

Miguel Angel González-Torres and Aranzazu Fernandez-Rivas

Abstract

After reviewing the origins of the concepts of identity and Self, departing from historical psychoanalytical proposals, special focus is placed on the complex process of identity construction in both genders, including core gender identity and gender role identity. Different ways of approaching sexual orientation and sexual behavior are examined, introducing the concept of sexual fluidity and studying the importance of individual variations in those dimensions. The role of others in the process of identity building is analyzed, from the impact of others' sexuality to the influence of large group processes. Deposition phenomena and mechanisms of transgenerational transmission are debated. In the social context, special attention is paid to the imbrication of violence and sexuality, showing differences between men and women regarding this combination throughout history. Finally, a point is made on how social considerations of the respective value of men and women may have a very real and deleterious impact, much beyond feelings of worthlessness or superiority.

4.1 Introduction

Identity is a concept that in its own way eludes us. Intuitively, we know what it is, but it is not easy to define and it has nuances that are overwhelming to those who venture into its depths. Its richness covers the fields of psychology, biology, sexuality, history, sociology and—why not?—economics and politics. Without question, if we're talking about identities that are anomalous, psychiatry should be introduced into the scenario in order to provide comprehension and meaning. To

M.A. González-Torres (✉) • A. Fernandez-Rivas
Department of Neuroscience, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Leioa, Spain
Psychiatry Service, Basurto University Hospital, Bilbao, Spain
e-mail: miguelangel.gonzaleztorres@osakidetza.net; aranzazu.fernandezrivas@osakidetza.net

attempt to examine all of these fields in-depth would surpass the limits of this text, which is why a choice is necessary. In order to provide the reader with some orientation, we are planning to emphasize particular aspects of self-identity as it relates to gender, from a perspective that gives precedence to a psychological point of view, and specifically one in which psychoanalytical theory serves as a guide that is not unique, but certainly preferential.

There are not many references in Freudian writings relating to the concept of identity. It was Erikson [1] who established the key aspects of the concept, which we still consider relevant. Erik Erikson described identity as a global synthesis of functions of the Self on one hand and on the other hand the consolidation of a sense of solidarity with the ideals of a group and group identity. He thus indicated that identity also implied the rejection of a series of unacceptable roles, in a manner in which this constructive process could have affirmative (this is how I am) and negative aspects (this isn't how I am).

Otto Kernberg [2] developed this vision of identity, broadening it, observing that the definition of the ego identity formulated originally by Erikson included the integration of the concept of self. For Kernberg, an approach from the theory of object relations extends this definition by adding the corresponding integration of the concepts of significant others. Westen [3, 4] had previously revised the empirical and theoretical literature on identity and self, signaling the primary components of identity: a sense of continuity in time, an emotional commitment with a set of representations of the Self that have been self-defined, relationships consisting of nuclear roles and values, and ideal standards of the Self, the development and acceptance of a *weltanschauung* that grants significance to life, and a sort of acknowledgement from the significant others regarding our place in this world.

Identity is found to be continually under construction and in this substantial process, the people with whom we establish relationships (the others) play a key part. In his famous concept of the "mirror stage," Lacan [5] describes the so-called imaginary dimension in the creation of the I. This is a period of child development in which the child sees himself through the eyes of his mother, and upon seeing this image he builds his identity. In this complex process, the desires of the mother and the others are introduced, such as the need to reconcile our identity with those that others assign to us. To that end, we feel obligated to hide aspects about ourselves that may be fundamental, generating an identity and a presence that is always partial and at the same time a continuous longing to recover what we felt obliged to hide. The pressure toward conformity, toward a more-or-less subtle accommodation of the far-removed desire, is grounded in the deepest parts of our nature. For this reason, in a certain way, within each personal identity, we can find traces of the society to which that individual belongs, traces that indicate the pressures taken in so that the subject, in development, should occupy the space for growth available and no more. In this sense, Fromm [6] assures us that the development implies "mystification", a process that provides us with a costume in which we can present ourselves, and with which we can relate to others.

Identity is very topical in psychoanalytical publications, with whole issues dedicated to it (e.g., Ermann [7]). Undoubtedly, this multiplicity of attention, in a

world as heterogeneous as that of psychoanalysis, challenges us with definitions that are very different with regard to the concept of identity, which are sometimes difficult or impossible to integrate. The idea of identity that we deal with below, in this text, reflects a mode of thought regarding this term that is characteristic of current psychoanalytical authors belonging to various theoretical schools, from the North American ego psychology, the most contemporary version, to the theory of object relations or relational or intersubjective lines of thought.

In order to clarify our position further, we will say that we consider identity to be an internal representation of our global person, which incorporates a significant temporal aspect: a vision of the past, which explains where we have come from, including a social and familial narrative, a vision of the present that includes our place in the world and a vision of the future that includes our ideals and desires for tomorrow.

4.2 Concept of Self

When reviewing psychoanalytical literature regarding the Self, there is a degree of confusion about the term. Different authors utilize the same terms in order to indicate different realities. The concept of “I” overlaps with that of the Self, or with that of the “ego,” or even with that of the person. The peculiar translation by Strachey does not help in this process, taking the German “*Ich*,” seemingly under pressure from Ernest Jones, and instead of changing it to the English “I,” as in other languages, ended up transforming it into the peculiar “ego,” which has had so much success in Anglo-Saxon psychoanalytical literature and even in international popular literature. Even Freud utilizes the term “ego” (*Ich*) in two ways: to refer to a part of the psychic apparatus in his structural theory of the mind, and also in order to indicate the entire person, or Self. This equivalence between the person and the Self continues to this day, from authors such as Meissner [8], a true exegete of the work of Freud, reaching levels of analysis of extreme complexity.

Meissner [8] points out some fundamental characteristics of the Self, especially in its role as generator of structure.

- The Self is equal to the person, therefore is a source of agency
- The Self includes the three components (Id, Ego, Superego) as substructures
- The Self includes experiential and non-experiential dimensions
- The Self-as-agent is the source of all the actions of a person
- The Agency of the Self is shared by the Id, the Ego, and the Superego
- The relation of the Self with the tripartite model is supraordinated
- The intrasystemic and intersystemic conflicts reflect patterns in the diversification and interaction of the functions of the Self
- The concept of the Self as a structure contrasts with that of the Self as representation
- The internationalisations are primarily modifications of the system of the Self and may be ascribed secondarily to substructures or representations

We can see that the concept of Self varies according to various schools of thought and authors. We observe two fundamental ways in which the Self can be conceived. One is as a substructure, considering the Self as being equivalent to the I, or rather, taking the Self as a structure of a greater entity that contains within itself all of the parts of the psychic apparatus. In this sense, the concept of the Self runs parallel to that of the I in the work of Freud, which alternates between the two uses described. On the other hand, there is a different usage, which we consider to be greater today. This would be the use of the Self as representation, equivalent to the global person, especially in the context of object relations. This is the more standard use in contemporary psychoanalytical literature. Applebaum [9] considers the structure to be composed of “stable configurations of the Self and the object,” thus developing the classic formulations of Kernberg [10].

In summation, we should say that in this text we consider the Self to be equivalent to the global person, following the extensive use of the concept indicated in the work of Meissner [8], as well as many other authors. To that end, the idea of the identity of the Self (self-identity), in our case, reflects the very conception of our person in the most global sense, including one’s generic identity, with all of the subtleties that we describe below.

We should also indicate that the confluence of the concepts of self-identity and gender lead us to different analyses. One is the examination of the process of acquisition of gender identity and of gender role identity. The other is a reflection regarding the different factors that affect the construction of self-identity in men and women. We will touch upon the first topic and concentrate more on the second, which, to our understanding, has not been sufficiently dealt with in the literature, and is of great interest.

4.3 Gender Identity and Gender Role Identity

What are we talking about, in natural terms, when we refer to gender identity?

1. What I am. This is the result of what my biological body affirms and the response that society provides in the face of it. It is important to highlight this aspect: contemporary research indicates that feedback from parents is vital for this initial identity construction relating to gender to take place. The body alone is not sufficient. To paraphrase Freud, we should say that anatomy is, normally, destiny.
2. How I feel. Independently of the body, I can feel like a woman or a man, or perhaps something intermediate between the two. Certainly, 1 and 2 tend to coincide, but that is not obligatory.
3. How I act. I represent a social role in front of the others, which includes a multitude of subtleties relating to attire, language, movement, interaction.
4. Whom I desire. Men and women exclusively, or fluidly, one or the other, according to the moment. . .
5. Whom I select as a life partner. This can be a choice in line with the above, or not.

Within this conceptual variety, two elements occupy a preferential position [11]. They are core gender identity, based on biological and constitutional aspects, and gender role identity, built on a foundation of social and collective provisions. We direct our reflections here toward those points in particular.

The concept of bisexuality in Freud occupies a very central position in his thoughts regarding human sexual development. The social and academic attitude toward this proposal has gone back and forth throughout the years. Often, it has even been vehemently rejected. Nowadays, it has been accepted once again, in line with the increased attention currently paid to the very early relationship with parental figures, especially the mother. The processes of identification and fusion with the mother are today considered key to generic identity formation, which for Stoller [12, 13] is firmly established before the age of 2 years, before any type of Oedipal eventuality. Authors such as Benjamin [14] draw attention to the limitations of the classic Freudian theory on the subject and propose a more integrated vision of the processes that constitute gender. For this author, the boy and girl want what they don't have, in addition to what they have, not instead of what they have. Logically, from this point of reflection, the classic lines of thinking regarding "fault," "injury," "envy," or even "castration," acquire different nuances.

Some people, including some researchers, are uncomfortable with this complex reality and even reject it. It is certain that a good part of the population, possibly the majority of the population, displays a consistency between inner life, social role, desire, and partner choice, but undoubtedly there is another group, perhaps larger than we believe, that does not comply with this generality. For a while now, homosexual men and women have also been becoming a field of study and every day we know more about their lives and their internal world as well as their relationships. However, we still know little about those who do not fall under that narrow definition of homosexuality. Those who show orientation or identities that are more complex tend to be considered outliers in the world of science and academia, set apart from normal studies. The result is a general loss. A loss, perhaps, for these people, who could see themselves being more integrated into the social norms in which they live, and without question a loss for us, since the study of different lives can enormously enrich our comprehension of key phenomena such as identity, sexuality, and gender.

Without question, the study of "different" sexualities and their construction is perceived as a danger within society in general. Sexuality, understood in the broader sense of Stoller [15] as that which has to do with gender identity and desire, is a fundamental pillar of individual and collective identity. To establish other possible sexual identities would provoke a furious reaction in some and a more discreet rejection from almost everyone else. There is something intimate that breaks when we are faced with things that might be different, that we might desire other people or feel differently. It seems like the acquisition of this generic identity, especially the masculine identity, is a laborious and fragile process that we should take care of and protect. The conduct of these extreme minorities that go from rejection to frank aggression to those who are different show a major trace of tendencies and movements that affect society as a whole. Some turn their attention

toward the social–political background of the gender roles, and the unequal distribution of power that they entail, considering social forces, expressed through certain individual attitudes, as the ultimate cause of the anxiety that is felt in the face of the possibility of there being differences. In our opinion, the anxiety has a deeper origin. Damasio [16] describes the so-called extended Self, which originates in the autobiographical conscience and thus comes from that identity continuity through time: I am who I was yesterday and who I was before then. We should say that gender identity and the disposition of our desire constitute aspects that are absolutely nuclear within this extended Self. When the final certainties relating to gender are called into question, panic comes to the fore.

The situation regarding sexuality in contemporary psychoanalytical theory deserves reflection, even if it is brief. We should say that the central position that sexuality occupied within the origins of psychoanalysis has changed greatly. Amazingly, in spite of the growing attention that biological matters are awakening in many neighboring disciplines such as cognitive theory, psychoanalysis has moved away from what were its first signs of identity. The relational perspective, already present in the works of Erikson [17], more intensely in the contributions of Klein et al. [18] and followers, and today more so in the relational theories of Mitchell [19], has in a way desexualized the sexual encounter to the point of considering it simply a variation of the way in which humans connect with each other. Nonetheless, as Fonagy indicates in a review [20], the reality around us, which shows us the constant presence of sexual inhibitions and lack of satisfaction, conflicts, and perversions, the tremendous intensity of guilt, jealousy, and rage that are involved in sexuality, still remind us the central role of sexual function. Possibly Kernberg [21], with his more integrated model that assigns a greater role to drives, is one of the few contemporary theorists, together with Laplanche [22], who continue to consider sexuality to be central to the internal world and to human behavior.

Nancy Chodorow [23] warns us of the necessity of valuing sexuality in an individual manner and of avoiding empty generalizations. She criticizes over-generalization, universalism, and essentialism and advocates consideration of the individual route, which each subject goes through, and of the thousand different ways of creating global and gender identity. She indicates to us, referring to the woman: “It is apparent that gender, like selfhood, must be individually unique... There are many psychologies of women. Each woman creates her own psychological gender through emotionally and conflictually charged unconscious fantasies that help construct her inner world, that projectively imbue cultural conceptions, and that interpret her sexual anatomy. By making some unconscious fantasies and interpretations more salient than others, each woman creates her own prevalent animation of gender.” It is difficult to synthesize the description of such a complex process any better. It might be possible to extend this reflection to men as well.

We frequently talk about gender identity or about sexual orientation as if we were talking about traces that, once fully formed at the end of adolescence, remained immovable forever. Recent works, such as that of Lisa Diamond [24],

put the concept of sexual fluency on the table. They use this term to refer to a characteristic pertaining to an unknown but significant number of women who feel attraction toward different genders at different points in their lives, without identifying completely with one stable gender role. Diamond points to some facts that require explanation: changes in sexual identity over time, the sensation that identity and orientation do not presuppose anything definitive with regard to the future, the fact that for a group of women, non-exclusive attractions are more often the norm than the exception, and the diminished importance of early experiences with regard to predicting future identity and orientation. This sexual fluidity seems to affect women more than men, who may keep themselves more rigidly glued to their identity and choice of sexual object starting in adolescence. Nonetheless, as the author indicates, these groups of the population have not been sufficiently studied, and have been considered anomalies, outliers, that have distorted the vision of the whole. A specific study could lead us to reconsider this matter in the case of men as well.

4.4 Construction of Identity

The construction of a global identity within which gender identity occupies an important place deserves specific attention. Do men and women develop a different constructive process? Boys and girls depart from a psycho-biological structure, which develops later within a familial and social context. The possible differences between men and women within this process have been explored insufficiently, but it seems clear that, given the existing differences relating to genetics, biology, psychology, experience, social relations, etc., there could be differences worth mentioning. The identity structure of an individual is always complex, made up of multiple layers, the product of successive significant interpersonal links over time.

Freud presents a famous dictum: “Anatomy is destiny,” signaling the fundamental importance of all things biological in defining the identity of the individual, in general, and especially in the sexual realm. In his opinion, the structure of our body was the frame of identity, the scaffold upon which the perception that the individual has of himself and his place in the world is construed. Over the years, different authors, inside and outside psychoanalysis, have called into question Freud’s dictum, ascribing more value to all that is acquired throughout one’s experiences, be they within the confines of the family or in society in general.

Possibly, the concept of identity and representation of the Self are accepted as equivalent in the contemporary psychoanalytical world. Authors today often link this representation of the Self with representations of the object, thus forming pairs of representations that are internalized and that would form the basis of that global identity. Along these lines, personal identity includes a vision of the object in relation to the Self, and not just of the Self alone. In other words, the internal presence of the other is a fundamental element in our identity; those whom we know form a part of our own selves. Taking gender into account, we could consider that

within the inner structure of each person is a representation of the other sex and thus in some manner the other sex forms part of the basic building blocks of our personality and of our global being. We have come close to the most complex manner that individuals employ to construct their gender identity, their gender role and, finally, their desires. Obviously, each one of these aspects should play a relevant role in the construction of our Self, of our global persona, and with that, of our identity in the broadest sense of the word. The man contains the woman and the woman contains the man.

If we approach this from a vision of the Self as the result of the incorporation into the internal world of internalized representations of object relations, it is clear that the image that we maintain of ourselves and that which others give back to us come to form part of this identity. There is no Self without the object; there is no representation of the Self without representation of the other. How I feel leads to how I act and this second part produces a reaction within the environment that simultaneously conditions the response of the former. There is a fluctuation of experiences and reactions that generate identity. As with the mother, in the period that Lacan calls the “mirror stage,” who gives the child the image that he seeks, the others are mirrors in front of which we pose. In them we seek something with which to build the representation of our Self, which is, so to speak, our own identity.

It could be that this “other” of the opposite gender that each one of us has within has to do with the intense effect men and women have on each other with their mere presence. Popular wisdom is aware of this powerful force. When asked if she saw a possible relationship between religious people of different genders, Saint Teresa of Avila affirmed: “Between a saintly man and saintly woman. . .; a bolted and barred-up wall.” Phryne before the Areopagus, the mermaids charming sailors with their songs, or the horrific stare of Medusa, are but examples that demonstrate this influence, well-known from the dawn of time.

Coming back to the psychoanalytical literature, we see that Freud warns Jung in a letter: “The way these women manage to charm us with every conceivable psychic perfection until they have attained their purpose is one of nature’s great spectacles” (quoted in Fonagy [20]). In this ambivalent line that Freud was expressing to his favorite disciple, prior to their definitive break, we see that the Viennese genius acknowledges the difficulty that comes with seeing oneself liberated from the influence of the other sex, since only trained and vigilant clinicians could isolate themselves from that influence.

If we consider the construction of identity as construction of the Self, we should pay logical attention to Kohut in particular, founder of the Self Psychology School [25]. Kohut and Wolf indicate how the construction of the identity, parallel to the development of narcissism, implies the relationship with three types of objects that cover different necessities. He would call those objects “self-objects.” The self-object of idealization would be that which allows the child in development to cast an admiring stare toward a powerful and capable person. The mirroring self-object would be that which gives the child back a valuable image of himself. Lastly, the twin object would correspond to a peer, an equal with whom the relationship would be built based on symmetry. The connection with objects of these characteristics

allows the subject's Self to develop soundly, reaching the necessary intensity with regard to his ambitions, ideals, and goals. It is interesting that Kohut and Wolf do not pay much attention to the differences between men and women on this journey. It is possible that in setting aside Freudian structural theory and in so doing, taking away the importance of the drives, they downplayed the study of sexuality. Consequently, in their opinion, gender and desire do not represent an area of special interest. Characters in Kohut and Wolf's theoretical narrative seem strangely asexual.

Spitz [26] describes a series of stages that are essential in the development of the human infant, which he calls "organizers" and which include steps that are indispensable for understanding the development of the child's identity. The first is the social smile, which presupposes the acknowledgement of oneself as a member of the human species. The child does not smile at objects or at animals, only at humans and thus, to smile is to belong to the human race, to be a part of us. It happens by the second or third month. The second organizer described by Spitz is anxiety in the face of strangers, which allows for fundamentally connected figures to be differentiated (those who do not cause anxiety) from the rest (those who do cause anxiety). This occurs at 8 months. Last, the third organizer is the NO, which appears in the second year. This is the simplest way to distinguish oneself: I am not you. In adolescence the NO appears again, with a force that did not exist at 2 years of age. The process of the construction of gender identity is concluded at around 3 years of age. Nonetheless, the process of the construction of the identity in general continues until the end of adolescence. Mahler [27], with his proposal regarding the initial process of separation/individuation, and Blos [28], through his approach to adolescence as the second period of separation/individuation, completes the proposals that are essential in this context.

Volkan [29] describes in detail the process of "intergenerational transmission," which he explains as a set of ideals and fears that pass from one generation to the next, having a powerful effect even within the span of decades and centuries. Standing out in this process is the phenomenon of "deposition," which overlaps with that of projective identification. The mother "deposits" within the child her dreams, hopes, and fears and the way in which the child can escape this destiny that is written out for him. There is also a gender problem present here in the sense that the dreams of the mother relating to the social tribe (large group) to which she belongs especially refer to the values placed on the masculine figure in the society in which they live. The heroes are, in particular, men, and the stories relating to them are transmitted by the mother in particular. Collective identity, the feeling of belonging to the large group that is the nation or homeland has to do with processes of generational transmission and thus with deposition phenomena in which the mother, with her inevitably sexualized and sexualizing vision, plays a primordial role.

The obvious influence of the environment does not impede in any way the valuation of the importance of genetics in the construction of identity. The field of epigenetics [30] shows us how the environment is capable of powerfully influencing the expression of genetic material, thus offering a bridge that helps us

to understand how early interpersonal connections are capable of acting over the chains of nucleotides and generating different proteins.

Emilce Dio Bleichmar, in her extraordinary text *The Spontaneous Feminism of Hysteria* [31], reveals how hysteria represents, in our culture, the largest exponent of the profoundly conflictive dimension of feminine sexuality. In the face of the devaluation of her gender, the woman, in our culture, tries out vicarious forms of narcissization, adding certain phallic-like traits to her femininity, or addressing a man who tells her who she is. Thus, the infantile/dependent personality, or rather the hysterical personality and the phallic/narcissistic personality, makes up a psycho-pathological type whose pivot is the acceptance or rejection of stereotypes relating to gender roles. For us, the sexual enjoyment of women who freely desire and obtain sexual satisfaction poses a transgression. This “spontaneous feminism” of the hysteria constitutes a form of reaction in the face of the devaluation of the feminine gender role among us. The hysterical conduct can thus be a manifestation of the distancing of women from the positions of power still in force.

Those who surround us serve a key function in the construction and development of our identity. Others act as a mirror and as a counter-point, signaling what we lack and also what value we hold. Without question, others contribute to the generation within ourselves of a sensation of pertinence to a group, they show us who is the other, and likewise they help us to build the experience of otherness. In a game of projections and introjections, such as the relationship between mother and baby, we build an individual identity that is still collective, mirroring those who are other. However, we must not forget that the image that we are given back by others undoubtedly contains aspects that do not belong to us and therefore there is always something that is ours in the vision that we have of others, and something of ours in that of theirs. Kristeva [32] bluntly affirms that only the acceptance of one’s own otherness, of the strangeness that inhabits us, can lead us to more human levels of relationships with others who surround us. Our internal world is certainly a world, conceived of diverse parts that blend together in different harmonies in order to create an interior landscape that mimics the variety and conflict of the external world.

Two events of the last few decades illustrate that strange fluctuation in identity that affects both genders. On the one hand, the development of feminist proposals that seek a different place for women in 20th century society, a place that is not the traditional one for the wife/mother or lover, in order to recover in some way the place of the warrior woman, in the broadest sense of the term; the woman who is capable of thinking and acting freely and at the same time expressing her aggressive drive: to struggle, compete, and, why not, to win over the man who travels at her side. This appearance, for some, accompanies previous and parallel changes that occurred within the role of the man and the view that he had of the woman. The 20th century man becomes more misogynistic, more contemptuous of the woman, searches more for his identity through the rejection of all things feminine that may reside in him, including, of course, any satisfaction derived from submission. At the same time, in an almost parallel fashion to the feminist movement are proposals, especially literary ones, that talk about the attractive side of submission

and the surrender on the part of women who freely choose that path among many others. From the *Story of O* to *Fifty Shades of Grey*, there is a whole tradition of narratives that are openly masochistic and that in some way demonstrate a sensitive part of the woman, and the entire social body. At a moment of almost complete liberty of thought and narration in the developed world, some women, and men, observe in fascinated fashion how the duality of sado-masochism seems to offer new modes of thought regarding parts of our desires that were previously unmentionable. Jean Paulhan, the prestigious Parisian man of letters and lover of Anne Desclos, the secret author (even for him) of the *Story of O*, indicates with what we can guess is a certain relief, that masochistic fantasies express a true feminine desire to be submissive and dominated. However, some authors, such as Anita Phillips [33], observe that, as always, there are things that hide beneath the surface. The young woman who chooses to be submissive may be in search of something that goes beyond what her fascinated or proud partner may provide for her. The submission of her Self seems to open unknown doors and allow for the exploration of new inner worlds, and in this journey of discovery the partner who exercises dominance can be merely a useful tool. Stoller [34] warns us that the simple search of pathology in sado-masochism presupposes a view that is too restrictive, and which loses the importance of nuances related to the identity and personality of the protagonists.

4.5 Social Aspects of Self-Identity

Psychosocial identity is composed of social and personal components, the shape of which can vary from context to context [11]. Surroundings beyond one's family, spanning the entire society, exert a continuous influence in the construction and development of identity. Society as a whole is constantly changing; therefore, this influence varies throughout different times and places.

Our Western society has changed its ways and the ways in which it organizes itself in recent decades, bringing about notable changes in the roles expected of individuals according to gender. In general, there has been a massive incorporation of women into the workplace, at a different pace and intensity depending on the specific countries, of course, and this has obviously changed aspects of the family, economics, health, education, and politics in the whole of society. Speaking about our contemporary welfare society, sociologist Javier Elzo [35] says: "The mother has had to leave the house; the father still hasn't returned." The new role that women play has been accompanied by an apparent sense of anxiety in their masculine counterparts, unsure of their role in this new society and going back and forth between indifference and hostile rejection, or even voluntary over-involvement in the new order. The answers to questions such as "who am I?" or "what is my role?" are more complex than ever before, for everyone: for women who are developing a professional career without abandoning the traditional position of taking care of their own, and for men who add to their usual role an attitude of care-giving, which has never before been their role.

Society hopes and fears different things regarding the conduct of boys and girls. Parents and adults in general are attentively watching both, and reacting in different ways. Concern over sissy behavior in a boy far outweighs concern over tomboy activity in a girl [11]. There is a conviction that is implicit within society, in the sense that masculine identity is more fragile and carries with it more risks than the feminine identity, because of which it is considered necessary to understand and provide a continuity with regard to the conduct and attitudes of masculine children, in order to avoid anomalies in future gender identity. It is as if that masculine identity requires constant validation from the environment and particularly from women within that environment. As they have done time and again, poets depict these complex problems with great precision: “. . . it is said that a man is not a man / unless he hears his name / come from the lips of a woman / this could be true. . .” It is fascinating to witness how the personal value of the man seems linked to the mere idea of being one. Thus, it is others, especially women, who give or take away value from the individual. In women, gender identity seems to be not so linked to personal value. Feminine gender identity is provided as a given and does not depend as much on confirmation from third parties, such as is the case with men. To summarize, we would say that the woman needs confirmation of her worth, not of who she is. The man, on the other hand, needs to have who he is confirmed, because that is where his value lies.

Sexuality, especially adult sexuality represented by a couple that enjoys that union, always makes the social group uneasy. This group, submitting to primitive forces, observes the couple with mistrust, and promotes the disengagement of men and women in order to return to that primitive and infantile sexuality pertaining to large groups. The couple has to constantly protect itself from that pernicious influence, knowing that the struggle has no end. Large groups in which aggression has taken place and therefore functioning is even more primitive do not tolerate mature sexuality among their ranks and they make moves to articulate rules that regulate the sexuality of couples, looking upon love and happy desire with hostile envy [36]. From this we surmise that two human qualities that any totalitarian system will try to stamp out are doubt and personal intimacy, for both threaten the total control of individuals by the state [37]. In his novel *1984*, Orwell [38] describes how Big Brother watches and regulates love and hunts down the protagonist couple that has escaped its control. In one startling scene, the police savagely torture the male protagonist and in his desperation he tries to provide the information that would stop the pain. But there are no questions and therefore he finds no answer. This goes on until a terrifying conviction overcomes him; he shouts, asking for her to be the one who is tortured so that he might be spared. We can imagine the smile on the torturer in having achieved his objective: there is no bond that resists the State; love and sex are at the service of the group and do not exist without it.

Throughout history the same discovery is repeatedly found: the enormous difficulty in combining aggression and sexuality in woman. However, we find a long tradition of mixing violence and sexuality in men. The rape and capture of the enemy's women is a historical act sustained throughout cultures and time periods, from remote antiquity up to today. Sudan, Rwanda, and Bosnia are recent scenarios

in which violence against women and forced sexuality become another aspect of combat. It is a part that serves various ends, as with any other act of war, from the sowing of terror within the enemy, to the punishment of the enemy for his actions or even for the victor to humiliate him, leaving him with offspring that will perpetuate the humiliation. Also, the possibility of an animalistic and irresponsible sexuality that would never be allowed within the group may make up another part of the spoils of war. This is why, for the man, there is no difficulty in combining his roles as lover, father, and warrior. Together with this terrible custom, which is well known, we find a historic footprint of another means of fusing eroticism and militarism. The homosexual link among men who fight together, more or less disguised and/or sublimated, forms a long tradition. The military fraternity shows a discreet path for the comrades to express affection, desexualized at first, that tends to be considered “purer” than the affection between a man and a woman. A classic example that has stayed in the collective memory is that of the so-called Sacred Band [39], the elite unit of the Teban infantry in the 4th century BC, which remained undefeated for 40 years until its complete annihilation by Philip II of Macedon in the Battle of Chaeronea. This combat group was, in accordance with tradition, composed of a 150 couples, of lover and beloved who fought and died together. The history of this undefeated phalanx, which contrasts with the famous 300 Spartans who, guided by Leonidas, contained the Persians in the Battle of Thermopylae, has inspired poets and narrators throughout the centuries, turning the love, sexual or otherwise, between warriors into something that is not only acceptable but sublime. The permanence of this legendary group in historic memory tells us, without question, about the fascination that the combination of violence and sexuality in men has instilled in our culture.

Giuliana Galli-Carminati [40] and other researchers of the feminine identity approach the concept of the “woman warrior,” considering it the third pole of the female archetype. This would be a pole that throughout time will be left lacking all sexual and identity-related nuance before finally disappearing to the benefit of the two other mythological female figures: the mother/wife and the lover. To briefly analyze the history, the authors demonstrate that in some of the most ancient civilizations, the figures of warrior goddesses occupied a distinguished place in the religious pantheon. In the majority of the cases, these warrior women could not maintain a complete sense of sexuality: or they were virgins in the current sense of the word (Greece), or they were limited to sexual relations without ending up as mothers or wives (Sumer). In Semitic cultures in particular, those from which our Western culture derives, the view was rather misogynist, placing the woman in positions that are socially valued less and therefore taking her away from violent action. It would be tempting to venture a possible relationship between this strict separation of sexuality and violence in the Greco-Roman culture and the historical difficulty of the Christian civilization in accepting a passionate and happy sexuality that goes beyond the reproductive function. The myths of the Amazons, the Gorgon or the Furies, free and virginal, indicate to us how, starting in antiquity, the absence of sexuality was considered the key to achieving a life free from submission to man. The warrior woman, independent and capable, had to pay a price, her own

sexuality, and she renounced being part of a couple as well as maternity. The reappearance among our cultural myths of feminine figures (cinema, comics, TV series, etc.), who are independent and capable of violence continue to demonstrate these ancestral parameters, as if we still lived in classic antiquity: the sexuality of these heroines is partial or absent. It continues to be impossible to integrate loving passion, maternity, and violence within the same feminine figure.

The fact that the overwhelming majority of serial killers have been men could shed some light for us too on identities and genders. It may be said that in the internal world of women there is no possibility of a representation of the Self that is charged with such meanness. The intense anti-social traits that are accompanied by the total lack of compassion that characterizes psychopaths seem to characterize women less, perhaps through pressure and expectations that are social, cultural, genetic, hormonal, etc. Of course, there are some women capable of carrying out very violent and ruthless acts, but they are very rare outliers in a masculine world. In general, their participation in extreme sadistic acts is usually in the role of companion or assistants to the men, who take the initiative in these crimes, either luring the victim into a trap or collaborating in the very torture and related assignments [41]. Remember the young attractive woman who accompanies the magician in her role of distracting the public and helping the master to execute his tricks. Lest we forget, anyway, that is precisely this diverting of the audience's attention that allows the performer to carry out his final surprise.

The impact of the social attitudes and views toward individuals according to their gender is not limited to the internal world of the protagonists and in favor of the development of rather conflicting identities. Sometimes this effect has a vitally transcendent aspect, literally. The winner of the Nobel Prize in Economics, Amartya Sen, has analyzed a worrying phenomenon [42–44]. In 1992, Sen published in the *British Journal of Medicine* a brief paper of great impact: *The Missing Women*. It analyzed how in some Asian countries such as India, China, Korea, and others the small preponderance of women vs men that can be found in the rest of the world simply did not exist. These countries were “lacking” many women, with an estimated number of many millions. In order to counteract the argument of some regarding the determinant importance of poverty in this phenomenon, Sen referred to the comparison of countries that were similarly poor in Africa, confirming that in those the proportion of women compared with men in the general population was similar to France or UK, and much different from the populations of the Asian countries indicated. The reason for this tragedy was, for Sen, the disparity in health-care for girls compared with boys, which was related to a clear preference within these social groups for men instead of women. In his first analysis, Sen had already indicated the general education of the population and in particular of the women and girls as being one of the fundamental ways of overcoming this scourge. Returning to the problem, years later, Sen found that medical attention to boys and girls has partially balanced out in many of these countries. Nonetheless, the proportions of men and women have continued in the same vein. One new test of the data reveals a reality that has not been perceived before: the advances in medical technology have now allowed many of those Asian countries to find out the gender of babies

before birth, and have brought about the selective abortion of female fetuses. In this way, even though health-care had been balanced for both sexes, the number of births of girls decreased and the end result was the reassertion of previous proportions, and thus, a lack of large numbers of women. In a recent review of this reality (2013), Sen shows that in some of these social groups, the level of education of the population has risen clearly and many women have obtained access to levels of training that are much greater than those of their mothers. These women are now more capable of providing the same care to their own daughters and sons, although these are the women who access that technology and opt to selectively abort according to the gender of the baby prior to birth. Undoubtedly, there is a very important social aspect when acquiring an identity, and of course, at when assigning value to that identity. The reality of the “missing women” obliges us to face this absence of value in persons of the female sex in different social groups. The lack of value that is undoubtedly also transferred by women in the group, women who cannot escape those general values in which they are immersed and from which their lives, family, work, ideals, and desires are forged.

We men and women weave the web of oppression. Every knot we tie submits us inexorably to the influence of forces beyond ourselves, which make up our identity, as individuals, as men, and as women.

References

1. Erikson E. On the generational cycle. *Int J Psychoanal.* 1980;61:213–23.
2. Kernberg OF. Identity: recent findings and clinical implications. *Psychoanal Q.* 2006;75:969–1003.
3. Westen D. *Self and society: narcissism, collectivism and the development of morals.* New York: Cambridge University Press; 1985.
4. Westen D. The cognitive self and psychoanalytic self: can we put ourselves together? *Psychoanal Inq.* 1992;3(1):1–13.
5. Lacan J. The mirror stage as formative of the/function as revealed in psychoanalytic experience. In: Fink B, Trans. *Ecrits: the first complete edition in English* (p. 75–81). New York: Norton & Company; 2006.
6. Fromm E. *Psicoanálisis de la sociedad contemporánea: hacia una sociedad sana.* México: Fondo de Cultura Económica; 1989.
7. Ermann M. Special issue on identity. *Int Forum Psychoanal.* 2004;13(4):209–83.
8. Meissner WW. The self as structural. *Psychoanal Contemp Thought.* 2000;23:373–416.
9. Applebaum A. Psychotherapeutic routes to structural change. *Bull Mennin Clinic.* 1994;58:37–54.
10. Kernberg OK. *Object relations theory and clinical psychoanalysis.* New York: Jason Aronson; 1976.
11. Meissner WW. Gender identity and the self: I gender formation in general and in masculinity. *Psychoanal Rev.* 2005;92:1–27.
12. Stoller RJ. *Sex and gender.* London: Karnac Books; 1984.
13. Stoller RJ. *Presentations of gender.* New Haven, CT: Yale University Press; 1985.
14. Benjamin J. Sameness and difference: toward an “overinclusive” model of gender development. *Psychoanal Inq.* 1995;15:125–42.
15. Stoller RJ. *Sweet dreams: erotic plots.* London: Karnac Books; 2009.

16. Damasio A. *The feeling of what happens: body and emotion in the making of consciousness*. New York: Mariner Books; 2000.
17. Erikson E. *Childhood and society*. New York: Norton; 1950.
18. Klein M, Heinman P, Isaacs RJ, editors. *Developments in psychoanalysis*. London: Hogarth Press; 1946.
19. Mitchell SA. *Relational concepts in psychoanalysis: an integration*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 1988.
20. Fonagy P. Psychosexuality and psychoanalysis. An Overview. In: Fonagy P, Krause R, Leuzinger-Bohleven M, editors. *Identity, gender and sexuality*. London: Karnac Books; 2009.
21. Kernberg O. *Love relations. Normality and Pathology*. New Haven, CT/London: Yale University Press; 1995.
22. Laplanche J. Seduction, persecution, revelation. *Int J Psychoanal*. 1995;76:663–82.
23. Chodorow NJ. Theoretical gender and clinical gender: epistemological reflections on the psychology of women. *J Am Psychoanal Assoc*. 1996;44S:215–38.
24. Diamond LM. *Sexual fluidity* Understanding human love and desire*. Cambridge/London: Harvard University Press; 2008.
25. Kohut H, Wolf ES. The disorders of the self and their treatment: an outline. *Int J Psychoanal*. 1978;59:413–25.
26. Spitz RA. *The first year of life: a psychoanalytic study of normal and deviant development of object relations*. Oxford, England: International Universities Press; 1965.
27. Mahler MS. On the first three subphases of the separation-individuation process. *Int J Psychoanal*. 1972;53(3):333–8.
28. Blos P. *On adolescence: a psychoanalytic interpretation*. New York: Simon and Schuster; 1966.
29. Volkan V. *Psychoanalytic technique expanded: a textbook on psychoanalytic treatment*, vol. 2. Charlottesville, VA: Pitchstone Publishing; 2012.
30. Szyf M. Epigenetics, DNA methylation, and chromatin modifying drugs. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2009;49:243–63.
31. Dio BE. *El feminismo espontáneo de la histeria*. Madrid: Adograp; 1985.
32. Kristeva J. *Strangers to ourselves*. New York: Columbia University Press; 1991.
33. Phillips A. *A defense of masochism*. New York: Saint Martin's Press; 1998.
34. Stoller RJ. *Pain and passion*. New York: Da Capo Press; 1991.
35. Elzo J. Tipología y modelos de relación familiar, vol. 21. Congreso La familia en la sociedad del siglo; 2003. p. 17–8.
36. Kernberg OF. The sexual couple: a psychoanalytic exploration. *Psychoanal Rev*. 2011;98: 217–45.
37. Lemann N. The new deal we didn't know. *The New York Review of Books*, September 26; 2013. p. 23–5.
38. Orwell G. 1984. London: Signet Classics; 1950.
39. Leitaó D. The legend of the sacred band. In: Nussbaum M, Sihvola J, editors. *The sleep of reason. Erotic experience and sexual ethics in ancient Greece and Rome*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press; 2002.
40. Perroud N, Galli-Carminati G, Carminati G, Garbino MV. Le troisieme pole de l'archetype feminin. In: Galli-Carminati G, editor. *La femme guerriere. Le troisieme pole de l'archetype feminin*. Firenze: L'Autore Libri Firenze; 2009.
41. Stone M. *The anatomy of evil*. New York: Prometheus Books; 2009.
42. Sen A. Missing women. *Br Med J*. 1992;304:587–8.
43. Sen A. Missing women. Revisited. *Br Med J*. 2003;327:1297–8.
44. Sen A. India's women: the mixed truth, vol. LX. *The New York Review of Books*, Number 15, October 10–23; 2013. p. 24–7.