# **Chapter 13 Genome Editing advances in Soybean Improvement against Biotic and Abiotic Stresses**



**Sabin Aslam, Ahmad Munir, Hafz Muhammad Usman Aslam, Sultan Habibullah Khan, and Aftab Ahmad**

**Abstract** New breeding technologies have enabled scientists to integrate, delete, or replace genes for developing new crop varieties with great ease as compared to conventional breeding approaches. Using site-specifc genome editing tools, we are able to pinpoint exact locus and modify it according to desired trait. Among all the genome editing tools, clustered regularly interspersed short palindromic repeats and its associated Cas genes (CRISPR/Cas system) emerged as an ultimate revolutionary approach for editing genomes of almost all organisms (plants, animals, and humans). CRISPR system is playing a key role in editing the genomes of numerous crops for yield enhancement, augmented nutritional value, imparting disease resistance and addressing food security problems. During the last decade, cropping system has changed entirely due to modifcation of crop genomes by CRISPR technology for being employed to functional genomic studies, upgrading agronomic traits and combating abiotic and biotic stresses. This chapter focuses on engineering abiotic and biotic stress tolerance through CRISPR technology in soybean. Multiple Cas effectors such as, Cas9, CasX, Cas12, Cas13, and Cas14, are currently being used for precise genome editing of numerous crops. These Cas effectors are being used in genome modifcation of soybean to add, delete, or replace gene cassettes. Applications of CRISPR technology in soybean will result in the production of

S. Aslam · A. Munir · S. H. Khan

Center of Advanced Studies in Agriculture and Food Security (CASAFS), University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Faisalabad, Pakistan

H. M. U. Aslam Departmentof Plant Pathology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

A. Ahmad  $(\boxtimes)$ 

Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan e-mail: [aftab.ahmad@uaf.edu.pk](mailto:aftab.ahmad@uaf.edu.pk)

© The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022 S. H. Wani et al. (eds.), *Soybean Improvement*, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-12232-3\\_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-12232-3_13#DOI)

Center of Advanced Studies in Agriculture and Food Security (CASAFS), University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Faisalabad, Pakistan

clean modifed plants (without antibiotic resistance gene marker), to enhance yield potential under abiotic and biotic stresses.

**Keywords** Soybean · Biotic stress · Abiotic stress · CRISPSR/Cas toolbox · ZFNs · TALENS

# **13.1 Introduction**

Genetics is characterized by identifcation of genes which are fundamental hereditary units and responsible for variation in organisms. The conventional approach to identify the genes responsible for a particular phenotype is to pinpoint the unique phenotype emerged either by natural selection or by mutation. A modern approach (reverse genetics) is to determine the accountable gene from its genomic sequence causing the gene to mutate specifcally for characterizing its phenotype. Genetic mutations can be envisaged in two ways (Fig. [13.1](#page-1-0)): (a) targeted mutagenesis and (b) targeted gene replacement. Targeted gene replacement relies on homology directed repair to modify an endogenous gene. Targeted mutagenesis allows extensive and subtle genetic change.

Targeting a specifc gene within the large genome to make directed changes is a challenging work. Targeted gene replacement in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (baker's yeast) was done several decades ago (Rothstein [1983](#page-31-0); Scherer and Davis [1979\)](#page-31-1). Yeast DNA manipulation in the laboratory is easy due to several factors including the ability of yeast cells to take up DNA precisely, homologous recombination between donor and recipient DNA, lack of competitive reactions that divert the donor DNA to alternative genomic sites, and elite genomic selection. All these properties are often observed in numerous fungi and bacteria but not in eukaryotic organisms (Carroll [2011](#page-26-0)).

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

**Fig. 13.1** Schematic representation of targeted mutagenesis and targeted gene replacement. (**a**) Exposure to mutagen results in error or mutation in replication. (**b**) Foreign gene is inserted into DNA, in order to modify genome

Targeted gene replacement in mice has become a routine practice due to the existence of embryonic stem (ES) cells that can be cultured and due to their easy selection (Capecchi [2005](#page-26-1)). Targeted gene replacement in mice also depends on homologous recombination for targeting the donor DNA and selection for the commonly occurring product. Dual selection (positive for donor and negative for outer homology) results in preferred substitution. The desired substitution is then transferred to the progeny on injection into embryos due to pluripotency of ES cells. Despite being selected for the desired event, the homologous recombination frequency in both mice and yeast remains substantially low. Also, the ES cells are not particularly available for other model organisms. The challenge of precise gene targeting can be accomplished by an increased frequency of homologous recombination. This could be achieved by manipulating linear DNA, which is more effcient than circular DNA. The highest impact on the frequency of homologous recombination was observed by damaging the target DNA and its inherent repair system. A double strand break (DSB) was able to infuence the frequency of recombination manifolds. The inspiration to these events came from the yeast meiotic switch and crossing over (Choulika et al. [1995](#page-26-2); Plessis et al. [1992](#page-31-2); Rouet et al. [1994;](#page-31-3) Rudin et al. [1989\)](#page-31-4).

DSBs (Double Strand Breaks) are repaired by cells' inherent repair system either by non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) or homology directed repair (HDR) (Fig. [13.2](#page-2-0)) (Carroll [2011](#page-26-0)).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

**Fig. 13.2** Double strand breaks (DSB) are repaired by cells' two inherent means: (**a**) nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ) and (**b**) homology directed repairing (HDR)

## **13.2 Genome Editing Techniques in Plants**

Genome editing with engineered nucleases (GEEN) is a technique to modify the genome by creating site-specifc DSBs and subsequent repairing of DSBs to successfully engineer the genetic material of cells. Smaller genomes like of viruses and bacteria use restriction endonucleases for cutting and ligases for rejoining the DNA. Cleavage and rejoining of bigger genomes like eukaryotes are extremely diffcult with restriction endonucleases and ligases as they are able to target smaller DNA fragments only. Artifcial enzymes were made to modify the complex genomes that are able to bind to the restriction site selectively coupled with proteins to cleave the target site. This targeted approach was accomplished by the construction of chimeric proteins carrying one or two structural units capable of selectively binding and catalyzing the targeted DNA site specifcally (Knorre and Vlasov [1985](#page-29-0)). These chimeric proteins are produced within cell, and the associated DNA fragments are engineered in appropriate vectors for nuclear localization. Genome editing tools are helpful in engineering the genomes precisely. Addition, deletion, or gene replacement is being done with great precision using latest genome editing tools. The genome editing tools that are currently in use for editing genomes at very specifc site are described in the following section.

#### 1. ZFNs (Zinc Finger Nucleases).

Zinc fnger nucleases (ZFNs) are the class of frst-generation engineered nucleases. ZFNs were frst used in research after the discovery of zinc fnger domain that functions specifcally due to Cys2-Hys2 elements (Kim et al. [1996;](#page-29-1) Gaj et al. [2013;](#page-27-0) Palpant and Dudzinski [2013;](#page-31-5) Pabo et al. [2001](#page-31-6)). A  $\beta\beta\alpha$  configuration is formed by folding up to 30 amino acid residues to develop a Cys2-Hys2 domain (Pabo et al. [2001;](#page-31-6) Cathomen and Joung [2008;](#page-26-3) Petolino [2015](#page-31-7)). The analysis of ZFN crystallographic structure depicted that Cys2-Hys2 protein domain binds to the target DNA by incorporating its  $\alpha$ -helix into the major groove of a double-stranded DNA (Pavletich and Pabo [1991](#page-31-8)). A zinc fnger protein is able to recognize three consecutive nucleotides in DNA within genome of target organism. A DNA binding domain of ZFN can be engineered to bind specifc DNA sequence in the genome of target organism. A cleavage domain (normally *FokI* domain of type II restriction nucleases) is able to recognize DNA binding domain and subsequently cleaving that specifc region. At target site of nuclease domain, the cleavage domain dimerizes to leave a 5–6 base pair spacer region (Fig. [13.3\)](#page-4-0).

#### (i) DNA Binding Domain.

DNA binding domain of ZFNs is comprised of 3–6 distinct repeats of zinc fngers. Each ZFN repeat is able to recognize a specifc sequence of three base pairs thereby recognizing 12–15 base pairs by a combination of 4–5 zinc fngers. ZFNs are engineered to target a particular sequence in the genome. Yeast 1–2 hybrid system, bacterial 1–2 hybrid system, mammalian cells, and phages select individual protein among a pool of ZFNs to bind and cleave specifc DNA sequence. A latest approach to specify ZFNs is called oligomerized pool engineering (OPEN) (Maeder

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Fig. 13.3** Zinc fnger nuclease (ZFN) comprised of DNA cleavage domain (*FokI*) and DNA binding domain separated by 5–7-nucleotide spacer region

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

et al. 2008). A zinc fnger is selected prior to bind three specifc DNA nucleotides in OPEN. A second step of selection is made to identify three consecutive zinc fngers to bind nine specifc base pairs. OPEN has become a successful alternative to commercially available engineered ZFNs (Ramirez et al. [2008\)](#page-31-9) (Fig. [13.4\)](#page-4-1).

(ii) DNA Cleavage Domain.

The cleavage domain of ZFNs is type IIs restriction endonuclease of FokI (Kim et al. [1996\)](#page-29-1). The FokI domain cleaves the site targeted by DNA binding domain (Bitnaite et al. [1998](#page-25-0)). A ZFN is formed by combining cleavage domain (FokI) to the

C-terminus of DNA binding domain. ZFNs work in pairs in opposite orientation by attaching to complementary strands of the target DNA and cut the spacer region (5–7 base pair region) identifed by DNA binding domain (Cathomen and Joung [2008](#page-26-3)).

ZFN as a genome engineering tool is used for targeting particular loci in many organisms (Ekker [2008](#page-27-1)). These molecular scissors work in pairs to manipulate the genome of numerous plant and animal species (Kim and Kim [2011\)](#page-29-2). An important class of zinc fnger domains is Cys2-Hys2 domain being the most wildly used DNA binding domain of ZFNs in eukaryotes. Cys2-Hys2 domains are considered as the second most important protein domains in human beings (Gaj et al. [2013](#page-27-0)). A zinc fnger has a conserved ββα conformation, comprised of nearly 30 amino acids (Fig. [13.5](#page-5-0)).

Amino acids present on the surface of the alpha helix specifcally bind to three base pairs of the major groove of DNA with high affnity (Gaj et al. [2013](#page-27-0)). A targeted DNA sequence is recognized by >3 zinc fnger arrays forming a site-specifc structure that is able to recognize >9–18 base pairs. This controversial technique was used to manipulate human genome for the first time in 1996 (Kim et al. [1996\)](#page-29-1), and it was able to pinpoint a targeted DNA sequence in a complex big genome (Gonzalez et al. [2010\)](#page-28-0). ZFNs can be synthesized in laboratory by identifying high selectivity of DNA binding protein to its target DNA. Artifcially synthesized ZFNs are able to cleave any target DNA sequence and may also cause inhibition of replication of viruses, thereby imparting resistance in host organism (Chen et al. [2014\)](#page-26-4). Numerous methods for artifcially synthesizing ZFNs have been established; some include the construction of ZFNs with specifc DNA modular assembly sequences (Beerli et al. [2002\)](#page-25-1); others are constructed by OPEN (oligomerized pool engineering) (Maeder et al. 2008). Some applications of ZFN are elaborated in Table [13.1](#page-6-0).

1. TALENs (Transcription Activator Like Effector Nucleases)

Molecular scissors developed in advancement of ZFNs are called transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs). Like ZFNs, these are formed by

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

	Target		Type of		
Organism	gene	Repair method	mutation	Application	References
Tomato	LIKE4	<b>NHEJ</b>	Targeted mutagenesis	Trait modification and phenotypic diversity	Hilioti et al. (2016)
Human	CCR <sub>5</sub>	<b>NHEJ</b>	Knockout	Anti-HIV activity for the treatment of AIDS	Tang et al. (2015)
Human	CXCR4	<b>NHEJ</b>	Knockout	Anti-HIV activity for the treatment of AIDS	Tang et al. (2015)
Human	AAVS1	<b>HDR</b>	Insertion	Treatment of thalassemia	Karponi and Zogas $(2019)$
Maize	ZmIPKI	<b>HDR</b>	Insertion	Maize with reduced phytic acid content, herbicide tolerance	Mishra $(2019)$
Maize	ZmTLP	<b>HDR</b>	Insertion	Stacking of trait	Shelake et al. (2019)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 13.1** Applications of zinc fnger nucleases (ZFNs) in eukaryotes

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

**Fig. 13.6** Transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs**)** comprised of DNA binding domain and FokI DNA cleavage domain separated by 16–19-nucleotide spacer region

combining a TALE-specifc DNA binding domain and a cleavage domain. TALENs are restriction enzymes that are able to cleave DNA at a very specifc site. TALEs can be engineered to bind to a specifc DNA site in the genome. TALENs are synthesized by combining TALE-specifc DNA binding domain and a nuclease domain. TALENs are designed to specifcally bind and cleave at a very specifc site in the genome. These engineered endonucleases are specifcally used as cleavage domains in genome editing known as GEEN. Like ZFNs and CRISPR, TALENs are the prominent tools of genome editing (Boch [2011\)](#page-26-5) (Fig. [13.6\)](#page-6-1).

#### (iii) DNA Binding Domain.

The proteins extracted from the bacterium *Xanthomonas* through their type III secretion system are used as TAL effectors. These proteins are secreted by the bacterium of infecting plants (Boch and Bonus [2010](#page-26-6)) as a conserved array of 33–35 amino acids combine to form DNA binding domain, having divergent 12th/13th amino acids termed as repeat variable di-residue (RVD). RVDs are highly alterable and exhibit a strong correlation with nucleotide recognition at a specifc site (Boch [2009,](#page-26-7) Moscou and Bogdanove [2009,](#page-30-1) Moscou and Bogdanove [2009\)](#page-30-1). This particular correlation between the sequence of amino acids and DNA recognition site has allowed for the development of numerous DNA binding domains with a selective combination of RVDs. Target specificity can be improved further by incorporating nonconventional RVDs or by using altered combinations (Juillerat et al. [2015\)](#page-28-2).

(iv) DNA Cleavage Domain.

DNA cleavage domain is nonspecifc and often comes from the FokI restriction endonuclease. DNA cleavage domain is also used for the construction of hybrid restriction endonucleases that are effective in yeast (Christian et al. [2010](#page-26-8); Li et al. [2011\)](#page-29-4), plants (Mahfouz et al. [2011;](#page-30-2) Cermak et al. [2011](#page-26-9)), and animal cells (Cermak et al. [2011;](#page-26-9) Miller et al. [2011;](#page-30-3) Hockemeyer et al. [2011](#page-28-3); Wood et al. [2011](#page-33-0)).

Initially, wild-type nonspecifc FokI domains were used as a cleavage domain. Current studies on TALEN construction (Hockemeyer et al. [2011](#page-28-3); Tesson et al. [2011;](#page-32-1) Huang et al. [2011\)](#page-28-4) utilized mutant FokI cleavage domains to impart specifcity (Doyon et al. [2011](#page-27-2); Szczepek et al. [2007](#page-32-2)) and effcient activity (Guo et al. [2010](#page-28-5)) to cleave at the very specifc site identifed by DNA binding domain. The FokI cleavage domain acts as a dimer targeting unique DNA binding site with specifc spacing and orientation within the target genome. The number of base pairs present between the binding sites of two independent TALENs and the number of amino acids present between DNA binding and cleavage domain are important for acquiring highest specifcity and TALEN activity (Miller et al. [2011;](#page-30-3) Mussolino et al. [2014\)](#page-30-4).

# *13.2.1 Construction of TALEN Engineering Vectors and Transfection*

Effcient TALEN protein engineering can be done by optimizing the correlation between specifc bondage between TALE DNA binding domain and the corresponding amino acid sequence. TALE binding domain remains less specifc in annealing of repetitive DNA sequences during artifcial synthesis of TALE domains (Zhang et al. [2011\)](#page-33-1). Software programs like "DNA works" (Hoover [2012](#page-28-6)) are effcient in designing TALE domains by accurately calculating oligonucleotides used in amplifying whole gene for oligonucleotide assembly in two-step PCR. Numerous schemes of modular assembly for engineering TALEN constructs are reported (Cermak et al. [2011;](#page-26-9) Zhang et al. [2011;](#page-33-1) Morbitzer et al. [2011](#page-30-5); Li et al. [2011;](#page-29-4) Geissler et al. [2011;](#page-27-3) Weber et al. [2011\)](#page-32-3). All the systematic approaches for engineering DNA binding domains are also coherent in designing respective domains for ZFN.

TALEN constructs are assembled in plasmids and are transfected to the target cells. The genes are expressed in target cells and enter the nucleus to approach the genome. Alternatively, TALENs can also be delivered as mRNAs to the cells which eliminate the probability of integration of TALE expressing proteins into the genome. An mRNA based delivery also enhances the probability of homology directed repairing and introgression of TALE product for genome editing. Some applications of TALENs are given in Table [13.2.](#page-8-0)

Organism	Target gene	Repair method	Type of mutation	Application	References
Maize	ZmGL2	<b>NHEJ</b>	Deletion	Leaves with reduced epicuticular wax content	Char et al. (2015)
Sugarcane	<b>COMT</b>	<b>NHEJ</b>	Insertion and deletion	Enhanced quality of cell wall composition	Jung and Altpeter (2016)
Sugarcane	<b>COMT</b>	<b>NHEJ</b>	Co-mutagenesis	Increased efficiency of saccharification	Kannan et al. (2018)
Soya bean	$FAD2-IA$ , $FAD2-IB$ , FAD3A	<b>NHEJ</b>	Knockout	Increased oleic content and reduced linoleic contents	Demorest et al. $(2016)$
Potato	VInv	<b>NHEJ</b>	Knockout	Low reducing sugar content	Clasen et al. (2016)
Rice	BADH <sub>2</sub>	<b>NHEJ</b>	Knockout	Aromatic rice	Shan et al. (2015)
Maize	<b>ZmMTL</b>	<b>NHEJ</b>	Frame shift mutation	Haploid induction in plants	Kelliher et al. (2017)
Peanut	FAD <sub>2</sub>	<b>NHEJ</b>	Target mutagenesis	Increased oleic acid content	Wen et al. (2018)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 13.2** Applications of transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs) in plants

**CRISPR/Cas System** Bacteria protect themselves from foreign invaders and viruses by their inherent defense system named as clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats abbreviated as CRISPR and its associated proteins (Cas proteins) (Barrangou [2015](#page-25-2)). CRISPR/Cas system is comprised of short palindromic repeat sequences originally adapted from invading viral or phage genomes that have been integrated within bacterial genome during prior attack. These specifc sequences get integrated during frst attack and serve as defense sequences during subsequent attacks (Barrangou [2015\)](#page-25-2). Associated proteins of CRISPR system serve as protecting enzymes to cleave the target DNA recognized by Cas9. Cas proteins recognize and cleave the target DNA sequence. Cas proteins are involved in DNA processing for targeting the viral attack. This remarkable combination of CRISPR sequences and its associated proteins makes the most tremendous technology of genome editing (Zhang et al. [2014\)](#page-33-2) (Fig. [13.7\)](#page-9-0).

CRISPR system is an indigenous defense system of bacteria and archaea to develop acquired immunity against invading phages and viral genomes (Sternberg and Doudna [2015;](#page-32-5) Barrangou et al. [2007;](#page-25-3) Marraffni and Sontheimer [2008](#page-30-6)). An RNA spacer guides Cas proteins to recognize complementary DNA sequences and target foreign DNA. This RNA serves as guide for Cas proteins and is termed as guided RNA (gRNA) in engineered CRISPR systems. This system is declared as an inherent system of bacteria and archaea as it is reported in 50% of bacterial and 90% of archaeal genomes so far (Hille et al. [2018\)](#page-28-8). Minute clusters of some genes are also present in close proximity of CRISPR spacer sequences as CRISPR-associated genes. Based on sequence homology, 93 Cas genes make up 35 CRISPR-associated gene families. From these 35 gene families, 11 Cas gene families are reported as

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Fig. 13.7 Clustered regularly interspersed short palindromic repeats (CRISPR system). CRISPR/ Cas9 with crRNA (CRISPR-RNA) and tracrRNA (trans-activating crRNA) binds and cleaves double-stranded DNA upstream of PAM together with protospacer

Core genes (*Cas1, Cas2, Cas3, Cas4, Cas5, Cas6, Cas7, Cas8*, and *Cas9* gene) for editing most genomes of organisms edited. CRISPR system must possess a core gene for accurate recognition and targeting of DNA sequence (Makarova et al. [2015\)](#page-30-7) (Fig. [13.8\)](#page-10-0).

CRISPR systems are distinguished in two categories: Class 1 and Class 2. A combination of Cas proteins are used by Class 1 CRISPR system to target the invading genome. This category is further subdivided into type I, III, and IV CRISPR subclasses. Class 2 uses only one Cas gene to cleave the target sequence and is subdivided into II, V, and VI subtypes (Wright et al. [2016\)](#page-33-3). All the six subtypes are further distinguished by 19 specifc and unique proteins (Westra et al. [2016](#page-32-6)).

CRISPR mechanism starts when a foreign invader attacks on bacteria. Firstly, virus genome is arrested and integrated as spacer sequence into the CRISPR loci that results in cleavage of target site. Since Cas1 and Cas2 genes are involved in spacer sequence hunting, they are found in almost all the classes of CRISPR (Aliyari and Ding [2009;](#page-25-4) Dugar et al. [2013;](#page-27-6) Hatoum et al. [2011;](#page-28-9) Yosef et al. [2012](#page-33-4); Swarts et al. [2012](#page-32-7); Mussolino et al. [2014\)](#page-30-4). Protospacer sequence is present adjacent to the leader sequence typically bound to its direct repeat sequences. Direct repeat duplication occurs after single-strand extension to repair CRISPR sequence. Sequence acquisition step is normally the same in almost all CRISPR types. Remaining two steps: (a) processing of CRISPR RNA and (b) interference differ in each class of CRISPR system. Type I CRISPR/Cas system utilizes Cas6e/Cas6f to target direct repeat sequence at the junction of single-stranded and double-stranded RNA to form

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

**Fig. 13.8** CRISPR immunity involves three stages: (**a**) Adaption involves the addition of new spacer sequences into CRISPR loci by foreign DNA attack. (**b**) Expression stage involves the CRISPR loci transcription and the formation of CRISPR-RNA. (**c**) Interference stage involves the detection and disintegration of mobile foreign DNA by CRISPR-RNA and Cas protein complex

a hairpin structure. Type II CRISPR system utilizes trans-activating RNA (tracer-RNA) to produce double-stranded RNA cleaved by Cas proteins and RNaseIII. Type III CRISPR system uses a homologous of Cas6 protein without forming a hairpin structure for targeting. In addition, type II and type III CRISPR systems necessitate amendment at 5'/3' position to form operative crRNAs. Cas proteins and crRNAs combine to form interference complexes. The positive correlation between Cas proteins and PAM sites is obligatory for accurate performance of type I and II CRISPR systems resulting in appropriate cleavage of incoming DNA. Type III CRISPR systems do not require connotation of PAM sequence for cleavage due to pairing between crRNA and mRNA (Aliyari and Ding [2009](#page-25-4); Dugar et al. [2013](#page-27-6); Hatoum et al. [2011](#page-28-9); Yosef et al. [2012](#page-33-4); Swarts et al. [2012](#page-32-7); Mussolino et al. [2014\)](#page-30-4).

Multiple strategies are reported for targeting precise genome editing of eukaryotes. The most practical strategy in laboratory is to introduce the Cas gene, gRNA, and its scaffold in a plasmid to cleave the genome at a very specifc site (Swarts et al. [2012](#page-32-7)). Several companies (Editas and Cellectis) have developed their own strategies to introduce CRISPR system for genome editing and are highly practical in gene therapies (Rinaldo and Ayliffe [2015](#page-31-12); Regalado [2015\)](#page-31-13).

Several innovative methods of CRISPR have been reported, viz., hyper-efficient CRISPR/Cas9 system for HDR (inherent homology-directed repair) (Charpentier et al. [2018\)](#page-26-11), bridged CRISPR RNA with integrated nucleic acid for accurate detection of the target DNA (Cromwell et al. [2018](#page-27-7)), HypCas9 (Chen et al. [2017](#page-26-12)), Local Accumulation of Double Strand Repair (LOAD) (Sakuma and Yamamoto [2018\)](#page-31-14), and PAM sites bordering XCas9 (Hu et al. [2018](#page-28-10)). CRISPR system applications in plants are listed in Table [13.3](#page-11-0).

# **13.3 Comparison of Different Genome Editing Techniques**

Nearly all organisms can be edited by the latest genome editing techniques. By generating DSBs and their subsequent repair through NHEJ repair pathway, DNA sequences can be interrupted, and indels can be introduced at the cleavage point. If two chromosomes are cleaved by the same nuclease and are simultaneously distributed into the same cell, it can cause deletion of intervening fragment. Moreover, if

Organism	Target gene	Repair method	Type of mutation	Application	References
Arabidopsis thaliana	$e$ If4 $E$	<b>NHEJ</b>	Point mutation	Developed resistance to turnip mosaic virus	Pyott et al. (2016)
Rice	OsERF922	<b>NHEJ</b>	Insertion and deletion	<b>Blast resistance</b>	Wang et al. (2016a, b)
N. benthamiana	<b>BeYDV</b>	<b>NHEJ</b>	Insertion and deletion	Resistance to bean yellow dwarf virus	Baltes et al. (2015)
Maize	ARGOS8	<b>HDR</b>	Insertion	Increased grain production under drought	Shi et al. (2017)
Tomato	SIMAPK3	<b>NHEJ</b>	Knockout	Increased drought tolerance	Wang et al. (2017)
Rice	OsPRX2	<b>NHEJ</b>		Increased potassium deficiency tolerance	Mao et al. (2018)
Potato	ALS 1	<b>HDR</b>	Point mutation	Resistance to herbicides	Butler et al. (2016)
Wheat	TaVIT <sub>2</sub>	<b>HDR</b>	Insertion	Increased iron content	Connorton et al. (2017)
Rice	ALS	<b>HDR</b>	DNA repair	Resistance to herbicides	Butt et al. (2017)
Tomato	<i>SIAGL6</i>	<b>NHEJ</b>	Knockout	Induce parthenocarpy	Klap et al. (2017)
Rice	<b>SBEI</b>	<b>NHEJ</b>	Knockout	Increased amylase content	Zhang et al. (2017a, b)

<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Table 13.3** Applications of CRISPR/Cas systems in plant manipulation

a DNA repair template goes into the same cell with the nuclease, it results in copying of the repair template by HDR pathway. This mechanism results in interchange of some base pairs and/or addition of an expression cassette.

During last decade, applications of CRISPR systems has revolutionized basic and applied research in agriculture and medicine. Although all the three genome editing tools work on the same principle, therefore, at present, there is no comparison of the best performing tool when we compare ultrahigh featured ZFNs, TALENs, or CRISPR. The suitable platform to their use depends on the availability of resources and applicability criteria like target tissues and the delivery process. Nowadays, CRISPR and TALENs are being applied for all those organisms for which ZFNs were established nearly 15 years ago. All this involves the knock-in/ knock-out or gene modifcation in numerous organisms including cows, *C. elegans*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, human stem cells and cell lines, mice, monkeys, pigs, plants, rats, and zebrafsh. Genetic alterations have been used for miscellaneous application like the development of insect−/pest-resistant crops, examining genomic functions, developing genetically modifed animals, biopharmaceuticals' production by engineering cell lines/tissues, gene therapy, and combating numerous genetic disorders.

By far, ZFNs, TALENs, and CRISPR system have been used as nucleases for genome editing. An equally important application of these tools is gene expression regulation and epigenome modifcation. Since ZFNs and TALENs are fused with FokI domain to perform nuclease activity, they are fused with activator/repressor and any enzymatic domain to enhance gene expression modulation and directing histone modifcation and DNA methylation. Likewise, CRISPR system can be altered to moderate its nuclease activity by 2-point mutations. The gRNA and the protein scaffold are fused with gene regulating domain to alter the target gene. Therefore, all the three tools provide a comprehensive toolbox for redesigning almost any type of organism in scientifc research and medical therapies (Fig. [13.2\)](#page-2-0).

Today's era of genome editing with ZFNs, TALENs, and CRISPR system has provided researchers and scientists to explore enormous amount of hidden information stored in organism's genome by nature. These tools catalyze basic research and help identify the genetics of numerous diseases as cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and neurological disorders. These tools have fostered the agricultural and pharmacological improvements and have addressed the technical and scientifc challenges that remain a hindrance in the advancement of gene therapy from decades. This will bring a genomic revolution toward the advancement of plants, animals, and the human world.

### **13.4 CRISPR/Cas: A Splendid Gift from Nature**

There is no sign of decelerating of CRISPR uprising. This remarkable immune system of prokaryotes seems to be developed for genome engineering by nature with remarkable fexibility and ease of scaling up and multiplexing. Prokaryotes have

utilized CRISPR system as their adaptive defense system against viral attack, and it is emerging as a powerful genome editing tool eclipsing other gene editing tools like ZFNs and TALENs. CRISPR was originally discovered in 1987 in the genome of *E.coli*, but it was recognized as inherent immune system against viral attack was revealed in 2007. Researchers and scientists put forward that CRISPR being the defense system makes use of CRISPR-associated genes (Cas genes) that help in storing the information of attacking phages and prepare the bacteria to fight for the next attack (Makarova et al. [2015](#page-30-7)). In 2012, CRISPR was identifed as programmable tool for targeted genome editing in eukaryotes (Jinek et al. [2012\)](#page-28-11). In 2013, mammalian cell cultures were developed on the basis of CRISPR system (Cong et al. [2013](#page-27-9); Mali et al. [2013](#page-30-9)). In 2018, CRISPR-based publications were enlisted by PubMed which were detailed on improving CRISPR specifcity, multiplexing, and orthogonality in numerous organisms with the extension of developing new functions. It was remarkable to see the CRISPR progress in just 5 years with the steeply risen appreciation involving immuno-pluripotent stem cells and RNA interference. Customized gRNAs were developed for numerous transcriptional, translational, and therapeutic purposes. CRISPR uprising remained fruitful, and the invention of CRISPR system was awarded with noble prize in 2020. Professor Jennifer A. Doudna and professor Emmanuelle Charpentier were awarded with Nobel Prize in Chemistry for their breakthrough in fourishing CRISPR system as the most robust and powerful genome editing tool.

CRISPR reagents could be delivered in the form of plasmids, in-vitro transcribed mRNA or RNPs for genome editing. In addition, different delivery methods such as viral, non-viral and physical methods are available for effcient delivery of genome editing reagents. Different delivery tools used for CRISPR reagents are elaborated in Table [13.4.](#page-14-0)

## **13.5 Emerging CRISPR/Cas Systems**

#### (a) CRISPR/Cas9

CRISPR/Cas9 is a class of type II CRISPR system mainly composed of II-A, II-B, and II-C subtypes. CRISPR/Cas9 is the frst characterized system that utilizes single protein as Cas effector. Cas9 makes blunt ends of both the DNA strands that are self-repaired by NHEJ or HDR in the presence of a DNA template for sitespecifc editing. Highest specifcity for genome editing among CRISPR/Cas9 is of type II-A. Off-target effects at varied genome locations render it a bit disadvantageous to use than other CRISPR systems. However, this CRISPR system has been customized for reducing the off-target effects. Among all the type II CRISPR systems, type II-C has natural extraordinary fdelity. CRISPR/Cas9 originated from *Streptococcus thermophilus*, *S. pyogenes*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Campylobacter jejuni*, and *Neisseria meningitidis*. The size of Cas9 protein is nearly 1000 to 1600 aa. Guided RNA spacer length ranges from 18 to 24 nucleotides. Total gRNA length is nearly 100 nucleotides. Protospacer Adjacent Motif (PAM) specifcity varies in

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

(continued)



256

different CRISPR/Cas9 systems such as 3′ NGG (for spCas9), 3′ NNNNGATT (for NmCas-9), and 3′ NNGRRT (for saCas-9) (Fig. [13.9](#page-16-0)).

### (b) Cas-12

CRISPR/Cas12 is comprised of type V-A (cpf-I) and type V-B (c2c-I) CRISPR system. It was frst originated in *Acidaminococcus* species, *Francisella novicida*, *Lachnospiraceae* species, and *Prevotella* species. The size is nearly 1100 to 1300 aa, and guided RNA spacer length ranges from 18 to 25 nucleotides. Total gRNA length should remain between 42 and 44 nucleotides. The PAM site is 5′ TTTN for FnCas12a. CRISPR/Cas12 is an efficient system that makes 5' overhangs at doublestrand break end. CRISPR/Cas12 selects its own single or multiple gRNAs. Cas12 has the specialty to target epigenomes, and it can cleave ssDNA (single-stranded DNA) provided that it is activated by a target sequence that is coherent with the spacer DNA sequence. This feature of identifying small DNA fragments from a mixture of varied-sized DNAs makes Cas12 the most powerful tool (Fig. [13.10\)](#page-17-0).

#### (c) Cas13

CRISPR/Cas13 is a type IV CRISPR/Cas system and is divided into VI-A, VI-B, VI-C, and VI-D (CasRx and C2c2) subtypes. It mainly originated from *Bergeyella zoohelcum*, *Leptotrichia buccalis*, *L*. *shahii*, *Listeria seeligeri*, *Prevotella buccae*, and *Ruminococcus favefaciens*. The size ranges from 900 to 1300 aa, and the gRNA spacer length ranges from 22 to 30 nucleotides. The total gRNA length ranges from 52 to 66 nucleotides. The PAM sequence specifcity is 3'H(LshCas13a), none (RfCas13d), 3'NNA/NAN(BzCas13b). Cas13 cleaves ssRNA (single-strand RNA) rather than DNA. When a ssRNA having complementary sequence to its crRNA activates Cas13 and it can target RNA non-specifcally, leading to cleavage of all the nearby RNAs irrespective of their size and sequence. This property of Cas13 has been utilized in precision diagnostics, RNA knockdown, or multiplexing RNAs in

<span id="page-16-0"></span>

**Fig. 13.9** Schematic diagram of CRISPR-Cas9. CRISPR/Cas9 with crRNA and tracRNA binds and cleaves double-stranded DNA upstream of PAM together with protospacer

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

**Fig. 13.10** Schematic diagram of CRISPR-Cas12a and 12b. CRISPR-Cas12 binds and cleaves double-stranded DNA upstream of PAM together with protospacer. CRISPR-Cas12a only requires crRNA. CRISPR-Cas12b requires both crRNA and tracrRNA for its activity

mammalian cell lines. Cas13 is known for its substantial activity in gene expression analysis without causing permanent changes in the genomic sequence (Fig. [13.11](#page-18-0)).

### (d) CasX

A new CRISPR system was identifed and elaborated in *Nature* in 2019 that is able to edit human genome. This CRISPR system has high functionality as compared to other CRISPR systems in having smallest size among all CRISPR systems, has minimum trans-cleavage activity, and has very high gRNA content. An earlier metagenome analysis of samples from groundwater recognized the CasX protein which is able to interrupt the transformation of bacteria when expressed with an RNA complimentary to the construct through an unknown mechanism. This mechanism led to the endonuclease activity of CasX as its apparent similarity to other Cas proteins. CasX generates a staggered ds-DNA break with a 20-nucleotide piece of DNA exactly complimentary to its gRNA (Liu et al. [2019](#page-30-12)) (Fig. [13.12](#page-19-0)).

<span id="page-18-0"></span>

**Fig. 13.11** Schematic diagram of CRISPR-Cas13a and 13b. CRISPR-Cas13a and 13b together with crRNA binds and cleaves single-stranded RNA. Complementarity between crRNA and protospacer fanking sequence (PFS) together with protospacer results in the cleavage of RNA. Cas13a carries direct repeat at 5′ end. Cas13b carries direct repeat at 3′ end

#### (e) Cas-14

CRISPR/Cas14 is also a new genome editing system that has an advantage of being minor and plainer than other CRISPR systems. It is being used in a broader term of diagnostics (infectious/non-infectious). Professor Jennifer Doudna and her team investigated other forms of CRISPR system by generating a database of metagenomes and microbial genomes. Cas14 was then identifed as one of the smaller proteins weighing 40–70 KDa. They are almost half the size of the rest of the Cas proteins, and their amino acid number ranges from 400 to 700. Cas14 has 24 variants which are grouped into 3 categories: Cas14a, Cas14b, and Cas-14c. Regardless of the diverse sequence of Cas14 proteins, they all have a conserved RuvC cleavage domain. The clear difference of Cas14 among others is that it is only present in archaea and not in any bacteria, which depicts its more primitive nature than all other Cas proteins. Being smaller, it is known as a stand-alone CRISPR system. Cas14 is able to detect dsDNA, ssDNA, and RNA, which increases its fdelity to SNPs (single nucleotide polymorphism). Cas14 has its application in microbial infection diagnostics and cancer therapeutics (Aquino-Jarquin [2019](#page-25-7); Harrington et al. [2018;](#page-28-14) NIH 2017) (Fig. [13.13](#page-19-1)).

<span id="page-19-0"></span>

<span id="page-19-1"></span>**Fig. 13.12** Schematic diagram of CRISPR-CasX. RNA-dependent plasmid with two natural RNAs including crRNA and tracrRNA binds and cleaves double-stranded DNA



**Fig. 13.13** Schematic diagram of CRISPR-Cas14. CRISPR-Cas14 together with crRNA and tracrRNA binds and cleaves single-stranded DNA without PAM recognition

# **13.6 CRISPR/Cas Tools for Engineering Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Soybean**

There is a dire need to increase agricultural productivity and to reduce the stresses that affect its production to feed the world's ever-increasing population. To cope up with food security problems, innovative breeding methods must be applied that can boost agricultural production. Genome sequencing coupled with genome editing technologies has opened new horizons for biologists to edit the genome of almost any crop according to the world's need. First-generation genome editing tools, i.e., ZFN, meganucleases, TALENs, and site-specifc nucleases, can edit almost any gene in plants. These tools were profigate, tedious, and troublesome. The emergence of second-generation genome editing tools, i.e., CRISPR, offers the most precise and highly effcient tools in targeting the genomes of almost all the crops. CRISPR-based genome editing has transformed agriculture by acting as an effcient tool for regulation of gene expression, imparting viral resistance in crops, mutant libraries generation, and crop improvement by integrating new traits (Singh et al. [2020\)](#page-32-13) (Fig. [13.14\)](#page-20-0).

<span id="page-20-0"></span>

**Fig. 13.14** Schematic diagram of abiotic stress tolerance. Plant response to abiotic stress which includes signaling pathways is important for the survival of the plant

The genome of soybean was successfully edited endogenously by CRISPR system for *Gm-FE-12* and *Gm-SHR* genes using 6 gRNAs and exogenously for *bar* genes using single gRNA (Cai et al. [2015\)](#page-26-16). Similarly, chromosome 4 of soybean was targeted at two genomic loci, i.e., DD-20 and DD-43, providing small indels (Li et al. [2015](#page-29-14)). Moreover, the ubiquitin promoter of *Glycine max* (Gm-U6-16-1) can be used to edit numerous homeotic genes efficiently (Du et al. [2016\)](#page-27-11). The soybean nodulation restriction gene (*Rj-4*) was edited by CRISPR system to inhibit nodulation (Tang et al. [2016\)](#page-32-14). The virulence gene locus of soybean was identifed by mutating *Phytophthora sojae* virulence gene (*Avr4/6*) by CRISPR (Fang and Taylor, [2016](#page-27-12)). Delayed fowering in short-day and long-day situations was induced by mutating *Gm-FT-2* gene (Soybean fowering time-2 gene) by using CRISPR system (Cai et al. [2018\)](#page-26-17). Summary of the crops edited with CRISPR/Cas system for abiotic stress tolerance is elaborated in Table [13.5.](#page-21-0)

# **13.7 Applications of CRISPR for Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Soybean**

Soybean is a crop of high economic value being rich in oil and protein. With the ever-increasing demand of high-quality oil and increased contents of proteins, it is signifcant to investigate gene functions and to accelerate breeding procedures for enhancing crop improvement and better yield. Recently, CRISPR technology

Crop	Targeted gene	Abiotic stress	Gene editing method	Repair mechanism	Abiotic stress tolerance	References
Rice	OsNAC041	Salinity	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Salinity tolerance	Bo et al. (2019)
Rice	O <sub>S</sub> OTS1	Salinity	Knock-out	<b>NHEJ</b>	Salinity tolerance	Zhang et al. (2019a, b)
Rice	OsRR22	Salinity	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Salinity tolerance	Zhang et al. (2019a, b)
Rice	OsNAC14	Drought	Knock-in	<b>HDR</b>	Drought tolerance	Shim et al. (2018)
Rice	<b>SAPK1</b>	Salinity	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Salinity tolerance	Lou et al. (2018)
Rice	OsAnn3	Cold	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Cold tolerance	Shen et al. (2017)
Rice	OsSAPK2	Drought and salinity	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Drought and salinity tolerance	Lou et al. (2017)
Rice	OsMPK2 OsPDS $Os$ <i>BADH2</i>	Multiple stress	Knockout	<b>HDR</b>	Multiple stress tolerance	Liu et al. (2020)
Tomato	<b>SINPR1</b>	Drought	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Drought tolerance	Li et al. (2019a, b)
Soybean	DrB2a DrB2b	Drought and salinity	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Drought and salinity tolerance	Curtin et al. (2018)
Tomato	<b>SICBF1</b>	Cold	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Cold tolerance	Li et al. (2018a, b)
Zea mays	ZmHKTI	Salinity	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Salinity tolerance	Zhang et al. (2018a, b)
Wheat	TaDREB <sub>2</sub> TaDREB <sub>3</sub>	Drought	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Drought tolerance	Kim et al. (2018)
Zea mays	ARGOS8	Drought	Knockout	<b>HDR</b>	Drought tolerance	Shi et al. (2017)
Tomato	SIMAPK3	Drought	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Drought tolerance	Wang et al. (2017)

<span id="page-21-0"></span>**Table 13.5** Summary of abiotic stress resistance crops developed through CRISPR-Cas9

provides a precise tool for targeted genome editing in crops with a broad range of applications (Fig. [13.15](#page-22-0)), reverse genetics gene knock-out/knock-in, editing of multiple genes, gene deletion, and gene replacement (Gratz et al. [2013,](#page-28-15) [2014;](#page-28-16) Feng et al. [2013](#page-27-14), [2014;](#page-27-15) Mao et al. [2013](#page-30-16); Zhou et al. [2014](#page-33-12)). Several crop species have been edited by CRISPR involving *Arabidopsis*, barley, cotton, maize, rice, wheat, and tobacco (Li et al. [2013](#page-29-18); Kapusi et al. [2017;](#page-29-19) Gao et al. [2017](#page-27-16); Chen et al. [2018](#page-26-19); Shan et al. [2013](#page-31-21); Upadhyay et al. [2013;](#page-32-15) Gao et al. [2015](#page-27-17)). CRISPR/Cas system was used to modify nine endogenous genes of soybean by knocking out GFP (Green Fluorescent Protein) (Jacob et al. [2015](#page-28-17)).

<span id="page-22-0"></span>

**Fig. 13.15** CRISPR/Cas-based genome editing in plants to improve abiotic stress tolerance: CRISPR-Cas9-based genome editing for the generation of ost2 mutant allele by using tissuespecifc AtEF1 promotor for the expression of Cas9. The mutant *Arabidopsis* ost2-crispr-1 showed enhanced stomatal response to abiotic stress (drought)

Soybean has been extensively edited by CRISPR (Cai et al. [2015](#page-26-16), [2018](#page-26-17); Du et al. [2016;](#page-27-11) Jacob et al. [2015;](#page-28-17) Li et al. [2015,](#page-29-14) [2019a,](#page-29-15) [b](#page-29-16); Michno et al. [2015](#page-30-17); Sun et al. [2015;](#page-32-16) Tang et al. [2016\)](#page-32-14). CRISPR system is an important genome editing tool in soybean which has hastened the breeding progressions and refining the quality of soybean. CRISPR/Cas system works on the principle of transformation, and soybean has very low transformation efficiency with the limitation of dependence on receptor genotypes (Du et al. [2016](#page-27-11)). There are only few varieties of soybean that are suitable for transformation (Guo et al. [2015](#page-28-18); Donaldson and Simmonds [2000](#page-27-18)). On the other hand, soybean is a short-day plant with high sensitivity to photoperiod that further restricts its geographical cultivation, amending its breeding productivity and development of tremendous varieties (Wang et al. [2016a,](#page-32-8) [b](#page-32-9); Xu et al. [2013\)](#page-33-13). Almost all soybean varieties need to be integrated photoperiod insensitivity as they are inherent to varied photoperiod and latitudes. This adaptability in soybean is controlled by some QTLs and numerous major genes (Watanabe et al. 2012). Currently, eleven genes (*E-1*, *E-2*, *E-3*, *E-4*, *E-5*, *E-6*, *E-7*, *E-8*, *E-9*, *E-10*, and *J*) relating to soybean growth period are the potential targets for improvement through CRISPR system (Bernard [1971;](#page-25-8) Bonato and Antonio [1999;](#page-26-20) Buzzell and Voldeng [1980;](#page-26-21) Buzzell [1980;](#page-26-22) Cober et al. [2010;](#page-27-19) Kong et al. [2014](#page-29-20); Lu et al. 2017; Mcblain et al. [1987;](#page-30-18) Mcblain and Bernard [1987;](#page-30-19) Ray et al. [1995](#page-31-22); Yue et al. [2017\)](#page-33-14). CRISPR/Cas9 system have been used to eradicate detrimental DNA sequence by targeting the specifc gene. Apart from that, crops can be made clean or transgene free by eliminating Cas9 or selectable markers during selfng/progeny separation resulting in clean gene crops (Chen et al. [2018;](#page-26-19) Cai et al. [2018](#page-26-17)). Off-target activity can also be reduced

by carefully selecting the target sequence (Xie et al. [2014](#page-33-15); Xu et al. [2015](#page-33-16)). A signifcant foundation in soybean for editing its genome by CRISPR was laid in sitedirected mutagenesis (Jacob et al. [2015](#page-28-17)). Soybean quality was improved by introducing a clean and transgene free soybean variety with high content of oleic acid (Huan et al. 2014). Transgene free soybean has the ability of delayed fowering which was developed by using CRISPR/Cas system for knocking out *Gm-FT2a* gene (Cai et al. [2018](#page-26-17)). Summary of the crops developed through CRISPR/Cas system for abiotic stress tolerance is explained in Table [13.5.](#page-21-0)

			Gene			
			editing	Repair	<b>Biotic</b> stress	
Crop	Targeted gene	<b>Biotic</b> stress	method	mechanism	tolerance	References
Rice	eIF4G	Rice tungro spherical virus (RTSV)	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Increased resistance against (RTSV)	Macovei et al. (2018)
Grape	VuWRKY52	Botrytis cinerea (fungus)	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Resistance against <b>Botrytis</b> cinerea fungus	Wang et al. (2018)
Cotton	$Gh14 - 3 - 3d$	Verticillium dahliae (fungus)	Knock-in	<b>NHEJ</b>	Resistance against verticillium wilt	Zhang et al. (2018a, b)
Rice	OsSWEET13	X. oryzae pv. Oryzae	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Resistance against bacterial blight of rice	Zhou et al. (2015)
Rice	OsERF92	Magnaporthe oryzae (fungus)	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Resistance against rice blast fungus	Wang et al. (2016a, b)
<b>Sweet</b> oranges	CsLOBI	Xanthomonas citri subsp. citri	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Resistance against citrus bacterial canker	Tripathi et al. (2020)
Pink grapefruit	CsLOBI	Xanthomonas citri subsp. citri	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Resistance against citrus bacterial canker	Peng et al. (2017)
Wheat	EDR1	Erysiphe cichoracearum	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Resistance against powdery mildews	Zhang et al. (2017a, b)
Tomato	CP and rep sequences	Tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV)	Knockout	<b>NHEJ</b>	Resistance against <b>TYLCV</b>	Tashkandi et al. (2018)

<span id="page-23-0"></span>**Table 13.6** Summary of biotic stress resistance crops developed through CRISPR-Cas9

# **13.8 Engineering Biotic Stress Tolerance in Soybean Through CRISPR**

Numerous disease-resistant crops have been developed by utilizing CRISPR/Cas technology. In 2016, the resistance to rice blast disease was developed in *Oryza sativa* by targeting *OzERF-922* gene (Wang et al. [2016a](#page-32-8), [b](#page-32-9)). Mutant lines of rice were selected on segregation at T1 and T2 generation. Resultant lines were observed as developing lesser diseased lesions due to pathogen attack (Wang et al. [2016a,](#page-32-8) [b\)](#page-32-9). *Triticum aestivum* (hexaploid bread wheat) was targeted at mildew resistance locus homeoalleles (*MLO*) utilizing site-specific endonucleases (Wang et al. [2014\)](#page-32-20). *Xanthomonas citri* subspecies Xcc *Citrus sinensis* was targeted for citrus canker disease (Peng et al. [2017](#page-31-23)). Disease resistance enhancement by CRISPR/Cas system was observed by modifying lateral organ boundary promoter (CsLOB-1). Entire sequence deletion from both alleles of  $CsLOB-1$  ( $EBE<sub>nthA-4</sub>$ ) convened maximum resistance to Wanjincheng oranges. Stacked multigene as single transgene of CRISPR system led to targeted disintegration of numerous viral infections (Iqbal et al. [2016](#page-28-19)). A summary of different crops engineered with CRISPR/Cas for biotic stress tolerance have been presented in Table [13.6](#page-23-0).

### *13.8.1 Future Prospects*

CRISPR/Cas technology is progressing at an exceptional rate. Gene silencing and gene knockouts done so far through NHEJ couldn't prevail for long as being not much precise. HDR-based gene replacement/gene knock-in showed promising results in numerous plants and mammalian cell lines. Homology directed repairing was a competitive task especially in plants due to ineffective distribution of the donor sequence into transformed plant cells (Puchta and Fauser 2014; Steinert et al. [2016\)](#page-32-21). Numerous success reports for HDR based applications of CRISPR are available (Collonnier et al. [2017](#page-27-20); Gil-Humanes et al. [2017\)](#page-28-20). Apart from gene editing, CRISPR technology is used in studying molecular biology, cell biology, and functional genomics, study of gene modules, loss/gain of individual gene functional genomic analysis, and regulation of gene expression. Some key applications of CRISPR system are as follows, of which some are yet to be applied in plants:

- (a) Site-specifc gene integration
	- (i) Gene knock in by non-homologous end joining (NHEJ)
	- (ii) Knock in by homologous recombination (HR)
	- (iii) Fusion of GFP with native genes
	- (iv) Cas9 gene splitting
- (b) Clean gene technology
	- (i) Editing of RNP
	- (ii) Editing of viral encoding genes
	- (iii) Selfng and crossing
- (c) Imparting resistance against virus
	- (i) Viral genome disintegration
	- (ii) Viral genome cleaning
	- (iii) Removal of RNPs
- (d) Regulation of gene expression
	- (i) Regulation of transcription
	- (ii) Regulation of translation
- (e) Manipulation of structure, function, and number of chromosomes
	- (i) Addition
	- (ii) Deletion
	- (iii) Translocation
- (f) Screening of functional genomes
	- (i) Repressor
	- (ii) Activator
	- (iii) Enhancer

### **References**

- <span id="page-25-4"></span>Aliyari R, Ding SW (2009) RNA-based viral immunity initiated by the Dicer family of host immune receptors. Immunol Rev 227:176–188
- <span id="page-25-7"></span>Aquino-Jarquin G (2019) CRISPR-Cas14 is now part of the artillery for gene editing and molecular diagnostic. Nanomedicine 18:428–431
- <span id="page-25-6"></span>Axford DS, Morris DP, Mcmurry JL (2017) Cell penetrating peptide-mediated nuclear delivery of Cas9 to enhance the utility of CRISPR/Cas genome editing. FASEB J 31:909.4–909.4
- <span id="page-25-5"></span>Baltes NJ, Hummel AW, Konecna E, Cegan R, Bruns AN, Bisaro DM, Voytas DF (2015) Conferring resistance to geminiviruses with the CRISPR–Cas prokaryotic immune system. Nat Plant 1:1–4
- <span id="page-25-2"></span>Barrangou R (2015) The roles of CRISPR–Cas systems in adaptive immunity and beyond. Curr Opin Immunol 32:36–41
- <span id="page-25-3"></span>Barrangou R, Fremaux C, Deveau H, Richards M, Boyaval P, Moineau S, Romero DA, Horvath P (2007) CRISPR provides acquired resistance against viruses in prokaryotes. Science 315:1709–1712
- <span id="page-25-1"></span>Beerli RR, Barbas CF (2002) Engineering polydactyl zinc-fnger transcription factors. Nat Biotechnol 20:135–141
- <span id="page-25-8"></span>Bernard R (1971) Two major genes for time of fowering and maturity in soybeans 1. Crop Sci 11:242–244
- <span id="page-25-0"></span>Bitinaite J, Wah DA, Aggarwal AK, Schildkraut I (1998) FokI dimerization is required for DNA cleavage. Proc Natl Acad Sci 95:10570–10575
- <span id="page-26-18"></span>Bo W, Zhaohui Z, Huanhuan Z, Xia W, Binglin L, Lijia Y, Xiangyan H, Deshui Y, Xuelian Z, Chunguo W (2019) Targeted mutagenesis of NAC transcription factor gene, OsNAC041, leading to salt sensitivity in rice. Ric Sci 26:98–108
- <span id="page-26-5"></span>Boch J (2011) TALEs of genome targeting. Nat Biotechnol 29:135–136
- <span id="page-26-6"></span>Boch J, Bonas U (2010) Xanthomonas AvrBs3 family-type III effectors: discovery and function. Annu Rev Phytopathol 48:419–436
- <span id="page-26-7"></span>Boch J, Scholze H, Schornack S, Landgraf A, Hahn S, Kay S, Lahaye T, Nickstadt A, Bonas U (2009) Breaking the code of DNA binding specifcity of TAL-type III effectors. Science 326:1509–1512
- <span id="page-26-20"></span>Bonato ER, Vello NA (1999) E6, a dominant gene conditioning early fowering and maturity in soybeans. Genet Mol Biol 22:229–232
- <span id="page-26-13"></span>Butler NM, Baltes NJ, Voytas DF, Douches DS (2016) Geminivirus-mediated genome editing in potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) using sequence-specifc nucleases. Front Plant Sci 7:1045
- <span id="page-26-14"></span>Butt H, Eid A, Ali Z, Atia MA, Mokhtar MM, Hassan N, Lee CM, Bao G, Mahfouz MM (2017) Effcient CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing using a chimeric single-guide RNA molecule. Front Plant Sci 8:1441
- <span id="page-26-22"></span>Buzzell M (1980) Adapting chronological stabilization to property risks. Risk Manage (NY) 27:32–34
- <span id="page-26-21"></span>Buzzell R, Voldeng H (1980) Inheritance of insensitivity to long day length. Soybean Genetics Newsletter 7:13
- <span id="page-26-16"></span>Cai Y, Chen L, Liu X, Sun S, Wu C, Jiang B, Han T, Hou W (2015) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing in soybean hairy roots. PLoS One 10:e0136064
- <span id="page-26-17"></span>Cai Y, Chen L, Liu X et al (2018) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated targeted mutagenesis of GmFT2a delays fowering time in soya bean. *Plant Biotechnol J 16*(1):176–185
- <span id="page-26-1"></span>Capecchi MR (2005) Gene targeting in mice: functional analysis of the mammalian genome for the twenty-frst century. Nat Rev Genet 6:507–512
- <span id="page-26-0"></span>Carroll D (2011) Genome engineering with zinc-fnger nucleases. Genetics 188:773–782
- <span id="page-26-3"></span>Cathomen T, Joung JK (2008) Zinc-fnger nucleases: the next generation emerges. Mol Ther 16:1200–1207
- <span id="page-26-9"></span>Cermak T, Doyle EL, Christian M, Wang L, Zhang Y, Schmidt C, Baller JA, Somia NV, Bogdanove AJ, Voytas DF (2011) Effcient design and assembly of custom TALEN and other TAL effectorbased constructs for DNA targeting. Nucleic Acids Res 39:e82–e82
- <span id="page-26-10"></span>Char SN, Unger-Wallace E, Frame B, Briggs SA, Main M, Spalding MH, Vollbrecht E, Wang K, Yang B (2015) Heritable site-specifc mutagenesis using TALEN s in maize. Plant Biotechnol J 13:1002–1010
- <span id="page-26-11"></span>Charpentier M, Khedher A, Menoret S, Brion A, Lamribet K, Dardillac E, Boix C, Perrouault L, Tesson L, Geny S (2018) CtIP fusion to Cas9 enhances transgene integration by homologydependent repair. Nat Commun 9:1–11
- <span id="page-26-4"></span>Chen W, Qian Y, Wu X, Sun Y, Wu X, Cheng X (2014) Inhibiting replication of begomoviruses using artifcial zinc fnger nucleases that target viral-conserved nucleotide motif. Virus Genes 48:494–501
- <span id="page-26-15"></span>Chen S, Lee B, Lee AY-F, Modzelewski AJ, He, L. (2016) Highly effcient mouse genome editing by CRISPR ribonucleoprotein electroporation of zygotes. J Biol Chem 291:14457–14467
- <span id="page-26-12"></span>Chen JS, Dagdas YS, Kleinstiver BP, Welch MM, Sousa AA, Harrington LB, Sternberg SH, Joung JK, Yildiz A, Doudna JA (2017) Enhanced proofreading governs CRISPR–Cas9 targeting accuracy. Nature 550:407–410
- <span id="page-26-19"></span>Chen R, Xu Q, Liu Y, Zhang J, Ren D, Wang G, Liu Y (2018) Generation of transgene-free maize male sterile lines using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Front Plant Sci 9:1180
- <span id="page-26-2"></span>Choulika A, Perrin A, Dujon B, Nicolas J-F (1995) Induction of homologous recombination in mammalian chromosomes by using the I-SceI system of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol 15:1968–1973
- <span id="page-26-8"></span>Christian M, Cermak T, Doyle EL, Schmidt C, Zhang F, Hummel A, Bogdanove AJ, Voytas DF (2010) Targeting DNA double-strand breaks with TAL effector nucleases. Genetics 186:757–761
- <span id="page-27-5"></span>Clasen BM, Stoddard TJ, Luo S, Demorest ZL, Li J, Cedrone F, Tibebu R, Davison S, Ray EE, Daulhac A (2016) Improving cold storage and processing traits in potato through targeted gene knockout. Plant Biotechnol J 14:169–176
- <span id="page-27-19"></span>Cober ER, Molnar SJ, Charette M, Voldeng HD (2010) A new locus for early maturity in soybean. Crop Sci 50:524–527
- <span id="page-27-20"></span>Collonnier C, Guyon-Debast A, Maclot F, Mara K, Charlot F and Nogué F (2017). Towards mastering CRISPR-induced gene knock-in in plants: Survey of key features and focus on the model Physcomitrella patens. Methods, 121:103–117
- <span id="page-27-9"></span>Cong L, Ran FA, Cox D, Lin S, Barretto R, Habib N, Hsu PD, Wu X, Jiang W, Marraffni LA (2013) Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. Science 339:819–823
- <span id="page-27-8"></span>Connorton JM, Jones ER, Rodríguez-Ramiro I, Fairweather-Tait S, Uauy C, Balk J (2017) Wheat vacuolar iron transporter TaVIT2 transports Fe and Mn and is effective for biofortifcation. Plant Physiol 174:2434–2444
- <span id="page-27-7"></span>Cromwell CR, Sung K, Park J, Krysler AR, Jovel J, Kim SK, Hubbard BP (2018) Incorporation of bridged nucleic acids into CRISPR RNAs improves Cas9 endonuclease specifcity. Nat Commun 9:1–11
- <span id="page-27-13"></span>Curtin SJ, Xiong Y, Michno JM, Campbell BW, Stec AO, Čermák T, Starker C, Voytas DF, Eamens AL, Stupar RM (2018) CRISPR/Cas9 and TALENs generate heritable mutations for genes involved in small rna processing of Glycine max and Medicago truncatula. Plant Biotechnol J 16:1125–1137
- <span id="page-27-10"></span>D'astolfo DS, Pagliero RJ, Pras A, Karthaus WR, Clevers H, Prasad V, Lebbink RJ, Rehmann H, Geijsen N (2015) Effcient intracellular delivery of native proteins. Cell 161:674–690
- <span id="page-27-4"></span>Demorest ZL, Coffman A, Baltes NJ, Stoddard TJ, Clasen BM, Luo S, Retterath A, Yabandith A, Gamo ME, Bissen J (2016) Direct stacking of sequence-specifc nuclease-induced mutations to produce high oleic and low linolenic soybean oil. BMC Plant Biol 16:1–8
- <span id="page-27-18"></span>Donaldson P, Simmonds D (2000) Susceptibility to agrobacterium tumefaciens and cotyledonary node transformation in short-season soybean. Plant Cell Rep 19:478–484
- <span id="page-27-2"></span>Doyon Y, Vo TD, Mendel MC, Greenberg SG, Wang J, Xia DF, Miller JC, Urnov FD, Gregory PD, Holmes MC (2011) Enhancing zinc-fnger-nuclease activity with improved obligate heterodimeric architectures. Nat Methods 8:74
- <span id="page-27-11"></span>Du H, Zeng X, Zhao M, Cui X, Wang Q, Yang H, Cheng H, Yu D (2016) Effcient targeted mutagenesis in soybean by TALENs and CRISPR/Cas9. J Biotechnol 217:90–97
- <span id="page-27-6"></span>Dugar G, Herbig A, Förstner KU, Heidrich N, Reinhardt R, Nieselt K, Sharma CM (2013) Highresolution transcriptome maps reveal strain-specifc regulatory features of multiple campylobacter jejuni isolates. PLoS Genet 9:e1003495
- <span id="page-27-1"></span>Ekker SC (2008) Zinc fnger–based knockout punches for zebrafsh genes. Zebrafsh 5:121–123
- <span id="page-27-12"></span>Fang Y, Tyler BM (2016) Efficient disruption and replacement of an effector gene in the oomycete Phytophthora sojae using CRISPR/Cas9. Mol Plant Pathol 17(1):127–139
- <span id="page-27-14"></span>Feng Z, Zhang B, Ding W, Liu X, Yang D-L, Wei P, Cao F, Zhu S, Zhang F, Mao Y (2013) Effcient genome editing in plants using a CRISPR/Cas system. Cell Res 23:1229–1232
- <span id="page-27-15"></span>Feng Z, Mao Y, Xu N, Zhang B, Wei P, Yang D-L, Wang Z, Zhang Z, Zheng R, Yang L (2014) Multigeneration analysis reveals the inheritance, specifcity, and patterns of CRISPR/Casinduced gene modifcations in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci 111:4632–4637
- <span id="page-27-0"></span>Gaj T, Gersbach CA, Barbas CF (2013) ZFN, TALEN, and CRISPR/Cas-based methods for genome engineering. Trends Biotechnol 31:397–405
- <span id="page-27-17"></span>Gao J, Wang G, Ma S, Xie X, Wu X, Zhang X, Wu Y, Zhao P, Xia Q (2015) CRISPR/Cas9 mediated targeted mutagenesis in Nicotiana tabacum. Plant Mol Biol 87:99–110
- <span id="page-27-16"></span>Gao W, Long L, Tian X, Xu F, Liu J, Singh PK, Botella JR, Song C (2017) Genome editing in cotton with the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Front Plant Sci 8:1364
- <span id="page-27-3"></span>Geiβler R, Scholze H, Hahn S, Streubel J, Bonas U, Behrens S-E, Boch J (2011) Transcriptional activators of human genes with programmable DNA-specifcity. PLoS One 6:e19509
- <span id="page-28-20"></span>Gil-Humanes J, Wang Y, Liang Z, Shan Q, Ozuna CV, Sánchez-León S, Baltes NJ, Starker C, Barro F, Gao C (2017) High-effciency gene targeting in hexaploid wheat using DNA replicons and CRISPR/Cas9. Plant J 89(6):1251–1262
- <span id="page-28-0"></span>Gonzalez B, Schwimmer LJ, Fuller RP, Ye Y, Asawapornmongkol L, Barbas CF (2010) Modular system for the construction of zinc-fnger libraries and proteins. Nat Protoc 5:791
- <span id="page-28-15"></span>Gratz S (2013) Cummings AM, nguyen Jn, Hamm Dc, Donohue LK, Harrison MM, Wildonger J., O'connor-Giles KM. Genetics 194:1029–1035
- <span id="page-28-16"></span>Gratz SJ, Ukken FP, Rubinstein CD, Thiede G, Donohue LK, Cummings AM, O'connor-Giles KM (2014) Highly specific and efficient CRISPR/Cas9-catalyzed homology-directed repair in Drosophila. Genetics 196:961–971
- <span id="page-28-13"></span>Guan Y, Ma Y, Li Q, Sun Z, Ma L, Wu L, Wang L, Zeng L, Shao Y, Chen Y (2016) CRISPR/ Cas9-mediated somatic correction of a novel coagulator factor IX gene mutation ameliorates hemophilia in mouse. EMBO Mol Med 8:477–488
- <span id="page-28-5"></span>Guo J, Gaj T, Barbas CF (2010) Directed evolution of an enhanced and highly effcient FokI cleavage domain for zinc fnger nucleases. J Mol Biol 400:96–107
- <span id="page-28-18"></span>Guo B-F, Yong G, Jun W, Zhang L-J, Jin L-G, Hong H-L, Chang R-Z, Qiu L-J (2015) Co-treatment with surfactant and sonication signifcantly improves agrobacterium-mediated resistant bud formation and transient expression efficiency in soybean. J Integr Agric 14:1242–1250
- <span id="page-28-14"></span>Harrington LB, Burstein D, Chen JS, Paez-Espino D, Ma E, Witte IP, Cofsky JC, Kyrpides NC, Banfeld JF, Doudna JA (2018) Programmed DNA destruction by miniature CRISPR-Cas14 enzymes. Science 362:839–842
- <span id="page-28-9"></span>Hatoum-Aslan A, Maniv I, Marraffni LA (2011) Mature clustered, regularly interspaced, short palindromic repeats RNA (crRNA) length is measured by a ruler mechanism anchored at the precursor processing site. Proc Natl Acad Sci 108:21218–21222
- <span id="page-28-1"></span>Hilioti Z, Ganopoulos I, Ajith S, Bossis I, Tsaftaris A (2016) A novel arrangement of zinc fnger nuclease system for in vivo targeted genome engineering: the tomato LEC1-LIKE4 gene case. Plant Cell Rep 35:2241–2255
- <span id="page-28-8"></span>Hille F, Richter H, Wong SP, Bratovič M, Ressel S, Charpentier E (2018) The biology of CRISPR-Cas: backward and forward. Cell 172:1239–1259
- <span id="page-28-3"></span>Hockemeyer D, Wang H, Kiani S, Lai CS, Gao Q, Cassady JP, Cost GJ, Zhang L, Santiago Y, Miller JC (2011) Genetic engineering of human pluripotent cells using TALE nucleases. Nat Biotechnol 29:731–734
- <span id="page-28-12"></span>Holmgaard A, Askou AL, Benckendorff JNE, Thomsen EA, Cai Y, Bek T, Mikkelsen JG, Corydon TJ (2017) In vivo knockout of the Vegfa gene by lentiviral delivery of CRISPR/Cas9 in mouse retinal pigment epithelium cells. Mol Ther-Nucleic Acid 9:89–99
- <span id="page-28-6"></span>Hoover D (2012) Using DNAWorks in designing oligonucleotides for PCR-based gene synthesis. Springer, Gene Synthesis
- <span id="page-28-10"></span>Hu JH, Miller SM, Geurts MH, Tang W, Chen L, Sun N, Zeina CM, Gao X, Rees HA, Lin Z (2018) Evolved Cas9 variants with broad PAM compatibility and high DNA specifcity. Nature 556:57–63
- <span id="page-28-4"></span>Huang P, Xiao A, Zhou M, Zhu Z, Lin S, Zhang B (2011) Heritable gene targeting in zebrafsh using customized TALENs. Nat Biotechnol 29:699–700
- <span id="page-28-19"></span>Iqbal Z, Sattar MN, Shafq M (2016) CRISPR/Cas9: a tool to circumscribe cotton leaf curl disease. Front Plant Sci 7:475
- <span id="page-28-17"></span>Jacobs TB, Lafayette PR, Schmitz RJ, Parrott WA (2015) Targeted genome modifcations in soybean with CRISPR/Cas9. BMC Biotechnol 15:1–10
- <span id="page-28-11"></span>Jinek M, Chylinski K, Fonfara I, Hauer M, Doudna JA, Charpentier E (2012) A programmable dual-RNA–guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. Science 337:816–821
- <span id="page-28-2"></span>Juillerat A, Pessereau C, Dubois G, Guyot V, Maréchal A, Valton J, Daboussi F, Poirot L, Duclert A, Duchateau P (2015) Optimized tuning of TALEN specifcity using non-conventional RVDs. Sci Rep 5:1–7
- <span id="page-28-7"></span>Jung JH, Altpeter F (2016) TALEN mediated targeted mutagenesis of the caffeic acid O-methyltransferase in highly polyploid sugarcane improves cell wall composition for production of bioethanol. Plant Mol Biol 92:131–142
- <span id="page-29-8"></span>Kang W, Zhao X, Sun Z, Dong T, Jin C, Tong L, Zhu W, Tao Y, Wu H (2020) Adeno-associated virus vector enables safe and effcient Cas9 activation in neonatal and adult Cas9 knockin murine cochleae. Gene Ther 27:392–405
- <span id="page-29-5"></span>Kannan B, Jung JH, Moxley GW, Lee SM, Altpeter F (2018) TALEN-mediated targeted mutagenesis of more than 100 COMT copies/alleles in highly polyploid sugarcane improves saccharification efficiency without compromising biomass yield. Plant Biotechnol J 16:856–866
- <span id="page-29-19"></span>Kapusi E, Corcuera-Gómez M, Melnik S, Stoger E (2017) Heritable genomic fragment deletions and small indels in the putative ENGase gene induced by CRISPR/Cas9 in barley. Front Plant Sci 8:540
- <span id="page-29-3"></span>Karponi G, Zogas N (2019) Gene therapy for beta-thalassemia: updated perspectives. Appl Clin Genet 12:167
- <span id="page-29-9"></span>Kaushik A, Yndart A, Atluri V, Tiwari S, Tomitaka A, Gupta P, Jayant RD, Alvarez-Carbonell D, Khalili K, Nair M (2019) Magnetically guided non-invasive CRISPR-Cas9/gRNA delivery across blood-brain barrier to eradicate latent HIV-1 infection. Sci Rep 9:1–11
- <span id="page-29-6"></span>Kelliher T, Starr D, Richbourg L, Chintamanani S, Delzer B, Nuccio ML, Green J, Chen Z, Mccuiston J, Wang W (2017) MATRILINEAL, a sperm-specifc phospholipase, triggers maize haploid induction. Nature 542:105–109
- <span id="page-29-2"></span>Kim S, Kim J-S (2011) Targeted genome engineering via zinc fnger nucleases. Plant Biotechnol Report 5:9–17
- <span id="page-29-1"></span>Kim Y-G, Cha J, Chandrasegaran S (1996) Hybrid restriction enzymes: zinc fnger fusions to Fok I cleavage domain. Proc Natl Acad Sci 93:1156–1160
- <span id="page-29-17"></span>Kim D, Alptekin B, Budak H (2018) CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing in wheat. Funct Integr Genomics 18:31–41
- <span id="page-29-7"></span>Klap C, Yeshayahou E, Bolger AM, Arazi T, Gupta SK, Shabtai S, Usadel B, Salts Y, Barg R (2017) Tomato facultative parthenocarpy results from Sl AGAMOUS-LIKE 6 loss of function. Plant Biotechnol J 15:634–647
- <span id="page-29-0"></span>Knorre DG, Vlasov VV (1985) Reactive derivatives of nucleic acids and their components as affnity reagents. Russ Chem Rev 54:836
- <span id="page-29-20"></span>Kong F, Nan H, Cao D, Li Y, Wu F, Wang J, Lu S, Yuan X, Cober ER, Abe J (2014) A new dominant gene E9 conditions early fowering and maturity in soybean. Crop Sci 54:2529–2535
- <span id="page-29-10"></span>Lalgudi PV, Winslow MM, Winters IP (2018) 21 CRISPR/Cas9-based in vivo models of cancer. Genome editing and engineering: from TALENs, ZFNs and CRISPRs to molecular surgery, 315
- <span id="page-29-13"></span>Lee K, Conboy M, Park HM, Jiang F, Kim HJ, Dewitt MA, Mackley VA, Chang K, Rao A, Skinner C (2017) Nanoparticle delivery of Cas9 ribonucleoprotein and donor DNA in vivo induces homology-directed DNA repair. Nature Biomed Eng 1:889–901
- <span id="page-29-4"></span>Li T, Huang S, Zhao X, Wright DA, Carpenter S, Spalding MH, Weeks DP, Yang B (2011) Modularly assembled designer TAL effector nucleases for targeted gene knockout and gene replacement in eukaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res 39:6315–6325
- <span id="page-29-18"></span>Li J-F, Norville JE, Aach J, Mccormack M, Zhang D, Bush J, Church GM, Sheen J (2013) Multiplex and homologous recombination–mediated genome editing in Arabidopsis and Nicotiana benthamiana using guide RNA and Cas9. Nat Biotechnol 31:688–691
- <span id="page-29-11"></span>Li M, Fan Y-N, Chen Z-Y, Luo Y-L, Wang Y-C, Lian Z-X, Xu C-F, Wang J (2018a) Optimized nanoparticle-mediated delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 system for B cell intervention. Nano Res 11:6270–6282
- <span id="page-29-12"></span>Li R, Zhang L, Wang L, Chen L, Zhao R, Sheng J, Shen L (2018b) Reduction of tomato-plant chilling tolerance by CRISPR–Cas9-mediated SlCBF1 mutagenesis. J Agric Food Chem 66:9042–9051
- <span id="page-29-15"></span>Li C, Nguyen V, Liu J, Fu W, Chen C, Yu K, Cui Y (2019a) Mutagenesis of seed storage protein genes in soybean using CRISPR/Cas9. BMC Res Notes 12:1–7
- <span id="page-29-16"></span>Li R, Liu C, Zhao R, Wang L, Chen L, Yu W, Zhang S, Sheng J, Shen L (2019b) CRISPR/ Cas9-mediated SlNPR1 mutagenesis reduces tomato plant drought tolerance. BMC Plant Biol 19:1–13
- <span id="page-29-14"></span>Li Z, Liu ZB, Xing A et al (2015) Cas9-guide RNA directed genome editing in soybean. Plant Physiol 169(2):960–970
- <span id="page-30-12"></span>Liu J-J, Orlova N, Oakes BL, Ma E, Spinner HB, Baney KL, Chuck J, Tan D, Knott GJ, Harrington LB (2019) CRISPR-CasX is an RNA-dominated enzyme active for human genome editing. Nature 566:218
- <span id="page-30-15"></span>Liu H-J, Jian L, Xu J, Zhang Q, Zhang M, Jin M, Peng Y, Yan J, Han B, Liu J (2020) Highthroughput CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis streamlines trait gene identifcation in maize. Plant Cell 32:1397–1413
- <span id="page-30-10"></span>Long C, Amoasii L, Mireault AA, Mcanally JR, Li H, Sanchez-Ortiz E, Bhattacharyya S, Shelton JM, Bassel-Duby R, Olson EN (2016) Postnatal genome editing partially restores dystrophin expression in a mouse model of muscular dystrophy. Science 351:400–403
- <span id="page-30-14"></span>Lou D, Wang H, Liang G, Yu, D. (2017) OsSAPK2 confers abscisic acid sensitivity and tolerance to drought stress in rice. Front Plant Sci 8:993
- <span id="page-30-13"></span>Lou D, Wang H, Yu D (2018) The sucrose non-fermenting-1-related protein kinases SAPK1 and SAPK2 function collaboratively as positive regulators of salt stress tolerance in rice. BMC Plant Biol 18:1–17
- <span id="page-30-20"></span>Macovei A, Sevilla NR, Cantos C, Jonson GB, Slamet-Loedin I, Čermák T, Voytas DF, Choi IR, Chadha-Mohanty P (2018) Novel alleles of rice eIF4G generated by CRISPR/Cas9-targeted mutagenesis confer resistance to Rice tungro spherical virus. Plant Biotechnol J 16:1918–1927
- <span id="page-30-2"></span>Mahfouz MM, Li L, Shamimuzzaman M, Wibowo A, Fang X, Zhu J-K (2011) De novo-engineered transcription activator-like effector (TALE) hybrid nuclease with novel DNA binding specifcity creates double-strand breaks. Proc Natl Acad Sci 108:2623–2628
- <span id="page-30-7"></span>Makarova KS, Wolf YI, Alkhnbashi OS, Costa F, Shah SA, Saunders SJ, Barrangou R, Brouns SJ, Charpentier E, Haft DH (2015) An updated evolutionary classifcation of CRISPR–Cas systems. Nat Rev Microbiol 13:722–736
- <span id="page-30-9"></span>Mali P, Yang L, Esvelt KM, Aach J, Guell M, Dicarlo JE, Norville JE, Church GM (2013) RNAguided human genome engineering via Cas9. Science 339:823–826
- <span id="page-30-8"></span>Mao X, Zheng Y, Xiao K, Wei Y, Zhu Y, Cai Q, Chen L, Xie H, Zhang J (2018) OsPRX2 contributes to stomatal closure and improves potassium defciency tolerance in rice. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 495:461–467
- <span id="page-30-16"></span>Maoy ZH (2013) Application of the CRISPR/Cas system for effcient genome engineering in plants. Mol Plant 6:2008G2011
- <span id="page-30-6"></span>Marraffni LA, Sontheimer EJ (2008) CRISPR interference limits horizontal gene transfer in staphylococci by targeting DNA. Science 322:1843–1845
- <span id="page-30-19"></span>Mcblain B, Bernard R (1987) A new gene affecting the time of fowering and maturity in soybeans. J Hered 78:160–162
- <span id="page-30-18"></span>Mcblain B, Bernard R, Cremeens C, Korczak J (1987) A procedure to identify genes affecting maturity using soybean Isoline testers 1. Crop Sci 27:1127–1132
- <span id="page-30-17"></span>Michno J, Wang X, Liu J, Curtin S, Kono T, Stupar R (2015) CRISPR/Cas mutagenesis of soybean and Medicago truncatula using a new web-tool and a modifed Cas9 enzyme. GM Crops Food 6:243–252
- <span id="page-30-3"></span>Miller JC, Tan S, Qiao G, Barlow KA, Wang J, Xia DF, Meng X, Paschon DE, Leung E, Hinkley SJ (2011) A TALE nuclease architecture for effcient genome editing. Nat Biotechnol 29:143–148
- <span id="page-30-0"></span>Mishra S (2019) Targeted genome editing tools in plants. In: Innovations in life science research. NOVA Science Publisher, New York
- <span id="page-30-5"></span>Morbitzer R, Elsaesser J, Hausner J, Lahaye T (2011) Assembly of custom TALE-type DNA binding domains by modular cloning. Nucleic Acids Res 39:5790–5799
- <span id="page-30-1"></span>Moscou M, Bogdanove A (2009) A simple cipher governs TAL effector-DNA recognition. Science 326:1501
- <span id="page-30-11"></span>Mout R, Ray M, Yesilbag Tonga G, Lee Y-W, Tay T, Sasaki K, Rotello VM (2017) Direct cytosolic delivery of CRISPR/Cas9-ribonucleoprotein for efficient gene editing. ACS Nano 11:2452–2458
- <span id="page-30-4"></span>Mussolino C, Alzubi J, Fine EJ, Morbitzer R, Cradick TJ, Lahaye T, Bao G, Cathomen T (2014) TALENs facilitate targeted genome editing in human cells with high specifcity and low cytotoxicity. Nucleic Acids Res 42:6762–6773
- <span id="page-31-6"></span>Pabo CO, Peisach E, Grant RA (2001) Design and selection of novel Cys2His2 zinc fnger proteins. Annu Rev Biochem 70:313–340
- <span id="page-31-5"></span>Palpant N, Dudzinski D (2013) Zinc fnger nucleases: looking toward translation. Gene Ther 20:121–127
- <span id="page-31-8"></span>Pavletich NP, Pabo CO (1991) Zinc fnger-DNA recognition: crystal structure of a Zif268-DNA complex at 2.1 A. Science 252:809–817
- <span id="page-31-23"></span>Peng A, Chen S, Lei T, Xu L, He Y, Wu L, Yao L, Zou X (2017) Engineering canker-resistant plants through CRISPR/Cas9-targeted editing of the susceptibility gene Cs LOB 1 promoter in citrus. Plant Biotechnol J 15:1509–1519
- <span id="page-31-7"></span>Petolino JF (2015) Genome editing in plants via designed zinc fnger nucleases. In Vitro Cell Develop Biol-Plant 51:1–8
- <span id="page-31-2"></span>Plessis A, Perrin A, Haber J, Dujon B (1992) Site-specifc recombination determined by I-SceI, a mitochondrial group I intron-encoded endonuclease expressed in the yeast nucleus. Genetics 130:451–460
- <span id="page-31-15"></span>Pyott DE, Sheehan E, Molnar A (2016) Engineering of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated potyvirus resistance in transgene-free Arabidopsis plants. Mol Plant Pathol 17:1276–1288
- <span id="page-31-9"></span>Ramirez CL, Foley JE, Wright DA, Müller-Lerch F, Rahman SH, Cornu TI, Winfrey RJ, Sander JD, Fu F, Townsend JA (2008) Unexpected failure rates for modular assembly of engineered zinc fngers. Nat Methods 5:374–375
- <span id="page-31-18"></span>Raveux A, Vandormael-Pournin S, Cohen-Tannoudji M (2017) Optimization of the production of knock-in alleles by CRISPR/Cas9 microinjection into the mouse zygote. Sci Rep 7:1–11
- <span id="page-31-22"></span>Ray JD, Hinson K, Mankono JEB, Malo MF (1995) Genetic control of a long-juvenile trait in soybean. Crop Sci 35:1001–1006
- <span id="page-31-13"></span>Regalado A (2015) CRISPR gene editing to be tested on people by 2017, says Editas. MIT Technology Review
- <span id="page-31-12"></span>Rinaldo AR, Ayliffe M (2015) Gene targeting and editing in crop plants: a new era of precision opportunities. Mol Breed 35:1–15
- <span id="page-31-17"></span>Roehm PC, Shekarabi M, Wollebo HS, Bellizzi A, He L, Salkind J, Khalili K (2016) Inhibition of HSV-1 replication by gene editing strategy. Sci Rep 6:1–11
- <span id="page-31-0"></span>Rothstein RJ (1983) [12] one-step gene disruption in yeast. Methods Enzymol 101:202–211
- <span id="page-31-3"></span>Rouet P, Smih F, Jasin M (1994) Introduction of double-strand breaks into the genome of mouse cells by expression of a rare-cutting endonuclease. Mol Cell Biol 14:8096–8106
- <span id="page-31-4"></span>Rudin N, Sugarman E, Haber JE (1989) Genetic and physical analysis of double-strand break repair and recombination in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 122:519–534
- <span id="page-31-14"></span>Sakuma T, Yamamoto T (2018) Acceleration of cancer science with genome editing and related technologies. Cancer Sci 109:3679–3685
- <span id="page-31-1"></span>Scherer S, Davis RW (1979) Replacement of chromosome segments with altered DNA sequences constructed in vitro. Proc Natl Acad Sci 76:4951–4955
- <span id="page-31-21"></span>Shan Q, Wang Y, Li J, Zhang Y, Chen K, Liang Z, Zhang K, Liu J, Xi JJ, Qiu J-L (2013) Targeted genome modifcation of crop plants using a CRISPR-Cas system. Nat Biotechnol 31:686–688
- <span id="page-31-11"></span>Shan Q, Zhang Y, Chen K, Zhang K, Gao C (2015) Creation of fragrant rice by targeted knockout of the Os BADH 2 gene using TALEN technology. Plant Biotechnol J 13:791–800
- <span id="page-31-10"></span>Shelake RM, Pramanik D, Kim J-Y (2019) Evolution of plant mutagenesis tools: a shifting paradigm from random to targeted genome editing. Plant Biotechnol Report 13:423–445
- <span id="page-31-20"></span>Shen C, Que Z, Xia Y, Tang N, Li D, He R, Cao M (2017) Knock out of the annexin gene OsAnn3 via CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing decreased cold tolerance in rice. J Plant Biol 60:539–547
- <span id="page-31-16"></span>Shi J, Gao H, Wang H, Laftte HR, Archibald RL, Yang M, Hakimi SM, Mo H, Habben JE (2017) ARGOS 8 variants generated by CRISPR-Cas9 improve maize grain yield under feld drought stress conditions. Plant Biotechnol J 15:207–216
- <span id="page-31-19"></span>Shim JS, Oh N, Chung PJ, Kim YS, Choi YD, Kim J-K (2018) Overexpression of OsNAC14 improves drought tolerance in rice. Front Plant Sci 9:310
- <span id="page-32-13"></span>Singh A, Roychowdhury R, Singh T, Wang W, Yadav D, Kumar A, Modi A, Rai AC, Ghughe S, Kumar A (2020) Improvement of crop's stress tolerance by gene editing CRISPR/CAS9 system. In: Sustainable agriculture in the era of climate change. Springer, pp 557–587
- <span id="page-32-11"></span>Song M (2017) The CRISPR/Cas9 system: their delivery, in vivo and ex vivo applications and clinical development by startups. Biotechnol Prog 33:1035–1045
- <span id="page-32-21"></span>Steinert J, Schiml S, Puchta H (2016) Homology-based double-strand break-induced genome engineering in plants. Plant Cell Rep 35:1429–1438
- <span id="page-32-5"></span>Sternberg SH, Doudna JA (2015) Expanding the biologist's toolkit with CRISPR-Cas9. Mol Cell 58:568–574
- <span id="page-32-16"></span>Sun X, Hu Z, Chen R, Jiang Q, Song G, Zhang H, Xi Y (2015) Targeted mutagenesis in soybean using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Sci Rep 5:1–10
- <span id="page-32-12"></span>Suresh B, Ramakrishna S, Kim H (2017) Cell-penetrating peptide-mediated delivery of Cas9 protein and guide RNA for genome editing. In: Eukaryotic Transcriptional and Post-Transcriptional Gene Expression Regulation. Springer
- <span id="page-32-7"></span>Swarts DC, Mosterd C, VAN Passel MW, Brouns SJ (2012) CRISPR interference directs strand specifc spacer acquisition. PLoS One 7:e35888
- <span id="page-32-2"></span>Szczepek M, Brondani V, Büchel J, Serrano L, Segal DJ, Cathomen T (2007) Structure-based redesign of the dimerization interface reduces the toxicity of zinc-fnger nucleases. Nat Biotechnol 25:786–793
- <span id="page-32-0"></span>Tang L-M, Zhou C-L, Guo Z-F, Xiao L, Chüeh AC (2015) Advances in zinc fnger nuclease and its applications. Gene and Gene Editing 1:3–15
- <span id="page-32-14"></span>Tang F, Yang S, Liu J, Zhu H (2016) Rj4, a gene controlling nodulation specifcity in soybeans, encodes a thaumatin-like protein but not the one previously reported. Plant Physiol 170:26–32
- <span id="page-32-19"></span>Tashkandi M, Ali Z, Aljedaani F, Shami A, Mahfouz MM (2018) Engineering resistance against tomato yellow leaf curl virus via the CRISPR/Cas9 system in tomato. Plant Signal Behav 13:e1525996
- <span id="page-32-1"></span>Tesson L, Usal C, Ménoret S, Leung E, Niles BJ, Remy S, Santiago Y, Vincent AI, Meng X, Zhang L (2011) Knockout rats generated by embryo microinjection of TALENs. Nat Biotechnol 29:695–696
- <span id="page-32-18"></span>Tripathi L, Ntui VO, Tripathi JN (2020) CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing of banana for disease resistance. Curr Opin Plant Biol 56:118–126
- <span id="page-32-15"></span>Upadhyay SK, Kumar J, Alok A, Tuli R (2013) RNA-guided genome editing for target gene mutations in wheat. G3: Genes Genom Genet 3:2233–2238
- <span id="page-32-20"></span>Wang Y, Cheng X, Shan Q, Zhang Y, Liu J, Gao C, Qiu J-L (2014) Simultaneous editing of three homoeoalleles in hexaploid bread wheat confers heritable resistance to powdery mildew. Nat Biotechnol 32:947–951
- <span id="page-32-8"></span>Wang F, Wang C, Liu P, Lei C, Hao W, Gao Y, Liu Y-G, Zhao K (2016a) Enhanced rice blast resistance by CRISPR/Cas9-targeted mutagenesis of the ERF transcription factor gene OsERF922. PLoS One 11:e0154027
- <span id="page-32-9"></span>Wang Y, Gu Y, Gao H, Qiu L, Chang R, Chen S, He C (2016b) Molecular and geographic evolutionary support for the essential role of GIGANTEAa in soybean domestication of fowering time. BMC Evol Biol 16:1–13
- <span id="page-32-10"></span>Wang L, Chen L, Li R, Zhao R, Yang M, Sheng J, Shen L (2017) Reduced drought tolerance by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated SlMAPK3 mutagenesis in tomato plants. J Agric Food Chem 65:8674–8682
- <span id="page-32-17"></span>Wang X, Tu M, Wang D, Liu J, Li Y, Li Z, Wang Y, Wang X (2018) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated effcient targeted mutagenesis in grape in the frst generation. Plant Biotechnol J 16:844–855
- <span id="page-32-3"></span>Weber E, Gruetzner R, Werner S, Engler C, Marillonnet S (2011) Assembly of designer TAL effectors by Golden Gate cloning. PLoS One 6:e19722
- <span id="page-32-4"></span>Wen S, Liu H, Li X, Chen X, Hong Y, Li H, Lu Q, Liang X (2018) TALEN-mediated targeted mutagenesis of fatty acid desaturase 2 (FAD2) in peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) promotes the accumulation of oleic acid. Plant Mol Biol 97:177–185
- <span id="page-32-6"></span>Westra ER, Dowling AJ, Broniewski JM, VAN Houte S (2016) Evolution and ecology of CRISPR. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 47:307–331
- Wolter F, Puchta H (2018) The CRISPR/Cas revolution reaches the RNA world: Cas13, a new Swiss Army knife for plant biologists. Plant J 94:767–775
- <span id="page-33-0"></span>Wood AJ, Lo T-W, Zeitler B, Pickle CS, Ralston EJ, Lee AH, Amora R, Miller JC, Leung E, Meng X (2011) Targeted genome editing across species using ZFNs and TALENs. Science 333:307–307
- <span id="page-33-3"></span>Wright AV, Nuñez JK, Doudna JA (2016) Biology and applications of CRISPR systems: harnessing nature's toolbox for genome engineering. Cell 164:29–44
- <span id="page-33-15"></span>Xie K, Zhang J, Yang Y (2014) Genome-wide prediction of highly specifc guide RNA spacers for CRISPR–Cas9-mediated genome editing in model plants and major crops. Mol Plant 7:923–926
- <span id="page-33-13"></span>Xu M, Xu Z, Liu B, Kong F, Tsubokura Y, Watanabe S, Xia Z, Harada K, Kanazawa A, Yamada T (2013) Genetic variation in four maturity genes affects photoperiod insensitivity and PHYAregulated post-fowering responses of soybean. BMC Plant Biol 13:1–14
- <span id="page-33-16"></span>Xu R-F, Li H, Qin R-Y, Li J, Qiu C-H, Yang Y-C, Ma H, Li L, Wei P-C, Yang J-B (2015) Generation of inheritable and "transgene clean" targeted genome-modifed rice in later generations using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Sci Rep 5:11491
- <span id="page-33-7"></span>Yin H, Song C-Q, Dorkin JR, Zhu LJ, Li Y, Wu Q, Park A, Yang J, Suresh S, Bizhanova A (2016) Therapeutic genome editing by combined viral and non-viral delivery of CRISPR system components in vivo. Nat Biotechnol 34:328–333
- <span id="page-33-4"></span>Yosef I, Goren MG, Qimron U (2012) Proteins and DNA elements essential for the CRISPR adaptation process in Escherichia coli. Nucleic Acids Res 40:5569–5576
- <span id="page-33-14"></span>Yue Y, Liu N, Jiang B, Li M, Wang H, Jiang Z, Pan H, Xia Q, Ma Q, Han T (2017) A single nucleotide deletion in J encoding GmELF3 confers long juvenility and is associated with adaption of tropic soybean. Mol Plant 10:656–658
- <span id="page-33-1"></span>Zhang F, Cong L, Lodato S, Kosuri S, Church GM, Arlotta P (2011) Effcient construction of sequence-specifc TAL effectors for modulating mammalian transcription. Nat Biotechnol 29:149–153
- <span id="page-33-2"></span>Zhang F, Wen Y, Guo X (2014) CRISPR/Cas9 for genome editing: progress, implications and challenges. Hum Mol Genet 23:R40–R46
- <span id="page-33-5"></span>Zhang H, Zhang J, Lang Z, Botella JR, Zhu J-K (2017a) Genome editing—principles and applications for functional genomics research and crop improvement. Crit Rev Plant Sci 36:291–309
- <span id="page-33-6"></span>Zhang Y, Bai Y, Wu G, Zou S, Chen Y, Gao C, Tang D (2017b) Simultaneous modifcation of three homoeologs of Ta EDR 1 by genome editing enhances powdery mildew resistance in wheat. Plant J 91:714–724
- <span id="page-33-10"></span>Zhang M, Cao Y, Wang Z, Wang ZQ, Shi J, Liang X, Song W, Chen Q, Lai J, Jiang C (2018a) A retrotransposon in an HKT1 family sodium transporter causes variation of leaf Na+ exclusion and salt tolerance in maize. New Phytol 217:1161–1176
- <span id="page-33-11"></span>Zhang Z, Ge X, Luo X, Wang P, Fan Q, Hu G, Xiao J, Li F, Wu J (2018b) Simultaneous editing of two copies of Gh14-3-3d confers enhanced transgene-clean plant defense against Verticillium dahliae in allotetraploid upland cotton. Front Plant Sci 9:842
- <span id="page-33-8"></span>Zhang A, Liu Y, Wang F, Li T, Chen Z, Kong D, Bi J, Zhang F, Luo X, Wang J (2019a) Enhanced rice salinity tolerance via CRISPR/Cas9-targeted mutagenesis of the OsRR22 gene. Mol Breed 39:1–10
- <span id="page-33-9"></span>Zhang C, Srivastava AK, Sadanandom A (2019b) Targeted mutagenesis of the SUMO protease, overly tolerant to Salt1 in rice through CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing reveals a major role of this SUMO protease in salt tolerance. BioRxiv, p 555706
- <span id="page-33-12"></span>Zhou H, Liu B, Weeks DP, Spalding MH, Yang B (2014) Large chromosomal deletions and heritable small genetic changes induced by CRISPR/Cas9 in rice. Nucleic Acids Res 42:10903–10914
- <span id="page-33-17"></span>Zhou J, Peng Z, Long J, Sosso D, Liu B, Eom JS, Huang S, Liu S, Vera Cruz C, Frommer WB (2015) Gene targeting by the TAL effector PthXo2 reveals cryptic resistance gene for bacterial blight of rice. Plant J 82:632–643