# Check for updates

# Chapter 11 Physico Chemical and Biological Treatment Techniques for Lead Removal from Wastewater: A Review

## Simmi Goel

Abstract The release of untreated industrial effluent loaded with heavy metals especially lead ions seems to have adverse effects on various components of ecosystem. A variety of physicochemical methods for the treatment of lead-contaminated water have been used on a commercial level. But these methods are having a lot of limitations like reduced efficiency, costly due to input of a load of chemicals and not environment friendly. In lieu of this, major focus is given on the use of biological methods especially biosorption for treatment of industrial effluent. In this review, various sources and health effects of lead-contaminated water has been given. A variety of physiochemical methods for the treatment of industrial wastewater and also limitations have been discussed. Significance of various biological methods over other conventional treatments including the use of agricultural waste, plant- or animal-based sorbents and enlisting the list of microbes like bacteria, fungi and algae as sorbents for treatment of lead waste water including their mechanism of action have been reviewed. The factors which need to be optimized for maximum removal of lead ions to increase the efficiency of treatment have also been discussed.

**Keywords** Lead contamination · Biosorption · Bioremediation · Biomass · Industrial effluent · Sorbents · Optimized parameters · Wastewater treatment

# 11.1 Introduction

A large number of electroplating industries discharge untreated wastewater into the ecosystem. The easy absorption of heavy metals in humans resulted due to their high dissolution capability in water. These heavy metals once released into environment their concentration increases with each trophic level resulted in bioaccumulation. Consumption of heavy metal–contaminated water beyond the permitted

S. Goel (🖂)

Department of Biotechnology, Mata Gujri College, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab, India e-mail: <a href="mailto:simmibiotech@matagujricollege.org">simmibiotech@matagujricollege.org</a>

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2024 N. Kumar and A. K. Jha (eds.), *Lead Toxicity Mitigation: Sustainable Nexus Approaches*, Environmental Contamination Remediation and Management, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-46146-0\_11

level results in serious health disorders. The heavy metal-contaminated wastewater when discharged untreated in the environment resulted in the ecosystem degradation. The various manmade activities like batteries manufacturing, automobile industries, mining activities, leaching of ores, agricultural inputs in the form of chemicals are the major contributors of heavy metals into the ecosystem. These are difficult to degrade under natural conditions, altering the bio-geochemical cycles, disturbance of ecological diversity and ultimately destroying the whole ecosystem (Chug et al. 2022). Once these metals enter into the food chain, there occurs a huge concentration rise with each successive trophic level. The consumption of heavy metal-contaminated water causes kidney infections, respiratory dysfunction and cardiovascular imbalance and carcinogenic in acute cases. The industries release a large amount of heavy metal-loaded wastewater, among which lead (Pb) seems to be the major toxicity contributor released from metal finishing, electroplating and paint manufacturing, leaching, battery operations, etc. Lead once discharged persists into environment for a long period due to its non-biodegradable nature (Raut et al. 2015). Lead is discharged naturally from leaching of ores and also due to major human source like exhaust fumes of automobiles from where lead gets accumulated on the surface of road side vegetation or deposited within soil particles and ultimately through rain water enters into the rivers and ground water. Lead enters in human body through contaminated drinking water and accumulated in bones causing neurotoxicity and carcinogenicity (Biela and Sopikova 2017). Once lead is ingested in human body, it acts as metabolic poison resulted in inhibiting various enzymatic actions (Lo et al. 1999). In addition, the intake of lead-contaminated water can cause infections in kidneys, nervous breakdown and dysfunction of reproductive organs, heart, liver and brain (Naiyaa et al. 2009). In adults, lead poisoning can cause neurological disorders resulting in weakness, irritation, poor attention span, headaches, muscle cramps, memory loss and hallucinations and ultimately death. Lead ions  $(Pb^{2+})$  can cause mental retardation, kidney damage, anaemia, central nervous system dysfunction, alters haemoglobin production, reproductive failure and gastrointestinal tract infections. The lead particles released in atmosphere get deposited on the surface of fruits, vegetables, soil and groundwater adversely affecting the health of especially the pregnant women and young children (Ghosal et al. 2021). In lieu of all these facts, there seems to be an urgent need to develop effective treatment technologies for the removal of Pb from water and wastewater to keep the safety and protection of human health and environment.

#### **11.2** Sources of Lead

Major sources of lead are acid battery manufacturing, metal plating and finishing, mining operations, battery services, ammunition, tanneries, petroleum refining, tetraethyl lead manufacturing, paint industries, use of heavy metal–loaded chemicals in agricultural fields, pigment manufacture, coal combustion power plants, ceramic and glass usage, printing and photographic activities, lead water pipes (Goel et al. 2005; Momcilovic et al. 2011). Other contributors of lead used to be the exhaust gases of automobiles, which ultimately accumulate on the surface of crops growing alongside roads, entering the food chain, retained in water droplets in atmosphere and released in form of rains leading to the contamination of surface water and ground-water bodies. The surface and groundwater lead contamination also occurs due to the accidental leakages from rusting of the water pipes and waste water from the ferrous metallurgy operations, batteries discharge and glass manufacturing industry (Pitter 2009; Biela and Sopikova 2017).

#### 11.3 Effects of Lead Exposure

The consumption of lead-contaminated water can severely affect the functioning of kidneys, liver, brain, nervous disorders, reproductive failure, hypertension ultimately leading to illness or death of individuals. Fatal exposure to lead-loaded wastewater can cause reproductive failures and foetus loss resulting in abortions. Exposure to lead ions (Pb<sup>2+</sup>) can also cause mental retardation, kidney failure, anaemia, retards the functioning of central nervous system, haemoglobin production, reproductive failure and gastrointestinal infections. Lead particles released in air contaminate the agricultural food by depositing on surface of fruits, vegetables, soil and water. Other health effects of lead exposure are uneasiness, irritable behaviour, loss of focus/ concentration, migraines, muscular tremors, abdominal cramps, infections in kidney, hallucinations and memory loss. (WHO 2011; Biela and Sopikova 2017). Some of essential ions can be displaced or substituted from their cellular locations resulting in retardation of functioning of enzyme, polynucleotides and essential nutrient transport systems due to consumption of mercury and lead-contaminated water. Additionally, these also resulted in the denaturation and inactivation of enzymes and alters the integrity of cellular and organelle membranes (Zhang et al. 2016). In lieu of all these adverse effects, a very minute concentration of lead in drinking water seems to be highly toxic and so there is a strong need for developing an efficient removal, environment friendly and economical treatment technology (Mahmoud et al. 2012; Ghosal et al. 2021).

Atmospheric lead particles get deposited on vegetation and absorbed within aquatic organisms (Jamali et al. 2009), finds its way to enter the human body through the food chain. The lead contaminated soil alters the physiological, morphological and biochemical characteristics of plants by making their availability in the roots, stems, leaves and fruits through contaminated soil. Other severe threats of exposure to lead resulted in carcinogenesis, teratogenesis and gene mutations in humans (Wendt and Lee 2010). All these threats diverted the attention of scientists and researchers to explore effective and economical methods to remediate lead (Pb) pollution from wastewater (Jing et al. 2021a, b).

The intake of lead (Pb) through contaminated fruits, vegetables, water etc., causes anaemia, renal infections, nervous breakdown and ultimately death. Excessive intake

leads to hepatic and renal failure, respiratory infections, reproductive disorders, capillary damage, gastrointestinal irritation and central nervous system irritation, nausea, encephalopathy, severe migraine and vomiting, learning disability, mental disorders, hyperactive behaviour, muscular tremors, liver cirrhosis, dysfunction of thyroid gland, sleep disorders, weakness, schizophrenia (Kale et al. 2018). Heavy metal intake can cause allergies, carcinogenic, organ damage, impairs growth and development due to their persistent or non-biodegradable nature. Overall lead poisoning can cause anaemic behaviour, kidney disorder, brain disorder and even death in extreme poisoning situation (Acharya et al. 2013; Singha and Das 2015). According to WHO, the acceptable safe limit of lead in drinking water is 0.01 g/L.

#### 11.4 Methods for the Removal of Lead from Wastewater

The common modes for the removal of lead (Pb) from contaminated wastewater include physical methods such as ultra-filtration, coagulation, flocculation, adsorption, membrane filtration, floatation, reverse osmosis and ion exchange and chemical methods include neutralization, solvent extraction, chemical precipitation and electrochemical treatment.

Among these methods, adsorption seems to be highly effective and economical mode. The commonly used adsorbents for wastewater treatment are silica gels, activated alumina, metal oxides and hydroxides, zeolites, clay minerals, synthetic polymers, and carbonaceous materials, such as activated carbon and molecular carbon sieves.

#### 11.4.1 Adsorption

The adsorption capacity of hydroxyapatite nanorods and chitosan nanocomposite was assessed for the removal of lead ions from aqueous solution in a batch mode of experimentation (Mohammad et al. 2015).

#### 11.4.2 Chemical Precipitation

Chemical precipitation is based on the reaction of heavy metals with added chemicals resulting in the formation of insoluble precipitates in the form of hydroxides, sulphides, carbonates which can further separated by filtration. Addition of caustic soda increases the precipitation of dissolved lead (Pb) from wastewater in the form of solid metal hydroxide particles. Various coagulants and flocculants are added to increase their particle size so that these can be easily removed in the form of sludge. The precipitates can be further separated using sedimentation or filtration techniques. Various parameters which need to be optimized for increasing the efficacy of lead removal are low pH, temperature, content of lead ions, contact time, presence of other ions and charge of ions (Ahluwalia and Goyal 2007).

#### 11.4.3 Ion Exchange

This method is based on the ion exchange capacity of various cations or anions like zeolites or resins to remove the metal ions in the solution. The method is based on the concept of attracting soluble ions from the liquid phase and their transition to the solid phase, commonly used method in water treatment industry.

Ion exchange resins have the property of absorbing cations or anions from an electrolyte solution and release other ions with the same charges into the solution in an equivalent amount. Strong acid cation or weak acid cation or anion resins are used for lead removal. For example, the positively charged ions in cationic resins such as hydrogen and sodium ions are exchanged with positively charged ions, such as nickel, copper, zinc, copper, silver, cadmium, gold, mercury, lead, chromium, iron, tin, arsenic, selenium, molybdenum, cobalt, manganese and aluminium ions in the solutions. The negative ions in the resins such as hydroxyl and chloride ions can be replaced by the negatively charged ions such as chromate, sulphate, nitrate, cyanide and dissolved organic carbon.

#### 11.4.4 Coagulation–Flocculation

This process is based on the capacity of the electrostatic bonding between heavy metal and coagulant–flocculants agents to form multi-charged polynuclear complexes. The commonly used metal coagulants to hydrolyse the metal ions are aluminium sulphate, aluminium chloride, ferric sulphate, ferrous sulphate, ferric chloride, hydrated lime and magnesium carbonate. Various flocculating agents are added to the wastewater to increase the particle size. These flocculated large sized particles can be easily removed by filtration, straining or floatation. Naturally occurring cactus juice can be effectively used as a bio-flocculant to reduce chromium concentration in wastewater.

#### 11.4.5 Membrane Separation

The method depends on the efficacy of permeable barriers to remove contaminants by passing wastewater through porous membranes under pressure. The variations in the pore size of membranes allow certain particles to easily pass through while retaining others based on principle of size exclusion. The commonly used membrane separation techniques like ultra-filtration, nano-filtration and reverse osmosis can be utilized for heavy metal removal from wastewater (Chaemiso and Nefo 2019).

## 11.4.6 Ultra-Filtration

This method is based on the efficiency of membrane permeability to separate heavy metals, macromolecules and suspended solids from wastewater on the basis of the pore dimensions and molecular weight of the impurities. Various water-soluble polymers can be added which can bind metal ions resulting in the formation of macro-molecular complexes by producing metal ions free effluent. An integrated and hybrid approach of using metal-binding polymers in combination with ultra-filtration to remove heavy metals from aqueous solution was investigated by various researchers (Qasem et al. 2021).

## 11.4.7 Reverse Osmosis

The method of reverse osmosis is based on the concept of applying forced pressure to solution resulted in the retaining of the solute particles and allows the pure solvent to pass through the membrane. The type of membrane in reverse osmosis is semipermeable in behaviour. It allows the selective passage of pure solvent but not for metals, i.e. solute particles. The semipermeable membranes used for reverse osmosis have a dense barrier layer in the polymer matrix where most of the separation occurs.

## 11.4.8 Electrodialysis

The method of electrodialysis involves the passage of various ionic species through ion exchange semipermeable membrane under the action of electric potential. The membranes are made of thin sheets of plastic and selective for either positively charged or negatively charged ions. Anions move towards anode and cations move towards cathode. One of the modifications of this is cation-selective membranes with negatively charged matter, which rejects negatively charged ions and allows positively charged ions to flow through. Selective membranes are fitted between the electrodes in electrolytic cells and under continuous electrical current, the associated ion migrates, allowing the recovery of lead ions (Qasem et al. 2021). Various factors like flow rate of ions, voltage and temperature affect the efficiency of removal.

## 11.4.9 Electro-Coagulation

This method is based on the use of electrical current to remove suspended solids, tannins, dyes and dissolved metals especially lead ions from wastewater. When these ions and other charged particles are neutralized with ions of opposite electrical charges provided by electro-coagulation system, they become destabilized and precipitated in a stable form (Arbabi et al. 2015; Hunsom et al. 2005; Rahman et al. 2015).

Factors need to be controlled for maximum removal of lead ions:

- i. content of lead in effluent, acidic or alkaline conditions, temperature, flow rate.
- ii. Organic and inorganic load of effluent.
- iii. Cost investment and the maximum permissible limits as set by government agencies.

**Limitations**: These physicochemical methods are not cost-effective and possess certain disadvantages like sludge production, low metal ions removal efficiency, energy consumption and low selectivity which limits their usage especially in small-scale industrial treatment plants. Moreover, these techniques are too expensive on large scale or commercial level and also dangerous for constant monitoring as these cannot completely treat the wastewater (Siddiquee et al. 2015; Tarekegn et al. 2020).

Physicochemical methods used for the removal of heavy metal ions from wastewater are often ineffective if the concentration of heavy metals is very low. The heavy metals present in dissolved form in wastewater cannot be separated using physical methods. The methods for heavy metal removal like chemical precipitation, chemical oxidation, ion exchange, membrane separation, reverse osmosis, electro dialysis are not very effective, non-economical and require high energy input. These are also associated with generation of toxic sludge, disposal of which makes it expensive and non-eco-friendly in nature (Kale et al. 2018).

# 11.5 Biological Methods for Lead Removal from Wastewater

In view of all these facts, sorption seems to be an effective and economical method for the removal of heavy metals especially lead from wastewater (Kale et al. 2018). The sorbents used for the removal of pollutants can be of chemical or biological origin. Out of these biological sorbents like viable or non-viable microbes or plantor animal-based products proved to be an attractive alternative over the chemical sorbents due to their better efficacy, easy availability, economical and pollution-free approach (Table 11.1). The technique of using biological originated sorbents for the removal of pollutants is known to be biosorption or bioremediation (Fig. 11.1).

The various features of biosorption including low capital investment, metal specificity, increased efficiency, no sludge generation, no need of chemical additives,

List of biological sorbents	Examples
Agricultural sorbents	Rice husk, saw dust, peanut husk, wheat bran, groundnut husk, banana pith, cork powder, corncob, coir pith, sugar beet pulp, hazelnut shell, jackfruit, maize cob or husk, rice straw, coconut shell, sawdust of walnut tree, almond hulls, sugarcane bagasse, maize husks, shea butter seed husks, coconut fibre, sugar beet pulp, nut shells
Sludge sorbent	Activated sludge, sewage sludge, alum sludge
Plant-based sorbent	Stem, leaves, roots, vegetable and fruit peels, Pomegranate peel, cork, bark, sunflower stalk, tree sawdust, seaweeds, lichen, pine barks, tea leaves, plant tissues, date stones, grape fruit peel, peat and nut shells, coconut shells, rice husk, tea waste, peanut hulls, almond shells, peach stones, citrus peels
Animal-based sorbent	Egg shells, shells from aquatic animals

Table 11.1 List of various biosorbents for lead removal from wastewater

*Source* (Shartooh et al. 2014; Reddad et al. 2002; Saeed et al. 2005; Chockalingam and Subramanian 2006; Montanher et al. 2005; Khan et al. 2004; Lu et al. 2008; Husoon 2011; Kale et al. 2018; Chowdhary et al. 2022)

recovery of biosorbent and metal make it highly reliable and effective mode of treatment (Volesky 1994). The usage of crop and forest waste including agricultural wastes as sorbents not only allows for sustainable waste utilization, but also helps to remove toxic heavy metal ions from wastewater (Liu et al. 2018; Jin et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2021). The use of waste biomass materials, including cotton stalks and grapefruit peels (Trakal et al. 2014; Fu et al. 2021; Shartooh et al. 2014), as precursors to process various activated carbon adsorbents to remove toxic heavy metals from wastewater is an active area of research.

# 11.5.1 Mechanism of Biosorption

The mechanism of sorption of heavy metal ions using microbes includes two pathways. First is the initial passive and rapid uptake which occurs via surface adsorption on the cell wall components and polysaccharides. Second is the further active and slow uptake which occurs through the membrane transporting metal ions within the cells.

Various mechanisms involved in the process of biosorption are as follows:

• Toxic states of heavy metals can be transformed into non-toxic states by alkylation or various redox reactions. The availability of metals depends upon the dissolution capacity and movement which further depends on their valency and anionic or cationic form of metals. For example, hexavalent form of chromium is more toxic and hazardous than its trivalent form.



Fig. 11.1 Flowchart enlisting various methods for the removal of lead from wastewater

 Passive sorption is metabolism-free process, in which metals bind to functional groups present on the cell surface through electrostatic attraction, precipitation, surface complexation, ion exchange and physical adsorption. The factors including temperature, ionic strength, concentration and type of the sorbate and sorbent, state of biomass: suspension or immobilized and the presence of other anion and cations in the growth medium controls the efficacy of the removal of metal ions from wastewater.

- Active sorption is the metabolism-dependent intracellular uptake of heavy metals within the living cells within cytoplasm. Heavy metals are removed from wastewater by binding with metal-binding proteins or metallothioneins as low molecular mass cysteine-rich proteins, and metallochaperones present within the bacterial cell.
- Various parameters which need to be optimized are pH, temperature, salinity, media composition, biochemical and physiological features or genetic variability of biomass and toxicity of metals towards biosorbents. A variety of microbial strains like *Cyanobacteria*, *Pseudomonads* and Mycobacteria have the ability to synthesize metal-binding proteins which can be further used for the removal of zinc, copper, cadmium, mercury and lead (Thi Pham et al. 2022).
- The removal of metal ions can also be carried out by a complex mechanism of releasing EPS like proteins, DNA, RNA and polysaccharides resulting in the slippery layer on the outside of the cell wall. These further retard the penetration of metals within the intracellular environment. *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Azotobacter chroococcum* and *Bacillus cereus* possess the ability to secrete EPS. Bioremediation efficiency by this mechanism relies on the type and amount of carbon source available and other abiotic stress factors like pH, temperature and the growth phase of each bacterium.
- The functional groups like carboxyl, phosphonate, amine and hydroxyl groups present in the cell walls of bacteria are able to bind heavy metal ions present in the wastewater and their further removal. The efficacy of biosorption depends on the diversity of cell wall structures. Gram-positive bacteria have been shown to contain a high sorption capacity because of their thicker peptidoglycan layer.

Biosorption is commonly used for the removal of lead and chromium from industrial effluent. It involves the use of viable or non-viable microbes for pollutants removal from aqueous solutions and industrial wastewater. Most commonly used biosorbents for the removal of heavy metals from industrial effluent are microbial biomass (Volesky and Holan 1995), and biological wastes like peat and nut shells, coconut shells, rice husk, tea waste, peanut hulls, almond shells, peach stones, citrus peels, (Reddad et al. 2022; Saeed et al. 2005; Khan et al. 2004; Chockalingam and Subramanian, 2013). These biosorbent materials are economical, high sorption efficacy, metal specificity, no sludge generation, regeneration and metal ion recovery (Tunali et al. 2006) and environmentally safer to use (Husoon et al. 2013). The presence of various functional groups like carboxyl, hydroxyl, sulphate, phosphate and amino groups in biological sorbents further assist in binding of metal contaminants from wastewater (Fig. 11.2).



Fig. 11.2 Flowchart showing the mechanism of biosorption by microbial biomass

# 11.5.2 Factors Affecting the Efficacy of Sorption of Metals Contaminants: (Shartooh et al. 2014; Yarkandi et al. 2014; Dehagni et al. 2023)

- pH, Temperature, moisture,
- shaking speed, incubation time, aeration,
- initial concentration of metal and chemical nature of each contaminant, chemical state of the site or availability and affinity between site and metal or binding strength,
- chemical characteristics of metal like ionic potential, ionic radius, ionic stability limit,
- amount of biosorbent, size of the biomass, number of sites of biosorbent material, the accessibility of sites,
- interaction between different metallic ions and ionic strength,
- toxicity of the pollutants to viable microbial cell (Regine and Volesky, 2000),
- shaking or stationary conditions.

# 11.5.3 Biological or Agricultural Waste as Biosorbent for the Removal of Lead from Wastewater

Banana peel was successfully used as biosorbent for the lead ions removal from an aqueous solution, and the impact of varying operational conditions in a batch mode was investigated. The maximum lead removal was observed at 98.146% under optimized conditions of lead concentration 100 mg/L, pH 5, amount of sorbent 0.55 g and sorbent size 75  $\mu$ m (Afolabi et al. 2021).

Certain researchers showed the maximum adsorption efficiency of banana peels for chromium, cadmium and lead and considered it as the inexpensive household waste (Ajmi et al. 2018).

Biochar prepared from agricultural, animal and wood residues proved to be efficient sorbent for lead removal owing to the binding of various functional groups like phenol, carboxyl and hydroxyl to metal ions. While modified clays such as montmorillonite, bentonite, kaolinite, vermiculite, polymeric hydrogels are mostly used for the removal of lead and mercury from wastewater (Aranda and Rivas 2022).

Various agricultural wastes like stems, roots, fruit peels, rinds, saw dust, husks, hulls, dried leaves, fruit shells and seeds have been efficiently used to remove metal contaminants from synthetic solutions (Sun and Shi 1998; Al-Asheh and Duvnjak 1998; Meunier et al. 2003; Sekhar et al. 2003; Wang and Qin 2005).

The peels of grapes were also used to remove lead, copper and zinc from factory wastewater. The fresh fruit peels, dried small pieces and powdered peels were tested for treatment of heavy metal–loaded wastewater by optimization of various parameters like pH, temperature and exposure time, concentration of metal contaminants. The highest removal efficiency was for lead metal as compared to copper and zinc. The Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy analysis (FTIR) studies illustrated that hydroxyl, carboxyl and carbonyl groups were the major binding sites for Pb, Cu and Zn ions removal using grape fruit peels (Shartooh 2012).

Maize cob was used as sorbent for lead ions removal from synthetic solution. Batch mode of sorption studies were performed under well-optimized experimental conditions of 500 ppm concentration, 2.5 g dosage, 400 min exposure time, 400 rpm agitation speed and 5 pH. The efficiency of removal for Pb (II) ions was 95% using maize cob as adsorbent, and studies can be further extended for the treatment of metal-contaminated wastewater (Muthusamy and Murugan 2016).

Activated carbon prepared from leaves of medicinal plant *Militia ferruginea* was utilized efficiently for the removal of Pb (II) ions from wastewater. The maximum adsorption of lead was more than 97% from industrial effluent at 3 h of contact time for 4.0 g of adsorbent and at pH of 4.0. The amount of lead ion adsorbed per gram of the adsorbent increased with decreasing concentration of Pb<sup>2+</sup> ions. The percentage of adsorption had increased with the increasing temperature (Mengistie et al. 2008).

*Undaria pinnatifida* was immobilized in sodium alginate beads and further utilized efficiently for the removal of Pb(II) ions from wastewater. To understand the mechanism of sorption, the resulting biosorbent was characterized by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and scanning electron microscopy coupled with

energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM–EDS). The effect of various conditions on Pb (II) ion removal efficiency such as temperature, pH, ionic strength, time and underlying biosorption mechanisms was also observed (Namkoong et al. 2022).

# 11.5.4 Bacteria as Biosorbent for the Removal of Lead from Wastewater

Positively charged metal ions can be easily removed by Gram-positive bacteria having higher electronegative charge density which might be due to the presence of teichoic and teichuronic acids linked by phosphodiester bonds which are further attached to peptidoglycan layer in the cell wall. The presence of various functional groups having oxygen, nitrogen, sulphur or phosphorus in bacterial cells are responsible for metal ion removal from wastewater (Redha 2020) (Table 11.2).

Bacterial proteins were utilized for the treatment of lead-contaminated wastewater. Lead oxide nanoplates were synthesized by interactions, and the removal of lead ions was confirmed using various advance techniques of inductively coupled plasma analysis, X-ray spectroscopy and X-ray diffraction (Ghosal et al. 2021).

Microbial biosorbents	Examples
Bacteria	Bacillus sp., Pseudomonas sp., Arthrobacter sp., Alcaligenes sp., Azotobacter sp., Rhodococcus sp., Acinetobacter sp. and methanogens Pseudomonas putida, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Escherichia coli, Bacillus thuringiensis, Streptomyces sp., Cellulosimicrobium funkei, Lactiplantibacillus plantarum, Cellulosimicrobium sp., Methylobacterium sp., Aerobacillus pallidus, Arthrobacter viscosus, Klebsiella pnuemoniae,, Rhodotorula sp., Bacillus megaterium, Vibrio parahaemolyticus, Klebsiella sp, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Oceanbacillus profundus, Micrococcus luteus, Flavobacterium, Enterobacter, Acinetobacter sp., Micrococcus luteus, Bacillus subtilis, Aspergillus niger and Penicillium sp
Fungi	Aspergillus sp., Penicillium sp., Rhizopus sp., Mucor sp., Alternaria sp., and Cladosporium sp, A. ferrooxidans and A. thiooxidans, Desulfovibrio desulfuricans, Coprinopsis atramentaria, A. niger, Rhizopus oryzae, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Penicillium chrysogenum, Candida sphaerica
Yeast	Hansenula polymorpha, S. cerevisiae, Yarrowia lipolytica, Rhodotorula pilimanae, Pichia guilliermondii, and Rhodotorula mucilage
Algae	C. vulgaris, Gelidium amansii, Phormidium ambiguum, Porphyra leucosticte, Spirogyra sp., Sargassum muticum, Chlorella miniate and Spirulina platensis

Table 11.2 Examples of microbial biosorbents for lead removal from wastewater

*Source* (Thi Pham et al. 2022; Kumar and Goyal 2009; Kareem and Anwar 2020; Amasha and Aly 2019; Olusola and Aransiola 2015; Rao and Bhargavi 2013; Sheba and Nandini 2016; Villegas et al. 2018; Iram and Abrar 2015; Rastogi et al. 2019; Aracagok 2022)

A continuous column treatment setup was developed in up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor (UASB) loaded with anaerobic sulphate-reducing bacteria and used for the continuous removal of lead and mercury ions from wet flue gas desulfurization (FGD) wastewater. Lead and mercury were removed in the form of sulphides and gets accumulated in sludge. The reactor was operated under various optimized experimental conditions at metal loading rates of 9.2 g/m<sup>3</sup>d Pb (II) and 2.6 g/m<sup>3</sup>d Hg (II) for retention time of 50 days. The UASB reactor removed 72.5  $\pm$  7% of sulphite and more than 99.5% of both Hg(II) and Pb(II) and found to be very efficient for the treatment of metal-contaminated wastewater (Zhang et al. 2016). In another similar study, lead was removed from sulphide-rich effluent using sulphate-reducing bacteria in the form of lead sulphide precipitate. The whole treatment was performed in UASB reactor in a continuous mode with initial feeding load of effluent containing 45–50 mg/L concentration of lead ions. The maximum lead removal 85–90% was achieved in UASB reactor (Hoa et al. 2007).

The efficiency of metal tolerance and accumulation of about 164 isolated heterotrophic bacterial strains was studied especially for lead, cadmium and zinc. The metal tolerance studies of all the isolated bacterial isolates showed that about 45% of the total isolates showed very high tolerance of greater than 6000  $\mu$ g/ml towards lead ions as compared to cadmium and zinc towards which bacterial strains have comparatively low tolerance. Further, one of screened bacterial strain *Bacillus sp.* was found to be more efficient in the bioaccumulation of lead ions (Varghese et al. 2012).

# 11.5.5 Fungi as Biosorbent for the Removal of Lead from Wastewater

Many metal-tolerant fungal strains were isolated from sewage sludge and industrial wastewater especially tolerant towards lead, cadmium, chromium and nickel. These isolated fungal strains were characterized through various morphological, biochemical and genetic identification tests. The identified and screened fungal strains were *Aspergillus foetidus, Phanerochaete chrysosporium, Aspegillus awamori, Rhizopus sp., Aspergillus flavus, Trichoderma viridae* which were further used for the removal of different metals from wastewater. The screened fungal strains were found to tolerate and ability to grow up to 400 ppm concentration of cobalt, lead, cadmium, chromium, copper and nickel metal ions. All the above-mentioned fungal strains have the remarkable efficacy to be used as biosorbent for the removal of cobalt, lead, cadmium, chromium, copper and nickel metal ions from wastewater and industrial effluents (Dwivedi et al. 2012).

The efficacy of non-viable biomass of *Penicilluim sp.* was estimated for the removal of lead ions from synthetic solution. All the treatments were done in the batch mode under optimized conditions of 10 mg/l lead ion concentration, 1 g/l biomass dosage, 2 h of exposure time and found to achieve 78.03% removal of lead

ions. The mechanism of sorption found out the involvement of carbonyl, methylene, phosphate, carbonate and phenolic groups in removal of lead ions from industrial effluent (Rastogi et al. 2019).

The efficiency of fungal biomass *Aspergillus neoalliaceus* for sorption of lead ions as a function of pH, biomass dosage, contact time and initial lead concentration was studied. The removal of lead ions with *Aspergillus neoalliaceus* followed Langmuir isotherm and pseudo-second-order kinetic models compared to other used models (Aracagok 2022).

The pre-treated biomass of *Aspergillus niger* was found as an efficient sorbent for the removal of heavy metals especially lead and nickel from wastewater. The various optimized experimental conditions for maximum removal of lead and nickel were pH of 7 and 6 and equilibration time for maximum biosorption at 5 h and 8 h, respectively. In the presence of co-ion lead, the percentage removal of nickel was 92% which was greater than using the single metal system removal (Rao and Bhargavi 2013).

The agricultural waste edible fungi residue was found to adsorb 76.34% of Pb (II) ions from wastewater. All the treatments were performed under optimized experimental conditions of 483.83 mg/L of lead ion concentration, 4.99 g/L of fungi residue at pH of 5.89. The FTIR characterization of fungi residue both before and after treatment confirmed the involvement of various functional groups which controlled the sorption of heavy metals (Jing et al. 2021a, b).

# 11.5.6 Algae as Biosorbent for the Removal of Lead from Wastewater

The various factors like the presence of functional groups, high surface area and high binding capacity in algae make it an efficient sorbent for the removal of heavy metal ions especially lead ions from wastewater. This might be due to the presence of chitin, polysaccharides, proteins and lipids in cell wall of algae resulting in higher biosorption ability (Davis et al. 2003). In the first rapid extracellular passive sorption, heavy metals are adsorbed over the cell surface by not involving cellular metabolism. The various factors like bioavailability of metals, availability of metal-binding groups on the algal cell surface, metal uptake and storage efficiency of algal cells determine the efficacy of sorption. Biosorption can be carried out by both viable and non-viable biomass. Algae can either exchange metal ions with calcium, magnesium, sodium or potassium ions or form complex with the functional groups on the surface of algal cell. Contaminants can easily bind to the surface of algae due to the presence of polysaccharides, lipids and proteins. The presence of sulphate, carboxyl, amino and hydroxyl groups in the cell wall of microalgae makes it suitable as the binding site for the pollutants. Heavy metals can also be transported across the cell membrane within the cytoplasm or organelles through an active uptake and can be carried out by viable biomass only and also dependent on cellular metabolism. This process

Various self-defence mechanisms of gene regulation, complexation, ion exchange, chelation, produce reducing agents or anti-oxidants and cause heavy metal immobilization enhances the efficiency of algae to fight against the toxicity of heavy metal ions (Chugh et al. 2022).

The algae *Chlorella vulgaris* has the ability to remove various heavy metals, especially lead and cadmium in one metal solution system (Moustafa and Idris 2003). The mechanism of sorption revealed that the removal of lead occurs in two consecutive steps, the first is the adsorption on its surface followed by fixation. The algae was able to remove 60% lead and 65% cadmium efficiently from synthetic solution (Dhokpande and Kaware 2013; Sonali et al. 2013).

Sludge-based adsorbent was prepared by ferric activation through pyrolysis and further used for the sorption of lead ions from aqueous solution. The ferric-activated sludge-based adsorbent showed a favourable porous structure development and lead ions removal with the maximum sorption capacity of 42.96 mg/g (Yang et al. 2019).

## 11.6 Conclusions

This review article summarizes the various sources of heavy metal contamination along with the hazards of especially lead-contaminated wastewater, their current physiochemical treatments and their limitations. This review also highlighted the biosorption technology used for the treatment of lead-contaminated wastewater along with the in-depth knowledge of major mechanisms involved through which biosorbents remove metals from wastewater. Furthermore, a brief discussion on the effect of lead contamination on various components of ecosystem and remediation of heavy metals using an elaborated list of biosorbents has been reviewed. To make this bioremediation technique more efficient and successful, recent advancements, challenges and strategies to carry out in the future have been explored. The need of biosorption, factors affecting sorption, utilization of biological waste as biosorbents has been detailed. It has been concluded from detailed literature survey that the use of biosorbents seems to be a more promising alternative for the removal of lead ions from wastewater as compared to the other conventional methods of treatment. These biosorbents can be either plant- or animal-originated or microbial biomass. Microbial sorbents can be used in form of live or dead biomass, more economical, pollution free, easily availability and regeneration ability, effectively utilized for continuous treatment in columns for industrial waste treatment.

### References

- Acharya J, Kumar U, Meikap B (2013) Thermodynamic characterization of adsorption of lead (II) ions on activated carbon developed from tamarind wood from aqueous solution. S Afr J Chem Eng 18(1):70–76
- Afolabi FO, Musonge P, Bakare BF (2021) Evaluation of Lead (II) removal from wastewater using banana peels: optimization study. Pol J Environ Stud 30(2):1487–1496
- Ahluwalia SS, Goyal D (2007) Microbial and plant derived biomass for removal of heavy metals from wastewater. Bioresour Technol 98(12):2243–2257
- Ajmi RN, Sultan M, Hanno SH (2018) Bioabsorbent of chromium, cadmium and lead from industrial waste water by waste plant. J Pharm Sci Res 10(3):672–674
- Al-Asheh S, Duvnjak Z (1998) Binary metal sorption by pine bark: study of equilibrium and mechanisms. Sep Sci Technol 33(9):1303–1329
- Amasha RH, Aly MM (2019) Removal of dangerous heavy metal and some human pathogens by dried green algae collected from jeddah coast. Pharmacophore 10(3):5–13
- Aracagök YD (2022) Biosorption of lead by a soil isolate *Aspergillus neoalliaceus*. In: Archives of microbiology
- Aranda FL, Rivas BL (2022) Removal of mercury and lead by bioadsorbents: an overview. J Chil Chem Soc 67(4):5682–5691
- Arbabi M, Hemati S, Amiri M (2015) Removal of lead ions from industrial wastewater: removal methods review. Int J Epidemiol Res 2(2):105–109
- Biela R, Sopikova L (2017) Efficiency of sorption materials on the removal of lead from water. Appl Ecol Environ Res 15(3):1527–1536
- Chaemiso TD, Nefo T (2019) Removal methods of heavy metals from laboratory wastewater. J Nat Sci Res 9(2):36
- Chockalingam E, Subramanian S (2013) Studies on removal of metal ions and sulphate reduction using rice husk and *Desulfotomaculum nigrificans* with reference to remediation of acid mine drainage. Chemosphere 62(5):699–708
- Chowdhary IR, Chowdhary S, Mazumder MAJ, Al-Ahmed A (2022) Removal of lead ions (Pb<sup>2+</sup>) from water and wastewater: a review on the low-cost adsorbents. Appl Water Sci 12:185
- Chugh M, Kumar L, Shah MP, Bharadvaja N (2022) Algal Bioremediation of heavy metals: an insight into removal mechanisms, recovery of by-products, challenges, and future opportunities. Energy Nexus 7:100129
- Davis TA, Volesky B, Mucci A (2003) A review of the biochemistry of heavy metal biosorption by brown algae. Water Res 37(18):4311–4330
- Dehghani MH, Sardari SA, Afsharina M, Qasemi M, Shams M (2023) Removal of toxic lead from aqueous solution using a low-cost adsorbent. Sci Rep 13:3278
- Dhokpande SR, Kaware JP (2013) Biological methods for heavy metal removal: a review. Int J Eng Sci Innov Technol (IJESIT) 2(5)
- Dwivedi SN, Mishra RP, Alava S (2012) Phytochemistry, pharmacological studies and traditional benefits of *Trachyspermum ammisprague*. Int J Pharm Life Sci 3:1705–1709
- Fu Y, Yang Z, Xia Y, Xing Y, Gui X (2021) Adsorption of ciprofloxacin pollutants in aqueous solution using modified waste grapefruit peel. Energy Sourc A Recovery Utilization Environ Effects 43(2):225–234
- Ghosal C, Alam N, Tamang A, Chattopadhyay B (2021) Removal of lead contamination through the formation of lead-nanoplates by a hot spring microbial protein. Adv Microbiol 11:681–693
- Goel J, Kadirvelu K, Rajagopal C, Kumar Garg V (2005) Removal of lead (II) by adsorption using treated granular activated carbon: batch and column studies. J Hazard Mater 125(1-3):211–220
- Hoa TTH, Liamleam W, Annachhatre AP (2007) Lead removal through biological sulfate reduction process. Biores Technol 98:2538–2548
- Hunsom M, Pruksathorn K, Damronglerd S, Vergnes H, Duverneuil P (2005) Electrochemical treatment of heavy metals (Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Cr<sup>6+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>) from industrial effluent and modeling of copper reduction. Water Res 39(4):610–616

- Husoon A, Al-Azzawi MNA, Al-Hiyaly SAK (2013) Investigation biosorption potential of copper and lead from industrial waste water using orange and lemon peels. J Al-Nahrain Univ 16(2):713–719
- Iram S, Abrar S (2015) Biosorption of copper and lead by heavy metal resistant fungal isolates. Int J Sci Res Publ 5(1)
- Jamali HA, Mahvi AH, Nazmara S (2009) Removal of cadmium from aqueous solutions by hazel nut shell
- Jin W, Fu Y, Hu M, Wang S, Liu Z (2020) Highly efficient SnS-decorated Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanosheets for simultaneous electrochemical detection and removal of Cd(II) and Pb(II). J Electroanal Chem 856:113744
- Jing Y, Li Z, Li Y, Lei G, Li L, Yang X, Zhang Z, Yang W (2021) The ability of edible fungi residue to remove lead in wastewater, vol 9, Article 723087
- Jing Y, Li Z, Li Y, Lei G, Li L, Yang X, Zhankg Z, Yang W (2021) Efficient treatment of Industrial wastewater with microbiome and synthetic biology, The ability of edible fungi residue to remove lead in wastewater. Front Environ Sci Sec Water Wastewater Manage 9
- Kale A, Jadhav S, Kharade A, Khurmure C, Kumatkar D, Wankhede B (2018) Removal of heavy metals from wastewater by using low cost adsorbents. Int J Adv Res Sci Eng 07(3):1231–1237
- Kareem APM, Anwer SS (2020) Biosorption of cadmium and lead using microalgae Spirulina sp. isolated from koya city (Iraq). Appl Ecol Environ Res 18(2):2657–2668
- Khan NA, Ibrahim S, Subramanian P (2004) Elimination of heavy metals from wastewater using agricultural wastes as adsorbents. Malaysian J Sci 23:43–51
- Kumar R, Goyal D (2009) Comparative biosorption of Pb<sup>2+</sup> by live algal consortium and immobilized dead biomass from aqueous solution. Indian J Exp Biol 6:690–694
- Liu S, Duan Z, He C, Xu X, Li T, Li Y, Li X, Wang Y, Xu L (2018) Rapid removal of Pb<sup>2+</sup> from aqueous solution by phosphate modified baker's yeast. RSC Adv 8:8026–8038
- Lo W, Chua H, Lam KH, Bi SP (1999) A comparative investigation on the biosorption of lead by filamentous fungal biomass. Chemosphere 39:2723–2736
- Mahmoud ME, Osman MM, Ahmed SB, Abdel-Fattah TM (2012) Enhanced removal of Pb by chemically and biologically treated carbonaceous materials. Sci World J 2012:1–11
- Mengistie AA, Rao TS, Prasada Rao AV, Singanan M (2008) Removal of lead(II) ions from aqueous solutions using activated carbon from *Militia ferruginea* plant leaves. Bull Chem Soc Ethiop 22(3):349–360
- Meunier N, Laroulandie J, Blais JF, Tyagi RD (2003) Cocoa shells for heavy metal removal from acidic solutions. Biores Technol 90:255–263
- Mohammad AM, Eldin TAS, Hassan MA, El-Anadouli BE (2015) Efficient treatment of leadcontaining wastewater by hydroxyapatite/chitosan nanostructures. Arab J Chem 10:683–690
- Momčilović M, Purenović M, Bojić A, Zarubica A, Ranđelović M (2011) Removal of lead (II) ions from aqueous solutions by adsorption onto pine cone activated carbon. Desalin 276(1):53–59
- Moustafa M, Idris G (2003) Biological removal of heavy metals from wastewater. Alex Eng J 42:767–771
- Muthusamy P, Murugan S (2016) Removal of lead ion using maize cob as a bioadsorbent. Int J Eng Res Appl 6(6)(Part-1):05–10
- Naiyaa TK, Bhattacharya AK, Mandal S, Das SK (2009) The sorption of lead (II) ions on rice husk ash. J Hazard Mater 163:1254–1264
- Namkoong H, Biehler E, Namkoong G, Abdel-Fattah TM (2022) Efficient removal of lead ions from aqueous media using sustainable sources based on marine algae. ACS Omega 7:39931–39937
- Pitter P (2009) Hydrochemistry. University of Chemistry and Technology Prague, Prague (in Czech)
- Qasem NAA, Mohammed RH, Lawal DU (2021) Removal of heavy metal ions from wastewater: a comprehensive and critical review. Clean Water Npj 4:36
- Rahman SH, Yesmin RA, Islam SMN, SIiraj S, Adyel TM, Ahmed MS (2015) Removal of lead from battery effluent by electrocoagulation. J Bangladesh Acad Sci 39(2):125–134
- Rao PR, Bhargavi C (2013) Studies on biosorption of heavy metals using pretreated biomass of fungal species. Int J Chem Chem Eng 3(3):171–180

- Rastogi S, Kumar J, Kumar R (2019) An investigation into the efficacy of fungal biomass as a low cost bioadsorbent for removal of lead from aqueous solution. IRJET 6(3):7144–7149
- Raut PA, Chahande A, Moharkar Y (2015) Various techniques for removal of Cr and Pb from wastewater: a review. Int J Emerging Trends Eng Basic Sci 2(2):64–67
- Reddad Z, Gerente C, Andres Y, Le Cloirec P (2002) Adsorption of several metal ions onto a low cost biosorbent: kinetic and equilibrium studies. Env Sci Technol 36(9):2067–2073
- Redha AA (2020) Removal of heavy metals from aqueous media by biosorption. Arab J Basic Appl Sci 27(1):183–193
- Regine HSF, Volesky B (2000) Biosorption: a solution to pollution. Int Microbiol 3:17-24
- Saeed A, Iqbal M, Akhtar MW (2005) Removal and recovery of lead(II) from single and multimetal (Cd, Cu, Ni, Zn) solutions by crop milling waste (black gram husk). J Hazard Mater 117(1):65–73 Sekhar KC, Kamala CT, Chary NS, Anjaneyulu Y (2003) Removal of heavy metals using a plant
- biomass with reference to environmental control. Int J Miner Process 68:37–45
- Shartooh SM (2012) Comparative study on the biological treatment of some heavy metals in industrial wastewater of State Electrical Manufacturing Company in Al-Waziriya/Baghdad- Iraq. Ph.D. thesis, University of Baghdad, College of Science
- Shartooh SM, Gabur HS, Mahmood OS (2014) The removal of lead, copper and zinc from industrial wastewater using grape fruit peels. Raf J Sci 25(2):22–31
- Sheba MC, Nandini GKM (2016) Heavy metal lead removal by biosorption—a review. Int J Eng Res Technol (IJERT) 5(11):519–524
- Siddiquee S, Rovina K, Al Azad S, Naher L, Suryani S, Chaikaer P (2015) Heavy metal contaminants removal from wastewater using the potential filamentous fungi biomass: a review. J Microb Biochem Technol 7(6):384–393
- Singha B, Das SK (2015) Removal of Pb (II) ions from aqueous solution and industrial. Int J Epidemiol Res 2(2):105–109, 109
- Sonali R, Dhokpande SR, Kaware JP (2013) Biological methods for heavy metal removal: a review. Int J Eng Sci Innov Technol 2(5):304–309
- Sun G, Shi W (1998) Sunflower stalks as adsorbents for the removal on metal ions from wastewater. Ind Eng Chem Res 37:1324–1328
- Tarekegn MM, Salilih FZ, Ishetu AI, Yildiz F (2020) Microbes used as a tool for bioremediation of heavy metal from the environment. Cogent Food Agric 6(1)
- Thi Pham VH, Kim J, Chang S, Chung S (2022) Review bacterial biosorbents, an efficient heavy metals green clean-up strategy: prospects, challenges, and opportunities. Microorganisms 10:610
- Trakal P, Sigut R, Sillerova H, Faturikova D, Komarek M (2014) Copper removal from aqueous solution using biochar: effect of chemical activation. Arab J Chem 7(1):43–52
- Tunali S, Akar T, Özcan AS, Kiran I, Özcan A (2006) Equilibrium and kinetics of biosorption of lead(II) from aqueous solutions by *Cephalosporium aphidicola*. Sep Purif Technol 47:105–112
- Varghese R, Krishna MP, Arun Babu V, Mohamed Hatha AA (2012) Biological removal of lead by *Bacillus sp.* obtained from metal contaminated industrial area. J Microbiol Biotechnol Food Sci 2(2):756–770
- Villegas LC, Llamado AL, Catsao KV, Raymundo AK (2018) Removal of heavy metals from aqueous solution by biofilm-forming bacteria isolated from mined-out soil in Mogpog, Marinduque, Philippines. Philippine Sci Lett 11:18–27
- Volesky B (1994) Advances in biosorption of metals: selection of biomass types. FEMS Microbiol Rev 14:291–302
- Volesky B, Holan ZR (1995) Biosorption of heavy metals. Biotechnol Progr 11:235-250
- Wang XS, Qin Y (2005) Study on equilibrium sorption isotherm for metal ion of Cu<sup>2+</sup> on rice bran. Process Biochem 40(2):677–680
- Wendt JOL, Lee SJ (2010) High-temperature sorbents for Hg, Cd, Pb, and other trace metals: mechanisms and applications. Fuel 89(4):894–903
- World Health Organization (2011) Lead in drinking water. Report No. WHO/SDE/WSH/03.04/09/ Rev/1, World Health Organization, Geneva

- Yang X, Xu G, Yu H (2019) Removal of lead from aqueous solutions by ferric activated sludge-based adsorbent derived from biological sludge. Arab J Chem 12:4142–4149
- Yarkandi NH (2014) Removal of lead (II) from waste water by adsorption. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci 3(4):207–228
- Zhang L, Lin X, Wang J, Jiang F, Wei L, Chen G, Hao X (2016) Effects of lead and mercury on sulphate-reducing bacterial activity in a biological process for flue gas desulfurization wastewater treatment. Sci Rep 6:30455. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep30455
- Zhang Y et al (2021) Insight into the efficient co-removal of Cr (VI) and Cr(III) by positively charged UiO-66-NH<sub>2</sub> decorated ultrafiltration membrane. Chem Eng J 404:126546