# **Chapter 3 Forecasting, Confidence Band Estimation and Updating**

**Abstract** In this chapter, forecasting, forecast confidence band estimation, and the forecast updating methodologies, provided for ARIMA models in the literature, are modified and presented for the ARFIMA models.

**Keywords** Forecasting, • Confidence band estimation • Updating • ARFIMA models • Confidence limits

## **3.1 Forecasting**

Forecasting and updating of ARFIMA processes are a natural extension of those of ARIMA models. When fractional difference parameter d is 0, Eq. [\(2.2\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-01505-7_2) represents the ARMA processes and when it is integer, Eq. ([2.2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-01505-7_2)) represents the ARIMA processes. Box and Jenkins [\(1976](#page-3-0)) provide the details on the forecasting and updating of classic ARIMA models. Forecasting as a conditional expectation of  $X_{t+1}$  is said to be made at origin t for a lead time  $l \geq 1$  when written as an infinite sum of previous observations plus a random shock;

$$
\[X_{t+l}\] = \hat{X}_t(l) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \pi_j \left[X_{t+l-j}\right] + \left[\varepsilon_{t+l}\right] \tag{3.1}
$$

where  $\pi$  weights may be obtained by equating the coefficients in

$$
\phi(B)(1 - B)^d = (1 - \pi_1 B - \pi_2 B^2 - \ldots)\theta(B)
$$
\n(3.2)

Because of the invertibility condition, the  $\pi$  weights must form a convergent series. Therefore, the forecast is dependent to an important extent only on recent past values (Box and Jenkins [1976\)](#page-3-0). The variance of the forecast error  $e_t(l) = X_{t+l} - \hat{X}_t(l)$  is

$$
\text{var}(e_t(l)) = \left[1 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \psi_j^2\right] \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \tag{3.3}
$$

<span id="page-0-2"></span><span id="page-0-1"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span>11

where  $\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2$  is the variance of the residuals and  $\psi$  weights may be obtained by equating coefficients in

<span id="page-1-1"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\phi(B)(1 - B)^d \left(1 + \psi_1 B + \psi_2 B^2 + \ldots \right) = \theta(B) \ldots \tag{3.4}
$$

Equations. [\(3.1–](#page-0-0)[3.4](#page-1-0)), which are valid for ARIMA models (Box and Jenkins [1976\)](#page-3-0), are also valid for the ARFIMA models when  $\pi$  and  $\psi$  weights are calculated for the non-integer differencing parameter d from Eqs. [\(3.2\)](#page-0-1) and ([3.4](#page-1-0)), respectively.

 $\pi$  weights can be obtained by inserting the definitions of the differencing operator  $(1 - B)^d$ , as in Eq. [\(2.3\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-01505-7_2), and the autoregressive and moving-average operators  $\phi$  (*B*) and  $\theta$  (*B*) into Eq. ([3.2](#page-0-1));

$$
\left(1 - \phi_1 B - \phi_2 B^2 - \dots - \phi_p B^p\right) \left(1 + \frac{\Gamma(1-d)}{\Gamma(-d)\Gamma(2)}B + \frac{\Gamma(2-d)}{\Gamma(-d)\Gamma(3)}B^2 + \dots\right)
$$

$$
= \left(1 - \pi_1 B - \pi_2 B^2 + \dots\right) \left(1 + \theta_1 B + \theta_2 B^2 + \dots + \theta_q B^q\right) \tag{3.5}
$$

 $\psi$  weights can be obtained similarly by rearranging Eq. ([3.4](#page-1-0)) and using the definitions of differencing and the autoregressive and moving-average operators:

$$
\left(1+\frac{\Gamma(1+d)}{\Gamma(d)\Gamma(2)}B+\frac{\Gamma(2+d)}{\Gamma(d)\Gamma(3)}B^2+\dots\right)\left(1+\theta_1B+\theta_2B^2+\dots+\theta_qB^q\right)
$$

$$
=\left(1-\phi_1B-\phi_2B^2-\dots-\phi_pB^p\right)\left(1+\psi_1B+\psi_2B^2+\dots\right)
$$
(3.6)

From Eqs. ([3.5](#page-1-1)[–3.6\)](#page-1-2), one can obtain  $\pi$  and  $\psi$  weights if fractional difference parameter d is known along with the autoregressive and moving-average coefficients. For example, for ARFIMA(1, d, 0) process when the autoregressive coefficient is  $\phi_1$ ,  $\pi$  weights can be obtained from the series

$$
\pi_1 = \phi_1 - \frac{\Gamma(1-d)}{\Gamma(-d)\Gamma(2)} \text{ and } \pi_j = \phi_1 \frac{\Gamma(j-1-d)}{\Gamma(-d)\Gamma(j)} - \frac{\Gamma(j-d)}{\Gamma(-d)\Gamma(j+1)} \text{ for } j = 2, 3 \dots (3.7)
$$

and  $\psi$  weights can be obtained from the series

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\psi_0 = 1, \psi_j = \phi_1 \psi_{j-1} + \frac{\Gamma(j+d)}{\Gamma(d)\Gamma(j+1)}
$$
 for  $j = 1, 2...$  (3.8)

## **3.2 Confidence Band Estimation**

From the estimation of the variance of the forecast error by Eq. ([3.3\)](#page-0-2), one can then estimate the confidence limits Fig. ([3.1](#page-2-0)). Confidence limits can be calculated from



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 3.1** Minimum water levels of Nile River (*source* Beran [1994\)](#page-3-2)

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
X_{t+l}(\mp) = \hat{X}_t(l) + z_p^{\mp} \left[ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \psi_j^2 \right]^{1/2} \sigma_{\varepsilon}
$$
 (3.9)

The authors conjecture that the confidence intervals can be estimated more rigorously through calculating the standardized lower and upper bounds  $z_{p}^{-}$  and  $z_p^+$  from the sample probability densities of the residuals. The residuals, as well as  $z_p^-$  and  $z_p^+$ , depend on the data signal and the model parameters in Eq. [\(2.2\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-01505-7_2). Equation  $(3.10)$  below holds for the 95 % confidence limits, and one can write other confidence limits similarly.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
P\left[z_{p}^{-} \leq \frac{\hat{X}_{t+1} - X_{t}(l)}{\left[1 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \psi_{j}^{2}\right]^{1/2} \sigma_{\varepsilon}} \leq z_{p}^{+}\right] = 0.95
$$
 (3.10)

The 2.5 and 97.5 percentiles of the residuals may be estimated to find  $z_p^-$  and  $z_p^+$ . If the residuals possess a normal distribution, then  $z_p^{\pm} = \pm 1.96$ .

One can infer from Eqs. [\(3.4\)](#page-1-0) and ([3.9](#page-2-2)) that the forecast confidence interval size depends on the variance and probability distribution of the residuals, forecast lead time *l*, the difference parameter d, and the autoregressive and the moving average coefficients Fig. [\(3.2\)](#page-3-1).



<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Fig. 3.2** Sample ACF, periodogram, and the logarithm of the periodogram of minimum water levels of Nile River

## **3.3 Updating**

Updating of a forecast is important during a statistical forecasting process. However, it is usually neglected in forecast applications in the literature. Box and Jenkins [\(1976](#page-3-0)) provide the methodology for forecast updating for ARIMA models. Assuming that forecasts at origin t are available for lead times 1, 2, ... L, then as soon as  $X_{t+1}$  becomes available, the forecast error  $\varepsilon_{t+1} = X_{t+1} - \hat{X}_t(1)$  can be calculated, and one may

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
\hat{X}_{t+1}(l) = \hat{X}(l+1) + \psi_l \varepsilon_{t+1}
$$
\n(3.11)

for lead times 1, 2, …, L−1. Eq. [\(3.11\)](#page-3-3) was originally developed for the ARIMA models, but in this study it is used for the ARFIMA models as well when the  $\psi$ weights are estimated from Eq. [\(3.6\)](#page-1-2) for the non-integer differencing parameter d.

#### **References**

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Box GEP, Jenkins GM (1976) Time series analysis: forecasting and control. Holden-Day, San Fransisco

<span id="page-3-2"></span>Beran J (1994) Statistics for long-memory processes. Chapman and Hall, New York

update the forecasts using  $\psi$  weights (Box and Jenkins, [1976](#page-3-0)) as