Chapter 8 Assessing Biomarkers in Viral Infection

Elizabeth S. Mayne, Jaya A. George, and Susan Louw

Abstract Current biomarkers to assess the risk of complications of both acute and chronic viral infection are suboptimal. Prevalent viral infections like human immunodefciency virus (HIV), hepatitis B and C virus, herpes viruses, and, more recently, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) may be associated with signifcant sequelae including the risk of cardiovascular disease, other endorgan diseases, and malignancies. This review considers some biomarkers which have been investigated in diagnosis and prognosis of key viral infections including infammatory cytokines, markers of endothelial dysfunction and activation and coagulation, and the role that more conventional diagnostic markers, such as C-reactive protein and procalcitonin, can play in predicting these secondary complications, as markers of severity and to distinguish viral and bacterial infection. Although many of these are still only available in the research setting, these markers show promise for incorporation in diagnostic algorithms which may assist to predict adverse outcomes and to guide therapy.

Keywords Biomarker · Viral infection · C-reactive peptide · Procalcitonin · Infammation · Coagulation · SARS-CoV-2

J. A. George

© The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023 P. C. Guest (ed.), *Application of Omic Techniques to Identify New Biomarkers and Drug Targets for COVID-19*, Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology 1412, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-28012-2_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-28012-2_8#DOI)

E. S. Mayne (\boxtimes)

Division of Immunology, Department of Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town and National Health Laboratory Service, Cape Town, South Africa e-mail: elizabeth.mayne@nhls.ac.za

National Health Laboratory Service and Wits Diagnostic Innovation Hub, University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

S. Louw

Department of Molecular Medicine and Haematology, School of Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand and National Health Laboratory Service, Johannesburg, South Africa

1 Introduction

Chronic viral infections are associated with immune system activation and infammation which may be responsible for a number of non-infectious disease complications. These can include the development of autoimmune manifestations including cytopenias, malignancy, and cardiovascular disease (CVD) [[1,](#page-9-0) [2\]](#page-9-1). Recently, there has been increasing interest in predicting adverse outcomes from these infections resulting in the identifcation of biomarkers which may indicate the development of chronicity and assist with treatment decisions. With the most recent severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic, infection in some patients was prolonged resulting in the development of syndromes including long-COVID-19 (also known as post-COVID-19) and multisystem infammatory disorder of childhood (MISC-C) [[3,](#page-9-2) [4\]](#page-9-3). Infammatory markers including interleukin-6 (IL-6), and more conventional markers like C-reactive protein (CRP) and procalcitonin (PCT) [[5,](#page-9-4) [6](#page-9-5)], were offered as a component of the laboratory management of these patients although the interpretation of the results was not always straightforward. CRP and PCT are used routinely in severely ill patients, but a number of other infammatory biomarkers, including endothelial markers and other cytokines, are not offered routinely. In some cases, infammatory biomarkers have not been fully evaluated as prognostic markers although they are available as routine tests. D-dimers (or additional fbrin-degradation products) are a measure of fbrinolysis and are increased with bleeding and clotting [[7\]](#page-9-6), but this test has more recently been utilized to assess prognosis in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infections, independently of overt underlying coagulopathy or thrombosis [\[8](#page-9-7)]. The timing of sample collection, assay type, and the number of repeat analyses are poorly standardized, and this may reduce the utility of these markers in the clinical setting [[6,](#page-9-5) [9\]](#page-9-8). Diagnostic and management guidelines have been issued by scientifc bodies although these do not fully cover all clinical scenarios [\[10](#page-9-9)[–12](#page-9-10)].

This review will focus on some chronic viral test cases including human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection, hepatitis B and C virus (HBV and HCV) infection, selected human herpes viruses, Kaposi-sarcoma herpesvirus (KSHV), and Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), as well as SARS-CoV-2.

2 Infammatory Cytokines in Viral Infections

Cytokines are small protein molecules which are released by both immune effector cells and non-immune cells and which act to regulate immune function [\[13](#page-9-11), [14](#page-9-12)]. A comprehensive discussion of all cytokines is outside the scope of this review, but recently, 3 cytokines, interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-1, and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) have been an area of focus in viral disease. These pleiotropic cytokines are the chief regulators of multiple infammatory pathways [[13,](#page-9-11) [15–](#page-9-13)[17\]](#page-9-14).

IL-6, TNF-α, and IL-1α are secreted by multiple cells including non-immune cells like epithelial and endothelial cells and some leukocytes [\[15](#page-9-13), [18,](#page-9-15) [19\]](#page-9-16). IL-1β production is more restricted to leukocytes (primarily myeloid cells) [\[15](#page-9-13)]. Production of these cytokines is upregulated in response to innate immune system activation through the binding of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) to highly conserved pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) [[13\]](#page-9-11). An important mediator of secretion of IL-1β specifically is the inflammasome, a complex of proteins containing PRRs, which recognize specifc microbial patterns including the nucleotide oligomerization domain, leucine-rich repeat receptors (NLRs). The nitrogen permease regulator-like 3 (NLRP3) infammasome activates caspase 1 which cleaves pro-IL1 into active components, IL-18 and IL-1β [[20,](#page-9-17) [21](#page-9-18)]. TNF-α production is upregulated in response to IL-1β and toll-like receptor (TLR) activation through upregulation of TNF- α gene transcription. TNF- α is converted to a soluble form by the metalloproteinase TNF- α converting enzyme (TACE) [[15\]](#page-9-13). Levels of IL-6, the principal member of the IL-6 family of cytokines, are low in healthy individuals but rise rapidly with infammation [\[17](#page-9-14)]. IL-6 gene transcription is upregulated by nuclear factor kappa B (NF_{KB}), nuclear factor IL-6 (NF-IL-6), and activation protein-1 among other pro-infammatory signaling pathways, typically in response to PAMPs or danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) [[18\]](#page-9-15). Further secretion is stimulated by the action of the IL-6 amplifer which also positively infuences secretion of other pro-infammatory cytokines [\[18](#page-9-15)]. Elevated cytokine levels in chronic viral infections are attributed to a number of stimuli. In HIV infection, chronic activation has been linked to ongoing low-grade viral replication, presence of opportunistic infections, and microbial translocation [\[22](#page-10-0)]. Both EBV and KSHV promote infammatory gene transcription, and KSHV produces viral cytokine homologs including viral IL-6 [[23\]](#page-10-1).

IL-1β, TNF- α , and IL-6 are crucial to pro-inflammatory responses [[15,](#page-9-13) [18](#page-9-15), [19\]](#page-9-16). All three are associated with monocyte and neutrophil recruitment and activation, dendritic cell maturation, increased endothelial permeability, fever, and pain. In response to these cytokines, there is release of acute phase proteins and hepcidin from the liver [[24\]](#page-10-2). IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF- α (sometimes also classed as sT-helper 1 cytokines) promote a pro-infammatory T-cell response and inhibit regulatory T-cell differentiation [[25\]](#page-10-3). IL-6 specifically stimulates Th17 T-cell differentiation, in conjugation with transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β). It also has a non-redundant function in plasma cell differentiation and antibody secretion. IL-6 hypersecretion is also associated with increased platelet production and bone remodeling [[17\]](#page-9-14). IL-1β favors Th17 differentiation in response to increased IL-6 levels by suppressing suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 (SOCS3) [\[15](#page-9-13)]. The IL-1 receptors are common entry sites for microorganisms, and expression and activity are therefore tightly regulated by mechanisms involving decoy receptors and proteolytic degradation [\[15](#page-9-13)]. As pro-inflammatory cytokines, IL-1 β , TNF- α , and IL-6 promote an important antiviral and antibacterial response. However, under chronic infection and infammation conditions, cytokine levels remain elevated, and this can become pathogenic [\[13](#page-9-11), [16\]](#page-9-19). Therefore, these cytokines can have both benefcial and detrimental effects in viral infections [\[5](#page-9-4), [6](#page-9-5), [13](#page-9-11), [26](#page-10-4)[–61](#page-12-0)] (Table [8.1](#page-3-0)).

	Interleukin 1β (IL-1 β)	Interleukin- 6 (IL- 6)	Tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α)
Hepatitis B (HB) virus $[13, 26-29]$	Downregulation of secretion by HBe Antigen(Ag) and upregulation by HBcAg; increased levels associated with viral replication and disease complications including cirrhosis and HCC	Elevated levels inhibit viral entry and transcription; ongoing hypersecretion predicts mortality in acute on chronic liver failure and contributes to development of HCC through activation of the STAT3 pathway	Inhibition is associated with HBV reactivation; increased production also associated with liver fibrosis. hepatocyte apoptosis and pyroptosis
Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) $[30 - 32]$	Augmentation of NLRP3 and IL-1B gene expression culminating in activation of the inflammasome in dendritic and related monocyte lineage cells with IL-1 β hypersecretion	Elevated levels associated with lower CD4+ T-cell count and higher HIV viral load; strongly predictive of all-cause mortality and specifically HIV- associated CVD and non-AIDS defining malignancies	Increased secretion primarily by macrophages through action of viral proteins nef, tat and gp120; causes bystander immune cell apoptosis; elevated levels associated with increased mortality and disease progression
Hepatitis $C(HC)$ virus $[28, 33]$	Upregulated in response to hypoxia during chronic inflammation; activates production of membrane metalloproteinase 9 with subsequent fibrosis; also linked to HCC and stimulation of an epithelial-mesenchymal transition	IL-6 polymorphisms linked to poorer outcomes with chronic HCV infection; may stimulate tumorigenesis through action on JAK-STAT pathway	Inhibition not conclusively linked to reactivation; putative role in hepatic fibrosis and hepatocyte pyroptosis
Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) [32, 34–41]	Upregulated in response to viral proteins including LMP-1 although other viral proteins may inhibit secretion of IL-1 and downregulate its cognate receptors; increases are associated with pyroptosis but also with increased development of nasopharyngeal carcinoma and angiopathy in chronic infection; associated with development of chronic EBV disease and with HLH	Elevation predicts mortality in primary effusion lymphoma; biomarker for development of HL; independently associated with mortality in HL; Viral IL-6 associated with B-cell immortalization and hyperproliferation; prognostic marker and possible therapeutic target in EBV- associated HLH	High levels associated with elevation of early lytic proteins, including LMP-1, resulting in B-cell proliferation; elevated levels independently associated with EBV associated chronic fatigue syndrome and HLH

Table 8.1 Secretion and effects of infammatory cytokines in selected viral infections

(continued)

			Tumor necrosis		
	Interleukin 1β (IL-1 β)	Interleukin- 6 (IL- 6)	factor- α (TNF- α)		
Kaposi-sarcoma	IL-1α and/or IL1-β	Increased levels	Upregulated levels in		
herpesvirus	increased in response to	predictive of	response to KSHV		
$(KSHV)$ [42–46]	$vOX2$ glycoprotein b and	development of	glycoprotein b		
	other viral proteins;	KSHV-associated	although other factors		
	stimulates angiogenesis	malignancies including	may inhibit secretion;		
	and abnormal cell	primary effusion	elevated levels		
	proliferation and	lymphoma, KS and	associated with viral		
	upregulates PD-1L to	multicentric	reactivation, KS and		
	effect tumor cell escape;	Castleman's disease;	B-cell		
	increased levels	upregulates growth	lymphomagenesis;		
	associated with	factors including	elevated levels may		
	tumorigenesis in KS,	Vascular Endothelial	also be associated with		
	primary effusion	Growth Factor; high	decreased viral load		
	lymphoma and	levels associated with			
	multicentric Castleman's	KSHV-associated			
	disease	cytokine syndrome			
$SARS-CoV-2$ [5,	Levels of IL-1 β , IL-6 and TNF- α are all raised in SARS-CoV-2 disease				
$6,47-61$	and have been predictive of severity, mortality and disease complications				
	including neurological disease, severe viral pneumonia and development				
	of lung fibrosis, multisystem inflammatory disorder of children, SARS-				
	CoV-2 associated HSH and long COVID-19 syndrome; SARS-CoV2				
	cytokine release syndrome has been targeted with immunotherapies				

Table 8.1 (continued)

HCC hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC); *STAT3* signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; *NLPR3* nitrogen permease regulator-like 3; *CVD* cardiovascular disease; *nef* negative factor; *tat* transactivator of transcription; *GP* glycoprotein; *JAK-STAT* Janus kinase-signal transducer and activator of transcription; *LMP-1* latent membrane protein 1; *HLH* hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis; *PD-L1* programmed cell death Ligand-1; *KS* Kaposi sarcoma

3 Coagulation as a Biomarker of Viral Infection

Coagulation is a component of an innate immune response, and a procoagulant state is a feature of dysregulated infammation [[62\]](#page-12-1). Cardiovascular events including venous thromboembolic disease, myocardial infarction, cerebrovascular accidents, and thrombotic microangiopathies are a cause of virus-related morbidity and mortality [[62\]](#page-12-1). Biomarkers may assess endothelial cell activation or clot formation or breakdown [[7,](#page-9-6) [63\]](#page-12-2). Classically, disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) is a complication of severe sepsis and has been associated both with primary viral infection as a trigger and also with secondary conditions specifcally cancer and bacterial or viral superinfection [[64\]](#page-12-3).

Both humoral and cellular effectors of coagulation have prognostic value in severe viral disease [[14,](#page-9-12) [65](#page-12-4), [66](#page-12-5)]. Thrombocytopenia is a key feature of ongoing microvascular thrombosis and chronic infammation which can result in dysmegakaryopoiesis [[67\]](#page-12-6). In addition, immune-mediated platelet destruction is associated with multiple viral diseases including hepatitis C [\[33](#page-10-9)], HIV [\[68](#page-12-7)], SARS-CoV-2

[\[69](#page-12-8)], and the herpes viruses [\[70](#page-12-9)]. On the other hand, platelet sequestration is associated with hypersplenism, which may complicate liver disease or may be a direct result of infection [[71\]](#page-12-10). Increased platelet numbers may also be present specifcally in response to elevated IL-6 [\[18](#page-9-15)]. Platelet activation is increased by multiple infammatory mediators including the lipid mediators of infammation contributing to pathological thrombosis [\[65](#page-12-4)].

Leukocytes can also contribute to infection-related thrombosis by interacting with both platelets and the endothelial surface. In HIV, there is upregulation of leukocyte expression of tissue factor which can activate factor VII stimulating the coagulation cascade [[72\]](#page-12-11). Both platelets and monocytes upregulate expression of adhesion markers like P-selectin and its cognate ligand, P-selectin glycoprotein ligand [\[73](#page-12-12)]. Measurement of these markers, by immunophenotyping, can be an important adjunct in assessing risk and has been shown to correlate with CVD development and with other markers of viral severity [\[62](#page-12-1)]. Neutrophils, under infammatory conditions, release neutrophil extravasation traps which also contribute to immunothrombosis by activating platelets and physically blocking the vascular lumen [[74\]](#page-12-13).

Chronic infammation activates endothelial cells to a procoagulant and proinfammatory phenotype [\[62](#page-12-1)]. Endothelial dysfunction, a state of dysregulated contractility and endothelial cell activation, contributes to the development of CVD. Surrogate markers of endothelial dysfunction include the release of endothelial cell adhesion markers like intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) and vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) and the procoagulant factors, factor VIII, and von Willebrand factor [\[62](#page-12-1)]. These factors can be pathogenic in thrombosis and have predictive value in critically ill patients.

Independent from CVD risk, coagulation system activation can predict severity in other complications of viral infection. For example, increased levels of ICAM-1 were found to be predictive of development of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in chronic HBV and HCV infection [[27\]](#page-10-11), as well as decompensating cirrhosis [[75\]](#page-12-14). Elevated levels of D-dimers are a strong predictor of mortality in HIV and specifcally for CVD-related complications [[76–](#page-12-15)[78\]](#page-12-16), and more recently, D-dimers have been used to prognosticate in severe SARS-CoV-2 infection [[79\]](#page-12-17). Importantly, D-dimers show high negative predictive value in patients with suspected venous thromboembolic disease, and longitudinal measurement may indicate treatment adherence and clinical improvement [[7\]](#page-9-6).

4 Traditional Biomarkers of Severe Viral Disease

It can be diffcult to distinguish bacterial from viral infections especially in the lower respiratory tract. Untreated bacterial infections can result in serious complications, while the use of antibiotics in infammation or viral infections leads to the development of antibiotic resistance, increased costs, and possible unwanted side effects [[80\]](#page-13-0). The most accurate way to diagnose these infections is by culture in the

case of bacterial infections, or serology for antibodies or antigens, or molecular tests. Culture results and ancillary test results are generally not available immediately, and there is a need for alternative approaches. Both CRP and PCT concentrations have been used to initiate and monitor the antibiotic use for lower respiratory tract infections [\[81](#page-13-1)].

These biomarkers also are elevated in people with infammation resulting from causes other than infections such as trauma, autoimmune diseases, and metabolic disease [[82\]](#page-13-2). Early studies during the COVID-19 pandemic suggested that these may be used as markers of disease severity.

4.1 CRP

CRP is an acute infammatory protein discovered in 1930 by Tillet and Francis, while investigating the effects of sera of patients with pneumococcal pneumonia [\[83](#page-13-3)]. CRP binds to polysaccharides on microorganisms and activates C1q of the classical complement pathway [[84\]](#page-13-4). CRP is synthesized primarily in hepatocytes, but is also produced in adipocytes, endothelial cells, lymphocytes, macrophages, and smooth muscle cells [\[85](#page-13-5)[–87](#page-13-6)]. CRP is found in two forms: a pentameric form which can then dissociate to form monomers. These two forms of CRP play distinct roles in the infammatory process [\[88](#page-13-7)]. Monomeric CRP is involved in the innate immune system by activation of the complement cascade and stimulation of both angiogenesis and thrombosis, whereas pentameric CRP is mostly released to the circulation after an infammatory stimulus and recognizes phosphocholine on bacterial cells and damaged host cells [\[89](#page-13-8)].

CRP triggers C1q activation in the complement pathway leading to the opsonization of pathogens. It can also stimulate cell-mediated pathways via complement activation and by binding Fc receptors of IgG [[90\]](#page-13-9). CRP increases within 4–6 h, in response to injury, infection, and infammation, and peaks at about 36 h. In general infammation, CRP levels can rise beyond 10 mg/L [\[89](#page-13-8)]. Lower concentrations of CRP, in the range of 0.01 to $\langle 10 \text{ mg/L}$ (high sensitivity CRP or hsCRP), are associated with low grades of systemic infammation. Low grade systemic infammation is associated with elevated hsCRP levels, and use of this biomarker to detect atherosclerotic vascular disease has been intensely investigated through observational studies and clinical trials over the past two decades. On the basis of evidence that has accrued, hsCRP measurement has been integrated into the Reynolds risk scoring system to predict cardiovascular risk [\[91](#page-13-10)]. It is used at concentrations of <1 mg/L, 1–3 mg/L, and >3 mg/L to classify individuals as low, intermediate, or high risk for CVD, respectively [[24\]](#page-10-2).

Sequential CRP levels are a sensitive and specifc biomarker to improve the differential diagnosis between acute bacterial and viral infections, although this may be less accurate in severe viral disease cases and with prolonged infammation [[92\]](#page-13-11). CRP is raised in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 [\[93](#page-13-12), [94](#page-13-13)] and can predict mortality [[49,](#page-11-4) [95\]](#page-13-14) especially in patients aged 60 years and older [\[96](#page-13-15)]. CRP levels show a downward trend in survivors and tend to increase prior to death in non-survivors [\[97](#page-13-16)]. CRP kinetics in SARS-CoV-2-infected patients admitted to intensive care units were similar to those seen in bacterial sepsis with an initial rise followed by a decline during recovery, although levels are typically higher in patients with bacterial sepsis compared to patients with severe COVID-19 disease [[98\]](#page-13-17). Mortality in patients with SARS-CoV-2 is higher in patients with comorbidities such as type II diabetes mellitus and preexisting CVD [[99\]](#page-13-18). SARS-CoV-2 infection itself can cause cardiovascular damage and impaired glucose control. While biomarkers such as high sensitivity Troponin and pro brain natriuretic peptide (proBNP) are better markers of CVD, CRP is also elevated signifying the underlying infammatory process [\[100](#page-14-0)]. CRP measurement can be an important ancillary test in these patients as it may directly damage cardiac tissue by activating complement, reducing nitric oxide (NO) release and CRP-mediated inhibition of angiogenesis, and stimulating endothelial cell apoptosis [\[101](#page-14-1)].

Elevated CRP levels have been associated with poorer outcomes in other viral infections such as SARS-related pneumonia, Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) infection, and H7N9 infuenza. High levels of CRP were consistently seen with severe disease outcomes in H1N1 influenza patients [\[102](#page-14-2)[–105](#page-14-3)]. Elevated CRP is also predictive of mortality in HIV particularly from CVD, and the levels of this biomarker are further elevated in patients with co-infection with other viruses like HCV [[106\]](#page-14-4). The IL-6 expressed by KSHV also stimulates CRP secretion, and high CRP levels are a feature of a cytokine storm in a number of different viral diseases [\[14](#page-9-12)]. Taken together, these fndings indicate that CRP is elevated in several viral infections and, therefore, cannot be used to differentiate between them.

4.2 PCT

PCT is a glycoprotein precursor of calcitonin released by the thyroid parafollicular cells. In healthy subjects, calcitonin is released, but in the presence of an infammatory stimulus, particularly bacterial endotoxin or pro-infammatory cytokines, there is increased calcitonin gene expression, and PCT mRNA is synthesized. This leads to release of PCT from all parenchymal tissues. PCT is a useful biomarker to differentiate between bacterial and viral infections as a concentration \geq 0.5 μg/L is suggestive of a possible bacterial infection [[107](#page-14-5)]. PCT may be used in the early diagnosis of bacterial pneumonias and to guide initiation of antibiotic therapy [[108](#page-14-6)].

Although relatively specifc for bacterial infections, serum PCT levels also correlate with disease severity and thus cannot reliably distinguish between bacterial and nonbacterial infections in the setting of critical illness, particularly in cases of severe infuenza and SARS-CoV-2 infection [[6,](#page-9-5) [52\]](#page-11-5). However, the value of PCT as a prognostic marker in SARS-CoV-2 is unclear. Meta-analyses have shown that those patients with severe disease had higher PCT levels compared to those with

non-severe disease [[6,](#page-9-5) [109](#page-14-7)], although this was inconsistent with some studies failing to fnd a signifcant difference [[51\]](#page-11-6). The reasons for these discrepancies may be attributed to variable cut-offs, patient ages, or other factors impacting PCT release. PCT release is inhibited by interferon (INF)-γ, for example, and levels of this cytokine may differ in different patient populations or with different administered therapies. Since INF-γ is a key antiviral cytokine, this could explain the differences in PCT level in viral and bacterial infection [\[110](#page-14-8)]. However, all three pro-infammatory cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α) stimulate parenchymal PCT production. PCT levels are typically normal in uncomplicated viral infections [[111\]](#page-14-9) but may rise with severe complications including, for example, the development of hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH) [[9\]](#page-9-8) or the development of secondary bacterial infection in patients with severe viral disease including H1N1 infuenza [\[112](#page-14-10)]. In general, however, PCT appears to be a more specifc marker of bacterial sepsis than CRP, albeit with some limitations. This has prompted a search for more specifc markers or combinations of markers that can be used reliably to differentiate bacterial and viral infections.

One potential biomarker for distinguishing between bacterial and viral infections is myxovirus resistance protein A (MxA), an IFN-inducible protein with antiviral activity. MxA has been investigated for use as a biomarker because of its rapid induction in acute, symptomatic viral infections and low levels in bacterial infections and in healthy individuals [[113–](#page-14-11)[115\]](#page-14-12). Clinical studies, mostly involving children, suggest that MxA is selectively increased in viral infections and have the potential to rapidly distinguish viral and bacterial disease [\[116](#page-14-13), [117](#page-14-14)]. It has been used in the emergency department setting to distinguish SARS-CoV-2 from bacterial and non-infectious causes of respiratory disease [[118\]](#page-14-15).

5 Conclusions and Future Perspectives

Viral infections cause signifcant morbidity and mortality. Host- and virus-specifc factors can determine patient outcomes in both acute and chronic infection although these outcomes cannot always be predicted in clinical settings with the current biomarkers available, as demonstrated during the COVID-19 pandemic. In this review, we considered some of the biomarkers that are used in the clinical setting and in research to monitor viral infections. These biomarkers may predict the development of end-organ diseases including CVD and malignancies and contribute to acute viral immune escape or control, or they may indicate severe complications including HLH and cytokine release syndromes. Combinations of these markers can also help to distinguish between bacterial and viral infection which is critical for effective antimicrobial stewardship. Into the future, standardization of biomarker panels, validation of new markers, and appropriate age-specifc, disease-specifc reference ranges will assist to make these biomarkers more clinically relevant.

References

- 1. Smit M, van Zoest RA, Nichols BE, et al. (2018) Cardiovascular Disease Prevention Policy in Human Immunodefciency Virus: Recommendations From a Modeling Study. Clin Infect Dis 66(5):743–50
- 2. McLane LM, Abdel-Hakeem MS, Wherry EJ (2019) CD8 T Cell Exhaustion During Chronic Viral Infection and Cancer. Annu Rev Immunol 37:457–495
- 3. Kumar D, Rostad CA, Jaggi P, et al (2022) Distinguishing immune activation and infammatory signatures of multisystem infammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C) versus hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH). J Allergy Clin Immunol 149(5):1592–606.e16
- 4. Diorio C, Henrickson SE, Vella LA, et al (2020) Multisystem infammatory syndrome in children and COVID-19 are distinct presentations of SARS-CoV-2. J Clin Invest 130(11):5967–5975
- 5. He F, Quan Y, Lei M, et al (2020) Clinical features and risk factors for ICU admission in COVID-19 patients with cardiovascular diseases. Aging Dis 11(4):763–769
- 6. Bao J, Li C, Zhang K, et al (2020) Comparative analysis of laboratory indexes of severe and non-severe patients infected with COVID-19. Clin Chim Acta 509:180–194
- 7. Johnson ED, Schell JC, Rodgers GM (2019) The D-dimer assay. Am J Hematol 94(7):833–839
- 8. Henry BM, de Oliveira MHS, Benoit S, et al (2020) Hematologic, biochemical and immune biomarker abnormalities associated with severe illness and mortality in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a meta-analysis. Clin Chem Lab Med 58(7):1021–1028
- 9. Li Z, He L, Li S, et al (2019) Combination of procalcitonin and C-reactive protein levels in the early diagnosis of bacterial co-infections in children with H1N1 infuenza. Infuenza Other Respir Viruses 13(2):184–190
- 10. Thachil J, Tang N, Gando S, et al (2020) ISTH interim guidance on recognition and management of coagulopathy in COVID-19. J Thromb Haemost 18(5):1023–1026
- 11. Iba T, Umemura Y, Wada H, Levy JH (2021) Roles of Coagulation Abnormalities and Microthrombosis in Sepsis: Pathophysiology, Diagnosis, and Treatment. Arch Med Res 52(8):788–797
- 12. Wada H, Matsumoto T, Yamashita Y (2014) Diagnosis and treatment of disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) according to four DIC guidelines. J Intensive Care 2(1):15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2052-0492-2-15>
- 13. Yuan S, Jiang SC, Zhang ZW, et al (2021) Quantifcation of Cytokine Storms During Virus Infections. Front Immunol 12:659419. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmmu.2021.659419](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.659419)
- 14. Chousterman BG, Swirski FK, Weber GF (2017) Cytokine storm and sepsis disease pathogenesis. Semin Immunopathol 39(5):517–528
- 15. Matarazzo L, Hernandez Santana YE, Walsh PT, Fallon PG (2022) The IL-1 cytokine family as custodians of barrier immunity. Cytokine 154:155890. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cyto.2022.155890) [cyto.2022.155890](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cyto.2022.155890)
- 16. Rezk MF, Pieper B (2020) Unlocking the Value of Anti-TNF Biosimilars: Reducing Disease Burden and Improving Outcomes in Chronic Immune-Mediated Infammatory Diseases: A Narrative Review. Adv Ther 37(9):3732–3745
- 17. Kang S, Narazaki M, Metwally H, Kishimoto T (2020) Historical overview of the interleukin-6 family cytokine. J Exp Med 217(5).<https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20190347>
- 18. Rose-John S. Interleukin-6 Family Cytokines. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2018;10(2). <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a028415>
- 19. Kalliolias GD, Ivashkiv LB (2016) TNF biology, pathogenic mechanisms and emerging therapeutic strategies. Nat Rev Rheumatol 12(1):49–46
- 20. Pretre V, Papadopoulos D, Regard J, Pelletier M, Woo J. Interleukin-1 (IL-1) and the infammasome in cancer. Cytokine. 2022;153:155850. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cyto.2022.155850>
- 21. Paniri A, Akhavan-Niaki H (2020) Emerging role of IL-6 and NLRP3 infammasome as potential therapeutic targets to combat COVID-19: Role of lncRNAs in cytokine storm modulation. Life Sci 257:118114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2020.118114>

8 Viral Infection Biomarkers

- 22. Mayne ES, George JA (2017) Mortal allies: human immunodefciency virus and noncommunicable diseases. Curr Opin HIV AIDS 12(2):148–156
- 23. Morris VA, Punjabi AS, Wells RC, et al (2012) The KSHV viral IL-6 homolog is suffcient to induce blood to lymphatic endothelial cell differentiation. Virology 428(2):112–120
- 24. Roberts WL, CDC, AHA (2004) CDC/AHA Workshop on Markers of Infammation and Cardiovascular Disease: Application to Clinical and Public Health Practice: laboratory tests available to assess infammation--performance and standardization: a background paper. Circulation 110(25):e572–576
- 25. Van Den Eeckhout B, Tavernier J, Gerlo S (2020) Interleukin-1 as Innate Mediator of T Cell Immunity. Front Immunol. 2020;11:621931. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmmu.2020.621931](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.621931)
- 26. Wu ZB, Zheng YB, Wang Ket al (2021) Plasma Interleukin-6 Level: A Potential Prognostic Indicator of Emergent HBV-Associated ACLF. Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021:5545181. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5545181>
- 27. Koshiol J, Argirion I, Liu Z, et al (2021) Immunologic markers and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatitis B virus- and hepatitis C virus-infected individuals. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 54(6):833–842
- 28. Barbier L, Ferhat M, Salame E, et al (2019) Interleukin-1 Family Cytokines: Keystones in Liver Infammatory Diseases. Front Immunol 10:2014. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmmu.2019.02014](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.02014)
- 29. Wekesa C, Kirk GD, Aizire J, et al (2020) Prevalence and Factors Associated With Liver Fibrosis Among Adult HIV-Infected Patients Attending Urban and Rural Care Clinics in Uganda. Open Forum Infect Dis 7(11):ofaa483. [https://doi.org/10.1093/ofd/ofaa483](https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofaa483)
- 30. Borges AH, O'Connor JL, Phillips AN, et al (2016) Interleukin 6 Is a Stronger Predictor of Clinical Events Than High-Sensitivity C-Reactive Protein or D-Dimer During HIV Infection. J Infect Dis 214(3):408–416
- 31. Borges AH, O'Connor JL, Phillips AN, et al (2015) Factors Associated With Plasma IL-6 Levels During HIV Infection. J Infect Dis 212(4):585–595
- 32. Fazal F, Gupta N, Mittal A, Ray A (2020) Haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis in human immunodefciency virus: a systematic review of literature. Drug Discov Ther 14(5):226–231
- 33. Lokau J, Schoeder V, Haybaeck J, Garbers C (2019) Jak-Stat Signaling Induced by Interleukin-6 Family Cytokines in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Cancers (Basel) 11(11):1704. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11111704>
- 34. Looi CK, Hii LW, Chung FF, et al (2021) Roles of Infammasomes in Epstein-Barr Virus-Associated Nasopharyngeal Cancer. Cancers (Basel) 13(8). [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13081786) [cancers13081786](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13081786)
- 35. Ohashi A, Uemura Y, Yoshimori M, et al (2022) The Plasma Level of Interleukin-1beta Can Be a Biomarker of Angiopathy in Systemic Chronic Active Epstein-Barr Virus Infection. Front Microbiol 13:874998.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.874998>
- 36. Lurain K, Polizzotto MN, Aleman K, et al (2019) Viral, immunologic, and clinical features of primary effusion lymphoma. Blood 133(16):1753–1761
- 37. Fevang B, Wyller VBB, Mollnes TE, et al (2021) Lasting Immunological Imprint of Primary Epstein-Barr Virus Infection With Associations to Chronic Low-Grade Infammation and Fatigue. Front Immunol 12:715102. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmmu.2021.715102](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.715102)
- 38. Chen CC, Liu HP, Chao M, et al (2014) NF-kappaB-mediated transcriptional upregulation of TNFAIP2 by the Epstein-Barr virus oncoprotein, LMP1, promotes cell motility in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Oncogene 33(28):3648–3659
- 39. Munz C (2021) The Role of Lytic Infection for Lymphomagenesis of Human gamma-Herpesviruses. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 11:605258. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.605258) [fcimb.2021.605258](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.605258)
- 40. Mehta P, Cron RQ, Hartwell J, et al (2020) Silencing the cytokine storm: the use of intravenous anakinra in haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis or macrophage activation syndrome. Lancet Rheumatol 2(6):e358-e367
- 41. Griffn G, Shenoi S, Hughes GC (2020) Hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis: An update on pathogenesis, diagnosis, and therapy. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 34(4):101515. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.berh.2020.101515) doi.org/10.1016/j.berh.2020.101515
- 42. Sakakibara S, Tosato G (2011) Viral interleukin-6: role in Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus: associated malignancies. J Interferon Cytokine Res 31(11):791–801
- 43. Polizzotto MN, Uldrick TS, Wyvill KM, et al (2016) Clinical Features and Outcomes of Patients With Symptomatic Kaposi Sarcoma Herpesvirus (KSHV)-associated Infammation: Prospective Characterization of KSHV Infammatory Cytokine Syndrome (KICS). Clin Infect Dis 62(6):730–738
- 44. Chen J, Del Valle L, Lin HY, et al (2019) Expression of PD-1 and PD-Ls in Kaposi's sarcoma and regulation by oncogenic herpesvirus lytic reactivation. Virology 536:16–19
- 45. Polizzotto MN, Uldrick TS, Wang V, et al (2013) Human and viral interleukin-6 and other cytokines in Kaposi sarcoma herpesvirus-associated multicentric Castleman disease. Blood 122(26):4189–4198
- 46. Barrett L, Chen J, Dai L, et al (2020) Role of Interleukin-1 Family Members and Signaling Pathways in KSHV Pathogenesis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:587929. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.587929) [org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.587929](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.587929)
- 47. Mittal R, Chourasia N, Bharti VK, et al (2022) Blood-based biomarkers for diagnosis, prognosis, and severity prediction of COVID-19: Opportunities and challenges. J Family Med Prim Care 11(8):4330–4341
- 48. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al (2020) Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet 395(10229):1054–1062
- 49. Ruan Q, Yang K, Wang W, et al (2020) Clinical predictors of mortality due to COVID-19 based on an analysis of data of 150 patients from Wuhan, China. Intensive Care Med 46:846–848
- 50. Spaner C, Goubran M, Setiadi A, Chen LYC (2022) COVID-19, haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis, and infection-induced cytokine storm syndromes. Lancet Infect Dis 22(7):937–938
- 51. Leisman DE, Ronner L, Pinotti R, et al (2020) Cytokine elevation in severe and critical COVID-19: a rapid systematic review, meta-analysis, and comparison with other infammatory syndromes. Lancet Respir Med 8(12):1233–1244
- 52. Mazaheri T, Ranasinghe R, Al-Hasani W, et al (2022) A cytokine panel and procalcitonin in COVID-19, a comparison between intensive care and non-intensive care patients. PLoS One. 2022;17(5):e0266652. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0266652>
- 53. Basheer M, Saad E, Kananeh M, et al (2022) Cytokine Patterns in COVID-19 Patients: Which Cytokines Predict Mortality and Which Protect Against? Curr Issues Mol Biol 44(10):4735–4747
- 54. Queiroz MAF, Neves P, Lima SS, et al (2022) Cytokine Profles Associated With Acute COVID-19 and Long COVID-19 Syndrome. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 12:922422. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.922422) doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.922422
- 55. Hu T, Cho CH (2022) Cytokine Release Syndrome in Pathogenesis and Treatment of COVID-19. Curr Pharm Des 28(22):1779.<https://doi.org/10.2174/138161282822220721121211>
- 56. Frisoni P, Neri M, D'Errico S, et al (2022) Cytokine storm and histopathological fndings in 60 cases of COVID-19-related death: from viral load research to immunohistochemical quantifcation of major players IL-1beta, IL-6, IL-15 and TNF-alpha. Forensic Sci Med Pathol 18(1):4–19
- 57. Tsagkaris C, Bilal M, Aktar I, et al (2022) Cytokine storm and neuropathological alterations in patients with neurological manifestations of COVID-19. Curr Alzheimer Res. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.2174/1567205019666220908084559) [org/10.2174/1567205019666220908084559](https://doi.org/10.2174/1567205019666220908084559)
- 58. Zanza C, Romenskaya T, Manetti AC, et al (2022) Cytokine Storm in COVID-19: Immunopathogenesis and Therapy. Medicina (Kaunas) 58(2):144. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina58020144) [medicina58020144](https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina58020144)
- 59. Kalinina O, Golovkin A, Zaikova E, et al (2022) Cytokine Storm Signature in Patients with Moderate and Severe COVID-19. Int J Mol Sci 23(16):8879. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23168879) [ijms23168879](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23168879)
- 60. Obuchowska A, Standylo A, Obuchowska K, et al (2021) Cytokine Storms in the Course of COVID-19 and Haemophagocytic Lymphohistiocytosis in Pregnant and Postpartum Women. Biomolecules 11(8):1202. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biom11081202>
- 61. George JA, Mayne ES (2021) The Novel Coronavirus and Infammation. Adv Exp Med Biol 1321:127–138
- 62. Mayne ES, Louw S (2019) Good Fences Make Good Neighbors: Human Immunodefciency Virus and Vascular Disease. Open Forum Infect Dis 6(11):ofz303. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofz303) [ofd/ofz303](https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofz303)
- 63. Ince C, Mayeux PR, Nguyen T, et al (2016) The Endothelium in Sepsis. Shock 45(3):259–270
- 64. Mayne ES, Mayne ALH, Louw SJ (2018) Pathogenic factors associated with development of disseminated intravascular coagulopathy (DIC) in a tertiary academic hospital in South Africa. PLoS One 13(4):e0195793. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195793>
- 65. Keragala CB, Draxler DF, McQuilten ZK, Medcalf RL (2018) Haemostasis and innate immunity - a complementary relationship: A review of the intricate relationship between coagulation and complement pathways. Br J Haematol 180(6):782–798
- 66. Zhang L, Yan X, Fan Q, et al (2020) D-dimer levels on admission to predict in-hospital mortality in patients with Covid-19. J Thromb Haemost 18(6):1324–1329
- 67. Knobl P (2018) Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura. Memo 11(3):220–226
- 68. Durandt C, Potgieter JC, Mellet J, et al (2019) HIV and haematopoiesis. S Afr Med J 109(8b):40–45
- 69. Wool GD, Miller JL (2021) The Impact of COVID-19 Disease on Platelets and Coagulation. Pathobiology 88(1):15-27
- 70. Mezger M, Nording H, Sauter R, et al (2019) Platelets and Immune Responses During Thromboinfammation. Front Immunol 10:1731. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmmu.2019.01731](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.01731)
- 71. Peck-Radosavljevic M (2017) Thrombocytopenia in chronic liver disease. Liver Int 37(6):778–793
- 72. Funderburg NT, Mayne E, Sieg SF, et al (2010) Increased tissue factor expression on circulating monocytes in chronic HIV infection: relationship to in vivo coagulation and immune activation. Blood 115(2):161–167
- 73. Mayne E, Funderburg NT, Sieg SF, et al (2012) Increased platelet and microparticle activation in HIV infection: upregulation of P-selectin and tissue factor expression. J Acquir Immune Defc Syndr 59(4):340–346
- 74. Donkel SJ, Wolters FJ, Ikram MA, de Maat MPM (2021) Circulating Myeloperoxidase (MPO)-DNA complexes as marker for Neutrophil Extracellular Traps (NETs) levels and the association with cardiovascular risk factors in the general population. PLoS One 16(8):e0253698.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253698>.
- 75. Zhou J, Mao W, Shen L, Huang H (2019) Plasma D-dimer as a novel biomarker for predicting poor outcomes in HBV-related decompensated cirrhosis. Medicine (Baltimore) 98(52):e18527.<https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000018527>
- 76. O'Bryan TA, Agan BK, Tracy RP, et al (2018) Brief Report: Racial Comparison of D-Dimer Levels in US Male Military Personnel Before and After HIV Infection and Viral Suppression. J Acquir Immune Defc Syndr 77(5):502–506
- 77. Teasdale CA, Hernandez C, Zerbe A, et al (2020) Changes in D-dimer after initiation of antiretroviral therapy in adults living with HIV in Kenya. BMC Infect Dis 20(1):508. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-020-05213-1) [org/10.1186/s12879-020-05213-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-020-05213-1)
- 78. Aranda F, Peres Wingeyer S, de Larranaga G (2016) D-Dimer as a prognostic marker of morbidity and mortality among HIV patients: a call for attention. Infect Dis (Lond) 48(11-12):860–861
- 79. Zhang H, Wu H, Pan D, Shen W (2022) D-dimer levels and characteristics of lymphocyte subsets, cytokine profles in peripheral blood of patients with severe COVID-19: A system-

atic review and meta-analysis. Front Med (Lausanne) 9:988666. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2022.988666) [fmed.2022.988666](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2022.988666)

- 80. Go H, Nagano N, Katayama D, et al (2020) Diagnostic Accuracy of Biomarkers for Early-Onset Neonatal Bacterial Infections: Evaluation of Serum Procalcitonin Reference Curves. Diagnostics (Basel) 10(10):839. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics10100839>
- 81. Cals JW, Butler CC, Hopstaken RM, et al (2009) Effect of point of care testing for C reactive protein and training in communication skills on antibiotic use in lower respiratory tract infections: cluster randomised trial. BMJ 338:b1374. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b1374>
- 82. Devaraj S, Singh U, Jialal I (2009) The evolving role of C-reactive protein in atherothrombosis. Clin Chem 55(2):229–238
- 83. Tillett WS, Francis T (1930) Serological reactions in pneumonia with a non-protein somatic fraction of pneumococcus. J Exp Med 52:561–571
- 84. Volanakis JE (2001) Human C-reactive protein: expression, structure, and function. Mol Immunol 38(2-3):189–197
- 85. Calabro P, Chang DW, Willerson JT, Yeh ET (2005) Release of C-reactive protein in response to infammatory cytokines by human adipocytes: linking obesity to vascular infammation. J Am Coll Cardiol 46(6):1112–1113
- 86. Pasceri V, Willerson JT, Yeh ET (2000) Direct proinfammatory effect of C-reactive protein on human endothelial cells. Circulation 102(18):2165–2168
- 87. Devaraj S, Singh U, Jialal I (2009) The evolving role of C-reactive protein in atherothrombosis. Clin Chem 55(2):229–238
- 88. Khreiss T, Jozsef L, Potempa LA, Filep JG (2004) Opposing effects of C-reactive protein isoforms on shear-induced neutrophil-platelet adhesion and neutrophil aggregation in whole blood. Circulation 110(17):2713–2720
- 89. Black S, Kushner I, Samols D (2004) C-reactive Protein. J Biol Chem 279(47):48487–48490
- 90. Du Clos TW (2000) Function of C-reactive protein. Ann Med 32(4):274–278
- 91. Ridker PM, Buring JE, Rifai N, Cook NR (2007) Development and validation of improved algorithms for the assessment of global cardiovascular risk in women: the Reynolds Risk Score. JAMA 297(6):611–619
- 92. Ding S, Ma J, Song X, et al (2020) Diagnostic Accuracy of Procalcitonin, Neutrophilto-Lymphocyte Ratio, and C-Reactive Protein in Detection of Bacterial Infections and Prediction of Outcome in Nonneutropenic Febrile Patients with Lung Malignancy. J Oncol 2020:2192378.<https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/2192378>
- 93. Chan JF, Yuan S, Kok KH, et al (2020) A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. Lancet 395 (10223):514–523
- 94. Henry BM, Benoit SW, de Oliveira MHS, et al (2020) Laboratory abnormalities in children with mild and severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A pooled analysis and review. Clin Biochem 81:1–8
- 95. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al (2020) Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet 395 (10229):1054–1062
- 96. Mesas AE, Cavero-Redondo I, Álvarez-Bueno C, et al (2020) Predictors of in-hospital COVID-19 mortality: A comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis exploring differences by age, sex and health conditions. PLoS One 15(11):e0241742. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241742) [org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241742](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241742)
- 97. Mittal R, Chourasia N, Bharti VK, et al (2022) Blood-based biomarkers for diagnosis, prognosis, and severity prediction of COVID-19: Opportunities and challenges. J Family Med Prim Care 11(8):4330–4341
- 98. Perschinka F, Mayerhofer T, Lehner GF, et al (2022) Immunologic response in bacterial sepsis is different from that in COVID-19 sepsis. Infection 50(4):1035–1037
- 99. Li B, Yang J, Zhao F, et al (2020) Prevalence and impact of cardiovascular metabolic diseases on COVID-19 in China. Clin Res Cardiol 109(5):531–538
- 100. Waterfeld T, Maney JA, Lyttle MD, et al (2020) Diagnostic test accuracy of point-of-care procalcitonin to diagnose serious bacterial infections in children. BMC Pediatr 20(1):487. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-020-02385-2>
- 101. Fordjour PA, Wang Y, Shi Y, et al (2015) Possible mechanisms of C-reactive protein mediated acute myocardial infarction. Eur J Pharmacol 760:72–80
- 102. Wang JT, Sheng WH, Fang CT, et al (2004) Clinical manifestations, laboratory fndings, and treatment outcomes of SARS patients. Emerg Infect Dis 10(5):818–824
- 103. Ko J-H, Park GE, Lee JY, et al (2016) Predictive factors for pneumonia development and progression to respiratory failure in MERS-CoV infected patients. Journal of Infection 73(5):468–475
- 104. Zhang J, Zhao Y, Chen Y (2014) Laboratory fndings in patients with avian-origin infuenza A (H7N9) virus infections. J Med Virol 86(5):895–889
- 105. Vasileva D, Badawi A (2019) C-reactive protein as a biomarker of severe H1N1 infuenza. Infamm Res 68(1):39–46
- 106. Osibogun O, Ogunmoroti O, Michos ED, et al (2018) A systematic review of the associations between HIV/HCV coinfection and biomarkers of cardiovascular disease. Rev Med Virol. 28(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/rmv.1953>
- 107. National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) (2015) Procalcitonin testing for diagnosing and monitoring sepsis (ADVIA Centaur BRAHMS PCT assay, BRAHMS PCT Sensitive Kryptor assay, Elecsys BRAHMS PCT assay, LIAISON BRAHMS PCT assay and VIDAS BRAHMS PCT assay). 2015. [https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/dg18/resources/](https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/dg18/resources/procalcitonin-testing-for-diagnosing-and-monitoring-sepsis-advia-centaur-brahms-pct-assay-brahms-pct-sensitive-kryptor-assay-elecsys-brahms-pct-assay-liaison-brahms-pct-assay-and-vidas-brahms-pct-ass-pdf-1053636508357) [procalcitonin-testing-for-diagnosing-and-monitoring-sepsis-advia-centaur-brahms-pct](https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/dg18/resources/procalcitonin-testing-for-diagnosing-and-monitoring-sepsis-advia-centaur-brahms-pct-assay-brahms-pct-sensitive-kryptor-assay-elecsys-brahms-pct-assay-liaison-brahms-pct-assay-and-vidas-brahms-pct-ass-pdf-1053636508357)[assay-brahms-pct-sensitive-kryptor-assay-elecsys-brahms-pct-assay-liaison-brahms-pct](https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/dg18/resources/procalcitonin-testing-for-diagnosing-and-monitoring-sepsis-advia-centaur-brahms-pct-assay-brahms-pct-sensitive-kryptor-assay-elecsys-brahms-pct-assay-liaison-brahms-pct-assay-and-vidas-brahms-pct-ass-pdf-1053636508357)[assay-and-vidas-brahms-pct-ass-pdf-1053636508357](https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/dg18/resources/procalcitonin-testing-for-diagnosing-and-monitoring-sepsis-advia-centaur-brahms-pct-assay-brahms-pct-sensitive-kryptor-assay-elecsys-brahms-pct-assay-liaison-brahms-pct-assay-and-vidas-brahms-pct-ass-pdf-1053636508357)
- 108. Tujula B, Hämäläinen S, Kokki H, et al (2020) Review of clinical practice guidelines on the use of procalcitonin in infections. Infect Dis (Lond) 52(4):227–234
- 109. Kumar A, Karn E, Trivedi K, et al (2022) Procalcitonin as a predictive marker in COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PloS one 17(9):e0272840. [https://doi.org/10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0272840) [journal.pone.0272840](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0272840).
- 110. Samsudin I, Vasikaran SD (2017) Clinical Utility and Measurement of Procalcitonin. Clin Biochem Rev 38(2):59–68
- 111. Matesanz JL, Fernandez E, Fernandez JM, Viejo G (2003) Plasma procalcitonin and C-reactive protein concentrations in pediatric patients with Epstein-Barr virus infection. Clin Chem 49(12):2103–2104
- 112. Pfster R, Kochanek M, Leygeber T, et al (2014) Procalcitonin for diagnosis of bacterial pneumonia in critically ill patients during 2009 H1N1 infuenza pandemic: a prospective cohort study, systematic review and individual patient data meta-analysis. Crit Care 18(2):R44. <https://doi.org/10.1186/cc13760>
- 113. Haller O, Kochs G (2006) Human MxA protein: an interferon-induced dynamin-like GTPase with broad antiviral activity. J Interferon Cytokine Res 31(1):79–87
- 114. Nakabayashi M, Adachi Y, Itazawa T, et al (2006) MxA-based recognition of viral illness in febrile children by a whole blood assay. Pediatr Res 60:770–774
- 115. Engelmann I, Dubos F, Lobert PE, et al (2015) Diagnosis of viral infections using myxovirus resistance protein A (MxA). Pediatrics 135(4):e985–993
- 116. Self WH, Rosen J, Sharp SC, et al (2017) Diagnostic Accuracy of FebriDx: A Rapid Test to Detect Immune Responses to Viral and Bacterial Upper Respiratory Infections. J Clin Med 6(10):94<https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm6100094>
- 117. Yahya M, Rulli M, Toivonen L, et al (2017) Detection of Host Response to Viral Respiratory Infection by Measurement of Messenger RNA for MxA, TRIM21, and Viperin in Nasal Swabs. J Infect Dis 216(9):1099–1103
- 118. Tong-Minh K, van Hooijdonk S, Versnel MA, et al (2022) Blood myxovirus resistance protein-1 measurement in the diagnostic work-up of suspected COVID-19 infection in the emergency department. Immun Infamm Dis 10(4):e609. <https://doi.org/10.1002/iid3.609>