Chapter 3 The Distribution of Values of Arithmetic Functions

G. V. Fedorov

Abstract Let us usual $\tau_k(n)$ denote the number of ways *n* may be written as a product of *k* fixed factors. In this chapter there introduce the notation

$$
D_k(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \tau_k(n).
$$

We show that the asymptotic formula for $D_k(x)$ is changing with growing values of *k* and present specific values of *k*, which is a change.

In [\[1\]](#page-3-0), this author obtained the estimate

$$
D_k(x) \le x \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} {k-1 \choose j} \frac{\ln^j x}{j!},
$$
\n(3.1)

for $D_k(x)$, which is uniform in the parameter k and holds for any real $x > 1$ and integer $k > 2$.

The value of the quantity $D_k(x)$ equals the number of points in the integer lattice in a domain of the form $1 \le x_1, x_2, \ldots x_k \le x$. Note that if the parameter *k* grows as $x \to \infty$, then the form of the asymptotic formula for $D_k(x)$ is different from that of the formula for fixed *k*. In 2001, Pavlov [\[3](#page-3-1)] proved the following assertion.

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose that* $x \to \infty$ *, k is an integer, and* $C_1(\ln x)^{\beta} < k <$ $C_2(\ln x)^\alpha$, where $\alpha < \frac{2}{3}$ *and* $\beta > 6$ *are fixed and* C_1 *and* C_2 *are positive constant. Then*

$$
D_k(x) = x \frac{(\ln x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{\gamma \frac{k^2}{\ln x}} \left(1 + O\left(k^{-\rho_0}\right)\right),
$$

G. V. Fedorov (\boxtimes)

Fedorov Gleb Vladimirovich, Lomonosov Moscow State University, GSP-1, Leninskie Gory, Moscow, Russian Federation 119991 e-mail: glebonyat@mail.ru

M. Z. Zgurovsky and V. A. Sadovnichiy (eds.), *Continuous and Distributed Systems*, 63 Solid Mechanics and Its Applications 211, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-03146-0_3, © Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2014

where γ *is the Euler constant and* $\rho_0 > 0$ *is positive and does not depend on k and x.*

In this chapter, we obtain more accurate boundary values of the parameter *k* in Pavlov's theorem. The following assertion is valid.

Theorem 3.2 (Main Theorem) *Suppose that the integer parameter satisfies the condition* $k = k(x) \rightarrow \infty$ *as* $x \rightarrow \infty$ *, and for some fixed* $0 < \rho < \frac{1}{3}$ *, the inequality* $k \ll (\ln x)^{\frac{4}{5+\rho}}$ *holds. Then, the asymptotic formula*

$$
D_k(x) = x \frac{(\ln x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \exp \{q_k(x)\} L_k(x) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{k^{5+\rho}}{\ln^4 x}\right) + O\left(k^{3\rho-1}\right)\right),
$$

is valid, in which the functions $q_k(x)$ *and* $L_k(x)$ *are defined by*

$$
q_k(x) = \gamma_0 \frac{k^2}{\ln x} - \left(\gamma_0^2 + \gamma_1\right) \frac{k^3}{\ln^2 x} + \left(\frac{5}{3}\gamma_0^3 + 3\gamma_0\gamma_1 + \frac{\gamma_2}{2}\right) \frac{k^4}{\ln^3 x},\tag{3.2}
$$

$$
L_k(x) = 1 - \frac{k}{\ln x} \left(\gamma_0 + \frac{3}{2} \right) + \frac{k^2}{\ln^2 x} \left(\frac{3\gamma_0^2}{2} + \gamma_1 + 3\gamma_0 + \frac{7}{4} \right) -
$$

$$
- \frac{k^3}{\ln^3 x} \left(\frac{21}{4} \gamma_0^2 + \frac{5}{2} \gamma_0^3 + 3\gamma_0 \gamma_1 + \frac{3}{2} \gamma_1 + \frac{21}{4} \gamma_0 + \frac{15}{8} \right), \tag{3.3}
$$

and the Stieltjes constants are defined by

$$
\gamma_n = \lim_{m \to \infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(\ln k)^n}{k} - \frac{(\ln m)^{n+1}}{n+1} \right),\tag{3.4}
$$

in particular, $\gamma_0 = \gamma$ *is the Euler constant.*

The proof of the *main theorem* is based on the following assertion.

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose that* $\sigma = 1 + \frac{1}{b}$, $b = \gamma_0 + \frac{\ln x}{k}$ *and*

$$
I_k(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma - \frac{i}{2}}^{\sigma + \frac{i}{2}} \zeta^k(s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds.
$$

Suppose also that $x \to \infty$ *and* $k \to \infty$ *so that, for some fixed* $0 < \rho < \frac{1}{3}$ *, the inequality* $k \ll (\ln x)^{\frac{4}{5+\rho}}$ *holds. Then the asymptotic formula*

$$
I_k(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} \cdot \frac{(\ln x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \exp\{q_k(x)\} L_k(x) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{k^{5+\rho}}{\ln^4 x}\right) + O\left(k^{3\rho-1}\right)\right),\tag{3.5}
$$

is valid, in which the functions $q_k(x)$ *and* $L_k(x)$ *are determined from* [\(3.2\)](#page-1-0) *and* [\(3.3\)](#page-1-1)*.*

This lemma sharpens the corresponding lemma from Pavlov's chapter ([\[3](#page-3-1)], Lemma 1).

The proof of *lemma* 3.1 uses the Laurent expansion of Riemann's zeta function $\zeta(s)$ in the neighborhood of the pole $s = 1$

$$
\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \cdot \gamma_n \cdot (s-1)^n,
$$

where constants γ_n defined from [3.4.](#page-1-2)

As is known, for $\Re s > 1$

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_k(n)}{n^s} = \zeta^k(s),
$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function. We have (see [\[2](#page-3-2)])

$$
\int_{1}^{x} D_{k}(t)dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma - iT}^{\sigma + iT} \zeta^{k}(s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds + R(x) = J_{k}(x) + R(x), \quad (3.6)
$$

where the parameter σ is the same as in the *lemma* [3.1.](#page-1-3) Using estimate [\(3.1\)](#page-0-0), we obtain the following estimate for the remainder:

$$
R(x) \ll \frac{x^2}{T} \left(\frac{\ln x}{k}\right)^k \exp\left\{k + (\gamma_0 + 1)\frac{k^2}{\ln x}\right\}
$$

$$
+ \left(\frac{x^2}{T} + x\frac{\ln T}{\ln x}\right) \sqrt{k} \left(\frac{\ln x}{k}\right)^k \exp\left\{k + \frac{k^2}{\ln x}\right\}. \quad (3.7)
$$

For $k \ll (\ln x)^{\frac{5}{7}}$, we deform the interval of integration in $J_k(x)$ as

$$
\int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} = \int_{\sigma-iT}^{1-iT} + \int_{1-iT}^{1-\frac{i}{2}} + \int_{1-\frac{i}{2}}^{\sigma-\frac{i}{2}} + \int_{\sigma-\frac{i}{2}}^{\sigma+\frac{i}{2}} + \int_{\sigma+\frac{i}{2}}^{1+\frac{i}{2}} + \int_{1+\frac{i}{2}}^{1+iT} + \int_{1+iT}^{\sigma+iT}
$$

by virtue of the estimate $|\zeta(1+it)| \le C \ln^{\frac{2}{3}} |t|$ where $|t| > 2$ and *C* is some constant, we have

$$
J_k(x) = I_k(x) + O\left(C^k x^2 (\ln T)^{\frac{2k}{3}}\right).
$$

,

We can choose the parameter *T* so that $\frac{k^2}{\ln x} \ll \ln T \ll \left(\frac{\ln x}{k}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ and the remainders in expressions [\(3.6\)](#page-2-0) and [\(3.7\)](#page-2-1) do not exceed those in [\(3.5\)](#page-2-2). Applying the *lemma* 3.1, we obtain \int_0^x

$$
\int_{1}^{R} D_{k}(t)dt =
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{e^{k}}{\sqrt{2\pi k}} \frac{x^{2}}{2} \left(\frac{\ln x}{k}\right)^{k-1} \exp \{q_{k}(x)\} L_{k}(x) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{k^{5+\rho}}{\ln^{4} x}\right) + O\left(k^{3\rho-1}\right)\right).
$$
\n(3.8)

In the case of $k \gg (\ln x)^{\frac{2}{3}}$, we decompose the integral $J_k(x)$ into three integrals as

$$
J_k(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma - iT}^{\sigma - \frac{i}{2}} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma - \frac{i}{2}}^{\sigma + \frac{i}{2}} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma + \frac{i}{2}}^{\sigma + iT} =
$$

= $I_k(x) + O\left(x^2 \left(\frac{\ln x}{k}\right)^k \exp\left\{k + \frac{\gamma_0^2 k^3}{\ln^2 x}\right\}\right),$

Let $T = x$; then the remainder $R(x)$ does not exceed the remainders in formula [\(3.5\)](#page-2-2) given in the *lemma* 3.1; therefore, the relation [\(3.8\)](#page-3-3) again holds.

The function $D_k(x)$ is nondecreasing. We have

$$
\frac{1}{h}\int_{x-h}^x D_k(t)dt \le D_k(x) \le \frac{1}{h}\int_{x}^{x+h} D_k(t)dt.
$$

Applying [\(3.8\)](#page-3-3) and choosing $h = x \frac{k^{5+\rho}}{\ln^4 x}$, we obtain the assertion of the *Main Theorem*.

References

- 1. Fedorov, G.V.: Vestn. Mosk. Univ. Ser. 1: Mat. Mekh. **2**, 50–53 (2010)
- 2. Karacuba, A.A.: Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. **3**, 475–483 (1972)
- 3. Pavlov, A.I.: Dokl. Math. **63**, 48–51 (2001)