurring variation for developing cultivars with improved resistance to multiple stresses via marker-assisted breeding

accessed through programs like Genevestigator (Zimmermann et al. 2004). Recent advances in computational tools such as co-expression modules and machinelearning approaches provide novel means for identifying the candidate genes for engineering broad-spectrum resistance based on gene expression data (Shaik and Ramakrishna 2013, 2014). Genetic components that potentially regulate the resistance to multiple stresses will be utilized for developing transgenic crops. Examples of genes for this strategy include stress-inducible transcription factors, receptor-like kinases, flavonoid metabolism, redox homeostasis, and chromatin modifications.

The same meta-analysis strategy can be adapted for gene pyramiding that has been successfully deployed for resistance to various plant pathogens (Joshi and Nayak 2010). In the case of combined biotic and abiotic stresses, the pyramided genes can be defense genes such as R-genes, pre-invasion defenses (such as callose deposition), nonhost resistance genes in combination with genes in the hormone signaling pathways, antioxidant defenses, or ion homeostasis (Fig. 1.6; Kissoudis et al. 2014).

A second strategy for improving plant tolerance to combined stresses involves the screening of large collections of germplasm in conjunction with genome-wide association mapping (Huang and Han 2014). In recent years, genotyping data for large collections of crop germplasms are becoming available in the public domain (Hao et al. 2012; Li et al. 2013; Song et al. 2013; Yu and Buckler 2006; Zhang et al. 2014). A reliable phenotypic evaluation of germplasm to various stress combinations of interest can be performed. The genotypic information from public domain can be exploited to precisely identify genomic regions associated with the traits of interest. The recent assembly and characterization of association mapping panels in various crop plants, development of improved statistical methods, user-friendly tools for association mapping (e.g., GWAPP for *Arabidopsis*; TASSEL) and successful association of candidate genes have begun to realize the power of candidategene association mapping.

#### **1.9 Conclusions/Perspectives**

Studies of stress combinations that naturally occur under field conditions must be a priority for researchers working on abiotic and biotic stresses. Studies of such combined stresses should exploit the naturally occurring variation in the germplasm of crop plants to identify novel sources of resistance or tolerance. While imposing stress combinations, it is important to consider the plant developmental stages that can have the most detrimental agronomic consequences and conduct surveys of germplasm during these critical stages. Phenomic screening using noninvasive high-throughput phenotyping platforms will provide a wide spectrum of observations that span metabolic, physiological, and biochemical parameters. Though the initial costs are high for these setups, the long-term benefits are beyond comparison. Finally, integrating data from multiple omics platforms in conjunction with the phenotyping data will provide a cogent view of the responses to combined stresses in different genotypes. This is crucial for identifying the elite germplasm that can tolerate multiple stresses and provide maximum yields.

**Acknowledgments** This work was partially supported by the Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station. My sincere thanks to Dr. Yixing Wang for conducting the combined stress experiments in soybeans reported in this chapter.

#### References

- Agrawal SB, Rathore D, Singh A. Combined effects of enhanced ultraviolet-B radiation and mineral nutrients on growth, biomass accumulation and yield characteristics of two cultivars of Vigna radiata L. J Environ Biol. 2006;27:55–60.
- Ahmed IM, Dai H, Zheng W, Cao F, Zhang G, Sun D, et al. Genotypic differences in physiological characteristics in the tolerance to drought and salinity combined stress between Tibetan wild and cultivated barley. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2013a;63:49–60.
- Ahmed IM, Cao F, Zhang M, Chen X, Zhang G, Wu F. Difference in yield and physiological features in response to drought and salinity combined stress during anthesis in Tibetan wild and cultivated barleys. PLoS ONE. 2013b;8:e77869.
- Ahmed IM, Cao F, Han Y, Nadira UA, Zhang G, Wu F. Differential changes in grain ultrastructure, amylase, protein and amino acid profiles between Tibetan wild and cultivated barleys under drought and salinity alone and combined stress. Food Chem. 2013c;141:2743–50.
- Ainsworth EA, Leakey AD, Ort DR, Long SP. FACE-ing the facts: inconsistencies and interdependence among field, chamber and modeling studies of elevated [CO2] impacts on crop yield and food supply. New Phytol. 2008;179:5–9.
- Ainsworth EA, Serbin SP, Skoneczka JA, Townsend PA. Using leaf optical properties to detect ozone effects on foliar biochemistry. Photosynth Res. 2014;119:65–76.

- Alameda D, Anten NPR, Villar R. Soil compaction effects on growth and root traits of tobacco depend on light, water regime and mechanical stress. Soil Tillage Res. 2012;120:121–9.
- Albertine JM, Manning WJ. Elevated night soil temperatures result in earlier incidence and increased extent of foliar ozone injury to common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Environ Pollut. 2009;157:711–3.
- Allen LH Jr, Kakani VG, Vu JC, Boote KJ. Elevated CO2 increases water use efficiency by sustaining photosynthesis of water-limited maize and sorghum. J Plant Physiol. 2011;168:1909–18.
- Aloni B, Peet M, Pharr M, Karni L. The effect of high temperature and high atmospheric CO2 on carbohydrate changes in bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) pollen in relation to its germination. Physiol Plant. 2001;112:505–12.
- Alonso R, Elvira S, Gonzalez-Fernandez I, Calvete H, Garcia-Gomez H, Bermejo V. Drought stress does not protect *Quercus ilex* L. from ozone effects: results from a comparative study of two subspecies differing in ozone sensitivity. Plant Biol (Stuttgart). 2014;16:375–84.
- Amtmann A, Troufflard S, Armengaud P. The effect of potassium nutrition on pest and disease resistance in plants. Physiol Plant. 2008;133:682–91.
- Anderson JP, Badruzsaufari E, Schenk PM, Manners JM, Desmond OJ, Ehlert C, et al. Antagonistic interaction between abscisic acid and jasmonate-ethylene signaling pathways modulates defense gene expression and disease resistance in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell. 2004;16:3460–79.
- Armond PA, Bjorkman O, Staehelin LA. Dissociation of supramolecular complexes in chloroplast membranes. A manifestation of heat damage to the photosynthetic apparatus. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1980;601:433–43.
- Aroca R, Irigoyen JJ, Sanchez-Diaz M. Drought enhances maize chilling tolerance. II. Photosynthetic traits and protective mechanisms against oxidative stress. Physiol Plant. 2003;117:540–9.
- Atkinson NJ, Lilley CJ, Urwin PE. Identification of genes involved in the response of *Arabidopsis* to simultaneous biotic and abiotic stresses. Plant Physiol. 2013;162:2028–41.
- Bandurska H, Pietrowska-Borek M, Cieslak M. Response of barley seedlings to water deficit and enhanced UV-B irradiation acting alone and in combination. Acta Physiol Plant. 2012;34:161–71.
- Barnabas B, Jager K, Feher A. The effect of drought and heat stress on reproductive processes in cereals. Plant Cell Environ. 2008;31:11–38.
- Barnaby JY, Fleisher D, Reddy V, Sicher R. Combined effects of CO enrichment, diurnal light levels and water stress on foliar metabolites of potato plants grown in naturally sunlit controlled environment chambers. Physiol Plant. 2014. doi: 10.1111/ppl.12238.
- Behnke K, Ghirardo A, Janz D, Kanawati B, Esperschutz J, Zimmer I, et al. Isoprene function in two contrasting poplars under salt and sunflecks. Tree Physiol. 2013;33:562–78.
- Bengtsson M, Shen Y, Oki T. A SRES-based gridded global population dataset for 1990–2100. Popul Environ. 2006;28:113–31.
- Betzelberger AM, Gillespie KM, McGrath JM, Koester RP, Nelson RL, Ainsworth EA. Effects of chronic elevated ozone concentration on antioxidant capacity, photosynthesis and seed yield of 10 soybean cultivars. Plant Cell Environ. 2010;33:1569–81.
- Bhatia NP, Baker AJ, Walsh KB, Midmore DJ. A role for nickel in osmotic adjustment in drought-stressed plants of the nickel hyperaccumulator *Stackhousia tryonii* Bailey. Planta. 2005;223:134–9.
- Bilgin DD, Aldea M, O'Neill BF, Benitez M, Li M, Clough SJ, et al. Elevated ozone alters soybean-virus interaction. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2008;21:1297–308.
- Bilichak A, Ilnystkyy Y, Hollunder J, Kovalchuk I. The progeny of *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants exposed to salt exhibit changes in DNA methylation, histone modifications and gene expression. PLoS ONE. 2012;7:e30515.
- Biswas DK, Jiang GM. Differential drought-induced modulation of ozone tolerance in winter wheat species. J Exp Bot. 2011;62:4153–62.
- Biswas DK, Xu H, Li YG, Liu MZ, Chen YH, Sun JZ, et al. Assessing the genetic relatedness of higher ozone sensitivity of modern wheat to its wild and cultivated progenitors/relatives. J Exp Bot. 2008;59:951–63.

- Bobich EG, Barron-Gafford GA, Rascher KG, Murthy R. Effects of drought and changes in vapour pressure deficit on water relations of *Populus deltoides* growing in ambient and elevated CO2. Tree Physiol. 2010;30:866–75.
- Bohler S, Sergeant K, Jolivet Y, Hoffmann L, Hausman JF, Dizengremel P, et al. A physiological and proteomic study of poplar leaves during ozone exposure combined with mild drought. Proteomics. 2013;13:1737–54.
- Brosche M, Merilo E, Mayer F, Pechter P, Puzorjova I, Brader G, et al. Natural variation in ozone sensitivity among *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions and its relation to stomatal conductance. Plant Cell Environ. 2010;33:914–25.
- Brown JE, Lu TY, Stevens C, Khan VA, Lu JY, Wilson CL, et al. The effect of low dose ultraviolet light-C seed treatment on induced resistance in cabbage to black rot (*Xanthomonas campestris* pv. Canpestris). Crop Prot. 2001;20:873–83.
- Burgos A, Szymanski J, Seiwert B, Degenkolbe T, Hannah MA, Giavalisco P, et al. Analysis of short-term changes in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* glycerolipidome in response to temperature and light. Plant J. 2011;66:656–68.
- Caldwell CR. Modification of the cellular heat sensitivity of cucumber by growth under supplemental ultraviolet-B radiation. Plant Physiol. 1994;104:395–9.
- Campo S, Peris-Peris C, Montesinos L, Penas G, Messeguer J, San Segundo B. Expression of the maize ZmGF14-6 gene in rice confers tolerance to drought stress while enhancing susceptibility to pathogen infection. J Exp Bot. 2012;63:983–99.
- Casteel CL, O'Neill BF, Zavala JA, Bilgin DD, Berenbaum MR, Delucia EH. Transcriptional profiling reveals elevated CO2 and elevated O3 alter resistance of soybean (*Glycine max*) to Japanese beetles (*Popillia japonica*). Plant Cell Environ. 2008;31:419–34.
- Centritto M, Brilli F, Fodale R, Loreto F. Different sensitivity of isoprene emission, respiration and photosynthesis to high growth temperature coupled with drought stress in black poplar (*Populus nigra*) saplings. Tree Physiol. 2011;31:275–86.
- Chapuis R, Delluc C, Debeuf R, Tardieu F, Welcker C. Resiliences to water deficit in a phenotyping platform and in the field: how related are they in maize? Eur J Agron. 2012;42:59–67.
- Chen K, Hu G, Keutgen N, Janssesns MJJ, Lenz F. Effects of NaCl salinity and CO2 enrichment on pepino (*Solanum muricatum* Ait.). II. Leaf photosynthetic properties and gas exchange. Sci Hort. 1999;81:43–56.
- Chen LS, Li P, Cheng L. Effects of high temperature coupled with high light on the balance between photooxidation and photoprotection in the sun-exposed peel of apple. Planta. 2008;228: 745–56.
- Comont D, Winters A, Gwynn-Jones D. Acclimation and interaction between drought and elevated UV-B in *A. thaliana*: Differences in response over treatment, recovery and reproduction. Ecol Evol. 2012;2:2695–709.
- Conrath U. Molecular aspects of defence priming. Trends Plant Sci. 2011;16:524-31.
- Crafts-Brandner SJ, Salvucci ME. Rubisco activase constrains the photosynthetic potential of leaves at high temperature and CO2. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000;97:13430–5.
- Crous KY, Zaragoza-Castells J, Ellsworth DS, Duursma RA, Low M, Tissue DT, et al. Light inhibition of leaf respiration in field-grown *Eucalyptus saligna* in whole-tree chambers under elevated atmospheric CO2 and summer drought. Plant Cell Environ. 2012;35:966–81.
- Cvikrova M, Gemperlova L, Martincova O, Vankova R. Effect of drought and combined drought and heat stress on polyamine metabolism in proline-over-producing tobacco plants. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2013;73:7–15.
- de Jong CF, Takken FL, Cai X, de Wit PJ, Joosten MH. Attenuation of Cf-mediated defense responses at elevated temperatures correlates with a decrease in elicitor-binding sites. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2002;15:1040–9.
- de Silva ND, Cholewa E, Ryser P. Effects of combined drought and heavy metal stresses on xylem structure and hydraulic conductivity in red maple (*Acer rubrum* L.). J Exp Bot. 2012;63:5 957–66.
- del Amor FM, Cuadra-Crespo P, Walker DJ, Camara JM, Madrid R. Effect of foliar application of antitranspirant on photosynthesis and water relations of pepper plants under different levels of CO2 and water stress. J Plant Physiol. 2010;167:1232–8.

- del Carmen Martinez-Ballesta M, Bastias E, Carvajal M. Combined effect of boron and salinity on water transport: the role of aquaporins. Plant Signal Behav. 2008;3:739–48.
- Delatorre J, Pinto M, Cardemil L. Effects of water stress and high temperature on photosynthetic rates of two species of Prosopis. J Photochem Photobiol B. 2008;92:67–76.
- Demmig B, Winter K, Kruger A, Czygan FC. Zeaxanthin and the heat dissipation of excess light energy in *Nerium oleander* exposed to a combination of high light and water stress. Plant Physiol. 1988;87:17–24.
- Diaz M, de Haro V, Munoz R, Quiles MJ. Chlororespiration is involved in the adaptation of *Brassica* plants to heat and high light intensity. Plant Cell Environ. 2007;30:1578–85.
- Dowen RH, Pelizzola M, Schmitz RJ, Lister R, Dowen JM, Nery JR, et al. Widespread dynamic DNA methylation in response to biotic stress. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109:E2183–91.
- Duursma RA, Barton CV, Eamus D, Medlyn BE, Ellsworth DS, Forster MA, et al. Rooting depth explains [CO2] x drought interaction in *Eucalyptus saligna*. Tree Physiol. 2011;31:922–31.
- Estavillo GM, Crisp PA, Pornsiriwong W, Wirtz M, Collinge D, Carrie C, et al. Evidence for a SAL1-PAP chloroplast retrograde pathway that functions in drought and high light signaling in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell. 2011;23:3992–4012.
- Feng H, Li S, Xue L, An L, Wang X. The interactive effects of enhanced UV-B radiation and soil drought on spring wheat. S Afr J Bot. 2007;73:1334–44.
- Fontaine V, Cabane M, Dizengremel P. Regulation of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase in *Pinus* halepensis needles submitted to ozone and water stress. Physiol Plant. 2003;117:445–52.
- Furbank RT, Tester M. Phenomics-technologies to relieve the phenotyping bottleneck. Trends Plant Sci. 2011;16:635–44.
- Garcia-Sanchez F, Syvertsen JP, Martinez V, Melgar JC. Salinity tolerance of "Valencia" orange trees on rootstocks with contrasting salt tolerance is not improved by moderate shade. J Exp Bot. 2006;57:3697–706.
- Garrett KA, Dendy SP, Frank EE, Rouse MN, Travers SE. Climate change effects on plant disease: genomes to ecosystems. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 2006;44:489–509.
- Geissler N, Hussin S, Koyro HW. Elevated atmospheric CO2 concentration ameliorates effects of NaCl salinity on photosynthesis and leaf structure of *Aster tripolium* L. J Exp Bot. 2009;60:137–51.
- Geissler N, Hussin S, Koyro HW. Elevated atmospheric CO2 concentration enhances salinity tolerance in Aster tripolium L. Planta. 2010;231:583–94.
- Georgieva K, Sarvari E, Keresztes A. Protection of thylakoids against combined light and drought by a lumenal substance in the resurrection plant *Haberlea rhodopensis*. Ann Bot. 2010;105:117–26.
- Germ M, Kreft I, Stibilj V, Urbanc-Bercic O. Combined effects of selenium and drought on photosynthesis and mitochondrial respiration in potato. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2007;45:162–7.
- Gillespie KM, Xu F, Richter KT, McGrath JM, Markelz RJ, Ort DR, et al. Greater antioxidant and respiratory metabolism in field-grown soybean exposed to elevated O3 under both ambient and elevated CO2. Plant Cell Environ. 2012;35:169–84.
- Giraud E, Ho LH, Clifton R, Carroll A, Estavillo G, Tan YF, et al. The absence of ALTERNATIVE OXIDASE1a in *Arabidopsis* results in acute sensitivity to combined light and drought stress. Plant Physiol. 2008;147:595–610.
- Grantz DA, Gunn S, Vu HB. O3 impacts on plant development: a meta-analysis of root/shoot allocation and growth. Plant Cell Environ. 2006;29:1193–209.
- Grattan SR, Maas EV. Effect of salinity on leaf P accumulation and injury in soybean. I. Influence of varying CaCl2/NaCl. Plant Soil. 1988;109:65–71.
- Greer DH, Weedon MM. Interactions between light and growing season temperatures on, growth and development and gas exchange of Semillon (*Vitis vinifera* L.) vines grown in an irrigated vineyard. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2012;54:59–69.
- Gunasekera TS, Paul ND, Ayres PG. The effects of ultraviolet-B (UV-B: 290-320 nm) radiation on blister blight disease of tea (*Camellia sinensis*). Plant Pathol (Oxford). 1997;46:179–85.
- Haghjou MM, Shariati M, Smirnoff N. The effect of acute high light and low temperature stresses on the ascorbate-glutathione cycle and superoxide dismutase activity in two *Dunaliella salina* strains. Physiol Plant. 2009;135:272–80.

- Han Y, Wang L, Zhang X, Korpelainen H, Li C. Sexual differences in photosynthetic activity, ultrastructure and phytoremediation potential of *Populus cathayana* exposed to lead and drought. Tree Physiol. 2013;33:1043–60.
- Hao D, Cheng H, Yin Z, Cui S, Zhang D, Wang H, et al. Identification of single nucleotide polymorphisms and haplotypes associated with yield and yield components in soybean (*Glycine max*) landraces across multiple environments. Theor Appl Genet. 2012;124:447–58.
- Hartikainen K, Nerg AM, Kivimaenpaa M, Kontunen-Soppela S, Maenpaa M, Oksanen E, et al. Emissions of volatile organic compounds and leaf structural characteristics of European aspen (*Populus tremula*) grown under elevated ozone and temperature. Tree Physiol. 2009;29:1163– 73.
- Herbinger K, Tausz M, Wonisch A, Soja G, Sorger A, Grill D. Complex interactive effects of drought and ozone stress on the antioxidant defence systems of two wheat cultivars. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2002;40:691–6.
- Hewezi T, Leger M, Gentzbittel L. A comprehensive analysis of the combined effects of high light and high temperature stresses on gene expression in sunflower. Ann Bot. 2008;102:127–40.
- Honsdorf N, March TJ, Berger B, Tester M, Pillen K. High-throughput phenotyping to detect drought tolerance QTL in wild barley introgression lines. PLoS ONE. 2014;9:e97047.
- Huang X, Han B. Natural variations and genome-wide association studies in crop plants. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2014;65:531–51.
- Iyer NJ, Tang Y, Mahalingam R. Physiological, biochemical and molecular responses to a combination of drought and ozone in *Medicago truncatula*. Plant Cell Environ. 2013;36:706–20.
- Jambunathan N, Puckette M, Mahalingam R. Transcriptomic analysis of combined stresses in plants. In: Jain M, Brar DS, editors. Molecular techniques for crop improvement. New York: Springer; 2010. pp. 511–24.
- Jansen MA, Martret BL, Koornneef M. Variations in constitutive and inducible UV-B tolerance; dissecting photosystem II protection in *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions. Physiol Plant. 2010;138:22–34.
- Johnson SM, Lim FL, Finkler A, Fromm H, Slabas AR, Knight MR. Transcriptomic analysis of Sorghum bicolor responding to combined heat and drought stress. BMC Genomics. 2014;15:456.
- Joshi RK, Nayak S. Gene pyramiding—a broad spectrum technique for developing durable stress resistance in crops. Biotech Mol Biol. 2010;5:51–60.
- Kakani VG, Vu JC, Allen LH Jr, Boote KJ. Leaf photosynthesis and carbohydrates of CO(2)enriched maize and grain sorghum exposed to a short period of soil water deficit during vegetative development. J Plant Physiol. 2011;168:2169–76.
- Kanani H, Dutta B, Klapa MI. Individual vs. combinatorial effect of elevated CO2 conditions and salinity stress on *Arabidopsis thaliana* liquid cultures: comparing the early molecular response using time-series transcriptomic and metabolomic analyses. BMC Syst Biol. 2010;4:177.
- Kandianis CB, Michenfelder AS, Simmons SJ, Grusak MA, Stapleton AE. Abiotic stress growth conditions induce different responses in kernel iron concentration across genotypically distinct maize inbred varieties. Front Plant Sci. 2013;4:488.
- Karlsson PE, Medin EL, Wallin G, Sellden G, Skarby L. Effects of ozone and drought stress on the physiology and growth of two clones of Norway spruce (*Picea abies*). New Phytol. 1997;136:265–75.
- Karni L, Aloni B. Fructokinase and hexokinase from pollen grains of bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.): possible role in pollen germination under conditions of high temperature and CO2 enrichment. Ann Bot. 2002;90:607–12.
- Kasurinen A, Biasi C, Holopainen T, Rousi M, Maenpaa M, Oksanen E. Interactive effects of elevated ozone and temperature on carbon allocation of silver birch (*Betula pendula*) genotypes in an open-air field exposure. Tree Physiol. 2012;32:737–51.
- Kaya C, Kirnak H, Higgs D. The effects of high salinity (NaCl) and supplementary phosphorus and potassium on physiology and nutrition development of Spinach. Bulg J Plant Physiol. 2001;27:47–59.
- Keles Y, Oncel I. Response of antioxidative defence system to temperature and water stress combinations in wheat seedlings. Plant Sci. 2002;163:783–90.

- Kets K, Darbah JN, Sober A, Riikonen J, Sober J, Karnosky DF. Diurnal changes in photosynthetic parameters of *Populus tremuloides*, modulated by elevated concentrations of CO2 and/or O3 and daily climatic variation. Environ Pollut. 2010;158:1000–7.
- Kissoudis C, van de Wiel C, Visser RG, van der Linden G. Enhancing crop resilience to combined abiotic and biotic stress through the dissection of physiological and molecular crosstalk. Front Plant Sci. 2014;5:207.
- Kivimaenpaa M, Sutinen S, Karlsson PE, Sellden G. Cell structural changes in the needles of Norway spruce exposed to long-term ozone and drought. Ann Bot. 2003;92:779–93.
- Kleier C, Farnsworth B, Winner W. Photosynthesis and biomass allocation of radish cv. "Cherry Belle" in response to root temperature and ozone. Environ Pollut. 2001;111:127–33.
- Kornyeyev D, Logan BA, Payton P, Allen RD, Holaday AS. Enhanced photochemical light utilization and decreased chilling-induced photoinhibition of photosystem II in cotton overexpressing genes encoding chloroplast-targeted antioxidant enzymes. Physiol Plant. 2001;113:323–31.
- Koti S, Reddy KR, Reddy VR, Kakani VG, Zhao D. Interactive effects of carbon dioxide, temperature, and ultraviolet-B radiation on soybean (*Glycine max* L.) flower and pollen morphology, pollen production, germination, and tube lengths. J Exp Bot. 2005;56:725–36.
- Koussevitzky S, Suzuki N, Huntington S, Armijo L, Sha W, Cortes D, et al. Ascorbate peroxidase 1 plays a key role in the response of *Arabidopsis thaliana* to stress combination. J Biol Chem. 2008;283:34197–203.
- Kozlowska-Szerenos B, Bialuk I, Maleszewski S. Enhancement of photosynthetic O2 evolution in *Chlorella vulgaris* under high light and increased CO2 concentration as a sign of acclimation to phosphate deficiency. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2004;42:403–9.
- Kunz BA, Cahill DM, Mohr PG, Osmond MJ, Vonarx EJ. Plant responses to UV radiation and links to pathogen resistance. Int Rev Cytol. 2006;255:1–40.
- Labidi N, Ammari M, Snoussi S, Messelini N, Gharbi F, Abdelly C. Stimulated growth rate by restriction of P availability at moderate salinity but insensitive to P availability at high salinity in *Crithmum maritimum*. Acta Biol Hung. 2011;62:302–15.
- Lam HM, Xu X, Liu X, Chen W, Yang G, Wong FL, et al. Resequencing of 31 wild and cultivated soybean genomes identifies patterns of genetic diversity and selection. Nat Genet. 2010;42:1053–9.
- Lavola A, Nybakken L, Rousi M, Pusenius J, Petrelius M, Kellomaki S, et al. Combination treatment of elevated UVB radiation, CO and temperature has little effect on silver birch (*Betula pendula*) growth and phytochemistry. Physiol Plant. 2013.
- Leakey AD, Uribelarrea M, Ainsworth EA, Naidu SL, Rogers A, Ort DR, et al. Photosynthesis, productivity, and yield of maize are not affected by open-air elevation of CO2 concentration in the absence of drought. Plant Physiol. 2006;140:779–90.
- Lewis JD, Smith RA, Ghannoum O, Logan BA, Phillips NG, Tissue DT. Industrial-age changes in atmospheric [CO2] and temperature differentially alter responses of faster- and slower-growing eucalyptus seedlings to short-term drought. Tree Physiol. 2013;33:475–88.
- Li P, Bohnert HJ, Grene R. All about FACE-plants in a high-[CO2] world. Trends Plant Sci. 2007;12:87–9.
- Li QM, Liu BB, Wu Y, Zou ZR. Interactive effects of drought stresses and elevated CO2 concentration on photochemistry efficiency of cucumber seedlings. J Integr Plant Biol. 2008;50:1307– 17.
- Li H, Peng Z, Yang X, Wang W, Fu J, Wang J, et al. Genome-wide association study dissects the genetic architecture of oil biosynthesis in maize kernels. Nat Genet. 2013;45:43–50.
- Li JY, Wang J, Zeigler RS. The 3,000 rice genomes project: new opportunities and challenges for future rice research. Gigascience. 2014a;3:8.
- Li X, Ahammed GJ, Zhang YQ, Zhang GQ, Sun ZH, Zhou J, et al. Carbon dioxide enrichment alleviates heat stress by improving cellular redox homeostasis through an ABA-independent process in tomato plants. Plant Biol (Stuttgart). 2014b.
- Liu X, Wu H, Ji C, Wei L, Zhao J, Yu J. An integrated proteomic and metabolomic study on the chronic effects of mercury in Suaeda salsa under an environmentally relevant salinity. PLoS ONE. 2013;8:e64041.

- Lokhande SD, Ogawa K, Tanaka A, Hara T. Effect of temperature on ascorbate peroxidase activity and flowering of *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotypes under different light conditions. J Plant Physiol. 2003;160:57–64.
- Long SP, Ainsworth EA, Leakey AD, Morgan PB. Global food insecurity. treatment of major food crops with elevated carbon dioxide or ozone under large-scale fully open-air conditions suggests recent models may have overestimated future yields. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2005;360:2011–20.
- Long SP, Ainsworth EA, Leakey AD, Nosberger J, Ort DR. Food for thought: lower-than-expected crop yield stimulation with rising CO2 concentrations. Science. 2006;312:1918–21.
- Loreto F, Barta C, Brilli F, Nogues I. On the induction of volatile organic compound emissions by plants as consequence of wounding or fluctuations of light and temperature. Plant Cell Environ. 2006;29:1820–8.
- Loveys BR, Egerton JJ, Ball MC. Higher daytime leaf temperatures contribute to lower freeze tolerance under elevated CO2. Plant Cell Environ. 2006;29:1077–86.
- Lu Y. Intraspecific variation in drought response of *Populus cathayana* growth under ambient and enhanced UV-B radiation. Ann For Sci. 2009;66:613–24.
- Luedemann G, Matyssek R, Fleischmann F, Grams TE. Acclimation to ozone affects host/pathogen interaction and competitiveness for nitrogen in juvenile *Fagus sylvatica* and *Picea abies* trees infected with *Phytophthora citricola*. Plant Biology (Stuttgart). 2005;7:640–9.
- Madan P, Jagadish SV, Craufurd PQ, Fitzgerald M, Lafarge T, Wheeler TR. Effect of elevated CO2 and high temperature on seed-set and grain quality of rice. J Exp Bot. 2012;63:3843–52.
- Maenpaa M, Riikonen J, Kontunen-Soppela S, Rousi M, Oksanen E. Vertical profiles reveal impact of ozone and temperature on carbon assimilation of *Betula pendula* and *Populus tremula*. Tree Physiol. 2011;31:808–18.
- Maggio A, Chiaranda FQ, Cefariello R, Fagnano M. Responses to ozone pollution of alfalfa exposed to increasing salinity levels. Environ Pollut. 2009;157:1445–52.
- Mahoney SR, Ghosh S, Peirson D, Dumbroff EB. Paclobutrazol affects the resistance of black spruce to high light and thermal stress. Tree Physiol. 1998;18:121–7.
- Makumburage GB, Stapleton AE. Phenotype uniformity in combined-stress environments has a different genetic architecture than in single-stress treatments. Front Plant Sci. 2011;2:12.
- Makumburage GB, Richbourg HL, LaTorre KD, Capps A, Chen C, Stapleton AE. Genotype to phenotype maps: multiple input abiotic signals combine to produce growth effects via attenuating signaling interactions in maize. G3 (Bethesda). 2013;3:2195–204.
- Malthus T. An essay on the principle of population. London: Murray; 1817.
- Manes F, Donato E, Vitale M. Physiological response of *Pinus halepensis* needles under ozone and water stress conditions. Physiol Plant. 2001;113:249–57.
- Masojidek J, Trivedi S, Halshaw L, Alexiou A, Hall DO. The synergistic effect of drought and light stresses in sorghum and pearl millet. Plant Physiol. 1991;96:198–207.
- Mateos-Naranjo E, Redondo-Gomez S, Andrades-Moreno L, Davy AJ. Growth and photosynthetic responses of the cordgrass *Spartina maritima* to CO2 enrichment and salinity. Chemosphere. 2010a;81:725–31.
- Mateos-Naranjo E, Redondo-Gomez S, Alvarez R, Cambrolle J, Gandullo J, Figueroa ME. Synergic effect of salinity and CO2 enrichment on growth and photosynthetic responses of the invasive cordgrass *Spartina densiflora*. J Exp Bot. 2010b;61:1643–54.
- Mavrogianopoulos GN, Spanakin J, Tsikalas P. Effect of carbon dioxide enrichment and salinity on photosynthesis and yield in melon. Sci Hortic. 1999;79:51–63.
- McKee IF, Long SP. Plant growth regulators control ozone damage to wheat yield. New Phytol. 2001;152:41–51.
- Medeiros JS, Ward JK. Increasing atmospheric [CO2] from glacial to future concentrations affects drought tolerance via impacts on leaves, xylem and their integrated function. New Phytol. 2013;199:738–48.
- Melgar JC, Syvertsen JP, Garcia-Sanchez F. Can elevated CO(2) improve salt tolerance in olive trees? J Plant Physiol. 2008;165:631–40.

- Mirouze M, Paszkowski J. Epigenetic contribution to stress adaptation in plants. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2011;14:267–74.
- Mishra AK, Rai R, Agrawal SB. Individual and interactive effects of elevated carbon dioxide and ozone on tropical wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars with special emphasis on ROS generation and activation of antioxidant defence system. Indian J Biochem Biophys. 2013;50:139–49.
- Mittler R. Abiotic stress, the field environment and stress combination. Trends Plant Sci. 2006;11:15–9.
- Mittler R, Blumwald E. Genetic engineering for modern agriculture: challenges and perspectives. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2010;61:443–62.
- Monneveux P, Pastenes C, Reynolds MP. Limitations to photosynthesis under light and heat stress in three high-yielding wheat genotypes. J Plant Physiol. 2003;160:657–66.
- Munns R, Tester M. Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2008;59:651-81.
- Munoz R, Quiles MJ. Water deficit and heat affect the tolerance to high illumination in hibiscus plants. Int J Mol Sci. 2013;14:5432–44.
- Nanasato Y, Akashi K, Yokota A. Co-expression of cytochrome b561 and ascorbate oxidase in leaves of wild watermelon under drought and high light conditions. Plant Cell Physiol. 2005;46:1515–24.
- Niziolek OK, Berenbaum MR, Delucia EH. Impact of elevated CO2 and increased temperature on Japanese beetle herbivory. Insect Sci. 2013;20:513–23.
- Nogues S, Allen DJ, Morison JI, Baker NR. Ultraviolet-B radiation effects on water relations, leaf development, and photosynthesis in droughted pea plants. Plant Physiol. 1998;117:173–81.
- Nunn AJ, Wieser G, Metzger U, Low M, Wipfler P, Haberle KH, et al. Exemplifying whole-plant ozone uptake in adult forest trees of contrasting species and site conditions. Environ Pollut. 2007;146:629–39.
- Oliveira VF, Silva EA, Zaidan LB, Carvalho MA. Effects of elevated CO2 concentration and water deficit on fructan metabolism in *Viguiera discolor* Baker. Plant Biology (Stuttgart). 2013;15:471–82.
- Paakkonen E, Vahala J, Pohjola M, Holopainen T, Karenlampi L. Physiological, stomatal and ultrastructural ozone responses in birch (*Betula pendula* Roth) are modified by water stress. Plant Cell Environ. 1998;21:671–84.
- Paoletti E, Grulke NE. Ozone exposure and stomatal sluggishness in different plant physiognomic classes. Environ Pollut. 2010;158:2664–71.
- Paredes M, Quiles MJ. Stimulation of chlororespiration by drought under heat and high illumination in *Rosa meillandina*. J Plant Physiol. 2013;170:165–71.
- Payton P, Webb R, Kornyeyev D, Allen R, Holaday AS. Protecting cotton photosynthesis during moderate chilling at high light intensity by increasing chloroplastic antioxidant enzyme activity. J Exp Bot. 2001;52:2345–54.
- Perez-Lopez U, Robredo A, Lacuesta M, Sgherri C, Munoz-Rueda A, Navari-Izzo F, et al. The oxidative stress caused by salinity in two barley cultivars is mitigated by elevated CO2. Physiol Plant. 2009;135:29–42.
- Perez-Lopez U, Robredo A, Lacuesta M, Mena-Petite A, Munoz-Rueda A. Elevated CO2 reduces stomatal and metabolic limitations on photosynthesis caused by salinity in *Hordeum vulgare*. Photosynth Res. 2012;111:269–83.
- Peters G, Marland G, Le Quere C, Boden T, Canadell J, Raupach M. Rapid growth of CO2 emission after the 2008–2009 global financial crisis. Nat Clim Change. 2011;318:113–6.
- Picchi V, Iriti M, Quaroni S, Saracchi M, Viola P, Faoro F. Climate variations and phenological stages modulate ozone damages in field-grown wheat. A three-year study with eight modern cultivars in Po Valley (Northern Italy). Agric Ecosyst Environ 2010;135 310–7.
- Pliura A, Baliuckiene A, Baliuckas V. Phenogenetic response of silver birch populations and half-sib families to elevated ozone and ultraviolet-B radiation at juvenile age. Environ Pollut. 2008;156:152–61.
- Poulson ME, Boeger MR, Donahue RA. Response of photosynthesis to high light and drought for *Arabidopsis thaliana* grown under a UV-B enhanced light regime. Photosynth Res. 2006;90:79–90.

- Prasch CM, Sonnewald U. Simultaneous application of heat, drought, and virus to Arabidopsis plants reveals significant shifts in signaling networks. Plant Physiol. 2013;162:1849–66.
- Prasad PVV, Pisipati SR, Momcilovic I, Ristic Z. Independent and combined effects of high temperature and drought stress during grain filling on plant yield and chloroplast Ef-Tu expression in spring wheat. J Agron Crop Sci. 2011;197:430–41.
- Puckette MC, Weng H, Mahalingam R. Physiological and biochemical responses to acute ozoneinduced oxidative stress in *Medicago truncatula*. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2007;45:70–9.
- Quiles MJ. Stimulation of chlororespiration by heat and high light intensity in oat plants. Plant Cell Environ. 2006;29:1463–70.
- Rahman MS, Akter S, Al-Amin M. Temperature and saline stress on seedlings of Swietenia macrophylla: a comparative study. Pak J Biol Sci. 2013;16:1765–70.
- Ramegowda V, Senthil-Kumar M, Ishiga Y, Kaundal A, Udayakumar M, Mysore KS. Drought stress acclimation imparts tolerance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and *Pseudomonas syringae* in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. Int J Mol Sci. 2013;14:9497–513.
- Rampino P, Mita G, Fasano P, Borrelli GM, Aprile A, Dalessandro G, et al. Novel durum wheat genes up-regulated in response to a combination of heat and drought stress. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2012;56:72–8.
- Rasmussen S, Barah P, Suarez-Rodriguez MC, Bressendorff S, Friis P, Costantino P, et al. Transcriptome responses to combinations of stresses in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol. 2013;161: 1783–94.
- Riikonen J, Maenpaa M, Alavillamo M, Silfver T, Oksanen E. Interactive effect of elevated temperature and O3 on antioxidant capacity and gas exchange in *Betula pendula* saplings. Planta. 2009;230:419–27.
- Riikonen J, Kontunen-Soppela S, Ossipov V, Tervahauta A, Tuomainen M, Oksanen E, et al. Needle metabolome, freezing tolerance and gas exchange in Norway spruce seedlings exposed to elevated temperature and ozone concentration. Tree Physiol. 2012;32:1102–12.
- Riikonen J, Kontunen-Soppela S, Vapaavuori E, Tervahauta A, Tuomainen M, Oksanen E. Carbohydrate concentrations and freezing stress resistance of silver birch buds grown under elevated temperature and ozone. Tree Physiol. 2013;33:311–9.
- Rivero RM, Mestre TC, Mittler R, Rubio F, Garcia-Sanchez F, Martinez V. The combined effect of salinity and heat reveals a specific physiological, biochemical and molecular response in tomato plants. Plant Cell and Environ. 2014;37:1059–73.
- Rizhsky L, Liang HJ, Mittler R. The combined effect of drought stress and heat shock on gene expression in tobacco. Plant Physiol. 2002;130:1143–51.
- Rizhsky L, Liang HJ, Shuman J, Shulaev V, Davletova S, Mittler R. When defense pathways collide. The response of *Arabidopsis* to a combination of drought and heat stress. Plant Physiol. 2004;134:1683–96.
- Roden JS, Ball MC. The effect of elevated [CO2] on growth and photosynthesis of two *Eucalyptus* species exposed to high temperatures and water deficits. Plant Physiol. 1996;111:909–19.
- Rohrs-Richey JK, Mulder CP, Winton LM, Stanosz G. Physiological performance of an Alaskan shrub (*Alnus fruticosa*) in response to disease (*Valsa melanodiscus*) and water stress. New Phytol. 2011;189:295–307.
- Rollins JA, Habte E, Templer SE, Colby T, Schmidt J, von Korff M. Leaf proteome alterations in the context of physiological and morphological responses to drought and heat stress in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). J Exp Bot. 2013;64:3201–12.
- Sainz M, Diaz P, Monza J, Borsani O. Heat stress results in loss of chloroplast Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase and increased damage to photosystem II in combined drought-heat stressed *Lotus japonicus*. Physiol Plant. 2010;140:46–56.
- Sakurai G, Iizumi T, Nishimori M, Yokozawa M. How much has the increase in atmospheric CO2 directly affected past soybean production? Sci Rep. 2014;4:4978.
- Sales CR, Ribeiro RV, Silveira JA, Machado EC, Martins MO, Lagoa AM. Superoxide dismutase and ascorbate peroxidase improve the recovery of photosynthesis in sugarcane plants subjected to water deficit and low substrate temperature. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2013;73:326–36.

- Sardans J, Penuelas J. Drought changes the dynamics of trace element accumulation in a Mediterranean *Quercus ilex* forest. Environ Pollut. 2007;147:567–83.
- Sawada H, Kohno Y. Differential ozone sensitivity of rice cultivars as indicated by visible injury and grain yield. Plant Biol (Stuttgart). 2009;11(Suppl 1):70–5.
- Schmidt A-M, Ormrod DP, Livingston NJ, Misra S. The interaction of ultraviolet-B radiation and water deficit in two Arabidopsis thaliana genotypes. Ann Bot. 2000;85:571–5.
- Schnurbusch T, Hayes J, Hrmova M, Baumann U, Ramesh SA, Tyerman SD, et al. Boron toxicity tolerance in barley through reduced expression of the multifunctional aquaporin HvNIP2;1. Plant Physiol. 2010;153:1706–15.
- Schwanz P, Picon C, Vivin P, Dreyer E, Guehl JM, Polle A. Responses of antioxidative systems to drought stress in pendunculate oak and maritime pine as modulated by elevated CO2. Plant Physiol. 1996;110:393–402.
- Shaik R, Ramakrishna W. Genes and co-expression modules common to drought and bacterial stress responses in *Arabidopsis* and rice. PLoS ONE. 2013;8:e77261.
- Shaik R, Ramakrishna W. Machine learning approaches distinguish multiple stress conditions using stress-responsive genes and identify candidate genes for broad resistance in rice. Plant Physiol. 2014;164:481–95.
- Sharma PK, Singhal GS. Effect of water stress on primary photosynthetic process: interaction with light and temperature. Indian J Biochem Biophys. 1993;30:10–4.
- Shukla UC, Joshi PC, Kakkar P. Synergistic action of ultraviolet-B radiation and cadmium on the growth of wheat seedlings. Ecotoxicol Environ Safety. 2002;51:90–6.
- Shukla UC, Murthy RC, Kakkar P. Combined effect of ultraviolet-B radiation and cadmium contamination on nutrient uptake and photosynthetic pigments in *Brassica campestris* L. seedlings. Environ Toxicol. 2008;23:712–9.
- Sicher R. Combined effects of CO2 enrichment and elevated growth temperatures on metabolites in soybean leaflets: evidence for dynamic changes of TCA cycle intermediates. Planta. 2013;238:369–80.
- Sicher RC, Barnaby JY. Impact of carbon dioxide enrichment on the responses of maize leaf transcripts and metabolites to water stress. Physiol Plant. 2012;144:238–53.
- Silberbush M, Ben-Asher J. The effect of NaCl concentration on NO3, K and orthophosphate-P influx to peanut roots. Sci Hort. 1989;39:279–87.
- Silva EN, Ferreira-Silva SL, Fontenele Ade V, Ribeiro RV, Viegas RA, Silveira JA. Photosynthetic changes and protective mechanisms against oxidative damage subjected to isolated and combined drought and heat stresses in *Jatropha curcas* plants. J Plant Physiol. 2010;167:1157–64.
- Simon-Sarkadi L, Kocsy G, Varhegyi A, Galiba G, de Ronde JA. Genetic manipulation of proline accumulation influences the concentrations of other amino acids in soybean subjected to simultaneous drought and heat stress. J Agric Food Chem. 2005;53:7512–7.
- Singh SK, Kakani VG, Surabhi GK, Reddy KR. Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp.) genotypes response to multiple abiotic stresses. J Photochem Photobiol B. 2010;100:135–46.
- Sirault XRR, James RA, Furbank RT. A new screening method for osmotic component of salinity tolerance in cereals using infrared thermography. Funct Plant Biol. 2009;36:970–7.
- Slama I, Ghnaya T, Savoure A, Abdelly C. Combined effects of long-term salinity and soil drying on growth, water relations, nutrient status and proline accumulation of *Sesuvium portulacastrum*. C R Biol. 2008;331:442–51.
- Smith AB, Katz BW. U.S. billion-dollar weather and climate disasters: data sources, trends, accuracy and biases. Nat Hazards. 2013;67:387–410.
- Song Q, Hyten DL, Jia G, Quigley CV, Fickus EW, Nelson RL, et al. Development and evaluation of SoySNP50K, a high-density genotyping array for soybean. PLoS ONE. 2013;8:e54985.
- Song Y, Yu J, Huang B. Elevated CO2-mitigation of high temperature stress associated with maintenance of positive carbon balance and carbohydrate accumulation in Kentucky bluegrass. PLoS ONE. 2014;9:e89725.
- Srivastava G, Kumar S, Dubey G, Mishra V, Prasad SM. Nickel and ultraviolet-B stresses induce differential growth and photosynthetic responses in *Pisum sativum* L. seedlings. Biol Trace Elem Res. 2012;149:86–96.

- Sullivan JH, Teramura AH. Field study of the interaction between solar ultraviolet-B radiation and drought on photosynthesis and growth in soybean. Plant Physiol. 1990;92:141–6.
- Sun Z, Huve K, Vislap V, Niinemets U. Elevated [CO2] magnifies isoprene emissions under heat and improves thermal resistance in hybrid aspen. J Exp Bot. 2013;64:5509–23.
- Suzuki N, Rivero RM, Shulaev V, Blumwald E, Mittler R. Abiotic and biotic stress combinations. New Phytol. 2014;203:32–43.
- Szittya G, Silhavy D, Molnar A, Havelda Z, Lovas A, Lakatos L, et al. Low temperature inhibits RNA silencing-mediated defence by the control of siRNA generation. EMBO J. 2003;22: 633–40.
- Szucs A, Jager K, Jurca ME, Fabian A, Bottka S, Zvara A, et al. Histological and microarray analysis of the direct effect of water shortage alone or combined with heat on early grain development in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Physiol Plant. 2010;140:174–88.
- Takagi M, El-Shemy HA, Sasaki S, Toyama S, Kanai S, Saneoka H, et al. Elevated CO2 concentration alleviates salinity stress in tomato plant. Acta Agric Scand B Soil Plant Sci. 2009;59:87–96.
- Talbi Zribi O, Abdelly C, Debez A. Interactive effects of salinity and phosphorus availability on growth, water relations, nutritional status and photosynthetic activity of barley (*Hordeum vul*gare L.). Plant Biol (Stuttgart). 2011;13:872–80.
- Talbi Zribi O, Labidi N, Slama I, Debez A, Ksouri R, Rabhi M, et al. Alleviation of phosphorus deficiency stress by moderate salinity in the halophyte *Hrodeum maritimum* L. Plant Growth Regul. 2012;66:75–85.
- Tripathi R, Agrawal SB. Evaluation of changes in lipid peroxidation, ROS production, surface structures, secondary metabolites and yield of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) under individual and combined stress of ultraviolet-B and ozone using open top chambers. Indian J Biochem Biophys. 2013a;50:318–25.
- Tripathi R, Agrawal SB. Interactive effect of supplemental ultraviolet B and elevated ozone on seed yield and oil quality of two cultivars of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) carried out in open top chambers. J Sci Food Agric. 2013b;93:1016–25.
- Turtola S. Genotypic variation in drought response of willows grown under ambient and enahnced UV-B radiation. Environ Exp Bot. 2006;52:80–6.
- van de Staaij JW, Tonneijck AE, Rozema J. The effect of reciprocal treatments with ozone and ultraviolet-B radiation on photosynthesis and growth of perennial grass *Elymus athericus*. Environ Pollut. 1997;97:281–6.
- Van Vuuren DP, Meinshausen M, Plattner GK, Joos F, Strassmann KM, Smith SJ, et al. Temperature increase of 21st century mitigation scenarios. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105: 15258–62.
- Vasseur F, Pantin F, Vile D. Changes in light intensity reveal a major role for carbon balance in *Arabidopsis* responses to high temperature. Plant Cell Environ. 2011;34:1563–76.
- Vile D, Pervent M, Belluau M, Vasseur F, Bresson J, Muller B, et al. *Arabidopsis* growth under prolonged high temperature and water deficit: independent or interactive effects? Plant Cell Environ. 2012;35:702–18.
- Vollsnes AV, Eriksen AB, Otterholt E, Kvaal K, Oxaal U, Futsaether CM. Visible foliar injury and infrared imaging show that daylength affects short-term recovery after ozone stress in *Trifolium subterraneum*. J Exp Bot. 2009;60:3677–86.
- Wagg S, Mills G, Hayes F, Wilkinson S, Cooper D, Davies WJ. Reduced soil water availability did not protect two competing grassland species from the negative effects of increasing background ozone. Environ Pollut. 2012;165:91–9.
- Wang N, Fang W, Han H, Sui N, Li B, Meng QW. Overexpression of zeaxanthin epoxidase gene enhances the sensitivity of tomato PSII photoinhibition to high light and chilling stress. Physiol Plant. 2008;132:384–96.
- Wei J, Li C, Li Y, Jiang G, Cheng G, Zheng Y. Effects of external potassium (k) supply on drought tolerances of two contrasting winter wheat cultivars. PLoS ONE. 2013;8:e69737.

Weigel D, Mott R. The 1001 genomes project for Arabidopsis thaliana. Genome Biol. 2009;10:107.

Welfare K, Flowers TJ, Taylor G, Yeo AR. Additive and antagonistic effects of ozone and salinity on the growth, ion contents and gas exchange of five varieties of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Environ Pollut. 1996;92:257–66.

- Welfare K, Yeo AR, Flowers TJ. Effects of salinity and ozone, individually and in combination, on the growth and ion contents of two chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) varieties. Environ Pollut. 2002;120:397–403.
- Wen X, Qiu N, Lu Q, Lu C. Enhanced thermotolerance of photosystem II in salt-adapted plants of the halophyte Artemisia anethifolia. Planta. 2005;220:486–97.
- Wilkinson S, Mills G, Illidge R, Davies WJ. How is ozone pollution reducing our food supply? J Exp Bot. 2012;63:527–36.
- Wolfe MD, Tonsor SJ. Adaptation to spring heat and drought in northeastern Spanish Arabidopsis thaliana. New Phytol. 2014;201:323–34.
- Woo NS, Badger MR, Pogson BJ. A rapid, non-invasive procedure for quantitative assessment of drought survival using chlorophyll fluorescence. Plant Methods. 2008;4:27.
- Xiong L, Yang Y. Disease resistance and abiotic stress tolerance in rice are inversely modulated by an abscisic acid-inducible mitogen-activated protein kinase. Plant Cell. 2003;15:745–59.
- Xu P, Chen F, Mannas JP, Feldman T, Sumner LW, Roossinck MJ. Virus infection improves drought tolerance. New Phytol. 2008;180:911–21.
- Yalpani N, Enyedi AJ, Leon J, Raskin I. Ultraviolet-light and ozone stimulate accumulation of salicylic-acid, pathogenesis-related proteins and virus-resistance in tobacco. Planta. 1994;193:372–6.
- Yang S, Hua J. A haplotype-specific resistance gene regulated by BONZA11 mediates temperature-dependent growth control in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell. 2004;16:1060–71.
- Yang H, Shi Y, Liu J, Guo L, Zhang X, Yang S. A mutant CHS3 protein with TIR-NB-LRR-LIM domains modulates growth, cell death and freezing tolerance in a temperature-dependent manner in *Arabidopsis*. Plant J. 2010;63:283–96.
- Yang F, Jorgensen AD, Li H, Sondergaard I, Finnie C, Svensson B, et al. Implications of hightemperature events and water deficits on protein profiles in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv. Vinjett) grain. Proteomics. 2011;11:1684–95.
- Yang Y, Chen J, Liu Q, Ben C, Todd CD, Shi J, et al. Comparative proteomic analysis of the thermotolerant plant *Portulaca oleracea* acclimation to combined high temperature and humidity stress. J Proteome Res. 2012;11:3605–23.
- Ye L, Fu X, Ge F. Enhanced sensitivity to higher ozone in a pathogen-resistant tobacco cultivar. J Exp Bot. 2012;63:1341–7.
- York PH, Gruber RK, Hill R, Ralph PJ, Booth DJ, Macreadie PI. Physiological and morphological responses of the temperate seagrass *Zostera muelleri* to multiple stressors: investigating the interactive effects of light and temperature. PLoS ONE. 2013;8:e76377.
- Yu J, Buckler ES. Genetic association mapping and genome organization of maize. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2006;17:155–60.
- Zeppel MJ, Lewis JD, Medlyn B, Barton CV, Duursma RA, Eamus D, et al. Interactive effects of elevated CO2 and drought on nocturnal water fluxes in *Eucalyptus saligna*. Tree Physiol. 2011;31:932–44.
- Zhang M, Li G, Huang W, Bi T, Chen G, Tang Z, et al. Proteomic study of *Carissa spinarum* in response to combined heat and drought stress. Proteomics. 2010;10:3117–29.
- Zhang D, Song H, Cheng H, Hao D, Wang H, Kan G, et al. The acid phosphatase-encoding gene GmACP1 contributes to soybean tolerance to low-phosphorus stress. PLoS Genet. 2014;10:e1004061.
- Zhao M, Running SW. Drought-induced reduction in global terrestrial net primary production from 2000 through 2009. Science. 2010;329:940–3.
- Zhao H, Zhao Z, An L, Chen T, Wang X, Feng H. The effects of enhanced ultraviolet-B radiation and soil drought on water use efficiency of spring wheat. J Photochem Photobiol B. 2009;94:54–8.
- Zheng YF, Zhang JE, Wu RJ, Liu RN, Zhao Z, Hu CD, et al. Combination effects of enhanced UV-B radiation and O3 stress on photosynthetic characteristics of winter wheat. Huan Jing Ke Xue. 2011;32:3023–32.

- Zheng YH, Li X, Li YG, Miao BH, Xu H, Simmons M, et al. Contrasting responses of salinitystressed salt-tolerant and intolerant winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars to ozone pollution. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2012;52:169–78.
- Zhou Y, Lam HM, Zhang J. Inhibition of photosynthesis and energy dissipation induced by water and high light stresses in rice. J Exp Bot. 2007;58:1207–17.
- Zhu Y, Qian W, Hua J. Temperature modulates plant defense responses through NB-LRR proteins. PLoS Pathog. 2010;6:e1000844.
- Zimmermann P, Hirsch-Hoffmann M, Hennig L, Gruissem W. GENEVESTIGATOR. Arabidopsis microarray database and analysis toolbox. Plant Physiol. 2004;136:2621–32.
- Ziska LH. Growth temperature can alter the temperature dependent stimulation of photosynthesis by elevated carbon dioxide in *Albutilon theophrasti*. Physiol Plant. 2001;111:322–8.