

Chapter 4

On the One Method of Constructing Digital Control System with Minimal Structure

V. V. Palin

Abstract We consider the linear digital control system with invariable matrix A . In this report we introduce one method which permit to obtain the characteristic of completely controllability and construct the matrix of control B with minimal structure without calculation of eigenvalues of matrix A .

4.1 The Statement of Problem and Some Familiar Results

Let us discuss stationary open discrete system

$$X_{k+1} = AX_k. \quad (4.1)$$

We will find the full rank matrix B of control actions with $n \times p$ size such that the following closed stationary system

$$X_{k+1} = AX_k + BU_k + F_k \quad (4.2)$$

will be completely controllable.

Definition 4.1 Characteristic of completely controllable for system (4.1) is the minimal number $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the system (4.2) can make completely controllable by the choice of full rank matrix B of $n \times p$ size.

On 2010 the article [1] was published in journal Doklady Akademii Nauk. There the structural minimization problem discussed and the following result obtained:

V. V. Palin (✉)
Lomonosov Moscow State University, GSP-1, Leninskie Gory, Moscow,
Russian Federation 119991
e-mail: grey_stranger84@mail.ru

Theorem 4.1 *Characteristic of completely controllable of system (4.1) is equivalent to the maximal geometric multiplicity of eigenvalues of A .*

More over, in this article the method of constructing the matrix B was established for the case where the Jordan canonical form of A was given.

In this article we obtain the method to find the characteristic of completely controllable for (4.1) and constructing the matrix B without evaluation of eigenvalues of A .

4.2 Definitions and Some Preliminary Transformations

Suppose that A is square matrix with $n \times n$ size, λ_j are eigenvalues of A , $q_A(x)$ is the minimal polynomial for A and $d_A(x) = \det(xE - A)$. We note that the polynomial $q_A(x)$ can be found without calculations of eigenvalues of A ; $d_A(x)$ is characteristic polynomial of A , multiplied by (-1) powering relevant (so that the leading coefficient equal to 1) hence, this polynomial can be obtained without calculations of eigenvalues of A . Let

$$q_A(x) = \prod_{j=1}^m (x - \lambda_j)^{k_j}.$$

We denote

$$q(x, A, \geq r) = \prod_{j:k_j \geq r} (x - \lambda_j),$$

$$q(x, A, = r) = \prod_{j:k_j=r} (x - \lambda_j).$$

Let us note that the polynomial $q(x, a, \geq r)$ can be found without factorization of $q_A(x)$. For example,

$$q(x, A, \geq 1) = \frac{q_A(x)}{\text{g.c.d.}(q_A(x), q'_A(x))},$$

and $q(x, A, \geq 2)$ can be obtained by the same formula, where $q_A(x)$ changes by $\text{g.c.d.}(q_A(x), q'_A(x))$ and so forth. We can evaluate the polynomials $q(x, A, = r)$ by the polynomials $q(x, A, \geq r)$:

$$q(x, A, \geq r) = q(x, A, = r)q(x, A, \geq r + 1).$$

Similarly we define $d(x, A, \geq r)$ and $d(x, A, = r)$.

4.3 The Method to Obtain the Characteristic of Completely Controllable

Let us denote $A_1 = q(A, A, \geq 1)$. Because the polynomial $d_A(x)$ is the divisor for $(q(x, A, \geq 1))^n$ and $d_A(A) = 0$, the following identity holds: $A_1^n = 0$. More over, from the definition of the eigenvector of A and the Jordan canonical form for the matrix A_1 it follows that the eigenvectors $\{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$ of the matrix A form the basis in the kernel of A_1 .

If $A_1 = 0$ then the matrix A has the basis consists of it's eigenvectors. Hence, the geometric multiplicity of any eigenvalue of the matrix A is equivalent to it's algebraical multiplicity. Thus, $p = \max\{t \mid d(x, A, \geq t) \neq 1\}$ in this case.

Suppose that $A_1 \neq 0$, $\text{Ker}(A_1) = \text{Lin}\{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$. Let us note that we can find vectors of the basis of the kernel of A_1 as orthogonal complement of the linear envelope of the set of rows of A_1 . Suppose that v_{s+1}, \dots, v_n is basis of the set of columns of A_1^T . Let C_1 be the matrix constructed of vectors v_1, \dots, v_n as columns. Let $j \leq s$ be the fixed index and e_1, \dots, e_n is a basis consists of unit vectors. By virtue of definition of the matrix C_1 we have $C_1 e_j \in \text{Lin}\{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$, $AC_1 e_j \in \text{Lin}\{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$, $C_1^{-1} AC_1 e_j \in \text{Lin}\{e_1, \dots, e_s\}$. Hence the matrix $C_1^{-1} AC_1$ is sectional upper triangular:

$$C_1^{-1} AC_1 = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ 0 & M_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.3)$$

Further from the arguments given above it follows that there is one-to-one correspondence between the eigenvectors of A and the eigenvectors of M_{11} . Hence, the characteristics of completely controllable for matrix A and M_{11} are equivalent. Let us note that M_{11} has the basis consists of eigenvectors.

Remark 4.1 The set $\text{Ker}(d(A, M_{11}, = t))$ is the linear envelope of all eigenvectors of A such that their correspond eigenvalues has geometric multiplicity of exactly t .

4.4 Auxiliary Statements

To describe a method of constructing a matrix B without finding eigenvalues of the matrix A , we need two lemmas. The proof of the first of them is trivial, and we omit it.

Theorem 4.2 *Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ —eigenvalues of a matrix A , vector $h \in \text{Ker}(\prod_{j=1}^s (A - \lambda_j E))$, $h \neq 0$. Then if $q \in \mathbb{N}$ of such that vectors $h, Ah, A^2h, \dots, A^{q-1}h$ are linearly independent, and vectors $h, Ah, A^2h, \dots, A^q h$ linearly dependent, there will be eigenvectors $z_1, \dots, z_q \in \text{Ker}(\prod_{j=1}^s (A - \lambda_j E))$ such that $h = z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_q$.*

Back, if there are eigenvectors $z_1, \dots, z_q \in \text{Ker}(\prod_{j=1}^s (A - \lambda_j E))$ such that $h = z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_q$, vectors $h, Ah, A^2h, \dots, A^{q-1}h$ are linearly independent, and vectors $h, Ah, A^2h, \dots, A^q h$ linearly dependent.

Theorem 4.3 Let $\pi_k(x)$ is a polynomial of degree t_k such that $\text{Ker}(\pi_k(A))$ is the linear envelope of the eigenvectors of the matrix A of geometric multiplicity of exactly k . Then, without finding the eigenvalues of the matrix A can be built vectors w_1, \dots, w_{CC} such that $\text{Ker}(\pi_k(A)) = \text{Lin}\{w_1, Aw_1, \dots, A^{t_k-1}w_1, \dots, A^{t_k-1}w_{kk}\}$.

Proof We give an algorithm that allows each step reduce one of k or t_k by 1. Let us take an arbitrary non-zero vector $h_1 \in \text{Ker}(\pi_k(A))$. There is a $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the vectors $h_1, ACAh_1, \dots, A^{q-1}h_1$ are linearly independent, and vectors $h_1, ACAh_1, p(A^2h_1, \dots, A^q h_1)$ are linearly dependent. On Lemma 1, we obtain that $q \leq t_k$. If $q = t_k$, then $w_1 = h_1$, and, demanding further orthogonality of the vector h_2 to all vectors $A^j h_1$, we obtain that k has decreased by 1. If $q < t_k$, then, by Lemma 1, there are eigenvectors z_1, \dots, z_q such that $h_1 = z_1 + \dots + z_q$. Add orthogonal vectors v_{q+1}, \dots, v_n in the system of vectors $v_1 = h_1, v_2 = Ah_1, \dots, v_q = A^{q-1}h_1$ to obtain the basis of all space. We write the matrix C_2 , the columns of which are vectors v_1, \dots, v_n . As in the previous section, the matrix $C_2 A C_2^{-1}$ is upper triangular. Let us denote by N_{11} its upper the left bloc. Left to note that there exists a polynomial $\tilde{p}(x)$ such that

$$\pi_k(x) = d(x, N_{11}, \geq 1) \tilde{p}(x).$$

Thus, the problem for the polynomial $\pi_k(x)$ is reduced to the problem for polynomials $d(x, N_{11}, \geq 1)$ and $\tilde{p}(x)$, the sum of which degrees is equal to t_k . This means that in this case we have managed to reduce the t_k at least by 1.

4.5 The Absence of Associated Vectors Case

Let us discuss the method of constructing B in the case when the matrix A has a basis consists of the eigenvalues. In this case we construct polynomials $\pi_k(x) = d(x, A, = k)$ and, using lemma 2, we obtain vectors w_{1k}, \dots, w_{kk} for any of these polynomials. Let us denote

$$b_j = \sum_{k=j}^p w_{jk}.$$

Left to notice that the matrix B with the columns b_1, \dots, b_p is sought-for matrix.

4.6 The Case of General Position

Let τ is the degree of polynomial $q_A(x)$. Let $V_1 = \text{Ker}(q(A, A, \geq 1))$, V_2 are the set of all vectors from $\text{Ker}(q(A, A, \geq 2))$, orthogonal to V_1 , V_3 are the set of all vectors from $\text{Ker}(q(A, A, \geq 3))$, orthogonal to $V_1 + V_2$, etc. Let us notice that for finding basis in any V_j it suffices to use orthogonalization method. Let W_1 is the set of orthogonal to AV_2 vectors from V_1 , W_2 is the set of orthogonal to AV_3 vectors from V_2 , etc.. The basis in each of the spaces W_j can be found by using orthogonalization method. Let us consider the mapping

$$g: \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} W_j \rightarrow V_1.$$

By this mapping the vector $gw_j \in V_1$ is associated to the vector $w_j \in W_j$ such that $gw_j \in V_1$ is orthogonal projection of vector $A^{j-1}w_j$ on V_1 . The mapping g is invertible: it is sufficient to note that g is linear, has zero kernel, and to set the basis in any of W_j , and the result of mapping g on this basis.

Let us describe the method of constructing the matrix B in the case of general position. As well as the case of absence of associated vectors, we construct the polynomials $\pi_k(x) = d(x, M_{11}, = k)$ and, using the lemma 2 for each polynomial, we obtain the vectors w_{1k}, \dots, w_{kk} . Further, we put

$$\tilde{b}_j = \sum_{k=j}^p w_{jk},$$

$$b_j = g^{-1}\tilde{b}_j.$$

Left to notice that the matrix B with the columns b_1, \dots, b_p is sought-for matrix.

Reference

1. Zubov, A.V., Dikusar, V.V., Zubov, N.V.: Kriterii Upravlyaemosti Statsionarnykh Sistem. Doklady Akademii Nauk. **430**(1), 13–14 (2010)