

# **Machine Learning-Based Metabolic Syndrome Identification**

Chang Liu<sup>[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0576-9455)( $\boxtimes$ )</sup>  $\Box$ [,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2142-477X) Jingjing Liu<sup>1</sup> $\Box$ , Zhangdaihong Liu<sup>2</sup> $\Box$ , and Yang Yang<sup>1</sup> $\Box$ 

<sup>1</sup> Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200025, China<br>{nt-liuchang2018,liujingjing123123,emma002}@sjtu.edu.cn

<sup>2</sup> Oxford Suzhou Center For Adcanced Research, Suzhou 215123, Jiangsu, China Jessie.Liu@oxford-oscar.cn

**Abstract. Objective**: To explore the efficacy of machine learning techniques in identifying Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) and examine the performance of models when applied to target populations with different distributions. **Methods**: This study utilizes data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) and local physical examinations, where MetS is diagnosed based on the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) standards. We first employ demographic and blood test data from NHANES and predicted MetS using machine learning models (including MLP, Logistic Regression, Random Forest, XGBoost, Catboost, and Multi-layer Perceptron), and then test these predictions on different population data. **Results**: Models employing 59 features demonstrate commendable performance in the NHANES test set (same population testing), with the MLP model exhibiting the best performance (AUROC= 0.93). Models constructed with 32 features (excluding height, weight, and certain blood test information) still show promising results (MLP  $\text{AUROC} = 0.89$ ). However, when the models are tested on the local physical examination dataset (cross-population testing), there is a substantial decline in performance (MLP AUROC = 0.71). **Conclusion**: Machine learning techniques can predict MetS on the NHANES dataset with high accuracy. Due to the distribution shift, examined machine learning models perform better in the setting with same population distribution.

**Keywords:** Metabolic Syndrome · Machine Learning · Population Health Management

# **1 Introduction**

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is an early subclinical syndrome characterized by the aggregation of multiple risk factors of metabolic diseases. The main indicators of MetS include abdominal obesity, elevated blood pressure, hyperglycemia, hyperlipidemia, and low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. Existing researches have shown that MetS is closely associated with the risk of numerous chronic non-communicable diseases, such as coronary heart diseases, stroke, diabetes, and hypertension [\[1](#page-7-0)]. As a result, it has become a significant disease burden in the field of public health and a focal issue in recent population health management (PHM)  $[2,3]$  $[2,3]$ .

Machine learning (ML) technology has been increasingly utilized in clinical areas [\[4\]](#page-7-3). The timely and accurately detection and diagnosis of diseases through machine learning remains a consistent and prominent topic in scientific research. Concurrently, the widespread application of AI technology has fostered the rapid growth of PHM, which aims to enable all individuals within a specific population to maintain and enhance their health. With the evolution and implementation of advanced machine learning models, population health research can model multidimensional health data from large cohorts to extract valuable insights, further applying these findings to PHM [\[5](#page-7-4)].

The diagnosis of metabolic syndrome (MetS), according to the diagnostic criteria in the joint statement by the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), involves multiple physical meOSasurements and laboratory tests, such as waist circumference, blood pressure, blood sugar, triglycerides, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, which obviously presents certain limitations in the context of PHM or individual health management. Among the others, waist circumference is the indicator that is fairly stable and can be conveniently measured. The blood pressure and blood sugar require multiple measures to confirm the reliability. The triglycerides, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol need to be tested in hospital with lab analyser. The gold standards for the blood sugar, riglycerides, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol are tested intrusively. In this case, out-of-hospital diagnosis of MetS has become challenging, thus, the exploiting of other valuable indicators and the use of ML approaches to assist the remote monitoring and detection in the early stage is immensely valuable.

In clinical scenarios, if a patient is suspected to have MetS, endocrinologists will be involved in the diagnosis and treatment pipeline. Patients will receive advice from endocrinologists on lifestyle management. However, this process can be time-consuming and labor-intensive, and there is also a certain risk of missed or misdiagnosis.

Given these challenges, this research aims achieve early identification of MetS using ML technologies with population health data. Better classification of MetS will assist the timely detection of MetS and thus improve personal health management.

### **2 Datasets and Methods**

#### **2.1 Datasets**

**Data Sources.** In this study, we utilized the public database from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), which is a national survey program conducted by the U.S. National Center for Health Statistics. Initiated in 1960, this program periodically evaluates the health and nutritional status of the American population, collecting relevant clinical, demographic, and nutritional data. NHANES stands as one of the largest ongoing health surveys in the US population, offering a comprehensive dataset on population health, which can be employed to research various health issues, such as MetS, diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases. The NHANES survey adopts multistage sampling techniques, including random sampling, stratified sampling, and cluster sampling. Participants undergo questionnaire interviews, physical examinations, and biological sample collections. The comprehensive NHANES dataset encompasses numerous physiological indicators, biochemical markers, nutritional indices, disease diagnoses, medication usage, and health behaviors  $[6,7]$  $[6,7]$  $[6,7]$ .

We used the NHANES data from 1999–2018, encompassing 101,316 individuals, and a local health examination dataset, encompassing 10,446 individuals, forming the original datasets for this study.

**Diagnosis of Metabolic Syndrome.** In this study, we diagnosed MetS based on the standards and definitions set forth in the joint statement by the IDF [\[8](#page-7-7)]. According to this criterion, MetS is diagnosed when three or more of the following five components are present:

- 1) Increased waist circumference ( $\geq$ 88cm for females,  $\geq$ 102cm for males);
- 2) Elevated triglycerides (TG) ( $\geq$ 150mg/dL) or currently undergoing treatment for hyperlipidemia;
- 3) Reduced high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c) (*<*40mg/dL for males, *<*50mg/dL for females) or currently undergoing treatment for low HDL-c;
- 4) Elevated blood pressure (systolic blood pressure (SBP)  $\geq$ 130mmHg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥85mmHg or both) or currently on antihypertensive treatment or with a history of hypertension;
- 5) Elevated fasting blood glucose (FBG)  $(≥100mg/dL)$  or currently undergoing treatment for hyperglycemia.

Using the questionnaire information and biochemical data of participants provided by NHANES, such as blood glucose, triglycerides, and HDL-C, we diagnosed each component [\[9\]](#page-7-8). NHANES did not collect laboratory test information for HDL-C during the survey years from 1999 to 2004. Thus, we substituted this with medication treatment information reported in the questionnaire. Ultimately, 55,684 participants with sufficient information were diagnosed, of which 19,530 (35.1%) were categorized as MetS.

**Features.** As is a specialized, large-scale cross-sectional study, NHANES data encompasses various features ranging from demographic, anthropometric, to blood test variables. Given the distinct feature sets in NHANES and local health examination datasets, we utilized the overlapping feature subset in this study. We primarily based our choices on the features available in the local health examination dataset. Firstly, after excluding indicators for MetS diagnosis, we identified common demographic, anthropometric, and blood test features present in both the local health examination dataset and the NHANES dataset (a total of 59 features). Due to the issue of missing data in the local physical examination dataset, we ultimately established two feature settings encompassing 59 features and 32 features respectively, and three different experiments (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). Specifically, Experiment 1 involved modeling using 59 variables in the NHANES dataset and testing in an independent validation set selected from the NHANES population. Experiments 2 and 3 utilized the same NHANES training data, with an input of 32 features, and were tested on the independent validation set from NHANES and the local dataset, respectively. Table [2](#page-4-0) illustrates the full names and corresponding abbreviations of the features.

Experiment	Features
1	sex, age, BUN, UA, TBIL, TP, Glo, Alb, Cr, ALT, AST, TC, NENO, NEPCT, MOPCT, MONO, BANO, BAPCT, EONO, EOPCT, MPV, MCHC, MCH, LY, LYPCT, WBC, RBC, RDW <sub>-CV</sub> , HCT, MCV, PLT, Hb, GGT, ALP, Sodium, kalium, CRP, Insulin, Lead, LDH, HbA1c, Height, Weight, LDL, HAV, HBsAg, HBcAb, HCV, HBsAb
2	sex, age, BUN, UA, TBIL, TP, Glo, Alb, Cr, ALT, AST, TC, NENO, NEPCT, MOPCT, MONO, BANO, BAPCT, EONO, EOPCT, MPV, MCHC, MCH, LY, LYPCT, WBC, RBC, RDW_CV, HCT, MCV, PLT, Hb
3	sex, age, BUN, UA, TBIL, TP, Glo, Alb, Cr, ALT, AST, TC, NENO, NEPCT, MOPCT, MONO, BANO, BAPCT, EONO, EOPCT, MPV, MCHC, MCH, LY, LYPCT, WBC, RBC, RDW_CV, HCT, MCV, PLT, Hb

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1.** Features included in different experiments

#### **2.2 Methods**

**Data Preprocessing.** The preprocessing of the data commenced with the identification of outliers in each column, those values falling outside the range (*<*Q1- 1.5×IQR, *>*Q3+1.5×IQR) were regarded as outliers. Adopting a stratified approach based on varied age and gender demographics, any missing value in each feature was substituted with the mean value of that particular feature derived from specific age-gender subgroup. For categorical variables, missing values were imputed with a distinct value and subsequently transformed into dummy variables.

The NHANES dataset was splitted into a training set (38,978 samples) and a test set (16,706 samples) randomly with the "train test split" function in the "scikit-learn" package, while the whole local dataset was employed as an independent validation test set (10,446 samples). Subsequently, using the "QuantileTransformer" function in the "scikit-learn" package (Python, version 0.23.2)

Feature names	Abbreviation
Gender	sex
Age	age
Triglycerides	TG
Fasting blood glucose	FBG
Systolic blood pressure	<b>SBP</b>
Diastolic blood pressure	<b>DBP</b>
Blood urea nitrogen	<b>BUN</b>
Urine acid	UA
Total bilirubin	TBIL
Total protein	TP
Globulin	Glo
Albumin	Alb
Creatinine	Cr
Alanine transaminase	ALT
Aspartate transaminase	AST
Total cholesterol	TC
Neutrocyte number	<b>NENO</b>
Neutrocyte percentage	NEPCT
Monocytes percentage	MOPCT
Monocytes number	<b>MONO</b>
Basophils number	BANO
Basophils percentage	<b>BAPCT</b>
Eosinophils number	<b>EONO</b>
Eosinophils number	<b>EOPCT</b>
Mean platelet volume	<b>MPV</b>
Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration	MCHC
Mean corpuscular hemoglobin content	MCH
Lymphocytes number	LY
Lymphocytes percentage	<b>LYPCT</b>
White blood cell count	<b>WBC</b>
Red blood cell count	RBC
Coefficient of variation of red blood cell distribution width	RDW <sub>-CV</sub>
Hematocrit	HCT
Mean corpuscular volume	MCV
Platelet	PLT
Hemoglobin	Hb
Gamma-Glutamyl Transpeptidase	GGT
Alkaline Phosphatase	ALP
Sodium	Sodium
Kalium	kalium
C-reactive Protein	CRP
Insulin	Insulin
$_{\rm Lead}$	Lead
Lactate Dehydrogenase	LDH
Hemoglobin A1c	HbA1c
Height	Height
Weight	Weight
Low Density Lipoprotein	LDL
Hepatitis A Virus	<b>HAV</b>
Hepatitis B Surface Antigen	HBsAg
Hepatitis B Core Antibody	HBcAb
Hepatitis C Virus	HCV
Hepatitis B Surface Antibody	HBsAb

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 2.** Abbreviation of the features included

to normalise the datasets. This non-linear and independent transformation technique converts raw values into uniform distribution values sampled from the estimated cumulative distribution function of the feature. To mitigate the potential adverse effects of sample imbalance on training efficacy, the Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique (SMOTE) was used to oversample the MetS positive population within the training set. Table [3](#page-5-0) presents the sample size for each dataset.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 3.** Size of datasets

<b>Datasets</b>	Sample size
NHANES training set   38,978	
NHANES testing set	16,706
Local Dataset	10,446

**Models.** We employed various machine learning models including Logistic Regression (LR), Random Forest (RF), XGBoost, Catboost, as well as the Multilayer Perceptron (MLP). We used 10-fold cross-validation and optimised each model, subsequently training the models with these optimal settings [\[10](#page-7-9)]. For the MLP model, the hyper-parameters and model architectures were optimised by a random search, with the model then being trained using this configuration.

**Evaluation.** Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1-score, and the Area Under the ROC curve (AUROC) are used to evaluating the performance of the models. Accuracy measures the proportion of samples correctly classified out of the total, representing the model's ability to classify correctly. Precision indicates the proportion of positive predictions that were actually positive, reflecting the precision of the model's classification. Recall represents the proportion of actual positive samples that the model correctly predicted as positive, indicating the model's coverage of positive samples. The F1-score is the harmonic mean of Precision and Recall, serving as an integrated metric to assess the classification performance of the model. AUROC, determined by calculating the area under the ROC curve, depicts the relationship between the true positive rate and the false positive rate across different thresholds, revealing the classifier's performance under various thresholds.

### **3 Results**

Firstly, we trained models using 59 features and 32 features respectively in the NHANES dataset and evaluated the models on a unified hold-out test set.

As shown in Table [4,](#page-6-0) all five models achieved satisfactory results, with nearly all evaluation metrics exceeding 0.8. Among all five models, the MLP demonstrated the best performance, achieving top-tier results in every performance

Experiment	Models	Accuracy	Precision	Recall   F1		<b>AUROC</b>	<b>AUPRC</b>
$\mathbf{1}$	$_{LR}$	0.847	0.744	0.865	0.800	0.851	0.828
	RF	0.835	0.718	0.877	0.790	0.845	0.819
	<b>XGBoost</b>	0.839	0.729	0.867	0.792	0.845	0.822
	Catboost	0.777	0.618	0.968	0.754	0.820	0.799
	MLP	0.848	0.739	0.879	0.803	0.929	0.858
$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$	LR.	0.810	0.692	0.832	0.755	0.815	0.791
	RF	0.803	0.673	0.858	0.754	0.815	0.791
	XGBoost	0.784	0.636	0.903	0.747	0.811	0.787
	Cathoost	0.718	0.559	0.962	0.707	0.774	0.767
	<b>MLP</b>	0.806	0.680	0.855	0.758	0.894	0.782

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 4.** Performance of the models in the NHANES dataset

evaluation metric. The LR shows the best in precision. The nonlinear ensemble models like XGBoost and Catboost, although they had high Recall values, performed worse than MLP and LR.

Additionally, when comparing the results of models with 59 features against those with 32 features in NHANES only, it is evident that, although there was a decline in performance across all models, the magnitude of this decline wasn't significant. This implies that after eliminating body measurements like height, weight, and additional blood test features, the models were not significantly affected.

By evaluate the performance of the models trained on NHANES when applied to different populations of local dataset (with 32 features), as shown in Table [5,](#page-6-1) it indicated that the MLP still outperformed the others. Overall, compared to their counterpart on the NHANES dataset, all the models witnessed a substantial decline in performance. For instance, the AUROC score of the best-performing model, MLP, dropped from 0.89 to 0.71.

Models		Accuracy   Precision   Recall   F1			AUROC AUPRC	
LR.	0.671	0.381	0.621	0.472	0.654	0.546
RF	0.623	0.350	0.682	0.462	0.644	0.554
XGBoost   0.634		0.356	0.668	0.464	0.646	0.551
Catboost   0.441		0.286	0.906	0.435	0.601	0.607
MLP	0.661	0.375	0.645	0.475   0.710		0.423

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Table 5.** Performance of the models in the local dataset

# **4 Discussion**

In our experiments, we explored a variety of machine learning models for MetS classification based on demographic and blood test indicators. Through multiple model and dataset configurations, we discerned that the MLP model showed superior performance in this context. It is noted that the inclusion or exclusion of variables like height and weight, which are conventionally strongly associated with MetS, did not have a significant impact on model performance. Most crucially, our experiment exhibited considerable variability in model performance when applied across different populations. This underscores a potential distribution shift across various regions and demographic characteristics. Hence, transferring a model to be applied to a different population distribution necessitates a judicious approach. Enhancing the model's generalization capability through continual learning strategies remains a future objective of this study.

# **References**

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>1. Grundy, S.M., et al.: Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American heart association/national heart, lung, and blood institute scientific statement. Circulation **112**, 2735–2752 (2005)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>2. Mottillo, S., et al.: The metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular risk a systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. **56**, 1113–1132 (2010)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>3. Zhang, L., Guo, Z., Wu, M., Hu, X., Xu, Y., Zhou, Z.: Interaction of smoking and metabolic syndrome on cardiovascular risk in a Chinese cohort. Int. J. Cardiol. **167**, 250–253 (2013)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>4. Foster, K.R., Koprowski, R., Skufca, J.D.: Machine learning, medical diagnosis, and biomedical engineering research - commentary. Biomed. Eng. Online **13**, 94 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-925X-13-94>
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>5. Kononenko, I.: Machine learning for medical diagnosis: history, state of the art and perspective. Artif. Intell. Med. **23**(1), 89–109 (2001)
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>6. Zipf, G., Chiappa, M., Porter, K.S., Ostchega, Y., Lewis, B.G., Dostal, J.: National health and nutrition examination survey: plan and operations, 1999–2010. Vital Health Stat **1**(56), 1–37 (2013)
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>7. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey data. Hyattsville (MD): US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics (2016). [https://www.cdc.gov/Nchs/](https://www.cdc.gov/Nchs/Nhanes/survey_methods.htm) [Nhanes/survey](https://www.cdc.gov/Nchs/Nhanes/survey_methods.htm) methods.htm
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>8. Alberti, K.G., Zimmet, P., Shaw, J.: IDF epidemiology task force consensus group. The metabolic syndrome-a new worldwide definition. Lancet **366**(9491), 1059–1062 (2005). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(05\)67402-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67402-8)
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>9. Zhu, F., et al.: Elevated blood mercury level has a non-linear association with infertility in US women: data from the NHANES 2013–2016. Reprod. Toxicol. **91**, 53–58 (2020)
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>10. Kohavi, R.: A study of cross-validation and bootstrap for accuracy estimation and model selection. IJCAI. **14**(2), 1137–1145 (1995)