

Chapter 3

Gynogenesis: An Important Tool for Plant Breeders

Gynogenesis is the least adopted method to produce haploid plants, but it has been predominantly exploited in those crops that have shown a very little or no response to wide hybridization, microspore, or anther culture (Forster et al. 2007). Gynogenesis consists of in vitro culture of unfertilized gametes (female) such as ovaries or ovules, though occasionally complete flower buds have also been used for culture. It has been recommended that female gametes or flower buds for gynogenesis should be collected before anthesis (pollen shedding). However, the collection can be made at any time in case of a male sterile or self-incompatible species. For collection purposes, stage of microspores is an excellent indicator to identify the exact time with respect to female gametes. The procedures of surface sterilization are generally used, similar to androgenesis techniques, to sterilize/disinfect. The sterilization time and agent differ from one species to another. The donor plants grown in cold chambers or green houses mostly need less time to sterilize than plants grown under field conditions. Solid medium is the most commonly used medium to produce haploids via gynogenesis. It has been recommended to dry the ovules that need to be cultured prior to start the excision procedure. The irradiated pollen using cobalt-60 has also been used in some tree species to induce gynogenesis. The time of application and application dose have been termed as the most important factors leading towards gynogenic success. Recently, tetraploid (Germana and Chiancone 2001) and irradiated (Froelicher et al. 2007) pollens have been effectively used to induce gynogenesis in citrus.

The work on gynogenesis started in 1964 when Tulecke (1964) reported callus formation from female gametes for the first time. However, the advancement and improvement in gynogenesis was much slower than androgenesis. In barley, Noeum (1976) gave details of first haploid plant via gynogenesis by culturing ovaries. In few crop plants, such as wheat, barley, rice, and maize, doubled haploidy via gynogenesis is possible (Gaj 1998; Sibi et al. 2001; Tang et al. 2006; Zhou and Yang 1981), but androgenesis is a method of choice due to the reason of low embryo

production and limited number of cells to manipulate in gynogenesis. Gynogenesis has been effectively used in sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*) and onion (*Allium cepa*) as described by Gurel et al. (2000) and Luthar and Bohanec (1999), respectively. The frequency of haploid production via gynogenesis in angiosperms, cucumber (Gemes-Juhász et al. 2002), sweet potato (Kobayashi et al. 1993), and in some trees (Forster et al. 2007) has shown promising results. In sugar beet and onion, gynogenesis has been achieved by culturing female gametophyte followed by their regeneration. There is no need to apply pretreatment in case of onion, but a cold shock (8 °C for 7 days) to floral organs in combination with high temperature treatment at 30 °C during culturing is needed in sugar beet to obtain desired results (Michalik et al. 2000; Wremerth and Levall 2003). Major factors that influence gynogenesis include genotype, developmental stage of female gametophyte or embryo sac, pretreatment, composition of media, and induction/cultural conditions.

3.1 Genotype

Haploid production via gynogenesis is dependent on the type of genotype being used and is highly variable from one species to another. The induction response (no. of embryos) also depends on donor plant's growth and developmental conditions and quality of female gametophytes at the time of induction. In rice (Rongbai et al. 1998), onion (Bohanec et al. 1995), and wheat (Mdarhri-Alaoui et al. 1998), genotypic variations with respect to gynogenic success of haploid production have been well documented. The variable gynogenic induction frequency has been illustrated in onion genotypes of various origins that ranged from 0 to 10 % among 10 Polish cultivars/varieties (Javornik et al. 1998), 0 to 17 % in 22 European accessions (Geoffriau et al. 1997), and 0 to 22 % among 39 Japanese and American accessions (Bohanec and Jakse 1999). Furthermore, open pollinated cultivars of *Allium cepa* showed low response to gynogenesis than inbred lines and F₁ hybrids (Bohanec and Jakse 1999). A similar genotypic variability was also noted in potato and squash cultivars that ranged from 11 to 60 (Kobayashi et al. 1993) and 0 to 49 % (Shalaby 2007), respectively. Higher embryo yield was noted in sugar beet when floral organs were collected from donor plants grown in glass cabinets/greenhouses compared to field grown plants (Lux et al. 1990). In the same way, florets that developed first on lateral branches yielded higher number of embryos as compared to florets emerged at the later stages i.e. florets developed at main stem apex (D'Halluin and Keimer 1986a, b). The planting/sowing time also affected embryoid response and it has been stated that summer planting favored embryo production in *Beta* sp., whereas autumn sowing yielded higher embryos in *Gerbera* sp. (Cappadocia and Ahmim 1988; Cappadocia et al. 1988; Doctrinal et al. 1989; Lux et al. 1990). In onion, female gametophytes collected from very large and small flowers showed a very little response to gynogenic induction than medium ones exhibiting two to four nucleate embryo sacs (Musial et al. 2005).

3.2 Developmental Stage of Female Gametophyte

The exact stage of female gametophytic (ovule or ovary) regarding its collection for induction is hard to detect. The induction of ovules/ovaries is done on the basis of microspore stage or days after anthesis; however, in few cases, flower bud's developmental stage or direct observation of ovaries/ovules has also been performed. Nearly mature or mature embryo sac is considered as a good sign to commence the process of gynogenesis (Gemes-Juhász et al. 2002; Keller and Korzun 1996); however, a well-trained and skilled embryologist is needed to determine the exact stage of embryo sac. Yang et al. (1986) confirmed that in sunflower, a well mature embryo sac is developed just 2–3 days before anthesis, whereas an excellent response has been obtained from unfertilized ovules of “Kyoho” grape wine when they were collected/excised, 19–20 days before anthesis (Nakajima et al. 2000). In the same way, ovaries harvested 1 day prior to anthesis in *Cucurbita pepo* resulted maximum embryos (Metwally et al. 1998a, b), whereas similar findings have been reported in cucumber when excision was done only 6 h prior to anthesis (Gemes-Juhász et al. 2002). The embryo sac consists of egg cell, two polar nuclei, antipodal cells, and synergids. In most cases, egg cell give rise to haploid embryo but sometimes production of an embryo from synergid or antipodal cells has also been documented as in the case of rice (Zhou et al. 1986) and barley (Noeum 1976). In saffron, the excision time of ovaries has been linked to stigma development. Ovaries with yellow stigma are considered one the most responsive stage for gynogenic excision (Bhagyalakshmi 1999).

3.3 Pretreatment

The floral organs are pretreated in few species which is also considered as an important factor to stimulate the process of sporophytic development in female gametophytes. Similar to androgenic methods, starvation, heat, and/or cold shocks are given alone or in combination with each other to induce stress conditions. However, duration, time, type, and level of pretreatment vary considerably from one species to another. In rice, cold treatment at 8 °C for 6–14 days enhanced embryogenic response to a greater extent (Rongbai et al. 1998) and similar effect of cold treatment has been seen in sugar beet, wheat, and *Salvia sclarea* (Bugara and Rusina 1989a, b; Gurel et al. 2000; Sibi et al. 2001). Yang et al. (1986) reported that a rapid cold shock for 1–2 days at 4 °C also improved embryogenic efficiency in *Helianthus* sp. On the other hand, heat treatment for 2–4 days at 33 °C seemed to be efficient to promote sporophytic development of female gametophyte in *Picea sitchensis* (Baldursson et al. 1993). Gemes-Juhász et al. (2002) described that heat shock (32 °C) is also effective in cucumber to obtain an effective gynogenic response provided that heat treatment is given during cultural/induction phase. In few species such as *Cucurbita pepo* (Metwally et al. 1998a, b), niger (Bhat and Murthy 2007),

and rice (Zhou et al. 1986), there is no need to apply any pretreatment and heat/cold shocks have shown detrimental effects in these species. In the same way, high illumination favors onion gynogenesis (Puddephat et al. 1999), whereas dark incubation during induction phase is required in saffron (Bhagyalakshmi 1999) and cucumber (Gemés-Juhász et al. 2002).

3.4 Composition of Media

The composition of media is also a critical factor that affects success rate of gynogenesis to a considerable degree. Media constituents differ not only for regeneration and induction phases but also among crops. The media of regeneration phase require growth hormones/regulators to promote growth, whereas low concentration or sometime even no growth regulators are needed for induction medium. MS, Millers, N6, and B5 with minor changes/modifications in the sources of growth regulators, carbohydrates, and nitrogen are among the most widely adapted media.

Sucrose is the most extensively used carbohydrate and its concentration in media vary from 58 to 348 mM or 2 to 12 % (Juhász et al. 1997; Mdarhri-Alaoui et al. 1998). The sucrose in a concentration of 6 % in the media enhanced number of embryos and hampered somatic tissue growth in wheat gynogenesis (Mukhambetzhonov 1997), but its high concentration believed to be beneficial in carnation and has an adverse effect on the frequency of embryos in squash (Sato et al. 2000). On the other hand, in few species, maltose has been exploited/used rather than sucrose (Cordewener et al. 1995). For gynogenic haploid production, Cytokinin and Auxin have been mainly used in various crop species, but it has been observed that novel polyamines gave much better response as compared to growth hormones/regulators in onion and their substitution has indicated better results (Martinez et al. 2000). Several other growth hormones such as indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) in onion (Bohanec et al. 1995) and carrot (Kielkowska and Adamus 2010), naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) in rice (Rongbai et al. 1998), coconut water in barley (Castillo and Cistue 1993), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in rice (Rongbai et al. 1998), and Thidiazuron (TDZ) in cucumber (Diao et al. 2009) alone or in combination with each other have given promising gynogenic results. In wheat, solid medium is preferred over liquid because it improves callus growth (Gusakovskaya and Najar 1994).

A significant amount of research work has been conducted in the area of doubled haploidy via gynogenesis over the past few decades. The research papers have addressed various aspects of gynogenesis; however, the major focal point has been to improve the methodology using responsive genotypes and altering donor plant's conditions, pretreatments, and media composition. Besides many recent gynogenesis publications, a very little information exists with respect to molecular and genetics of gynogenesis in crop plants. As described earlier, gynogenesis is considered a method of choice where other methods of doubled haploidy are not available or species are irresponsive to other methods. This is especially true in case of onion, melon, and sugar beet where significant achievements have been made with

gynogenesis because androgenesis via anther culture or IMC is not successful. Furthermore, gynogenesis has also proved to be beneficial in case of male sterile plants e.g. haploids via gynogenesis have been effectively developed in photosensitive male sterile line in rice by culturing unfertilized ovaries (Cai et al. 1988). The problem of albinism in cereals can also be tackled with gynogenesis. In petunia, the percentage of nonhaploids were more than haploids using androgenesis (anther culture) as a method of haploid production, but DeVerna and Collins (1984) reported that 93 % plants were haploids when produced through gynogenesis. Similar findings in rice with the use of gynogenesis have been reported. It is suggested that future gynogenesis work should be conducted to improve our knowledge to track gynogenic sporophytic pathway and to identify new QTLs or genes associated with it. The development and identification of genetic/molecular markers associated with higher frequency of embryoids and green plants through gynogenesis will definitely improve gynogenic doubled haploidy in crop plants to a considerable degree.

References

- Baldursson S, Norgaard JV, Krogstrup P (1993) Factors influencing haploid callus initiation and proliferation in megagametophyte cultures of sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*). *Silvae Genet* 42(2–3):79–86
- Bhagyalakshmi N (1999) Factors influencing direct shoot regeneration from ovary explants of saffron. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* 58(3):205–211. doi:10.1023/a:1006398205936
- Bhat JG, Murthy HN (2007) Factors affecting in-vitro gynogenic haploid production in niger (*Guizotia abyssinica* (L. f.) Cass.). *Plant Growth Regul* 52(3):241–248. doi:10.1007/s10725-007-9196-9
- Bohanec B, Jakse M (1999) Variations in gynogenic response among long-day onion (*Allium cepa* L.) accessions. *Plant Cell Rep* 18(9):737–742
- Bohanec B, Jakse M, Ihan A, Javornik B (1995) Studies of gynogenesis in onion (*Allium cepa* L.) – induction procedures and genetic-analysis of regenerants. *Plant Sci* 104(2):215–224. doi:10.1016/0168-9452(94)04030-k
- Bugara AM, Rusina LV (1989a) Haploid callus formation in the culture of unfertilized ovules of clary sage. *Fiziologiya i Biokhimiya Kulturnykh Rastenii* 20(6):554–560
- Bugara AM, Rusina LV (1989b) Haploid callusogenesis in the culture of unfertilized ovules of *Salvia sclarea*. *Fiziologiya i Biokhimiya Kulturnykh Rastenii* 21(6):554–560
- Cai DT, Chen DT, Zhu H, Jin Y (1988) In vitro production of haploid plantlets from the unfertilized ovaries and anthers of Hubei photosynthetic genicmale sterile rice (HPGMR). *Acta Biol Exp Sin* 21:401–407
- Cappadocia M, Ahmim M (1988) Comparison of 2 culture methods for the production of haploids by anther culture in *Solanum chacoense*. *Can J Bot* 66(5):1003–1005
- Cappadocia M, Chretien L, Laublin G (1988) Production of haploids in *Gerbera-jamesonii* via ovule culture – influence of fall versus spring sampling on callus formation and shoot regeneration. *Can J Bot* 66(6):1107–1110
- Castillo AM, Cistue L (1993) Production of gynogenic haploids of *Hordeum vulgare* L. *Plant Cell Rep* 12(3):139–143
- Cordewener JHG, Hause G, Gorgen E, Busink R, Hause B, Dons HJM, Vanlammeren AAM, Campagne MMV, Pechan P (1995) Changes in synthesis and localization of members of the 70-kDa class of heat-shock proteins accompany the induction of embryogenesis in *Brassica napus* L. microspores. *Planta* 196(4):747–755. doi:10.1007/bf01106770

- D'Halluin K, Keimer B (1986a) Production of haploid sugarbeets (*Beta vulgaris* L.) by ovule culture. Genetic manipulation in plant breeding. In: Proceedings of international symposium organized by Eucarpia, 8–13 Sept 1985, Berlin (West), Germany, pp 307–309
- D'Halluin K, Keimer B (1986b) Production of haploid sugarbeets *Beta vulgaris* L. By ovule culture. In: Horn W, Jensen CJ, Odenbach W, Schieder O (eds) Genetic manipulation in plant breeding. De Gruyter, Berlin, pp 307–310
- DeVerna JW, Collins GB (1984) Maternal haploids of *Petunia axillaris* (Lam.) B.S.P. via culture of placenta attached ovules. *Theor Appl Genet* 69(2):187–192. doi:[10.1007/BF00272893](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00272893)
- Diao W-P, Jia Y-Y, Song H, Zhang X-Q, Lou Q-F, Chen J-F (2009) Efficient embryo induction in cucumber ovary culture and homozygous identification of the regenerants using SSR markers. *Sci Hortic* 119(3):246–251. doi:[10.1016/j.scienta.2008.08.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2008.08.016)
- Doctrinal M, Sangwan RS, Sangwannorree BS (1989) Invitro gynogenesis in *Beta vulgaris* L – effects of plant-growth regulators, temperature, genotypes and season. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* 17(1):1–12
- Forster BP, Heberle-Bors E, Kasha KJ, Touraev A (2007) The resurgence of haploids in higher plants. *Trends Plant Sci* 12(8):368–375. doi:[10.1016/j.tplants.2007.06.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2007.06.007)
- Froelicher Y, Bassene J-B, Jedidi-Neji E, Dambier D, Morillon R, Bernardini G, Costantino G, Ollitrault P (2007) Induced parthenogenesis in mandarin for haploid production: induction procedures and genetic analysis of plantlets. *Plant Cell Rep* 26(7):937–944. doi:[10.1007/s00299-007-0314-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-007-0314-y)
- Gaj M (1998) Estimation of parthenogenesis frequency in genotypes of *Hordeum vulgare* (L.) by auxin test. *J Appl Genet* 39A:98
- Gemes-Juhász A, Balogh P, Ferenczy A, Kristof Z (2002) Effect of optimal stage of female gametophyte and heat treatment on in vitro gynogenesis induction in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *Plant Cell Rep* 21(2):105–111. doi:[10.1007/s00299-002-0482-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-002-0482-8)
- Geoffriau E, Kahane R, Rancillac M (1997) Variation of gynogenesis ability in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Euphytica* 94(1):37–44. doi:[10.1023/a:1002949606450](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1002949606450)
- Germana MA, Chiancone B (2001) Gynogenetic haploids of Citrus after in vitro pollination with triploid pollen grains. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* 66(1):59–66. doi:[10.1023/a:1010627310808](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1010627310808)
- Gurel S, Gurel E, Kaya Z (2000) Doubled haploid plant production from unpollinated ovules of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.). *Plant Cell Rep* 19(12):1155–1159
- Gusakovskaya MA, Najar MA (1994) Gynogenesis in cultures of ovaries and ovules of *Triticum aestivum* L. (Poaceae). *Botanicheskii Zhurnal* 79(1):70–79
- Javornik B, Bohanec B, Campion B (1998) Second cycle gynogenesis in onion, *Allium cepa* L., and genetic analysis of the plants. *Plant Breed* 117(3):275–278. doi:[10.1111/j.1439-0523.1998.tb01939.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0523.1998.tb01939.x)
- Juhász AG, Simon-Sarkadi L, Velich I, Varro P (1997) Studies of non-ionic osmotic stress on bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L) callus and seedlings cultures. *Acta Hortic* 447:455–456
- Keller ERJ, Korzun L (1996) Haploidy in onion (*Allium cepa* L.) and other Allium species. In: Jain SM, Sopory SK, Veilleux RE (eds) In vitro haploid production in higher plants, vol 3, Important selected plants. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 51–75
- Kielkowska A, Adamus A (2010) In vitro culture of unfertilized ovules in carrot (*Daucus carota* L.). *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* 102(3):309–319. doi:[10.1007/s11240-010-9735-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-010-9735-3)
- Kobayashi RS, Sinden SL, Bouwkamp JC (1993) Ovule culture of sweet-potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) and closely related species. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* 32(1):77–82. doi:[10.1007/bf00040119](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00040119)
- Luthar Z, Bohanec B (1999) Induction of direct somatic organogenesis in onion (*Allium cepa* L.) using a two-step flower or ovary culture. *Plant Cell Rep* 18(10):797–802
- Lux H, Herrmann L, Wetzel C (1990) Production of haploid sugar-beet (*Beta vulgaris* L) by culturing unpollinated ovules. *Plant Breed* 104(3):177–183. doi:[10.1111/j.1439-0523.1990.tb00420.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0523.1990.tb00420.x)
- Martinez LE, Aguero CB, Lopez ME, Galmarini CR (2000) Improvement of in vitro gynogenesis induction in onion (*Allium cepa* L.) using polyamines. *Plant Sci* 156(2):221–226. doi:[10.1016/s0168-9452\(00\)00263-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-9452(00)00263-6)
- Mdarhri-Alaoui M, Saidi N, Chlyah A, Chlyah H (1998) Green haploid plant formation in durum-wheat through in vitro gynogenesis. *CR Acad Sci Ser III Life* 32:25–30

- Metwally EI, Moustafa SA, El-Sawy BI, Haroun SA, Shalaby TA (1998a) Production of haploid plants from in vitro culture of unpollinated ovules of *Cucurbita pepo*. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* 52(3):117–121. doi:[10.1023/a:1005948809825](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1005948809825)
- Metwally EI, Moustafa SA, El-Sawy BI, Shalaby TA (1998b) Haploid plantlets derived by anther culture of *Cucurbita pepo*. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* 52(3):171–176. doi:[10.1023/a:1005908326663](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1005908326663)
- Michalik B, Adamus A, Nowak E (2000) Gynogenesis in Polish onion cultivars. *J Plant Physiol* 156(2):211–216
- Mukhambetzhonov SK (1997) Culture of nonfertilized female gametophytes in vitro. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* 48(2):111–119. doi:[10.1023/a:1005838113788](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1005838113788)
- Musial K, Bohanec B, Jakse M, Przywara L (2005) The development of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) embryo sacs in vitro and gynogenesis induction in relation to flower size. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant* 41(4):446–452. doi:[10.1079/ivp2005645](https://doi.org/10.1079/ivp2005645)
- Nakajima I, Kobayashi S, Nakamura Y (2000) Embryogenic callus induction and plant regeneration from unfertilized ovule of “Kyoho” grape. *J Jpn Soc Hortic Sci* 69(2):186–188
- Noeum LHS (1976) Non-fertilized ovaries of *Hordeum vulgare* L. cultured in vitro (Haploides d’*Hordeum vulgare* L. par culture in vitro d’ovaires non fécondes.). *Annales de l’Amélioration des Plantes* 26(4):751–754
- Puddephat IJ, Robinson HT, Smith BM, Lynn J (1999) Influence of stock plant pretreatment on gynogenic embryo induction from flower buds of onion. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* 57(2):145–148. doi:[10.1023/a:1006312614874](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1006312614874)
- Rongbai L, Pandey MP, Pandey SK, Dwivedi DK, Ashima (1998) Exploiting the in vitro ovary culture technique to breed rice hybrids. *Int Rice Res Notes* 23(1):14
- Sato S, Katoh N, Yoshida H, Iwai S, Hagimori M (2000) Production of doubled haploid plants of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) by pseudofertilized ovule culture. *Sci Hortic* 83(3–4):301–310. doi:[10.1016/s0304-4238\(99\)00090-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-4238(99)00090-4)
- Shalaby TA (2007) Factors affecting haploid induction through in vitro gynogenesis in summer squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.). *Sci Hortic* 115(1):1–6. doi:[10.1016/j.scienta.2007.07.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2007.07.008)
- Sibi ML, Kobaissi A, Shekafandeh A (2001) Green haploid plants from unpollinated ovary culture in tetraploid wheat (*Triticum durum* Defs.). *Euphytica* 122(2):351–359. doi:[10.1023/a:1012991325228](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1012991325228)
- Tang F, Tao Y, Zhao T, Wang G (2006) In vitro production of haploid and doubled haploid plants from pollinated ovaries of maize (*Zea mays*). *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* 84(2):210–214. doi:[10.1007/s11240-005-9017-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-005-9017-7)
- Tulecke W (1964) Haploid tissue culture from female gametophyte of *Ginkgo biloba* L. *Nature* 203(494):94. doi:[10.1038/203094a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/203094a0)
- Wremeth WE, Levall M (2003) Doubled haploid production of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.). In: Maluszynski M, Kasha KJ, Forster BP, Szarejko I (eds) *Doubled haploid production in crop plants: a manual*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 255–265
- Yang HY, Zhou C, Cai D, Hua Y, Yan W, Chen X (1986) In vitro culture of unfertilized ovules in *Helianthus annuus* L. In: Hu H, Yang HY (eds) *Haploids of higher plant: in vitro*. Springer, Berlin, pp 182–191
- Zhou C, Yang HY (1981) In vitro embryogenesis in unfertilized embryo sacs of *Oryza sativa* L. *Acta Bot Sin* 23:176–180
- Zhou C, Yang HY, Tian HQ, Liu ZL, Yan H (1986) In vitro culture of unpollinated ovaries in *Oryza sativa* L. In: Hu H, Yang HY (eds) *Haploids of higher plants in vitro*. China Academic, Beijing, pp 165–181