

# Multidimensional Hilbert-Type Integral Inequalities and Their Operators Expressions

Bicheng Yang

**Abstract** In this chapter, by the use of the methods of weight functions and techniques of Real Analysis, we provide a general multidimensional Hilbert-type integral inequality with a non-homogeneous kernel and a best possible constant factor. The equivalent forms, the reverses and some Hardy-type inequalities are obtained. Furthermore, we consider the operator expressions with the norm, some particular inequalities with the homogeneous kernel and a large number of particular examples.

**Keywords** Multidimensional Hilbert-type integral inequality • Weight function • Equivalent form • Hilbert-type integral operator

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 26D15, 31A10, 47A07

## 1 Introduction

Suppose that  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $f(x), g(y) \geq 0$ ,  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}_+)$ ,  $g \in L^q(\mathbf{R}_+)$ ,

$$\|f\|_p = \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} > 0,$$

---

B. Yang (✉)

Department of Mathematics, Guangdong University of Education, Guangzhou,  
Guangdong 510303, People's Republic of China  
e-mail: [bcyang@gdei.edu.cn](mailto:bcyang@gdei.edu.cn); [bcyang818@163.com](mailto:bcyang818@163.com)

$\|g\|_q > 0$ . We have the following Hardy–Hilbert’s integral inequality (cf. [1]):

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \|f\|_p \|g\|_q, \tag{1}$$

where the constant factor  $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$  is the best possible. If  $a_m, b_n \geq 0, a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \in l^p, b = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l^q$ ,

$$\|a\|_p = \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^\infty a_m^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} > 0,$$

$\|b\|_q > 0$ , then we have the following discrete Hardy–Hilbert’s inequality with the same best constant  $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$  :

$$\sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \|a\|_p \|b\|_q. \tag{2}$$

Inequalities (1) and (2) are important in Analysis and its applications (cf. [1–6]).

In 1998, by introducing an independent parameter  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ , Yang [7] gave an extension of (1) for  $p = q = 2$ . In 2009 and 2011, Yang [3,4] gave some extensions of (1) and (2) as follows: If  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbf{R} = (-\infty, \infty), \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda, k_\lambda(x, y)$  is a nonnegative homogeneous function of degree  $-\lambda$  in  $\mathbf{R}_+^2$ , with

$$k(\lambda_1) = \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(t, 1)t^{\lambda_1-1} dt \in \mathbf{R}_+ = (0, \infty),$$

$$\phi(x) = x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1}, \psi(y) = y^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} (x, y \in \mathbf{R}_+),$$

$f(x), g(y) \geq 0$ , satisfying

$$f \in L_{p,\phi}(\mathbf{R}_+) = \left\{ f; \|f\|_{p,\phi} := \left\{ \int_0^\infty \phi(x)|f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

$g \in L_{q,\psi}(\mathbf{R}_+), \|f\|_{p,\phi}, \|g\|_{q,\psi} > 0$ , then we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) f(x)g(y) dx dy < k(\lambda_1) \|f\|_{p,\phi} \|g\|_{q,\psi}, \tag{3}$$

where the constant factor  $k(\lambda_1)$  is the best possible. Moreover, if  $k_\lambda(x, y)$  is finite and  $k_\lambda(x, y)x^{\lambda_1-1}(k_\lambda(x, y)y^{\lambda_2-1})$  is strict decreasing with respect to  $x > 0(y > 0)$ , then for  $a_m, b_n \geq 0$ ,

$$a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \in l_{p,\phi} = \left\{ a; \|a\|_{p,\phi} := \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty \phi(n) |a_n|^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

$b = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l_{q,\psi}, \|a\|_{p,\phi}, \|b\|_{q,\psi} > 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n) a_m b_n < k(\lambda_1) \|a\|_{p,\phi} \|b\|_{q,\psi}, \tag{4}$$

where the constant factor  $k(\lambda_1)$  is still the best possible.

Clearly, for  $\lambda = 1, k_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{x+y}, \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{q}, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{p}$ , (3) reduces to (1), while (4) reduces to (2). Some other results including multidimensional Hilbert-type integral inequalities are provided by Yang et al. [8], Krnić and Pečarić [9], Yang and Rassias [10, 11], Azar [12], Arpad and Choonghong [13], Kuang and Debnath [14], Zhong [15], Hong [16], Zhong and Yang [17], Yang and Krnić [18], and Li and He [19].

In this chapter, by the use of the methods of weight functions and techniques of real analysis, we give a general multidimensional Hilbert-type integral inequality with a nonhomogeneous kernel and a best possible constant factor. The equivalent forms, the reverses and some Hardy-type inequalities are obtained. Furthermore, we consider the operator expressions with the norm, some particular inequalities with the homogeneous kernel and a large number of particular examples.

## 2 Some Lemmas

If  $i_0, j_0 \in \mathbf{N}$  ( $\mathbf{N}$  is the set of positive integers),  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , we put

$$\|x\|_\alpha := \left( \sum_{k=1}^{i_0} |x_k|^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad (x = (x_1, \dots, x_{i_0}) \in \mathbf{R}^{i_0}),$$

$$\|y\|_\beta := \left( \sum_{k=1}^{j_0} |y_k|^\beta \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \quad (y = (y_1, \dots, y_{j_0}) \in \mathbf{R}^{j_0}).$$

**Lemma 1.** *If  $s \in \mathbf{N}, \gamma, M > 0, \Psi(u)$  is a nonnegative measurable function in  $(0, 1]$ , and*

$$D_M := \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; 0 < u = \sum_{i=1}^s \left( \frac{x_i}{M} \right)^\gamma \leq 1 \right\},$$

*then we have the following expression (cf. [6]):*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \cdots \int_{D_M} \Psi \left( \sum_{i=1}^s \left( \frac{x_i}{M} \right)^\gamma \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_s \\ &= \frac{M^s \Gamma^s \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \right)}{\gamma^s \Gamma \left( \frac{s}{\gamma} \right)} \int_0^1 \Psi(u) u^{\frac{s}{\gamma}-1} du. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

In view of (5) and the conditions, it follows that

(i) for

$$\mathbf{R}_+^s = \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; 0 < u = \sum_{i=1}^s \left( \frac{x_i}{M} \right)^\gamma \leq 1 (M \rightarrow \infty) \right\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \cdots \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^s} \Psi \left( \sum_{i=1}^s \left( \frac{x_i}{M} \right)^\gamma \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_s \\ &= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^s \Gamma^s \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \right)}{\gamma^s \Gamma \left( \frac{s}{\gamma} \right)} \int_0^1 \Psi(u) u^{\frac{s}{\gamma}-1} du; \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

(ii) for

$$\begin{aligned} & \{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \geq 1\} \\ &= \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \frac{1}{M^\gamma} < u = \sum_{i=1}^s \left( \frac{x_i}{M} \right)^\gamma \leq 1 (M \rightarrow \infty) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

setting  $\Psi(u) = 0 (u \in (0, \frac{1}{M^\gamma}))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \cdots \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \geq 1\}} \Psi \left( \sum_{i=1}^s \left( \frac{x_i}{M} \right)^\gamma \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_s \\ &= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^s \Gamma^s \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \right)}{\gamma^s \Gamma \left( \frac{s}{\gamma} \right)} \int_{\frac{1}{M^\gamma}}^1 \Psi(u) u^{\frac{s}{\gamma}-1} du; \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

(iii) for

$$\begin{aligned} & \{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \leq 1\} \\ &= \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; 0 < u = \sum_{i=1}^s \left( \frac{x_i}{M} \right)^\gamma \leq \frac{1}{M^\gamma} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

setting  $\Psi(u) = 0(u \in (\frac{1}{M^\gamma}, \infty))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \cdots \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \leq 1\}} \Psi \left( \sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{x_i}{M}\right)^\gamma \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_s \\ &= \frac{M^s \Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\gamma^s \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})} \int_0^{\frac{1}{M^\gamma}} \Psi(u) u^{\frac{s}{\gamma}-1} du. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

**Lemma 2.** For  $s \in \mathbf{N}, \gamma > 0, \varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\int \cdots \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \geq 1\}} \|x\|_\gamma^{-s-\varepsilon} dx_1 \cdots dx_s = \frac{\Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\varepsilon \gamma^{s-1} \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})}, \tag{9}$$

$$\int \cdots \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \leq 1\}} \|x\|_\gamma^{-s+\varepsilon} dx_1 \cdots dx_s = \frac{\Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\varepsilon \gamma^{s-1} \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})}. \tag{10}$$

*Proof.* By (7), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \cdots \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \geq 1\}} \|x\|_\gamma^{-s-\varepsilon} dx_1 \cdots dx_s \\ &= \int \cdots \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \geq 1\}} \left\{ M \left[ \sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{x_i}{M}\right)^\gamma \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right\}^{-s-\varepsilon} dx_1 \cdots dx_s \\ &= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^s \Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\gamma^s \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})} \int_{\frac{1}{M^\gamma}}^1 (Mu^{1/\gamma})^{-s-\varepsilon} u^{\frac{s}{\gamma}-1} du \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\varepsilon \gamma^{s-1} \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})}. \end{aligned}$$

By (8), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \cdots \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \leq 1\}} \|x\|_\gamma^{-s+\varepsilon} dx_1 \cdots dx_s \\ &= \int \cdots \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \leq 1\}} \left\{ M \left[ \sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{x_i}{M}\right)^\gamma \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right\}^{-s+\varepsilon} dx_1 \cdots dx_s \\ &= \frac{M^s \Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\gamma^s \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})} \int_0^{\frac{1}{M^\gamma}} (Mu^{1/\gamma})^{-s+\varepsilon} u^{\frac{s}{\gamma}-1} du = \frac{\Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\varepsilon \gamma^{s-1} \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have (9) and (10). The lemma is proved.

Note. By (9) and (10), for  $\delta = \pm 1$ , we have the following unified expression:

$$\int \cdots \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\beta^\delta \geq 1\}} \|x\|_\gamma^{-s-\delta\epsilon} dx_1 \cdots dx_s = \frac{\Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\epsilon \gamma^{s-1} \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})}. \tag{11}$$

**Definition 1.** If  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{i_0}) \in \mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}, y = (y_1, \dots, y_{j_0}) \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}, h(u)$  is a nonnegative measurable function in  $\mathbf{R}_+, \sigma \in \mathbf{R}, \delta \in \{-1, 1\}$ , then we define two weight functions  $\omega_\delta(\sigma, y)$  and  $\varpi_\delta(\sigma, x)$  as follows:

$$\omega_\delta(\sigma, y) := \|y\|_\beta^\sigma \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) \frac{dx}{\|x\|_\alpha^{i_0-\delta\sigma}}, \tag{12}$$

$$\varpi_\delta(\sigma, x) := \|x\|_\alpha^{\delta\sigma} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) \frac{dy}{\|y\|_\beta^{j_0-\sigma}}. \tag{13}$$

By (6), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\delta(\sigma, y) &= \|y\|_\beta^\sigma \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \frac{h\left(M^\delta \left[\sum_{i=1}^{i_0} \left(\frac{x_i}{M}\right)^\alpha\right]^{\frac{\delta}{\alpha}} \|y\|_\beta\right)}{M^{i_0-\delta\sigma} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{i_0} \left(\frac{x_i}{M}\right)^\alpha\right]^{\frac{i_0-\delta\sigma}{\alpha}}} dx \\ &= \|y\|_\beta^\sigma \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^{i_0} \Gamma^{i_0}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{i_0} \Gamma\left(\frac{i_0}{\alpha}\right)} \int_0^1 \frac{h\left(M^\delta u^{\frac{\delta}{\alpha}} \|y\|_\beta\right)}{M^{i_0-\delta\sigma} u^{\frac{i_0-\delta\sigma}{\alpha}}} u^{\frac{i_0}{\alpha}-1} du \\ &= \|y\|_\beta^\sigma \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^{\delta\sigma} \Gamma^{i_0}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{i_0} \Gamma\left(\frac{i_0}{\alpha}\right)} \int_0^1 h\left(M^\delta u^{\frac{\delta}{\alpha}} \|y\|_\beta\right) u^{\frac{\delta\sigma}{\alpha}-1} du. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $v = M^\delta u^{\frac{\delta}{\alpha}} \|y\|_\beta$  in the above integral, in view of  $\delta = \pm 1$ , we obtain

$$\omega_\delta(\sigma, y) = K_2(\sigma) := \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{i_0}{\alpha}\right)} k(\sigma), \tag{14}$$

where  $k(\sigma) = \int_0^\infty h(v) v^{\sigma-1} dv$ .

By (6), setting  $v = M \|x\|_\alpha^\delta u^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$ , we find

$$\varpi_\delta(\sigma, x) = \|x\|_\alpha^{\delta\sigma} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \frac{h\left(M \|x\|_\alpha^\delta \left[\sum_{j=1}^{j_0} \left(\frac{y_j}{M}\right)^\beta\right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\right)}{M^{j_0-\sigma} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{j_0} \left(\frac{y_j}{M}\right)^\beta\right]^{\frac{j_0-\sigma}{\beta}}} dy$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \|x\|_\alpha^{\delta\sigma} \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^{j_0} \Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \int_0^1 \frac{h\left(M \|x\|_\alpha^\delta u^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\right)}{M^{j_0-\sigma} u^{\frac{j_0-\sigma}{\beta}}} u^{\frac{j_0}{\beta}-1} du \\
 &= \|x\|_\alpha^{\delta\sigma} \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^\sigma \Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \int_0^1 h\left(M \|x\|_\alpha^\delta u^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\right) u^{\frac{\sigma}{\beta}-1} du \\
 &= K_1(\sigma) := \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} k(\sigma). \tag{15}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.** As the assumptions of Definition 1, for  $k(\sigma) \in \mathbf{R}_+$ ,  $p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , setting

$$\tilde{I} := \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}; \|x\|_\alpha^\delta \geq 1\}} \|x\|_\alpha^{\delta\sigma - \frac{\delta\varepsilon}{p} - i_0} \left[ \int_{\{y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}; \|y\|_\beta \leq 1\}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) \|y\|_\beta^{\sigma + \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - j_0} dy \right] dx, \tag{16}$$

then we have

$$\varepsilon \tilde{I} \geq \tilde{K}(\sigma) + o(1)(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+), \tag{17}$$

where  $\tilde{K}(\sigma) := L(\alpha, \beta)k(\sigma)$ ,

$$L(\alpha, \beta) := \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})}. \tag{18}$$

Moreover, if there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0)$ ,  $k(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , then we have

$$\varepsilon \tilde{I} = \tilde{K}(\sigma) + o(1)(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+). \tag{19}$$

*Proof.* For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , setting  $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma + \frac{\varepsilon}{q}$  and

$$H(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta) := \|x\|_\alpha^{\delta\tilde{\sigma}} \int_{\{y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}; \|y\|_\beta \leq 1\}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) \|y\|_\beta^{\tilde{\sigma} - j_0} dy,$$

in view of (16), it follows

$$\tilde{I} = \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}; \|x\|_\alpha^\delta \geq 1\}} \|x\|_\alpha^{-\delta\varepsilon - i_0} H(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta) dx.$$

Putting

$$\Psi(u) = h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta M u^{\frac{1}{\beta}}) M^{\tilde{\sigma}-j_0} u^{\frac{1}{\beta}(\tilde{\sigma}-j_0)},$$

by (8), we find

$$\begin{aligned} H(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta) &= \|x\|_\alpha^{\delta\tilde{\sigma}} \frac{M^{j_0} \Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{\frac{1}{M^\beta}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta M u^{\frac{1}{\beta}}) M^{\tilde{\sigma}-j_0} u^{\frac{1}{\beta}(\tilde{\sigma}-j_0)} u^{\frac{j_0}{\beta}-1} du \\ &= \|x\|_\alpha^{\delta\tilde{\sigma}} \frac{M^{\tilde{\sigma}} \Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \int_0^{\frac{1}{M^\beta}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta M u^{\frac{1}{\beta}}) u^{\frac{\tilde{\sigma}}{\beta}-1} du. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $v = \|x\|_\alpha^\delta M u^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$  in the above, it follows

$$L(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta) = \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \int_0^{\|x\|_\alpha^\delta} h(v) v^{\tilde{\sigma}-1} dv.$$

Putting  $\Psi(u) = M^{-\delta\varepsilon-i_0} u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}(-\delta\varepsilon-i_0)} H(M^\delta u^{\frac{\delta}{\alpha}})$ , for  $\delta = 1$ , by (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I} &= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^{i_0} \Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \int_{\frac{1}{M^\alpha}}^1 M^{-\varepsilon-i_0} u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}(-\varepsilon-i_0)} H(M u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) u^{\frac{i_0}{\alpha}-1} du \\ &= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^{-\varepsilon} \Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \int_{\frac{1}{M^\alpha}}^1 H(M u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) u^{\frac{-\varepsilon}{\alpha}-1} du \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \int_1^\infty H(t) t^{-\varepsilon-1} dt (t = M u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}); \end{aligned}$$

for  $\delta = -1$ , by (8), we still find that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I} &= \frac{M^{i_0} \Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \int_0^{\frac{1}{M^\alpha}} M^{\varepsilon-i_0} u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}(\varepsilon-i_0)} H(M^{-1} u^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}}) u^{\frac{i_0}{\alpha}-1} du \\ &= \frac{M^\varepsilon \Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \int_0^{\frac{1}{M^\alpha}} H(M^{-1} u^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}}) u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}-1} du \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \int_1^\infty H(t) t^{-\varepsilon-1} dt (t = M^{-1} u^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}}). \end{aligned}$$



Hence, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varepsilon \tilde{I} &= \varepsilon L(\alpha, \beta) \int_1^\infty t^{-\varepsilon-1} \int_0^t h(v)v^{\tilde{\sigma}-1} dv dt \\
 &= \varepsilon L(\alpha, \beta) \left[ \int_1^\infty t^{-\varepsilon-1} \int_0^1 h(v)v^{\tilde{\sigma}-1} dv dt \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_1^\infty t^{-\varepsilon-1} \int_1^t h(v)v^{\tilde{\sigma}-1} dv dt \right] \\
 &= \varepsilon L(\alpha, \beta) \left[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 h(v)v^{\tilde{\sigma}-1} dv dt + \int_1^\infty \left( \int_v^\infty t^{-\varepsilon-1} dt \right) h(v)v^{\tilde{\sigma}-1} dv \right] \\
 &= L(\alpha, \beta) \left[ \int_0^1 h(v)v^{\tilde{\sigma}-1} dv dt + \int_1^\infty h(v)v^{(\sigma-\frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1} dv \right]. \tag{20}
 \end{aligned}$$

By Fatou lemma (cf. [20]), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon \tilde{I} &= L(\alpha, \beta) \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left[ \int_0^1 h(v)v^{\tilde{\sigma}-1} dv dt + \int_1^\infty h(v)v^{(\sigma-\frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1} dv \right] \\
 &\geq L(\alpha, \beta) \left[ \int_0^1 \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} h(v)v^{\tilde{\sigma}-1} dv dt \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_1^\infty \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} h(v)v^{(\sigma-\frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1} dv \right] = L(\alpha, \beta)k(\sigma),
 \end{aligned}$$

and then (17) follows.

Moreover, for  $0 < \varepsilon < \delta_0 \min\{|p|, |q|\}$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\delta_0, \sigma + \frac{1}{2}\delta_0)$ , since

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(v)v^{\tilde{\sigma}-1} &\leq h(v)v^{(\sigma-\frac{1}{2}\delta_0)-1} (v \in (0, 1]), \\
 0 &\leq \int_0^1 h(v)v^{(\sigma-\frac{1}{2}\delta_0)-1} \leq k \left( \sigma - \frac{1}{2}\delta_0 \right) < \infty, \\
 h(v)v^{\tilde{\sigma}-1} &\leq h(v)v^{(\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\delta_0)-1} (v \in [1, \infty)), \\
 0 &\leq \int_1^\infty h(v)v^{(\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\delta_0)-1} \leq k \left( \sigma + \frac{1}{2}\delta_0 \right) < \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

by Lebesgue control convergence theorem (cf. [20]), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^1 h(v)v^{\tilde{\sigma}-1} dv &= \int_0^1 h(v)v^{\sigma-1} dv + o_1(1)(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+), \\
 \int_1^\infty h(v)v^{(\sigma-\frac{\varepsilon}{p})-1} dv &= \int_1^\infty h(v)v^{\sigma-1} dv + o_2(1)(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by (20), (19) follows. The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 4.** *As the assumptions of Definition 1, if  $p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i_0}) \geq 0$ ,  $g(y) = g(y_1, \dots, y_{j_0}) \geq 0$ , then*

(i) *for  $p > 1$ , we have the following inequality:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_1 &:= \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \frac{\|y\|_\beta^{p\sigma - j_0}}{[\omega_\delta(\sigma, y)]^{p-1}} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) f(x) dx \right)^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \varpi_\delta(\sigma, x) \|x\|_\alpha^{p(i_0 - \delta\sigma) - i_0} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}; \tag{21}
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *for  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , we have the reverse of (21).*

*Proof.* (i) For  $p > 1$ , by Hölder’s inequality with weight (cf. [21]), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) f(x) dx \\
 &= \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) \left[ \frac{\|x\|_\alpha^{(i_0 - \delta\sigma)/q} f(x)}{\|y\|_\beta^{(j_0 - \sigma)/p}} \right] \left[ \frac{\|y\|_\beta^{(j_0 - \sigma)/p}}{\|x\|_\alpha^{(i_0 - \delta\sigma)/q}} \right] dx \\
 &\leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) \frac{\|x\|_\alpha^{(i_0 - \delta\sigma)(p-1)}}{\|y\|_\beta^{j_0 - \sigma}} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) \frac{\|y\|_\beta^{(j_0 - \sigma)(q-1)}}{\|x\|_\alpha^{i_0 - \delta\sigma}} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &= [\omega_\delta(\sigma, y)]^{\frac{1}{q}} \|y\|_\beta^{\frac{j_0}{p} - \sigma} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) \frac{\|x\|_\alpha^{(i_0 - \delta\sigma)(p-1)}}{\|y\|_\beta^{j_0 - \sigma}} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{22}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by Fubini theorem (cf. [20]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_1 &\leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \left[ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) \frac{\|x\|_\alpha^{(i_0 - \delta\sigma)(p-1)}}{\|y\|_\beta^{j_0 - \sigma}} f^p(x) dx \right] dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &= \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \left[ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) \frac{\|x\|_\alpha^{(i_0 - \delta\sigma)(p-1)}}{\|y\|_\beta^{j_0 - \sigma}} dy \right] f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \varpi_\delta(\sigma, x) \|x\|_\alpha^{p(i_0-\delta\sigma)-i_0} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{23}$$

Hence, (21) follows.

(ii) For  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , by the reverse Hölder’s inequality with weight (cf. [21]), we obtain the reverse of (22). Then by Fubini theorem, we still can obtain the reverse of (21). The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 5.** *As the assumptions of Lemma 4, then*

(i) *for  $p > 1$ , we have the following inequality equivalent to (21):*

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) f(x)g(y) dx dy \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \varpi_\delta(\sigma, x) \|x\|_\alpha^{p(i_0-\delta\sigma)-i_0} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \omega_\delta(\sigma, y) \|y\|_\beta^{q(j_0-\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}; \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

(ii) *for  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , we have the reverse of (24) equivalent to the reverse of (21).*

*Proof.* (i) For  $p > 1$ , by Hölder’s inequality (cf. [21]), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \frac{\|y\|_\beta^{\frac{j_0}{q}-(j_0-\sigma)}}{[\omega_\delta(\sigma, y)]^{\frac{1}{q}}} \left[ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) f(x) dx \right] \\ &\quad \times \left[ [\omega_\delta(\sigma, y)]^{\frac{1}{q}} \|y\|_\beta^{(j_0-\sigma)-\frac{j_0}{q}} g(y) \right] dy \\ &\leq J_1 \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \omega_\delta(\sigma, y) \|y\|_\beta^{q(j_0-\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Then by (21), we have (24).

On the other hand, assuming that (24) is valid, we set

$$g(y) := \frac{\|y\|_\beta^{p\sigma-j_0}}{[\omega_\delta(\sigma, y)]^{p-1}} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) f(x) dx \right)^{p-1}, y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}.$$

Then it follows

$$J_1^p = \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \omega_\delta(\sigma, y) \|y\|_\beta^{q(j_0-\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy.$$

If  $J_1 = 0$ , then (21) is trivially valid; if  $J_1 = \infty$ , then by (23), (21) keeps the form of equality ( $= \infty$ ). Suppose that  $0 < J_1 < \infty$ . By (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \omega_\delta(\sigma, y) \|y\|_\beta^{q(j_0-\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy &= J_1^p = I \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \varpi_\delta(\sigma, x) \|x\|_\alpha^{p(i_0-\delta\sigma)-i_0} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \omega_\delta(\sigma, y) \|y\|_\beta^{q(j_0-\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \omega_\delta(\sigma, y) \|y\|_\beta^{q(j_0-\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \varpi_\delta(\sigma, x) \|x\|_\alpha^{p(i_0-\delta\sigma)-i_0} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

and then (21) follows. Hence, (21) and (24) are equivalent.

- (ii) For  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , by the same way, we can obtain the reverse of (24) equivalent to the reverse of (21). The lemma is proved.

### 3 Main Results and Operator Expressions

Setting

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\delta(x) &:= \|x\|_\alpha^{p(i_0-\delta\sigma)-i_0}, \\ \Psi(y) &:= \|y\|_\beta^{q(j_0-\sigma)-j_0} \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}, y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}), \end{aligned}$$

by Lemmas 3–5, it follows

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $\alpha, \beta > 0, \sigma \in \mathbf{R}, h(v) \geq 0$ ,*

$$k(\sigma) = \int_0^\infty h(v)v^{\sigma-1}dv \in \mathbf{R}_+,$$

$$K(\sigma) := \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} k(\sigma),$$

$\delta \in \{-1, 1\}, p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i_0}) \geq 0, g(y) = g(y_1, \dots, y_{j_0}) \geq 0,$

$$0 < \|f\|_{p, \Phi_\delta} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \Phi_\delta(x) f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|g\|_{q, \Psi} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \Psi(y) g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

(i) If  $p > 1$ , then we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $K(\sigma)$  :

$$I = \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) f(x) g(y) dx dy < K(\sigma) \|f\|_{p, \Phi_\delta} \|g\|_{q, \Psi}, \tag{26}$$

$$J := \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \|y\|_\beta^{p\sigma-j_0} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) f(x) dx \right)^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$< K(\sigma) \|f\|_{p, \Phi_\delta}; \tag{27}$$

(ii) if  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0), k(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , then we still have the equivalent reverses of (26) and (27) with the same best constant factor  $K(\sigma)$ .

*Proof.* (i) For  $p > 1$ , by the conditions, we can prove that (22) takes the form of strict inequality for a.e.  $y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}$ . Otherwise, if (22) takes the form of equality for a  $y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}$ , then there exist constants  $A$  and  $B$ , which are not all zero, such that

$$A \frac{\|x\|_\alpha^{(i_0-\delta\sigma)(p-1)}}{\|y\|_\beta^{j_0-\sigma}} f^p(x) = B \frac{\|y\|_\beta^{(j_0-\sigma)(q-1)}}{\|x\|_\alpha^{i_0-\delta\sigma}} \text{ a.e. in } x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}. \tag{28}$$

If  $A = 0$ , then  $B = 0$ , which is impossible; if  $A \neq 0$ , then (28) reduces to

$$\|x\|_{\alpha}^{p(i_0-\delta\sigma)-i_0} f^p(x) = \frac{B\|y\|_{\beta}^{q(j_0-\sigma)}}{A\|x\|_{\alpha}^{i_0}} \text{ a.e. in } x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{i_0},$$

which contradicts the fact that  $0 < \|f\|_{p,\Phi_{\delta}} < \infty$ . In fact, by (9) (for  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ ), it follows

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \|x\|_{\alpha}^{-i_0} dx \geq \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}; \|x\|_{\alpha} \geq 1\}} \|x\|_{\alpha}^{-i_0} dx = \infty.$$

Hence (22) still takes the form of strict inequality. By (14) and (15), we obtain (27).

Similarly to (25), we still have

$$I \leq J \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \|y\|_{\beta}^{q(j_0-\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{29}$$

Then by (29) and (27), we have (26). It is evident that by Lemma 5 and the assumptions, inequalities (27) and (26) are also equivalent.

For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we set  $\tilde{f}(x), \tilde{g}(y)$  as follows:

$$\tilde{f}(x) := \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < \|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta} < 1, \\ \|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta(\sigma-\frac{\varepsilon}{\beta})-i_0}, & \|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta} \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{g}(y) := \begin{cases} \|y\|_{\beta}^{\sigma+\frac{\varepsilon}{q}-j_0}, & 0 < \|y\|_{\beta} \leq 1, \\ 0, & \|y\|_{\beta} \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

In view of (11) and (10), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{f}\|_{p,\Phi_{\delta}} \|\tilde{g}\|_{q,\Psi} \\ &= \left\{ \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}; \|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta} \geq 1\}} \|x\|_{\alpha}^{-i_0-\delta\varepsilon} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_{\{y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}; \|y\|_{\beta} \leq 1\}} \|y\|_{\beta}^{-j_0+\varepsilon} dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

If there exists a constant  $K \leq K(\sigma)$ , such that (26) is valid when replacing  $K(\sigma)$  by  $K$ , then in particular, by (16) and (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{K}(\sigma) + o(1) &\leq \varepsilon \tilde{I} \\
 &= \varepsilon \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) \tilde{f}(x) \tilde{g}(y) dx dy \\
 &< \varepsilon K \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \Phi_\delta} \|\tilde{g}\|_{q, \Psi} \\
 &= K \left\{ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\alpha})} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},
 \end{aligned}$$

and then we find  $K(\sigma) \leq K(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+)$ . Hence  $K = K(\sigma)$  is the best possible constant factor of (26).

By the equivalency, we can prove that the constant factor  $K(\sigma)$  in (27) is the best possible. Otherwise, we would reach a contradiction by (29) that the constant factor  $K(\sigma)$  in (26) is not the best possible.

- (ii) For  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , by the same way, we still can obtain the equivalent reverses of (26) and (27). For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we set  $\tilde{f}(x), \tilde{g}(y)$  as the case of  $p > 1$ . If there exists a constant  $K \geq K(\sigma)$ , such that the reverse of (26) is valid when replacing  $K(\sigma)$  by  $K$ , then in particular, by (16) and (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{K}(\sigma) + o(1) &= \varepsilon \tilde{I} \\
 &= \varepsilon \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) \tilde{f}(x) \tilde{g}(y) dx dy \\
 &> \varepsilon K \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \Phi_\delta} \|\tilde{g}\|_{q, \Psi} \\
 &= K \left\{ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\alpha})} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},
 \end{aligned}$$

and then we find  $K(\sigma) \geq K(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+)$ . Hence  $K = K(\sigma)$  is the best possible constant factor of the reverse of (26). By the equivalency, we can prove that the constant factor  $K(\sigma)$  in the reverse of (27) is the best possible. Otherwise, we would reach a contradiction by the reverse of (29) that the constant factor  $K(\sigma)$  in the reverse of (26) is not the best possible. The theorem is proved.

In particular, for  $\delta = 1$  in Theorem 1, we have

**Corollary 1.** Suppose that  $\alpha, \beta > 0, \sigma \in \mathbf{R}, h(v) \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 k(\sigma) &= \int_0^\infty h(v) v^{\sigma-1} dv \in \mathbf{R}_+, \\
 K(\sigma) &= \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} k(\sigma),
 \end{aligned}$$

$p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i_0}) \geq 0, g(y) = g(y_1, \dots, y_{j_0}) \geq 0,$

$$0 < \|f\|_{p, \Phi_1} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \Phi_1(x) f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|g\|_{q, \Psi} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \Psi(y) g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

(i) If  $p > 1$ , then we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $K(\sigma)$ :

$$I = \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha \|y\|_\beta) f(x) g(y) dx dy < K(\sigma) \|f\|_{p, \Phi_1} \|g\|_{q, \Psi}, \quad (30)$$

$$J := \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \|y\|_\beta^{p\sigma - j_0} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha \|y\|_\beta) f(x) dx \right)^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < K(\sigma) \|f\|_{p, \Phi_1}; \quad (31)$$

(ii) if  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0), k(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , then we still have the equivalent reverses of (30) and (31) with the same best constant factor  $K(\sigma)$ .

For  $i_0 = j_0 = \alpha = \beta = 1$  in Corollary 1, we have

**Corollary 2.** Assuming that  $\sigma \in \mathbf{R}, k(\sigma) \in \mathbf{R}_+, p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , we set

$$\varphi(x) := x^{p(1-\sigma)-1}, \psi(y) := y^{q(1-\sigma)-1} (x, y > 0).$$

If  $f(x) \geq 0, g(y) \geq 0$ ,

$$0 < \|f\|_{p, \varphi} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \varphi(x) f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|g\|_{q, \psi} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \psi(y) g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty,$$

then (i) for  $p > 1$ , we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $k(\sigma)$ :

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty h(x,y) f(x) g(y) dx dy < k(\sigma) \|f\|_{p, \varphi} \|g\|_{q, \psi}, \quad (32)$$



$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{p\sigma-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty h(xy) f(x) dx \right]^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < k(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\varphi}; \tag{33}$$

(ii) for  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0)$ ,  $k(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have the equivalent reverses of (32) and (33) with the same best constant factor.

As the assumptions of Theorem 1, for  $p > 1$ , in view of  $J < K(\sigma) \|f\|_{\Phi_\delta}$ , we can give the following definition:

**Definition 2.** Define a multidimensional Hilbert-type integral operator

$$T : \mathbf{L}_{p,\Phi_\delta}(\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{p,\Psi^{1-p}}(\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}) \tag{34}$$

as follows: For  $f \in \mathbf{L}_{p,\Phi_\delta}(\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0})$ , there exists a unique representation

$$Tf \in \mathbf{L}_{p,\Psi^{1-p}}(\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}),$$

satisfying

$$(Tf)(y) := \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) f(x) dx \quad (y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}). \tag{35}$$

For  $g \in \mathbf{L}_{q,\Psi}(\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0})$ , we define the following formal inner product of  $Tf$  and  $g$  as follows:

$$(Tf, g) := \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) f(x) g(y) dx dy. \tag{36}$$

Then by Theorem 1, for  $p > 1$ ,  $0 < \|f\|_{p,\Phi_\delta}, \|g\|_{q,\Psi} < \infty$ , we have the following equivalent inequalities:

$$(Tf, g) < K(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\Phi_\delta} \|g\|_{q,\Psi}, \tag{37}$$

$$\|Tf\|_{p,\Psi^{1-p}} < K(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\Phi_\delta}. \tag{38}$$

It follows that  $T$  is bounded with

$$\|T\| := \sup_{f(\neq\theta) \in \mathbf{L}_{p,\Phi_\delta}(\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0})} \frac{\|Tf\|_{p,\Psi^{1-p}}}{\|f\|_{p,\Phi_\delta}} \leq K(\sigma).$$

Since the constant factor  $K(\sigma)$  in (38) is the best possible, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| = K(\sigma) &= \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} k(\sigma). \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

### 4 A Corollary for $\delta = -1$

**Corollary 3.** *Suppose that  $\alpha, \beta > 0, \mu, \sigma \in \mathbf{R}, \mu + \sigma = \lambda, k_\lambda(x, y) \geq 0$  is a homogeneous function of degree  $-\lambda$ ,*

$$k_\lambda(\sigma) := \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(1, v)v^{\sigma-1}dv \in \mathbf{R}_+,$$

$$K_\lambda(\sigma) := \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} k_\lambda(\sigma),$$

$p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \Phi(x) := x^{p(i_0-\mu)-i_0}, F(x) = F(x_1, \dots, x_{i_0}) \geq 0,$   
 $g(y) = g(y_1, \dots, y_{j_0}) \geq 0,$

$$0 < \|F\|_{p,\Phi} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \Phi(x)F^p(x)dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|g\|_{q,\Psi} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \Psi(y)g^q(y)dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

(i) *If  $p > 1$ , then we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $K(\sigma)$  :*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} k_\lambda(\|x\|_\alpha, \|y\|_\beta)F(x)g(y)dx dy < K_\lambda(\sigma)\|F\|_{p,\Phi}\|g\|_{q,\Psi}, \tag{40}$$

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \|y\|_\beta^{p\sigma-j_0} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} k_\lambda(\|x\|_\alpha, \|y\|_\beta)F(x)dx \right)^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < K_\lambda(\sigma)\|F\|_{p,\Phi}; \tag{41}$$

(ii) if  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0)$ ,  $k_\lambda(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , then we still have the equivalent reverses of (40) and (41) with the same best constant factor  $K_\lambda(\sigma)$ .

In particular, for  $i_0 = j_0 = \alpha = \beta = 1$ ,  $\varphi_1(x) := x^{p(1-\mu)-1}$ , if  $F(x) \geq 0$ ,  $g(y) \geq 0$ ,

$$0 < \|F\|_{p,\varphi_1} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \varphi_1(x) F^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|g\|_{q,\psi} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \psi(y) g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty,$$

then (i) for  $p > 1$ , we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $k_\lambda(\sigma)$  :

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) F(x) g(y) dx dy < k_\lambda(\sigma) \|F\|_{p,\varphi_1} \|g\|_{q,\psi}, \tag{42}$$

$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{p\sigma-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) F(x) dx \right]^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < k_\lambda(\sigma) \|F\|_{p,\varphi_1}; \tag{43}$$

(ii) for  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0)$ ,  $k_\lambda(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have the equivalent reverses of (42) and (43) with the same best constant factor  $k_\lambda(\sigma)$ .

*Proof.* For  $\delta = -1$  in Theorem 1, setting  $h(u) = k_\lambda(1, u)$  and  $\|x\|_\alpha^\lambda f(x) = F(x)$ , since  $\mu = \lambda - \sigma$ , by simplifications, we can obtain (40) and (41) (for  $p > 1$ ). It is evident that (40) and (41) are equivalent with the same best constant factor  $K_\lambda(\sigma)$ . By the same way, we can show the cases in  $0 < p < 1$  or  $p < 0$ . The corollary is proved.

*Remark 1.* Inequality (42), (43) is equivalent to (32), (33). In fact, Setting  $x = \frac{1}{X}$ ,  $h(u) = k_\lambda(1, u)$  in (32), (33), replacing  $X^\lambda f(\frac{1}{X})$  by  $F(X)$ , by simplification, we obtain (42), (43). On the other hand, by (42), (43), we can deduce (32), (33).

### 5 Two Classes of Hardy-Type Inequalities

If  $h(v) = 0 (v > 1)$ , then

$$h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) = 0 (\|x\|_\alpha^\delta > \|y\|_\beta^{-1}),$$

by Theorem 1, we have the following first class of Hardy-type inequalities:

**Corollary 4.** *Suppose that  $\alpha, \beta > 0, \sigma \in \mathbf{R}, h(v) \geq 0,$*

$$k_1(\sigma) := \int_0^1 h(v)v^{\sigma-1} dv \in \mathbf{R}_+,$$

$$H_1(\sigma) := \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} k_1(\sigma),$$

$\delta \in \{-1, 1\}, p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i_0}) \geq 0, g(y) = g(y_1, \dots, y_{j_0}) \geq 0,$

$$0 < \|f\|_{p, \Phi_\delta} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \Phi_\delta(x) f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|g\|_{q, \Psi} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \Psi(y) g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

(i) *If  $p > 1,$  then we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $H_1(\sigma):$*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \left[ \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}; \|x\|_\alpha^\delta \leq \|y\|_\beta^{-1}\}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) f(x) dx \right] g(y) dy \tag{44}$$

$$< H_1(\sigma) \|f\|_{p, \Phi_\delta} \|g\|_{q, \Psi},$$

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \|y\|_\beta^{p\sigma-j_0} \left( \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}; \|x\|_\alpha^\delta \leq \|y\|_\beta^{-1}\}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) f(x) dx \right)^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$< H_1(\sigma) \|f\|_{p, \Phi_\delta}; \tag{45}$$

(ii) *If  $0 < p < 1,$  or  $p < 0,$  there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0,$  such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0), k_1(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R},$  then we still have the equivalent reverses of (44) and (45) with the same best constant factor  $H_1(\sigma).$*

For  $i_0 = j_0 = \alpha = \beta = 1, \delta = 1$  in Corollary 4, we have

**Corollary 5.** *Assuming that  $\sigma \in \mathbf{R}, k_1(\sigma) \in \mathbf{R}_+, p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1,$  we set*

$$\varphi(x) := x^{p(1-\sigma)-1}, \psi(y) := y^{q(1-\sigma)-1} (x, y > 0).$$

*If  $f(x) \geq 0, g(y) \geq 0,$*

$$0 < \|f\|_{p,\varphi} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \varphi(x) f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|g\|_{q,\psi} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \psi(y) g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty,$$

then (i) for  $p > 1$ , we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $k_1(\sigma)$  :

$$\int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{y}} h(xy) f(x) dx \right) g(y) dy < k_1(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\varphi} \|g\|_{q,\psi}, \tag{46}$$

$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{p\sigma-1} \left[ \int_0^{\frac{1}{y}} h(xy) f(x) dx \right]^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < k_1(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\varphi}; \tag{47}$$

(ii) for  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0)$ ,  $k_1(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have the equivalent reverses of (46) and (47) with the same best constant factor  $k_1(\sigma)$ .

If  $k_\lambda(x, y) = 0(x < y)$ , by (42) and (43), we have

**Corollary 6.** Assuming that  $\mu, \sigma \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mu + \sigma = \lambda$ ,

$$k_\lambda^{(1)}(\sigma) := \int_0^1 k_\lambda(1, v) v^{\sigma-1} dv \in \mathbf{R}_+,$$

$p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\varphi_1(x) := x^{p(1-\mu)-1}$ , if  $F(x) \geq 0$ ,  $g(y) \geq 0$ ,

$$0 < \|F\|_{p,\varphi_1} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \varphi_1(x) F^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|g\|_{q,\psi} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \psi(y) g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty,$$

then (i) for  $p > 1$ , we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $k_\lambda^{(1)}(\sigma)$  :

$$\int_0^\infty \left[ \int_y^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) F(x) dx \right] g(y) dy < k_\lambda^{(1)}(\sigma) \|F\|_{p,\varphi_1} \|g\|_{q,\psi}, \tag{48}$$

$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{p\sigma-1} \left[ \int_y^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) F(x) dx \right]^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < k_\lambda^{(1)}(\sigma) \|F\|_{p,\varphi_1}; \tag{49}$$

(ii) for  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0)$ ,  $k_\lambda^{(1)}(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have the equivalent reverses of (48) and (49) with the same best constant factor  $k_\lambda^{(1)}(\sigma)$ .

If  $h(v) = 0(0 < v < 1)$ , then

$$h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) = 0(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta < \|y\|_\beta^{-1}),$$

by Theorem 1, we have the following second class of Hardy-type inequalities:

**Corollary 7.** Suppose that  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $h(v) \geq 0$ ,

$$k_2(\sigma) := \int_1^\infty h(v)v^{\sigma-1}dv \in \mathbf{R}_+,$$

$$H_2(\sigma) := \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} k_2(\sigma),$$

$\delta \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i_0}) \geq 0$ ,  $g(y) = g(y_1, \dots, y_{j_0}) \geq 0$ ,

$$0 < \|f\|_{p, \Phi_\delta} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \Phi_\delta(x) f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|g\|_{q, \Psi} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \Psi(y) g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

(i) If  $p > 1$ , then we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $H_2(\sigma)$  :

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \left[ \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}; \|x\|_\alpha^\delta \geq \|y\|_\beta^{-1}\}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) f(x) dx \right] g(y) dy \tag{50}$$

$$< H_2(\sigma) \|f\|_{p, \Phi_\delta} \|g\|_{q, \Psi},$$

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \|y\|_\beta^{p\sigma-j_0} \left( \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}; \|x\|_\alpha^\delta \geq \|y\|_\beta^{-1}\}} h(\|x\|_\alpha^\delta \|y\|_\beta) f(x) dx \right)^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{51}$$

$$< H_2(\sigma) \|f\|_{p, \Phi_\delta};$$

(ii) if  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0)$ ,  $k_2(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , then we still have the equivalent reverses of (50) and (51) with the same best constant factor  $H_2(\sigma)$ .

For  $i_0 = j_0 = \alpha = \beta = 1, \delta = 1$  in Corollary 7, we have

**Corollary 8.** Assuming that  $\sigma \in \mathbf{R}, k_2(\sigma) \in \mathbf{R}_+, p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , we set

$$\varphi(x) = x^{p(1-\sigma)-1}, \psi(y) = y^{q(1-\sigma)-1} (x, y > 0).$$

If  $f(x) \geq 0, g(y) \geq 0$ ,

$$0 < \|f\|_{p,\varphi} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \varphi(x) f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|g\|_{q,\psi} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \psi(y) g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

then (i) for  $p > 1$ , we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $k_2(\sigma)$  :

$$\int_0^\infty \left( \int_{\frac{1}{y}}^\infty h(xy) f(x) dx \right) g(y) dy < k_2(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\varphi} \|g\|_{q,\psi}, \tag{52}$$

$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{p\sigma-1} \left[ \int_{\frac{1}{y}}^\infty h(xy) f(x) dx \right]^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < k_2(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\varphi}; \tag{53}$$

(ii) for  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0)$ ,  $k_2(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have the equivalent reverses of (52) and (53) with the same best constant factor  $k_2(\sigma)$ .

If  $k_\lambda(x, y) = 0(x > y)$ , by (42) and (43), we have

**Corollary 9.** Assuming that  $\mu, \sigma \in \mathbf{R}, \mu + \sigma = \lambda$ ,

$$k_\lambda^{(2)}(\sigma) := \int_1^\infty k_\lambda(1, v) v^{\sigma-1} dv \in \mathbf{R}_+,$$

$p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \varphi_1(x) := x^{p(1-\mu)-1}$ , if  $F(x) \geq 0, g(y) \geq 0$ ,

$$0 < \|F\|_{p,\varphi_1} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \varphi_1(x) F^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|g\|_{q,\psi} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \psi(y) g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty,$$

then (i) for  $p > 1$ , we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $k_\lambda^{(2)}(\sigma)$  :

$$\int_0^\infty \left[ \int_0^y k_\lambda(x, y) F(x) dx \right] g(y) dy < k_\lambda^{(2)}(\sigma) \|F\|_{p, \varphi_1} \|g\|_{q, \psi}, \tag{54}$$

$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{p\sigma-1} \left[ \int_0^y k_\lambda(x, y) F(x) dx \right]^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < k_\lambda^{(2)}(\sigma) \|F\|_{p, \varphi_1}; \tag{55}$$

(ii) for  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0)$ ,  $k_\lambda^{(2)}(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have the equivalent reverses of (54) and (55) with the same best constant factor  $k_\lambda^{(2)}(\sigma)$ .

### 6 Multidimensional Hilbert-Type Inequalities with Two Variables

Suppose that  $u_i(s_i), u'_i(s_i) > 0, u_i(a_i^+) = 0, u_i(b_i^-) = \infty (-\infty \leq a_i < b_i \leq \infty, i = 1, \dots, i_0)$ ,  $u(s) = (u_1(s_1), \dots, u_{i_0}(s_{i_0}))$ ,  $v_j(t_j), v'_j(t_j) > 0, v_j(c_j^+) = 0, v_j(d_j^-) = \infty (-\infty \leq c_j < d_j \leq \infty, j = 1, \dots, j_0)$ ,  
 $v(t) = (v_1(t_1), \dots, v_{j_0}(t_{j_0}))$ ,

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\delta(s) := \frac{\|u(s)\|_\alpha^{p(i_0-\delta\sigma)-i_0}}{\left[ \prod_{i=1}^{i_0} u'_i(s_i) \right]^{p-1}}, \tilde{\Psi}(t) := \frac{\|v(t)\|_\alpha^{q(j_0-\sigma)-j_0}}{\left[ \prod_{j=1}^{j_0} v'_j(t_j) \right]^{q-1}}.$$

Setting  $x = u(s), y = v(t)$  in Theorem 1, for

$$F(s) := \prod_{i=1}^{i_0} u'_i(s_i) f(u(s)), G(t) := \prod_{j=1}^{j_0} v'_j(t_j) g(v(t)),$$

we have

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $\alpha, \beta > 0, \sigma \in \mathbf{R}, h(v) \geq 0$ ,

$$k(\sigma) = \int_0^\infty h(v) v^{\sigma-1} dv \in \mathbf{R}_+,$$

$$K(\sigma) = \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} k(\sigma),$$

$\delta \in \{-1, 1\}, p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, F(s) = F(s_1, \dots, s_{i_0}) \geq 0, G(t) = G(t_1, \dots, t_{j_0}) \geq 0$ ,



$$0 < \|F\|_{p, \tilde{\Phi}_\delta} = \left\{ \int_{\{s \in \mathbf{R}^{i_0}; a_i < s_i < b_i\}} \tilde{\Phi}_\delta(s) F^p(s) ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|G\|_{q, \tilde{\Psi}} = \left\{ \int_{\{t \in \mathbf{R}^{j_0}; c_j < t_j < d_j\}} \tilde{\Psi}(t) G^q(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

(i) If  $p > 1$ , then we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $K(\sigma)$  :

$$\int_{\{t \in \mathbf{R}^{j_0}; c_j < t_j < d_j\}} \int_{\{s \in \mathbf{R}^{i_0}; a_i < s_i < b_i\}} h(\|u(s)\|_\alpha^\delta \|v(t)\|_\beta) F(s) G(t) ds dt \tag{56}$$

$$< K(\sigma) \|F\|_{p, \tilde{\Phi}_\delta} \|g\|_{q, \tilde{\Psi}},$$

$$\left\{ \int_{\{t \in \mathbf{R}^{j_0}; c_j < t_j < d_j\}} \|v(t)\|_\beta^{p\sigma - j_0} \prod_{j=1}^{j_0} v'_j(t_j) \left( \int_{\{s \in \mathbf{R}^{i_0}; a_i < s_i < b_i\}} h(\|u(s)\|_\alpha^\delta \|v(t)\|_\beta) F(s) ds \right)^p dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < K(\sigma) \|F\|_{p, \tilde{\Phi}_\delta}; \tag{57}$$

(ii) if  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0)$ ,  $k(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , then we still have the equivalent reverses of (56) and (57) with the same best constant factor  $K(\sigma)$ .

In particular, for  $i_0 = j_0 = \alpha = \beta = 1$ ,

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\delta(s) := \frac{(u(s))^{p(1-\delta\sigma)-1}}{[u'(s)]^{p-1}}, \tilde{\Psi}(t) := \frac{(v(t))^{q(1-\sigma)-1}}{[v'(t)]^{q-1}},$$

$$0 < \|F\|_{p, \tilde{\Phi}_\delta} = \left\{ \int_a^b \tilde{\Phi}_\delta(s) F^p(s) ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|G\|_{q, \tilde{\Psi}} = \left\{ \int_c^d \tilde{\Psi}(t) G^q(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty,$$

(i) if  $p > 1$ , then we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $k(\sigma)$  :

$$\int_c^d \int_a^b h(u^\delta(s)v(t)) F(s) G(t) ds dt < k(\sigma) \|F\|_{p, \tilde{\Phi}_\delta} \|G\|_{q, \tilde{\Psi}}, \tag{58}$$

$$\left\{ \int_c^d (v(t))^{p\sigma-1} v'(t) \left( \int_a^b h(u^\delta(s)v(t)) F(s) ds \right)^p dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < k(\sigma) \|F\|_{p, \tilde{\Phi}_\delta}; \tag{59}$$

(ii) if  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0)$ ,  $k(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , then we still have the equivalent reverses of (58) and (59) with the same best constant factor  $k(\sigma)$ .

In particular, for  $\gamma, \eta > 0$ ,  $u_i(s_i) = s_i^\gamma, u'_i(s_i) = \gamma s_i^{\gamma-1}, u_i(0^+) = 0, u_i(\infty) = \infty (a_i = 0, b_i = \infty, i = 1, \dots, i_0), \hat{u}(s) = (s_1^\gamma, \dots, s_{i_0}^\gamma), v_j(t_j) = t_j^\eta, v'_j(t_j) = \eta t_j^{\eta-1}, v_j(0^+) = 0, v_j(\infty) = \infty (c_j = 0, d_j = \infty, j = 1, \dots, j_0), \hat{v}(t) = (t_1^\eta, \dots, t_{j_0}^\eta)$ , and

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\delta(s) = \frac{1}{\gamma^{i_0(p-1)}} \hat{\Phi}_\delta(s), \hat{\Phi}_\delta(s) := \frac{\|\hat{u}(s)\|_\alpha^{p(i_0-\delta\sigma)-i_0}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{i_0} s_i^{\gamma-1}\right)^{p-1}},$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}(t) = \frac{1}{\eta^{j_0(q-1)}} \hat{\Psi}(t), \hat{\Psi}(t) := \frac{\|\hat{v}(t)\|_\alpha^{q(j_0-\sigma)-j_0}}{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{j_0} t_j^{\eta-1}\right)^{q-1}}$$

in Theorem 2, we have

**Corollary 10.** *Suppose that  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta > 0, \sigma \in \mathbf{R}, h(v) \geq 0$ ,*

$$k(\sigma) = \int_0^\infty h(v) v^{\sigma-1} dv \in \mathbf{R}_+,$$

$$K(\sigma) = \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} k(\sigma),$$

$\delta \in \{-1, 1\}, p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, F(s) = F(s_1, \dots, s_{i_0}) \geq 0, G(t) = G(t_1, \dots, t_{j_0}) \geq 0$ ,

$$0 < \|F\|_{p, \hat{\Phi}_\delta} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \hat{\Phi}_\delta(s) F^p(s) ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|G\|_{q, \hat{\Psi}} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \hat{\Psi}(t) G^q(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

(i) If  $p > 1$ , then we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $\frac{1}{\gamma^{i_0/q} \eta^{j_0/p}} K(\sigma)$  :

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} h(\|\hat{u}(s)\|_\alpha^\delta \|\hat{v}(t)\|_\beta) F(s) G(t) ds dt < \frac{1}{\gamma^{i_0/q} \eta^{j_0/p}} K(\sigma) \|F\|_{p, \hat{\phi}_\delta} \|G\|_{q, \hat{\psi}}, \tag{60}$$

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \|\hat{v}(t)\|_\beta^{p\sigma - j_0} \prod_{j=1}^{j_0} t_j^{\eta-1} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} h(\|\hat{u}(s)\|_\alpha^\delta \|\hat{v}(t)\|_\beta) F(s) ds \right)^p dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \frac{1}{\gamma^{i_0/q} \eta^{j_0/p}} K(\sigma) \|F\|_{p, \hat{\phi}_\delta}; \tag{61}$$

(ii) if  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0)$ ,  $k(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , then we still have the equivalent reverses of (60) and (61) with the same best constant factor  $\frac{1}{\gamma^{i_0/q} \eta^{j_0/p}} K(\sigma)$ .

In particular, for  $i_0 = j_0 = \alpha = \beta = 1$ ,

$$\hat{\phi}_\delta(s) := s^{p(1-\delta\gamma\sigma)-1}, \hat{\psi}(t) := t^{q(1-\eta\sigma)-1},$$

$$0 < \|F\|_{p, \hat{\phi}_\delta} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \hat{\phi}_\delta(s) F^p(s) ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|G\|_{q, \hat{\psi}} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \hat{\psi}(t) G^q(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty,$$

(i) if  $p > 1$ , then we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $\frac{1}{\gamma^{1/q} \eta^{1/p}} k(\sigma)$  :

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty h(s^\gamma \delta t^\eta) F(s) G(t) ds dt < \frac{1}{\gamma^{1/q} \eta^{1/p}} k(\sigma) \|F\|_{p, \hat{\phi}_\delta} \|G\|_{q, \hat{\psi}}, \tag{62}$$

$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty t^{p\eta\sigma-1} \left( \int_0^\infty h(s^\gamma \delta t^\eta) F(s) ds \right)^p dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \frac{1}{\gamma^{1/q} \eta^{1/p}} k(\sigma) \|F\|_{p, \hat{\phi}_\delta}; \tag{63}$$

(ii) if  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0)$ ,  $k(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , then we still have the equivalent reverses of (62) and (63) with the same best constant factor  $\frac{1}{\gamma^{1/q} \eta^{1/p}} k(\sigma)$ .

For  $\delta = -1, h(u) = k_\lambda(1, u), \|u(s)\|_\alpha^\lambda F(s) = f(s), \mu = \lambda - \sigma$  and

$$\tilde{\Phi}(s) := \frac{\|u(s)\|_\alpha^{p(i_0-\mu)-i_0}}{\left[\prod_{i=1}^{i_0} u'_i(s_i)\right]^{p-1}}$$

in Theorem 2, by simplifications, we have

**Corollary 11.** *Suppose that  $\alpha, \beta > 0, \lambda, \mu, \sigma \in \mathbf{R}, \mu + \sigma = \lambda, k_\lambda(x, y) (\geq 0)$  is a homogeneous function of degree  $-\lambda$  in  $\mathbf{R}_+^2$ , with*

$$k_\lambda(\sigma) = \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(1, v)v^{\sigma-1}dv \in \mathbf{R}_+,$$

$$K_\lambda(\sigma) = \left[\frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} k_\lambda(\sigma),$$

$p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, f(s) = f(s_1, \dots, s_{i_0}) \geq 0, G(t) = G(t_1, \dots, t_{j_0}) \geq 0,$

$$0 < \|f\|_{p, \tilde{\Phi}} = \left\{ \int_{\{s \in \mathbf{R}^{i_0}; a_i < s_i < b_i\}} \tilde{\Phi}(s) f^p(s) ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|G\|_{q, \tilde{\Psi}} = \left\{ \int_{\{t \in \mathbf{R}^{j_0}; c_j < t_j < d_j\}} \tilde{\Psi}(t) G^q(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

(i) *If  $p > 1$ , then we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $K(\sigma)$  :*

$$\int_{\{t \in \mathbf{R}^{j_0}; c_j < t_j < d_j\}} \int_{\{s \in \mathbf{R}^{i_0}; a_i < s_i < b_i\}} k_\lambda(\|u(s)\|_\alpha, \|v(t)\|_\beta) f(s) G(t) ds dt \tag{64}$$

$$< K_\lambda(\sigma) \|f\|_{p, \tilde{\Phi}} \|G\|_{q, \tilde{\Psi}},$$

$$\left\{ \int_{\{t \in \mathbf{R}^{j_0}; c_j < t_j < d_j\}} \|v(t)\|_\beta^{p\sigma-j_0} \prod_{j=1}^{j_0} v'_j(t_j) \left( \int_{\{s \in \mathbf{R}^{i_0}; a_i < s_i < b_i\}} k_\lambda(\|u(s)\|_\alpha, \|v(t)\|_\beta) \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. \times f(s) ds \right)^p dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < K_\lambda(\sigma) \|f\|_{p, \tilde{\Phi}};$$

(65)

(ii) *if  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0), k_\lambda(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , then we still have the equivalent reverses of (64) and (65) with the same best constant factor  $K_\lambda(\sigma)$ .*

In particular, for  $i_0 = j_0 = \alpha = \beta = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}(s) &:= \frac{(u(s))^{p(1-\mu)-1}}{[u'(s)]^{p-1}}, \tilde{\psi}(t) = \frac{(v(t))^{q(1-\sigma)-1}}{[v'(t)]^{q-1}}, \\ 0 < \|f\|_{p,\tilde{\phi}} &= \left\{ \int_a^b \tilde{\phi}(s) f^p(s) ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty, \\ 0 < \|G\|_{q,\tilde{\psi}} &= \left\{ \int_c^d \tilde{\psi}(t) G^q(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

(i) if  $p > 1$ , then we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $k_\lambda(\sigma)$  :

$$\int_c^d \int_a^b k_\lambda(u(s), v(t)) f(s) G(t) ds dt < k_\lambda(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\tilde{\phi}} \|G\|_{q,\tilde{\psi}}, \tag{66}$$

$$\left\{ \int_c^d (v(t))^{p\sigma-1} v'(t) \left( \int_a^b k_\lambda(u(s), v(t)) f(s) ds \right)^p dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < k_\lambda(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\tilde{\phi}}; \tag{67}$$

(ii) if  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0)$ ,  $k_\lambda(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , then we still have the equivalent reverses of (66) and (67) with the same best constant factor  $k_\lambda(\sigma)$ .

In particular, for  $u_i(s_i) = \ln s_i, u'_i(s_i) = s_i^{-1}, u_i(1^+) = 0, u_i(\infty) = \infty (a_i = 1, b_i = \infty, i = 1, \dots, i_0), U(s) = (\ln s_1, \dots, \ln s_{i_0}), v_j(t_j) = \ln t_j, v'_j(t_j) = t_j^{-1}, v_j(1^+) = 0, v_j(\infty) = \infty (c_j = 1, d_j = \infty, j = 1, \dots, j_0), V(t) = (\ln t_1, \dots, \ln t_{j_0})$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}(s) = \hat{\Phi}(s) &:= \frac{\|U(s)\|_\alpha^{p(i_0-\mu)-i_0}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{i_0} s_i\right)^{1-p}}, \\ \tilde{\Psi}(t) = \hat{\Psi}(t) &:= \frac{\|V(t)\|_\alpha^{q(j_0-\sigma)-j_0}}{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{j_0} t_j\right)^{1-q}} \end{aligned}$$

in Corollary 10, we have

**Corollary 12.** Suppose that  $\alpha, \beta > 0, \lambda, \mu, \sigma \in \mathbf{R}, \mu + \sigma = \lambda, k_\lambda(x, y) (\geq 0)$  is a homogeneous function of degree  $-\lambda$  in  $\mathbf{R}_+^2$ , with

$$k_\lambda(\sigma) = \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(1, v) v^{\sigma-1} dv \in \mathbf{R}_+,$$

$$K_\lambda(\sigma) = \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} k_\lambda(\sigma),$$

$p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $f(s) = f(s_1, \dots, s_{i_0}) \geq 0$ ,  $G(t) = G(t_1, \dots, t_{j_0}) \geq 0$ ,

$$0 < \|f\|_{p, \hat{\phi}} = \left\{ \int_{\{s \in \mathbf{R}^{i_0}; 1 < s_i < \infty\}} \hat{\phi}(s) f^p(s) ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|G\|_{q, \hat{\psi}} = \left\{ \int_{\{t \in \mathbf{R}^{j_0}; 1 < t_j < \infty\}} \hat{\psi}(t) G^q(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

(i) If  $p > 1$ , then we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $K(\sigma)$  :

$$\int_{\{t \in \mathbf{R}^{j_0}; 1 < t_j < \infty\}} \int_{\{s \in \mathbf{R}^{i_0}; 1 < s_i < \infty\}} k_\lambda(\|U(s)\|_\alpha, \|V(t)\|_\beta) f(s) G(t) ds dt \tag{68}$$

$$< K_\lambda(\sigma) \|f\|_{p, \hat{\phi}} \|G\|_{q, \hat{\psi}},$$

$$\left\{ \int_{\{t \in \mathbf{R}^{j_0}; 1 < t_j < \infty\}} \|V(t)\|_\beta^{p\sigma-j_0} \prod_{j=1}^{j_0} t_j^{-1} \left( \int_{\{s \in \mathbf{R}^{i_0}; 1 < s_i < \infty\}} k_\lambda(\|U(s)\|_\alpha, \|V(t)\|_\beta) \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. \times f(s) ds \right)^p dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < K_\lambda(\sigma) \|f\|_{p, \hat{\phi}};$$

(69)

(ii) if  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0)$ ,  $k_\lambda(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , then we still have the equivalent reverses of (68) and (69) with the same best constant factor  $K_\lambda(\sigma)$ .

In particular, for  $i_0 = j_0 = \alpha = \beta = 1$ ,

$$\tilde{\phi}(s) = \hat{\phi}(s) := \frac{(\ln s)^{p(1-\mu)-1}}{s^{1-p}}, \tilde{\psi}(t) = \hat{\psi}(t) := \frac{(\ln t)^{q(1-\sigma)-1}}{t^{1-q}},$$

$$0 < \|f\|_{p, \hat{\phi}} = \left\{ \int_1^\infty \hat{\phi}(s) f^p(s) ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|G\|_{q, \hat{\psi}} = \left\{ \int_1^\infty \hat{\psi}(t) G^q(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty,$$

- (i) if  $p > 1$ , then we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor  $k_\lambda(\sigma)$  :

$$\int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty k_\lambda(\ln s, \ln t) f(s)G(t)dsdt < k_\lambda(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\hat{\phi}} \|G\|_{q,\hat{\psi}}, \tag{70}$$

$$\left\{ \int_1^\infty (\ln t)^{p\sigma-1} \frac{1}{t} \left( \int_1^\infty k_\lambda(\ln s, \ln t) f(s)ds \right)^p dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < k_\lambda(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\hat{\phi}}; \tag{71}$$

- (ii) if  $0 < p < 1$ , or  $p < 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that for any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (\sigma - \delta_0, \sigma + \delta_0)$ ,  $k_\lambda(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , then we still have the equivalent reverses of (70) and (71) with the same best constant factor  $k_\lambda(\sigma)$ .

### 7 Some Particular Examples on the Norm

*Example 1.* For  $h(v) = \frac{|\ln v|^\gamma}{(1+v)^\lambda}$  ( $\gamma \geq 0, \mu, \sigma > 0, \mu + \sigma = \lambda$ ), we have

$$k(\sigma) = k_\gamma(\sigma) := \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln v|^\gamma}{(1+v)^\lambda} v^{\sigma-1} dv.$$

Since  $\frac{|\ln v|^\gamma}{(1+v)^{\lambda/2}} v^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \rightarrow 0$  ( $v \rightarrow 0^+$  or  $v \rightarrow \infty$ ), there exists a constant number  $L > 0$ , such that

$$0 < \frac{|\ln v|^\gamma}{(1+v)^{\lambda/2}} v^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq L (v \in \mathbf{R}_+).$$

Then it follows that

$$0 < k_\gamma(\sigma) \leq L \int_0^\infty \frac{v^{(\sigma/2)-1} dv}{(1+v)^{\lambda/2}} = LB \left( \frac{\sigma}{2}, \frac{\mu}{2} \right) < \infty,$$

and  $k_\gamma(\sigma) \in \mathbf{R}_+$ . We find

$$k_0(\sigma) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+v)^\lambda} v^{\sigma-1} dv = B(\sigma, \mu). \tag{72}$$

For  $\gamma \geq 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k_\gamma(\sigma) &= \int_0^1 \frac{(-\ln v)^\gamma v^{\sigma-1}}{(1+v)^\lambda} dv + \int_1^\infty \frac{(\ln v)^\gamma v^{\sigma-1}}{(1+v)^\lambda} dv \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{(-\ln v)^\gamma}{(1+v)^\lambda} (v^{\sigma-1} + v^{\mu-1}) dv \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_0^1 (-\ln v)^\gamma \sum_{k=0}^\infty \binom{-\lambda}{k} (v^{k+\sigma-1} + v^{k+\mu-1}) dv \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \binom{-\lambda}{k} \int_0^1 (-\ln v)^\gamma (v^{k+\sigma-1} + v^{k+\mu-1}) dv.
 \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $t = -\ln v$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 k_\gamma(\sigma) &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \binom{-\lambda}{k} \int_0^\infty t^{(\gamma+1)-1} [e^{-t(k+\sigma)} + e^{-t(k+\mu)}] dt \\
 &= \Gamma(\gamma + 1) \sum_{k=0}^\infty \binom{-\lambda}{k} \left[ \frac{1}{(k + \sigma)^{\gamma+1}} + \frac{1}{(k + \mu)^{\gamma+1}} \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

In view of Theorem 1 and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|T\| &= K_\gamma(\sigma) := \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} k_\gamma(\sigma).
 \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

*Example 2.* For  $h(v) = \frac{|\ln v|^\gamma}{1+v^\lambda}$  ( $\gamma \geq 0, \mu, \sigma > 0, \mu + \sigma = \lambda$ ), we have

$$k(\sigma) = l_\gamma(\sigma) := \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln v|^\gamma}{1 + v^\lambda} v^{\sigma-1} dv.$$

Since  $\frac{|\ln v|^\gamma}{(1+v^\lambda)^{1/2}} v^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \rightarrow 0$  ( $v \rightarrow 0^+$  or  $v \rightarrow \infty$ ), there exists a constant number  $L > 0$ , such that

$$0 < \frac{|\ln v|^\gamma}{(1 + v^\lambda)^{1/2}} v^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq L(v \in \mathbf{R}_+).$$

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 < l_\gamma(\sigma) &\leq L \int_0^\infty \frac{v^{(\sigma/2)-1} dv}{(1 + v^\lambda)^{1/2}} \\
 &= \frac{L}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{(\sigma/2\lambda)-1} dv}{(1 + u)^{1/2}} = \frac{L}{\lambda} B\left(\frac{\sigma}{2\lambda}, \frac{\mu}{2\lambda}\right) < \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$



and  $l_\gamma(\sigma) \in \mathbf{R}_+$ . We find

$$l_0(\sigma) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1+v^\lambda} v^{\sigma-1} dv = \frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin\left(\frac{\pi\sigma}{\lambda}\right)}. \tag{75}$$

For  $\gamma \geq 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} l_\gamma(\sigma) &= \int_0^1 \frac{(-\ln v)^\gamma v^{\sigma-1}}{1+v^\lambda} dv + \int_1^\infty \frac{(\ln v)^\gamma v^{\sigma-1}}{1+v^\lambda} dv \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{(-\ln v)^\gamma}{1+v^\lambda} (v^{\sigma-1} + v^{\mu-1}) dv \\ &= \int_0^1 (-\ln v)^\gamma \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k (v^{k\lambda+\sigma-1} + v^{k\lambda+\mu-1}) dv \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k \int_0^1 (-\ln v)^\gamma (v^{k\lambda+\sigma-1} + v^{k\lambda+\mu-1}) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $t = -\ln v$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} l_\gamma(\sigma) &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k \int_0^\infty t^{(\gamma+1)-1} [e^{-t(k\lambda+\sigma)} + e^{-t(k\lambda+\mu)}] dt \\ &= \Gamma(\gamma+1) \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k \left[ \frac{1}{(k\lambda+\sigma)^{\gamma+1}} + \frac{1}{(k\lambda+\mu)^{\gamma+1}} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

In view of Theorem 1 and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= L_\gamma(\sigma) := \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{j_0}{\beta}\right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{i_0}{\alpha}\right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} l_\gamma(\sigma). \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

*Example 3.* For  $h(v) = \frac{|\ln v|^\gamma}{(\max\{1, v\})^\lambda}$  ( $\gamma \geq 0, \mu, \sigma > 0, \mu + \sigma = \lambda$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} k(\sigma) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln v|^\gamma}{(\max\{1, v\})^\lambda} v^{\sigma-1} dv \\ &= \int_0^1 (-\ln v)^\gamma v^{\sigma-1} dv + \int_1^\infty \frac{(\ln v)^\gamma}{v^\lambda} v^{\sigma-1} dv \\ &= \int_0^1 (-\ln v)^\gamma (v^{\sigma-1} + v^{\mu-1}) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $t = -\ln v$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 k(\sigma) &= \int_0^\infty t^\gamma [e^{-(\sigma-1)t} + e^{-(\mu-1)t}] e^{-t} dt \\
 &= \int_0^\infty t^{(\gamma+1)-1} (e^{-\sigma t} + e^{-\mu t}) dt \\
 &= \Gamma(\gamma + 1) \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^{\gamma+1}} + \frac{1}{\mu^{\gamma+1}} \right) \in \mathbf{R}_+.
 \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

In view of Theorem 1 and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|T\| = K(\sigma) &= \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \Gamma(\gamma + 1) \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^{\gamma+1}} + \frac{1}{\mu^{\gamma+1}} \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

*Example 4.* For  $h(v) = \frac{|\ln v|^\gamma}{|1-v|^\lambda}$  ( $\gamma \geq 0, \mu, \sigma > 0, \mu + \sigma = \lambda < 1$ ), we have

$$k(\sigma) = \tilde{k}_\gamma(\sigma) := \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln v|^\gamma}{|1-v|^\lambda} v^{\sigma-1} dv.$$

We find

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{k}_0(\sigma) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{v^{\sigma-1}}{|1-v|^\lambda} dv \\
 &= \int_0^1 (1-v)^{-\lambda} v^{\sigma-1} dv + \int_1^\infty \frac{v^{\sigma-1}}{(v-1)^\lambda} dv \\
 &= \int_0^1 (1-v)^{(1-\lambda)-1} v^{\sigma-1} dv + \int_0^1 (1-u)^{(1-\lambda)-1} u^{\mu-1} du \\
 &= B(1-\lambda, \sigma) + B(1-\lambda, \mu).
 \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

For  $\gamma \geq 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{k}_\gamma(\sigma) &= \int_0^1 \frac{(-\ln v)^\gamma v^{\sigma-1}}{(1-v)^\lambda} dv + \int_1^\infty \frac{(\ln v)^\gamma v^{\sigma-1}}{(v-1)^\lambda} dv \\
 &= \int_0^1 \frac{(-\ln v)^\gamma}{(1-v)^\lambda} (v^{\sigma-1} + v^{\mu-1}) dv.
 \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $0 < \delta < \min\{\mu, \sigma\}$ , since  $(-\ln v)^\gamma v^\delta \rightarrow 0 (v \rightarrow 0^+)$ , there exists a constant  $L > 0$ , such that  $0 < (-\ln v)^\gamma v^\delta \leq L (v \in (0, 1])$ , and then it follows

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \tilde{k}_\gamma(\sigma) &\leq L \int_0^1 \frac{v^{\sigma-\delta-1} + v^{\mu-\delta-1}}{(1-v)^\lambda} dv \\ &= L(B(1-\lambda, \sigma-\delta) + B(1-\lambda, \mu-\delta)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\tilde{k}_\gamma(\sigma) \in \mathbf{R}_+$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{k}_\gamma(\sigma) &= \int_0^1 (-\ln v)^\gamma \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k \binom{-\lambda}{k} (v^{k+\sigma-1} + v^{k+\mu-1}) dv \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k \binom{-\lambda}{k} \int_0^1 (-\ln v)^\gamma (v^{k+\sigma-1} + v^{k+\mu-1}) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $t = -\ln v$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{k}_\gamma(\sigma) &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k \binom{-\lambda}{k} \int_0^\infty t^{(\gamma+1)-1} [e^{-t(k+\sigma)} + e^{-t(k+\mu)}] dt \\ &= \Gamma(\gamma+1) \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k \binom{-\lambda}{k} \left[ \frac{1}{(k+\sigma)^{\gamma+1}} + \frac{1}{(k+\mu)^{\gamma+1}} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

In view of Theorem 1 and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| = \tilde{K}_\gamma(\sigma) &:= \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \tilde{k}_\gamma(\sigma) (\gamma \geq 0). \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

*Example 5.* For  $h(v) = \frac{|\ln v|^\gamma}{|v^\lambda - 1|} (\gamma > 0, \mu, \sigma > 0, \mu + \sigma = \lambda)$ , we have

$$k(\sigma) = \hat{k}_\gamma(\sigma) := \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln v|^\gamma}{|v^\lambda - 1|} v^{\sigma-1} dv.$$

We find

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{k}_1(\sigma) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{(\ln v)v^{\sigma-1}}{v^\lambda - 1} dv \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{(\ln u)u^{(\sigma/\lambda)-1} du}{u - 1} = \left[ \frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin\left(\frac{\pi\sigma}{\lambda}\right)} \right]^2. \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

For  $\gamma > 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{k}_\gamma(\sigma) &= \int_0^1 \frac{(-\ln v)^\gamma v^{\sigma-1}}{1 - v^\lambda} dv + \int_1^\infty \frac{(\ln v)^\gamma v^{\sigma-1}}{v^\lambda - 1} dv \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{(-\ln v)^\gamma}{1 - v^\lambda} (v^{\sigma-1} + v^{\mu-1}) dv \\ &= \int_0^1 (-\ln v)^\gamma \sum_{k=0}^\infty (v^{k\lambda+\sigma-1} + v^{k\lambda+\mu-1}) dv \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_0^1 (-\ln v)^\gamma (v^{k\lambda+\sigma-1} + v^{k\lambda+\mu-1}) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $t = -\ln v$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{k}_\gamma(\sigma) &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_0^\infty t^{(\gamma+1)-1} [e^{-t(k\lambda+\sigma)} + e^{-t(k\lambda+\mu)}] dt \\ &= \Gamma(\gamma + 1) \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{(k\lambda + \sigma)^{\gamma+1}} + \frac{1}{(k\lambda + \mu)^{\gamma+1}} \right] \in \mathbf{R}_+. \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

In view of Theorem 1 and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= \hat{K}_\gamma(\sigma) := \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{j_0}{\beta}\right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{i_0}{\alpha}\right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \hat{k}_\gamma(\sigma). \end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

**Lemma 6.** *If  $\mathbf{C}$  is the set of complex numbers and  $\mathbf{C}_\infty = \mathbf{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ ,  $z_k \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{z \mid \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0, \operatorname{Im} z = 0\}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) are different points, the function  $f(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbf{C}_\infty$  except for  $z_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), and  $z = \infty$  is a zero point of  $f(z)$  whose order is not less than 1, then for  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have*

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)x^{\alpha-1}dx = \frac{2\pi i}{1 - e^{2\pi\alpha i}} \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Res}[f(z)z^{\alpha-1}, z_k], \tag{86}$$

where  $0 < \text{Im} \ln z = \arg z < 2\pi$ . In particular, if  $z_k (k = 1, \dots, n)$  are all poles of order 1, setting  $\varphi_k(z) = (z - z_k)f(z) (\varphi_k(z_k) \neq 0)$ , then

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)x^{\alpha-1}dx = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n (-z_k)^{\alpha-1} \varphi_k(z_k). \tag{87}$$

*Proof.* By Pan et al. [22, p. 118], we have (86). We find

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - e^{2\pi\alpha i} &= 1 - \cos 2\pi\alpha - i \sin 2\pi\alpha \\ &= -2i \sin \pi\alpha (\cos \pi\alpha + i \sin \pi\alpha) \\ &= -2i e^{i\pi\alpha} \sin \pi\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, since  $f(z)z^{\alpha-1} = \frac{1}{z-z_k} (\varphi_k(z)z^{\alpha-1})$ , it is obvious that

$$\text{Res}[f(z)z^{\alpha-1}, -a_k] = z_k^{\alpha-1} \varphi_k(z_k) = -e^{i\pi\alpha} (-z_k)^{\alpha-1} \varphi_k(z_k).$$

Then by (86), we obtain (87). The lemma is proved.

*Example 6.* For  $s \in \mathbf{N}, 0 < a_1 < \dots < a_s$ , we set

$$h(v) = \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^s (v^{\lambda/s} + a_k)} \quad (0 < \sigma < \lambda)$$

By (87), setting  $u = v^{\lambda/s}$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} k(\sigma) = k_s(\sigma) &:= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^s (v^{\lambda/s} + a_k)} v^{\sigma-1} dv \\ &= \frac{s}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^s (u + a_k)} u^{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}-1} du \\ &= \frac{\pi s}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi s \sigma}{\lambda})} \sum_{k=1}^s a_k^{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}-1} \prod_{j=1(j \neq k)}^s \frac{1}{a_j - a_k} \in \mathbf{R}_+. \end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

In view of Theorem 1 and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= K_s(\sigma) := \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} k_s(\sigma). \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

Example 7. For  $c > 0, 0 < \gamma < \pi$ , We set

$$h(v) = \frac{1}{v^\lambda + \sqrt{c}v^{\lambda/2} \cos \gamma + \frac{c}{4}} \quad (0 < \sigma < \lambda).$$

Putting  $z_1 = -\frac{\sqrt{c}}{2}e^{i\gamma}, z_2 = -\frac{\sqrt{c}}{2}e^{-i\gamma}$ , by (87), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} k(\sigma) &= c_\gamma(\sigma) := \int_0^\infty \frac{v^{\sigma-1}}{v^\lambda + \sqrt{c}v^{\lambda/2} \cos \gamma + \frac{c}{4}} dv \\ &= \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{\frac{2\sigma}{\lambda}-1}}{u^2 + \sqrt{c}u \cos \gamma + \frac{c}{4}} du \\ &= \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{\frac{2\sigma}{\lambda}-1}}{(u-z_1)(u-z_2)} du \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{2\pi\sigma}{\lambda})} \left[ \left( \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} e^{i\gamma} \right)^{\frac{2\sigma}{\lambda}-1} \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2(e^{-i\gamma} - e^{i\gamma})} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} e^{-i\gamma} \right)^{\frac{2\sigma}{\lambda}-1} \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2(e^{i\gamma} - e^{-i\gamma})} \right] \\ &= \left( \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} \right)^{\frac{2\sigma}{\lambda}} \frac{2\pi \sin \gamma \left( 1 - \frac{2\sigma}{\lambda} \right)}{\lambda \sin \gamma \sin(\frac{2\pi\sigma}{\lambda})} \in \mathbf{R}_+. \end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

In view of Theorem 1 and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= C_\gamma(\sigma) := \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} c_\gamma(\sigma). \end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

*Example 8.* We set

$$h(v) = \frac{(\min\{v, 1\})^\eta}{(\max\{v, 1\})^{\lambda+\eta}} (\eta > -\min\{\sigma, \mu\}, \sigma + \mu = \lambda).$$

Then we find

$$\begin{aligned} k(\sigma) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{(\min\{v, 1\})^\eta v^{\sigma-1}}{(\max\{v, 1\})^{\lambda+\eta}} dv = \int_0^1 v^{\eta+\sigma-1} dv + \int_1^\infty \frac{v^{\sigma-1} dv}{v^{\lambda+\eta}} \\ &= \frac{\lambda + 2\eta}{(\sigma + \eta)(\mu + \eta)} \in \mathbf{R}_+. \end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

In view of Theorem 1 and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= K_\eta(\sigma) := \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \frac{\lambda + 2\eta}{(\sigma + \eta)(\mu + \eta)}. \end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

*Example 9.* We set

$$h(v) = \ln\left(\frac{b + v^\gamma}{a + v^\gamma}\right) (0 \leq a < b, 0 < \sigma < \gamma).$$

We find

$$\begin{aligned} k(\sigma) &= \int_0^\infty \ln\left(\frac{b + v^\gamma}{a + v^\gamma}\right) v^{\sigma-1} dv \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_0^\infty \ln\left(\frac{b + v^\gamma}{a + v^\gamma}\right) dv^\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \left[ v^\sigma \ln\left(\frac{b + v^\gamma}{a + v^\gamma}\right) \Big|_0^\infty \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \gamma \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{a + v^\gamma} - \frac{1}{b + v^\gamma}\right) v^{\sigma+\gamma-1} dv \right] \\ &= \frac{b - a}{\sigma} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{(1+\frac{\sigma}{\gamma})-1}}{(u + a)(u + b)} du. \end{aligned}$$

For  $a > 0$ , by (87), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 k(\sigma) &= \frac{(b-a)\pi}{\sigma \sin \pi(1 + \frac{\sigma}{\gamma})} \left( \frac{a^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}}}{b-a} + \frac{b^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}}}{-b+a} \right) \\
 &= \frac{(b^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} - a^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}})\pi}{\sigma \sin(\frac{\pi\sigma}{\gamma})} \in \mathbf{R}_+.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{94}$$

By using the simple way, we still can obtain (94) for  $a = 0$ .

In view of Theorem 1 and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|T\| = K(\sigma) &:= \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\times \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \frac{(b^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} - a^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}})\pi}{\sigma \sin(\frac{\pi\sigma}{\gamma})}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{95}$$

*Example 10.* We set

$$h(v) = e^{-\rho v^\gamma} (\rho, \gamma, \sigma > 0).$$

Setting  $u = \rho v^\gamma$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 k(\sigma) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho v^\gamma} v^{\sigma-1} dv = \frac{1}{\gamma e^{\sigma/\gamma}} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}-1} du \\
 &= \frac{1}{\gamma \rho^{\sigma/\gamma}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\right) \in \mathbf{R}_+.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{96}$$

In view of Theorem 1 and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|T\| = K(\sigma) &:= \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\times \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \frac{1}{\gamma \rho^{\sigma/\gamma}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\right).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{97}$$

*Example 11.* We set

$$h(v) = \arctan \rho v^{-\gamma} (\rho > 0, 0 < \sigma < \gamma).$$



We find

$$\begin{aligned}
 k(\sigma) &= \int_0^\infty v^{\sigma-1} (\arctan \rho v^{-\gamma}) dv \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_0^\infty (\arctan \rho v^{-\gamma}) dv^\sigma \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \left[ (\arctan \rho v^{-\gamma}) v^\sigma \Big|_0^\infty + \int_0^\infty \frac{\gamma \rho v^{\sigma-\gamma-1}}{1 + (\rho v^{-\gamma})^2} dv \right] \\
 &= \frac{\rho^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}}}{2\sigma} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1+u} u^{\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\sigma}{2\gamma}\right)-1} du \\
 &= \frac{\rho^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}}}{2\sigma} \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{2\gamma}\right)} = \frac{\rho^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} \pi}{2\sigma \cos \left(\frac{\pi\sigma}{2\gamma}\right)} \in \mathbf{R}_+,
 \end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

In view of Theorem 1 and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|T\| = K(\sigma) &:= \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0} \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{j_0}{\beta}\right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\times \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{i_0}{\alpha}\right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \frac{\rho^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}} \pi}{2\sigma \cos \pi \left(\frac{\sigma}{2\gamma}\right)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

*Example 12.* We set

$$h(v) = \operatorname{csc} h(\rho v^\gamma) = \frac{2}{e^{\rho v^\gamma} - e^{-\rho v^\gamma}} \quad (\rho > 0, \sigma > \gamma > 0),$$

where  $\operatorname{csc} h(u) = \frac{2}{e^u - e^{-u}}$  is hyperbolic cosecant function [23]. We find

$$\begin{aligned}
 k(\sigma) = a_\gamma(\sigma) &:= \int_0^\infty v^{\sigma-1} \operatorname{csc} h(\rho v^\gamma) dv \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{2v^{\sigma-1}}{e^{\rho v^\gamma} - e^{-\rho v^\gamma}} dv \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{2v^{\sigma-1} e^{-\rho v^\gamma}}{1 - e^{-2\rho v^\gamma}} dv = 2 \int_0^\infty v^{\sigma-1} \sum_{k=0}^\infty e^{-(2k+1)\rho v^\gamma} dv \\
 &= 2 \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_0^\infty v^{\sigma-1} e^{-(2k+1)\rho v^\gamma} dv.
 \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $u = (2k + 1)\rho v^\gamma$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_\gamma(\sigma) &= \frac{2}{\gamma\rho^{\sigma/\gamma}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k + 1)^{\sigma/\gamma}} \int_0^\infty u^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}-1} e^{-u} du \\
 &= \frac{2}{\gamma\rho^{\sigma/\gamma}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\right) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{\sigma/\gamma}} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k)^{\sigma/\gamma}} \right] \\
 &= \frac{2}{\gamma\rho^{\sigma/\gamma}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\sigma/\gamma}}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\right) \in \mathbf{R}_+, \tag{100}
 \end{aligned}$$

where,  $\zeta\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{\sigma/\gamma}}$  ( $\frac{\sigma}{\gamma} > 1$ ) ( $\zeta(\cdot)$  is the Riemann's zeta function [24]).

In view of Theorem 1 and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|T\| &= A_\gamma(\sigma) := \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{j_0}{\beta}\right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{i_0}{\alpha}\right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} a_\gamma(\sigma). \tag{101}
 \end{aligned}$$

*Example 13.* We set

$$h(v) = \operatorname{sech}(\rho v^\gamma) = \frac{2}{e^{\rho v^\gamma} + e^{-\rho v^\gamma}} (\rho, \sigma, \gamma > 0),$$

where  $\operatorname{sech}(u) = \frac{2}{e^u + e^{-u}}$  is hyperbolic secant function. We find

$$\begin{aligned}
 k(\sigma) &= b_\gamma(\sigma) := \int_0^\infty v^{\sigma-1} \operatorname{sech}(\rho v^\gamma) dv \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{2v^{\sigma-1} dv}{e^{\rho v^\gamma} + e^{-\rho v^\gamma}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{2v^{\sigma-1} e^{-\rho v^\gamma} dv}{1 + e^{-2\rho v^\gamma}} \\
 &= 2 \int_0^\infty v^{\sigma-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k e^{-(2k+1)\rho v^\gamma} dv \\
 &= 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \int_0^\infty v^{\sigma-1} e^{-(2k+1)\rho v^\gamma} dv.
 \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $u = (2k + 1)\rho v^\gamma$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_\gamma(\sigma) &= \frac{2}{\gamma\rho^{\sigma/\gamma}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k + 1)^{\sigma/\gamma}} \int_0^\infty u^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}-1} e^{-u} du \\
 &= \frac{1}{\gamma\rho^{\sigma/\gamma} 2^{(\sigma/\gamma)-1}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\right) \in \mathbf{R}_+,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{102}$$

where

$$\zeta\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k + 1)^{\sigma/\gamma}} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma} > 0\right).$$

In view of Theorem 1 and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|T\| &= B_\gamma(\sigma) := \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{j_0}{\beta}\right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{i_0}{\alpha}\right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} b_\gamma(\sigma).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{103}$$

*Example 14.* We set

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(v) &= \coth h(\rho v^\gamma) - 1 = \frac{e^{\rho v^\gamma} + e^{-\rho v^\gamma}}{e^{\rho v^\gamma} - e^{-\rho v^\gamma}} - 1 \\
 &= \frac{2e^{-\rho v^\gamma}}{e^{\rho v^\gamma} - e^{-\rho v^\gamma}} (\rho > 0, \sigma > \gamma > 0),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\coth h(u) = \frac{e^u + e^{-u}}{e^u - e^{-u}}$  is hyperbolic cotangent function. We find

$$\begin{aligned}
 k(\sigma) &= c_\gamma(\sigma) := \int_0^\infty v^{\sigma-1} (\coth h(\rho v^\gamma) - 1) dv \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{2e^{-\rho v^\gamma} v^{\sigma-1}}{e^{\rho v^\gamma} - e^{-\rho v^\gamma}} dv = \int_0^\infty \frac{2e^{-2\rho v^\gamma} v^{\sigma-1}}{1 - e^{-2\rho v^\gamma}} dv \\
 &= 2 \int_0^\infty v^{\sigma-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-2(k+1)\rho v^\gamma} dv \\
 &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^\infty v^{\sigma-1} e^{-2k\rho v^\gamma} dv.
 \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $u = 2k\rho v^\gamma$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_\gamma(\sigma) &= \frac{2}{\gamma\rho^{\sigma/\gamma}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k)^{\sigma/\gamma}} \int_0^\infty u^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}-1} e^{-u} du \\
 &= \frac{1}{\gamma\rho^{\sigma/\gamma} 2^{(\sigma/\gamma)-1}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\right) \in \mathbf{R}_+.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{104}$$

In view of Theorem 1 and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|T\| = C_\gamma(\sigma) &:= \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{j_0}{\beta}\right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\times \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{i_0}{\alpha}\right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} c_\gamma(\sigma).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{105}$$

Example 15. We set

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(v) &= 1 - \tanh(\rho v^\gamma) = 1 - \frac{e^{\rho v^\gamma} - e^{-\rho v^\gamma}}{e^{\rho v^\gamma} + e^{-\rho v^\gamma}} \\
 &= \frac{2e^{-\rho v^\gamma}}{e^{\rho v^\gamma} + e^{-\rho v^\gamma}} (\rho, \sigma, \gamma > 0),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tanh(u) = \frac{e^u + e^{-u}}{e^u - e^{-u}}$  is hyperbolic tangent function. We find

$$\begin{aligned}
 k(\sigma) = d_\gamma(\sigma) &:= \int_0^\infty v^{\sigma-1} (1 - \tanh(\rho v^\gamma)) dv \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{2e^{-\rho v^\gamma} v^{\sigma-1}}{e^{\rho v^\gamma} + e^{-\rho v^\gamma}} dv = \int_0^\infty \frac{2e^{-2\rho v^\gamma} v^{\sigma-1}}{1 + e^{-2\rho v^\gamma}} dv \\
 &= 2 \int_0^\infty v^{\sigma-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k e^{-2(k+1)\rho v^\gamma} dv \\
 &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \int_0^\infty v^{\sigma-1} e^{-2k\rho v^\gamma} dv.
 \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $u = 2k\rho v^\gamma$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_\gamma(\sigma) &= \frac{2}{\gamma\rho^{\sigma/\gamma}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(2k)^{\sigma/\gamma}} \int_0^\infty u^{\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}-1} e^{-u} du \\
 &= \frac{1}{\gamma\rho^{\sigma/\gamma} 2^{(\sigma/\gamma)-1}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\right) \xi\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\right) \in \mathbf{R}_+,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{106}$$

where,  $\xi\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\right) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^{\sigma/\gamma}}$ .

In view of Theorem 1 and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= D_{\gamma}(\sigma) := \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)}{\beta^{j_0-1}\Gamma\left(\frac{j_0}{\beta}\right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{i_0-1}\Gamma\left(\frac{i_0}{\alpha}\right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} d_{\gamma}(\sigma). \end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

*Note.* The following references [24–31] provide an extensive theory and applications of Analytic Number Theory relating to Riemann’s zeta function that will provide a source study for further research on Hilbert-type inequalities.

**Acknowledgements** This work is supported by The National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 61370186) and 2012 Knowledge Construction Special Foundation Item of Guangdong Institution of Higher Learning College and University (No. 2012KJCX0079).

## References

1. Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E., Pólya, G.: Inequalities. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1934)
2. Mitrinović, D.S., Pečarić, J.E., Fink, A.M.: Inequalities Involving Functions and Their Integrals and Derivatives. Kluwer Academic, Boston (1991)
3. Yang, B.C.: Hilbert-Type Integral Inequalities. Bentham Science Publishers, Sharjah (2009)
4. Yang, B.C.: Discrete Hilbert-Type Inequalities. Bentham Science Publishers, Sharjah (2011)
5. Yang, B.C.: The Norm of Operator and Hilbert-Type Inequalities. Science Press, Beijing (2009)
6. Yang, B.C.: Hilbert-type integral operators: norms and inequalities. In: Paralos, P.M., et al. (eds.) Nonlinear Analysis, Stability, Approximation, and Inequalities, pp. 771–859. Springer, New York (2012)
7. Yang, B.C.: On Hilbert’s integral inequality. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **220**, 778–785 (1998)
8. Yang, B.C., Brnetić, I., Krnić, M., Pečarić, J.E.: Generalization of Hilbert and Hardy-Hilbert integral inequalities. Math. Inequalities Appl. **8**(2), 259–272 (2005)
9. Krnić, M., Pečarić, J.E.: Hilbert’s inequalities and their reverses. Publ. Math. Debrecen **67**(3–4), 315–331 (2005)
10. Yang, B.C., Rassias, T.M.: On the way of weight coefficient and research for Hilbert-type inequalities. Math. Inequalities Appl. **6**(4), 625–658 (2003)
11. Yang, B.C., Rassias, T.M.: On a Hilbert-type integral inequality in the subinterval and its operator expression. Banach J. Math. Anal. **4**(2), 100–110 (2010)
12. Azar, L.: On some extensions of Hardy-Hilbert’s inequality and applications. J. Inequalities Appl. 12 pp (2009). Article ID 546829
13. Arpad, B., Choonghong, O.: Best constant for certain multilinear integral operator. J. Inequalities Appl. 14 pp (2006). Article ID 28582
14. Kuang, J.C., Debnath, L.: On Hilbert’s type inequalities on the weighted Orlicz spaces. Pac. J. Appl. Math. **1**(1), 95–103 (2007)

15. Zhong, W.Y.: The Hilbert-type integral inequality with a homogeneous kernel of Lambda-degree. *J. Inequalities Appl.* 13 pp (2008). Article ID 917392
16. Hong, Y.: On Hardy-Hilbert integral inequalities with some parameters. *J. Inequalities Pure Appl. Math.* **6**(4), 1–10 (2005). Article ID 92
17. Zhong, W.Y., Yang, B.C.: On multiple Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality with kernel. *J. Inequalities Appl.* **2007**, 17 pp (2007). doi:10.1155/2007/27. Article ID 27962
18. Yang, B.C., Krnić, M.: On the norm of a multi-dimensional Hilbert-type operator. *Sarajevo J. Math.* **7**(20), 223–243 (2011)
19. Li, Y.J., He, B.: On inequalities of Hilbert's type. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **76**(1), 1–13 (2007)
20. Kuang, J.C.: *Introduction to Real Analysis*. Human Education Press, Chansha (1996)
21. Kuang, J.C.: *Applied Inequalities*. Shangdong Science Technic Press, Jinan (2004)
22. Pan, Y.L., Wang, H.T., Wang, F.T.: *On Complex Functions*. Science Press, Beijing (2006)
23. Zhong, Y.Q.: *On Complex Functions*. Higher Education Press, Beijing (2004)
24. Edwards, H.M.: *Riemann's Zeta Function*. Dover, New York (1974)
25. Alladi, K., Milovanovic, G.V., Rassias, M.T. (eds.): *Analytic Number Theory, Approximation Theory and Special Functions*. Springer, New York (2014)
26. Apostol, T.M.: *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*. Springer, New York (1984)
27. Erdos, P., Suranyi, J.: *Topics in the Theory of Numbers*. Springer, New York (2003)
28. Hardy, G.H., Wright, E.W.: *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 5th edn. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1979)
29. Iwaniec, H., Kowalski, E.: *Analytic Number Theory*, vol. 53. American Mathematical Society/Colloquium Publications, Rhode Island (2004)
30. Landau, E.: *Elementary Number Theory*, 2nd edn. Chelsea, New York (1966)
31. Miller, S.J., Takloo-Bighash, R.: *An Invitation to Modern Number Theory*. Princeton University Press, Princeton/Oxford (2006)