

Methylmercury Exposure and Developmental Neurotoxicity: New Insights from Neural Stem Cells

Raj Bose, Stefan Spulber, and Sandra Ceccatelli

Contents

1	Introduction		2436
2	In Vitro Models for	or Developmental Neurotoxicity Studies	2443
	2.1 Neural Stem	Cells	2443
	2.2 Cell Lines an	nd Primary Cultures of Mouse, Rat, and Human-Derived Neural	
	Stem Cells .	-	2443
3	MeHg Exposure A	Affects NSCs by Dysregulation of Developmental Processes	2444
	3.1 Levels of M	eHg Exposure Reported in Humans During Development	2444
	3.2 Relevant En	dpoints for Assessing Neurotoxic Effects in NSCs	2445
	3.3 Inheritable E	ffects	2447
4	Critical Mechanis	ms Behind NSC Dysregulation Induced by MeHg	2447
	4.1 Mitochondri	a Impairment and Oxidative Stress	2447
	4.2 Epigenetic C	hanges	2448
5	Conclusion		2452
6	Cross-References		2453
Re	eferences		2453

Abstract

Methylmercury (MeHg) is a widespread neurotoxic environmental contaminant. The developing nervous system is particularly vulnerable to MeHg toxicity that may have adverse consequences on neurodevelopment depending on the timing and level of exposure. In our daily lives, we can be exposed to MeHg via consumption of contaminated seafood, which is the major MeHg source for humans. While the deleterious effects of exposure to high levels of MeHg are well known and characterized, the consequences and the mechanisms behind the developmental neurotoxic effects induced by low-level exposure are still unclear.

R. M. Kostrzewa (ed.), *Handbook of Neurotoxicity*, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-15080-7 235

R. Bose (🖂) · S. Spulber · S. Ceccatelli

Department of Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden e-mail: raj.bose@ki.se; stefan.spulber@ki.se; sandra.ceccatelli@ki.se

[©] Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

Neural stem cells (NSCs) have been proposed as a powerful model to identify the adverse effects on the complex process of nervous system development. This chapter gives an overview of in vitro studies performed in NSCs of different origin with special emphasis on the effects induced by MeHg levels considered to be environmentally relevant to human exposure. Altogether, the data show that MeHg exerts harmful effects at very low concentrations by dysregulating critical neurodevelopmental steps such as proliferation, differentiation, migration, and neurite outgrowth. The use of NSC-based models has made possible the identification of relevant signaling pathways and molecular alterations that point to novel mechanisms of MeHg toxicity.

Keywords

Developmental neurotoxicity · Neural stem cells · Cellular mechanisms · Apoptosis · Neurogenesis · Oxidative stress · Epigenetics

Abbreviations

aNSCs	Adult neural stem cells
CpG	Cytosine dinucleotide
GD	Gestational day
GSH	Glutathione
hiPSC	Human-induced pluripotent stem cells
hNES	Human neuroepithelial-like cells
MeHg	Methylmercury
NSCs	Neural stem cells
PND	Postnatal day
ROS	Reactive oxygen species

1 Introduction

Methylmercury (MeHg), a widespread environmental and food contaminant, originates from inorganic mercury by the methylating activity of microorganisms in oceans, rivers, lakes, wetlands, sediments, and soils. In the aquatic food chain, MeHg is accumulated at the highest levels in sea mammals, predatory fish, and shellfish, which are considered the primary sources of MeHg exposure for humans (Hintelmann, 2010) (Fig. 1).

MeHg exerts its toxic effects via multiple mechanisms including mitochondrial function impairment, increased generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), interaction with sulfhydryl groups of thiol-containing compounds targeting cysteine- and methionine-containing peptides and proteins, and perturbation of intracellular Ca2+ levels (Ke et al., 2019). Alterations of these intracellular processes pose a major risk to the nervous system, especially during development.

It has long been known that the nervous system is the main target organ for MeHg, particularly during prenatal life when it exerts adverse effects on



Fig. 1 An overview of MeHg-induced neurotoxicity. Natural and anthropogenic sources are the origin of inorganic mercury that is released into the environment. Once inorganic mercury falls in the water, it can be converted to MeHg by sulfate-reducing bacteria present in aquatic environments. MeHg then enters the aquatic food chain and the highest levels are found in predatory fish. Humans are mainly exposed to MeHg via consumption of contaminated seafood. MeHg is almost completely absorbed in the gastrointestinal tract. Pregnant and lactating women pass on MeHg to their fetus/child posing serious risks to the developing brain. Long-lasting effects on NSC impact fundamental neurodevelopmental processes

neurodevelopment in both humans and animals by passing through the blood-brain barrier as well as the placenta (Johansson et al., 2007). Notably, exposure during pregnancy results in MeHg levels in fetal blood that are about 1.7 times higher than those in the mother (Stern & Smith, 2003), which explains why fetuses can be affected in the absence of maternal toxicity.

The first well-documented cases of prenatal poisoning were reported after the MeHg outbreak occurred in Japan more than 60 years ago. Mercury-contaminated wastewater from a chemical factory had been discharged for some decades into the Minamata Bay. The accumulated mercury entered the food stream as MeHg-contaminated fish were consumed by the local population. The most severe MeHg effects on the human nervous system were observed in the offspring of women who had consumed contaminated fish during pregnancy. When not lethal, MeHg prenatal exposure led to a variety of clinical signs including ataxia, spasticity, blindness, impairment of motor skills, and different degrees of mental retardation depending on the level of exposure (Harada, 1995).

Over the years, man-made MeHg contamination has decreased substantially, thanks to the efforts to minimize the release of mercury in the environment. However, there is a major concern about the adverse long-lasting consequences on the nervous system induced by developmental exposure to low levels of MeHg via maternal diet (Castoldi et al., 2008). Epidemiological studies done in seafood-eating populations and experimental animal studies performed in rodents have shown that developmental exposure to MeHg can induce behavioral alterations and decrease cognitive abilities (Grandjean et al., 2010). Therefore, in many countries women who are pregnant or planning to become pregnant, nursing mothers, and young children are advised to avoid some types of fish that contain high levels of MeHg (American Academy of Pediatrics, 2019).

The development of the nervous system is a well-orchestrated process characterized by specific cellular and molecular events regulating proliferation, migration, differentiation, myelination, and synaptic pruning. Disruption of this complex series of sequential steps can result in structural and/or functional deficits. Different regions of the nervous system develop at different times, creating specific windows of susceptibility that determine the impact of exposure to neurotoxic stimuli, such as chemical contaminants. Also, the lack of an efficient blood-brain barrier makes the developing nervous system more susceptible to neurotoxicants even at levels that do not harm the adult brain. The damaging effects induced by neurodevelopmental insults may be unnoticeable for long time (silent neurotoxicity) until physiological events, such as aging, or harmful insults of different nature reveal or exacerbate the damage, which can be at structural, cellular, molecular, and/or biochemical level (Tamm & Ceccatelli, 2017). More recently, it has been shown that cellular alterations induced in NSCs by developmental stressful stimuli persist in the progeny never directly exposed (long-lasting effects), pointing to the occurrence of epigenetic modifications (Bose et al., 2010). As described in the next subchapter, a similar mechanism is brought into play by MeHg in NSCs (Bose et al., 2012).

NSCs have been proven to be a valid model to identify the effects and mechanisms behind neurodevelopment alterations induced by MeHg. This chapter gives an overview on studies performed in NSCs originating from mice, rats, and humans (see Tables 1 and 2), with special emphasis on the effects of MeHg concentrations considered to be environmentally relevant to human exposure.

s andExposure doss (M)Analyzed markersReference doss (M)PCs2.5-502 daysDecreased NSC proliferationCyclin E(Xu et al., 2010)PCs2.5-502 daysDecreased ProliferationCyclin E(Xu et al., 2010)PCs102 daysDecreased proliferationCyclin E(Xu et al., 2010)PCs30, 1002 daysDecreased proliferationEV.Cyclin E(Xu et al., 2010)PCs3.0, 1002 daysReduced proliferationBrdU, Cyclin D1, and CDK2Usaki, 2013)PCs2.5-52 daysReduced proliferationDin <i>Din Di, Accessed</i> global DNA2012)PCs2.5-52 daysDecreased neuronal differentiationTuylDin <i>Din Di, Accessed</i> global DNA2012)PCs2.5-52 daysDecreased neuronal differentiationTuylDin <i>Di Di Di</i> 2012)PCs2.5-5.01 dayInduced cal dath (bocked by pan-caspaseTryjDin <i>Di</i> 2012)PCs2.5-1012 hDecreased neuronal differentiationTuylCyclin BiAcreased global DNAPCs2.5-1012 hDecreased neuronal differentiationTuylCyclin BiAcreased global DNAPCs2.5-5.02 daysDecreased neuronal differentiationTuylCyclin BiAcreased global DNAPCs2.5-1012 hDecreased neuronal differentiationTuylCyclin BiAcreased global DNAPCs2.5-1012 hDecreased neur			Duration			
adoses (nM)exposureAlterationsAnalyzed markersReferences $2.5-500$ 2 daysDecreased NSC proliferationCyclin ECyclin E(WIT assy)2010)s 100 2 daysDecreased proliferationCyclin ECyclin E(Xu assy)2010)s $3.00-5.0,000$ 2 daysDecreased proliferationCyclin E(Xu assy)2010)s $3.0,000$ 2 daysDecreased proliferationCyclin E(Xu assy)2010)s $2.5-5$ 2 daysReduced proliferation $P(h, p21; hmi, Hmgal, Hply; Md3, Cyth$ (Bose et al. 1015), decreased global DNA2015)s $2.5-5$ 2 daysReduced proliferationTuyl tion $106, p21; hmi, Hmgal, Hply; Md3, Cyth$ (Bose et al. 2015)s $2.5, 5$ 2 daysDecreased neuronal differentiationTuyl tion $106, p21; hmi, Hmgal, Hply; Md3, Cyth$ (Bose et al. 2015)s $2.5, 50$ 1 dayInteritible effects associated with epigenetic $Dmi3h, decreased global DNA$ 2012)attentionsTuylTuyl tion $106, p21; hmi, Hmgal, Hply; Md3, Cyth$ (Bose et al. 2015)s $2.5, 500$ 1 dayInteritible effects associated with epigeneticTuylattentionsTuylTuylInteritible effects associated with epigeneticTuyls $2.5, 500$ 1 dayInteritible effects associated with epigeneticTuyls $2.5, 500$ 1 dayIntereased neuronal differentiationTuyls <td< td=""><td>pr</td><td>Exposure</td><td>of</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	pr	Exposure	of			
\$ 2.5-50 2 days Decreased NSC proliferation Cyclin E Cyclin E (Xu et al., 2000) \$ 500-30,000 2 days Decreased proliferation Cyclin E 2010) 2010) \$ 10 2 days Decreased proliferation Cyclin E Reduc Cyclin D1, and CDK2 2015) \$ 10 2 days Reduced proliferation Cyclin E Reduc Cyclin D1, and CDK2 2015) \$ 2.5-5 2 days Reduced proliferations TUNEL staining 2012) \$ 2.5-5 2 days Inheritable effects associated with epigenetic TUNEL staining 2012) \$ 2.5-5 2 days Inheritable effects associated with epigenetic Tuylation 2012) \$ 2.5,50 1 day Inheritable effects associated with epigenetic Tuylation 2012) \$ 2.5,50 1 day Induced cell death (blocked by pan-caspase Tuylation 2012) \$ 2.5,50 1 day Inducet cell death (blocked by pan-caspase Tuylation 21342, propidium iodide); Bax		doses (nM)	exposure	Alterations	Analyzed markers	Reference
S102 daysDecreased proliferation(MTT assay)(MTT assay) 3 102 daysDecreased proliferationECyclin E(Syclin E), and CDK2Usiki, Usiki, Usiki, Usiki, TUNEL staining2015) 3 $2.5-5$ 2 daysReduced proliferation; senescence; reduced $p16, p21; bmi, Hmgal, Hp1;; Mi3, Cytb(Bose et al.2015)32.5.52 daysReduced proliferation; senescence; reducedp16, p21; bmi, Hmgal, Hp1;; Mi3, Cytb(Bose et al.2015)32.5.52 daysDecreased neuronal differentiationTuj1Tuj1(Houclear condensation32.5.501 dayInduced cell death (blocked by pan-caspaseTuj1(Houclear condensation(Falm2.5,501 dayInduced cell death (blocked by pan-caspaseTuj1Tuj1(Tamm2006)2.50,5001 dayInduced cell death (blocked by pan-caspaseTuj1(Falm(Falm2.5,501 dayInduced cell death (blocked by pan-caspaseTuj1(Tamm(Falm2.50,5001 dayInduced cell death (blocked by pan-caspase3 activation: cytochrome c release; caspase32.5,501 dayInduced cell death (blocked by pan-caspase2 activation: cytochrome c release; caspase32.5,501 dayDecreased neuronal differentiationTuj1Induced cell activationE t al., 200632.5,502 daysDecreased neuronal differentiationTuj1Induced cell activationE t al., 2006$	s	2.5–50 500–50,000	2 days	Decreased NSC proliferation Induced apoptosis	Cyclin E Cleaved caspase 3; cell viability	(Xu et al., 2010)
C3102 daysDecreased proliferationCyclin ECyclin E(Fujimura 630, 10030, 1002 daysDecreased proliferation: sensecence; reduced $PiORL, Cyclin D1, and CDK2$ Usuki,C32.5-52 daysReduced proliferation: sensecence; reduced $PiO_{n}D1, magal, Hp1 y; Nd3, Cytb(Bose et al.C32.5-52 daysReduced proliferation: sensecence; reducedPiO_{n}D1, magal, Hp1 y; Nd3, Cytb(Bose et al.C32.5, 52 daysDecreased neuronal differentiationmethylation2012)2012)C32.5, 501 dayInduced cell death (blocked by pan-caspaseThylation2012)C42.5, 501 dayInduced cell death (blocked by pan-caspaseThylation2012)C52.5, 501 dayInduced cell death (blocked by pan-caspaseThylationct al., 2006C42.5, 501 dayInduced cell death (blocked by pan-caspaseThylationct al., 2006C51 dayand capain inhibitors)activation; cytochrome c release; caspase2013)C42.5, 501 layand capain inhibitors)activation; cytochrome c release; caspase2016)C52.5, 501 layby metalloprotease inhibitor)Thyltet al., 2006C62.5, 501 layDecreased neuronal differentiation (reversedNoch signalingtet al., 2006C52.5, 502 daysDecreased neuronal differentiation (reversedNoch signalingtet al., 2006C62.5, 55$					(MTT assay)	
	S	10	2 days	Decreased proliferation	Cyclin E	(Fujimura &
C3 $2.5-5$ 2 daysReduced proliferation; senescence; reduced $p16, p21; bmi, Hmgal, Hp1Y; Md3, Cytb(Bose et al.2012)C32.5, 52 daysmintochondrial gene expressionmethylation2012)C32.5, 52 daysDecreased neuronal differentiationTujilethylation2012)C32.5, 501 dayDecreased neuronal differentiationTujilethylation2012)C32.5, 501 dayDecreased neuronal differentiationTujilethylationet al., 2006C350, 5001 dayand capain inhibitors)Tujil(Hoeckst 33342, propidium iodide); Baxet al., 2006C350, 5001 dayand capain inhibitors)3 activation; cytochrome c release; caspaseactivation; cytochrome c release; caspaseet al., 2006C350, 50012 hby metalloprotease inhibitor)3 activation; cytochrome c release; caspase213, 2006C350, 50012 hby metalloprotease inhibitor)TujilMAP-2, GFAP(TammC350, 5002 daysDecreased neuronal differentiation, increasedMAP-2, GFAP(TammC350, 5002 daysDecreased neuronal differentiationTujilet al., 2006C350, 5002 daysDecreased neuronal differentiationintellet al., 2006C350, 5002 daysDecreased neuronal differentiationintellet al., 2006C350, 5002 daysDecreased neuronal differentiationintellet al., 2006C3500.5-53 daysDecreased neu$		30, 100 > 100		Induces cell death	BrdU, Cyclin D1, and CDK2 TUNEL staining	Usuki, 2015)
Image: Section of the sector of the secto	Cs	2.5-5	2 days	Reduced proliferation; senescence; reduced	p16, p21; bmi, Hmga1, Hp1y; Nd3, Cytb	(Bose et al.,
Cs $2.5, 5$ 2 daysDecreased neuronal differentiationTuj1(Tamm $25, 50$ 1 dayInduced cell death (blocked by pan-caspaseTrypan blue, nuclear condensationet al., 2006 $250, 500$ 1 dayand calpain inhibitors) 3342 , propidium iodide); Baxet al., 2006 $250, 500$ 1 dayand calpain inhibitors) $3 activation; cytochrome c release; caspase143, 2006250, 50012 hDecreased neuronal differentiation (reversedNotch signaling143, 2008Cs2.5-1012 hDecreased neuronal differentiation (reversedNotch signaling141, 20081602.5-5.02 daysDecreased neuronal differentiation increasedMAP-2, GFAP(Tamm)1602.5-5.02 daysDecreased neuronal differentiation1012.5-5.0201601660.253 daysDecreased neuronal differentiation1012.5-5.02.65, 25, 2503-13 days201601.50.253 daysDecreased differentiation101201820162503-13 daysDecreased neuric outgrowth8680 with > 75\% outgrowth7102502.5, 25, 2503-13 daysDecreased neuric outgrowth86802502.5, 25, 2503-13 daysDecreased neuric outgrowth8108102502.5, 25, 2503-13 daysDecreased neuric outgrowth8108108202.5, 25, 2503-13 d$				mitochondrial gene expression Inheritable effects associated with epigenetic alterations	Dnmi3b, decreased global DNA methylation	2012)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Cs	2.5, 5	2 days	Decreased neuronal differentiation	Tuj1	(Tamm
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		25, 50	1 day	Induced cell death (blocked by pan-caspase	Trypan blue, nuclear condensation	et al., 2006)
Cs $2.5-10$ 12 hDecreased neuronal differentiation (reversed 3 activation calpain activation 3 activation calpain activation $(Tamm)$ Cs $2.5-10$ 12 hDecreased neuronal differentiation (reversed by metalloprotease inhibitor)Notch signaling Tuj1 $(Tamm)$ $2.5-5.0$ 2 daysDecreased neuronal differentiation, increased astrocyte differentiation, increased barbarum polysaccharides)MAP-2, GFAP Tuj1 $(Tamm)$ 160 $2.5-5.0$ 2 daysDecreased neuronal differentiation, increased barbarum polysaccharides)MAP-2, GFAP $(Tian et al., 2008)$ 160 $0.5-5$ 3 daysDecreased neuronal differentiation, increased barbarum polysaccharides)MAP-2, GFAP $(Tian et al., 2008)$ $NPCs$ $0.5-5$ 3 daysDecreased neuroides) $Ki67$, Nestin, Sox2 $(Yuan et al., 2008)$ $NPCs$ $0.5-5$ 3 daysDecreased neuriteon differentiation $Ki67$, Nestin, Sox2 $(Yuan et al., 2008)$ $SSCs$ $2.5, 250$ $3-13$ daysDecreased neurite outgrowth $\%$ EB with >75% outgrowth $(Theunisset Nestin, 2010)$ 3 250 $3-13$ daysDecreased neurite outgrowth $\%$ EB with >75% outgrowth $(Theunisset Nestin, 2010)$ 3 250 $3-13$ daysDecreased neurite outgrowth $\%$ EB with >75% outgrowth $(Theunisset Nestin, 2010)$ 3 250 $3-13$ daysDecreased neurite outgrowth $\%$ EB with >75% outgrowth $(Theunisset Nestin, 2010)$ 3 250 100 1000 1000 1000 <td< td=""><td></td><td>250, 500</td><td>1 day</td><td>and calpain inhibitors)</td><td>(Hoechst 33342, propidium iodide); Bax</td><td></td></td<>		250, 500	1 day	and calpain inhibitors)	(Hoechst 33342, propidium iodide); Bax	
Cs $2.5-10$ 12 hDecreased neuronal differentiation (reversed by metalloprotease inhibitor)Notch signaling(Tamm et al., 2008)mpal $2.5-5.0$ 2 daysDecreased neuronal differentiation, increased barbarum polysaccharides)MAP-2, GFAP(Tian et al., 2016)16) $2.5-5.0$ 2 daysDecreased neuronal differentiation, increased barbarum polysaccharides)MAP-2, GFAP(Tian et al., 2016)16) $2.5-5.0$ 2 daysDecreased neuronal differentiation, increased barbarum polysaccharides)MAP-2, GFAP(Tian et al., 2016)NPCs 0.25 3 daysDecreased neuronal differentiationKi67, Nestin, Sox2(Yuan et al. 2018)SNCs $0.5-5$ 3 daysDecreased differentiationKi67, Nestin, Sox2(Yuan et al. 2018)SSCs $2.5, 25, 250$ $3-13$ daysDecreased neurite outgrowth% EB with >75% outgrowth(Theunisset3 2.50 $3-13$ daysDecreased neurite outgrowth% EB with >75% outgrowthet al., 20103 2.00 2.00 $3-13$ daysDecreased neurite outgrowth $3-100$					activation; cytochrome c release; caspase 3 activation calpain activation	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Cs	2.5-10	12 h	Decreased neuronal differentiation (reversed	Notch signaling	(Tamm
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				by metalloprotease inhibitor)	Tuj1	et al., 2008)
npalnpalastrocyte differentiation (prevented by <i>Lycium</i> 2016) 160 $barbarum$ polysaccharides) $Ki67$, Nestin, Sox22016) $NPCs$ 0.25 3 daysDecreased proliferation; increased $Ki67$, Nestin, Sox22018) $.5)$ $0.5-5$ 3 daysDecreased differentiation $Tuj1$ 2018) $.5)$ $0.5-5$ $3-13$ daysDecreased differentiation $Wish > 75\%$ outgrowth 2018) $ESCs$ $2.5, 25, 250$ $3-13$ daysDecreased neurite outgrowth $\%$ EB with $> 75\%$ outgrowth $(Theunissen transported t$		2.5-5.0	2 days	Decreased neuronal differentiation, increased	MAP-2, GFAP	(Tian et al.,
NPCs0.253 daysDecreased proliferation; increasedKi67, Nestin, Sox2(Yuan et al5)0.5-5differentiationTuj12018)2018).5)0.5-53-13 daysDecreased differentiation% EB with >75% outgrowth2018)ESCs2.5, 25, 2503-13 daysDecreased neurite outgrowth% EB with >75% outgrowthet al., 201032503-13 daysIncreased % cells in undifferentiated state <i>Nestin, SSEAI</i> et al., 2010	npal (16)			astrocyte differentiation (prevented by <i>Lycium barbarum</i> polysaccharides)		2016)
.5) $0.5-5$ differentiation $Tuj1$ 2018)ESCs $2.5, 25, 250$ $3-13$ daysDecreased differentiation $\%$ EB with >75% outgrowth $(Theunissen and a structure and a str$	NPCs	0.25	3 days	Decreased proliferation; increased	Ki67, Nestin, Sox2	(Yuan et al.,
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $.5)	0.5-5		differentiation Decreased differentiation	Tujl	2018)
3 250 Increased % cells in undifferentiated state Nestin, SSEAI et al., 2010 200 Induced cell death Alamar blue cytotoxicity assay	ESCs	2.5, 25, 250	3-13 days	Decreased neurite outgrowth	% EB with $>75\%$ outgrowth	(Theunissen
200 Induced cell death Alamar blue cytotoxicity assay	~	250		Increased % cells in undifferentiated state	Nestin, SSEAI	et al., 2010)
		200		Induced cell death	Alamar blue cytotoxicity assay	

 Table 1
 Studies reporting effects of exposure to MeHg in NSCs isolated from rats or mice

		Duration			
Species and	Exposure	of			
model	doses (nM)	exposure	Alterations	Analyzed markers	Reference
Mouse, ESCs	25	8 days	Downregulation of transcription and	Whole-genome transcriptomics analysis	(Theunissen
(D3); EB			development-related genes; upregulation of	% EB with $>75\%$ outgrowth	et al., 2011)
			neurodevelopment- and cell motion-related		
			gene sets		
			Decreased neural outgrowth		
Mouse, ESCs	15.13-1000	8-14 days	Decreased neuronal differentiation	Nestin, Tuj1, MAP-2, GABAA-R, GFAP	(Kang et al.,
(D3)	1000	16 h	Induced cell death	Cell viability (MTT assay)	2014)
Mouse, ESCs	0.1 - 100	14 days	Altered gene expression-related neuronal	Nestin, Pax6, Tuj1, NCAM1, Nefm,	(Stummann
(D3); EB	>100		differentiation	MAP-2 (Mtap2)	et al., 2007)
			Decreased cell viability	Resazurin reduction	
Mouse, adult	100, 500	16 h	Induced apoptosis (males more affected than	Condensed nuclei (Hoechst 33342)	(Ceccatelli
NSCs			females)		et al., 2013)
Mouse, NPCs	100, 1000	48 h	Induced apoptosis (prevented by antioxidant	TUNNEL staining	(Watanabe
(E14.5); EB			treatment)		et al., 2009)
Mouse, NPCs	100,	3–24 h	Induced apoptosis (prevented by caspase	Cleaved caspase 3, Bcl-2, Bax, DNA	(Watanabe
(E14.5); EB	500, 1000		inhibitors)	fragmentation (ladder)	et al., 2013)
Rat, NPCs	3000	6 h	Reduced proliferation	Cyclin E, BrdU	(Burke et al.,
(E14.5); EB		18 h	Induced apoptosis	Cleaved caspase 3	2006)
Abbreviations: C	DK2, cyclin dep	endent kinase	2; MAP-2 (Mtap2), microtubule-associated protei	in 2; Nd3, mitochondrially encoded NADH del	chydrogenase 3;

Cyth, mitochondrially encoded cytochrome b; Bmi1, polycomb ring finger oncogene; Hmga1, high mobility group AT-hook 1; Hp1y, heterochromatin protein 1; EB, embryoid body; Nefm, neurofilament medium polypeptide

Table 1 (continued)

		Duration			
	Exposure doses	of			
Models	(nM)	exposure	Alterations	Analyzed markers	Reference
Human NPCs (NHNP,	500-1000	2 days	Decreased neuronal differentiation	Tuj1	(Moors
neurospheres)			Decreased neuronal migration	Migration distance	et al., 2009)
Human NPCs (NHNP,	750	2 days	Decreased neuronal migration	Migration distance	(Moors
neurospheres)				ERK 1/2 phosphorylation	et al., 2007)
hNSC (HB1.F3 cell	1000	2 days	Decreased RA-induced neuronal	Nestin, GFAP	(Chang
line)			differentiation; increased astrocyte	LC3-I, II	et al., 2013)
			differentiation	Akt1, mTOR, p53	
			Induced autophagy		
			Induced apoptosis		
hNSC (umbilical cord,	50	2 days	Decreased proliferation		(Buzanska
HUCB-NSC)	(undifferentiated)		Induced apoptosis		et al., 2009)
	1000		Decreased S100b expression in		
	(differentiated)		astrocytes		
Human iPSC-derived	10	2 days	Increased astrocyte differentiation	GFAP, MAP2, DCX	(Raciti
neuroepithelial stem			(reversed by DAPT – Notch signaling		et al., 2019)
(NES)			blocker)		
Human fetal neural	10	4 days	Decreased neuronal differentiation	Tuj1	(Edoff et al.,
progenitor cells (hNPC)	25-100		Disruption of neurite extension and cell	BDNF, CDKL5	2017)
			migration (males more affected than	Neurite length, migration distance	
			females)	Condensed nuclei (Hoechst	
			Increased cell death	33342)	
ihNPCs (ReNcell CX	10, 50	1 day	Decreased proliferation	EdU uptake; p16, p21, p53	(Wang
cell line)			Mitochondrial biogenesis	miR-25, 30d, 1285; mtDNA	et al.,
			ROS production	TFAM, PGC-1a, P53R2	2016b)
				ROS measurement	
					(continued)

origin
of human
NSCs
В.
MeHg
to
of exposure
is c
effect
reporting
Studies
N
Table

		Duration			
Models	Exposure doses (nM)	oi exposure	Alterations	Analyzed markers	Reference
ihNPCs (ReNcell CX cell line)	10, 50 50	1 day	Mitochondrial damage, ROS production Mitochondrial DNA mutations	ND1, Cytb, ATP, mitochondrial membrane notential (IC-1	(Wang et al
	2		Induced apoptosis	aggregation)	2016a)
				Point mutations in ND1, Cytb, ATP6 genes	
				MTT assay, Annexin V, PI	
ESCs (H9-derived	5-50	2 days	Decreased neuronal migration	Migration distance	(Zimmer
neural crest cells)					et al., 2012)
Human, LUHMES	1	6 days	Decreased neurite extension	Neurite length	(Go et al.,
(CRL-2927)			DNA hypomethylation	% 5-mC; DNMT3B	2021)
hESC	25	12 days	Decreased neuronal differentiation	MAP2, NEUROD1, NCAM1	(Stummann
					et al., 2009)
Abbreviations: NDI, mitoc	hondrially encoded	NADH dehyd	rogenase 1; ATP6, mitochondrially encoded	d ATP synthase 6; MAP 2, microtub	ule-associated
protein 2; Cyt b, mitochondi	transferred cytoch	rome b; NEUI F brain dariy	(OD1, neurogenic differentiation 1 transcript	tion factors; NCAMI, neural cell adhe	sion molecule doublecortin:

Table 2 (continued)

1; DNM13B DNA, methyl transferase 3b; BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; CDKL5, cyclin-dependent kinase-like 5 (CDKL5); DCX, doublecortur; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; Tuj1, neuron-specific class II beta-tubulin; p16, p21 cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors; p53, tumor suppressor phosphoprotein; TFAM; PGC-1a; p53R2, p53-inducible ribonucleotide reductase; ERK 1/2, extracellular signaling-regulated kinase 1/2

2 In Vitro Models for Developmental Neurotoxicity Studies

2.1 Neural Stem Cells

Among the cells that have been mostly used for in vitro neurodevelopmental toxicity studies are NSCs and neural progenitor cells (NPCs). The two definitions, often used interchangeably, refer to undifferentiated cells of the nervous system with different specific properties. NSCs are multipotent cells, whereas the potential of NPC is more restricted (Homem et al., 2015). Multipotency of NSCs is described as the ability to differentiate into neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes; and self-renewal is the ability to maintain multipotency through an indefinite number of cell divisions. Both are essential for the elaboration of a specific spatial organization and neuronal network during development and maturation. For experimental purposes, primary NSCs can be derived from various regions of the embryonic/fetal nervous system, such as the olfactory bulb, subventricular zone, hippocampus, cerebellum, cerebral cortex, and spinal cord. In the adult human brain, the persistence of NSCs and their ability to produce new neurons have not been fully clarified. In the adult rodent brain, NSCs are active and continuously produce new neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes throughout life in two specific brain regions: the subventricular zone of the lateral ventricle (basal ganglia) and the subgranular layer of the dentate gyrus (hippocampus). The integration of newly generated neurons into preexisting neuronal networks is essential for the function and plasticity of neural circuits of the adult brain. Thus, NSCs are highly relevant for neurotoxicity studies not only in the developing brain but also in the adult nervous system. Several NSC models can be used for investigating known and suspected neurotoxicants, as briefly discussed below.

2.2 Cell Lines and Primary Cultures of Mouse, Rat, and Human-Derived Neural Stem Cells

The C17.2 cell line is a murine neonatal cerebellum-derived immortalized NSC line, which has been widely used for understanding cell fate and differentiation of neural progenitors. These cells maintain the capacity to follow developmental cues. For example, C17.2 cells can generate fully functional neurons when transplanted into mid-embryonic mouse brain, but not at later developmental stages, when gliogenesis is predominant (Snyder et al., 1992).

Primary cultures of cortical NSCs are isolated from the telencephalon of rat embryo at embryonic day 15 (E15), and about 50% of these cells have been found to retain multipotential properties (Johe et al., 1996). These cells can be cultured as a monolayer on coated surfaces, or in suspension on non-coated surfaces, and the stemness is maintained by the addition of fibroblast growth factor (FGF). Upon removing FGF from the culture medium, NSCs can differentiate into the major cell types found in the telencephalon, including pyramidal neurons and interneurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes. Primary cultures of adult neural stem cells (aNSCs) are obtained from the anterior portion of the lateral walls of the lateral ventricles of adult rats (Johansson et al., 1999). These cells are cultured in suspension in the presence of epidermal growth factor (EGF) and propagate as neurospheres within a week. Thereafter, neurospheres can be subsequently trypsinized and either passaged for de novo neurosphere propagation or plated onto coated surfaces for monolayer culture. Similarly to other models, aNSCs can differentiate into neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes in the absence of EGF.

Human NPCs are collected from fetal forebrain at gestational weeks 8–12 (Åkesson et al., 2007), cultured in presence of FGF and EGF and maintained in suspension as proliferating neurospheres (Brannen & Sugaya, 2000; Piper et al., 2000). When NPCs are cultured on coated surfaces without growth factors, they give rise to the major lineages found in the adult brain.

Human NSCs (hNSCs) generated from stem cells isolated from umbilical cord blood (HUCB-NSC cell line) can differentiate into neurons, which express functional voltage- and ligand-gated ion channels, and can establish functional networks similar to immature neurons. In addition, HUCB-NSC cells differentiate to generate astrocytes and oligodendroglia (Buzanska et al., 2009).

Human iPSC-derived neuroepithelial-like stem cells (AF22 cell line, hNES cells) have long-term self-renewal capacity and display a rosette-like growth pattern after 8–12 days in culture with EGF and FGF. hNES cells give rise to neurons and glia when they are induced to differentiate by growth factor withdrawal. In addition, these cells retain neuro- and gliogenic potential even after long-term proliferation (Koch et al., 2009).

A recent advance in hiPSC technology is the generation of brain organoids, which recapitulate early stages of development and allows the direct investigation of processes associated with altered development. For the toxicology field, brain organoids may offer an unprecedented possibility for large-scale screening and molecular studies on compounds with developmental neurotoxic potential (Bose et al., 2021).

3 MeHg Exposure Affects NSCs by Dysregulation of Developmental Processes

3.1 Levels of MeHg Exposure Reported in Humans During Development

The level of MeHg exposure during development in human populations is usually inferred from the levels measured in the umbilical cord at birth. Peak levels detected in populations heavily exposed from industrial pollution have been reported to be in the range of $1.5-3.5 \mu$ M. Such massive exposure leading to so high concentrations is mostly due to man-made outbreaks that hopefully will not occur in the future. As previously described, the main source of exposure to MeHg in the general population is the intake of contaminated seafood, fish, and marine mammals (Fig. 1), and

there is a direct correlation between maternal fish intake and the concentration of MeHg in cord blood even at low maternal fish consumption (Ursinyova et al., 2019). Since MeHg readily crosses the placenta, there is a consistent correlation between the concentration of MeHg in fetal and maternal blood, with cord blood levels being 1.7–1.9 times higher than maternal levels (Stern & Smith, 2003). The concentration of MeHg in the cord blood ranges between 10 and 50 nM in most populations studied and reaches 250 nM in populations with diet based primarily on marine food. Therefore, the potential developmental neurotoxic effects of MeHg are of genuine concern.

3.2 Relevant Endpoints for Assessing Neurotoxic Effects in NSCs

The effects of MeHg exposure on NSCs have been studied in monolayer and suspension culture systems (e.g., neurospheres, embryoid bodies); the latter require considerably higher MeHg concentrations (in low μ M range) to elicit similar effects. From the papers reviewed and summarized in Tables 1 and 2, it appears that primary NSCs are more sensitive to the toxic effects of MeHg than NSC cell lines and 3D culture systems.

3.2.1 Apoptosis

Exposure for >24 h to 25 nM or higher concentrations induces apoptosis in proliferating NSCs of mouse or rat origin by activating caspase- and calpaindependent pathways (Fujimura & Usuki, 2015; Tamm et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2010). Similarly, exposure to 50 nM MeHg for 48 h induces apoptosis in HUCB-NSC cells (Buzanska et al., 2009). In cultures using cells of human origin, such as human fetal or embryonic NPCs, cell death is induced by concentrations of 25 nM and higher (Edoff et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2016a, b). Exposure to 50 nM for 24 h has been described to induce mitochondrial damage, altered mitochondrial biogenesis, and increased ROS production in immortalized human cortical NPCs (ReNcell® CX) (Wang et al., 2016a, b). In contrast, apoptosis is induced in C17.2 cells by exposure to 250 nM for 24 h (Tamm et al., 2006). In embryoid bodies, apoptosis is induced by exposure to >100 nM for 14 days (Stummann et al., 2007), 200 nM for 11 days (Theunissen et al., 2010), or 1000 nM for 16 h (Kang et al., 2014). Interestingly, exposure to 1000 nM MeHg for 48 h causes caspase-dependent apoptosis and autophagy via inhibition of Akt1/mTOR signaling (Chang et al., 2013). Of note, aNSCs derived from male mice are more sensitive than cells derived from female mice, as observed following exposure to either 100 or 500 nM MeHg for 16 h (Ceccatelli et al., 2013). Apoptosis induced by exposure to MeHg can be prevented by caspase (Tamm et al., 2006; Watanabe et al., 2013) and calpain (Tamm et al., 2006) inhibitors. In addition, inhibition of glutathione (GSH) synthesis promoted cell death, while antioxidant treatment (NAC or alphatocopherol) prevented apoptosis induced by 48-h exposure to 100 nM MeHg in mouse cortical neural progenitors (Watanabe et al., 2009).

3.2.2 Proliferation

Exposure regimens which do not decrease cell viability typically reduce the proliferation rate and alter differentiation in rodent primary NSCs. Cell proliferation has been shown to be reduced at doses ranging from 2.5 nM to 10 nM following 48-h exposure in NSCs derived from rat embryonic telencephalon (E14.5) (Bose et al., 2012; Fujimura & Usuki, 2015). In a recent study using rat embryonic NSCs derived from an earlier stage (E12), Yuan and colleagues describe a significant reduction in the proliferation rate at 0.25 nM, but not at higher doses (0.5–5 nM) (Yuan et al., 2018). Exposure to MeHg induces cellular senescence in rat NSCs, as shown by the expression of *Bmi1*, *Hmga1*, and *Hp1y* (Bose et al., 2012). The cell cycle arrest can be explained by the observed upregulation of CDK inhibitors, such as p16 and p21, accelerated cvclin E degradation, GSK-3b upregulation, and altered cvtoskeleton dynamics by direct interference with microtubule polymerization (Bose et al., 2012; Burke et al., 2006; Fujimura & Usuki, 2015; Tian et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2010). Similar effects have been described in immortalized cortical human NPCs (ReNcell® CX) exposed to 10 nM MeHg for 24 h, where the decrease in proliferation is associated with the upregulation of p16, p21, and p53 (Wang et al., 2016b).

3.2.3 Differentiation

Spontaneous differentiation of NSCs in culture is triggered by withdrawal of growth factors (FGF or EGF). In rat NSC, neuronal differentiation has been shown to be decreased at doses ranging from 0.5 to 10 nM following 48-h exposure (Tamm et al., 2006, 2008; Tian et al., 2016; Yuan et al., 2018). Oxidative stress appears to play a role also in the effects on NSC differentiation at subcytotoxic concentrations (2.5 or 5 nM for 48 h): Tian et al. have shown that antioxidant treatment with polysaccharides from Lycium barbarum reversed the decreased neuronal differentiation and increased astrocyte differentiation in hippocampal NSCs derived from rat embryos (Tian et al., 2016). Decreased neuronal differentiation has been described also in NSC of human origin following exposure to 10 or 25 nM MeHg for between 2 and 12 days (Edoff et al., 2017; Raciti et al., 2019; Stummann et al., 2009). Interestingly, Yuan et al. have found that exposure to very low concentrations (0.25 nM for 3 days) increased neuronal differentiation but decreased the proportion of precursor cells in NSCs isolated from mouse embryonal cortex (Yuan et al., 2018). Human fetal NPCs exposed to 10 nM MeHg for 4 days display decreased neuronal differentiation associated with BDNF downregulation (Edoff et al., 2017). ERK 1/2 dephosphorylation and Notch have been identified as relevant signaling pathways mediating the effects of MeHg on NSC differentiation. In rat cortical NSCs, neuronal differentiation is rescued by GM-6001, a potent metalloprotease inhibitor which reduces extracellular cleavage of Notch1 receptor (Tamm et al., 2008).

Astrocytic differentiation is also affected by MeHg as shown by the increase observed in human iPSC-derived neuroepithelial stem (NES) cells exposed to 10 nM for 2 days. The increase in astrocytes can be reversed by DAPT, a gamma-secretase inhibitor which blocks extracellular Notch cleavage (Raciti et al., 2019). Interestingly, the alterations induced by MeHg resemble the alterations observed in NES cell derived from a patient bearing a mutation in NRXN1 gene linked to autism spectrum disorder (Raciti et al., 2019).

3.2.4 Migration and Neurite Outgrowth

The occurrence of decreased neuronal migration and neurite outgrowth have been described at concentrations between 1 and 50 nM of MeHg in cells of human origin (Edoff et al., 2017; Go et al., 2021; Zimmer et al., 2012). Remarkably, the MeHg-induced reduction in cell migration and neurite extension is more pronounced in cells of male origin (Edoff et al., 2017). Decreased neuronal migration has been described in neurospheres grown from human NPCs exposed to 500–1000 nM MeHg for 48 h (Moors et al., 2007, 2009), and the effect was dependent on the reduction in ERK 1/2 phosphorylation. These findings are corroborated by the abnormal positioning of cerebrocortical neurons following in vivo administration of MeHg (0.1 or 1 mg/kg/day i.p. GD11-21) (Guo et al., 2013). In this experimental model, MeHg reportedly interfered specifically with neuronal migration, but not with the proliferation or differentiation of NSCs (Guo et al., 2013).

3.3 Inheritable Effects

An interesting observation made in primary cultures of NSCs is the persistence of the MeHg-induced alterations in the progeny of the exposed cells (Bose et al., 2012). Briefly, rat NSCs were exposed to 2.5 or 5 nM MeHg for 48 h (parent cells). Note that the exposure is not repeated after passaging the cells (daughter cells), which results in Hg levels similar to the control cells. Nevertheless, daughter cells exhibited the same alterations in proliferation and differentiation, cellular senescence, gene expression, and global DNA methylation as observed in parent cells. The latter points to the occurrence of epigenetic changes.

In summary, the reports available to date point to similar effects in NSC cultures of either rodent or human origin. Concentrations above 25 nM induce apoptosis by activating the caspase 3- and calpain-dependent pathways. Oxidative stress contributes to triggering apoptosis, as shown by the protection exerted by antioxidants. Proliferation is decreased without significant effects on cell viability at concentrations between 0.25 and 10 nM by cell cycle arrest. Neuronal differentiation, migration, and neurite outgrowth are altered by exposure to concentrations between 0.5 and 10 nM, and Notch signaling appears to mediate the effects on neuronal differentiation in NSC of either rodent or human origin. Oxidative stress plays a role also in the effects on neuronal differentiation. The effects of low concentration MeHg exposure persist in daughter cells never directly exposed and are associated with epigenetic changes.

4 Critical Mechanisms Behind NSC Dysregulation Induced by MeHg

4.1 Mitochondria Impairment and Oxidative Stress

Among the various mechanisms that have been shown to be involved in MeHginduced neurodevelopmental toxicity, oxidative stress appears to be most critical in NSCs, as indicated by a number of studies performed in different types of NSC models (Tian et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016a, b). Compelling evidence links the overproduction of ROS to MeHg-dependent impaired mitochondrial function, as shown in in vivo and in vitro studies (Mori et al., 2007). Mitochondria are equipped with antioxidants, including GSH, thioredoxin (TRX), and the catalase system to quench ROS maintaining a steady-state concentration of oxidants at not toxic levels. The negative effects of MeHg on the antioxidant defenses further alter the delicate REDOX balance necessary for proper mitochondrial functions.

Based on these data, it appears that the extent of mitochondrial damage in NSCs depends on the level of MeHg exposure. While subtoxic concentrations induce transcriptional repression of mitochondrial respiratory chain enzymes of complexes I and III that do not affect cell survival (Bose et al., 2012), higher concentrations induce release of cytochrome c with subsequent activation of the mitochondrial caspase-dependent apoptotic cell death pathway (Tamm et al., 2006).

The importance of oxidative stress in MeHg-induced damage in NSC is strongly supported by the protective effects exerted by antioxidants, which can prevent both apoptosis (Watanabe et al., 2009) and the alterations in neuronal differentiation (Tian et al., 2016) in NSCs isolated from embryonal rodent brains exposed to low-dose MeHg.

There are several pathways potentially connecting oxidative stress with genetics as well as with epigenetics. Both free radicals and nonradical oxidants are generated during oxidative stress. While free radicals are reactive and lead to macromolecular damage, nonradical oxidants (e.g., H_2O_2 , peroxynitrite, lipid hydroperoxide, and disulfides) disrupt REDOX signaling and physiological regulation pathways. For example, a free radical, hydroxyl (•OH), is the most reactive among ROS and has a relatively short half-life. The •OH reacts with guanosine directly, which is oxidized to produce 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2 deoxyguanosine (8-oxo-dG). While 8-oxo-dG can be repaired by a multistep process of base excision repair (BER) mechanisms, it can also mispair with adenine instead of cytosine and thereby generate G \rightarrow T transversions. This hypothesis is supported by the mutations in mitochondrial DNA described in human NPCs (ReNcell[®] CX) exposed to 10 or 50 nM MeHg for 48 h (Wang et al., 2016a). The following subchapter will discuss the relevance of epigenetic modifications in NSC exposed to MeHg.

4.2 Epigenetic Changes

Epigenetics is defined as the regulation of gene expression through chromatin remodeling without changing the DNA sequence. The epigenetic mechanisms for regulating gene transcription include histone modifications, which control DNA availability for transcription factors to bind (by condensation or relaxation of chromatin wrapping in nucleosomes); DNA methylation, which modulates the efficiency of DNA transcription machinery (DNA methylation in the promoter region typically represses gene expression); and noncoding RNA, such as micro-RNA (miRNA) strands, which silence gene expression by binding to mRNA. Epigenetic changes are inherited across cell generations and have been demonstrated to regulate a wide range of physiological and pathological processes, from cell cycle to the activity of non-diving cells – such as neurons. In addition, epigenetic marks are subject to influence from exogenous factors, such as methyl donors in the diet, environmental pollutants, and toxicants, such as MeHg (Culbreth & Aschner, 2019).

4.2.1 DNA Methylation

DNA methylation is regulated by DNA-methyl transferases (Dnmt), which add a methyl group to cytosine in position 5 and generate 5-methylcytosine (5-mC), and Ten-eleven translocation methylcytosine dioxygenases (Tet), which oxidize 5-mC to 5-hydroxymethylation (5-hmC), and the demethylated is completed by replication. In addition, 5-hmC can be further oxidized by Tet to produce 5-formylcytosine (5-fC) and 5-carboxylcytosine (5-CaC) before demethylation by replication. Several mechanisms linking oxidative stress to DNA demethylation have been proposed. First, the affinity for sulfhydryl functional groups allows MeHg to bind to GSH and thereby disrupt antioxidant defense systems, and depletion of GSH per se is sufficient to lead to DNA hypomethylation. Second, methionine adenosyltransferase (MAT), which catalyzes the synthesis of S-adenosylmethionine (SAM; the main donor of methyl groups for DNA methylation by Dnmt), and methionine synthase (MS), which is required for methionine synthesis, are particularly sensitive to oxidative stress. Thus, the availability of methyl-group donors is decreased by oxidative stress, and DNA methyltransferases lack substrate for maintenance or de novo DNA methylation. A third potential mechanism is initiated by DNA oxidation by ROS. Thus, the presence 8-oxo-dG, the most commonly occurring oxidized nucleotide, activates DNA base repair enzyme OGG1, which binds to 8-oxo-dG, preventing DNMTs from methylating the DNA. In addition, OGG1 binding to 8-oxo-dG leads to CpG demethylation either directly by recruiting Tet1, which targets the adjacent 5-mC, or indirectly via deamination followed by DNA repair mechanisms during replication (see Fig. 2). Wang and colleagues show that ROS production increased significantly in hNPCs exposed to low MeHg dose (10 or 50 nM) (Wang et al., 2016a). Using primary embryonic rat NSCs, the effects of MeHg have been shown to be long-lasting (Bose et al., 2012). The decrease in proliferation (senescence) was associated with p16 and p21 upregulation (Bose et al., 2012). In addition, the global DNA hypomethylation is associated with Dnmt3b downregulation. This is in line with an earlier study showing that inhibition of DNMT in human umbilical cord blood-derived stem cells upregulates the expression of p16 and p21, thereby decreasing cell proliferation rate and inducing cellular senescence (So et al., 2011). Using a different model and exposure protocol, Go et al. report global DNA hypermethylation and DNMT1, 3A, and 3B upregulation in differentiating LUHMES (CRL-2927) cells exposed to 1 nM MeHg for 6 days, with similar results following in vivo exposure (3 mg/kg/day between GD12 and GD14) (Go et al., 2021). However, these experimental protocols lead to accumulation of Hg to levels relevant for massive, accidental exposure during development, which can explain the apparent contradiction in reported outcome. Further evidence linking oxidative stress and DNA demethylation comes from experimental models of aging (Guillaumet-Adkins et al., 2017) and brain tumors, where Barciszewska and



Fig. 2 MeHg causes both genetic mutation and epigenetic alterations in NSCs. MeHg-induced ROS (mostly hydroxyl group; •OH) oxidized guanosine that produced several oxidized products, including 8-oxoguanine glycosylase (OGG1), a DNA base repair enzyme involved in base excision repair (BER) arrives at the site of oxidation and repairs the base by a series of processes. For example, methylated cytosine (5-mC) is demethylated by recruiting TET1, which results in alteration in gene expression and functions. However, 5-mC adjacent to 8-oxoguanosine interferes with the repair process with the OGG1, which results in DNA lesions, including point mutations

colleagues describe an inverse correlation between the 8-oxo-dG and 5-mC content of DNA (Barciszewska et al., 2019).

In addition to global DNA methylation, the methylation status can be assessed at individual gene level. A DNA fragment which shifted methylation status (either methylated de novo or demethylated) after exposure is defined as differentially methylated region (DMR). The occurrence of DMRs potentially changes the rate at which the gene is expressed. In a recent study, the methylation status of specific genes involved in brain development and neuronal signaling was assessed in 7-yearold children in relation to prenatal exposure to MeHg from maternal diet (Cediel Ulloa et al., 2021). The methylation of CpG sites in the promoter regions of NR3C1 (glucocorticoid receptor), GRIN2B (NMDA-receptor subunit), and BDNF (neurotrophic factor modulating neuronal development and function) was increasing with the developmental exposure level of exposure inferred from Hg levels in maternal hair. For NR3C1, prenatal MeHg exposure increased methylation in CpG3 and CpG5 sites. CpG3 is located in a transcription factor binding site for Hen-1 and together with CpG4 is part of the binding site for the transcription factor NGFI-A. Thus, MeHg-induced methylation of CpG3 site inhibited NGF1-A binding, leading to lower NR3C1 expression. MeHg-induced methylation of CpG4 did not have effects on NR3C1 expression regulation by NGF1-A. However, CpG4 is part of a conserved predicted binding site for nuclear respiratory factor 1 (Nrf1), and its methylation decreased GRIN2B expression. Alteration of GRIN2B function has been associated with neurodevelopmental disorders, such as attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, autism spectrum disorder, and schizophrenia. Similarly, CpG5 methylation induced by MeHg decreased expression of BDNF, which encodes for a neurotrophin with fundamental role in neural development, nerve cell survival, and synaptic plasticity (Castrén et al., 2007). BDNF is particularly relevant in relation to MeHg exposure because polymorphisms in the BDNF gene increase the susceptibility to neurotoxic effects, and the alterations in BDNF expression have been associated with depression as found following MeHg exposure in vivo (Onishchenko et al., 2007, 2008).

4.2.2 Modification of Histones

Histone modifications contributing to epigenetic regulation of gene transcription consist of covalent posttranslational modifications (PTMs), such as acetylation, methylation, phosphorylation, ribosylation, ubiquitination, sumoylation, or glycosylation. Histone acetylation and methylation are REDOX-sensitive and are inherited by daughter cells. Histone acetylation is regulated by ~ 30 histone acetyltransferases (HATs) and histone deacetylases (HDACs); epigenetic regulation of gene transcription depends on the balance between the epigenetic marks applied by HATs and HDACs. Histone methylation can either upregulate or downregulate gene expression depending on the amino acid methylated (lysine or arginine), position on the histone tail, and the number of methyl groups added. This dynamic process is regulated by more than 40 histone methyltransferases (HMTs) and demethylases. It has been shown earlier that exposure of pregnant mice to 0.5 mg/kg/day MeHg in drinking water from GD7 until PND7 results in depression-like behavior (Onishchenko et al., 2008). In addition, hippocampal neurogenesis is impaired, and the total number of granule cells in the hippocampal dentate gyrus is lower in adult mice exposed to MeHg during development (Bose et al., 2012). This model gives a concentration of Hg in the brain of about 0.9 ppm (Onishchenko et al., 2007), which is similar to the concentration found in NSCs exposed to 2.5 and 5 nM (0.4 and 0.7 ppm, respectively) (Bose et al., 2012) and with the concentration reported in autopsy material from infants exposed to MeHg from maternal diet (up to 0.3 ppm (Lapham et al., 1995)). The decrease in BDNF expression is associated with repressive epigenetic marks, including DNA hypermethylation, increased histone H3-K27 tri-methylation, and decreased H3 acetylation at the BDNF promoter IV region. Antidepressant treatment with fluoxetine restored BDNF expression by reversing H3 acetylation at the BDNF promoter IV region (Onishchenko et al., 2008), pointing to epigenetic modification playing a role in the depression-like behavior induced by MeHg. The mechanisms behind the phenotype induced by developmental exposure to MeHg are presumably more complex than the epigenetic regulation of BDNF expression. Nevertheless, the changes in epigenetic marks in the promoter region of BDNF can account to a significant extent for the behavioral alterations, as well as for the positive effects of antidepressant treatment.

4.2.3 Noncoding RNAs

Noncoding RNAs that regulate epigenetic processes include micro-RNAs (miRNAs), long noncoding RNA (lncRNA), and circular RNA (circRNA). miRNAs are considered to play an essential role in regulating the epigenome and are affected by oxidative stress. Recent evidence demonstrated that nanomolar concentration of MeHg not only induced ROS but also altered cell viability and decreased cell proliferation that was associated with upregulation of p53R2 expression (Wang et al., 2016b). miRNAs are one of the major epigenetic alterations that have been shown to regulate specific gene expression. Wang et al. showed that MeHg-induced downregulation of miR-1285, miR-30d, and miR-25 is associated with upregulation of p53R2 expression. The overexpression of miR-25 significantly reduces the protein expression of p53 in MeHg-treated hNPCs (Wang et al., 2016b). This study indicates that MeHg-induced developmental neurotoxicity can activate diverse mechanisms simultaneously.

In summary, these data suggest that the effects of MeHg are mediated to a large extent by epigenetic changes. Exposure to nanomolar doses of MeHg alters neurodevelopmental processes, and the alterations persist beyond the time of initial exposure.

5 Conclusion

From the revised literature, it emerges that NSCs of either rodent or human origin are suitable models for mechanistic studies regarding the developmental neurotoxicity of MeHg. In agreement with earlier data, NSCs appear to be more susceptible to MeHg toxicity than differentiated neuronal and glial cells, and they recapitulate the sex-related differences in susceptibility to MeHg reported by epidemiological and in vivo experimental studies. While micromolar concentrations of MeHg induce apoptotic cell death, nanomolar levels, relevant to human exposure, affect the neurogenic potential resulting in altered proliferations, differentiation, migration, and neurite outgrowth. Notably, the effects on proliferation (cellular senescence) and differentiation are persistent, associated to epigenetic alterations. Indeed, epigenetic

modifications have been observed following exposure to nanomolar concentrations, and there is accumulating evidence to support a cross talk between oxidative stress and epigenetic mechanisms even at very low exposure concentrations. Alterations of neurodevelopment may therefore have long-term detrimental consequences that can lead to neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders. The utilization of human NSCs (embryonic or iPSC-derived) in developmental neurotoxicity studies enables the identification of human-specific pathways that, by shedding light on the mechanisms behind early damages, could contribute to the identification of preventive and therapeutic strategies against environmental threats.

6 Cross-References

- ▶ Methylmercury and Cellular Signal Transduction Systems
- Neurotoxicity in Depression

References

- Åkesson, E., Piao, J. H., Samuelsson, E. B., Holmberg, L., Kjældgaard, A., Falci, S., Sundström, E., & Seiger, Å. (2007). Long-term culture and neuronal survival after intraspinal transplantation of human spinal cord-derived neurospheres. *Physiology and Behavior*, 92(1–2), 60–66. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2007.05.056
- American Academy of Pediatrics. (2019). Eating Fish Advice July 2019. July 2019, 2020.
- Barciszewska, A.-M., Giel-Pietraszuk, M., Perrigue, P., & Naskret-Barciszewska, M. (2019). Oxidative DNA damage in gliomas. *Cell*, 8(1065), 1–14.
- Bose, R., Banerjee, S., & Dunbar, G. L. (2021). Modeling neurological disorders in 3D organoids using human-derived pluripotent stem cells. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology* | www.frontiersin.org, 1, 786. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.640212.
- Bose, R., Moors, M., Tofighi, R., Cascante, A., Hermanson, O., & Ceccatelli, S. (2010). Glucocorticoids induce long-lasting effects in neural stem cells resulting in senescence-related alterations. *Cell Death and Disease*, 1(11), e92. https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2010.60
- Bose, R., Onishchenko, N., Edoff, K., Janson Lang, A. M., & Ceccatelli, S. (2012). Inherited effects of low-dose exposure to methylmercury in neural stem cells. *Toxicological Sciences*, 130(2), 383–390. https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfs257
- Brannen, C. L., & Sugaya, K. (2000). In vitro differentiation of multipotent human neural progenitors in serum-free medium. *Neuroreport*, 11(5), 1123–1128. https://doi.org/10.1097/ 00001756-200004070-00042
- Burke, K., Cheng, Y., Li, B., Petrov, A., Joshi, P., Berman, R. F., Reuhl, K. R., & DiCicco-Bloom, E. (2006). Methylmercury elicits rapid inhibition of cell proliferation in the developing brain and decreases cell cycle regulator, cyclin E. *Neurotoxicology*, 27(6), 970–981. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.neuro.2006.09.001
- Buzanska, L., Sypecka, J., Nerini-Molteni, S., Compagnoni, A., Hogberg, H. T., Del Torchio, R., Domanska-Janik, K., Zimmer, J., & Coecke, S. (2009). A human stem cell-based model for identifying adverse effects of organic and inorganic chemicals on the developing nervous system. *Stem Cells*, 27(10), 2591–2601. https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.179
- Castoldi, A. F., Johansson, C., Onishchenko, N., Coccini, T., Roda, E., Vahter, M., Ceccatelli, S., & Manzo, L. (2008). Human developmental neurotoxicity of methylmercury: Impact of variables and risk modifiers. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 51(2), 201–214. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.yrtph.2008.01.016

- Castrén, E., Võikar, V., & Rantamäki, T. (2007). Role of neurotrophic factors in depression. *Current Opinion in Pharmacology*, 7(1), 18–21.
- Ceccatelli, S., Bose, R., Edoff, K., Onishchenko, N., & Spulber, S. (2013). Long-lasting neurotoxic effects of exposure to methylmercury during development. *Journal of Internal Medicine*, 273(5), 490–497. https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.12045
- Cediel Ulloa, A., Gliga, A., Love, T. M., Pineda, D., Mruzek, D. W., Watson, G. E., Davidson, P. W., Shamlaye, C. F., Strain, J. J., Myers, G. J., van Wijngaarden, E., Ruegg, J., & Broberg, K. (2021). Prenatal methylmercury exposure and DNA methylation in seven-year-old children in the Seychelles Child Development Study. *Environment International*, 147, 106321. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.106321
- Chang, S. H., Lee, H. J., Kang, B., Yu, K. N., Minai-Tehrani, A., Lee, S., Kim, S. U., & Cho, M. H. (2013). Methylmercury induces caspase-dependent apoptosis and autophagy in human neural stem cells. *Journal of Toxicological Sciences*, 38(6), 823–831. https://doi.org/10.2131/jts. 38.823
- Culbreth, M., & Aschner, M. (2019). Methylmercury epigenetics. In *Toxics* (Vol. 7, Issue 4). MDPI AG. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics7040056.
- Edoff, K., Raciti, M., Moors, M., Sundström, E., & Ceccatelli, S. (2017). Gestational age and sex influence the susceptibility of human neural progenitor cells to low levels of MeHg. *Neurotoxicity Research*, 32(4), 683–693. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12640-017-9786-x
- Fujimura, M., & Usuki, F. (2015). Low concentrations of methylmercury inhibit neural progenitor cell proliferation associated with up-regulation of glycogen synthase kinase 3β and subsequent degradation of cyclin E in rats. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 288(1), 19–25. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2015.07.006
- Go, S., Kurita, H., Hatano, M., Matsumoto, K., Nogawa, H., Fujimura, M., Inden, M., & Hozumi, I. (2021). DNA methyltransferase- and histone deacetylase-mediated epigenetic alterations induced by low-level methylmercury exposure disrupt neuronal development. *Archives of Toxicology*, 95(4), 1227–1239. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-021-02984-7
- Grandjean, P., Satoh, H., Murata, K., & Eto, K. (2010). Adverse effects of methylmercury: Environmental health research implications. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, *118*(8), 1137–1145.
- Guillaumet-Adkins, A., Yañez, Y., Peris-Diaz, M. D., Calabria, I., Palanca-Ballester, C., & Sandoval, J. (2017). Epigenetics and oxidative stress in aging. In Oxidative medicine and cellular longevity (Vol. 2017). Hindawi Limited. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/9175806
- Guo, B. Q., Yan, C. H., Cai, S. Z., Yuan, X. B., & Shen, X. M. (2013). Low level prenatal exposure to methylmercury disrupts neuronal migration in the developing rat cerebral cortex. *Toxicology*, 304, 57–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2012.11.019
- Harada, M. (1995). Minamata disease: Methylmercury poisoning in Japan caused by environmental pollution. *Critical Reviews in Toxicology*, 25(1), 1–24.
- Hintelmann, H. (2010). Organomercurials. Their formation and pathways in the environment. In *Metal ions in life sciences* (Vol. 7, pp. 365–401). Met Ions Life Sci. https://doi.org/10.1515/ 9783110436600-016
- Homem, C. C. F., Repic, M., & Knoblich, J. A. (2015). Proliferation control in neural stem and progenitor cells. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 16(11), 647–659. Nature Publishing Group. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn4021.
- Johansson, C. B., Momma, S., Clarke, D. L., Risling, M., Lendahl, U., & Frisén, J. (1999). Identification of neural stem cells. *Cell*, *96*, 25–34.
- Johansson, C., Castoldi, A. F., Onishchenko, N., Manzo, L., Vahter, M., & Ceccatelli, S. (2007). Neurobehavioural and molecular changes induced by methylmercury exposure during development. *Neurotoxicity Research*, 11(3–4), 241–260.
- Johe, K. K., Hazel, T. G., Muller, T., Dugich-Djordjevic, M. M., & McKay, R. D. (1996). Single factors direct the differentiation of stem cells from the fetal and adult central nervous system. *Genes & Development*, 10(24), 3129–3140. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.10.24.3129
- Kang, S. J., Jeong, S. H., Kim, E. J., Park, Y. I., Park, S. W., Shin, H. S., Son, S. W., & Kang, H. G. (2014). Toxic effects of methylmercury, arsanilic acid and danofloxacin on the differentiation of

mouse embryonic stem cells into neural cells. Journal of Veterinary Science, 15(1), 61–71. https://doi.org/10.4142/jvs.2014.15.1.61

- Ke, T., Gonçalves, F. M., Gonçalves, C. L., dos Santos, A. A., Rocha, J. B. T., Farina, M., Skalny, A., Tsatsakis, A., Bowman, A. B., & Aschner, M. (2019). Post-translational modifications in MeHg-induced neurotoxicity. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta – Molecular Basis of Disease*, 1865(8), 2068–2081. Elsevier B.V. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2018.10.024.
- Koch, P., Opitz, T., Steinbeck, J. A., Ladewig, J., & Brüstle, O. (2009). A rosette-type, selfrenewing human ES cell-derived neural stem cell with potential for in vitro instruction and synaptic integration. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106(9), 3225–3230. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0808387106
- Lapham, L. W., Cernichiari, E., Cox, C., Myers, G. J., Baggs, R. B., Brewer, R., Shamlaye, C. F., Davidson, P. W., & Clarkson, T. W. (1995). An analysis of autopsy brain tissue from infants prenatally exposed to methymercury. *Neurotoxicology*, 16(4), 689–704.
- Moors, M., Cline, J. E., Abel, J., & Fritsche, E. (2007). ERK-dependent and -independent pathways trigger human neural progenitor cell migration. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 221(1), 57–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2007.02.018
- Moors, M., Rockel, T. D., Abel, J., Cline, J. E., Gassmann, K., Schreiber, T., Shuwald, J., Weinmann, N., Fritsche, E., Schuwald, J., Weinmann, N., & Fritsche, E. (2009). Human neurospheres as three-dimensional cellular systems for developmental neurotoxicity testing. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 117(7), 1131–1138. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0800207
- Mori, N., Yasutake, A., & Hirayama, K. (2007). Comparative study of activities in reactive oxygen species production/defense system in mitochondria of rat brain and liver, and their susceptibility to methylmercury toxicity. *Archives of Toxicology*, 81, 769–776. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00204-007-0209-2
- Onishchenko, N., Karpova, N., Sabri, F., Castrén, E., Ceccatelli, S., Castren, E., & Ceccatelli, S. (2008). Long-lasting depression-like behavior and epigenetic changes of BDNF gene expression induced by perinatal exposure to methylmercury. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, 106(3), 1378–1387. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2008.05484.x
- Onishchenko, N., Tamm, C., Vahter, M., Hökfelt, T., Johnson, J. A., Johnson, D. A., & Ceccatelli, S. (2007). Developmental exposure to methylmercury alters learning and induces depressionlike behavior in male mice. *Toxicological Sciences*, 97(2), 428–437.
- Piper, D. R., Mujtaba, T., Rao, M. S., & Lucero, M. T. (2000). Immunocytochemical and physiological characterization of a population of cultured human neural precursors. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 84(1), 534–548. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.2000.84.1.534
- Raciti, M., Salma, J., Spulber, S., Gaudenzi, G., Khalajzeyqami, Z., Conti, M., Anderlid, B. M. B.-M., Falk, A., Hermanson, O., & Ceccatelli, S. (2019). NRXN1 deletion and exposure to methylmercury increase astrocyte differentiation by different notch-dependent transcriptional mechanisms. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 10, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00593
- Snyder, E. Y., Deitcher, D. L., Walsh, C., Arnold-Aldea, S., Hartwieg, E. A., & Cepko, C. L. (1992). Multipotent neural cell lines can engraft and participate in development of mouse cerebellum. *Cell*, 68(1), 33–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(92)90204-P
- So, A.-Y., Jung, J.-W., Lee, S., Kim, H.-S., & Kang, K.-S. (2011). DNA methyltransferase controls stem cell aging by regulating BMI1 and EZH2 through microRNAs. *PLoS One*, 6(5), e19503.
- Stern, A. H., & Smith, A. E. (2003). An assessment of the cord blood: Maternal blood methylmercury ratio: Implications for risk assessment. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 111(12), 1465–1470.
- Stummann, T. C., Hareng, L., & Bremer, S. (2007). Embryotoxicity hazard assessment of methylmercury and chromium using embryonic stem cells. *Toxicology*, 242(1–3), 130–143. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.tox.2007.09.022
- Stummann, T. C., Hareng, L., & Bremer, S. (2009). Hazard assessment of methylmercury toxicity to neuronal induction in embryogenesis using human embryonic stem cells. *Toxicology*, 257(3), 117–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2008.12.018
- Tamm, C., & Ceccatelli, S. (2017). Mechanistic insight into neurotoxicity induced by developmental insults. In *Biochemical and biophysical research communications* (Vol. 482, Issue 3, pp. 408–418). Elsevier B.V. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.10.087.

- Tamm, C., Duckworth, J., Hermanson, O., & Ceccatelli, S. (2006). High susceptibility of neural stem cells to methylmercury toxicity: Effects on cell survival and neuronal differentiation. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, 97(1), 69–78.
- Tamm, C., Duckworth, J. K., Hermanson, O., & Ceccatelli, S. (2008). Methylmercury inhibits differentiation of rat neural stem cells via Notch signalling. *Neuroreport*, 19(3), 339–343. https://doi.org/10.1097/WNR.0b013e3282f50ca4
- Theunissen, P. T., Pennings, J. L. A., Robinson, J. F., Claessen, S. M. H., Kleinjans, J. C. S., & Piersma, A. H. (2011). Time-response evaluation by transcriptomics of methylmercury effects on neural differentiation of murine embryonic stem cells. *Toxicological Sciences*, 122(2), 437– 447. https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfr134
- Theunissen, P. T., Schulpen, S. H. W., van Dartel, D. A. M., Hermsen, S. A. B., van Schooten, F. J., & Piersma, A. H. (2010). An abbreviated protocol for multilineage neural differentiation of murine embryonic stem cells and its perturbation by methyl mercury. *Reproductive Toxicology*, 29(4), 383–392.
- Tian, J. Y., Chen, W. W., Cui, J., Wang, H., Chao, C., Lu, Z. Y., & Bi, Y. Y. (2016). Effect of Lycium bararum polysaccharides on methylmercury-induced abnormal differentiation of hippocampal stem cells. *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine*, 12(2), 683–689. https://doi.org/10.3892/ etm.2016.3415
- Ursinyova, M., Masanova, V., Uhnakova, I., Murinova, L. P., Patayova, H., Rausova, K., Trnovec, T., Stencl, J., & Gajdos, M. (2019). Prenatal and early postnatal exposure to total mercury and methylmercury from low maternal fish consumption. *Biological Trace Element Research*, 191(1), 16–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-018-1585-6
- Wang, X., Yan, M., Zhao, L., Wu, Q., Wu, C., Chang, X., & Zhou, Z. (2016a). Low-dose methylmercury-induced apoptosis and mitochondrial DNA mutation in human embryonic neural progenitor cells. Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity. https://doi.org/10.1155/ 2016/5137042
- Wang, X., Yan, M., Zhao, L., Wu, Q., Wu, C. H., Chang, X., & Zhou, Z. (2016b). Low-dose methylmercury-induced genes regulate mitochondrial biogenesis via miR-25 in immortalized human embryonic neural progenitor cells. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 17(12). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17122058
- Watanabe, J., Nakamachi, T., Ogawa, T., Naganuma, A., Nakamura, M., Shioda, S., & Nakajo, S. (2009). Characterization of antioxidant protection of cultured neural progenitor cells (NPC) against methylmercury (MeHg) toxicity. *Journal of Toxicological Sciences*, 34(3), 315–325. https://doi.org/10.2131/jts.34.315
- Watanabe, J., Nakamachi, T., Ohtaki, H., Naganuma, A., Shioda, S., & Nakajo, S. (2013). Low dose of methylmercury (MeHg) exposure induces caspase mediated-apoptosis in cultured neural progenitor cells. *Journal of Toxicological Sciences*, 38(6), 931–935. https://doi.org/10.2131/ jts.38.931
- Xu, M., Yan, C., Tian, Y., Yuan, X., & Shen, X. (2010). Effects of low level of methylmercury on proliferation of cortical progenitor cells. *Brain Research*, 1359, 272–280. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.brainres.2010.08.069
- Yuan, X., Wang, J., & Chan, H. M. (2018). Sub-nanomolar methylmercury exposure promotes premature differentiation of murine embryonic neural precursor at the expense of their proliferation. *Toxics*, 6(4). https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics6040061
- Zimmer, B., Lee, G., Balmer, N. V., Meganathan, K., Sachinidis, A., Studer, L., & Leist, M. (2012). Evaluation of developmental toxicants and signaling pathways in a functional test based on the migration of human neural crest cells. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 120(8), 1116–1122. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104489