

A New Finite Volume Scheme for a Linear Schrödinger Evolution Equation

Abdallah Bradji

Abstract We consider the linear Schrödinger evolution equation with a time dependent potential in several space dimension. We provide a new implicit time finite volume scheme, using the general nonconforming meshes of [2] as discretization in space. We prove that the convergence order is $h_{\mathcal{D}} + k$, where $h_{\mathcal{D}}$ (resp. k) is the mesh size of the spatial (resp. time) discretization, in discrete norms $\mathbb{L}^{\infty}(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ and $\mathcal{W}^{1, \infty}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$. These error estimates are useful because they allow to obtain approximations to the exact solution and its first derivatives of order $h_{\mathcal{D}} + k$.

1 Motivation and Aim of This Paper

Let us consider the following linear time dependent Schrödinger problem. We seek a complex valued function u defined on $\Omega \times [0, T]$ satisfying

$$i u_t(x, t) + \Delta u(x, t) - V(x, t)u(x, t) = f(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \quad (1)$$

where Ω is an open bounded polyhedral subset in \mathbb{R}^d , with $d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $T > 0$, $i \in \mathbb{C}$ (the set of complex numbers) is the imaginary unit, V is a time dependent potential and f is a given function.

An initial condition is given by:

$$u(x, 0) = u^0(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (2)$$

A. Bradji(✉)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Badji Mokhtar-Annaba, Annaba, Algeria

e-mail: abdallah-bradji@univ-annaba.org, bradji@cmi.univ-mrs.fr

with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions, that is

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \quad (3)$$

The form (1)–(3) of Schrödinger equation occurs, for example, when $d = 1$ in underwater acoustics, cf. [1]. The model (1)–(3) is studied for instance in [1] when a Galerkin finite element method is used as discretization in space. The stationary case of Schrödinger equation is also considered using finite volume methods in [3] where there are some interesting numerical tests. In this work we analyze a new finite volume scheme for the Schrödinger evolution problem (1)–(3).

2 Definition of the Scheme and Statement of the Main Result

The discretization of Ω is performed using the mesh $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{P})$ described in [2, Definition 2.1] which we recall here for the sake of completeness.

Definition 1 (Definition of the spatial mesh) Let Ω be a polyhedral open bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^d , where $d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, and $\partial\Omega = \overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega$ its boundary. A discretisation of Ω , denoted by \mathcal{D} , is defined as the triplet $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{P})$, where:

1. \mathcal{M} is a finite family of non empty connected open disjoint subsets of Ω (the “control volumes”) such that $\overline{\Omega} = \cup_{K \in \mathcal{M}} \overline{K}$. For any $K \in \mathcal{M}$, let $\partial K = \overline{K} \setminus K$ be the boundary of K ; let $m(K) > 0$ denote the measure of K and h_K denote the diameter of K .
2. \mathcal{E} is a finite family of disjoint subsets of $\overline{\Omega}$ (the “edges” of the mesh), such that, for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}$, σ is a non empty open subset of a hyperplane of \mathbb{R}^d , whose $(d - 1)$ -dimensional measure is strictly positive. We also assume that, for all $K \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists a subset \mathcal{E}_K of \mathcal{E} such that $\partial K = \cup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} \sigma$. For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}$, we denote by $\mathcal{M}_\sigma = \{K; \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K\}$. We then assume that, for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}$, either \mathcal{M}_σ has exactly one element and then $\sigma \subset \partial\Omega$ (the set of these interfaces, called boundary interfaces, denoted by \mathcal{E}_{ext}) or \mathcal{M}_σ has exactly two elements (the set of these interfaces, called interior interfaces, denoted by \mathcal{E}_{int}). For all $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}$, we denote by x_σ the barycentre of σ . For all $K \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}$, we denote by $\mathbf{n}_{K,\sigma}$ the unit vector normal to σ outward to K .
3. \mathcal{P} is a family of points of Ω indexed by \mathcal{M} , denoted by $\mathcal{P} = (x_K)_{K \in \mathcal{M}}$, such that for all $K \in \mathcal{M}$, $x_K \in K$ and K is assumed to be x_K -star-shaped, which means that for all $x \in K$, the property $[x_K, x] \subset K$ holds. Denoting by $d_{K,\sigma}$ the Euclidean distance between x_K and the hyperplane including σ , one assumes that $d_{K,\sigma} > 0$. We then denote by $\mathcal{D}_{K,\sigma}$ the cone with vertex x_K and basis σ .

The time discretization is performed with a constant time step $k = \frac{T}{N+1}$, where $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and we shall denote $t_n = nk$, for $n \in \llbracket 0, N + 1 \rrbracket$. Throughout this paper, the letter C stands for a positive constant independent of the parameters of the space and time discretizations and its values may be different in different appearance.

Since we deal with a complex valued solution, one has to seek for an approximation in discrete spaces over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} . Some slight modifications should be made on the discrete spaces used in [2]. In particular, we define the space $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{D}}$ as the set of all $((v_K)_{K \in \mathcal{M}}, (v_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}})$, where $v_K, v_\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $K \in \mathcal{M}$ and for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}$, and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{D},0} \subset \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the set of all $v \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{D}}$ such that $v_\sigma = 0$ for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{ext}}$. Let $H_{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ be the space of functions from Ω to \mathbb{C} which are constant over each control volume of the mesh. For all $v \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{D}}$, we denote by $\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \in H_{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ the function defined by $\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v(x) = v_K$, for a.e. $x \in K$, for all $K \in \mathcal{M}$.

For all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, we define $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}} \varphi = ((\varphi(x_K))_{K \in \mathcal{M}}, (\varphi(x_\sigma))_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}}) \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{D}}$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} \varphi \in H_{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ the function defined by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} \varphi(x) = \varphi(x_K)$, for a.e. $x \in K$, for all $K \in \mathcal{M}$. We will use the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,2,\mathcal{M}}$ given by [2, (4.5), p. 1026].

In order to analyze the convergence, we need to consider the size of the discretization \mathcal{D} defined by $h_{\mathcal{D}} = \sup\{\text{diam}(K), K \in \mathcal{M}\}$ and the regularity of the mesh given by $\theta_{\mathcal{D}} = \max\left(\max_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}, K, L \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{d_{K,\sigma}}{d_{L,\sigma}}, \max_{K \in \mathcal{M}, \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} \frac{h_K}{d_{K,\sigma}}\right)$.

The scheme we want to consider in this note is based on the use of the discrete gradient given in [2]. For $u \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{D}}$, we define, for all $K \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{D}} u(x) = \nabla_{K,\sigma} u, \quad \text{a. e. } x \in \mathcal{D}_{K,\sigma}, \tag{4}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{K,\sigma}$ is the cone with vertex x_K and basis σ and

$$\nabla_{K,\sigma} u = \nabla_K u + \left(\frac{\sqrt{d}}{d_{K,\sigma}} (u_\sigma - u_K - \nabla_K u \cdot (x_\sigma - x_K)) \right) \mathbf{n}_{K,\sigma}, \tag{5}$$

where $\nabla_K u = \frac{1}{m(K)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} m(\sigma) (u_\sigma - u_K) \mathbf{n}_{K,\sigma}$ and d is the space dimension.

We define the finite volume approximation for (1)–(3) as $(u_{\mathcal{D}}^n)_{n=0}^{N+1} \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{D},0}^{N+2}$ with $u_{\mathcal{D}}^n = ((u_K^n)_{K \in \mathcal{M}}, (u_\sigma^n)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}})$, for all $n \in \{0, \dots, N+1\}$ and

1. discretization of the initial conditions (2): for all $v \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{D},0}$

$$\langle u_{\mathcal{D}}^0, v \rangle_F + \left(V(0) \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} u_{\mathcal{D}}^0, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} = \left(-\Delta u^0 + V(0)u^0, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}, \tag{6}$$

2. discretization of Eq. (1): for any $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, for all $v \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{D},0}$

$$\begin{aligned} & i \left(\partial^1 \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} u_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} - \langle u_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, v \rangle_F - \left(V(t_{n+1}) \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} u_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \\ & = \left(\frac{1}{k} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} f(t) dt, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $\langle u, v \rangle_F = \int_{\Omega} \nabla_{\mathcal{D}} u(x) \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{D}} \bar{v}(x) dx$, $\partial^1 v^n = \frac{v^n - v^{n-1}}{k}$, and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}$ denotes the \mathbb{L}^2 -inner product of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$.

The main result of the present contribution is the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (Error estimates for the finite volume scheme (6)–(7)) *Let Ω be a polyhedral open bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^d , where $d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, and $\partial\Omega = \overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega$ its boundary. Assume that the solution of the Schrödinger evolution problem of (1)–(3) satisfies $u \in \mathcal{C}^2([0, T]; \mathcal{C}^2(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}))$ and the time dependent potential V is satisfying $V \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}))$ and $V(t)(x) \geq 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ and for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Let $k = \frac{T}{N+1}$, with $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and denote by $t_n = nk$, for $n \in \{0, \dots, N+1\}$. Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{P})$ be a discretization in the sense of [2, Definition 2.1]. Assume that $\theta_{\mathcal{D}}$ satisfies $\theta \geq \theta_{\mathcal{D}}$.*

Then there exists a unique solution $(u_{\mathcal{D}}^n)_{n=0}^{N+1} \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{D},0}^{N+2}$ for problem (6)–(7). Assume in addition that $V \in \mathcal{C}^j([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}))$ for all $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Then, the following error estimates hold:

- Discrete $\mathbb{L}^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ -estimate: for all $n \in \{0, \dots, N+1\}$

$$\| \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} u(t_n) - \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} u_{\mathcal{D}}^n \|_{1,2,\mathcal{M}} \leq C(k + h_{\mathcal{D}}) \| u \|_{\mathcal{C}^2([0,T]; \mathcal{C}^2(\overline{\Omega}))}. \quad (8)$$

- Discrete $\mathcal{W}^{1,\infty}(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega))$ -estimate: for all $n \in \{1, \dots, N+1\}$

$$\| \partial^1 (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} u(t_n) - \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} u_{\mathcal{D}}^n) \|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq C(k + h_{\mathcal{D}}) \| u \|_{\mathcal{C}^2([0,T]; \mathcal{C}^2(\overline{\Omega}))}. \quad (9)$$

- Error estimate in the gradient approximation: for all $n \in \{0, \dots, N+1\}$

$$\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}} u_{\mathcal{D}}^n - \nabla u(t_n) \|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq C(k + h_{\mathcal{D}}) \| u \|_{\mathcal{C}^2([0,T]; \mathcal{C}^2(\overline{\Omega}))}. \quad (10)$$

The following lemma will help us to prove Theorem 1:

Lemma 1 (A new a priori estimate) *We consider the same discretizations as in Theorem 1. Assume that $\theta_{\mathcal{D}}$ satisfies $\theta \geq \theta_{\mathcal{D}}$ and that there exists $(\eta_{\mathcal{D}}^n)_{n=0}^{N+1} \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{D},0}^{N+2}$ such that $\eta_{\mathcal{D}}^0 = 0$ and for any $n \in \{0, \dots, N\}$, for all $v \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{D},0}$*

$$\begin{aligned} & i \left(\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} - \langle \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, v \rangle_F - \left(V(t_{n+1}) \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \\ & = (\mathcal{S}^n, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $\mathcal{S}^n \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, for all $n \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ and $V \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}))$ satisfying $V(t)(x) \geq 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ and for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Assume in addition that $V \in \mathcal{C}^j([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}))$ for all $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Then the following estimate holds, for all $j \in \{0, \dots, N\}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{j+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} + \|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{j+1}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{M}} + \|\nabla_{\mathcal{D}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{j+1}\|_{(\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega))^d} \\ & \leq C(\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{S}_1), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $\mathcal{S} = \max_{n=0}^N \|\mathcal{S}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}$ and $\mathcal{S}_1 = \max_{n=1}^N \|\partial^1 \mathcal{S}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}$.

Proof 1. Estimate on $\|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{j+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}$. Acting the discrete operator ∂^1 on both sides of (11) and using the formula $\partial^1(r^n s^n) = s^n \partial^1 r^n + r^{n-1} \partial^1 s^n$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & i \left(\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^2 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} - \langle \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, v \rangle_F - \left(V(t_{n+1}) \partial^1 \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \\ & = \left(\partial^1 \mathcal{S}^n, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} + \left(\partial^1 V(t_{n+1}) \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^n, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Choosing $v = \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}$ in (13) and taking the imaginary part of the result, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left(\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^2 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \\ & = \operatorname{Im} \left(\left(\partial^1 \mathcal{S}^n, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} + \left(\partial^1 V(t_{n+1}) \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^n, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Some calculations lead to the expression, for all function $(\omega^n)_{n=0}^{N+1} \in (\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}))^{N+2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} 2k \left(\partial^1 \omega^{n+1}, \omega^{n+1} \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} & = \|\omega^{n+1} - \omega^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \quad + \|\omega^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 - \|\omega^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2i \operatorname{Im} \left(\omega^{n+1}, \omega^n \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Gathering (14) with (15) when $\omega^{n+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}$, using the fact that $V \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}))$, and the Cauchy Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 - \|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \leq 2kC(\mathcal{S}_1 + \|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}) \|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Let us prove an $\mathbb{L}^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}))$ -estimate. Taking $v = \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}$ in (11) and using the fact that V is a real valued function, and taking the imaginary part to get

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1} \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} = \operatorname{Im} \left(\mathcal{S}^n, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}. \quad (17)$$

This with (15) when $\omega^{n+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}$, and the Cauchy Schwarz inequality yields

$$\|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 - \|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 2k\mathcal{S} \|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}. \quad (18)$$

Summing (18) over $n \in \{0, \dots, j\}$, where $j \in \{0, \dots, N\}$, and using the fact $\eta_{\mathcal{D}}^0 = 0$ yields $\|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{j+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 2k_{\mathcal{S}} \sum_{n=0}^j \|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}$. Applying a Young's inequality (as applied in (20) below) and using the discrete Gronwall's lemma yields

$$\|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{j+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq C_{\mathcal{S}}. \quad (19)$$

Inserting this estimate in (16) and summing the result over $n \in \{1, \dots, j\}$, where $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{j+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 - \|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^1\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \leq 2kC(\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{S}_1) \sum_{n=1}^j \|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

This with a Young's inequality leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{j+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 & \leq \frac{2k}{T} \sum_{n=2}^j \|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^1\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \quad + 8T^2 (C)^2 (\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{S}_1)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

We now estimate $\|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^1\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})}$. To this end, we set $n = 0$ and $v = \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^1$ in (11) and we use the fact that $\partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^1 = \frac{\eta_{\mathcal{D}}^1}{k}$ (this stems from $\eta_{\mathcal{D}}^0 = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} & i \|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^1\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{1}{k} \langle \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^1, \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^1 \rangle_F - \frac{1}{k} \left(V(t_1) \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^1, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^1 \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \\ & = \left(\mathcal{S}^0, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^1 \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Taking the imaginary part in (21) and using the Cauchy Schwarz inequality implies $\|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^1\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{S}$. This with inequality (20) and the discrete version of the Gronwall's lemma yields the desired estimate $\mathcal{W}^{1, \infty}(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$ -estimate in (12).

2. Estimate on $\|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{j+1}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{M}}$. Choosing $v = \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}$ in (11) and taking the real part yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left(\langle \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1} \rangle_F + \left(V(t_{n+1}) \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, \partial^1 \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1} \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \right) \\ & = \operatorname{Re} \left(-\mathcal{S}^n, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Writing $\langle \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1} \rangle_F$ and $\left(V(t_{n+1}) \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, \partial^1 \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1} \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}$ in a similar manner to that of (15) and gathering this with (22) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1} \rangle_F - \langle \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^n, \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^n \rangle_F + \left(V(t_{n+1}) \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1} \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \\ & \quad - \left(V(t_{n+1}) \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^n, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^n \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \\ & \leq 2k \operatorname{Re} \left(-\mathcal{S}^n, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Summing (23) over $n \in \{0, \dots, j\}$, where $j \in \{0, \dots, N\}$, using the Cauchy Schwarz inequality and [2, Lemma 4.2] yields $|\eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{j+1}|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 \leq Ck\mathcal{S} \sum_{n=0}^j \|\partial^1 \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}$. This with the estimate on $\|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{j+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}$ (it is proved in in the previous item) yields

$$|\eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{j+1}|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C(\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{S}_1). \quad (24)$$

This with the inequality norm [2, (4.6), p. 1026] implies the desired estimate $\mathbb{L}^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ -estimate in (12).

3. Estimate $\|\nabla_{\mathcal{D}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{j+1}\|_{(\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega))^d}$. Estimate (24) with [2, Lemma 4.2] implies the estimate concerning $\|\nabla_{\mathcal{D}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{j+1}\|_{(\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega))^d}$ in (12). ■

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1: The uniqueness of $(u_{\mathcal{D}}^n)_{n \in \{0, \dots, N+1\}}$ satisfying (6)–(7) can be deduced using the [2, Lemma 4.2]. As usual, we use this uniqueness to prove the existence. To prove the error estimates (8)–(10), we compare the solution $u_{\mathcal{D}}^n$ with the solution: for any $n \in \{0, \dots, N+1\}$, find $\hat{u}_{\mathcal{D}}^n \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{D},0}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \hat{u}_{\mathcal{D}}^n, v \rangle_F + \left(V(t_n) \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \hat{u}_{\mathcal{D}}^n, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \\ & = \left(-\Delta u(t_n) + V(t_n) u(t_n), \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{D},0}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Step 1: Comparison between u and $\hat{u}_{\mathcal{D}}^n$. Using techniques of the proof of [2, Theorem 4.8] yields, for all $v \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{D},0}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}} u(t_n) - \hat{u}_{\mathcal{D}}^n, v \rangle_F + \left(V(t_n) (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} u(t_n) - \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \hat{u}_{\mathcal{D}}^n), \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \\ & = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} \mathcal{R}_{K,\sigma}(u(t_n)) (\bar{v}_K - \bar{v}_\sigma) + \left(V(t_n) r(u(t_n)), \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where the expression $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}(u(t_n)) = \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} \frac{d_{K,\sigma}}{m(\sigma)} |\mathcal{R}_{K,\sigma}(u(t_n))|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is satisfying the estimate $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}(u(t_n)) \leq Ch_{\mathcal{D}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}([0,T]; \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\Omega}))}$ and $r(u) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} u - u$. Taking $v = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}} u(t_n) - \hat{u}_{\mathcal{D}}^n$ in (26) yields

$$\begin{aligned} (v, v)_F + (V(t_n)\Pi_{\mathcal{M}}v, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}}v)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} \mathcal{R}_{K,\sigma}(u(t_n))(\bar{v}_K - \bar{v}_\sigma) \\ &\quad + (V(t_n)r(u(t_n)), \Pi_{\mathcal{M}}v)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

This with [2, Lemma 4.2], the Cauchy Schwarz inequality, the Sobolev inequality of [2, Lemma 5.4], and the inequality norm [2, (4.6), p. 1026] yields that

$$|\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}u(t_n) - \hat{u}_{\mathcal{D}}^n|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq Ch_{\mathcal{D}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}([0,T]; \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\Omega}))}. \quad (28)$$

This with [2, (4.6), p. 1026], [2, Lemma 4.2], and [2, Lemma 4.4] implies the error estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}u(t_n) - \Pi_{\mathcal{M}}\hat{u}_{\mathcal{D}}^n\|_{1,2,\mathcal{M}} + \|\nabla u(t_n) - \nabla_{\mathcal{D}}\hat{u}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \\ \leq Ch_{\mathcal{D}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}([0,T]; \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\Omega}))}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

We will now derive an $\mathcal{W}^{1,\infty}(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$ -estimate. Acting the discrete operator ∂^1 on Eq. (26) to get, for any $n \in \{1, \dots, N+1\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \partial^1 (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}u(t_n) - \hat{u}_{\mathcal{D}}^n), v \right\rangle_F + \left(V(t_n)\partial^1 ((\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}u(t_n) - \Pi_{\mathcal{M}}\hat{u}_{\mathcal{D}}^n)), \Pi_{\mathcal{M}}v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \\ = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} \mathcal{R}_{K,\sigma}(\partial^1 u(t_n))(\bar{v}_K - \bar{v}_\sigma) + (\mathbb{T}_1 + \mathbb{T}_2 - \mathbb{T}_3, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}}v)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where $\mathbb{T}_1 = \partial^1 (V(t_n))(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}u(t_n) - u(t_n))$, $\mathbb{T}_2 = V(t_{n-1})\partial^1 ((\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}u(t_n) - u(t_n)))$, and $\mathbb{T}_3 = \partial^1 (V(t_n))(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}u(t_{n-1}) - \Pi_{\mathcal{M}}\hat{u}_{\mathcal{D}}^{n-1})$. Thanks to Taylor expansions and $\mathbb{L}^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ -estimate in (29) with [2, Lemma 5.4], we have

$$\|\mathbb{T}_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch_{\mathcal{D}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^1([0,T]; \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\Omega}))}, \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \quad (31)$$

Taking $v = \partial^1 (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}u(t_n) - \hat{u}_{\mathcal{D}}^n)$ in (30), using [2, Lemma 4.2], and gathering this with the Cauchy Schwarz inequality, [2, Lemma 5.4], [2, (4.6), p. 1026], and (31) to get

$$\|\partial^1 (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}u(t_n) - \Pi_{\mathcal{M}}\hat{u}_{\mathcal{D}}^n)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch_{\mathcal{D}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^1([0,T]; \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\Omega}))}. \quad (32)$$

Using the same techniques followed in (30)–(32), we are able to prove

$$\|\partial^2 (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}u(t_n) - \Pi_{\mathcal{M}}\hat{u}_{\mathcal{D}}^n)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch_{\mathcal{D}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^2([0,T]; \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\Omega}))}. \quad (33)$$

Step 2: Comparison between $\hat{u}_{\mathcal{D}}^n$ and $u_{\mathcal{D}}^n$. Writing (25) in the step $n+1$, summing the result with (7) and using (1) yields, for all $n \in \{0, \dots, N\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & i \left(\partial^1 \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} - \langle \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, v \rangle_F - \left(V(t_{n+1}) \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \\
 & = \left(\mathcal{S}^n, \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} v \right)_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}, \tag{34}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_{\mathcal{D}}^n = u_{\mathcal{D}}^n - \hat{u}_{\mathcal{D}}^n$ and \mathcal{S}^n is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{S}^n & = i \partial^1 (u(t_{n+1}) - \Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \hat{u}_{\mathcal{D}}^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{k} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \Delta u(t) dt - \Delta u(t_{n+1}) \\
 & \quad - \frac{1}{k} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} V(t) u(t) dt + V(t_{n+1}) u(t_{n+1}). \tag{35}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to suitable Taylor expansions and (32)–(33), we are able to justify that $\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{S}_1 \leq C(k + h_{\mathcal{D}}) \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^2([0, T]; \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\Omega}))}$, where \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}_1 are defined in Lemma 1. In addition to this, $\eta_{\mathcal{D}}^0 = 0$ (it stems from (2)). One remarks that $(\eta_{\mathcal{D}}^n)_{n=0}^{N+1}$ is satisfying hypothesis of Lemma 1, one can apply estimate (12) of Lemma 1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \partial^1 \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{j+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} + \|\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{j+1}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{M}} + \|\nabla_{\mathcal{D}} \eta_{\mathcal{D}}^{j+1}\|_{(\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega))^d} \\
 & \leq C(k + h_{\mathcal{D}}) \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^2([0, T]; \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\Omega}))}. \tag{36}
 \end{aligned}$$

This with estimates (29) and (32) implies estimates of Theorem 1. ■

3 Conclusion and a Perspective

We considered the linear Schrödinger evolution equation. A convergence analysis of a new finite volume scheme is provided. We plan to consider the case when the spatial domain is not bounded and to use the absorbing boundary conditions.

References

1. Akrivis, G. D., Dougalis, V. A.: On a class of conservative, highly accurate Galerkin methods for the Schrödinger equation. *RAIRO Modél. Math. Anal. Numér.* **25** /6, 643–670 (1991)
2. Eymard, R., Gallouët, T., Herbin, R.: Discretization of heterogeneous and anisotropic diffusion problems on general nonconforming meshes SUSHI: a scheme using stabilization and hybrid interfaces. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **30**(4), 1009–1043 (2010)
3. Koprucki, T., Eymard, R., Fuhrmann, J.: Convergence of a finite volume scheme to the eigenvalues of a Schrödinger operator. *WIAS Preprint NO. 1260* (2007)