

Chapter 12

Contrast Functions on Statistical Models

This chapter deals with some important examples of contrast functions on a space of density functions, such as: Bregman divergence, Kullback–Leibler relative entropy, f -divergence, Hellinger distance, Chernoff information, Jefferey distance, Kagan divergence, and exponential contrast function. The relation with the skewness tensor and α -connection is made. The goal of this chapter is to produce hands-on examples for the theoretical concepts introduced in Chap. 11.

12.1 A First Example

We start with a suggestive example of Bregman divergence. We show that the Kullback–Leibler relative entropy on a statistical model is a particular example of Bregman divergence.

Let $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$, where $\mathcal{X} = \{x^1, \dots, x^{n+1}\}$ and consider the global chart $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\phi(p) = (\ln p_1, \dots, \ln p_n) = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n),$$

with the parameter space

$$\mathbb{E} = \{(\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n); \xi^k > 0, \sum_{k=1}^n \xi^k < 1\}.$$

The contrast function on \mathcal{S} is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\mathcal{S}}(p||q) &= D_{\mathcal{S}}(\phi^{-1}(p)||\phi^{-1}(q)) \\ &= D(\xi_1||\xi_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $D(\cdot||\cdot)$ is the Bregman divergence on \mathbb{E} induced by the convex function $\varphi(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\xi_i}$, i.e.,

$$D(\xi_1||\xi_2) = \varphi(\xi_2) - \varphi(\xi_1) - \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i \varphi(\xi_1)(\xi_2^i - \xi_1^i).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\mathcal{S}}(p||q) &= D(\xi_1||\xi_2) \\ &= \sum_i e^{\xi_2^i} - \sum_i e^{\xi_1^i} - \sum_i e^{\xi_1^i}(\xi_2^i - \xi_1^i) \\ &= \sum_i p_i - \sum_i q_i - \sum_i p_i \ln \frac{q_i}{p_i} \\ &= \sum_i p_i \ln \frac{p_i}{q_i} = D_{KL}(p||q). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the induced contrast function $D_{\mathcal{S}}$ on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ in this case is the Kullback–Leibler relative entropy.

12.2 f -Divergence

An important class of contrast functions on statistical models was introduced by Csiszár [31, 32]. Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying the following conditions

(a) f is convex;

(b) $f(1) = 0$;

(c) $f''(1) = 1$.

For each probability distributions p, q , consider

$$D_f(p||q) = E_p \left[f \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right) \right] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) f \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right) dx. \quad (12.2.1)$$

We shall assume that the previous integral converges and we can differentiate under the integral sign.

Proposition 12.2.1 *The operator $D_f(\cdot || \cdot)$ is a contrast function on the statistical model $\mathcal{S} = \{p_\xi\}$.*

Proof: We check the properties of a contrast function.

(i) positive: Jensen's inequality applied to the convex function f provides

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(p||q) &= E_p \left[f \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right) \right] \geq f \left(E_p \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] \right) \\ &= f \left(\int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} dx \right) = f(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) non-degenerate: Let $p \neq q$. Since f is strictly convex at 1, then

$$D_f(p||q) = E_p \left[f \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right) \right] > f \left(E_p \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] \right) = f(1) = 0,$$

and hence $D(p||q) \neq 0$, which implies the non-degenerateness.

(iii) The vanishing property of the first variation along the diagonal $\{\xi_1 = \xi_2\}$ is a consequence of (i) and (ii).

(iv) Let $p = p_{\xi_0}$ and $q = p_\xi$. We shall compute the Hessian of

$$D_f(p_{\xi_0} || p_\xi) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_{\xi_0}(x) f \left(\frac{p_\xi(x)}{p_{\xi_0}(x)} \right) dx \tag{12.2.2}$$

along the diagonal $\xi^0 = \xi$. Differentiating we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\xi^j} f \left(\frac{p_\xi}{p_{\xi_0}} \right) &= f' \left(\frac{p_\xi}{p_{\xi_0}} \right) \frac{1}{p_{\xi_0}} \partial_{\xi^j} p_\xi \\ \partial_{\xi^i} \partial_{\xi^j} f \left(\frac{p_\xi}{p_{\xi_0}} \right) &= f'' \left(\frac{p_\xi}{p_{\xi_0}} \right) \left(\frac{p_\xi}{p_{\xi_0}} \right)^2 \partial_{\xi^i} (\ln p_\xi) \partial_{\xi^j} (\ln p_\xi) \\ &\quad + f' \left(\frac{p_\xi}{p_{\xi_0}} \right) \frac{1}{p_\xi} \partial_{\xi^i} \partial_{\xi^j} p_\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating under the integral we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\xi^i} \partial_{\xi^j} D_f(p_{\xi_0} \| p_{\xi})|_{\xi=\xi_0} &= f''(1) \int p_{\xi_0} \partial_{\xi^i} \ln p_{\xi} \partial_{\xi^j} \ln p_{\xi} dx|_{\xi=\xi_0} \\ &\quad + f'(1) \partial_{\xi^i} \partial_{\xi^j} \int p_{\xi}(x) dx \\ &= f''(1) E_{\xi}[\partial_{\xi^i} \ell(\xi) \partial_{\xi^j} \ell(\xi)] \\ &= E_{\xi}[(\partial_{\xi^i} \ell)(\partial_{\xi^j} \ell)] = g_{ij}(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

which is strictly positive definite, since it is the Fisher–Riemann information matrix. Hence $D_f(\cdot \| \cdot)$ is a contrast function. ■

Theorem 12.2.2 *The Riemannian metric induced by the contrast function $D_f(\cdot \| \cdot)$ on the statistical model $\mathcal{S} = \{p_{\xi}\}$ is the Fisher–Riemann information matrix*

$$g_{ij}(\xi) = \partial_{\xi^i} \partial_{\xi^j} D_f(p_{\xi_0} \| p_{\xi})|_{\xi=\xi_0}.$$

Proof: It follows from the calculation performed in the part (iv) above. ■

Let $f^*(u) = uf\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(1) &= f(1) = 0 \\ f^{*''}(u) &= \frac{1}{u^3} f''\left(\frac{1}{u}\right) \geq 0 \\ f^{*''}(1) &= f''(1) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

then f^* satisfies properties (a)–(c), and hence $D_{f^*}(\cdot \| \cdot)$ is a contrast function, which defines the same Riemannian metric as $D_f(\cdot \| \cdot)$.

Proposition 12.2.3 *The contrast function $D_{f^*}(\cdot \| \cdot)$ is the dual of $D_f(\cdot \| \cdot)$.*

Proof: Consider the dual $D_f^*(p||q) = D_f(q||p)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{f^*}(p||q) &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) f^*\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} f\left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} q(x) f\left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right) dx \\ &= D_f(q||p) = D_f^*(p||q), \quad \forall p, q \in \mathcal{S}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $D_{f^*} = D_f^*$. ■

In the following we shall find the induced connections. Let $\nabla^{(f)}$ be the linear connection induced by the contrast function $D_f(\cdot||\cdot)$, and denote by $\Gamma_{ij,k}^{(f)}$ its components on a local basis.

Proposition 12.2.4 *We have*

$$\Gamma_{ij,k}^{(f)}(\xi) = E_\xi \left[(\partial_i \partial_j \ell - (f''''(1) + 1) \partial_i \partial_j \ell) \partial_k \ell \right]. \quad (12.2.3)$$

Proof: From formula (11.5.18) we find

$$\Gamma_{ij,k}^{(f)}(\xi) = -\partial_{\xi_0^i} \partial_{\xi_0^j} \partial_{\xi_0^k} D_f(p_{\xi_0} || p_\xi)|_{\xi=\xi_0}. \quad (12.2.4)$$

We shall compute the derivatives on the right side. Differentiating in (12.2.2) yields

$$\partial_{\xi^k} D_f(p_{\xi_0} || p_\xi) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f' \left(\frac{p_\xi}{p_{\xi_0}} \right) p_\xi \partial_{\xi^k} \ell(\xi) dx. \quad (12.2.5)$$

Before continuing the computation we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\xi_0^j} f' \left(\frac{p_\xi}{p_{\xi_0}} \right) &= f'' \left(\frac{p_\xi}{p_{\xi_0}} \right) \left(\frac{-p_\xi}{p_{\xi_0}} \right) \partial_{\xi_0^j} \ell(\xi_0) \\ \partial_{\xi_0^i} \partial_{\xi_0^j} f' \left(\frac{p_\xi}{p_{\xi_0}} \right) &= f''' \left(\frac{p_\xi}{p_{\xi_0}} \right) \frac{p_\xi^2}{p_{\xi_0}^2} \partial_{\xi_0^i} \ell(\xi_0) \partial_{\xi_0^j} \ell(\xi_0) \\ &\quad + f'' \left(\frac{p_\xi}{p_{\xi_0}} \right) \frac{p_\xi}{p_{\xi_0}} \partial_{\xi_0^i} \ell(\xi_0) \partial_{\xi_0^j} \ell(\xi_0) \\ &\quad - f'' \left(\frac{p_\xi}{p_{\xi_0}} \right) \frac{p_\xi}{p_{\xi_0}} \partial_{\xi_0^i} \partial_{\xi_0^j} \ell(\xi_0). \end{aligned}$$

Applying now $\partial_{\xi_0^i} \partial_{\xi_0^j}$ to (12.2.5), using the foregoing formulas, and taking $\xi_0 = \xi$, yields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\xi_0^i} \partial_{\xi_0^j} \partial_{\xi^k} D_f(p_{\xi_0} || p_\xi)|_{\xi=\xi_0} &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \left[(f''''(1) + f''(1)) p_\xi (\partial_{\xi^i} \ell) (\partial_{\xi^j} \ell) (\partial_{\xi^k} \ell) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - f''(1) (\partial_{\xi^i} \partial_{\xi^j} \ell) (\partial_{\xi^k} \ell) \right] dx \\ &= E_\xi \left[(\partial_{\xi^i} \partial_{\xi^j} \ell - (f''''(1) + 1) \partial_{\xi^i} \partial_{\xi^j} \ell) \partial_{\xi^k} \ell \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (12.2.4) we arrive at (12.2.3). ■

The relation with the geometry of α -connections is given below.

Theorem 12.2.5 *The connection induced by $D_f(\cdot || \cdot)$ is an α -connection*

$$\nabla^{(f)} = \nabla^{(\alpha)},$$

with $\alpha = 2f'''(1) + 3$.

Proof: It suffices to show the identity in local coordinates. Recall first the components of the α -connection given by (1.11.34)

$$\Gamma_{ij,k}^{(\alpha)} = E_{\xi} \left[\left(\partial_i \partial_j \ell + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \partial_i \ell \partial_j \ell \right) \partial_k \ell \right]. \quad (12.2.6)$$

Comparing with (12.2.3) we see that $\Gamma_{ij,k}^{(f)} = \Gamma_{ij,k}^{(\alpha)}$ if and only if $\alpha = 2f'''(1) + 3$. ■

We make the remark that $\nabla^{(f^*)} = \nabla^{(-\alpha)}$, which follows from the properties of dual connections induced by contrast functions. We shall show shortly that for any α there is a function f satisfying (a)–(c) and solving the equation $\alpha = 2f'''(1) + 3$.

Proposition 12.2.6 *The skewness tensor induced by the contrast function $D_f(\cdot || \cdot)$ is given in local coordinates by*

$$T_{ijk}^{(f)} = (2f'''(1) + 3) E_{\xi} [(\partial_i \ell)(\partial_j \ell)(\partial_k \ell)].$$

Proof: Using Theorem 12.2.5, formula (12.2.6) and the aforementioned remarks, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ijk}^{(f)} &= \Gamma_{ijk}^{(f^*)} - \Gamma_{ijk}^{(f)} = \Gamma_{ijk}^{(-\alpha)} - \Gamma_{ijk}^{(\alpha)} \\ &= E_{\xi} \left[\left(\partial_i \partial_j \ell + \frac{1+\alpha}{2} \partial_i \ell \partial_j \ell \right) \partial_k \ell \right] \\ &\quad - E_{\xi} \left[\left(\partial_i \partial_j \ell + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \partial_i \ell \partial_j \ell \right) \partial_k \ell \right] \\ &= \alpha E_{\xi} [(\partial_i \ell)(\partial_j \ell)(\partial_k \ell)] \\ &= (2f'''(1) + 3) E_{\xi} [(\partial_i \ell)(\partial_j \ell)(\partial_k \ell)]. \end{aligned}$$

■

12.3 Particular Cases

This section presents a few classical examples of contrast functions as particular examples of $D_f(\cdot || \cdot)$. These are constructed by choosing several examples of functions f that satisfy conditions (a)–(c) and

verify the equation $\alpha = 2f'''(1) + 3$. We make the remark that if f is such a function, then $f_c(u) = f(u) + c(u-1)$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$, is also a function that induces the same contrast function, $D_{f_c} = D_f$. Therefore, the correspondence between functions f and contrast functions is not one-to-one.

12.3.1 Hellinger Distance

Consider $f(u) = 4(1 - \sqrt{u})$ and the associated contrast function

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(p||q) &= 4 \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}}\right) dx = 4 \left(1 - \int_{\mathcal{X}} \sqrt{p(x)q(x)} dx\right) \\ &= 2 \left(2 - \int_{\mathcal{X}} 2\sqrt{p(x)q(x)} dx\right) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathcal{X}} \left(p(x) - 2\sqrt{p(x)q(x)} + q(x)\right) dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathcal{X}} (\sqrt{p(x)} - \sqrt{q(x)})^2 dx \\ &= H^2(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

$H(p, q)$ is called the *Hellinger distance*, and is a true distance on the statistical model $\mathcal{S} = \{p_\xi\}$. Since in this case $\alpha = 2f'''(1) + 3 = 0$, the linear connection induced by $H^2(p, q)$ is exactly the Levi-Civita connection, $\nabla^{(0)}$, on the Riemannian manifold (\mathcal{S}, g) .

Example 12.3.1 Consider two exponential distributions, $p(x) = \alpha e^{-\alpha x}$ and $q(x) = \beta e^{-\beta x}$, $x \geq 0$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(p, q) &= 4 - 4 \int_0^\infty \sqrt{p(x)q(x)} dx \\ &= 4 - 4\sqrt{\alpha\beta} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}x} dx \\ &= 4 - \frac{8\sqrt{\alpha\beta}}{\alpha + \beta}, \end{aligned}$$

hence the Hellinger distance is $H(p, q) = 2\sqrt{1 - \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha\beta}}{\alpha + \beta}}$.

The Hellinger distance can also be defined between two discrete distributions $p = (p_k)$ and $q = (q_k)$, replacing the integral by a sum

$$H(p, q) = 2 \left(1 - \sum_{k \geq 0} \sqrt{p_k q_k}\right)^{1/2} = \left(2 \sum_{k \geq 0} (\sqrt{p_k} - \sqrt{q_k})^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Example 12.3.2 Consider two Poisson distributions, $p_k = \frac{\alpha^k}{k!} e^{-\alpha}$ and $q_k = \frac{\beta^k}{k!} e^{-\beta}$, $k \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 0} \sqrt{p_k q_k} &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(\sqrt{\alpha\beta})^k}{k!} e^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} \\ &= e^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} e^{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(\sqrt{\alpha\beta})^k}{k!} e^{-\sqrt{\alpha\beta}} \\ &= e^{\sqrt{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the Hellinger distance becomes

$$H(p, q) = 2 \left(1 - \sum_{k \geq 0} \sqrt{p_k q_k} \right)^{1/2} = 2 \sqrt{1 - e^{\sqrt{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}}}.$$

12.3.2 Kullback–Leibler Relative Entropy

The contrast function associated with function $f(u) = -\ln u$ is given by

$$D_f(p||q) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) \ln \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx = D_{KL}(p||q),$$

which is the *Kullback–Leibler information* or the *relative entropy*. In this case $\alpha = 2f'''(1) + 3 = -1$, so the associated connection is $\nabla^{(-1)}$.

It is worthy to note that the convex function $f(u) = u \ln u$ induces the contrast function

$$D_f(p||q) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} q(x) \ln \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} dx = D_{KL}(q||p) = D_{KL}^*(p||q),$$

which is the dual of the *Kullback–Leibler information*, see [51, 53]. Since $\alpha = 2f'''(1) + 3 = 1$, the induced connection is $\nabla^{(1)}$.

12.3.3 Chernoff Information of Order α

The convex function

$$f^{(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha^2} \left(1 - u^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} \right), \quad \alpha \neq \pm 1$$

induces the contrast function

$$D^{(\alpha)}(p||q) = \frac{4}{1 - \alpha^2} \left\{ 1 - \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} q(x)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} dx \right\},$$

see Chernoff [27]. For the computation of $D^{(\alpha)}$ in the case of exponential, normal and Poisson distributions, see Problems 12.9., 12.10. and 12.11. We note that for $\alpha = 0$ we retrieve the squared Hellinger distance, $D^{(0)}(p||q) = H^2(p, q)$.

12.3.4 Jeffrey Distance

The function $f(u) = \frac{1}{2}(u - 1) \ln u$ induces the contrast function

$$\begin{aligned} J(p, q) &= D_f(p||q) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) \left(1 - \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right) \ln \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{X}} (p(x) - q(x)) (\ln p(x) - \ln q(x)) dx, \end{aligned}$$

see Jeffrey [47]. A computation shows that $\alpha = 0$, so the induced connection is the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{(0)}$. In fact, the Jeffrey contrast function is the same as the symmetric Kullback-Leibler relative entropy

$$\begin{aligned} J(p, q) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) \left(1 - \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right) \ln \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) \ln \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{X}} q(x) \ln \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(D_{KL}(p||q) + D_{KL}(q||p) \right). \end{aligned}$$

12.3.5 Kagan Divergence

Choosing $f(u) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - u)^2$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\chi^2}(p||q) &= D_f(p||q) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) \left(1 - \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{(p(x) - q(x))^2}{q(x)} dx, \end{aligned}$$

called the *Kagan contrast function*, see Kagan [48]. In this case $\alpha = 2f'''(1) + 3 = 3$, and therefore the induced connection is $\nabla^{(3)}$. It is worth noting the relation with the minimum chi-squared estimation

in the discrete case, see Kass and Vos [49], p.243. In this case the Kagan divergence becomes

$$D_{\chi^2}(p, q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2}{q_i}.$$

12.3.6 Exponential Contrast Function

The contrast function associated with the convex function $f(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\ln u)^2$ is

$$\mathcal{E}(p||q) = D_f(p||q) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) (\ln p(x) - \ln q(x))^2 dx.$$

The induced connection in this case is $\nabla^{(-3)}$.

We note that all function candidates of the form $f(u) = K(\ln u)^{2k}$ are convex, but the condition $f''(1) = 1$ is verified only for $k = 1, 2$ (with appropriate constants K).

12.3.7 Product Contrast Function with (α, β) -Index

The following 2-parameter family of contrast functions is introduced and studied in Eguchi [40]

$$D_{\alpha, \beta}(p||q) = \frac{2}{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)} \int \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \right\} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} \right\} dx,$$

and is induced by the function

$$f_{\alpha, \beta}(u) = \frac{2}{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)} (1 - u^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}})(1 - u^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}).$$

This connects to the previous contrast functions, see Problem 12.3.

It is worthy to note that the contrast function $D_{\alpha, \beta}(\cdot || \cdot)$ can be written as the following convex combination of Chernoff informations, see Problem 12.3, part (e).

We end this section with a few suggestive examples. The computations are left as exercises to the reader.

Example 12.3.1 Consider the statistical model $\mathcal{S} = \{p_\mu; \mu \in \mathbb{R}^k\}$, where

$$p_\mu(x) = (2\pi)^{-k/2} e^{-\frac{\|x-\mu\|^2}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

is a k -dimensional Gaussian density with $\sigma = 1$. Problem 12.4 provides exact formulas for the aforementioned contrast functions in terms of the Euclidean distance $\|\cdot\|$.

Example 12.3.2 (Exponential Model) Let $\mathcal{S} = \{p_\xi\}$, where

$$p_\xi = \xi e^{-\xi x}, \quad \xi > 0, x > 0.$$

A computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} D_{KL}(p_\xi || p_{\xi'}) &= \frac{\xi'}{\xi} - \ln \frac{\xi'}{\xi} - 1 \\ J(p_\xi, p_{\xi'}) &= \frac{(\xi' - \xi)^2}{2\xi\xi'} \\ H^2(p_\xi, p_{\xi'}) &= \frac{4(\sqrt{\xi} - \sqrt{\xi'})^2}{\xi + \xi'} \\ D^{(\alpha)}(p_\xi || p_{\xi'}) &= \frac{4}{1 - \alpha^2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\xi^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \xi'^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}}{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}\xi' + \frac{1-\alpha}{2}\xi} \right\} \\ D_{\chi^2}(p_\xi || p_{\xi'}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{\left(2 - \frac{\xi}{\xi'}\right) \frac{\xi}{\xi'}} - 1 \right] \\ \mathcal{E}(p_\xi || p_{\xi'}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\xi'}{\xi} - \ln \frac{\xi'}{\xi} - 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is worthy to note that all these contrast functions provide the same Riemannian metric on \mathcal{S} given by $g_{11} = \frac{1}{\xi^2}$, which is the Fisher information. The induced distance between p_ξ and $p_{\xi'}$ is a hyperbolic distance, i.e., $dist(p_\xi, p_{\xi'}) = \left| \ln \frac{\xi}{\xi'} \right|$.

12.4 Problems

12.1. Consider the exponential family

$$p(x; \xi) = e^{C(x) + \xi^i F_i(x) - \psi(\xi)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

with $\psi(\xi)$ convex function, and define

$$D(\xi_0 || \xi) = \psi(\xi) - \psi(\xi_0) - \langle \partial\psi(\xi_0), \xi - \xi_0 \rangle.$$

- Prove that $D(\cdot || \cdot)$ is a contrast function;
- Find the dual contrast function $D^*(\cdot || \cdot)$;
- Prove that the Riemann metric induced by the contrast function $D(\cdot || \cdot)$ is the Fisher–Riemann metric of the exponential family. Find a formula for it using the function $\psi(\xi)$;

- (d) Find the components of the dual connections $\nabla^{(D)}$ and $\nabla^{(D^*)}$ induced by the contrast function $D(\cdot||\cdot)$;
- (e) Show that the skewness tensor induced by the contrast function $D(\cdot||\cdot)$ is $T_{ijk}(\xi) = \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k \psi(\xi)$.

12.2. Prove that the Hellinger distance

$$H(p, q) = \sqrt{2 \int_{\mathcal{X}} (\sqrt{p(x)} - \sqrt{q(x)})^2 dx}$$

satisfies the distance axioms.

12.3. Consider the Eguchi contrast function

$$D_{\alpha, \beta}(p||q) = \frac{2}{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)} \int \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{p}{q} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \right\} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{p}{q} \right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} \right\} dx.$$

Let $H(\cdot, \cdot)$, $D^{(\alpha)}(\cdot||\cdot)$, $J(\cdot, \cdot)$, $\mathcal{E}(\cdot||\cdot)$ be the Hellinger distance, the Chernoff information of order α , the Jefferey distance, and the exponential contrast function, respectively. Prove the following relations:

- (a) $D_{0,0}(p||q) = H^2(p, q)$
- (b) $D_{-\alpha, \alpha}(p||q) = \frac{1}{2} (D^{(\alpha)}(p||q) + D^{(-\alpha)}(p||q))$
- (c) $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} D_{-\alpha, \alpha}(p||q) = J(p, q)$
- (d) $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow -1} D_{\alpha, \alpha}(p||q) = \mathcal{E}(p||q)$
- (e) $D_{\alpha, \beta}(p||q) = \lambda_1 D^{(-\alpha)} + \lambda_2 D^{(-\beta)} + \lambda_3 D^{\left(\frac{1-\alpha-\beta}{2}\right)},$

where

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1+\alpha}{2(1-\beta)}, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1+\beta}{2(1-\alpha)}, \quad \lambda_3 = -\frac{(\alpha+\beta)(2-\alpha-\beta)}{2(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)},$$

and show that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 1$.

12.4. Consider the statistical model defined by the k -dimensional Gaussian family, $\mathcal{S} = \{p_\mu; \mu \in \mathbb{R}^k\}$,

$$p_\mu(x) = (2\pi)^{-k/2} e^{-\frac{\|x-\mu\|^2}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

Prove the following relations:

$$(a) \quad D_{KL}(p_\mu || p_{\mu'}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mu - \mu'\|^2$$

$$(b) \quad J(p_\mu, p_{\mu'}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mu - \mu'\|^2$$

$$(c) \quad H^2(p_\mu, p_{\mu'}) = 4 \left[1 - e^{-\frac{\|\mu - \mu'\|^2}{8}} \right]$$

$$(d) \quad D^{(\alpha)}(p_\mu || p_{\mu'}) = \frac{4}{1 - \alpha^2} \left[1 - e^{-\frac{1 - \alpha^2}{8} \|\mu - \mu'\|^2} \right]$$

$$(e) \quad \mathcal{E}(p_\mu || p_{\mu'}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mu - \mu'\|^2 \left[1 + \frac{1}{4} \|\mu - \mu'\|^2 \right],$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^k .

12.5. Let $D_f(\cdot || \cdot)$ be the f -divergence. Prove the following convexity property

$$D_f(\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2 || \lambda q_1 + (1 - \lambda)q_2) \leq \lambda D_f(p_1 || q_1) + (1 - \lambda)D_f(p_2 || q_2),$$

$\forall \lambda \in [0, 1]$ and p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 distribution functions.

12.6. Prove the formulas for the contrast function in the case of the exponential distribution presented by Example 12.3.2.

12.7. Consider the normal distributions $p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}}$ and $q(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_2} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}}$.

(a) Show that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{p(x)q(x)} dx = \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma_1\sigma_2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}} e^{A - B},$$

where

$$A = \frac{\left(\frac{\mu_1}{2\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\mu_2}{2\sigma_2^2}\right)^2}{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2}}, \quad B = \frac{\mu_1^2}{4\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\mu_2^2}{4\sigma_2^2}.$$

(b) Find the Hellinger distance $H(p, q)$.

12.8. Find the Hellinger distance between two gamma distributions.

12.9. Consider two exponential distributions, $p(x) = ae^{-ax}$ and $q(x) = be^{-bx}$, $x \geq 0$. Show that the Chernoff information of order α is

$$D^\alpha(p||q) = \frac{4}{1-\alpha^2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2a^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} b^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}}{a(1-\alpha) + b(1+\alpha)} \right\}, \quad \alpha \neq \pm 1.$$

12.10. Consider the normal distributions $p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}}$ and $q(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_2} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}}$. Show that the Chernoff information of order α is

$$D^\alpha(p||q) = \frac{4}{1-\alpha^2} \left\{ 1 - A \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} e^{\frac{b^2}{4a} - c} \right\}, \quad |\alpha| < 1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{1-\alpha}{4\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1+\alpha}{4\sigma_2^2} \\ b &= \frac{\mu_1(1-\alpha)}{2\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\mu_2(1+\alpha)}{2\sigma_2^2} \\ c &= \frac{\mu_1^2(1-\alpha)}{4\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\mu_2^2(1+\alpha)}{4\sigma_2^2}. \end{aligned}$$

12.11. The Chernoff information of order α for discrete distributions (p_n) and (q_n) is given by

$$D^{(\alpha)}(p||q) = \frac{4}{1-\alpha^2} \left\{ 1 - \sum_{n \geq 0} p_n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} q_n^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} \right\}.$$

Let $p_n = \frac{\lambda_1^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda_1}$ and $q_n = \frac{\lambda_2^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda_2}$ be two Poisson distributions.

(a) Show that

$$D^{(\alpha)}(p||q) = \frac{4}{1-\alpha^2} \left\{ 1 - e^{\lambda_1^{(1-\alpha)/2} \lambda_2^{(1+\alpha)/2} - \lambda_1(1-\alpha)/2 - \lambda_2(1+\alpha)/2} \right\}.$$

(b) Show that the square of the Hellinger distance is given by

$$H^2(p, q) = 4 \left\{ 1 - e^{\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} - \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2}} \right\}.$$