

Pediatric Cancer

34

Kendra R. Parris, Jennifer M. Allen, and Niki Jurbergs

Overview of Pediatric Cancer

Nearly 16,000 children and adolescents ages 0–19 years are diagnosed with cancer each year in the United States. The most common diagnoses include acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and other blood cancers, medulloblastoma and other brain tumors, and neuroblastoma and other solid tumors, and prevalence rates for each vary across age at diagnosis, sex, and race. Treatment for pediatric cancer might include any combination of surgery, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, immunotherapy, stem cell transplant, and other targeted therapies. Although significant variability in outcomes remains, advances in treatment over the past 50 years have pushed overall survival rates to nearly 80% (National Cancer Institute, 2021). Because of the long-term effects and health risks associated with pediatric cancer and survivorship (e.g., side effects of treatment, increased risk of second cancers, etc.), it is now conceptualized as a chronic illness (Armstrong et al., 2014; Hudson et al., 2013; Robison & Hudson, 2014). The disease itself, as well as the invasive treatments, often produces a variety of acute and long-term (or late) effects that can impact physical, cognitive, emotional, and behavioral functioning.

Psychosocial Care for Pediatric Cancer Patients and Their Families

In addition to the obvious challenges presented by the disease and its often complex treatment, pediatric cancer patients and their families endure disruption to their normal routines and changes in access to their typical supports, which can negatively impact the overall social, emotional and academic development of the child or adolescent (Fuenmeler et al., 2002; Gerhardt et al., 2007; Mancini et al., 1989; Noll et al., 1991; Willard et al., 2017) as well as the emotional and social functioning of caregivers and siblings (Gerhardt et al., 2015; Kearney et al., 2015; Klassen et al., 2008). Integrated behavioral and mental health care is now widely accepted as the gold standard across medical settings

J. M. Allen

K. R. Parris (🖂) · N. Jurbergs

Department of Psychology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA e-mail: kendra.parris@stjude.org

Clinical Pediatrics, St. Louis Children's Hospital, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA

and is associated with benefits including improved health outcomes and patient experience (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2018). The pediatric oncology setting is no exception, and the American Academic of Pediatrics (AAP) calls for the integration of psychosocial care in pediatric cancer centers including access to pediatric psychologists, social workers, and child life specialists (AAP Section on Hematology/Oncology, 2004).

Screening, assessment, consultation, intervention, and support services should be provided to patients and their families throughout the cancer trajectory. Providers should seek to deliver care according to the Standards for Psychosocial Care for Children with Cancer and Their Families (Wiener et al., 2015). These 15 empirically based standards serve as a blueprint for the provision of services addressing the range of emotional, social, educational, communication, and even financial needs of these families. Recommendations such as serial psychosocial screening, intervention, neurocognitive assessment, ensuring academic continuity, ongoing assessment of adherence, and facilitation of social interaction are outlined. The Pediatric Psychosocial Preventative Health Model (PPPHM; Kazak, 2006; Kazak et al., 2007) framework illustrates the necessity of screening and providing universal psychoeducation and support to all families facing pediatric cancer. Using the PPPHM, the psychosocial risk falls into one of three categories (i.e., universal, targeted, and clinical/treatment), thereby linking the results of screening to the appropriate intervention path. Pediatric psychologists and neuropsychologists, along with other psychosocial providers, may fill a variety of different roles and address a wide range of needs with pediatric oncology patients and their families depending on diagnosis and prognosis, type of and response to treatment, age or developmental level, family risk factors, and individual factors. Several distinct phases in the pediatric cancer journey are associated with unique patient and family needs, while other areas for psychological intervention remain important across the cancer trajectory, as described below.

Diagnosis and Treatment

The receipt of a pediatric cancer diagnosis is an overwhelming experience for patients and their families as they navigate shock, struggle to understand novel medical information, make rapid treatment decisions, and begin to learn complex treatment regimens. Pediatric psychologists play key roles in assisting with communication around the time of diagnosis, assessing patient and family coping, conducting early screening and prevention efforts, and providing necessary support throughout the child's treatment.

Communication with healthcare providers occurs across the cancer trajectory, though it is particularly important at the time of diagnosis when critical treatment decisions are made. Effective communication and involvement of patients and families in discussion about diagnosis and medical care are integral to improved patient health outcomes, adherence, and satisfaction (see Coyne et al., 2016 for a review). Facilitating a collaborative relationship between patient and medical team can enhance internal locus of control (Tiffenberg et al., 2000), decrease fears and concerns (Runeson et al., 2002), enhance preparedness, and promote belief that patient preferences are important (Coyne & Gallagher, 2011).

Psychological Functioning and Adjustment of Patients

Pediatric patients newly diagnosed with cancer must quickly adjust to novel situations that can be quite challenging, including hospitalization, initiation of intensive treatment regimens, and painful procedures. Indeed, one of the most common referrals to psychologists is adjustment to new diagnosis

(Clerici et al., 2008), with over half of Children's Oncology Group (COG) institutions reporting that they provide multiple psychosocial supports to patients and families within 30 days of new diagnosis (Selove et al., 2012). Importantly, the length and intensity of cancer treatments are highly variable and will depend on diagnosis, disease status, age, and other factors. For some patients, treatment can be profoundly difficult and characterized by long hospitalizations, complex treatments, medication side effects, and invasive and painful procedures. As a result, some patients can experience increased levels of distress compared to healthy peers (Price et al., 2016; Sawyer et al., 2000). Yet, the majority of patients adjust to treatment adequately and do not experience significant emotional or behavioral problems (Price et al., 2016; Sawyer et al., 2000; Van Schoors et al., 2015). Consistent with the PPPHM framework (Kazak, 2006), most patients function well with the universal supports provided in most children's hospitals (e.g., chaplain support, Child Life services, etc.), with smaller percentages of patients requiring more individualized and targeted interventions.

Screening and Prevention

Because of the variability in coping with diagnosis and treatment, early and ongoing psychosocial screening and prevention efforts are now considered essential in pediatric cancer care (Kazak et al., 2015). Early in the treatment process, most patients and families benefit from anticipatory guidance, psychoeducation, and information related to illness, treatment, potential side effects, and psychosocial factors (Thompson & Young-Saleme, 2015). Key areas to assess of both the patient and family include patient developmental history, emotional and behavioral functioning, social functioning and social supports, understanding of diagnosis and treatment, coping and adjustment to diagnosis, and health behaviors (e.g., sleep, diet). This information is often gathered from psychosocial providers via interview and structured assessment methods and can be obtained routinely throughout the cancer trajectory. Some examples of commonly used rating scales in a pediatric oncology setting include (but are not limited to) the Pediatric Quality of Life InventoryTM (PedsQLTM; Varni et al., 2001), the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information Systems[®] (PROMIS[®]; DeWalt et al., 2015), the Psychosocial Assessment Tool 2.0TM (PAT 2.0TM; Pai et al., 2008), and the NIH Toolbox for Assessment of Neurological and Behavioral Function® (NIH Toolbox®; Gershon et al., 2013). Ongoing and regular screening and assessment of individual patient and family coping can help determine risk level and design more targeted interventions and supports when appropriate.

Management of Somatic Symptoms

Many forms of cancer treatment are associated with aversive physical side effects, with most common short- and long-term side effects including nausea, vomiting, pain, and fatigue (Collins et al., 2000; Olson & Amari, 2015). Moreover, many pediatric patients with cancer routinely undergo painful and invasive medical procedures (e.g., venipunctures, intravenous line insertions, bone marrow aspirates, lumbar punctures, etc.) that can incite significant psychological distress (Stevens et al., 2011; Twycross et al., 2015). As a result, patients can develop anticipatory symptoms (e.g., nausea), procedural distress, and nonadherence to necessary components of their medical treatment (DuHamel et al., 1999). Sleep disturbance and fatigue are also common both during and following cancer treatment. These symptoms are described as distressing and can negatively impact behavioral, social, emotional, and daily functioning (Crabtree et al., 2015), making this another important area of functioning to regularly assess.

Effective use of cognitive-behavioral treatments to address physical symptoms (e.g., nausea, pain, and anticipatory symptoms) is well documented in the literature, including the use of distraction, hypnosis, diaphragmatic breathing, progressive muscle relaxation, biofeedback, guided imagery, and other mindfulness-based interventions such as yoga and music therapy (AAP Section on Integrative Medicine, 2016; Birnie et al., 2018; Kanitz et al., 2012). Engagement in cognitive-behavioral interventions can also enhance youth's sense of self-efficacy in managing pain and other distressful symptoms (Kanitz et al., 2012; Kuppenheimer & Brown, 2002). Parents and caregivers play key roles in helping patients utilize learned coping techniques, and it is well documented that caregivers contribute to a pediatric patient's experience of and management of distress (Caes et al., 2014; Harper et al., 2013). Pediatric psychologists work with patients and caregivers throughout a patient's treatment in implementing interventions that lessen pain, distress, discomfort, and fatigue.

Management of Emotional and Behavioral Symptoms

Again, diagnosis and treatment of pediatric cancer can contribute to the onset of emotional and behavioral problems; however, some patients bring premorbid emotional and behavioral concerns to the cancer experience. And, again, early screening can assist in identifying these needs. It is recommended that pediatric cancer patients have access to psychosocial interventions throughout the cancer trajectory to address these difficulties (Steele et al., 2015). Most often, evidence-based treatments for childhood internalizing and externalizing disorders (e.g., cognitive-behavioral, behavioral, social skills, problem-solving, etc.) are utilized to address both premorbid and new-onset mood and behavior problems; however, these interventions often require flexibility in implementation due to medical treatment variables such as side effects of medical treatment, timing of hospitalizations, and other factors (Salley & Catarozoli, 2019).

Risk and Resilience Factors

Developing an understanding of specific risk and resilience factors aids pediatric psychologists in determining the level of risk and matching supports accordingly. For example, disease status (e.g., relapse and prognosis), involvement of the central nervous system, and certain medical treatments (e.g., cranial irradiation and intrathecal chemotherapy) can negatively impact adjustment and neuro-cognitive outcomes (Reddick et al., 2014; Phipps et al., 2009). In addition, family risk factors, such as lower socioeconomic status, poor parental coping, and parental experience of prior trauma have been shown to impact patient and family coping (Kupst et al., 1995; Boman et al., 2013). Individual resilience factors (e.g., self-esteem, perceived competence, temperament, and effortful control) and social factors (e.g., social support and strong family cohesion) account for variability in distress, can aid in positive adaptation, and can contribute to better outcomes (Harper et al., 2019; Hoekstra-Weebers et al., 2001; Howard Sharp et al., 2015; Tillery et al., 2019). Attention should also be given to other vulnerable populations, including young children who are at heightened risk for disturbances in their development as a result of both their medical diagnoses/treatments and missed opportunities at a critical time in development (Kenney et al., 2020; Willard et al., 2017).

End of Treatment and Survivorship

Many imagine that achieving cure and completing treatment for cancer would come with an overwhelming sense of relief, but that is not always the case for pediatric cancer survivors and their families who often struggle to establish a "new normal" in the face of ongoing side effects and uncertainty while adjusting to decreased contact with their oncology team. Survivors and caregivers turn their attention from treatment to reintegrating at school and with peers while families attempt to resume their pre-diagnosis roles and routines, and this transition often brings significant stress (Karst et al., 2018). Pediatric cancer survivors report mixed emotional experiences, including anxiety, as they transition off therapy (Nathan et al., 2011). Likewise, caregivers report anxiety and fear of relapse (Wakefield et al., 2011). Moreover, youth with cancer often face functional consequences associated with their treatment, including missed academic and social opportunities, physical changes and limitations, neurocognitive deficits, and disruptions to family functioning that extend well past the end of treatment (Husson et al., 2017; Long & Marsland, 2011; Mulhern et al., 2004; Reddick & Conklin, 2014). As such, screening for psychosocial needs and providing developmentally appropriate preparation including psychoeducation and anticipatory guidance are essential to a successful end-of-therapy transition (Kazak et al., 2015; Thompson & Young-Saleme, 2015). Survivors should be provided with screening and support throughout long-term survivorship as well (Lown et al., 2015), related to medical care (e.g., transition from pediatric to adult care) and psychosocial functioning (e.g., educational transition after high school and transition to independent living). Nathan et al. (2011) set out six domains of functioning in which childhood cancer survivors should receive support: physical health and function, sexual/reproductive health, mental health, health behaviors, health education, and social competence (including education and employment). The Children's Oncology Group (COG, 2018) has published clinical practice guidelines that outline recommendations for screening and management of potential medical and psychosocial late effects for providers working with long-term survivors of childhood cancer.

Cognitive and Academic Functioning

School Reintegration Many children and adolescents return to school following treatment, highlighting the importance of academic continuity during cancer-directed therapy and support to facilitate academic and social aspects of school re-entry (Thompson et al., 2015). Survivors may continue to experience pain, fatigue, decreased immune functioning, changes in physical appearance (e.g., hair loss, amputation, and weight loss/gain), changes in physical functioning (e.g., decreased mobility and fine motor weakness), and changes in cognitive functioning as they reintegrate into the classroom. Nearly all cancer survivors will require accommodations or interventions, including rehabilitation services such as physical, occupational, and speech and language therapies, to address individual needs, which may be best delivered via formal educational plans such as 504 Plans and Individualized Educational Programs (IEP). Common accommodations include preferential seating, provision of teacher notes, shortened school day, allowance of rest breaks, shortened assignments and/or extended time for test taking, and support for social reintegration into the classroom. Please see Harman et al. (2019) for a comprehensive list of common needs and accommodations.

Cognitive Late Effects Patients with central nervous system disease or directed therapy (e.g., cranial radiation and intrathecal chemotherapy), such as patients with brain tumors and ALL, are at higher risk for long-lasting cognitive late effects such as attention, working memory and processing speed

difficulties (Jacola et al., 2016; Knight et al., 2014; Reddick et al., 2014; Winter et al., 2014). These core deficits can lead to secondary deficits in global cognitive functioning (i.e., IQ) and academic skills. Young age at diagnosis, greater treatment intensity, and neurological complications (e.g., hydrocephalus and stroke) are also associated with increased risk for developing cognitive difficulties, which typically emerge following treatment and may worsen over time. Prevalence rates for cognitive effects in childhood cancer survivors vary across studies, but at least 35% experience impairment in at least one domain (Krull et al., 2018; Michel et al., 2020). Given these risks and their potential impact on academic functioning, serial monitoring of cognitive functioning is recommended in these high-risk populations (Annett et al., 2015). At a minimum, these survivors should be routinely screened for weaknesses or changes in cognitive functioning via interview and/or questionnaires and should be assessed with comprehensive cognitive evaluation at the time of school re-entry and every 2-3 years or with notable changes in status. The standard for assessment of neuropsychological outcomes suggests assessment of intelligence, attention, memory, language, executive functioning, and processing speed. Assessment results should inform classroom services (Harman et al., 2019) and may reveal the need for additional intervention as well. It is important to keep in mind that these cognitive late effects are long-lasting and continue to impact survivors' functioning beyond school and throughout adulthood (Krull et al., 2018; Michel et al., 2020; Ullrich & Embry, 2012).

In addition to compensatory interventions and accommodations provided in the classroom setting as described above, survivors with cognitive deficits may benefit from pharmacological intervention or cognitive rehabilitation. Although multiple pharmacological agents have been studied in this population, the most studied drugs are psychostimulants. In particular, multiple trials have illustrated the efficacy of methylphenidate in improving attention and working memory in leukemia and brain tumor survivors (Conklin et al., 2010; Smithson et al., 2013). Computerized cognitive remediation has shown promise in recent studies in improving working memory performance, though the functional impact of these demonstrated improvements is not yet known (Conklin et al., 2017; Hardy et al., 2013).

Psychological Functioning and Adjustment of Survivors

Overall, pediatric cancer survivors are not at increased risk for psychological problems as compared to their healthy peers, with 80-90% considered psychologically well (Eiser et al., 2000; Mertens & Gilleland Marchak, 2015; Phipps et al., 2009; Stam et al., 2001). However, a subset will experience emotional and related difficulties such as anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS; Bitsko et al., 2016; McDonnell et al., 2017; Michel et al., 2020). While models of traumatic stress often influence the conceptualization of pediatric patients' experiences (Kazak et al., 2006), rates of formal post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) are actually quite low and commensurate with healthy controls (Allen et al., 2018; Phipps et al., 2014; Tillery et al., 2019). Indeed, pediatric patients with cancer often display remarkable resilience (Tillery et al., 2017; Van Schoors et al., 2015), reporting similar or even lower levels of psychological problems than healthy peers (DeJong & Fombonne, 2006; Eiser et al., 2000; Howard Sharp et al., 2015). Moreover, the concept of post-traumatic growth has been increasingly studied, with results of some studies suggesting that pediatric patients with cancer can experience long-term psychological benefits from their cancer experience (Barakat et al., 2006; Phipps et al., 2014; Van Schoors et al., 2015). Again, brain tumor survivors are at increased risk of struggling with psychosocial functioning following treatment, with some studies identifying higher rates of internalizing and externalizing symptoms (Cox et al., 2019; Fuemmeler et al., 2002). Brain tumor survivors are also at increased long-term risk for social and adaptive functioning difficulties such as lower rates of employment, social relationships, and independent living (Armstrong et al., 2009). All long-term survivors of childhood cancer should receive annual screening for anxiety, depression, adverse educational and vocational issues, and social functioning following completion of therapy (COG, 2018; Lown et al., 2015).

Disease Recurrence and End-of-Life Care

Patients and families hope they never have to face disease recurrence or death. However, if this happens, pediatric psychologists can help provide support and guide difficult conversations. Different from the time of diagnosis, when communicating about relapsed or refractory disease, these patients have lived experiences that may influence how they wish to proceed with (or without) further treatment. Especially when confronted with limited or no curative options, these conversations and resulting decisions are high-stakes – with a substantial impact on the patient. Although patients, especially adolescents, may not always be included or actively engage in conversations about their advanced cancer status (Frederick & Mack, 2018; Poort et al., 2020) there is precedence to involve the patient in these discussions and the decision-making process (Lövgren et al., 2020; Weaver et al., 2015).

Advance Care Planning

Given that some patients with a diagnosis of cancer still face early death, advance care planning is an integral component of end-of-life (EOL) care and should involve three core components: understanding of values, discussion between patient, caregiver(s) and providers, and completion of written directives (Fried et al., 2010). However, despite international guidelines and recommendations that early conversations regarding goals of care and treatment options happen in pediatrics (AAP Section on Hospice and Palliative Medicine and Committee on Hospital Care, 2013), there appear to be few structured pediatric models to help facilitate these conversations (Lotz et al., 2013), with many approaches limited to single aspects of the advance care planning process (e.g., advance directives; Hein et al., 2020). Goals of advance care planning include improved communication about prognosis and care plans to honor the patient and family values and goals, establishment of advance directives, and outlining of goals for care should a patient acutely decompensate (DeCourcey et al., 2019).

End of Life

Although there has been significant advancement in the treatment of pediatric cancer, approximately 20% of youth diagnosed with cancer in the United States do not survive their disease (Siegel et al., 2016). Though a focus during the EOL period is often on comfort and minimizing symptom burden, children with cancer often suffer physical and psychological symptoms during this time (Jalmsell et al., 2006), with more overall symptoms being associated with decreased health-related quality of life (Eche et al., 2020). Symptom burden tends to differ by diagnosis (e.g., more symptoms in children with brain tumors than those with hematologic malignancies), with symptom prevalence ranging from 2 to 15 symptoms during the EOL period, coupled with heightened fears of being alone and dying (Eche et al., 2020).

Palliative care is defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as "an approach that improves the quality of life of patients (adult and children) and their families who are facing problems associated with life-threatening illness" (WHO, 2020). Unfortunately, for children with cancer, referral to palliative care specialists often happens late in the care trajectory (Cheng et al., 2019; Kaye et al.,

2016), though earlier initiation of pediatric palliative care within oncology has been found to afford more opportunity to improve symptom burden and quality of life, increase opportunities for advanced care planning, decrease the intensity of medical interventions, and improve the chance of dying within the home setting (Kaye et al., 2016, 2021; Sansom-Daly et al., 2020; Taylor et al., 2020).

Legacy-Making and Bereavement

When faced with EOL, children and adolescents want to know that they will be remembered by their loved ones. Legacy-making is an activity that provides the opportunity for the patient to contribute to or create something for others to serve as a remembrance (Foster et al., 2009). When death is anticipated, engaging in legacy-making activities can decrease symptoms of prolonged grief and increase the perception of support for caregivers (Schaefer et al., 2019). Further, participating in legacy artwork can enhance family bonding, communication, and meaning-making and lessen parental grief following the death of the child (Schaefer et al., 2020).

Across the Cancer Trajectory

Certain aspects of the pediatric cancer experience transcend the specific phases and milestones described above and are relevant across the entire cancer trajectory. These additional factors weave throughout the pediatric cancer experience and can influence a patient's and family's coping, adjustment, and overall outcomes.

Communication and Decision-Making

Pediatric oncology medical providers may struggle to effectively communicate information about prognosis, including deciding when in the care trajectory this information should be relayed. For some medical providers, the perception is that families may not be ready to hear this type of information early in the treatment process (Lövgren et al., 2020; Mack et al., 2007). When information is presented, it is important that it be communicated in a manner commensurate with the patient's developmental and cognitive levels. Psychologists can assess developmental and cognitive functioning, partner with other psychosocial providers to enhance patient and family understanding, help address questions of the pediatric patient, and offer support during difficult conversations. Psychosocial providers can also collaborate with healthcare professionals to enhance communication styles and foster the inclusion of patients and families in relevant decision-making processes. Importantly, understanding patient preference is critical, as pediatric patients with cancer can differ vastly in their desired extent of involvement in treatment decisions (Siembida & Bellizzi, 2015), and factors such as age, developmental level, severity of disease/prognosis, and individual factors may contribute to patient preference. Adolescents, in particular, are often capable of meaningfully contributing to conversations and decision-making related to their cancer-directed treatment and medical preferences (Stegenga & Ward-Smith, 2008), and the presence of the child or adolescent during diagnostic and treatment planning conversations does not seem to negatively impact parental experience or communication with the medical provider (Brand McCarthy et al., 2019). Indeed, open and respectful communication and collaboration between providers, patients, and families are essential to patient-family-centered care, can contribute to a patient's sense of respect, safety, and control (Lin et al., 2020), and are recognized as a key standard in the psychosocial care of pediatric patients with cancer (Patenaude et al., 2015).

Family Coping and Psychosocial Interventions for Family Members

For many caregivers of pediatric cancer patients, the trajectory of coping is similar to that of their child and marked by transient distress around the time of diagnosis, followed by gradual decline in distress symptoms (Dolgin et al., 2007; Sawyer et al., 2000; Wijnberg-Williams et al., 2006). Yet, for caregivers with pre-existing mental health problems or other risk factors (e.g., prior trauma, relapse of child's disease), their child's cancer diagnosis can be paralyzing (Boman et al., 2013; Jurbergs et al., 2009). Moreover, it is well documented that caregiver mental health problems can cause disruption to a child's medical treatment, impact the parenting and support of the patient and their siblings, and cause disruption to family functioning (Barrera et al., 2004; Fedele et al., 2013; Harper et al., 2019; Pai et al., 2007; Trask et al., 2003). Indeed, distinct patterns of parental coping have been identified (Dolgin et al., 2007; Steele et al., 2004), which underscores the importance of early and ongoing screening and intervention of caregivers (Kearney et al., 2015).

Several interventions have been designed to specifically reduce distress in caregivers of pediatric patients with cancer. The Bright Ideas: Problem-Solving Skills Training (PSST) program has been shown to enhance problem-solving skills and decrease mood disturbance in mothers of children newly diagnosed with cancer (Sahler et al., 2005, 2013). Likewise, parents receiving the Promoting Resilience in Stress Management for Parents (PRISM-P) program displayed improvements in resilience and benefit finding (Rosenberg et al., 2019). Finally, the Surviving Cancer Competently Intervention Program (SCCIP) aims to reduce post-traumatic stress symptoms and reduce cancer-related anxiety while improving coping; however, results of randomized clinical trials show varying success (Kazak et al., 2004; Stehl et al., 2009).

Siblings of pediatric cancer patients face unique challenges and stressors that can impact their coping, including changes in family roles and routines, less contact with the parent caring for the patient, less parental attention, concern for the patient, and less access to psychosocial supports than the patient (Alderfer et al., 2010). Similar to coping patterns of patients and parents, siblings can experience initial problems with mood and other areas of functioning (e.g., academics, social relationships) that typically improve with time (Alderfer et al., 2010; Houtzager et al., 2003). Indeed, many siblings show resilience and positive outcomes (Cordaro et al., 2012). Yet, there is variability in sibling coping and adjustment, and factors including age and gender have been explored (Houtzager et al., 2003; Long et al., 2013). These findings highlight the need for support of siblings as a standard of care in pediatric cancer (Gerhardt et al., 2015). Intervention research with siblings of pediatric cancer patients is more limited but suggests that sibling support groups and camps may help decrease negative mood, improve quality of life, and enhance medical knowledge (Martiniuk et al., 2014; Prchal & Landolt, 2009).

Adherence

Management of pediatric cancer can be arduous for both the patient and the family. Complex and lengthy treatment regimens are common, and many treatment agents are associated with adverse side effects and long-term sequelae. Moreover, some patients will require long-term use of medications for many years (or potentially lifelong) after completion of their medical treatment. As a result, many patients and families struggle to fully adhere to the treatment regimen. Medication adherence is critical to obtaining cure (Bhatia et al., 2012), and medication nonadherence is associated with an increased chance of relapse and decreased survival rates (Lilleyman & Lenard, 1996).

It is increasingly understood that individual patient factors contribute to medication adherence, with adolescents and certain minority groups showing lower rates of adherence (Bhatia et al., 2012;

Butow et al., 2010). Indeed, published medication nonadherence rates in the adolescent oncology population are astonishingly high (25–60%; Butow et al., 2010; Festa et al., 1992; McGrady et al., 2014), and families often struggle with balancing an adolescent's developmentally appropriate need for independence with ensuring compliance with oral medical regimens (Landier et al., 2011). Other risk factors for medication nonadherence include weak family support, lack of open communication, limited understanding of the threat of the illness, psychological distress of the patient and family, limited resources, and adverse side effects of medications (Butow et al., 2010; Spinetta et al., 2002).

Other types of adherence are also crucial to the well-being of pediatric cancer patients both during and after treatment, including engagement in positive health behaviors (Klosky et al., 2012) and routine attendance at ancillary appointments that promote physical and emotional health (e.g., rehabilitation therapies, nutrition consults, psychological intervention, etc.). As such, more routine and objective assessment of adherence has been recommended, and the critical need for effective adherence interventions in pediatric oncology is apparent. A number of interventions have been developed to enhance adherence more generally in pediatric psychology, including educational interventions that provide illness-specific instruction, cognitive-behavioral interventions (e.g., reminders, incentives, and addressing illness attitudes), and family-based interventions (see Hommel et al., 2017 for an overview), and ongoing evaluation in the pediatric cancer population is needed.

Promotion of Health Behaviors

Health behaviors are generally modifiable behaviors that can be improved using targeted interventions and/or psychoeducation. Among pediatric cancer patients and survivors, it is important to assess for risky health behaviors, such as sun exposure, low physical activity, poor diet and nutrition, poor sleep behaviors, substance use (e.g., tobacco, marijuana, and illicit substances), and risky sexual behavior. Patients receiving cancer treatment are encouraged to cease smoking and drinking, to engage in physical activity, and to adopt a healthy diet and healthy sleep behaviors in order to optimize medical outcomes (Pinto & Trunzo, 2005). Cancer survivors are at heightened risk for secondary cancers and other medical problems (e.g., cardiovascular disease, pulmonary disease, endocrine dysfunction, nervous system abnormalities) due to their receipt of toxic medical treatments (Hudson et al., 2013), and engagement in unhealthy behaviors can exacerbate these vulnerabilities. While survivors appear to engage in risky health behaviors at a comparable rate to controls (Brinkman et al., 2018; Klosky et al., 2012), their heightened medical risk underscores the importance of ensuring a healthy lifestyle. Certainly, pediatric psychologists play a crucial role in providing education on long-term health risks and assisting in modifying risky behaviors.

Summary

Along with the significant advances in medical treatment of pediatric cancer over the past 50 years, the field has seen striking progress in the development and implementation of comprehensive psychosocial care for pediatric patients and their family members. Yet, gaps remain in our understanding of aspects of the patient and family experience during and after treatment for pediatric cancer, as well as specific factors that may contribute to variable psychosocial outcomes. It is increasingly documented that most patients and family members are resilient during the pediatric cancer trajectory, and routine screening and assessment can help identify those who may require additional supports. With the wide acceptance of the need for comprehensive and preventative approaches to psychosocial care, psychologists will continue to play key roles in developing and researching evidence-informed programs

and models of psychosocial care. In addition, pediatric psychologists have tremendous opportunity and responsibility to engage in advocacy efforts for youth with cancer to ensure that the psychosocial needs of patients and families are met throughout the cancer trajectory (see Parris et al., 2020).

Resources

Websites

Together: Your Home Base to Face Cancer: www.together.stjude.org Teen Cancer America: www.teencanceramerica.org Children's Oncology Group: www.childrensoncologygroup.org Children's Cancer Web: www.cancerindex.org/ccw National Cancer Institute: www.cancer.org CancerCare: www.cancercare.org Leukemia & Lymphoma Society: www.lls.org National Brain Tumor Society: www.braintumor.org

Guides and Tools

Voicing My CHOiCES- Five WishesTM: www.fivewishes.org OncoLifeTM Survivorship Care Plan: oncolife.oncolink.org Passport for Care[®]: www.passportforcare.org/en/

References

- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. (2018). *The Academy: Integrating behavioral health and primary care*. https://integrationacademy.ahrq.gov/products/playbooks/behavioral-health-and-primary-care. Accessed July 2018.
- Alderfer, M. A., Long, K. A., Lown, E. A., Marsland, A. L., Ostrowski, N. L., Hock, J. M., et al. (2010). Psychosocial adjustment of siblings of children with cancer: A systematic review. *Psychooncology*, 19(8), 789–805. https://doi. org/10.1002/pon.1638
- Allen, J., Willard, V. W., Klosky, J. L., Li, C., Srivastava, D. K., Robison, L. L., et al. (2018). Posttraumatic stress-related psychological functioning in adult survivors of childhood cancer. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, 12(2), 216–223. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-017-0660-x
- American Academy of Pediatric Section on Integrative Medicine. (2016). Mind-body therapies in children and youth. *Pediatrics*, *138*(3), e20161896. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-1896
- American Academy of Pediatrics Section on Hematology/Oncology. (2004). Guidelines for Pediatric cancer Centers. *Pediatrics*, 113(6), 1833–1835. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.113.6.1833
- American Academy of Pediatrics Section on Hospice and Palliative Medicine and Committee on Hospital Care. (2013). Pediatric palliative care and hospice care commitments, guidelines, and recommendations. *Pediatrics*, *132*(5), 966–972. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-2731
- Annett, R. D., Patel, S. K., & Phipps, S. (2015). Monitoring and assessment of neuropsychological outcomes as a standard of care in pediatric oncology. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 62(S5), S460–S513. https://doi.org/10.1002/ pbc.25749
- Armstrong, G. T., Liu, Q., Yasui, Y., Huang, S., Ness, K. N., Leisenring, W., et al. (2009). Long-term outcomes among adult survivors of childhood central nervous system malignancies in the childhood cancer survivor study. *Journal of* the National Cancer Institute, 101(13), 946–958. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djp148
- Armstrong, G. T., Kawashima, T., Leisenring, W., Stratton, K., Stovall, M., Hudson, M. M., et al. (2014). Aging and risk of severe, disabling, life-threatening, and fatal events in the childhood cancer survivor study. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 32(12), 1218–1227. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2013.51.1055

- Barakat, L. P., Alderfer, M. A., & Kazak, A. E. (2006). Posttraumatic growth in adolescent survivors of cancer and their mothers and fathers. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 31(4), 413–419. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsj058
- Barrera, M., D'Agostino, N. M., Gibson, J., Gilbert, T., Weksberg, R., & Malkin, D. (2004). Predictors and mediators of psychological adjustment in mothers of children newly diagnosed with cancer. *Psychooncology*, 13(9), 630–641. https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.765
- Bhatia, S., Landier, W., Shangguan, M., Hageman, L., Schaible, A. N., Carter, A. R., et al. (2012). Non-adherence to oral mercaptopurine and risk of relapse in Hispanic and non-Hispanic white children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: A report from the children's Oncology Group. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 30(17), 2094–2101. https:// doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2011.38.9924
- Birnie, K. A., Noel, M., Chambers, C. T., Uman, L. S., & Parker, J. A. (2018). Psychological interventions for needlerelated procedural pain and distress in children and adolescents. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 10, CD005179. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD005179.pub4
- Bitsko, M. J., Cohen, D., Dillon, R., Harvey, J., Krull, K., & Klosky, J. L. (2016). Psychosocial late effects in pediatric cancer survivors: A report from the children's Oncology Group. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 63(2), 337–343. https:// doi.org/10.1002/pbc.25773
- Boman, K. K., Kjallander, Y., Eksborg, S., & Becker, J. (2013). Impact of prior traumatic life events on parental early stage reactions following a child's cancer. *PLoS One*, 8(3), e57556. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0057556
- Brand McCarthy, S. R., Kang, T. I., & Mack, J. W. (2019). Inclusion of children in the initial conversation about their cancer diagnosis: Impact on parent experiences of the communication process. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 27, 1319–1324. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-019-4653-3
- Brinkman, T. M., Recklitis, C. J., Michel, G., Grootenhuis, M. A., & Klosky, J. L. (2018). Psychological symptoms, social outcomes, socioeconomic attainment, and health behaviors among survivors of childhood cancer: Current state of the literature. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 36(21), 2190–2198. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2017.76.5552
- Butow, P., Palmer, S., Pai, A., Goodenough, B., Luckett, T., & King, M. (2010). Review of adherence-related issues in adolescents and young adults with cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 28(32), 4800–4809. https://doi.org/10.1200/ JCO.2009.22.2802
- Caes, L., Vervoort, T., Devos, P., Verlooy, J., Benoit, Y., & Goubert, L. (2014). Parental distress and catastrophic thoughts about child pain: Implications for parental protective behavior in the context of child leukemia-related medical procedures. *The Clinical Journal of Pain*, 30(9), 787–799. https://doi.org/10.1097/AJP.0000000000000028
- Cheng, B. T., Rost, M., De Clercq, E., Arnold, L., Elger, B. S., & Wangmo, T. (2019). Palliative care initiation in pediatric oncology patients: A systematic review. *Cancer Medicine*, 8(1), 3–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.1907
- Children's Oncology Group. (2018). Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers, Version 5.0. www.survivorshipguidelines.org. Accessed Apr 2021.
- Clerici, C. A., Massimino, M., Casanova, M., Cefalo, G., Terenziani, M., Vasquez, R., et al. (2008). Psychological referral and consultation for adolescents and young adults with cancer treated at pediatric oncology unit. *Pediatric Blood* & Cancer, 51(1), 105–109. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.21484
- Collins, J. J., Byrnes, M. E., Lapin, J., Nadel, T., Thaler, H. T., et al. (2000). The measurement of symptoms in children with cancer. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 19(5), 363–377. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0885-3924(00)00127-5
- Conklin, H. M., Reddick, W. E., Ashford, J., Ogg, S., Howard, S. C., Morris, E. B., et al. (2010). Long-term efficacy of methylphenidate in enhancing attention regulation, social skills, and academic abilities of childhood cancer survivors. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 28(29), 4465–4472. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2010.28.4026
- Conklin, H. M., Ashford, J. M., Clark, K. N., Martin-Elbahesh, K., Hardy, K. K., Merchant, T. E., et al. (2017). Longterm efficacy of computerized cognitive training among survivors of childhood cancer: A single-blind randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 42(2), 220–231. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsw057
- Cordaro, G., Veneroni, L., Massimino, M., & Clerici, C. A. (2012). Assessing psychological adjustment in siblings of children with cancer: Parents' perspectives. *Cancer Nursing*, 35(1), E42–E50. https://doi.org/10.1097/ ncc.0b013e3182182869
- Cox, L. E., Kenney, A. E., Harman, J. L., Jurbergs, N., Molnar, A. E., & Willard, V. V. (2019). Psychosocial functioning of young children treated for cancer: Findings from a clinical sample. *Journal of Pediatric Oncology Nursing*, 36(1), 17–23. https://doi.org/10.1177/1043454218813905
- Coyne, I., & Gallagher, P. (2011). Participation in communication and decision-making: Children and young people's experiences in a hospital setting. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 20(15–16), 2334–2343. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2010.03582.x
- Coyne, I., O'Mathuna, D. P., Gibson, F., Shields, L., Leclercq, E., & Sheaf, G. (2016). Interventions for promoting participation in shared decision-making for children with cancer. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 11, CD008970. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD008970.pub3
- Crabtree, V. M., Rach, A. M., Schellinger, K. B., Russell, K. M., Hammarback, T., & Mandrell, B. N. (2015). Changes in sleep and fatigue in newly treated pediatric oncology patients. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 23, 393–401. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00520-014-2356-3

- DeCourcey, D. D., Silverman, M., Oladunjoye, A., & Wolfe, J. (2019). Advance care planning and parent-reported end-of-life outcomes in children, adolescents, and young adults with complex chronic conditions. *Pediatric Critical Care*, 47(1), 101–108. https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.00000000003472
- DeJong, M., & Fombonne, E. (2006). Depression in paediatric cancer: An overview. Psycho-Oncology, 15(7), 553–566. https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.1002
- DeWalt, D., Gross, H., Gipson, D., Selewski, D., DeWitt, E., Dampier, C., et al. (2015). PROMIS® pediatric self-report scales distinguish subgroups of children within and across six common pediatric chronic health conditions. *Quality* of Life Research, 24, 2195–2208. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-015-0953-3
- Dolgin, M. J., Phipps, S., Fairclough, D. L., Sahler, O. J., Askins, M., Noll, R. B., et al. (2007). Trajectories of adjustment in mothers of children with newly diagnosed cancer: A natural history investigation. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 32(7), 771–782. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsm013
- DuHamel, K. N., Redd, W. H., & Vickberg, S. M. (1999). Behavioral interventions in the diagnosis, treatment and rehabilitation of children with cancer. Acta Oncologica, 38(6), 719–734. https://doi.org/10.1080/028418699432879
- Eche, I. J., Eche, I. M., & Aronowitz, T. (2020). An integrative review of factors associated with symptom burden at the end of life in children with cancer. *Journal of Pediatric Oncology Nursing*, 37(4), 284–295. https://doi. org/10.1177/1043454220909805
- Eiser, C., Hill, J. J., & Vance, Y. H. (2000). Examining the psychological consequences of surviving childhood cancer: Systematic review as a research method in pediatric psychology. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 25(6), 449–460. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/25.6.449
- Fedele, D. A., Hullmann, S. E., Chaffin, M., Kenner, C., Fisher, M. J., Kirk, K., et al. (2013). Impact of a parentbased interdisciplinary intervention for mothers on adjustment in children newly diagnosed with cancer. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 38(5), 531–540. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jst010
- Festa, R. S., Tamaroff, M. H., Chasalow, F., & Lanzkowsky, P. (1992). Therapeutic adherence to oral medication regimens by adolescents with cancer: I. laboratory assessment. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 120(5), 807–811. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0022-3476(05)80256-2
- Foster, T. L., Gilmer, M. J., Davies, B., Barrera, M., Fairclough, D., Vannatta, K., et al. (2009). Bereaved parents' and siblings' reports of legacies created by children with cancer. *Journal of Pediatric Oncology Nursing*, 26(6), 369–376. https://doi.org/10.1177/1043454209340322
- Frederick, N. N., & Mack, J. W. (2018). Adolescent patient involvement in discussions about relapsed or refractory cancer with oncology clinicians. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 65(4), e26918. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.26918
- Fried, T. R., Redding, C. A., Robbins, M. L., Paiva, A., O'Leary, J. R., & Iannone, L. (2010). Stages of change for the component behaviors of advance care planning. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 58(12), 2329–2336. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.03184.x
- Fuemmeler, B. F., Elkin, T. D., & Mullins, L. L. (2002). Survivors of childhood brain tumors: Behavioral, emotional, and social adjustment. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 22(4), 547–586. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7358(01)00120-9
- Gerhardt, C. A., Dixon, M., Miller, K., Vannatta, K., Valerius, K. S., Correll, J., et al. (2007). Educational and occupational outcomes among survivors or childhood cancer during the transition to emerging adulthood. *Journal of Developmental Behavioral Pediatrics*, 28(6), 448–455. https://doi.org/10.1097/DBP.0b013e31811ff8e1
- Gerhardt, C. A., Lehmann, V., Long, K. A., & Alderfer, M. A. (2015). Supporting siblings as a standard of care in pediatric oncology. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 62(S5), S750–S804. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.25821
- Gershon, R. C., Wagster, M. V., Hendrie, H. C., Fox, N. A., Cook, K. F., & Nowinski, C. J. (2013). NIH toolbox for assessment of neurological and behavioral function. *Neurology*, 80(11 Suppl 3), S2–S6. https://doi.org/10.1212/ WNL.0b013e3182872e5f
- Hardy, K. K., Willard, V. W., Allen, T. M., & Bonner, M. J. (2013). Working memory training in survivors of pediatric cancer: A randomized pilot study. *Psycho-Oncology*, 22(8), 1856–1865. https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.3222
- Harman, J. L., Wilkins, M., & Jurbergs, N. (2019). Hematologic/oncologic disorders and HIV. In A. G. Dempsey (Ed.), *Pediatric health conditions in schools: A clinician's guide for working with children, families, and educators* (pp. 361–384). Oxford University Press.
- Harper, F. W., Peterson, A. M., Uphold, H., Albrecht, T. L., Taub, J. W., Orom, H., et al. (2013). Longitudinal study of parent caregiving self-efficacy and parent stress reactions with pediatric cancer treatment procedures. *Psycho-Oncology*, 22(7), 1658–1664. https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.3199
- Harper, F. W., Albrecht, T. L., Trentacosta, C. J., Taub, J. W., Phipps, S., & Penner, L. A. (2019). Understanding differences in the long-term psychosocial adjustment of pediatric cancer patients and their parents: An individual differences resources model. *Translational Behavioral Medicine*, 9(3), 514–522. https://doi.org/10.1093/tbm/ibz025
- Hein, K., Knochel, K., Zaimovic, V., Reimann, D., Monz, A., Heitkamp, N., et al. (2020). Identifying key elements for paediatric advance care planning with parents, healthcare providers and stakeholders: A qualitative study. *Palliative Medicine*, 34(3), 300–308. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269216319900317
- Hoekstra-Weebers, J. E. H. M., Jaspers, J. P. C., Kamps, W. A., & Klip, E. C. (2001). Psychological adaptation and social support of parents of pediatric cancer patients: A prospective longitudinal study. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 26(4), 225–235. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/26.4.225

- Hommel, K. A., Ramsey, R. R., Rich, K. L., & Ryan, J. L. (2017). Adherence to pediatric treatment regimens. In M. C. Roberts & R. G. Steele (Eds.), *Handbook of pediatric psychology* (5th ed., pp. 119–133). The Guilford Press.
- Houtzager, B. A., Grootenhuis, M. A., Hoekstra-Weebers, J. E. H. M., Caron, H. N., & Last, B. F. (2003). Psychosocial functioning in siblings of paediatric cancer patients one to six months after diagnosis. *European Journal of Cancer*, 39(10), 1423–1432. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-8049(03)00275-2
- Howard Sharp, K. M., Rowe, A. E., Russell, K., Long, A., & Phipps, S. (2015). Predictors of psychological functioning in children with cancer: Disposition and cumulative life stressors. *Psycho-Oncology*, 24(7), 779–786. https://doi. org/10.1002/pon.3643
- Hudson, M. M., Ness, K. K., Gurney, J. G., Mulrooney, D. A., Chemaitilly, W., Krull, K. R., et al. (2013). Clinical ascertainment of health outcomes among adults treated for childhood cancer. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 309(22), 2371–2381. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.6296
- Husson, O., Zebrack, B. J., Aguilar, C., Hayes-Lattin, B., & Cole, S. (2017). Cancer in adolescents and young adults: Who remains at risk of poor social functioning over time? *Cancer*, 123(14), 2743–2751. https://doi.org/10.1002/ cncr.30656
- Jacola, L. M., Edelstein, K., Liu, W., Pui, C. H., Hayashi, R., Kadan-Lottick, N. S., et al. (2016). Cognitive, behavior, and academic functioning in adolescent and young adult survivors or childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: A report from the Childhood Cancer Study. *Lancet Psychiatry*, 3(10), 965–972. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2215-0366(16)30283-8
- Jalmsell, L., Kreicbergs, U., Onelöv, E., Steineck, G., & Henter, J. I. (2006). Symptoms affecting children with malignancies during the last month of life. *Pediatrics*, 117(4), 1314–1320. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2005-1479
- Jurbergs, N., Long, A., Ticona, L., & Phipps, S. (2009). Symptoms of posttraumatic stress in parents of children with cancer: Are they elevated relative to parents of healthy children? *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 34(1), 4–13. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsm119
- Kanitz, J. L., Moneta, M. E., Camus, M., & Seifert, G. (2012). Keeping the balance An overview of mind-body therapies in pediatric oncology. *Complementary Therapies in Medicine*, 21(S1), S20–S25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ctim.2012.02.001
- Karst, J. S., Hoag, J. A., Chan, S. F., Schmidt, D. J., Anderson, L. J., Englebert, N. E., et al. (2018). Assessment of endof-treatment transition needs for pediatric cancer and hematopoietic stem cell transplant patients and their families. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 65(8), e27109. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.27109
- Kaye, E. C., Friebert, S., & Baker, J. N. (2016). Early integration of palliative care for children with high-risk cancer and their families. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 63(4), 593–597. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.25848
- Kaye, E. C., Weaver, M. S., DeWitt, L. H., Byers, E., Stevens, S. E., Lukowski, J., et al. (2021). The impact of specialty palliative care in pediatric oncology: A systematic review. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 61(5), 1060–1079. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2020.12.003
- Kazak, A. E. (2006). Pediatric Psychosocial Preventative Health Model (PPPHM): Research, practice and collaboration in pediatric family systems medicine. *Families, Systems & Health*, 24(4), 381–395. https://doi. org/10.1037/1091-7527.24.4.381
- Kazak, A. E., Alderfer, M. A., Streisand, R., Simms, S., Rourke, M. T., Barakat, L. P., et al. (2004). Treatment of posttraumatic stress symptoms in adolescent survivors of childhood cancer and their families: A randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 18(3), 493–504. https://doi.org/10.1037/0893-3200.18.3.493
- Kazak, A. E., Kassam-Adams, N., Schneider, S., Zelikovsky, N., Alderfer, M. A., & Rourke, M. (2006). An integrative model of pediatric medical traumatic stress. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 31(4), 343–355. https://doi. org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsj054
- Kazak, A. E., Rourke, M. T., Alderfer, M. A., Pai, A., Reilly, A. F., & Meadows, A. T. (2007). Evidence-based assessment, intervention and psychosocial care in pediatric oncology: A blueprint for comprehensive services across treatment. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 32(9), 1099–1110. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsm031
- Kazak, A. E., Abrams, A. N., Banks, J., Christofferson, J., DiDonato, S., Grootenhuis, M. A., et al. (2015). Psychosocial assessment as a standard of care in pediatric cancer. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 62(S5), S426–S459. https://doi. org/10.1002/pbc.25730
- Kearney, J. A., Salley, C. G., & Muriel, A. C. (2015). Standards of psychosocial care for parents of children with cancer. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 62(S5), S632–S683. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.25761
- Kenney, A. E., Harman, J. L., Molnar, A. E., Jr., Jurbergs, N., & Willard, V. W. (2020). Early cognitive and adaptive functioning of clinically referred infants and toddlers with cancer. *Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings.*, 27, 41–47. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10880-019-09619-1
- Klassen, A. F., Klassen, R., Dix, D., Pritchard, S., Yanofsky, R., O'Donnell, M., et al. (2008). Impact of caring for a child with cancer on parents' health-related quality of life. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 26(36), 5884–5889. https://doi. org/10.1200/JCO.2007.15.2835
- Klosky, J. L., Howell, C. R., Li, Z., Foster, R. H., Mertens, A. C., Robison, L. L., et al. (2012). Risky health behavior among adolescents in the childhood cancer survivor study cohort. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 37(6), 634–646. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jss046

- Knight, S. J., Conklin, H. M., Palmer, S., Schreiber, J., Armstrong, C. L., Wallace, D., et al. (2014). Working memory abilities among children treated for medulloblastoma: A longitudinal study of parent report and performance. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 39(5), 501–511. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsu009
- Krull, K. R., Hardy, K. K., Kahalley, L. S., Schuitema, I., & Kesler, S. R. (2018). Neurocognitive outcomes and interventions in long-term survivors of childhood cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 36(21), 2181–2189. https://doi. org/10.1200/JCO.2017.76.4696
- Kuppenheimer, W. G., & Brown, R. T. (2002). Painful procedures in pediatric cancer: A comparison of interventions. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 22(5), 753–786. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7358(02)00105-8
- Kupst, M. J., Natta, M. B., Richardson, C. C., Schulman, J. L., Lavigne, J. V., & Das, L. (1995). Family coping with pediatric leukemia: Ten years after treatment. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 20(5), 601–617. https://doi. org/10.1093/jpepsy/20.5.601
- Landier, W., Hughes, C. B., Calvillo, E. R., Anderson, N. L. R., Briseno-Toomey, D., Dominguez, L., et al. (2011). A grounded theory of the process of adherence to oral chemotherapy in Hispanic and Caucasian children and adolescents with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Journal of Pediatric Oncology Nursing*, 28(4), 203–223. https://doi. org/10.1177/1043454211409582
- Lilleyman, J. S., & Lennard, L. (1996). Non-compliance with oral chemotherapy in childhood leukaemia. *BMJ*, 313(7067), 1219–2120. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.313.7067.1219
- Lin, B., Gutman, T., Hanson, C. S., Ju, A., Manera, K., Butow, P., et al. (2020). Communication during childhood cancer: Systematic review of patient perspectives. *Cancer*, 126(4), 701–716. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.32637
- Long, K. A., & Marsland, A. L. (2011). Family adjustment to childhood cancer: A systematic review. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 14(1), 57–88. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-010-0082-z
- Long, K. A., Alderfer, M. A., Ewing, L. J., & Marsland, A. L. (2013). The role of contextual threat in predicting selfreported distress among siblings of children with cancer. *Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings*, 20, 199–208. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10880-012-9321-4
- Lotz, J. D., Jox, R. J., Borasio, G. D., & Fuhrer, M. (2013). Pediatric advance care planning: A systematic review. *Pediatrics*, 131(3), e873–e880. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2012-2394
- Lövgren, M., Udo, C., Alvariza, A., & Kreicbergs, U. (2020). Much is left unspoken: Self-reports from families in pediatric oncology. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 67(12), e28735. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.28735
- Lown, E. A., Phillips, F., Schwartz, L. A., Rosenberg, A. R., & Jones, B. (2015). Psychosocial follow-up in survivorship as a standard of care in pediatric oncology. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 62(S5), S514–S584. https://doi.org/10.1002/ pbc.25783
- Mack, J. W., Wolfe, J., Cook, E. F., Grier, H. E., Cleary, P. D., & Weeks, J. C. (2007). Hope and prognostic disclosure. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 25(35), 5636–5642. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2007.12.6110
- Mancini, A. F., Rosito, P., Canino, R., Calzetti, G., Di Caro, A., Salmi, S., et al. (1989). School-related behavior in children with cancer. *Pediatric Hematology and Oncology*, 6(2), 145–154. https://doi.org/10.3109/08880018909034280
- Martiniuk, A., Silva, M., Amylon, M., & Barr, R. (2014). Camp programs for children with cancer and their families: Review of research progress over the past decade. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 61(5), 778–787. https://doi. org/10.1002/pbc.24912
- McDonnell, G. A., Salley, C. G., Barnett, M., et al. (2017). Anxiety among adolescent survivors of pediatric cancer. Journal of Adolescent Health, 61(4), 409–423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2017.04.004
- McGrady, M. E., Williams, S. N., Davies, S. M., & Pai, A. L. (2014). Adherence to outpatient oral medication regimens in adolescent hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 18(2), 140–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejon.2013.11.007
- Mertens, A. C., & Gilleland Marchak, J. (2015). Mental health status of adolescent cancer survivors. Clinical Oncology in Adolescents and Young Adults, 5, 87–95. https://doi.org/10.2147/COAYA.S49174
- Michel, G., Brinkman, T. M., Wakefield, C. E., & Grootenhuis, M. (2020). Psychological outcomes, health-related quality of life, and neurocognitive functioning in survivors of childhood cancer and their parents. *Pediatric Clinics* of North America, 67(6), 1103–1134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcl.2020.07.005
- Mulhern, R. K., Merchant, T. E., Gajjar, A., Reddick, W. E., & Kun, L. E. (2004). Late neurocognitive sequelae in survivors of brain tumours in childhood. *Lancet Oncology*, 5(7), 399–408. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(04)01507-4
- Nathan, P. C., Hayes-Lattin, B., Sisler, J. J., & Hudson, M. M. (2011). Critical issues in transition and survivorship for adolescents and young adults with cancers. *Cancer*, 117(S10), 2335–2341. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.26042
- National Cancer Institute. (2021). Cancer in children and adolescents. https://www.cancer.gov/types/childhoodcancers/child-adolescent-cancers-fact-sheet. Accessed Apr 2021.
- Noll, R. B., LeRoy, S., Bukowski, W. M., Rogosch, F. A., & Kulkarni, R. (1991). Peer relationships and adjustment in children with cancer. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 16(3), 307–326. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/16.3.307
- Olson, K., & Amari, A. (2015). Self-reported pain in adolescents with leukemia or a brain tumor: A systematic review. *Cancer Nursing*, 38(5), E43–E53. https://doi.org/10.1097/NCC.00000000000214

- Pai, A. L., Greenley, R. N., Lewandowski, A., Drotar, D., Youngstrom, E., & Peterson, C. C. (2007). A meta-analytic review of the influence of pediatric cancer on parent and family functioning. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 21(3), 407–415. https://doi.org/10.1037/08933200.21.3.407
- Pai, A. L., Patiño-Fernández, A. M., McSherry, M., Beele, D., Alderfer, M. A., Reilly, A. T., et al. (2008). The Psychosocial Assessment Tool (PAT2.0): Psychometric properties of a screener for psychosocial distress in families of children newly diagnosed with cancer. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 33(1), 50–62. https://doi.org/10.1093/ jpepsy/jsm053
- Parris, K. R., Harman, J. L., Jurbergs, N., Allen, J. M., & Canavera, K. E. (2020). Beyond clinical practice in pediatric psychology: Illustrative experiences in influencing practice changes, public opinion, and governmental policies. *Psychological Services*, 17(S1), 98–109. https://doi.org/10.1037/ser0000385
- Patenaude, A. F., Pelletier, W., & Bingen, K. (2015). Communication, documentation, and training standards in pediatric psychosocial oncology. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 62(S5), S870–S895. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.25725
- Phipps, S., Jurbergs, N., & Long, A. (2009). Symptoms of post-traumatic stress in children with cancer: Does personality trump health status? *Psycho-Oncology*, 18(9), 992–1002. https://doi.org/10.1002/pon
- Phipps, S., Klosky, J. L., Long, A., Hudson, M. M., Huang, Q., Zhang, H., et al. (2014). Posttraumatic stress and psychological growth in children with cancer: Has the traumatic impact of cancer been overestimated? *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 32(7), 641–646. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2013.49.8212
- Pinto, B. M., & Trunzo, J. J. (2005). Health behaviors during and after a cancer diagnosis. *Cancer*, 104(S11), 2614–2623. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.21248
- Poort, H., Zupanc, S. N., Leiter, R. E., Wright, A. A., & Lindvall, C. (2020). Documentation of palliative and end-of-life care process measures among young adults who died of cancer: A natural language processing approach. *Journal of Adolescent and Young Adult Oncology*, 9(1), 100–104. https://doi.org/10.1089/jayao.2019.0040
- Prchal, A., & Landolt, M. A. (2009). Psychological interventions with siblings of pediatric cancer patients: A systematic review. *Psycho-Oncology*, 18(12), 1241–1251. https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.1565
- Price, J., Kassam-Adams, N., Alderfer, M. A., Christofferson, J., & Kazak, A. E. (2016). Systematic review: A reevaluation and update of the integrative (trajectory) model of pediatric medical traumatic stress. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 41(1), 86–97. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsv074
- Reddick, W. E., & Conklin, H. M. (2014). Impact of acute lymphoblastic leukemia therapy on attention and working memory in children. *Expert Review of Hematology*, 3(6), 655–659. https://doi.org/10.1586/ehm.10.65
- Reddick, W. E., Taghipour, D. J., Glass, J. O., Ashford, J. M., Xiong, X., Wu, S., et al. (2014). Prognostic factors that increase the risk for reduced white matter volumes and deficits in attention and learning for survivors of childhood cancers. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 61(6), 1074–1079. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.24947
- Robison, L. L., & Hudson, M. M. (2014). Survivors of childhood and adolescent cancer: Life-long risks and responsibilities. *National Reviews Cancer*, 14, 61–70. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3634
- Rosenberg, A. R., Bradford, M. C., Junkins, C. C., Taylor, M., Zhou, C., Sherr, N., et al. (2019). Effect of the Promoting Resilience in Stress Management intervention for parents of children with cancer (PRISM-P): A randomized clinical trial. JAMA Network Open, 2(9), e1911578. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.11578
- Runeson, I., Hallstrom, I., Elander, G., & Hermeren, G. (2002). Children's participation in the decision-making process during hospitalization: An observational study. *Nursing Ethics*, 9(6), 583–598. https://doi.org/10.1191/096973300 2ne5530a
- Sahler, O. J., Fairclough, D. L., Phipps, S., Mulhern, R. K., Dolgin, M. J., Noll, R. B., et al. (2005). Using problemsolving skills training to reduce negative affectivity in mothers of children with newly diagnosed cancer: Report of a multisite randomized trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 73(2), 272–283. https://doi. org/10.1037/0022-006X.73.2.272
- Sahler, O. J., Dolgin, M. J., Phipps, S., Fairclough, D. L., Askins, M. A., Katz, E. R., et al. (2013). Specificity of problem-solving skills training in mothers of children newly diagnosed with cancer: Results of a multisite randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 31(10), 1329–1335. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2011.39.1870
- Salley, C. G., & Catarozoli, C. (2019). Cognitive behavioral therapy in pediatric oncology: Flexible application of core principles. In R. Friedberg & J. Paternostro (Eds.), *Handbook of cognitive behavioral therapy for pediatric medical* conditions. Autism and child psychopathology series (pp. 315–327). Springer.
- Sansom-Daly, U. M., Wakefield, C. E., Patterson, P., Cohn, R. J., Rosenberg, A. R., Wiener, L., et al. (2020). End-of-life communication needs for adolescents and young adults with cancer: Recommendations for research and practice. *Journal of Adolescent and Young Adult Oncology*, 9(2), 157–165. https://doi.org/10.1089/jayao.2019.0084
- Sawyer, M., Antoniou, G., Toogood, I., Rice, M., & Baghurst, P. (2000). Childhood cancer: A 4-year prospective study of the psychological adjustment of children and parents. *Journal of Pediatric Hematology Oncology*, 22(3), 214– 220. https://doi.org/10.1097/00043426200005000-00006
- Schaefer, M. R., Spencer, S. K., Barnett, M., Reynolds, N. C., & Madan-Swain, A. (2019). Legacy artwork in pediatric oncology: The impact on bereaved caregivers' psychological functioning and grief. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 22(9), 1124–1128. https://doi.org/10.1089/jpm.2018.0329

- Schaefer, M. R., Wagoner, S. T., Young, M. E., Madan-Swain, A., Barnett, M., & Gray, W. N. (2020). Healing the hearts of bereaved parents: Impact of legacy artwork on grief in pediatric oncology. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 60(4), 790–800. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2020.04.018
- Selove, R., Kroll, T., Coppes, M., & Cheng, Y. (2012). Psychosocial services in the first 30 days after diagnosis: Results of a web-based survey of Children's Oncology Group (COG) member institutions. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 58(3), 435–440. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.23235
- Siegel, R. L., Miller, K. D., & Jemal, A. (2016). Cancer statistics, 2016. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, 66(1), 7–30. https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21332
- Siembida, E. J., & Bellizzi, K. M. (2015). The doctor-patient relationship in the adolescent cancer setting: A developmentally focused literature review. *Journal of Adolescent and Young Adult Oncology*, 4(3), 108–117. https://doi. org/10.1089/jayao.2015.0011
- Smithson, E. F., Phillips, R., Harvey, D. W., & Morrall, M. C. (2013). The use of stimulant medication to improve neurocognitive and learning outcomes in children diagnosed with brain tumours: A systematic review. *European Journal of Cancer*, 49(14), 3029–3040. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2013.05.023
- Spinetta, J. J., Masera, G., Eden, T., Oppenheim, D., Martins, A. G., van Dongen-Melman, J., et al. (2002). Refusal, non-compliance, and abandonment of treatment in children and adolescents with cancer: A report of the SIOP Working Committee on Psychosocial Issues in pediatric Oncology. *Medical and Pediatric Oncology*, 38(2), 114– 117. https://doi.org/10.1002/mpo.1283
- Stam, H., Grootenhuis, M. A., & Last, B. F. (2001). Social and emotional adjustment in young survivors of childhood cancer. Supportive Care in Cancer, 9(7), 489–513. https://doi.org/10.1007/s005200100271
- Steele, R. G., Dreyer, M. L., & Phipps, S. (2004). Patterns of maternal distress among children with cancer and their association with child emotional and somatic distress. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 29(7), 507–517. https://doi. org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsh053
- Steele, A. C., Mullins, L. L., Mullins, A. J., & Muriel, A. C. (2015). Psychosocial interventions and therapeutic support as a standard of care in pediatric oncology. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 62(S5), S585–S618. https://doi.org/10.1002/ pbc.25701
- Stegenga, K., & Ward-Smith, P. (2008). The adolescent perspective on participation in treatment decision making: A pilot study. *Journal of Pediatric Oncology Nursing*, 25(2), 112–117. https://doi.org/10.1177/1043454208314515
- Stehl, M. L., Kazak, A. E., Alderfer, M. A., Rodriguez, A., Hwang, W. T., Pai, A. L., et al. (2009). Conducting a randomized clinical trial of an psychological intervention for parents/caregivers of children with cancer shortly after diagnosis. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 34(8), 803–816. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsn130
- Stevens, B. J., Abbott, L. K., Yamada, J., Harrison, D., Stinson, J., Taddio, A., et al. (2011). Epidemiology and management of painful procedures in children in Canadian hospitals. *CMAJ*, 183(7), E403–E410. https://doi.org/10.1503/ cmaj.101341
- Taylor, J., Booth, A., Beresford, B., Phillips, B., Wright, K., & Fraser, L. (2020). Specialist paediatric palliative care for children and young people with cancer: A mixed-methods systematic review. *Palliative Medicine*, 34(6), 731–775. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269216320908490
- Thompson, A. L., & Young-Saleme, T. K. (2015). Anticipatory guidance and psychoeducation as a standard of care in pediatric oncology. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 62(S5), S684–S693. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.25721
- Thompson, A. L., Christiansen, H. L., Elam, H., Hoag, J., Irwin, M. K., Pao, M., et al. (2015). Academic continuity and school reentry support as a standard of care in pediatric oncology. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 62(S5), S805–S817. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.25760
- Tiffenberg, J., Wood, E., Alonso, A., Tossuti, M., & Vincente, M. (2000). A randomized trial of ACINDES: A child centered training model for children with chronic illnesses (asthma and epilepsy). *Journal of Urban Health*, 77(2), 280–297. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02390539
- Tillery, R., Cohen, R., Berlin, K. S., Long, A., & Phipps, S. (2017). Youth's adjustment to cancer: Examination of patterns of adjustment and the role of peer relations. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 42(10), 1123–1132. https://doi. org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsx067
- Tillery, R., Willard, V. W., Long, A., & Phipps, S. (2019). Posttraumatic stress in young children with cancer: Risk factors and comparison with healthy peers. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 66(8), e27775. https://doi.org/10.1002/ pbc.27775
- Trask, P. C., Paterson, A. G., Trask, C. L., Bares, C. B., Birt, J., & Maan, C. (2003). Parent and adolescent adjustment to pediatric cancer: Associations with coping, social support, and family function. *Journal of Pediatric Oncology Nursing*, 20(1), 36–47. https://doi.org/10.1053/jpon.2003.5
- Twycross, A., Parker, R., Williams, A., & Gibson, F. (2015). Cancer-related pain and pain management: Sources, prevalence, and the experiences of children and parents. *Journal of Pediatric Oncology Nursing*, 32(6), 369–384. https://doi.org/10.1177/1043454214563751
- Ullrich, N. J., & Embry, L. (2012). Neurocognitive dysfunction in survivors of childhood brain tumors. *Seminars in Pediatric Neurology*, 19(1), 35–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spen.2012.02.014

- Van Schoors, M., Caes, L., Verhofstadt, L. L., Goubert, L., & Alderfer, M. A. (2015). Systematic review: Family resilience after pediatric cancer diagnosis. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 40(9), 856–868. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsv055
- Varni, J. W., Seid, M., & Kurtin, P. S. (2001). PedsQL 4.0: Reliability and validity of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory Version 4.0 Generic core Scales in healthy and patient populations. *Medical Care*, 39(8), 800–812.
- Wakefield, C. E., McLoone, J. K., Butow, P., Lenthen, K., & Cohn, R. J. (2011). Parental adjustment to the completion of their child's cancer treatment. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 56(4), 524–531. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.22725
- Weaver, M. S., Heinze, K. E., Kelly, K. P., Wiener, L., Casey, R. L., Bell, C. J., et al. (2015). Palliative care as a standard of care in pediatric oncology. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 62(S5), S829–S833. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.25695
- Wiener, L., Kazak, A. E., Noll, R. B., Patenaude, A. F., & Kupst, M.J. (Eds.). (2015). Standards for psychosocial care for children with cancer and their families [Special issue]. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 62(S5), S419–S895.
- Wijnberg-Williams, B. J., Kamps, W. A., Klip, E. C., & Hoekstra-Weebers, J. E. (2006). Psychological adjustment of parents of pediatric cancer patients revisited: Five years later. *Psycho-Oncology*, 15(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1002/ pon.927
- Willard, V. W., Cox, L. E., Russell, K. M., Kenney, A., Jurbergs, N., Molnar, A. E., Jr., et al. (2017). Cognitive and psychosocial functioning of preschool-age children with cancer. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics*, 38(8), 638–645. https://doi.org/10.1097/DBP.00000000000512
- Winter, A. L., Conklin, H. M., Tyc, V. L., Stancel, H. H., Hinds, P. S., Hudson, M. M., et al. (2014). Executive function late effects in survivors of pediatric brain tumors and acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 36(8), 818–830. https://doi.org/10.1080/13803395.2014.943695
- World Health Organization. (2020). Palliative Care. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/palliative-care. Accessed 2 Apr 2021.