

5

The Loss (and Gain) of Defensive Adaptations in Island Plants and Animals: A Comparative Review

Riccardo Ciarle, Kevin C. Burns, and Fabio Mologni

Abstract

Compositional differences between islands and continents had marked consequences for the evolution of island life. Regardless of their taxonomic rank, many island species lost defences that evolved in mammal-dominated ecosystems and gained new defences against bird-dominated islands. However, the study of island evolution has been taxonomically compartmentalised, with research on island animals and plants being conducted independently of one another. Thus, whether a common pattern in the evolution of island defences exists remains unclear. To help bridge this gap in our understanding, we conducted a comparative review of defensive adaptations in island animals and plants to (1) better understand differences in research effort between plants and animals, and (2) establish unified principles in the loss (and gain) of defences in island life. To do that, we manually screened 1600 studies extracted from Google Scholar. Of them, 127 were included in our review. The majority of studies focused on island animals. Most studies on the gain of defences focused on plants, while loss of defence was explored more thoroughly in animals. Differences in terminology and idiosyncrasies between animals and plants hindered our ability to compare research findings. Nonetheless, some commonalities have emerged. In particular, a general pattern of loss and gain of defences can be delineated. Insularity appears to promote the loss of non-bird-specific defences

R. Ciarle · K. C. Burns

School of Biological Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand

F. Mologni (\boxtimes)

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-47814-7_5.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-47814-7_5#DOI)

Department of Biology, University of British Columbia Okanagan, Kelowna, BC, Canada e-mail: fabio.mologni@ubc.ca

 \circ The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2024 X. Moreira, L. Abdala-Roberts (eds.), Ecology and Evolution of Plant-Herbivore Interactions on Islands, Ecological Studies 249, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-47814-7_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-47814-7_5#DOI)

and the gain of bird-specific defences. This pattern though is clearer in plants than in animals and more research is needed to unify these two bodies of work.

5.1 Introduction

Early European naturalists were completely unprepared for what they encountered as they stepped ashore on oceanic islands. Their knowledge of the world's biota came mostly from continental Europe, where plants and animals looked and behaved in seemingly predictable ways. For example, continental birds tend to be small in size and quick to take to the air when confronted by predators. So it seemed safe to assume that island birds would be the same. However, continental generalisations about the natural world would leave them wholly unequipped for what they actually found. Instead of being 'normal' (i.e. small-bodied, flighted and alert to danger), many island birds looked exceedingly large, with wings often small to the point they ceased to function for flight (Carlquist [1974](#page-20-0)). Stranger still, they seemed to be completely oblivious to the dangers posed by human hunters and the predatory mammals we brought with us (Cooper et al. [2014](#page-21-0)).

The different nature of many island endemics would suggest that they have much to teach us about evolutionary ecology. However, for well over a century, these island 'oddities' were viewed by many researchers as scientifically static and phenomenalistic. What's more, hypothesised explanations for processes responsible for them were viewed as 'just-so stories' of natural history.

Many aspects of island biology can, and should, be approached using the general principles of the scientific method—in essence by erecting a priori hypotheses that are then tested empirically. When results fail to falsify these hypotheses, they should be tested further to solidify the understanding of repeated patterns in island evolution. If, on the other hand, they are inconsistent with hypotheses, previously accepted principles of island evolution should be modified or abandoned in favour of new hypotheses. Darwin's loss of dispersal ability hypothesis provides an illustrative example. Darwin hypothesised that the loss of vagility in island organisms resulted from selection against individuals that disperse into the surrounding sea. However, a review of the loss of dispersal ability in plant seeds revealed only limited support for the phenomenon (Burns [2019\)](#page-20-0). Furthermore, when the loss of dispersal ability was observed, it usually arose from increases in seed size, rather than selection for reduced size or functionality of dispersal appendages (Burns [2018\)](#page-20-0). Under these circumstances, the scientific method dictates that Darwin's hypothesis should be amended or abandoned, rather than continuing to be accepted as a 'just-so' story of natural history. One such alternative hypothesis is that the loss of dispersal in island organisms, when it is observed, arises as a passive by-product of selection for large size, rather than direct selection for reduced dispersal ability (i.e. the 'size constraints hypothesis', Burns [2019](#page-20-0)).

Although a priori hypothesis testing is an enormously powerful scientific tool, not all research into the island evolution should necessarily be shoehorned into the confines of this scientific method. Identifying unusual examples of island evolution, as early European naturalists did on oceanic islands (Darwin [1840\)](#page-21-0), is in itself a valuable scientific method. Research in the psychology of new knowledge acquisition argues that human learning tends to occur in stages (Diederen and Fletcher [2021\)](#page-21-0). The first stage is pattern recognition. For example, continental birds tend to be small, volant and vigilant. This leads to the second step of predicting what will be found in the future, say when we arrive on oceanic islands. The last and most important step is when new learning takes place. When exceptions are observed (e.g. island birds tend to be big, non-volant and naïve) it is known as a prediction error. This philosophical pathway of learning, known as the *prediction error* paradigm, or PEP for short, is not only a widely accepted theoretical construct that describes how the human mind learns, but it also forms the backbone of traditional natural history research that continues to be used productively (Burns and Low [2022\)](#page-20-0).

The PEP can be a powerful tool in understanding island evolution, especially when 'prediction errors' accumulate and form a recognisable pattern themselves. While flightless birds are often iconic examples of such exceptions, ecologists soon recognised that other insular species shared similar traits. By comparatively assessing 'prediction errors' across multiple taxa, we might be able to develop unifying theories of island evolution.

5.2 Island Disharmony and Enemy-Specific Selection

A striking and widely appreciated aspect of island life is sharp changes in species composition. Some types of species that are common on continents, most notably mammals, are routinely absent from islands (Whittaker et al. [2017;](#page-24-0) Schrader et al. [2021\)](#page-23-0). Conversely, other types of species, especially birds, dominate island ecosystems to an extent unparalleled on continents. These changes in species composition are collectively known as island disharmony, and island disharmony can have marked consequences for the evolution of island life. For instance, defence mechanisms evolved by animals and plants on continents often prove to be unnecessary or inadapt in insular environments (Hochberg and Moller [2001;](#page-21-0) Whittaker and Fernandez-Palacios [2007](#page-24-0)). This can lead to the loss of old defences evolved in mammal-dominated ecosystems and the gain of new defences against birddominated islands.

This happens because mammal and bird predators are morphologically distinct and forage in very different ways. Many mammals use olfactory cues, which are particularly advantageous while searching for food in low-light conditions or the darkness of night. On the other hand, birds have a more advanced visual system. Unlike mammals, which have two or three light receptors, birds have four light receptors that cover a greater range of wavelengths in electromagnetic radiation, including the UV spectrum (Kelber [2019\)](#page-22-0). The anatomical structure of mammalian

and avian mouthparts is also quite different. Mammals have soft lips and gums, which are susceptible to damage by sharpened defensive structures at the first point of contact. Yet they also have teeth, which can be used to crush and dismember tougher types of prey. On the other hand, birds have keratinised bills that are more resistant to damage at the first point of contact and a gizzard instead of teeth for grinding harder food types.

Comparing the ways in which animals and plants have lost and gained defences after island colonisation could help us better understand the patterns under which island evolution operates. However, even though most hypotheses regarding island evolution can be applied similarly to both plants and animals, since its inception the study of island evolution has been taxonomically compartmentalised, with research on island animals being conducted independently of research on island plants. To help bridge this gap in our understanding of island evolution, we used a different methodological pathway. We conducted a comparative review of defensive adaptations in island plants and animals in an effort to: (1) better understand differences in research effort between plants and animals, and (2) establish unified principles in the loss (and gain) of defences in island life.

5.3 Methods

We ran eight distinct searches on Google Scholar using different keywords for both animals and plants. Four searches were equivalent between the two groups (taxonnonspecific searches), while the other four focused on taxon-specific traits (taxon-specific searches). Traits were selected following Burns ([2019\)](#page-20-0) for plants and Baeckens and Van Damme ([2020\)](#page-19-0) and Whittaker and Fernandez-Palacio [\(2007](#page-24-0)) for animals (see the Supplementary Material for a full list of searches and Boolean operators). For each search, we inspected the first 10 pages of results ($n = 100$) studies).

We included all studies discussing the loss or gain of defence mechanisms. We defined a defence mechanism as any behavioural, morphological or physiological adaptation that enhances fitness by reducing the rate or intensity of predation, or by increasing tolerance to predation. Predation was defined as an inter- or intra-specific interaction that increases the fitness of one part (i.e. the predator) by decreasing the fitness of the other (i.e. the prey) (Minelli [2008](#page-22-0)). Interactions where the prey is killed by the predator, herbivory and parasitism will all be considered predation events. We considered loss of defence to occur when a defence mechanism originally present in the mainland ancestor is lost or reduced after island colonisation. Gain of defence occurs when a defence mechanism originally absent or reduced in the mainland ancestor is accentuated after island colonisation due to novel or higher predation pressures.

Studies were manually screened. We examined titles and abstracts first, then the full manuscript when needed. We did not set temporal or geographic ranges; however, we assessed only studies in English. Studies were screened by two reviewers, FM (title & abstracts) and RC (full manuscript). Studies published by one of the authors were assessed by another reviewer at all stages.

5.4 Results

We inspected a total of 1600 studies, 800 per taxon. For animals, we found 112 studies investigating gain to or loss of defence against predators, 87 after removing duplicates. Another nine studies were removed after full-manuscript inspection ($n = 79$, Fig. [5.1\)](#page-5-0). Of these, 11 explored potential gains of defence mechanisms, 66 investigated losses of defence mechanisms and 2 summarised both aspects (Fig. [5.1](#page-5-0)). For plants, 106 studies investigated loss to or gain of defence against predators, 56 after removing duplicates. Another four studies were removed after full-manuscript inspection ($n = 52$, Fig. [5.1\)](#page-5-0). Of these, 25 explored gains of defence mechanisms, 17 explored losses of defence and 9 investigated both aspects (Fig. [5.1](#page-5-0)).

Most studies on gain of defences focused on plants $(71.8\%, p-value < 0.01)$. Considering only research articles, for animals, of the 11 studies investigating gain of defence (14.1% of total animal studies), four focused on defences gained against native predators, three birds and one con-specific reptile (i.e. defence against cannibalism, see Pafilis et al. [2011](#page-23-0)). Four studies focused on defences gained against introduced mammals, and three found no support for the gain of defence mechanisms in island animals (e.g. Itescu et al. [2017\)](#page-21-0) (Fig. [5.1\)](#page-5-0). For plants, of the 28 studies investigating gain of defence (53.8% of total plant studies), 25 focused on defences gained against native predators. Of these, 20 regarded birds, 2 reptiles and 3 mammals. Two studies focused on defences gained against introduced mammals, and one study found no support for gain of defence mechanisms in island plants (McGlone and Webb [1981\)](#page-22-0) (Fig. [5.1](#page-5-0)).

Loss of defence was explored more thoroughly in animals $(76.7\%, p-value)$ < 0.01). Considering only research articles, for animals, of the 66 studies investigating loss of defence (83.5% of total animal studies), 10 focused on defences lost to mammals, 10 on defences lost to reptiles, 1 on defences lost to birds and 36 on loss of defence to predators, regardless of their taxon. Nine studies found no support for loss of defence mechanisms (e.g. Van Damme and Castilla [1996](#page-24-0); Le Saout et al. [2015\)](#page-22-0) (Fig. [5.1\)](#page-5-0). For plants, of the 20 studies investigating loss of defence (38.5% of total plant studies), 12 focused on defences lost to mammals and 5 on defences lost to birds, while 3 found no support for loss of defence mechanisms in island plants (e.g. Monroy and Garcia-Verdugo [2019](#page-22-0); Moreira et al. [2021](#page-22-0)) (Fig. [5.1](#page-5-0)).

Tables [5.1](#page-6-0) and [5.2](#page-11-0) illustrate the traits that have so far been associated to insular loss and gain of defence in animals (number of traits $= 14$) and plants ($n = 13$). If animal- and plant-exclusive traits are excluded (i.e. behavioural traits and tolerance), a few parallels can be drawn. First, both animals and plants respond to changes in predation pressure by changing in size. In animals, increasing and decreasing sizes are both regarded as a loss of defence (Baeckens and Van Damme [2020](#page-19-0)). An exception is *Podarcis gaigae*, where gigantism is a defence against intra-specific

Fig. 5.1 Screening process of articles extracted from the literature search. White and grey boxes indicate, respectively, articles retained and excluded at each stage. Black boxes represent the final categories after full-manuscript assessment. At each stage, the total (t), animal (a) and plant (p) numbers of articles are provided

(continued)

 $(continued)$

Table 5.1 (continued)

(continued)

Table 5.1 (continued)

Table 5.2 (continued)

(continued)

Table 5.2 (continued) Table 5.2 (continued)

predation (Pafilis et al. [2011](#page-23-0)). Conversely, size reductions in plants are interpreted as defence mechanisms against insular browsing birds and reptiles (Burns [2019\)](#page-20-0), while gigantism as a loss of defence (Salladay and Ramirez [2018;](#page-23-0) Zizka et al. [2022\)](#page-24-0).

Secondly, both animals and plants appear to evolve or accentuate aposematic colouration in response to increased predation pressure on islands (Dreher et al. [2015;](#page-21-0) Kavanagh et al. [2016](#page-22-0)). In plants, increased predation stimulates cryptic colouration too (Hansen et al. [2003](#page-21-0); Burns [2019\)](#page-20-0), while animals increase colouration variance in response to predator release (Runemark et al. [2014](#page-23-0); Bliard et al. [2020\)](#page-19-0). Third, while two animal studies suggested predator release on island delays age of maturity (Salvador and Fernandez [2008](#page-23-0); Terborgh [2022\)](#page-24-0), a plant study found delayed flowering to be associated to increased browsing pressures (Skaien and Arcese [2020\)](#page-24-0).

Several studies assessed how reduced predation pressure on islands can alter the reproductive output and population density of animals (Adler and Levins [1994;](#page-19-0) Baier and Hoekstra [2019;](#page-19-0) Terborgh [2022\)](#page-24-0). However, we found no plant study addressing the matter. This is especially noteworthy since density-compensation and the production of fewer, bigger offspring are known patterns in plants (Burns [2019\)](#page-20-0). Finally, while the relation between predation pressures and plant chemical defence received considerable attention (Hansen et al. [2003;](#page-21-0) Grayson and Lennstrom [2022\)](#page-21-0), no similar study has been conducted in animals (but see Dreher et al. [2015\)](#page-21-0).

5.5 Synthesis

Overall, from these results several important generalisations can be made. First, the animal and plant fields of research seem to be on fundamentally different conceptual pathways. This is proved by the significant relationship between the studied group (i.e. animals or plants) and the pattern investigated (i.e. loss or gain of defence, $\chi^2 = 24.26$, df = 1, p-value <0.001). Research effort in animal studies has focused mostly on loss of defence. When gain of defence is addressed, it is often tied to introduced predators. Conversely, the majority of plant studies investigated gain of defences against native predators (Fig. [5.2\)](#page-17-0).

Animals and plants interact with mammalian and avian predators in fundamentally different ways. When animals are attacked by birds or mammals, the result is often the death of the prey (i.e. predation *sensu stricto*). Therefore, the loss of antipredatory behaviour in island animals may lead to population collapse. This is common to many oceanic archipelagos invaded by aggressive mammalian predators (Whittaker and Fernandez-Palacios [2007](#page-24-0)). By contrast, herbivores usually harvest non-lethal amounts of foliage. Plant extinctions are thus less likely (but see Moreira and Abdala-Roberts [2022](#page-22-0)) and understanding how island plants prevent herbivory became more important. Therefore, while animal research prioritised the loss of antipredatory behaviours against introduced mammals, plant research focused instead on unusual adaptations to deter unique native island herbivores.

Second, despite the sharp difference in research priorities between the two fields, a general pattern on loss and gain of defences can be delineated. If work on

Fig. 5.2 Studies investigating loss and gain of defence in animals and plants. In animals, 66 studies investigated loss of defence and 11 gain of defence. In plants, 20 investigate loss of defence and 28 gain of defence. The two fields are on conceptually different pathways, as work on animals focuses mostly on loss of defence, while work on plants prioritises the study of gain of defence $(X$ -squared = 24.26, df = 1, p-value <0.001)

introduced predators is excluded, as insularity increases, species tend to lose defences against continental non-bird predators and gain defences against island bird predators (Fig. [5.3](#page-18-0)). This pattern is clear in plants. In animals, several studies suggest that a similar pattern might occur (Hamilton [2004](#page-21-0); Swarts et al. [2009](#page-24-0); Dreher et al. [2015](#page-21-0)), but the evidence is insufficient to draw a firm conclusion at this time.

Finally, when specific traits are considered, animals and plants seem to respond to insular pressures by changing in size, colouration and reproductive phenology. However, size responses are not consistent between the two groups. In animals, changes in body size are always regarded as a loss of defence. In plants, dwarfism is mainly viewed as a gain of defence and gigantism as a loss of defence. Furthermore, animal studies have only focused on whole-body size changes, while plant studies have mainly analysed the scaling of specific modules (i.e. body parts such as leaves and spines). As for colouration and reproductive phenology, the number of available studies is insufficient to delineate any general pattern, and the results gathered so far suggest no consensus between animals and plants.

Fig. 5.3 Model illustrating a hypothetical common pattern of loss and gain of defences in island animals and plants. As insularity (i.e. area and isolation) increases, the number of non-bird-specific defences decreases (i.e. loss of continental defences) and the number of bird-specific defences increases (i.e. gain of insular defences). Given the work conducted so far, this pattern is clear in plants but not in animals, where additional studies on gain of defences are needed. The model only accounts for island native predators

5.6 Future Directions and Conclusions

There were approximately 30% more studies on animals than on plants. All animal studies focused on vertebrates, with one exception (Karagkouni et al. [2017\)](#page-22-0). Among vertebrates, only two studies focused exclusively on amphibians, with none on fish. Similarly, plant studies address mostly angiosperms and, marginally, conifers. Among angiosperms, no taxon (e.g. genus or family) was comprehensively investigated. Overall, future work should focus on plants. Within animals, future work would benefit from focusing on invertebrates, amphibians and fish. Within plants, research effort should be redirected towards non-angiosperms and, more generally, on individual plant families, searching for consistent patterns of loss and gain of defence. Research effort was not homogenous among traits as well and some could receive more attention (e.g. colouration and chemical defences in animals and reproductive output and phenology in plants).

Despite a smaller body of work, plant studies explored the island defence syndrome more exhaustively (Fig. [5.2\)](#page-17-0). Differences are largely due to a lack of studies on the gain of defence to native predators by animals, which is crucially needed for the development of the field. Conversely, plant research would benefit from focusing more on responses to multiple predators, an aspect so far underappreciated. For instance, the multipredator hypothesis might help explain unusual patterns such as the comparable incidence of spinescent plants between islands and mainland Australia (Blumstein [2006](#page-20-0); Meredith et al. [2019\)](#page-22-0).

Future work would also benefit from overcoming differences in terminology. Only some defensive traits can be compared between animals and plants and a clear definition of defence mechanisms can help in identifying them. We propose defence mechanisms to be defined as any morphological or physiological adaptation that enhances fitness by reducing the rate or intensity of predation, with predation including only inter-specific interactions. We believe such a definition would render comparisons between animals and plants meaningful and would help us find unifying principles in the evolution of defences in island life.

In conclusion, research effort on the loss and gain of defence mechanisms on islands prioritised loss of defence in animals and gain of defence against native predators in plants. However, despite stark differences in focus, a potential unifying pattern can be delineated between the two groups. In particular, in plants, insularity promotes the loss of non-bird-specific defences and the gain of bird-specific defences (Fig. [5.3\)](#page-18-0). If this applies to animals too is yet unclear; however, current work seems to indicate that a common pattern exists.

References

- Abe T, Umeno H (2011) Pattern of twig cutting by introduced rats in insular cloud forests 1. Pac Sci 65(1):27–39
- Adler GH, Levins R (1994) The island syndrome in rodent populations. Q Rev Biol 69(4):473–490
- Antonelli A, Humphreys AM, Lee WG, Linder HP (2011) Absence of mammals and the evolution of New Zealand grasses. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 278(1706):695–701
- Atkinson IA, Greenwood RM (1989) Relationships between moas and plants. N Z J Ecol:67–96
- Baeckens S, Van Damme R (2020) The island syndrome. Curr Biol 30(8):R338–R339
- Baier F, Hoekstra HE (2019) The genetics of morphological and behavioural island traits in deer mice. Proc R Soc B 286(1914):20191697
- Barton KE (2014) Prickles, latex, and tolerance in the endemic Hawaiian prickly poppy (*Argemone* glauca): variation between populations, across ontogeny, and in response to abiotic factors. Oecologia 174(4):1273–1281
- Batcheler CL (1989) Moa browsing and vegetation formations, with particular reference to deciduous and poisonous plants. N Z J Ecol:57–65
- Beauchamp G (2004) Reduced flocking by birds on islands with relaxed predation. Proc R Soc Lond Ser B Biol Sci 271(1543):1039–1042
- Benítez-López A, Santini L, Gallego-Zamorano J, Milá B, Walkden P, Huijbregts MA, Tobias JA (2021) The island rule explains consistent patterns of body size evolution in terrestrial vertebrates. Nat Ecol Evol 5(6):768–786
- Berger S, Wikelski M, Romero LM, Kalko EK, Rödl T (2007) Behavioral and physiological adjustments to new predators in an endemic island species, the Galápagos marine iguana. Horm Behav 52(5):653–663
- Blondel J (2000) Evolution and ecology of birds on islands: trends and prospects. Vie et Milieu/Life Environ:205–220
- Bliard L, Paquet M, Robert A, Dufour P, Renoult JP, Grégoire A et al (2020) Examining the link between relaxed predation and bird coloration on islands. Biol Lett 16(4):20200002
- Blumstein DT, Daniel JC, Griffin AS, Evans CS (2000) Insular tammar wallabies (Macropus *eugenii*) respond to visual but not acoustic cues from predators. Behav Ecol $11(5)$:528–535
- Blumstein DT (2002) Moving to suburbia: ontogenetic and evolutionary consequences of life on predator-free islands. J Biogeogr 29(5–6):685–692
- Blumstein DT, Daniel JC (2002) Isolation from mammalian predators differentially affects two congeners. Behav Ecol 13(5):657–663
- Blumstein DT, Daniel JC (2003) Foraging behavior of three Tasmanian macropodid marsupials in response to present and historical predation threat. Ecography 26(5):585–594
- Blumstein DT, Daniel JC (2005) The loss of anti-predator behaviour following isolation on islands. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 272(1573):1663–1668
- Blumstein DT (2006) The multipredator hypothesis and the evolutionary persistence of antipredator behavior. Ethology 112(3):209–217
- Blumstein DT, Letnic M, Moseby KE (2019) In situ predator conditioning of naive prey prior to reintroduction. Philos Trans R Soc B 374(1781):20180058
- Bond WJ, Lee WG, Craine JM (2004) Plant structural defences against browsing birds: a legacy of New Zealand's extinct moas. Oikos 104(3):500–508
- Bond WJ, Silander JA (2007) Springs and wire plants: anachronistic defences against Madagascar's extinct elephant birds. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 274(1621):1985–1992
- Bowen L, Van Vuren D (1997) Insular endemic plants lack defenses against herbivores. Conserv Biol 11(5):1249–1254
- Brock KM, Bednekoff PA, Pafilis P, Foufopoulos J (2015) Evolution of antipredator behavior in an island lizard species, Podarcis erhardii (Reptilia: Lacertidae): the sum of all fears? Evolution 69(1):216–231
- Burns KC (2010) Is crypsis a common defensive strategy in plants? Speculation on signal deception in the New Zealand flora: speculation on signal deception in the New Zealand flora. Plant Signal Behav 5(1):9–13
- Burns KC (2014) Are there general patterns in plant defence against megaherbivores? Biol J Linn Soc 111(1):38–48
- Burns KC (2016a) Size changes in island plants: independent trait evolution in Alyxia ruscifolia (Apocynaceae) on Lord Howe Island. Biol J Linn Soc 119(4):847–855
- Burns KC (2016b) Spinescence in the New Zealand flora: parallels with Australia. N Z J Bot 54(2): 273–289
- Burns KC (2018) Time to abandon the loss of dispersal ability hypothesis in island plants: a comment on García-Verdugo, Mairal, Monroy, Sajeva and Caujapé-Castells (2017). J Biogeogr 45(6):1219–1222
- Burns KC (2019) Evolution in isolation: the search for an island syndrome in plants. Cambridge University Press
- Burns KC, Low J (2022) The psychology of natural history. Trends Ecol Evol 37(12):1029–1031
- Carlquist SJ (1974) Island biology. Columbia University Press
- Case TJ (1978) A general explanation for insular body size trends in terrestrial vertebrates. Ecology 59(1):1–18
- Case TJ, Schwaner TD (1993) Island/mainland body size differences in Australian varanid lizards. Oecologia 94:102–109
- Cheke A, Hume JP (2008) Lost land of the dodo: the ecological history of Mauritius, Réunion and Rodrigues. Bloomsbury Publishing
- Clark LL, Burns KC (2015) The ontogeny of leaf spines: progressive versus retrogressive heteroblasty in two New Zealand plant species. N Z J Bot 53(1):15–23
- Cooper WE Jr, Hawlena D, Pérez-Mellado V (2009) Islet tameness: escape behavior and refuge use in populations of the Balearic lizard (Podarcis lilfordi) exposed to differing predation pressure. Can J Zool 87(10):912–919
- Cooper WE, Pérez-Mellado V (2010) Island tameness: reduced escape responses and morphological and physiological antipredatory adaptations related to escape in lizards. Islands and Evolution:231–253
- Cooper WE Jr, Pérez-Mellado V (2012) Historical influence of predation pressure on escape by Podarcis lizards in the Balearic Islands. Biol J Linn Soc 107(2):254–268
- Cooper WE Jr, Pyron RA, Garland T Jr (2014) Island tameness: living on islands reduces flight initiation distance. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 281(1777):20133019
- Crespin L, Duplantier JM, Granjon L (2012) Demographic aspects of the island syndrome in two Afrotropical Mastomys rodent species. Acta Oecol 39:72–79
- Crooks KR, Van Vuren D (1995) Resource utilization by two insular endemic mammalian carnivores, the island fox and island spotted skunk. Oecologia 104:301–307
- Dahlgren J, Oksanen L, Sjödin M, Olofsson J (2007) Interactions between gray-sided voles (Clethrionomys rufucanus) and bilberry (Vaccinium myrtillus), their main winter food plant. Oecologia 152:525–532
- Darwin C (1840) Journal of researches into the geology and natural history of the various countries visited by HMS Beagle, under the Command of Captain Fitzroy from 1832 to 1836. Colburn
- Diederen KM, Fletcher PC (2021) Dopamine, prediction error and beyond. Neuroscientist 27(1): 30–46
- Dreher CE, Cummings ME, Pröhl H (2015) An analysis of predator selection to affect aposematic coloration in a poison frog species. PLoS One 10(6):e0130571
- Durand J, Legrand A, Tort M, Thiney A, Michniewicz RJ, Coulon A, Aubret F (2012) Effects of geographic isolation on anti-snakes responses in the wall lizard, Podarcis muralis. Amphibia-Reptilia 33(2):199–206
- Eskildsen LI, Olesen JM, Jones CG (2004) Feeding response of the Aldabra giant tortoise (Geochelone gigantea) to island plants showing heterophylly. J Biogeogr 31(11):1785–1790
- Fadzly N, Jack C, Schaefer HM, Burns KC (2009) Ontogenetic colour changes in an insular tree species: signalling to extinct browsing birds? New Phytol 184(2):495–501
- Fadzly N, Burns KC (2010) Hiding from the ghost of herbivory past: evidence for crypsis in an insular tree species. Int J Plant Sci 171(8):828–833
- Frith C (2013) The Woodhen: a flightless island bird defying extinction. CSIRO Publishing
- Gavriilidi I, De Meester G, Van Damme R, Baeckens S (2022) How to behave when marooned: the behavioural component of the island syndrome remains underexplored. Biol Lett 18(4): 20220030
- Givnish TJ, Sytsma KJ, Smith JF, Hahn WJ (1994) Thorn-like prickles and heterophylly in Cyanea: adaptations to extinct avian browsers on Hawaii? Proc Natl Acad Sci 91(7):2810–2814
- Gray SJ, Hurst JL (1998) Competitive behaviour in an island population of house mice, Mus domesticus. Anim Behav 56(5):1291–1299
- Grayson DK, Lennstrom HA (2022) Hawai'i's toxic plants: species richness and species–area relationships. Pac Sci 76(1):17–31
- Grubb PJ (2003) Interpreting some outstanding features of the flora and vegetation of Madagascar. Perspect Plant Ecol Evol Systemat 6(1–2):125–146
- Hamilton SG (2004) Pelage colouration of the endemic Solomons Flying-fox Pteropus rayneri (Pteropodidae: Chiroptera): responses to predation by the endemic Sanford's Eagle Haliaeetus sanfordi in the Melanesian Archipelago: Solomon Islands and Bougainville. Australasian Bat Soc Newsl
- Hansen I, Brimer L, Mølgaard P (2003) Herbivore-deterring secondary compounds in heterophyllous woody species of the Mascarene Islands. Perspect Plant Ecol Evol Systemat 6(3):187–203
- Hays SC, Cheek RG, Mouton JC, Sillett TS, Ghalambor CK (2022) Lack of avian predators is associated with behavioural plasticity in nest construction and height in an island songbird. Anim Behav 194:35–42
- Hochberg ME, Møller AP (2001) Insularity and adaptation in coupled victim–enemy associations. J Evol Biol 14(4):539–551
- Howard J, Cameron E, Bellve A, Baba Y, Wright S (2022) New Zealand divaricate plant species: tensile strength and Remote Island occurrence. Austral Ecol 47(5):1091–1100
- Itescu Y, Schwarz R, Meiri S, Pafilis P (2017) Intraspecific competition, not predation, drives lizard tail loss on islands. J Anim Ecol:66–74
- James HF, Burney DA (1997) The diet and ecology of Hawaii's extinct flightless waterfowl: evidence from coprolites. Biol J Linn Soc 62(2):279–297
- Jamieson IG, Ludwig K (2012) Rat-wise robins quickly lose fear of rats when introduced to a rat-free island. Anim Behav 84(1):225–229
- Jolly CJ, Webb JK, Phillips BL (2018) The perils of paradise: an endangered species conserved on an island loses antipredator behaviours within 13 generations. Biol Lett 14(6):20180222
- Karagkouni M, Sfenthourakis S, Meiri S (2017) The island rule is not valid in terrestrial isopods (Crustacea: Oniscidea). J Zool 301(1):11–16
- Kavanagh PH (2015) Herbivory and the evolution of divaricate plants: structural defences lost on an offshore island. Austral Ecol 40(2):206–211
- Kavanagh PH, Shaw RC, Burns KC (2016) Potential aposematism in an insular tree species: are signals dishonest early in ontogeny? Biol J Linn Soc 118(4):951–958
- Kelber A (2019) Bird colour vision–from cones to perception. Curr Opin Behav Sci 30:34–40
- Lawlor TE (1982) The evolution of body size in mammals: evidence from insular populations in Mexico. Am Nat 119(1):54–72
- Lee WG, Wood JR, Rogers GM (2009) Legacy of avian-dominated plant-herbivore systems in New Zealand. N Z J Ecol 34(1):28
- Le Saout S, Martin JL, Blanchard P, Cebe N, Mark Hewison AJ, Rames JL, Chamaillé-Jammes S (2015) Seeing a ghost? Vigilance and its drivers in a predator-free world. Ethology 121(7): 651–660
- Li B, Belasen A, Pafilis P, Bednekoff P, Foufopoulos J (2014) Effects of feral cats on the evolution of anti-predator behaviours in island reptiles: insights from an ancient introduction. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 281(1788):20140339
- Lusk CH, Wiser SK, Laughlin DC (2020) Macroclimate and topography interact to influence the abundance of divaricate plants in New Zealand. Front Plant Sci 11:507
- Maloney RF, McLean IG (1995) Historical and experimental learned predator recognition in freeliving New-Zealand robins. Anim Behav 50(5):1193–1201
- Maurin KJ, Lusk CH (2020) 120 years of untangling the divaricate habit: a review
- McGlone MS, Webb CJ (1981) Selective forces influencing the evolution of divaricating plants. N Z J Ecol:20–28
- McNab BK (2002) Minimizing energy expenditure facilitates vertebrate persistence on oceanic islands. Ecol Lett 5(5):693–704
- Mencía A, Ortega Z, Pérez-Mellado V (2017) From tameness to wariness: chemical recognition of snake predators by lizards in a Mediterranean island. PeerJ 5:e2828
- Meredith FL, Tindall ML, Hemmings FA, Moles AT (2019) Prickly pairs: the proportion of spinescent species does not differ between islands and mainlands. J Plant Ecol 12(6):941–948
- Minelli A (2008) Predation. In: Jorgensen SE, Fath B (eds) Encyclopedia of ecology, pp 2923–2929
- Monks JM, Nelson NJ, Daugherty CH, Brunton DH, Shine R (2019) Does evolution in isolation from mammalian predators have behavioural and chemosensory consequences for New Zealand lizards? N Z J Ecol 43(1):1–13
- Monroy P, García-Verdugo C (2019) Testing the hypothesis of loss of defenses on islands across a wide latitudinal gradient of *Periploca laevigata* populations. Am J Bot 106(2):303-312
- Moreira X, Castagneyrol B, García-Verdugo C, Abdala-Roberts L (2021) A meta-analysis of insularity effects on herbivory and plant defences. J Biogeogr 48(2):386–393
- Moreira X, Abdala-Roberts L (2022) A roadmap for future research on insularity effects on plant– herbivore interactions. Glob Ecol Biogeogr 31(4):602–610
- Moreira X, Abdala-Roberts L, Castagneyrol B, Caujapé-Castells J, Cruz-Guedes J, Lago-Núñez B et al (2022) A phylogenetically controlled test does not support the prediction of lower putative anti-herbivore leaf traits for insular woody species. J Biogeogr 49(2):274–285
- Mori A, Hasegawa M (1999) Geographic differences in behavioral responses of hatchling lizards (Eumeces okadae) to snake-predator chemicals. Japanese Journal of Herpetology 18(2):45–56
- Muralidhar A, Moore FL, Easton LJ, Jamieson IG, Seddon PJ, van Heezik Y (2019) Know your enemy? Conservation management causes loss of antipredator behaviour to novel predators in New Zealand robins. Anim Behav 149:135–142
- Novosolov M, Raia P, Meiri S (2012) The island syndrome in lizards. Glob Ecol Biogeogr 22(2): 184–191
- Novosolov M, Meiri S (2013) The effect of island type on lizard reproductive traits. J Biogeogr 40(12):2385–2395
- Ottaviani G, Keppel G, Götzenberger L, Harrison S, Opedal ØH, Conti L et al (2020) Linking plant functional ecology to island biogeography. Trends Plant Sci 25(4):329–339
- Pafilis P, Foufopoulos J, Poulakakis N, Lymberakis P, Valakos ED (2009) Tail shedding in island lizards [Lacertidae, Reptilia]: decline of antipredator defenses in relaxed predation environments. Evolution 63(5):1262–1278
- Pafilis P, Foufopoulos J, Sagonas K, Runemark A, Svensson E, Valakos ED (2011) Reproductive biology of insular reptiles: marine subsidies modulate expression of the "island syndrome". Copeia 2011(4):545–552
- Placyk JS (2012) The role of innate and environmental influences in shaping antipredator behavior of mainland and insular gartersnakes (Thamnophis sirtalis). J Ethol 30:101–108
- Rasheed AA, Hambley K, Chan G, de la Rosa CA, Larison B, Blumstein DT (2017) Persistence of antipredator behavior in an island population of California quail. Ethology 124(3):155–160
- Reimers E, Lund S, Ergon T (2011) Vigilance and fright behaviour in the insular Svalbard reindeer (Rangifer tarandus platyrhynchus). Can J Zool 89(8):753–764
- Rödl T, Berger S, Michael Romero L, Wikelski M (2007) Tameness and stress physiology in a predator-naive island species confronted with novel predation threat. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 274(1609):577–582
- Rotger A, Tenan S, Igual JM, Bonner S, Tavecchia G (2022) Life span, growth, senescence and island syndrome: Accounting for imperfect detection and continuous growth. J Anim Ecol 92(1):183–194
- Rozzi R, Lomolino MV (2017) Rapid dwarfing of an insular mammal–The feral cattle of Amsterdam Island. Sci Rep 7(1):1–8
- Rozzi R, Lomolino MV, van der Geer AA, Silvestro D, Lyons SK, Bover P et al (2023) Dwarfism and gigantism drive human-mediated extinctions on islands. Science 379(6636):1054–1059
- Runemark A, Brydegaard M, Svensson EI (2014) Does relaxed predation drive phenotypic divergence among insular populations? J Evol Biol 27(8):1676–1690
- Runemark A, Sagonas K, Svensson EI (2015) Ecological explanations to island gigantism: dietary niche divergence, predation, and size in an endemic lizard. Ecology 96(8):2077–2092
- Russell JC, Ringler D, Trombini A, Le Corre M (2011) The island syndrome and population dynamics of introduced rats. Oecologia 167(3):667–676
- Sale MG, Wilson BA, Arnould JPY (2009) Comparison of life-history characteristics of island and mainland populations of the swamp antechinus. J Zool 277(2):119–125
- Salladay, R. A. (2013). Herbivory defense of island plants and their mainland relatives.
- Salladay RA, Ramirez AR (2018) Reduced defenses and increased herbivore preference of island chaparral shrubs compared to mainland relatives. West N Am Natur 78(4):768–776
- Salvador CH, Fernandez FA (2008) Reproduction and growth of a rare, island-endemic cavy (Cavia intermedia) from southern Brazil. J Mammal 89(4):909–915
- Schrader J, Wright IJ, Kreft H, Westoby M (2021) A roadmap to plant functional island biogeography. Biol Rev 96(6):2851–2870
- Senczuk G, García A, Colangelo P, Annesi F, Castiglia R (2014) Morphometric and genetic divergence in island and mainland populations of Anolis nebulosus (Squamata: Polychrotidae) from Jalisco (Mexico): an instance of insular gigantism. Ital J Zool 81(2):204–214
- Siliceo-Cantero HH, Zúñiga-Vega JJ, Renton K, Garcia A (2017) Assessing the relative importance of intraspecific and interspecific interactions on the ecology of Anolis nebulosus lizards from an island vs. a mainland population. Herpetol Conserv Biol 12(3):673–682
- Skaien CL, Arcese P (2020) Local adaptation in island populations of Plectritis congesta that differ in historic exposure to ungulate browsers. Ecology 101(7):e03054
- Stratton JA, Nolte MJ, Payseur BA (2021) Evolution of boldness and exploratory behavior in giant mice from Gough Island. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 75:1–11
- Swarts HM, Crooks KR, Willits N, Woodroffe R (2009) Possible contemporary evolution in an endangered species, the Santa Cruz Island fox. Anim Conserv 12(2):120–127
- Takei S, Yoshioka K, Yamada S, Hayakawa H, Yokoyama J, Ito K et al (2014a) Morphological study of *Glochidion obovatum* under heavy browsing pressure by Sika Deer. Am J Plant Sci 2014
- Takei S, Yoshioka K, Yamada S, Hayakawa H, Yokoyama J, Ito K et al (2014b) The length and density of prickles on *Zanthoxylum ailanthoides* (Rutaceae): a comparison of Japanese islands with different sika deer browsing pressures. Am J Plant Sci 2014
- Takei S, Yoshioka K, Yamada S, Hayakawa H, Yokoyama J, Ito K et al (2014c) Comparative morphology of prickles of Rubus croceacanthus (Rosaceae) in Kashima Island and its neighbouring areas. J Plant Stud 3(1):96
- Terborgh J (2022) The 'island syndrome' is an alternative state. J Biogeogr 50(3):467–475
- Van Damme R, Castilla AM (1996) Chemosensory predator recognition in the lizard Podarcis hispanica: effects of predation pressure relaxation. J Chem Ecol 22:13-22
- Van Moorleghem C, Huyghe K, Van Damme R (2019) Chemosensory deficiency may render island-dwelling lizards more vulnerable to invasive predators. Biol J Linn Soc 129(1):128–142
- Vervust B, Grbac I, Van Damme R (2007) Differences in morphology, performance and behaviour between recently diverged populations of *Podarcis sicula* mirror differences in predation pressure. Oikos 116(8):1343–1352
- Vourc'h G, Martin JL, Duncan P, Escarré J, Clausen TP (2001) Defensive adaptations of Thuja plicata to ungulate browsing: a comparative study between mainland and island populations. Oecologia:84–93
- Watts SM, Dodson CD, Reichman OJ (2011) The roots of defense: plant resistance and tolerance to belowground herbivory. PLoS One 6(4):e18463
- Whittaker RJ, Fernández-Palacios JM (2007) Island biogeography: ecology, evolution, and conservation. Oxford University Press
- Whittaker RJ, Fernández-Palacios JM, Matthews TJ, Borregaard MK, Triantis KA (2017) Island biogeography: taking the long view of nature's laboratories. Science 357(6354):eaam8326
- Zhu XM, Du Y, Qu YF, Li H, Gao JF, Lin CX et al (2020) The geographical diversification in varanid lizards: the role of mainland versus island in driving species evolution. Curr Zool 66(2): 165–171
- Zizka A, Onstein RE, Rozzi R, Weigelt P, Kreft H, Steinbauer MJ et al (2022) The evolution of insular woodiness. Proc Natl Acad Sci 119(37):e2208629119