

Regularity and Unique Existence of Solution to Linear Diffusion Equation with Multiple Time-Fractional Derivatives

Susanne Beckers and Masahiro Yamamoto

Abstract We consider an initial/boundary value problem for linear diffusion equation with multiple fractional time derivatives and prove the regularity of the solution. The regularity argument implies the unique existence of the solution.

Keywords Fractional diffusion equation · Mild solution · Regularity

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 26A33 · 35R10 · 45K05

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and let $0 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_1 < 1$. We consider the following initial/boundary value problem for a diffusion equation with two fractional time derivatives:

$$\partial_t^{\alpha_1} u(x, t) + q(x) \partial_t^{\alpha_2} u(x, t) = (-\mathcal{A}u)(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t \in (0, T) \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$u(x, 0) = a(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \quad (1.3)$$

The first named author thanks JASSO Honors Scholarship and the Global COE Program “The Research and Training Center for New Development in Mathematics” at Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences of The University of Tokyo for financial support.

S. Beckers

Faculty of Mathematics, Lothar Collatz School for Computing in Science, Universität Hamburg, Gindelberg 5, 20144 Hamburg, Germany
e-mail: susne.beckers@uni-hamburg.de

M. Yamamoto (✉)

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-9 Komaba Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan
e-mail: myama@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Here, for $0 < \alpha < 1$, we denote by ∂_t^α the Caputo fractional derivative with respect to t :

$$\partial_t^\alpha g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{d\tau} g(\tau) d\tau$$

where Γ is the Gamma function and $q \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$. The space $W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ is the usual Sobolev space (Adams [1]). Moreover the operator $-\mathcal{A}$ is a symmetric uniformly elliptic operator, that is,

$$(-\mathcal{A}u)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u(x) \right) + b(x)u(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d$, $a_{ij} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, $b \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, $b(x) \leq 0$ for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, and we assume that there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$C_0 \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^d A_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

In the special case $q \equiv 0$, (1.1) is a diffusion equation with a single fractional time derivative. For such equations there exists a large and rapidly growing number of publications which we do not intend to list completely: Bazhlekova [3, 4], Eidelman and Kochubei [6], Luchko [12, 13], Prüss [21], Sakamoto and Yamamoto [22]. Also see Agarwal [2], Fujita [7], Gejji and Jafari [8], Mainardi [15–17], Nigmatullin [18], Schneider and Wyss [23].

In this article, we consider the case of multiple fractional time derivatives. Such equations can be considered as more feasible model equations than equations with a single fractional time derivative in modeling diffusion in porous media. In the case where the functions in (1.1) are not dependent on x , we refer to several works and refer for example to Diethelm and Luchko [5], Podlubny [20], Chap. 3, for instance. In particular, in [5], some physical interpretations are given. As for diffusion equations with multiple fractional time derivatives, see Jiang, Liu, Turner and Burrage [10] and Luchko [14] which argue a general number of time fractional derivatives. The article [10] discusses the spatially one dimensional case with constant coefficients where also the spatial fractional derivative is considered, and establishes the formula of the solution, and [14] assumes that the coefficients of the time derivatives are constant to prove unique existence of the solution by the Fourier method as well as the maximum principle and related properties.

Unlike [10] and [14], we treat a general case of x -dependent coefficient of fractional time derivatives. In our case, we cannot apply the Fourier method or obtain an analytic solution. We apply the perturbation method and the theory of evolution equations to prove regularity as well as unique existence of solution to (1.1)–(1.3). Such results should be the starting point for further research concerning the theory of nonlinear fractional diffusion equations, numerical analysis, control theory and inverse problems. In forthcoming papers, we will discuss those subjects.

This paper is composed of four sections including the current section. In Sect. 2, we present the main result for the case of two fractional time derivatives and in Sect. 3 we prove it. Section 4 is devoted to the case of general multiple fractional time derivatives.

2 Main Results

Let $L^2(\Omega)$ be the usual L^2 -space with the scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) , and $H^\ell(\Omega)$, $H_0^m(\Omega)$ denote the usual Sobolev spaces (e.g., Adams [1]). We set $\|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = (a, a)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

We define the operator A in $L^2(\Omega)$ by

$$(Au)(x) = (\mathcal{A}u)(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \mathcal{D}(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Then the fractional power A^γ is defined for $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ (see for instance [19]), and $\mathcal{D}(A^\gamma) \subset H^{2\gamma}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{D}(A^{\frac{1}{2}}) = H_0^1(\Omega)$ for example. We note that $\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}(A^\gamma)} := \|A^\gamma u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ is a stronger norm than $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ for $\gamma > 0$.

Since $-A$ is a symmetric uniformly elliptic operator, the spectrum of A is entirely composed of eigenvalues and counting according to the multiplicities, we can set: $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$. By $\phi_n \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, we denote the orthonormal eigenfunction corresponding to λ_n : $A\phi_n = \lambda_n\phi_n$. Then the sequence $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$. Moreover, we see that

$$\mathcal{D}(A^\gamma) = \left\{ \psi \in L^2(\Omega); \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2\gamma} |(\psi, \phi_n)|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

and that $\mathcal{D}(A^\gamma)$ is a Hilbert space with the norm

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{D}(A^\gamma)} = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2\gamma} |(\psi, \phi_n)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Henceforth we associate with $u(x, t)$, provided that it is well-defined, a map $u(\cdot) : (0, T) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ by $u(t)(x) = u(x, t)$, $0 < t < T$, $x \in \Omega$. Then we can write (1.1)–(1.3) as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^{\alpha_1} u(t) + q \partial_t^{\alpha_2} u(t) &= -Au(t), \quad t > 0 \text{ in } L^2(\Omega), \\ u(0) &= a \in L^2(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Remark 1 The interpretation of the initial condition should be made in a suitable function space. In our case, as Theorem 1 asserts, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(t) - a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0$.

Moreover we define the Mittag–Leffler function $E_{\alpha,\beta}$ by

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary constants. Using the power series, we can directly verify that $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ is an entire function.

Now we define the operators $S(t) : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$, $t \geq 0$, by

$$S(t)a := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, \phi_n) E_{\alpha_1,1}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha_1}) \phi_n \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega) \quad (2.2)$$

for $a \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then we can prove that $S(t) : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is a bounded linear operator for $t \geq 0$ (e.g., Sakamoto and Yamamoto [22]). Moreover, termwise differentiation is possible and gives

$$S'(t)a = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a, \phi_n) t^{\alpha_1-1} E_{\alpha_1,\alpha_1}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha_1}) \phi_n \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega) \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$S''(t)a = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n (a, \phi_n) t^{\alpha_1-2} E_{\alpha_1,\alpha_1-1}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha_1}) \phi_n \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega) \quad (2.4)$$

for $a \in L^2(\Omega)$.

For $F \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$ and $a \in L^2(\Omega)$, there exists a unique solution in a suitable class (e.g., Sakamoto and Yamamoto [22]) to the problem

$$\partial_t^{\alpha_1} u(t) = -Au(t) + F, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad (2.5)$$

$$u(0) = a. \quad (2.6)$$

This solution is given by

$$u(t) = \int_0^t A^{-1} S'(t-\tau) F(\tau) d\tau + S(t)a, \quad t > 0. \quad (2.7)$$

In view of (2.7), we mainly discuss the equation

$$u(t) = S(t)a - \int_0^t A^{-1} S'(t-\tau) q \partial_t^{\alpha_2} u(\tau) d\tau, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad (2.8)$$

in order to establish unique existence of solutions to (2.1). Henceforth C denotes generic positive constants which are independent of a in (1.2), but may depend on T , α_1 , α_2 and the coefficients of the operator A and q .

We can state our first main result.

Theorem 1 *We assume that $u \in C((0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ satisfies (2.8) and*

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > 1.$$

Then

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^{2\gamma}(\Omega)} \leq Ct^{-\alpha_1\gamma} \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad 0 < t \leq T$$

for any $\gamma \in (0, 1)$.

We may be able to remove the condition $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > 1$. On the other hand, Prüss established regularity in case $\gamma = 1$ for general $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, 1)$ under a strong condition on $a \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ (see [21], in particular the perturbation theorem on p. 60).

On the basis of Theorem 1, a standard argument (e.g., Henry [9]) yields

Theorem 2 *For any $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ there exists a mild solution to (2.8) in the space $C((0, T]; \mathcal{D}(A^\gamma)) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$.*

3 Proof of Theorem 1

First we have

$$A^{\gamma-1} S'(t)a = -t^{\alpha_1-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^\gamma(a, \phi_n) E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_1}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha_1}) \phi_n \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega) \quad (3.1)$$

for $a \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\gamma \geq 0$. Moreover, since

$$|E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_1}(-\eta)| \leq \frac{C}{1+\eta}, \quad \eta > 0$$

(e.g., Theorem 1.6 on p. 35 in Podlubny [20]), we can prove

$$\|A^{\gamma-1} S'(t)\| \leq Ct^{\alpha_1-1-\alpha_1\gamma}, \quad t > 0 \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\|A^{-1} S''(t)\| \leq Ct^{\alpha_1-2}, \quad t > 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Now we proceed to the proof of Theorem 1. We set

$$v(t) := \int_0^t A^{\gamma-1} S'(t-\eta) q \partial_t^{\alpha_2} u(\eta) d\eta, \quad 0 < t < T.$$

By (2.8), we have

$$A^\gamma u(t) = A^\gamma S(t)a - v(t), \quad 0 < t < T.$$

Therefore, using

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^{2\gamma}(\Omega)} \leq C \|A^\gamma u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

(see the beginning of Sect. 2), it is sufficient to estimate $\|A^\gamma S(t)a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. First we will estimate $\|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. Substituting the definition of $\partial_t^{\alpha_2} u$ and changing the order of integration, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &= \int_0^t A^{\gamma-1} S'(t-\eta) \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha_2)} \left(\int_0^\eta (\eta-\tau)^{-\alpha_2} q u'(\tau) d\tau \right) d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha_2)} \int_0^t H(t,\tau) q u'(\tau) d\tau, \quad 0 < t < T. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Here we have set

$$H(t,\tau) = \int_\tau^t A^{\gamma-1} S'(t-\eta) (\eta-\tau)^{-\alpha_2} d\eta.$$

Decomposing the integrand and introducing the change of variables $\eta - \tau \rightarrow \eta$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H(t,\tau) &= \int_\tau^t A^{\gamma-1} S'(t-\eta) (\eta-\tau)^{-\alpha_2} d\eta \\ &= \int_\tau^t A^{\gamma-1} S'(t-\eta) [(\eta-\tau)^{-\alpha_2} - (t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2}] d\eta \\ &\quad + \int_\tau^t A^{\gamma-1} S'(t-\eta) d\eta (t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2} \\ &= \int_0^{t-\tau} A^{\gamma-1} S'(t-\eta-\tau) [\eta^{-\alpha_2} - (t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2}] d\eta \\ &\quad + \int_\tau^t A^{\gamma-1} S'(t-\eta) d\eta (t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2} \\ &= \int_0^{t-\tau} A^{\gamma-1} S'(t-\eta-\tau) [\eta^{-\alpha_2} - (t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2}] d\eta \\ &\quad + A^{\gamma-1} S(0) (t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2} - A^{\gamma-1} S(t-\tau) (t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2} \\ &:= I_1(t,\tau) + I_2(t,\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau I_1(t, \tau) &= - \int_0^{t-\tau} A^{\gamma-1} S''(t-\eta-\tau)(\eta^{-\alpha_2} - (t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2}) d\eta \\ &\quad - \alpha_2 \int_0^{t-\tau} A^{\gamma-1} S'(t-\eta-\tau)(t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2-1} d\eta \\ &\quad - \lim_{\eta \rightarrow t-\tau} A^{\gamma-1} S'(t-\tau-\eta)[\eta^{-\alpha_2} - (t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2}]. \end{aligned}$$

By the estimate (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|A^{\gamma-1} S'(t-\tau-\eta)(\eta^{-\alpha_2} - (t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2})\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C(t-\tau-\eta)^{\alpha_1-1-\alpha_1\gamma} \frac{|(t-\tau)^{\alpha_2} - \eta^{\alpha_2}|}{\eta^{\alpha_2}(t-\tau)^{\alpha_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

According to the mean value theorem, we can choose $\theta \in (\eta, t-\tau)$ such that

$$|(t-\tau)^{\alpha_2} - \eta^{\alpha_2}| = |\alpha_2 \theta^{\alpha_2-1}(t-\tau-\eta)| \leq \alpha_2 \eta^{\alpha_2-1}(t-\tau-\eta).$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|A^{\gamma-1} S'(t-\tau-\eta)(\eta^{-\alpha_2} - (t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2})\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C\alpha_2 \eta^{-1}(t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2}(t-\tau-\eta)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_1\gamma} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \eta \rightarrow t-\tau \end{aligned}$$

by $\alpha_1 - \alpha_1\gamma > 0$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau I_1(t, \tau) &= - \int_0^{t-\tau} A^{\gamma-1} S''(t-\eta-\tau)(\eta^{-\alpha_2} - (t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2}) d\eta \\ &\quad - \alpha_2 \int_0^{t-\tau} A^{\gamma-1} S'(t-\eta-\tau)(t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2-1} d\eta, \quad 0 < t < T. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau I_2(t, \tau) &= -\alpha_2 A^{\gamma-1} S(t-\tau)(t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2-1} + A^{\gamma-1} S(0)\alpha_2(t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2-1} \\ &\quad + A^{\gamma-1} S'(t-\tau)(t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2} \\ &= \alpha_2 \int_0^{t-\tau} A^{\gamma-1} S'(t-\eta-\tau)(t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2-1} d\eta \\ &\quad + A^{\gamma-1} S'(t-\tau)(t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Adding this and (3.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau H(t, \tau) &= - \int_0^{t-\tau} A^{\gamma-1} S''(t-\eta-\tau)(\eta^{-\alpha_2} - (t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2}) d\eta \\ &\quad + A^{\gamma-1} S'(t-\tau)(t-\tau)^{-\alpha_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Using (3.7) in (3.4), integrating by parts and using $H(t, t) = 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Gamma(1 - \alpha_2))v(t) &= \int_0^t H(t, \tau)qu'(\tau)d\tau \\
&= -H(t, 0)qa \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \left[\int_0^{t-\tau} A^{\gamma-1}S''(t - \eta - \tau)(\eta^{-\alpha_2} - (t - \tau)^{-\alpha_2})d\eta \right. \\
&\quad \left. - A^{\gamma-1}S'(t - \tau)(t - \tau)^{-\alpha_2} \right] qu(\tau)d\tau \\
&:= I_3(t) + I_4(t).
\end{aligned}$$

We set

$$B(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0.$$

First, by (3.2) and $q \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_3(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &= \|-H(t, 0)qa\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \left\| -\int_0^t A^{\gamma-1}S'(t - \eta)\eta^{-\alpha_2}d\eta qa \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&\leq C\|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \int_0^t (t - \eta)^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_1\gamma - 1} \eta^{-\alpha_2} d\eta \\
&= C\|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} B(1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_1 - \alpha_1\gamma) t^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_1\gamma - \alpha_2}, \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

since $1 - \alpha_2 > 0$ and $\alpha_1 - \alpha_1\gamma > 0$.

On the other hand, by $q \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ and $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, we have

$$\|A(qu(\tau))\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|qu(\tau)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|u(\tau)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|Au(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

and $\|qu(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|u(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$, that is,

$$\|A^0(qu(\tau))\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|A^0u(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Hence the interpolation theorem (see for instance Lions and Magenes [11], Theorem 5.1 on p. 27) we obtain

$$\|A^\gamma(qu(\tau))\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|A^\gamma u(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Therefore by (3.2) and (3.3), the second term of $I_4(t)$ can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_4(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C \int_0^t \left[\int_0^{t-\tau} (t - \eta - \tau)^{\alpha_1 - 2} (\eta^{-\alpha_2} - (t - \tau)^{-\alpha_2}) d\eta \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (t - \tau)^{\alpha_1 - 1 - \alpha_2} \right] \|A^\gamma(qu(\tau))\|_{L^2(\Omega)} d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq C \int_0^t \left[\int_0^{t-\tau} (t-\eta-\tau)^{\alpha_1-2} \frac{(t-\tau-\eta)^{\alpha_2}}{\eta^{\alpha_2}(t-\tau)^{\alpha_2}} d\eta \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (t-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1-\alpha_2} \right] \|A^\gamma u(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} d\tau \\
 &\leq C \int_0^t \left[\int_0^{t-\tau} (t-\eta-\tau)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-2} \eta^{-\alpha_2} d\eta \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (t-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1-\alpha_2} \right] \|A^\gamma u(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} d\tau \\
 &= C \int_0^t (B(1-\alpha_2, \alpha_1+\alpha_2-1)(t-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1} \\
 &\quad + (t-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1-\alpha_2}) \|A^\gamma u(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the last equality, we used $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > 1$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\Gamma(1-\alpha_2)v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 B(1-\alpha_2, \alpha_1-\alpha_1\gamma) t^{\alpha_1-\alpha_1\gamma-\alpha_2} \\
 &\quad + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1-\alpha_2} \|A^\gamma u(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the estimate of $\|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ is completed.

Next we estimate $\|A^\gamma S(t)a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. By Theorem 1.6 (p. 35) in [20], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|A^\gamma S(t)a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, \phi_n) \lambda_n^\gamma E_{\alpha_1,1}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha_1}) \phi_n \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
 &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, \phi_n)^2 t^{-2\alpha_1\gamma} \left(\frac{(\lambda_n t^{\alpha_1})^\gamma}{1 + \lambda_n t^{\alpha_1}} \right)^2 \\
 &\leq C t^{-2\alpha_1\gamma} \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|A^\gamma u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} (t^{-\alpha_1\gamma} + t^{\alpha_1-\alpha_1\gamma-\alpha_2}) \\
 &\quad + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1-\alpha_2} \|A^\gamma u(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} d\tau \\
 &\leq C \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} t^{-\alpha_1\gamma} + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha_1-1-\alpha_2} \|A^\gamma u(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} d\tau, \\
 &0 < t < T.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by an inequality of Gronwall type (see [9], Exercise 3 (p. 190)), we obtain

$$\|A^\gamma u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} t^{-\alpha_1\gamma}, \quad 0 < t \leq T.$$

Thus the proof is completed.

4 Generalization

The results in Sect. 3 shall now be extended to the solution of linear diffusion equation with multiple fractional time derivatives:

$$\partial_t^{\alpha_1} u(t) + \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} q_j \partial_t^{\alpha_j} u(t) = -Au(t), \quad t > 0$$

and

$$u(0) = a \in L^2(\Omega),$$

where $0 < \alpha_\ell < \dots < \alpha_2 < \alpha_1 < 1$ and $q_j \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$, $2 \leq j \leq \ell$.

As before the lower-order derivatives are regarded as source terms and we consider

$$u(t) = S(t)a - \int_0^t A^{-1} S'(t-\tau) \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} q_j \partial_t^{\alpha_j} u(\tau) d\tau, \quad 0 < t < T. \quad (4.1)$$

Similarly to Theorem 1, we can prove

Theorem 3 *We assume that $u \in C((0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ satisfies (4.1) and*

$$0 < \alpha_\ell < \dots < \alpha_1, \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_\ell > 1.$$

Then

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^{2\gamma}(\Omega)} \leq Ct^{-\alpha_1\gamma} \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad 0 < t \leq T$$

for any $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Moreover there exists a mild solution to (4.1) in the space $C((0, T]; \mathcal{D}(A^\gamma)) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ with $\gamma \in (0, 1)$.

Acknowledgements The authors thank Mr. Zhiyuan Li (The University of Tokyo) for his careful reading and remarks, and the anonymous referees for valuable comments which are useful for improving the manuscript.

References

1. R.A. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces* (Academic Press, New York, 1975)
2. O.P. Agarwal, Solution for a fractional diffusion-wave equation defined in a bounded domain. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **29**, 145–155 (2002)
3. E. Bazhlekova, The abstract Cauchy problem for the fractional evolution equation. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **1**, 255–270 (1998)
4. E. Bazhlekova, *Fractional Evolution Equation in Banach Spaces*, Doctoral Thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, 2001
5. K. Diethelm, Y. Luchko, Numerical solution of linear multi-term initial value problems of fractional order. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **6**, 243–263 (2004)

6. S.D. Eidelman, A.N. Kochubei, Cauchy problem for fractional diffusion equations. *J. Differ. Equ.* **199**, 211–255 (2004)
7. Y. Fujita, Integrodifferential equation which interpolates the heat equation and the wave equation. *Osaka J. Math.* **27**, 309–321, 797–804 (1990)
8. V.D. Gejji, H. Jafari, Boundary value problems for fractional diffusion-wave equation. *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **3**, 1–8 (2006)
9. D. Henry, *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations* (Springer, Berlin, 1981)
10. H. Jiang, F. Liu, I. Turner, K. Burrage, Analytical solutions for the multi-term time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional advection-diffusion equations on a finite domain. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **389**, 1117–1127 (2012)
11. J.L. Lions, E. Magenes, *Non-homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications* (Springer, Berlin, 1972)
12. Y. Luchko, Maximum principle for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **351**, 218–223 (2009)
13. Y. Luchko, Some uniqueness and existence results for the initial-boundary-value problems for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59**, 1766–1772 (2010)
14. Y. Luchko, Initial-boundary-value problems for the generalized multi-term time-fractional diffusion equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **374**, 538–548 (2011)
15. F. Mainardi, On the initial value problem for the fractional diffusion-wave equation, in *Waves and Stability in Continuous Media*, ed. by S. Rionero, T. Ruggeri (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994), pp. 246–251
16. F. Mainardi, The time fractional diffusion-wave equation. *Radiophys. Quantum Electron.* **38**, 13–24 (1995)
17. F. Mainardi, The fundamental solutions for the fractional diffusion-wave equation. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **9**, 23–28 (1996)
18. R.R. Nigmatullin, The realization of the generalized transfer equation in a medium with fractal geometry. *Phys. Status Solidi B* **133**, 425–430 (1986)
19. A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations* (Springer, Berlin, 1983)
20. I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations* (Academic Press, San Diego, 1999)
21. J. Prüss, *Evolutionary Integral Equations and Applications* (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1993)
22. K. Sakamoto, M. Yamamoto, Initial value/boundary value problems for fractional diffusion-wave equations and applications to some inverse problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **382**, 426–447 (2011)
23. W.R. Schneider, W. Wyss, Fractional diffusion and wave equations. *J. Math. Phys.* **30**, 134–144 (1989)