

14 Substance-Related and Addictive

Mira Zein and Itai Danovitch

Disorders

Introduction

The Substance-Related and Addictive Disorders section of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, 5th edition (DSM-5), encompasses ten substance classes and their disorders and gambling disorder [\[1](#page-29-0)]. These diagnostic criteria encompass maladaptive patterns of substance use and gambling behaviors with loss of control, compulsivity, and harmful biological and psychosocial consequences. These are syndromes with common, core symptoms and wide-ranging features depending on the substance, the environment, and the intrinsic characteristics of the individual [\[2](#page-29-1)].

Substance-Related Disorders and Gambling Disorder

Introduction

The DSM-5 divides substance-related disorders into substance-use disorders and substance-induced disorders, focusing on ten major substance categories (Fig. [14.1](#page-1-0)). A substance-use disorder (SUD) is defned as "a problematic pattern of substance use leading to "clinically signifcant impairment or distress," manifest by at least 2 of 11 criteria over a given year" [[1\]](#page-29-0). Substance-induced disorders consist of substance intoxication, substance withdrawal, and "other

substance-induced disorders," which encompass a variety of substance-induced mental disorders covered in other DSM-5 chapters (e.g., opioid-induced anxiety disorders are covered under "Anxiety Disorders"). Note that substance withdrawal is both a substance-induced disorder and a diagnostic criterion for substance-use disorders (see Table [14.3](#page-20-0) in the Phenomenology Section).

The nine specifc classes (the tenth class is "other") of intoxicating substances identifed in the DSM-5 are shown in Fig. [14.1,](#page-1-0) and the diagnostic conditions are shown in Table [14.1](#page-1-1). Of note, while caffeine is recognized as a substance class, the DSM-5 chapter defnes caffeine-induced disorders (caffeine intoxication and caffeine withdrawal), but has not yet included caffeine use disorder as an official diagnosis (see section "Future Directions"). Gambling disorder is defned as "persistent and recurrent problematic gambling behavior leading to clinically signifcant impairment or distress, as indicated by the individual exhibiting at least 2 of 9 criteria in a 12 month period" [\[1](#page-29-0)].

Epidemiology of Substance-Related Disorders and Gambling Disorder

According to the 2020 United Nations World Drug Report, on an annual basis, approximately 269 million people globally use intoxicating substances other than alcohol (Fig. [14.2](#page-2-0)), 35 million people have a substance-use disorder, and 500,000 die because of their substance use [\[3](#page-29-2)]. In addition, approximately 38% of the population drinks alcohol, with 3.5–14.8% having an alcohol use disorder, and harmful use resulting in three million deaths and 131 million disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) each year (Fig. [14.3](#page-3-0)) [\[4](#page-29-3)].

The National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) provides detailed information about SUD in the United States [[5\]](#page-29-4). As Fig. [14.4](#page-3-1) demonstrates, in 2019, among people over the age of 12, over 165 million people (60% of the pop-

M. Zein (\boxtimes)

Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Department of Primary Care and Population Health, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA e-mail[: mzein@stanford.edu](mailto:mzein@stanford.edu)

I. Danovitch

Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Neurosciences, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA e-mail[: Itai.Danovitch@cshs.org](mailto:Itai.Danovitch@cshs.org)

Fig. 14.1 DSM-5 defined substance classes for substance-related disorders

Table 14.1 Substance-related and addictive disorders

Table 14.1 (continued)

Fig. 14.2 Global prevalence and number of people (in millions) who use substances and have substance-use disorders

ulation) reported using an intoxicating substance in the past month (80% in the past year). Among these, 140 million people used alcohol, 58 million used tobacco, and 31 million used cannabis in the past month. Among illicit drugs, use of prescription opioids was most common, followed by cocaine, sedative-hypnotics, hallucinogens, and stimulants [\[5](#page-29-4)].

Additionally, 20 million people (7.4% of the US adult population) had a SUD in the past year. Figure [14.5](#page-3-2) shows

the breakdown of specifc SUDs. The total number is greater than 20 million because over a third of individuals have two or more SUDs. Only 11% of those people actually received treatment at a hospital, a drug or alcohol rehabilitation program, or a mental health center [[5\]](#page-29-4).

Figure [14.6](#page-4-0) demonstrates how SUD, mental illness, and medical conditions often co-occur as each category of illness can predispose and perpetuate illness in the other categories.

Fig. 14.3 (**a**) Percentage of alcohol-attributable deaths and (**b**) percentage of alcohol-attributable disability-adjusted-life years (DALYs) globally, by broad disease category, as of 2016

Fig. 14.4 Past month substance use among persons over the age of 12 in the United States in 2019

Fig. 14.5 Past year substance-use disorder among persons over the age of 12 in the United States in 2019

Fig. 14.7 Facts on gambling and gambling disorder in the United States

Approximately, 8.5 million adults (3.4%) had both a mental health condition and an alcohol or SUD in the past year. Among people with a SUD, 15 million (73%) had an alcohol use disorder, eight million (40%) had an illicit drug use disorder, and 2.7 million (13%) had both [\[5](#page-29-4)].

The lifetime prevalence of gambling disorder ranges globally between 0.2% and 2% depending on country and study, with a US average lifetime prevalence of 0.5% [[6,](#page-29-5) [7](#page-29-6)]. According to the WHO, there are 350 million people worldwide who display problematic gambling behavior, with a 12-month prevalence of 0.1–5.8% [[8\]](#page-29-7). As of 2015, over fve million Americans met the criteria for gambling use disorder. Approximately two-thirds of persons with gambling disorder have a comorbid substance-use disorder, and gambling disorder is associated with an elevated risk of suicide attempts and completion (Fig. [14.7\)](#page-4-1) [[6\]](#page-29-5).

Etiology

Substance-related and gambling disorders are paradigmatic biopsychosocial diseases. The etiology of these disorders encompasses complex interactions between biological factors (genetic vulnerability, physiological and behavioral responses to substance use, epigenetic changes to neurocircuits governing addiction), environmental factors (legality and criminalization, cultural perceptions, availability), psychological factors (personality traits such as novelty seeking), and substance factors (dose, route, biological action). Figure [14.8](#page-4-2) summarizes the domains and factors that confer protective effects or vulnerability to substance-related and gambling disorders.

Genetics

Early family-based studies pointed to alcohol and other substance-use disorders clustering in families. Adoption

studies further demonstrated genetic predisposition, with the presence of SUD among biological parents associated with increased risk in their offspring regardless of the adoptive environment [\[9](#page-29-8)]. As shown in Fig. [14.9,](#page-5-0) large cohort studies of monozygotic twins have provided heritability estimates for several different substance-use disorders [\[11](#page-29-9)]. These ranges indicate a signifcant genetic contributor to substanceuse disorders. For gambling disorder, familial and twin studies have reported a higher presence of gambling disorder in family members of individuals diagnosed with gambling disorder, with one study fnding a lifetime prevalence of up to 20% of frst-degree relatives [[6\]](#page-29-5).

Animal studies and different candidate gene association studies (CGAS) have implicated genes that underlie the neurobiology of substance-related disorders. For instance, underlying all substances with addiction potential is their ability to activate the brain's reward pathway and increase dopamine levels in the nucleus accumbens. In addition to dopamine, other neurotransmitters including serotonin, opioid peptides such as dynorphins, gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), acetylcholine, endocannabinoids, and glutamate also contribute to reward pathway activation [\[11](#page-29-9)]. Several of

Fig. 14.9 Heritability estimates of substance-use disorders from monozygotic twin, adoption, and family studies. (Adapted from Ho et al. [\[10\]](#page-29-10) with permission)

the genetic polymorphisms related to SUDs have also been linked to gambling disorder [[6,](#page-29-5) [7](#page-29-6)]. Table [14.2](#page-5-1) demonstrates a small sample of different genes implicated in various substance-related disorder phenotypes, including the involvement of different neurotransmitter systems and substance metabolism.

Neurodevelopment

Infuencing the neurodevelopment of substance use and gambling behaviors are not only genetic polymorphisms but also epigenetic changes generated through early life stressors, such as insecure attachments; physical, emotional, and

Table 14.2 CGAS studies revealing genes implicated in substanceuse disorder and gambling disorder phenotypes (Adapted from Ho et al. [\[10\]](#page-29-10) with permission)

Target	Gene	Phenotype					
Dopamine							
Receptors	DRD ₂	Smoking initiation, persistence, cigarette consumption, cessation					
		Heroin use, cocaine use disorder					
		Psychostimulant polysubstance-use disorder (mild)					
		Alcoholism					
	DRD ₃	Gambling disorder					
	DRD4	Smoking risk, time to first cigarette, craving and response to smoking cues, nicotine use disorder					
		Heroin, cocaine use disorder					
		Methamphetamine use					
		Gambling disorder					
Transporters	SLC6A3	Smoking risk					
	(DATI)	Cocaine use disorder					
Metabolism	$MAO-A$	Cigarette consumption, smoking risk, nicotine use disorder					
		Gambling disorder					
	TH	Smoking risk					
	DBH	Smoking risk, nicotine use disorder					
	COMT	Smoking risk, treatment response to nicotine spray and patch, nicotine use disorder					
		Heroin, cocaine use disorder					
		Alcohol use disorder					
		Methamphetamine use					
		Gambling disorder					
Serotonin							
Transporters	SLC6A4 (5HTT, SERT)	Smoking risk, cigarette consumption, use disorder					
		Alcohol use disorder					
		Heroin use disorder					
		Gambling disorder					
Metabolism	TPH1, 2	Age of smoking initiation, risk of smoking					
		Heroin use disorder					
		Alcohol use disorder					

Table 14.2 (continued)

DRD2 D2 dopamine receptor; *DRD3* D3 dopamine receptor; *DRD4* D4 dopamine receptor; *SLC6A3 (DAT1)* dopamine transporter type 1; *MAO-A* monoamine oxidase A; *TH* tyrosine hydroxylase; *DBH* dopamine β hydroxylase; *COMT* catechol-*O*-methyltransferase; *SLC6A4 (5HTT, SERT)* serotonin transporter; *TPH1,2* tryptophan hydroxylase 1 and 2; *HTR2A* 2A serotonin receptor; *OPRM1/K1/D1* opioid receptor M1/K1/D1 subtypes; *CHRNA3/A4/A5/B4* nicotinic cholinergic receptor, α3, α4, α5, β4 subunit; *GABRG2* GABA_A receptor, γ2 subunit; GABRA2 GABA_A receptor, α2 subunit; *GABBR2* GABA_B receptor, subunit 2

sexual abuse; neglect; household instability; and poverty. These stressors lead to epigenetic changes that will alter circuits, infuencing future substance use [\[12](#page-29-11), [13\]](#page-30-0). Brain regions that are central to drug reward and reinforcement of behavior are part of the dopaminergic system [\[12](#page-29-11), [14](#page-30-1)]. While dopaminergic circuits govern the robust drug reward response in substance use, recent publications review nondopaminergic circuits that also contribute to the development of substancerelated disorders [\[14](#page-30-1)].

Figure [14.10](#page-7-0) demonstrates the interconnectedness between the dopaminergic (DA) reward pathway, the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis, and oxytocin (OT) circuits in the brain. Adverse childhood experiences lead to epigenetic changes in dopamine, oxytocin, and glucocorticoid production and receptor expression, which then changes brain reward pathways and how the brain responds to external cues. These alterations lead to higher-risk behaviors and development of mental health disorders that increase an individual's susceptibility to substance-use disorders [[13\]](#page-30-0).

The Dopamine Reward System

Figure [14.11](#page-8-0) visualizes the main DA pathways in the brain. Most cerebral DA neurons are located in the ventral midbrain where the mesolimbic, mesocortical, and nigrostriatal DA systems begin. The mesolimbic DA system, known for its roles in reward and motivation, arises from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and projects to the nucleus accumbens (NAc) (part of the ventral striatum) and amygdala (AMY); the mesocortical pathway projects from the VTA to the prefrontal cortex (PFC). The nigrostriatal DA system coordinates voluntary movement and regulates habit formation; it originates from the substantia nigra (SN) and projects to the dorsal striatum (DS) [[14\]](#page-30-1).

DA-related dysfunction has been associated with the pathophysiology of many psychiatric disorders, including depression, psychosis, substance-related, and gambling disorders. A growing body of research has established associations between early life experiences and DA changes. Figure [14.10](#page-7-0) summarizes collated fndings on dopaminergic changes at the molecular, neuroendocrine, and behavioral levels [\[6](#page-29-5), [14](#page-30-1)].

The Hypothalamic–Pituitary–Adrenal Axis

The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis governs the body's stress response and infuences continued drug use, withdrawal, and relapse. Figure 14.12 outlines the HPA axis and its connection to the dopaminergic reward pathway. Stress activates the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) of the hypothalamus to release corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF). CRF binds to receptors in the pituitary to stimulate adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) production [\[11](#page-29-9)]. ACTH is then transported to adrenal glands, leading to cortisol secretion. Once released, cortisol acts at glucocorticoid receptors (GRs) in the hypothalamus, pituitary, and hippocampus, to suppress further CRF and ACTH production (Fig. [14.12\)](#page-8-1) [[13\]](#page-30-0). The amygdala also produces CRF and mobilizes the HPA axis. Cortisol will stimulate the VTA, which leads to the activation of reward-seeking behaviors to mitigate stress (Fig. 14.12) [[13\]](#page-30-0).

As discussed below, in the withdrawal/negative affect stage of substance misuse, HPA axis dysregulation impacts SUD. Additionally, stress exposure precipitates substance use onset, decreases motivation to discontinue use, and enhances the risk of relapse [\[13](#page-30-0)]. The right column of Fig. [14.10](#page-7-0) depicts how early childhood adversities increase HPA expression and stress sensitivity at a molecular level, leading to an individual's impaired capacity to respond effectively to stress and increasing susceptibility to sub-stance use [[13\]](#page-30-0).

Oxytocin Pathways

Oxytocin's multiple functions are refected in Fig. [14.13,](#page-9-0) which depicts modulatory interactions with systems impli-

Fig. 14.10 Developmental and neurobiological pathways linking adverse childhood experience to susceptibility to addiction, due to modifcations in the dopamine, oxytocin, and hypothalamic–pituitary– adrenal systems at molecular, neuroendocrine, and behavioral levels. *DA* dopamine; *OT* oxytocin; *GC* glucocorticoid; *rec* receptor; *CRF*

cated in mood, stress, immune function, and addiction. Oxytocin is synthesized in the PVN and supraoptic nuclei. It is transported to the posterior pituitary gland. Oxytocinproducing neurons project to brain regions involved in social interactions, including the amygdala, ventral tegmental area, and the ventral striatum [\[13](#page-30-0), [15](#page-30-2)].

As Fig. [14.13a](#page-9-0) demonstrates, oxytocin inhibits the HPA and dopamine reward systems. Studies have demonstrated oxytocin-mitigated inhibition of substance consumption, substance reward response, emotional impairments in withdrawal, and stress-induced relapse [[15\]](#page-30-2). In early childhood adversity, all of the systems in Fig. [14.13](#page-9-0) are dysregulated, including suboptimal development of the oxytocin system. As depicted in the middle column of Fig. [14.10,](#page-7-0) oxytocin corticotropin-releasing factor; *PFC* prefrontal cortex; *HPA* hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal; *PTSD* post-traumatic stress disorder. (*Reproduced from* Strathearn et al. [[13](#page-30-0)]; [https://www.frontiersin.org/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00737/full) [articles/10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00737/full](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00737/full). Creative Commons Attribution License [CC BY 4.0])

dysfunction leads to changes in social behaviors that increase the risk of substance-use disorders [[13,](#page-30-0) [15\]](#page-30-2). In early childhood adversity, the modulatory role of oxytocin on the other systems is also reduced. As oxytocin levels and reactivity are reduced, the negative feedback loops it regulates become dysfunctional. The associated outcome is an increased susceptibility to substance-related disorders through increased expression of dopaminergic and HPA systems, as seen in Fig. [14.13b](#page-9-0) [[15\]](#page-30-2).

Comorbidities with Personality, Psychiatric, and Medical Conditions

The genetic and epigenetic changes that alter different neurobiological systems not only impact vulnerability to

substance-related and gambling disorders but also infuence an individual's development of personality traits, medical disorders, and psychiatric illness. In Fig. [14.14](#page-10-0), genomewide association studies (GWAS) mapped correlations between cigarette smoking and alcohol use parameters and various medical and psychiatric illnesses, with purple shading corresponding to negative correlations, orange to positive correlations, and intensity of color mapping to strength.

The result was a network of interconnected correlations between alcohol- and nicotine-related behavior and a multitude of comorbidities [[16\]](#page-30-3).

Personality Traits

Figure [14.15](#page-11-0) depicts three studied systems of personality.

Image (A) depicts the positive emotionality/extroversion (PEM/E) personality trait, which correlates with increased

Fig. 14.13 Bidirectional interactions between oxytocin and other systems implicated in addiction. Note that only oxytocin, HPA-axis, and dopamine reward system discussed in detail in this chapter. *HPA* hypothalamic–pituitary– adrenal axis. (*Reproduced from* Bowen et al. [\[15\]](#page-30-2); *with permission*)

Fig. 14.14 Summary of GWAS studies correlations with cigarettes and alcohol markers and chronic physical and mental disorders. (*Reproduced from* Liu et al. [[16](#page-30-3)]; *with permission*)

AgeSmk CigDay SmkInit SmkCes DrnkWk

reward sensitivity, demonstrated as positive affect, strong motivation, desire, enthusiasm, and optimism. The PEM/E personality is modulated by the dopaminergic systems previously discussed and connected to changes in the D2 receptor gene, and in studies, high PEM/E expression decreases the risk of SUD development and low PEM/E increases risk [\[17\]](#page-30-4). The blue arrows indicate the PEM/E brain system, with circuits from the ascending dopaminergic system originating from the mesencephalon and innervating the striatum, rostral anterior cingulate (rACC) cortex, and ventromedial prefrontal cortex.

Image (B) depicts the negative emotionality/neuroticism (NEM/N) personality trait, which represents underlying sensitivity to punishment and stress signals. Individuals with high NEM/N are more likely to have anger, anxiety, guilt, and depression. The NEM/N trait is modulated by connections between the frontal cortex and the amygdala. Individuals with high NEM/N have decreased prefrontal control over the amygdala, which increases substance-use risk [\[17](#page-30-4)]. The

green arrows indicate the NEM/N system, with glutamatergic outputs from the rACC and vmPFC to the amygdala and insula (insula not shown).

Image (C) depicts the constraint (CON) personality trait, which is thought to be a more complex personality expression that encompasses behavioral restraint via intentional and volitional control versus impulsivity, and as such involves more complex circuits in the brain. Low CON in studies is consistently associated with SUD [\[17](#page-30-4)]. The green arrows indicate the CON brain system, with circuits from the pre-supplementary motor area (preSMA) and right inferior frontal gyrus (rIFG) to the striatum and the subthalamic nucleus (STN).

Psychiatric and Medical Comorbidities

Multiple national population surveys have found that about half of those who experience a mental illness during their lives will also experience a SUD and vice versa [[5](#page-29-4)]. Figure [14.16,](#page-11-1) taken from the 2019 NSDUH survey, shows

Fig. 14.15 Genes and correlated brain systems involved in the phenotypic expression of personality traits, *PFC* prefrontal cortex; *PEM/E* positive emotionality/extroversion; *NEM/N* negative emotionality/neuroticism; *CON* constraint. (*Adapted from* Belcher et al. [[17](#page-30-4)]; *with permission*). The arrows indicate major input and output regions (see text) for the involved brain systems

that out of 61.2 million adults who had either SUD or a mental illness diagnosis, 9.5 million people experienced both [[4\]](#page-29-3). Reciprocal co-occurrence, as demonstrated in Fig. [14.16](#page-11-1), is hypothesized to occur due to (1) overlapping genetic, neurobiological, developmental, and environmental infuences, (2) increased risk of substance use as a way to self-medicate a mental illness, and/or mental illness and neurological changes increase substance use propensity, and (3) substance use may alter neural pathways that increase a person's propensity to develop a mental illness [\[5,](#page-29-4) [9](#page-29-8)].

In the US National Comorbidity Survey Replication study, 96% of individuals with disordered gambling were estimated to have one or more psychiatric disorder and 64% have been estimated to have three or more psychiatric disorders [\[6](#page-29-5)]. As seen in Fig. [14.17,](#page-12-0) major comorbidities included substance-use disorders, anxiety and trauma disorders, mood disorders, and impulse control disorders [\[6](#page-29-5)].

Figure [14.18](#page-13-0) demonstrates that patients with SUD had a higher prevalence of 19 major health problems. Chronic pain, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, congestive heart failure, and hepatitis C are among the most elevated

prevalence. Patients with SUD also had a heightened 10-year mortality risk. Patients with opioid use disorder exhibited the highest elevation in 10-year mortality risk, with average disease-burden scores that were nearly twice as high as patients without opioid use disorder [\[18](#page-30-5)].

The reciprocal co-occurrence between SUD and medical disorders is due to direct damaging effects of the substances themselves on target organs (i.e., alcohol and hepatic cirrhosis or nicotine inhalation and lung diseases), consequences of the method of use (i.e., HIV and hepatitis C and injectable substances), links between mental illness, substance use, and decreased self-care, and epigenetic impacts of early adversity not only on substance use but also on the risk for concurrent physical illnesses (i.e., chronic pain, heart disease, diabetes [\[18](#page-30-5), [19](#page-30-6)]).

Social and Structural Determinants

Figure [14.19](#page-13-1) models the complex interaction between physical, economic, and sociocultural factors that contribute to substance use and gambling patterns and consequences [[6,](#page-29-5) [20](#page-30-7)]. These social and structural determinants infuence behavioral patterns but also shape how attitudes and policies impact the treatment of these disorders.

Fig. 14.17 Percent prevalence of substance-use disorders and mental health conditions co-occurring gambling disorder. (Data from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication; reproduced from Potenza et al. [[6](#page-29-5)]; *with permission*)

Fig. 14.19 Social and structural determinants contributing to the risk of developing a substance use or gambling disorder

There is budding research studying how structural vulnerabilities impact different stages of substance use and gambling disorders. In one study, increased illicit opiate and

stimulant use in a population of HIV-positive women was associated with discrimination/stigma, economic hardship, and a summation of multiple adversities [\[21](#page-30-8)]. In another study, low rates of treatment for Hispanic men with alcohol use disorder mapped to poor access, lack of culturally and linguistically appropriate treatment, lack of cultural and community awareness and stigmatization, and education [\[22](#page-30-9)]. A study surveying US adults nationally found that adults living in disadvantaged neighborhoods and with lower educational status had higher rates of gambling disorder [\[23](#page-30-10)]. Thus, these environmental factors become just as important and complex in shaping the stages of substance misuse as an individual's biological underpinnings.

Pathophysiology

Stages of Substance Misuse and Gambling Leading to Substance-Related and Gambling Disorders

As Fig. [14.20](#page-14-0) illustrates, substance-use and gambling disorders begin with an initial exposure and an associated reward response. The reward signaling in the brain positively reinforces substance use and/or gambling. Repeat stimulus/ reward and positive reinforcement lead to an individual associating the addictive substance or gambling behavior including its places, items, emotional states, and people—with an incentivized positive stimulus. This phenomenon, known as incentive salience, motivates the individual to continue substance use. Over time, an individual loses their ability to control their behavior. With continued use, neuroadaptations occur, the reward signals and positive reinforcement decrease, and the expression of stress systems increases. The negative emotional and physical states of substance withdrawal lead to negative reinforcement of continued use and eventually to dependence [[12,](#page-29-11) [14](#page-30-1)]. Research on gambling

disorder suggests a similar pattern of initial conditioning stimuli to perpetuate gambling use and reward impulsive behaviors, with decreased changes in reward signaling over time and stress dysregulation [\[6](#page-29-5)].

The pathophysiology of substance-related and gambling disorders is conceptualized in three stages of an everworsening cycle, which include the binge/intoxication, withdrawal/negative affect, and preoccupation/anticipation stages (Fig. [14.21\)](#page-15-0). In the binge/intoxication stage, an individual consumes an intoxicating substance or engages in gambling behaviors and experiences the pleasurable reward that reinforces use. In the withdrawal/negative affect stage, the individual will experience a negative physical and emotional state without the substance/gambling behavior, and in the preoccupation/anticipation stage, the individual feels compelled to use the substance/gamble again after a period of abstinence [\[6](#page-29-5), [12](#page-29-11), [14](#page-30-1)].

There are at least 18 discrete neuro-circuits in the brain that mediate these pathophysiologic stages [[14\]](#page-30-1). The three major brain regions involved in the development and persistence of SUD and gambling disorders are the basal ganglia, the amygdala and its extended structures, and the prefrontal cortex (Fig. [14.21\)](#page-15-0) [\[6](#page-29-5), [12,](#page-29-11) [14](#page-30-1)]. The major neurotransmitters and structures involved in the various stages are discussed here.

Neuroanatomy

The ventral tegmental area and basal ganglia structures, including the nucleus accumbens and dorsal striatum (DS), are discussed in the section on the dopaminergic pathways (Fig. [14.11](#page-8-0)) [[14\]](#page-30-1).

The extended amygdala, including the amygdala (CeA), and the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST) regulate

Fig. 14.21 The three stages and major brain regions involved in the substance and gambling misuse cycle

the brain's stress response and associated behavioral and emotional responses to stress (Fig. [14.21\)](#page-15-0). As part of the stress circuitry, the extended amygdala has connections to the hippocampus to infuence memory formation around substance use and the hypothalamus to activate the HPA axis and regulate cortisol release. The extended amygdala's functions play a role both initially in incentive salience and positive reinforcement but also in the negative reinforcement and withdrawal aspects of the misuse cycle leading to habituation and dependence [\[12](#page-29-11), [14](#page-30-1), [24](#page-30-11)].

The prefrontal cortex (PFC) (Fig. [14.21\)](#page-15-0) is responsible for complex cognitive functions, including organization and prioritization of thoughts, activities, and behaviors; time management; complex decision-making; and regulation of action, emotions, and impulses. In substance use and gambling, the structures of the PFC (including the anterior cingulate cortex, the medial-orbitofrontal cortex, and the ventrolateral PFC) initially exert inhibitory control over substance use, but these circuits are downregulated with repeated, excess use [[6,](#page-29-5) [12,](#page-29-11) [14\]](#page-30-1).

Binge/Intoxication Stage

The main neuroanatomical structures and circuits involved in the binge intoxication stage are demonstrated in Fig. [14.22](#page-16-0). Imaging studies such as Fig. [14.23](#page-16-1) demonstrate that intoxicating doses of alcohol and drugs, as well as repeated wins and near-losses in gambling, release dopamine and opioid peptides from the VTA either directly or indirectly into the nucleus accumbens [\[6](#page-29-5), [12,](#page-29-11) [14\]](#page-30-1). Dopaminergic projections from the VTA to the extended amygdala, the hippocampus, and insula create the positive emotional affliations that lend to incentive salience (Fig. [14.22](#page-16-0)).

As Fig. [14.24](#page-17-0) demonstrates, intoxicating substances lead to a much higher release of dopamine in the synapses of the reward pathway than natural rewards, encouraging repeat use to obtain a greater reward stimulus. Projections to the dorsal striatum (DS) encourage substance- and gamblingseeking behaviors; repeat DS signaling leads to habit formation and contributes to compulsive use (Figs. [14.11](#page-8-0) and [14.22\)](#page-16-0) [[6,](#page-29-5) [12](#page-29-11), [14\]](#page-30-1). While the prefrontal cortex provides inhibitory control to the basal ganglia and the extended amygdala through inhibitory gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) projections, these inhibitory signals begin to weaken as repeated stimulation of the reward circuits strengthen the incentive salience of the substance [[6,](#page-29-5) [12,](#page-29-11) [14,](#page-30-1) [25](#page-30-12)] (Fig. [14.22](#page-16-0), PFC projections).

Withdrawal/Negative Afect Stage

With chronic substance exposure, there are changes in the reward pathways that lead to diminished reward responses; in particular, decreased dopaminergic transmission in the nucleus accumbens and decrease opioid peptide signalling leads to decreased reward experience [\[6](#page-29-5), [12,](#page-29-11) [14,](#page-30-1) [25\]](#page-30-12) (Fig. [14.22](#page-16-0)). These changes in dopaminergic signaling

Fig. 14.22 Positive reward reinforcement and increased incentive salience in the binge/intoxication phase. *PFC* prefrontal cortex; *DS* dorsal striatum; *Nac* nucleus accumbens; *BNST* bed nucleus of the stria terminalis; *CeA* amygdala; *Hyp* hypothalamus; *VTA* ventral tegmental area; *HIP* hippocampus

Fig. 14.23 Neural activity to winning and near-miss outcomes. (**a**) Neural responses to monetary wins compared to all non-wins, modeled to the onset of the outcome phase, with signifcant win-related activity in the striatum, ventral tegmental area, anterior insula, and prefrontal

cortex (anterior cingulate). (**b**) Neural responses to near-miss compared to full miss outcomes, with signifcant activity in the bilateral striatum and anterior insula. (*Reproduced from* Clark [\[32\]](#page-30-13); [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.12.031) [org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.12.031.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.12.031) [CC BY 3.0])

Typically, dopamine increases in response to natural rewards such as food. When cocaine is taken, dopamine increases are exaggerated, and communication is denied.

Fig. 14.24 Dopamine release in reward circuitry with food/other natural reward stimulus vs intoxicating substance or gambling (example of cocaine). (*Reproduced from* Ambre et al. [\[33\]](#page-30-14); *with permission*)

include a decrease in the expression of D2 receptors in reward circuitry. Figure [14.25](#page-18-0) demonstrates changes in D2 dopamine receptors in the basal ganglia with repeated substance exposure. Studies of gambling disorder show decreased activation of the ventral striatum (nucleus accumbens) in response to gambling regard, which mirrors decreased activation of the reward circuitry in substance-use disorder at this stage [\[6](#page-29-5), [14](#page-30-1)].

The emotional dysregulation associated with the withdrawal/negative affect stage involves the HPA axis and brain stress system. These systems, mediated by corticotropinreleasing factor (CRF), norepinephrine, and dynorphins, are recruited and then dysregulated by chronic exposure to gambling or a substance of abuse leading to increased HPA and central stress system expression in the extended amygdala (Fig. [14.26](#page-19-0)) [\[6](#page-29-5), [12](#page-29-11), [14](#page-30-1)].

Thus, an individual experiences both increased irritability and negative emotional states and decreased pleasure responses at baseline, with heightened negative and physical states in withdrawal and abstinence, known as "stress surfeit" [\[12](#page-29-11), [14\]](#page-30-1). Thus, motivation increases to escalate substance use to regain the pleasurable effect, which becomes increasingly difficult to experience, but also to avoid uncomfortable withdrawal, leading to negative reinforcement of substance use and gambling behaviors (Fig. [14.20\)](#page-14-0).

Preoccupation/Anticipation Stage

In the preoccupation/anticipation stage, an individual experiences craving and substance/gambling-seeking impulses during a period of abstinence. These drives are generated by increases in habitual behavior and incentive through reinforced circuits to the dorsal striatum, extended amygdala, and hippocampus, and negative reinforcement through stress-system expression, all of which lead to chronic downregulation of executive function control over substance use behavior [[6,](#page-29-5) [12](#page-29-11), [14\]](#page-30-1) (Fig. [14.27\)](#page-19-1). As seen in the PET imaging in Fig. [14.28,](#page-20-1) decreased brain metabolism in regions of the prefrontal cortex refects the decrease in behavioral regulation of the PFC that occurs with chronic use of intoxicating substances.

With respect to the activity of neurotransmitters, there is prominent dysregulation of glutamate, the brain's primary excitatory neurotransmitter that drives actions/response throughout the brain, and GABA, the brain's primary inhibitory neurotransmitter that regulates the expression and prioritization of behavior. GABA also regulates the HPA axis, the brain's stress systems, the extended amygdala, and reward circuitry [\[6](#page-29-5), [12,](#page-29-11) [14\]](#page-30-1). In chronic substance use and disordered gambling, there is a disruption in both glutamate and GABA signaling in the prefrontal cortex and throughout the brain, leading to executive function deficits **Fig. 14.25** Decreased D2 dopamine receptor expression in patients with various substance-use disorders as compared with controls. (*Adapted from* Volkow et al. [[34](#page-30-15)]; *with permission*)

in the control of disordered behaviors and reactions (Fig. [14.27](#page-19-1)). The over-activation of glutamate in the prefrontal cortex promotes craving as impulsive/compulsive substance seeking, and over-activation throughout the brain leads to worsening of withdrawal/negative affect stage, encouraging relapse and return to the binge/intoxication stage [[6](#page-29-5), [12,](#page-29-11) [14\]](#page-30-1).

Phenomenology

Table [14.3](#page-20-0) presents the DSM-5 criteria for substance-use disorders, two of the substance-induced disorders—substance intoxication and substance withdrawal—and gambling disorder. Tolerance and withdrawal alone do not establish a diagnosis of SUD, and if a substance is prescribed

Fig. 14.26 Decreased reward and increased stress response in the withdrawal/negative affect stage. *PFC* prefrontal cortex; *DS* dorsal striatum; *Nac* nucleus accumbens, *BNST* bed nucleus of the stria terminalis; *CeA* amygdala; *Hyp* hypothalamus; *VTA* ventral tegmental area

Fig. 14.28 Decrease in regional brain metabolism in the orbital frontal cortex, control vs cocainse use disorder. (*Adapted from* Volkow et al. [[34](#page-30-16)]; *with permission*)

Table 14.3 DSM-5 criteria for substance use, disorders, substance-induced disorders, and gambling disorder

Substance-use disorder

- A. A problematic pattern of substance use leading to clinically signifcant impairment or distress, as manifested by at least two of the following, occurring within a 12-month period:
	- 1. Use in larger amounts or over a longer period than was intended
	- 2. Persistent desire or unsuccessful effort to cut back or control use
	- 3. A great deal of time is spent in activities necessary to obtain, use, or recover effects
	- 4. Cravings or persistent desire to use
	- 5. Failure to fulfll major role obligations at work, school, or home
	- 6. Persistent use despite recurrent social or interpersonal problems
	- 7. Important social, occupational, or recreational activities are given up or reduced
	- 8. Recurrent use in situations in which it is physically hazardous
	- 9. Persistent use despite knowledge of physical or psychological problems caused or exacerbated by using
	- 10. Tolerance
	- 11. Withdrawal (refer to Criteria A and B of the criteria set for cannabis withdrawal)

Substance-induced disorders

(substance-induced psychiatric disorders covered in other sections)

Table 14.3 (continued)

Substance-use disorder

Gambling disorder

- A. Persistent and recurrent problematic gambling behavior leading to clinically signifcant impairment or distress, as indicated by the individual exhibiting four (or more) of the following in a 12-month period:
	- 1. Needs to gamble with increasing amounts of money in order to achieve the desired excitement
	- 2. Is restless or irritable when attempting to cut down or stop gambling
	- 3. Has made repeated unsuccessful efforts to control, cut back, or stop gambling
	- 4. Is often preoccupied with gambling (e.g., having persistent thoughts of reliving past gambling experiences, handicapping or planning the next venture, thinking of ways to get money with which to gamble)
	- 5. Often gambles when feeling distressed (e.g., helpless, guilty, anxious, depressed)
	- 6. After losing money gambling, often returns another day to get even ("chasing" one's losses)
	- 7. Lies to conceal the extent of involvement with gambling
	- 8. Has jeopardized or lost a signifcant relationship, job, or educational or career opportunity because of gambling
	- 9. Relies on others to provide money to relieve desperate fnancial situations caused by gambling
- B. The gambling behavior is not better explained by a manic episode

(as in an opioid analgesic), they are discounted from the criteria. The severity of a SUD or gambling disorder is specifed by the number of criteria that are present. The presence of 2–3 symptoms is specifed as mild, 4–5 symptoms as moderate, and 6 or more symptoms as severe. Once criteria for a SUD or gambling disorder are met, early remission may be specifed when no symptoms are present for at least 3 months, and sustained remission may be specifed when no symptoms are met for 12 months [\[1](#page-29-0)].

Treatment

Substance-related and gambling disorders are complex conditions that are infuenced by and impact multiple dimensions of an individual's life. Treatment plans are predicated on both a specifc and accurate diagnosis of the disorder, as well as a multidimensional assessment of the person who is suffering from the disorder $[25]$ $[25]$. The purpose of a multidimensional assessment is to ensure that treatment is tailored to the needs of the specifc individual, addressing not just drug use, but the other biomedical and psychosocial health problems that they face (Table [14.4](#page-21-0)).

To be effective, treatment must be integrated with interventions that address medical conditions, mental health, environmental factors, family dynamics, occupational challenges, and legal problems. Treatment duration and intensity must be tailored to the patient's evolving recovery status and relapse risk, rather than predetermined or fxed doses. Evidence-based interventions are prioritized, including psychotherapies and/or indicated medication treatments. Care plans must be modifed over time and refect that these disorders are chronic relapsing conditions, for which extended monitoring and support are essential to achieving sustained remission and recovery [[26\]](#page-30-17).

Table [14.4](#page-21-0) illustrates the American Society of Addiction Medicine's (ASAM) multidimensional assessment frame-

Table 14.4 ASAM multidimensional assessment framework

work, which may be used to make informed decisions about appropriate levels of care, wherein specifc psychosocial and biomedical treatment interventions can be offered consistent with the standard of care for the patient's health conditions [[26\]](#page-30-17).

The multidimensional assessment integrates a patient's needs, obstacles, and vulnerabilities, as well as their strengths, assets, resources, and support structure. This information is then used to determine the appropriate level of care across a continuum, from early interventions to increasing levels of outpatient support, to residential and fnally inpatient treatment options (Fig. [14.29\)](#page-22-0) [\[21](#page-30-8)].

Treatment of Substance Intoxication and Withdrawal

Intoxication

Intoxication syndromes are generally self-limited. As the substance is eliminated from the body, the syndrome

Fig. 14.29 American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) levels of care for treatment

Common symptoms		Uncommon symptoms		Severe symptoms	
Restlessness.	₩	Vomiting	ᠺᢅ᠍	High blood pressure	F,
irritability or anxiety		Diarrhoea	ััััั	Hallucinations	ಭ್ಯ
Difficulty sleeping	$_{\odot}$	Sensitivity to sound,	$\frac{1}{2}$	Delusions and psychosis	460
Nightmares	Q	light and touch		Seizures	Aj
Forgertfulness	ത	Low mood or	テキ	Confusion	γ ^{γ}
Cravings	B	suicidal thoughts		Disorientation	ඟ
Soreness	$W_{\!\!\prime\!-}$	A fast or irregular heartbeat	₩₩	Loss of consciousness	\approx
Nausea	₩	Heavy sweating or chills	ه()ه		
		Shakes or tremors	磷		

Fig. 14.30 Alcohol and other substance withdrawal symptoms

resolves. Thus, medical management of intoxication involves providing supportive measures to ensure safety (monitoring, hydration, nutrition, safe environment, support, and reassurance) and targeted interventions when the symptoms of intoxication pose a behavioral or physiological threat [\[12](#page-29-11)]. In the case of severe impairments or an acute overdose that is life threatening, treatment generally follows one of three approaches: increasing drug clearance, blocking the neuronal site at which the drug acts (e.g., naloxone for opioid intoxication), and pharmacologically counteracting drug effects with symptomatic management [\[12](#page-29-11)].

Withdrawal

While mild withdrawal syndromes may not require medical management, moderate to severe withdrawal syndromes are

a major source of morbidity, and alcohol withdrawal is potentially fatal. Figure [14.30](#page-22-1) summarizes various withdrawal symptoms people experience. The more severe symptoms occur primarily with alcohol and benzodiazepine withdrawals.

Pharmacologic treatment of any drug withdrawal syndrome generally follows one of the two approaches: suppression by a cross-tolerant medication from the same pharmacologic class—usually a longer-acting one to provide a milder, controlled withdrawal (i.e., methadone or buprenorphine for opioid medically supervised withdrawal)—and/or reducing the signs and symptoms of withdrawal by targeting the neurochemical or receptor systems that mediate withdrawal (i.e., clonidine, an alpha 2 agonist, to treat opioid withdrawal syndrome). Withdrawal treatment may be done

Fig. 14.31 Drug withdrawal timelines, including time to withdrawal from the cessation of substance, peak severity of symptoms, and total average duration. Withdrawal management can occur anywhere on the

on an outpatient or inpatient basis, depending on the withdrawal timeline of a substance (Fig. [14.31\)](#page-23-0) and the severity of symptoms [[12,](#page-29-11) [27\]](#page-30-16).

Successful treatment of acute intoxication, overdose, or withdrawal can facilitate entry into substance use treatment by reducing uncomfortable withdrawal symptoms that negatively reinforce substance use. Even when successful, these early stages of treatment often are followed by relapse to substance use, with patients potentially reentering a "revolving door" of repeated detoxifcation programs. Short-term treatment of acute intoxication or withdrawal does not obviate the need for long-term treatment of substance-related disorders. Relapse rates for substance-related and addictive disorders are comparable to other medical illnesses, encouraging the utilization of a chronic disease model to implement multimodal interventions (Fig. [14.32](#page-23-1)).

Treatment to Promote Relapse Prevention, Remission, and Recovery

As shown in Fig. [14.33](#page-24-0), prolonged abstinence from substance use (and gambling) can lead to improvements in neural circuitry, with returns to levels of dopamine transporters and other markers closer to control subject comparisons

ASAM levels of care, from level 1 to level 4, depending on the severity and complexity of the withdrawal picture

Comparison of Relapse Rates Between Substance Use Disorders and Other Chronic Illnesses

Fig. 14.32 Relapse rates for people treated for substance-use disorder as compared with relapse rates for people treated for hypertension and asthma

without a history of substance use. Thus, utilizing pharmacotherapy and nonmedication interventions is important to maximize the possibility of remission and recovery [\[25](#page-30-12), [28](#page-30-18)].

Fig. 14.33 Recovery of striatal D2 dopamine receptors after prolonged substance abstinence (example of methamphetamine). (*Adapted from* Volkow et al. [[28](#page-30-18)]; *with permission*)

Pharmacological Interventions

The primary aim of pharmacological interventions for SUD and gambling disorder is to prevent relapse after abstinence, remission, or recovery has been achieved. Pharmacological interventions should be delivered in conjunction with psychosocial interventions, though if a patient is willing to start an appropriate pharmacological treatment, initiation should not be predicated on participation in a psychosocial intervention [\[12](#page-29-11)]. A range of established and proposed mechanisms are thought to underlie the efficacy of medication treatments for SUD and gambling disorders. Substance-specifc, evidenced-based, medications approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for opioid and alcohol relapse prevention and recovery are summarized in Table [14.5](#page-24-1) [\[12](#page-29-11)]. Figure [14.34](#page-25-0) illustrates the relative receptor activity of three FDA-approved medication treatments for opioid use disorder, while Fig. [14.35](#page-26-0) demonstrates the mechanism of action for the three medications approved for alcohol use disorder.

To date, no FDA-approved medications are available to treat marijuana, cocaine, methamphetamine, or other SUDs [[12\]](#page-29-11). Similarly, there are no FDA-approved medications for gambling disorder, though opioid-receptor antagonists have the best evidence so far and may reduce gambling urges and behaviors [\[6](#page-29-5)]. For all substance-related and gambling disorders, pharmacotherapy to treat comorbid mental and medical conditions is encouraged to minimize additional biological contributors to the disordered behaviors [[12,](#page-29-11) [29\]](#page-30-19).

Table 14.5 Pharmacological treatments for substance-use disorder

Indication	Medication	Form	Dosing	Mechanism
Opioid use disorder	Methadone	Oral	$20-200$ mg daily	Stimulates opioid receptor, preventing withdrawal and reducing craving
	Buprenorphine	SL ; depot; implant	$2-16$ mg sublingual every day	Partially stimulates opioid receptor, preventing withdrawal and reducing craving
	Naltrexone	Oral; depot	50 mg once daily orally; 380 mg once every 4 weeks intramuscularly	Blocks opioid receptor, reducing reinforcing effects of substance use
Alcohol use disorder	Disulfiram	Oral	250 mg once daily (range: 125– 500 mg/day; maximum: 500 mg/day)	Blocks metabolism of alcohol, leading to an aversive increase in toxic metabolites
	Acamprosate	Oral	666 mg three times daily	Blocks NMDA receptor, thought to attenuate post-acute withdrawal symptoms
	Naltrexone	Oral; depot	25–50 mg once daily orally; 380 mg once every 4 weeks intramuscularly	Blocks opiate receptors involved in the rewarding effects of drinking and craving for alcohol
Tobacco use disorder	Nicotine replacement therapy	Gum; lozenge; patch; spray	Varies with formulation; gum 2–4 mg every $1-2$ h; patch $7-21$ mg once daily	Stimulates nicotine receptors, preventing nicotine withdrawal and reducing craving
	Bupropion	Oral	$150 - 300$ mg daily	Inhibits reuptake of dopamine and norepinephrine, thought to influence cravings
	Varenicline	Oral	1 mg twice daily	Partial nicotine receptor agonist, preventing nicotine withdrawal and reducing craving

Fig. 14.34 Opioid receptor activities of naltrexone, buprenorphine, and methadone in treatment of opioid use disorder

Nonpharmacological Interventions

Whereas pharmacological interventions for SUD and gambling disorder are typically tailored, psychosocial interventions tend to have shared characteristics across the disorders. Most randomized trials of psychosocial treatment for SUDs, as well as behavioral addictions such as gambling disorder, have used manualized treatment methods to study various iterations of motivational enhancement therapy (MET), cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT), or contingency management (CM), as well as community and family interventions [\[6](#page-29-5), [12](#page-29-11), [29\]](#page-30-19). Because the underpinnings of these therapeutic models are complementary, research effort has focused as much on identifying effective combinations than on establishing the superiority of a single method.

Figure [14.36](#page-27-0) lists psychosocial interventions focusing on the individual, their immediate support systems, and larger support communities. For gambling disorder, the best evidence exists thus far for CBT, MET, and 12-step programs,

particularly when MET or 12-step programs are used in conjunction with CBT [[6\]](#page-29-5). Well-supported scientifc evidence shows that behavioral therapies are effective in treating substance-related and addictive disorders, but most evidencebased behavioral therapies are often implemented with limited fdelity and are underused [[12,](#page-29-11) [29](#page-30-19)]. Figure [14.37](#page-28-0) illustrates a recommended treatment algorithm for gambling disorder that integrates current evidence for pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic therapies for gambling disorder and common comorbid conditions [\[6](#page-29-5)].

To address the spectrum of substance-related and addictive disorders, a phase of care approach provides individuals an array of service options based on need, including prevention, early interventions, and more involved treatment to achieve sustained and recovery support [\[9](#page-29-8), [22](#page-30-9)]. Figure [14.38](#page-28-1) summarizes therapeutic objectives or interventions that are typically a focus within each phase of care. Every patient should have a tailored approach to their treatment plan based on a multidimensional assessment framework in order to

integrate the unique biopsychosocial understanding of their substance use. Furthermore, the actual duration of each phase of care should be based on an assessment of clinical progress and evolving goals of care.

Over the last decade, a series of innovative care models have been developed with the objective of increasing access to evidence-based treatment services. These care models include, but are not limited to, collaborative care models to facilitate the integration of substance use treatment in primary care, telehealth services, technological applications, and consultative models.

Figure [14.39](#page-29-12) illustrates addiction consultation teams, a model of care intended to enhance initiation of treatment for hospitalized patients with substance-use disorders. A common aim of these models is to integrate historically fragmented clinical services to create a seamless and patient-centered experience.

In conclusion, substance-related and gambling disorders are chronic diseases that have neurobiological underpinnings and are intertwined with the physical, psychological, and societal health of an individual. By utilizing a multidimensional framework to evaluate patients, a personalized assess**Fig. 14.36** Selected psychosocial interventions for substance use and gambling disorders

Fig. 14.37 Proposed algorithm for treatment of gambling disorder taking into account the presence of co-occurring mental health and/or substance-use disorders. (*Reproduced from* Potenza et al. [[6\]](#page-29-5); *with permission*)

Fig. 14.38 Phases of recovery and the role of treatment interventions

Fig. 14.39 Inpatient consultation model for treatment of substance use and gambling disorder

ment can be generated to guide a comprehensive treatment plan. With effective treatment, delivered within an appropriate continuum of care, prevention of relapse and sustainment of recovery can be achieved.

Future Directions

Additional disorders such as Internet Gaming Disorder and Caffeine Use Disorder are listed under "Conditions for Further Study" in the DSM-5 [[30\]](#page-30-20). The conditions have proposed criteria similar to the other disorders listed in the Substance Related and Addictive Disorders section of the DSM-5 [[30\]](#page-30-20). There was a similar discussion for inclusion of Hypersexual Disorder in the DSM-5 with criteria proposed in 2010 [[31\]](#page-30-21). However, the proposed criteria were deemed to have insufficient evidence to be included in the DSM-5. The American Psychiatric Association has identifed these two disorders as areas of further research.

References

- 1. American Psychiatric Association (APA). Substance-related and addictive disorders. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 5th ed. DSM library. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association; 2013.
- 2. National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). The National Institute on Drug Abuse Media Guide: how to fnd what you need to know about drug use and addiction. Washington DC: National Institute on Drug Abuse. Contract No.: October 1; 2020.
- 3. United Nations Offce on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) World Drug Report 2020. Vienna, Austria: United Nations. Contract No.: Sales No. E.20.XI.6; 2020.
- 4. World Health Organization (WHO). Global Status Report on Alcohol and Health 2018. Geneva: World Health Organization. Contract No.: License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; 2018.
- 5. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). Key Substance Use and Mental Health Indicators in the United States: results from the 2019 National Survey on Drug Use and Health. Rockvile, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Contract No. PEP20-07-01-001; 2020.
- 6. Potenza MN, Balodis IM, Derevensky J, Grant JE, Petry NM, Verdejo-Garcia A, et al. Gambling disorder. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2019;5(1):51. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-019-0099-7.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-019-0099-7)
- 7. Nautiyal KM, Okuda M, Hen R, Blanco C. Gambling disorder: an integrative review of animal and human studies. Ann NY Acad Sci. 2017;1394(1):106–27.<https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.13356>.
- 8. WHO. Addictive behaviors. In: Health topics. World Health Organization; 2020. [https://www.who.int/health-topics/addictive](https://www.who.int/health-topics/addictive-behaviours#tab=tab_1)[behaviours#tab=tab_1](https://www.who.int/health-topics/addictive-behaviours#tab=tab_1). Accessed Dec 2020.
- 9. Agrawal A, Lynskey MT. Are there genetic infuences on addiction: evidence from family, adoption and twin studies. Addiction. 2008;103(7):1069–81. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2008.02213.x) [org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2008.02213.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2008.02213.x)
- 10. Ho MK, Goldman D, Heinz A, Kaprio J, Kreek MJ, Li MD, et al. Breaking barriers in the genomics and pharmacogenetics of drug addiction. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2010;88(6):779–91. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/clpt.2010.175) [org/10.1038/clpt.2010.175](https://doi.org/10.1038/clpt.2010.175).
- 11. Agrawal A, Verweij KJH, Gillespie NA, Heath AC, Lessov-Schlaggar CN, Martin NG, et al. The genetics of addiction—a translational perspective. Transl Psychiatry. 2012;2(7):e140. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2012.54) [org/10.1038/tp.2012.54.](https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2012.54)
- 12. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Office of the Surgeon General. Facing Addiction in America: the surgeon general's report on alcohol, drugs, and health. Washington, DC; 2016.
- 13. Strathearn L, Mertens CE, Mayes L, Rutherford H, Rajhans P, Xu G, et al. Pathways relating the neurobiology of attachment to drug addiction. Front Psych. 2019;10:737. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00737) [fpsyt.2019.00737](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00737).
- 14. Koob GF, Volkow ND. Neurobiology of addiction: a neurocircuitry analysis. Lancet Psychiatry. 2016;3(8):760–73.
- 15. Bowen MT, Neumann ID. Rebalancing the addicted brain: oxytocin interference with the neural substrates of addiction. Trends Neurosci. 2017;40(12):691–708. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2017.10.003) tins. 2017. 10.003.
- 16. Liu M, Jiang Y, Wedow R, Li Y, Brazel DM, Chen F, et al. Association studies of up to 1.2 million individuals yield new insights into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. Nat Genet. 2019;51(2):237–44. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0307-5.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0307-5)
- 17. Belcher AM, Volkow ND, Moeller FG, Ferré S. Personality traits and vulnerability or resilience to substance use disorders. Trends Cogn Sci. 2014;18(4):211–7. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2014.01.010) [tics.2014.01.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2014.01.010).
- 18. Sarlin E. Substance use disorders are associated with major medical illnesses and mortality risk in a large integrated health care system. In: NIDA Notes. National Institute of Drug Abuse; 2017. [https://](https://www.drugabuse.gov/news-events/nida-notes/2017/10/substance-use-disorders-are-associated-major-medical-illnesses-mortality-risk-in-large-integrated#figure2) [www.drugabuse.gov/news-events/nida-notes/2017/10/substance](https://www.drugabuse.gov/news-events/nida-notes/2017/10/substance-use-disorders-are-associated-major-medical-illnesses-mortality-risk-in-large-integrated#figure2)[use-disorders-are-associated-major-medical-illnesses-mortality](https://www.drugabuse.gov/news-events/nida-notes/2017/10/substance-use-disorders-are-associated-major-medical-illnesses-mortality-risk-in-large-integrated#figure2)[risk-in-large-integrated#fgure2.](https://www.drugabuse.gov/news-events/nida-notes/2017/10/substance-use-disorders-are-associated-major-medical-illnesses-mortality-risk-in-large-integrated#figure2) Accessed May 2020.
- 19. NIDA. Common Comorbidities with Substance Use Disorders Research Report. National Institute on Drug Abuse; 2020. [https://www.drugabuse.gov/publications/research](https://www.drugabuse.gov/publications/research-reports/common-comorbidities-substance-use-disorders/part-1-connection-between-substance-use-disorders-mental-illness)[reports/common-comorbidities-substance-use-disorders/](https://www.drugabuse.gov/publications/research-reports/common-comorbidities-substance-use-disorders/part-1-connection-between-substance-use-disorders-mental-illness) [part-1-connection-between-substance-use-disorders-mental-illness.](https://www.drugabuse.gov/publications/research-reports/common-comorbidities-substance-use-disorders/part-1-connection-between-substance-use-disorders-mental-illness)
- 20. Spooner C, Hetherington K. Social determinants of drug use. Sydney, Australia: National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre; 2005. Contract No.: 228.
- 21. Shokoohi M, Bauer GR, Kaida A, Logie CH, Lacombe-Duncan A, Milloy MJ, et al. Patterns of social determinants of health associated with drug use among women living with HIV in Canada: a latent class analysis. Addiction. 2019;114(7):1214–24. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/add.14566) [org/10.1111/add.14566.](https://doi.org/10.1111/add.14566)
- 22. Valdez LA, Garcia DO, Ruiz J, Oren E, Carvajal S. Exploring structural, sociocultural, and individual barriers to alcohol abuse treat-

ment among hispanic men. Am J Mens Health. 2018;12(6):1948–57. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1557988318790882>.

- 23. Welte JW, Barnes GM, Tidwell M-CO, Wieczorek WF. Predictors of problem gambling in the U.S. J Gambl Stud. 2017;33(2):327–42. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10899-016-9639-1>.
- 24. NIDA. Drugs, brains, and behavior: the science of addiction. Washington DC: National Institute on Drug Abuse; 2020.
- 25. Tabakoff B, Hoffman PL. The neurobiology of alcohol consumption and alcoholism: an integrative history. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 2013;113:20–37. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2013.10.009.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2013.10.009)
- 26. Ries RK, Fiellin DA, Miller SC. The ASAM principles of addiction medicine. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer Health; 2015.
- 27. New Zealand Drug Foundation. Managing withdrawal. [https://www.drugfoundation.org.nz/info/helping-someone/](https://www.drugfoundation.org.nz/info/helping-someone/managing-withdrawal) [managing-withdrawal](https://www.drugfoundation.org.nz/info/helping-someone/managing-withdrawal).
- 28. Volkow ND, Chang L, Wang G-J, Fowler JS, Franceschi D, Sedler M, et al. Loss of dopamine transporters in methamphetamine abusers recovers with protracted abstinence. J Neurosci. 2001;21(23):9414. [https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-23-09414.2001.](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-23-09414.2001)
- 29. NIDA. Principles of drug addiction treatment: a research-based guide. 3rd edn. Contract No.: NIH Publication No. 12–4180; 2018.
- 30. APA. Conditions for Further Study. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 5th ed. DSM library. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association; 2013. p. 783–808.
- 31. Kafka MP. Hypersexual disorder: a proposed diagnosis for DSM-V. Arch Sex Behav. 2010;39(2):377–400. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-009-9574-7) [s10508-009-9574-7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-009-9574-7)
- 32. Clark L, Lawrence AJ, Astley-Jones F, Gray N. Gambling nearmisses enhance motivation to gamble and recruit win-related brain circuitry. Neuron. 2009;61(3):481–90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.12.031) [neuron.2008.12.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.12.031).
- 33. Ambre J, Fischman M, Ruo TI. Urinary excretion of ecgonine methyl ester, a major metabolite of cocaine in humans. J Anal Toxicol. 1984;8(1):23–5.
- 34. Volkow ND, Fowler JS, Wang GJ, Swanson JM. Dopamine in drug abuse and addiction: results from imaging studies and treatment implications. Mol Psychiatry. 2004;9(6):557–69. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.mp.4001507) [org/10.1038/sj.mp.4001507.](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.mp.4001507)