# Chapter 13 Genome Editing advances in Soybean Improvement against Biotic and Abiotic Stresses



Sabin Aslam, Ahmad Munir, Hafiz Muhammad Usman Aslam, Sultan Habibullah Khan, and Aftab Ahmad

Abstract New breeding technologies have enabled scientists to integrate, delete, or replace genes for developing new crop varieties with great ease as compared to conventional breeding approaches. Using site-specific genome editing tools, we are able to pinpoint exact locus and modify it according to desired trait. Among all the genome editing tools, clustered regularly interspersed short palindromic repeats and its associated Cas genes (CRISPR/Cas system) emerged as an ultimate revolutionary approach for editing genomes of almost all organisms (plants, animals, and humans). CRISPR system is playing a key role in editing the genomes of numerous crops for yield enhancement, augmented nutritional value, imparting disease resistance and addressing food security problems. During the last decade, cropping system has changed entirely due to modification of crop genomes by CRISPR technology for being employed to functional genomic studies, upgrading agronomic traits and combating abiotic and biotic stresses. This chapter focuses on engineering abiotic and biotic stress tolerance through CRISPR technology in soybean. Multiple Cas effectors such as, Cas9, CasX, Cas12, Cas13, and Cas14, are currently being used for precise genome editing of numerous crops. These Cas effectors are being used in genome modification of soybean to add, delete, or replace gene cassettes. Applications of CRISPR technology in soybean will result in the production of

S. Aslam · A. Munir · S. H. Khan

Center of Advanced Studies in Agriculture and Food Security (CASAFS), University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Faisalabad, Pakistan

H. M. U. Aslam Departmentof Plant Pathology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

A. Ahmad (🖂)

Center of Advanced Studies in Agriculture and Food Security (CASAFS), University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Faisalabad, Pakistan

Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan e-mail: aftab.ahmad@uaf.edu.pk

© The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022 S. H. Wani et al. (eds.), *Soybean Improvement*, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-12232-3\_13

241

clean modified plants (without antibiotic resistance gene marker), to enhance yield potential under abiotic and biotic stresses.

Keywords Soybean  $\cdot$  Biotic stress  $\cdot$  Abiotic stress  $\cdot$  CRISPSR/Cas toolbox  $\cdot$  ZFNs  $\cdot$  TALENS

# 13.1 Introduction

Genetics is characterized by identification of genes which are fundamental hereditary units and responsible for variation in organisms. The conventional approach to identify the genes responsible for a particular phenotype is to pinpoint the unique phenotype emerged either by natural selection or by mutation. A modern approach (reverse genetics) is to determine the accountable gene from its genomic sequence causing the gene to mutate specifically for characterizing its phenotype. Genetic mutations can be envisaged in two ways (Fig. 13.1): (a) targeted mutagenesis and (b) targeted gene replacement. Targeted gene replacement relies on homology directed repair to modify an endogenous gene. Targeted mutagenesis allows extensive and subtle genetic change.

Targeting a specific gene within the large genome to make directed changes is a challenging work. Targeted gene replacement in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (baker's yeast) was done several decades ago (Rothstein 1983; Scherer and Davis 1979). Yeast DNA manipulation in the laboratory is easy due to several factors including the ability of yeast cells to take up DNA precisely, homologous recombination between donor and recipient DNA, lack of competitive reactions that divert the donor DNA to alternative genomic sites, and elite genomic selection. All these properties are often observed in numerous fungi and bacteria but not in eukaryotic organisms (Carroll 2011).



Fig. 13.1 Schematic representation of targeted mutagenesis and targeted gene replacement. (a) Exposure to mutagen results in error or mutation in replication. (b) Foreign gene is inserted into DNA, in order to modify genome

Targeted gene replacement in mice has become a routine practice due to the existence of embryonic stem (ES) cells that can be cultured and due to their easy selection (Capecchi 2005). Targeted gene replacement in mice also depends on homologous recombination for targeting the donor DNA and selection for the commonly occurring product. Dual selection (positive for donor and negative for outer homology) results in preferred substitution. The desired substitution is then transferred to the progeny on injection into embryos due to pluripotency of ES cells. Despite being selected for the desired event, the homologous recombination frequency in both mice and yeast remains substantially low. Also, the ES cells are not particularly available for other model organisms. The challenge of precise gene targeting can be accomplished by an increased frequency of homologous recombination. This could be achieved by manipulating linear DNA, which is more efficient than circular DNA. The highest impact on the frequency of homologous recombination was observed by damaging the target DNA and its inherent repair system. A double strand break (DSB) was able to influence the frequency of recombination manifolds. The inspiration to these events came from the yeast meiotic switch and crossing over (Choulika et al. 1995; Plessis et al. 1992; Rouet et al. 1994; Rudin et al. 1989).

DSBs (Double Strand Breaks) are repaired by cells' inherent repair system either by non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) or homology directed repair (HDR) (Fig. 13.2) (Carroll 2011).



Fig. 13.2 Double strand breaks (DSB) are repaired by cells' two inherent means: (a) non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) and (b) homology directed repairing (HDR)

### **13.2** Genome Editing Techniques in Plants

Genome editing with engineered nucleases (GEEN) is a technique to modify the genome by creating site-specific DSBs and subsequent repairing of DSBs to successfully engineer the genetic material of cells. Smaller genomes like of viruses and bacteria use restriction endonucleases for cutting and ligases for rejoining the DNA. Cleavage and rejoining of bigger genomes like eukaryotes are extremely difficult with restriction endonucleases and ligases as they are able to target smaller DNA fragments only. Artificial enzymes were made to modify the complex genomes that are able to bind to the restriction site selectively coupled with proteins to cleave the target site. This targeted approach was accomplished by the construction of chimeric proteins carrying one or two structural units capable of selectively binding and catalyzing the targeted DNA site specifically (Knorre and Vlasov 1985). These chimeric proteins are produced within cell, and the associated DNA fragments are engineered in appropriate vectors for nuclear localization. Genome editing tools are helpful in engineering the genomes precisely. Addition, deletion, or gene replacement is being done with great precision using latest genome editing tools. The genome editing tools that are currently in use for editing genomes at very specific site are described in the following section.

#### 1. ZFNs (Zinc Finger Nucleases).

Zinc finger nucleases (ZFNs) are the class of first-generation engineered nucleases. ZFNs were first used in research after the discovery of zinc finger domain that functions specifically due to Cys2-Hys2 elements (Kim et al. 1996; Gaj et al. 2013; Palpant and Dudzinski 2013; Pabo et al. 2001). A  $\beta\beta\alpha$  configuration is formed by folding up to 30 amino acid residues to develop a Cys2-Hys2 domain (Pabo et al. 2001; Cathomen and Joung 2008; Petolino 2015). The analysis of ZFN crystallographic structure depicted that Cys2-Hys2 protein domain binds to the target DNA by incorporating its  $\alpha$ -helix into the major groove of a double-stranded DNA (Pavletich and Pabo 1991). A zinc finger protein is able to recognize three consecutive nucleotides in DNA within genome of target organism. A DNA binding domain of ZFN can be engineered to bind specific DNA sequence in the genome of target organism. A cleavage domain (normally *FokI* domain of type II restriction nucleases) is able to recognize DNA binding domain and subsequently cleaving that specific region. At target site of nuclease domain, the cleavage domain dimerizes to leave a 5–6 base pair spacer region (Fig. 13.3).

#### (i) DNA Binding Domain.

DNA binding domain of ZFNs is comprised of 3–6 distinct repeats of zinc fingers. Each ZFN repeat is able to recognize a specific sequence of three base pairs thereby recognizing 12–15 base pairs by a combination of 4–5 zinc fingers. ZFNs are engineered to target a particular sequence in the genome. Yeast 1–2 hybrid system, bacterial 1–2 hybrid system, mammalian cells, and phages select individual protein among a pool of ZFNs to bind and cleave specific DNA sequence. A latest approach to specify ZFNs is called oligomerized pool engineering (OPEN) (Maeder



Fig. 13.3 Zinc finger nuclease (ZFN) comprised of DNA cleavage domain (*FokI*) and DNA binding domain separated by 5–7-nucleotide spacer region



et al. 2008). A zinc finger is selected prior to bind three specific DNA nucleotides in OPEN. A second step of selection is made to identify three consecutive zinc fingers to bind nine specific base pairs. OPEN has become a successful alternative to commercially available engineered ZFNs (Ramirez et al. 2008) (Fig. 13.4).

(ii) DNA Cleavage Domain.

The cleavage domain of ZFNs is type IIs restriction endonuclease of FokI (Kim et al. 1996). The FokI domain cleaves the site targeted by DNA binding domain (Bitnaite et al. 1998). A ZFN is formed by combining cleavage domain (FokI) to the

C-terminus of DNA binding domain. ZFNs work in pairs in opposite orientation by attaching to complementary strands of the target DNA and cut the spacer region (5–7 base pair region) identified by DNA binding domain (Cathomen and Joung 2008).

ZFN as a genome engineering tool is used for targeting particular loci in many organisms (Ekker 2008). These molecular scissors work in pairs to manipulate the genome of numerous plant and animal species (Kim and Kim 2011). An important class of zinc finger domains is Cys2-Hys2 domain being the most wildly used DNA binding domain of ZFNs in eukaryotes. Cys2-Hys2 domains are considered as the second most important protein domains in human beings (Gaj et al. 2013). A zinc finger has a conserved  $\beta\beta\alpha$  conformation, comprised of nearly 30 amino acids (Fig. 13.5).

Amino acids present on the surface of the alpha helix specifically bind to three base pairs of the major groove of DNA with high affinity (Gaj et al. 2013). A targeted DNA sequence is recognized by >3 zinc finger arrays forming a site-specific structure that is able to recognize >9–18 base pairs. This controversial technique was used to manipulate human genome for the first time in 1996 (Kim et al. 1996), and it was able to pinpoint a targeted DNA sequence in a complex big genome (Gonzalez et al. 2010). ZFNs can be synthesized in laboratory by identifying high selectivity of DNA binding protein to its target DNA. Artificially synthesized ZFNs are able to cleave any target DNA sequence and may also cause inhibition of replication of viruses, thereby imparting resistance in host organism (Chen et al. 2014). Numerous methods for artificially synthesizing ZFNs have been established; some include the construction of ZFNs with specific DNA modular assembly sequences (Beerli et al. 2002); others are constructed by OPEN (oligomerized pool engineering) (Maeder et al. 2008). Some applications of ZFN are elaborated in Table 13.1.

1. TALENs (Transcription Activator Like Effector Nucleases)

Molecular scissors developed in advancement of ZFNs are called transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs). Like ZFNs, these are formed by



Organism	Target gene	Repair method	Type of mutation	Application	References
Tomato	LIKE4	NHEJ	Targeted mutagenesis	Trait modification and phenotypic diversity	Hilioti et al. (2016)
Human	CCR5	NHEJ	Knockout	Anti-HIV activity for the treatment of AIDS	Tang et al. (2015)
Human	CXCR4	NHEJ	Knockout	Anti-HIV activity for the treatment of AIDS	Tang et al. (2015)
Human	AAVS1	HDR	Insertion	Treatment of thalassemia	Karponi and Zogas (2019)
Maize	ZmIPK1	HDR	Insertion	Maize with reduced phytic acid content, herbicide tolerance	Mishra (2019)
Maize	ZmTLP	HDR	Insertion	Stacking of trait	Shelake et al. (2019)

Table 13.1 Applications of zinc finger nucleases (ZFNs) in eukaryotes



Fig. 13.6 Transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs) comprised of DNA binding domain and FokI DNA cleavage domain separated by 16–19-nucleotide spacer region

combining a TALE-specific DNA binding domain and a cleavage domain. TALENs are restriction enzymes that are able to cleave DNA at a very specific site. TALEs can be engineered to bind to a specific DNA site in the genome. TALENs are synthesized by combining TALE-specific DNA binding domain and a nuclease domain. TALENs are designed to specifically bind and cleave at a very specific site in the genome. These engineered endonucleases are specifically used as cleavage domains in genome editing known as GEEN. Like ZFNs and CRISPR, TALENs are the prominent tools of genome editing (Boch 2011) (Fig. 13.6).

#### (iii) DNA Binding Domain.

The proteins extracted from the bacterium *Xanthomonas* through their type III secretion system are used as TAL effectors. These proteins are secreted by the bacterium of infecting plants (Boch and Bonus 2010) as a conserved array of 33–35 amino acids combine to form DNA binding domain, having divergent 12th/13th amino acids termed as repeat variable di-residue (RVD). RVDs are highly alterable and exhibit a strong correlation with nucleotide recognition at a specific site (Boch

2009, Moscou and Bogdanove 2009, Moscou and Bogdanove 2009). This particular correlation between the sequence of amino acids and DNA recognition site has allowed for the development of numerous DNA binding domains with a selective combination of RVDs. Target specificity can be improved further by incorporating nonconventional RVDs or by using altered combinations (Juillerat et al. 2015).

(iv) DNA Cleavage Domain.

DNA cleavage domain is nonspecific and often comes from the FokI restriction endonuclease. DNA cleavage domain is also used for the construction of hybrid restriction endonucleases that are effective in yeast (Christian et al. 2010; Li et al. 2011), plants (Mahfouz et al. 2011; Cermak et al. 2011), and animal cells (Cermak et al. 2011; Miller et al. 2011; Hockemeyer et al. 2011; Wood et al. 2011).

Initially, wild-type nonspecific FokI domains were used as a cleavage domain. Current studies on TALEN construction (Hockemeyer et al. 2011; Tesson et al. 2011; Huang et al. 2011) utilized mutant FokI cleavage domains to impart specificity (Doyon et al. 2011; Szczepek et al. 2007) and efficient activity (Guo et al. 2010) to cleave at the very specific site identified by DNA binding domain. The FokI cleavage domain acts as a dimer targeting unique DNA binding site with specific spacing and orientation within the target genome. The number of base pairs present between the binding sites of two independent TALENs and the number of amino acids present between DNA binding and cleavage domain are important for acquiring highest specificity and TALEN activity (Miller et al. 2011; Mussolino et al. 2014).

# 13.2.1 Construction of TALEN Engineering Vectors and Transfection

Efficient TALEN protein engineering can be done by optimizing the correlation between specific bondage between TALE DNA binding domain and the corresponding amino acid sequence. TALE binding domain remains less specific in annealing of repetitive DNA sequences during artificial synthesis of TALE domains (Zhang et al. 2011). Software programs like "DNA works" (Hoover 2012) are efficient in designing TALE domains by accurately calculating oligonucleotides used in amplifying whole gene for oligonucleotide assembly in two-step PCR. Numerous schemes of modular assembly for engineering TALEN constructs are reported (Cermak et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2011; Morbitzer et al. 2011; Li et al. 2011; Geissler et al. 2011; Weber et al. 2011). All the systematic approaches for engineering DNA binding domains are also coherent in designing respective domains for ZFN.

TALEN constructs are assembled in plasmids and are transfected to the target cells. The genes are expressed in target cells and enter the nucleus to approach the genome. Alternatively, TALENs can also be delivered as mRNAs to the cells which eliminate the probability of integration of TALE expressing proteins into the genome. An mRNA based delivery also enhances the probability of homology directed repairing and introgression of TALE product for genome editing. Some applications of TALENs are given in Table 13.2.

Organism	Target gene	Repair method	Type of mutation	Application	References
Maize	ZmGL2	NHEJ	Deletion	Leaves with reduced epicuticular wax content	Char et al. (2015)
Sugarcane	COMT	NHEJ	Insertion and deletion	Enhanced quality of cell wall composition	Jung and Altpeter (2016)
Sugarcane	COMT	NHEJ	Co-mutagenesis	Increased efficiency of saccharification	Kannan et al. (2018)
Soya bean	FAD2-1A, FAD2-1B, FAD3A	NHEJ	Knockout	Increased oleic content and reduced linoleic contents	Demorest et al. (2016)
Potato	VInv	NHEJ	Knockout	Low reducing sugar content	Clasen et al. (2016)
Rice	BADH2	NHEJ	Knockout	Aromatic rice	Shan et al. (2015)
Maize	ZmMTL	NHEJ	Frame shift mutation	Haploid induction in plants	Kelliher et al. (2017)
Peanut	FAD2	NHEJ	Target mutagenesis	Increased oleic acid content	Wen et al. (2018)

Table 13.2 Applications of transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs) in plants

**CRISPR/Cas System** Bacteria protect themselves from foreign invaders and viruses by their inherent defense system named as clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats abbreviated as CRISPR and its associated proteins (Cas proteins) (Barrangou 2015). CRISPR/Cas system is comprised of short palindromic repeat sequences originally adapted from invading viral or phage genomes that have been integrated within bacterial genome during prior attack. These specific sequences get integrated during first attack and serve as defense sequences during subsequent attacks (Barrangou 2015). Associated proteins of CRISPR system serve as protecting enzymes to cleave the target DNA recognized by Cas9. Cas proteins recognize and cleave the target DNA sequence. Cas proteins are involved in DNA processing for targeting the viral attack. This remarkable combination of CRISPR sequences and its associated proteins makes the most tremendous technology of genome editing (Zhang et al. 2014) (Fig. 13.7).

CRISPR system is an indigenous defense system of bacteria and archaea to develop acquired immunity against invading phages and viral genomes (Sternberg and Doudna 2015; Barrangou et al. 2007; Marraffini and Sontheimer 2008). An RNA spacer guides Cas proteins to recognize complementary DNA sequences and target foreign DNA. This RNA serves as guide for Cas proteins and is termed as guided RNA (gRNA) in engineered CRISPR systems. This system is declared as an inherent system of bacteria and archaea as it is reported in 50% of bacterial and 90% of archaeal genomes so far (Hille et al. 2018). Minute clusters of some genes are also present in close proximity of CRISPR spacer sequences as CRISPR-associated genes. Based on sequence homology, 93 Cas genes make up 35 CRISPR-associated gene families. From these 35 gene families, 11 Cas gene families are reported as



Fig. 13.7 Clustered regularly interspersed short palindromic repeats (CRISPR system). CRISPR/ Cas9 with crRNA (CRISPR-RNA) and tracrRNA (trans-activating crRNA) binds and cleaves double-stranded DNA upstream of PAM together with protospacer

Core genes (*Cas1, Cas2, Cas3, Cas4, Cas5, Cas6, Cas7, Cas8*, and *Cas9* gene) for editing most genomes of organisms edited. CRISPR system must possess a core gene for accurate recognition and targeting of DNA sequence (Makarova et al. 2015) (Fig. 13.8).

CRISPR systems are distinguished in two categories: Class 1 and Class 2. A combination of Cas proteins are used by Class 1 CRISPR system to target the invading genome. This category is further subdivided into type I, III, and IV CRISPR subclasses. Class 2 uses only one Cas gene to cleave the target sequence and is subdivided into II, V, and VI subtypes (Wright et al. 2016). All the six subtypes are further distinguished by 19 specific and unique proteins (Westra et al. 2016).

CRISPR mechanism starts when a foreign invader attacks on bacteria. Firstly, virus genome is arrested and integrated as spacer sequence into the CRISPR loci that results in cleavage of target site. Since Cas1 and Cas2 genes are involved in spacer sequence hunting, they are found in almost all the classes of CRISPR (Aliyari and Ding 2009; Dugar et al. 2013; Hatoum et al. 2011; Yosef et al. 2012; Swarts et al. 2012; Mussolino et al. 2014). Protospacer sequence is present adjacent to the leader sequence typically bound to its direct repeat sequences. Direct repeat duplication occurs after single-strand extension to repair CRISPR sequence. Sequence acquisition step is normally the same in almost all CRISPR types. Remaining two steps: (a) processing of CRISPR RNA and (b) interference differ in each class of CRISPR system. Type I CRISPR/Cas system utilizes Cas6e/Cas6f to target direct repeat sequence at the junction of single-stranded and double-stranded RNA to form



Fig. 13.8 CRISPR immunity involves three stages: (a) Adaption involves the addition of new spacer sequences into CRISPR loci by foreign DNA attack. (b) Expression stage involves the CRISPR loci transcription and the formation of CRISPR-RNA. (c) Interference stage involves the detection and disintegration of mobile foreign DNA by CRISPR-RNA and Cas protein complex

a hairpin structure. Type II CRISPR system utilizes trans-activating RNA (tracer-RNA) to produce double-stranded RNA cleaved by Cas proteins and RNaseIII. Type III CRISPR system uses a homologous of Cas6 protein without forming a hairpin structure for targeting. In addition, type II and type III CRISPR systems necessitate amendment at 5'/3' position to form operative crRNAs. Cas proteins and crRNAs combine to form interference complexes. The positive correlation between Cas proteins and PAM sites is obligatory for accurate performance of type I and II CRISPR systems resulting in appropriate cleavage of incoming DNA. Type III CRISPR systems do not require connotation of PAM sequence for cleavage due to pairing between crRNA and mRNA (Aliyari and Ding 2009; Dugar et al. 2013; Hatoum et al. 2011; Yosef et al. 2012; Swarts et al. 2012; Mussolino et al. 2014).

Multiple strategies are reported for targeting precise genome editing of eukaryotes. The most practical strategy in laboratory is to introduce the Cas gene, gRNA, and its scaffold in a plasmid to cleave the genome at a very specific site (Swarts et al. 2012). Several companies (Editas and Cellectis) have developed their own strategies to introduce CRISPR system for genome editing and are highly practical in gene therapies (Rinaldo and Ayliffe 2015; Regalado 2015).

Several innovative methods of CRISPR have been reported, viz., hyper-efficient CRISPR/Cas9 system for HDR (inherent homology-directed repair) (Charpentier et al. 2018), bridged CRISPR RNA with integrated nucleic acid for accurate detection of the target DNA (Cromwell et al. 2018), HypCas9 (Chen et al. 2017), Local Accumulation of Double Strand Repair (LOAD) (Sakuma and Yamamoto 2018), and PAM sites bordering XCas9 (Hu et al. 2018). CRISPR system applications in plants are listed in Table 13.3.

# **13.3** Comparison of Different Genome Editing Techniques

Nearly all organisms can be edited by the latest genome editing techniques. By generating DSBs and their subsequent repair through NHEJ repair pathway, DNA sequences can be interrupted, and indels can be introduced at the cleavage point. If two chromosomes are cleaved by the same nuclease and are simultaneously distributed into the same cell, it can cause deletion of intervening fragment. Moreover, if

11			<b>y</b> 1	1	
Organism	Target gene	Repair method	Type of mutation	Application	References
Arabidopsis thaliana	eIf4E	NHEJ	Point mutation	Developed resistance to turnip mosaic virus	Pyott et al. (2016)
Rice	OsERF922	NHEJ	Insertion and deletion	Blast resistance	Wang et al. (2016a, b)
N. benthamiana	BeYDV	NHEJ	Insertion and deletion	Resistance to bean yellow dwarf virus	Baltes et al. (2015)
Maize	ARGOS8	HDR	Insertion	Increased grain production under drought	Shi et al. (2017)
Tomato	SIMAPK3	NHEJ	Knockout	Increased drought tolerance	Wang et al. (2017)
Rice	OsPRX2	NHEJ		Increased potassium deficiency tolerance	Mao et al. (2018)
Potato	ALSI	HDR	Point mutation	Resistance to herbicides	Butler et al. (2016)
Wheat	TaVIT2	HDR	Insertion	Increased iron content	Connorton et al. (2017)
Rice	ALS	HDR	DNA repair	Resistance to herbicides	Butt et al. (2017)
Tomato	SIAGL6	NHEJ	Knockout	Induce parthenocarpy	Klap et al. (2017)
Rice	SBEI	NHEJ	Knockout	Increased amylase content	Zhang et al. (2017a, b)

Table 13.3 Applications of CRISPR/Cas systems in plant manipulation

a DNA repair template goes into the same cell with the nuclease, it results in copying of the repair template by HDR pathway. This mechanism results in interchange of some base pairs and/or addition of an expression cassette.

During last decade, applications of CRISPR systems has revolutionized basic and applied research in agriculture and medicine. Although all the three genome editing tools work on the same principle, therefore, at present, there is no comparison of the best performing tool when we compare ultrahigh featured ZFNs, TALENs, or CRISPR. The suitable platform to their use depends on the availability of resources and applicability criteria like target tissues and the delivery process. Nowadays, CRISPR and TALENs are being applied for all those organisms for which ZFNs were established nearly 15 years ago. All this involves the knock-in/ knock-out or gene modification in numerous organisms including cows, *C. elegans*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, human stem cells and cell lines, mice, monkeys, pigs, plants, rats, and zebrafish. Genetic alterations have been used for miscellaneous application like the development of insect–/pest-resistant crops, examining genomic functions, developing genetically modified animals, biopharmaceuticals' production by engineering cell lines/tissues, gene therapy, and combating numerous genetic disorders.

By far, ZFNs, TALENs, and CRISPR system have been used as nucleases for genome editing. An equally important application of these tools is gene expression regulation and epigenome modification. Since ZFNs and TALENs are fused with FokI domain to perform nuclease activity, they are fused with activator/repressor and any enzymatic domain to enhance gene expression modulation and directing histone modification and DNA methylation. Likewise, CRISPR system can be altered to moderate its nuclease activity by 2-point mutations. The gRNA and the protein scaffold are fused with gene regulating domain to alter the target gene. Therefore, all the three tools provide a comprehensive toolbox for redesigning almost any type of organism in scientific research and medical therapies (Fig. 13.2).

Today's era of genome editing with ZFNs, TALENs, and CRISPR system has provided researchers and scientists to explore enormous amount of hidden information stored in organism's genome by nature. These tools catalyze basic research and help identify the genetics of numerous diseases as cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and neurological disorders. These tools have fostered the agricultural and pharmacological improvements and have addressed the technical and scientific challenges that remain a hindrance in the advancement of gene therapy from decades. This will bring a genomic revolution toward the advancement of plants, animals, and the human world.

#### 13.4 CRISPR/Cas: A Splendid Gift from Nature

There is no sign of decelerating of CRISPR uprising. This remarkable immune system of prokaryotes seems to be developed for genome engineering by nature with remarkable flexibility and ease of scaling up and multiplexing. Prokaryotes have utilized CRISPR system as their adaptive defense system against viral attack, and it is emerging as a powerful genome editing tool eclipsing other gene editing tools like ZFNs and TALENs. CRISPR was originally discovered in 1987 in the genome of E.coli, but it was recognized as inherent immune system against viral attack was revealed in 2007. Researchers and scientists put forward that CRISPR being the defense system makes use of CRISPR-associated genes (Cas genes) that help in storing the information of attacking phages and prepare the bacteria to fight for the next attack (Makarova et al. 2015). In 2012, CRISPR was identified as programmable tool for targeted genome editing in eukaryotes (Jinek et al. 2012). In 2013, mammalian cell cultures were developed on the basis of CRISPR system (Cong et al. 2013; Mali et al. 2013). In 2018, CRISPR-based publications were enlisted by PubMed which were detailed on improving CRISPR specificity, multiplexing, and orthogonality in numerous organisms with the extension of developing new functions. It was remarkable to see the CRISPR progress in just 5 years with the steeply risen appreciation involving immuno-pluripotent stem cells and RNA interference. Customized gRNAs were developed for numerous transcriptional, translational, and therapeutic purposes. CRISPR uprising remained fruitful, and the invention of CRISPR system was awarded with noble prize in 2020. Professor Jennifer A. Doudna and professor Emmanuelle Charpentier were awarded with Nobel Prize in Chemistry for their breakthrough in flourishing CRISPR system as the most robust and powerful genome editing tool.

CRISPR reagents could be delivered in the form of plasmids, in-vitro transcribed mRNA or RNPs for genome editing. In addition, different delivery methods such as viral, non-viral and physical methods are available for efficient delivery of genome editing reagents. Different delivery tools used for CRISPR reagents are elaborated in Table 13.4.

# 13.5 Emerging CRISPR/Cas Systems

#### (a) CRISPR/Cas9

CRISPR/Cas9 is a class of type II CRISPR system mainly composed of II-A, II-B, and II-C subtypes. CRISPR/Cas9 is the first characterized system that utilizes single protein as Cas effector. Cas9 makes blunt ends of both the DNA strands that are self-repaired by NHEJ or HDR in the presence of a DNA template for site-specific editing. Highest specificity for genome editing among CRISPR/Cas9 is of type II-A. Off-target effects at varied genome locations render it a bit disadvanta-geous to use than other CRISPR systems. However, this CRISPR system has been customized for reducing the off-target effects. Among all the type II CRISPR systems, type II-C has natural extraordinary fidelity. CRISPR/Cas9 originated from *Streptococcus thermophilus*, *S. pyogenes*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Campylobacter jejuni*, and *Neisseria meningitidis*. The size of Cas9 protein is nearly 1000 to 1600 aa. Guided RNA spacer length ranges from 18 to 24 nucleotides. Total gRNA length is nearly 100 nucleotides. Protospacer Adjacent Motif (PAM) specificity varies in

Table 13.1 Comp		NT NT TETNES INT STOP	aguilo			
Delivery technique	Framework	Most common cargo	Capacity	Advantages	Disadvantages	References
Viral vector deliv	ery methods			-		
Adeno-associated virus (AAV)	Non-enveloped, ssDNA	DNA plasmid vector	4.5-5 kb genomic material	<ol> <li>Does not cause disease in humans</li> <li>Can be used in vitro, in vivo, ex vivo</li> </ol>	1. Impossible to add elements like reporter or fluorescent tags because of limited packaging size	Kang et al. (2020) and Long et al. (2016)
				3. High infection efficiency rate	2. No surety of successful delivery	
Lemivirus	Enveloped, RNA (HIV)	DNA plasmid vector	Up to 18 kb genomic material	<ol> <li>Can be pseudo typed with other viral protein which makes it broad or narrow as desired</li> <li>High infection efficiency rate even in non-dividing cells</li> <li>Long-term gene</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Causes potential damage to the cell</li> <li>Evokes strong cell immune response</li> </ol>	Holmgaard et al. (2017) and Roehm et al. (2016)
Physical delivery	methods			expression		
Micro-injection	0.5-5µm diameter needle	DNA plasmid vector, CRISR Cas9 system, protein (RNP)	nM levels of Cas9 and sgRNA	<ol> <li>High reproducibility and specificity</li> <li>Most direct method to edit genes</li> <li>Suitable for all strategies of CRISPR Cas9</li> <li>Can be used in vitro, ex vivo</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Can induce cell damage</li> <li>Requires high level of expertise</li> <li>Low throughput</li> <li>Time-consuming</li> </ol>	Holmgaard et al. (2017) and Raveux et al. (2017)
				_		(continued)

 Table 13.4
 Comparison of different delivery tools for CRISPR reagents

Table 13.4 (contin	lued)					
Delivery technique	Framework	Most common cargo	Capacity	Advantages	Disadvantages	References
Electroporation	Electric current	Plasmid-based CRISR Cas9 system, protein (RNP)	nM levels of Cas9 and sgRNA	<ol> <li>Suitable for every type of cell</li> <li>High transfection efficiency rate</li> <li>Most suitable in vitro Suitable for all strategies of CRISPR/Cas9</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>In vitro only</li> <li>Nonspecific transfection</li> <li>Induce cell death</li> </ol>	Chen et al. (2016) and Kaushik et al. (2019)
Hydrodynamic injection	High pressure injection	siRNA, plasmid based CRISR Cas9 system	nM levels of siRNA, Cas9 and sgRNA	<ol> <li>Can be used in vitro</li> <li>Suitable for all strategies of CRISPR/ Cas9</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Not suitable for large animals</li> <li>May induce potential physiological damage</li> </ol>	Guan et al. (2016) and Lalgudi et al. (2018)
Non-viral vector	delivery methods					
iTOP	NaCl mediated hyperosmolality + transduction by propanebetain	Protein (RNP), CRISPR Cas9 system	nM levels of Cas9 and sgRNA	1. Effective for the delivery of RNP and CRISPR/Cas9 system into cells	<ol> <li>Lower gene editing efficiency in primary cells</li> <li>Not suitable for in vivo applications</li> </ol>	D'astolfo et al. (2015) and Song (2017)
Lipid nanoparticles	Synthetic lipids or polymers	Protein (RNP), CRISPR/Cas9 system	nM levels of Cas9 and sgRNA	<ol> <li>More effective for the delivery of RNP than CRISPR Cas9</li> <li>Safe and easy to prepare</li> <li>Can be used in vitro, in vivo, and ex vivo</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Endosomal degradation by lysosome</li> <li>Low delivery efficiency rate</li> <li>Specific cell tropism</li> </ol>	Li et al. (2018a, b) and Yin et al. (2016)
Gold nanoparticles	Cationic arginine coated AuNP Hyperosmolality+ transduction compound	Protein (RNP), CRISPR Cas9 system	nM levels of Cas9 and sgRNA	<ol> <li>Can be used in vitro, in vivo, and ex vivo</li> <li>High delivery efficiency rate</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Causes potential toxicity in vivo at high concentration</li> <li>May stimulate immune cytokine production</li> </ol>	Lee et al. (2017) and Mout et al. (2017)
Cell penetrating peptide (CPP)	Short amino acid sequences	Protein (RNP), CRISPR Cas9 system	nM levels of Cas9 and sgRNA	<ol> <li>Can be used in vitro and ex vivo</li> <li>Small and safe to use</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Penetrating efficiency is variable</li> <li>Cannot be used in vivo</li> <li>Chemical conjugation is required</li> </ol>	Axford et al. (2017) and Suresh et al. (2017)

different CRISPR/Cas9 systems such as 3' NGG (for spCas9), 3' NNNNGATT (for NmCas-9), and 3' NNGRRT (for saCas-9) (Fig. 13.9).

#### (b) Cas-12

CRISPR/Cas12 is comprised of type V-A (cpf-I) and type V-B (c2c-I) CRISPR system. It was first originated in *Acidaminococcus* species, *Francisella novicida*, *Lachnospiraceae* species, and *Prevotella* species. The size is nearly 1100 to 1300 aa, and guided RNA spacer length ranges from 18 to 25 nucleotides. Total gRNA length should remain between 42 and 44 nucleotides. The PAM site is 5' TTTN for FnCas12a. CRISPR/Cas12 is an efficient system that makes 5' overhangs at double-strand break end. CRISPR/Cas12 selects its own single or multiple gRNAs. Cas12 has the specialty to target epigenomes, and it can cleave ssDNA (single-stranded DNA) provided that it is activated by a target sequence that is coherent with the spacer DNA sequence. This feature of identifying small DNA fragments from a mixture of varied-sized DNAs makes Cas12 the most powerful tool (Fig. 13.10).

#### (c) Cas13

CRISPR/Cas13 is a type IV CRISPR/Cas system and is divided into VI-A, VI-B, VI-C, and VI-D (CasRx and C2c2) subtypes. It mainly originated from *Bergeyella zoohelcum*, *Leptotrichia buccalis*, *L. shahii*, *Listeria seeligeri*, *Prevotella buccae*, and *Ruminococcus flavefaciens*. The size ranges from 900 to 1300 aa, and the gRNA spacer length ranges from 22 to 30 nucleotides. The total gRNA length ranges from 52 to 66 nucleotides. The PAM sequence specificity is 3'H(LshCas13a), none (RfCas13d), 3'NNA/NAN(BzCas13b). Cas13 cleaves ssRNA (single-strand RNA) rather than DNA. When a ssRNA having complementary sequence to its crRNA activates Cas13 and it can target RNA non-specifically, leading to cleavage of all the nearby RNAs irrespective of their size and sequence. This property of Cas13 has been utilized in precision diagnostics, RNA knockdown, or multiplexing RNAs in



Fig. 13.9 Schematic diagram of CRISPR-Cas9. CRISPR/Cas9 with crRNA and tracRNA binds and cleaves double-stranded DNA upstream of PAM together with protospacer



Fig. 13.10 Schematic diagram of CRISPR-Cas12a and 12b. CRISPR-Cas12 binds and cleaves double-stranded DNA upstream of PAM together with protospacer. CRISPR-Cas12a only requires crRNA. CRISPR-Cas12b requires both crRNA and tracrRNA for its activity

mammalian cell lines. Cas13 is known for its substantial activity in gene expression analysis without causing permanent changes in the genomic sequence (Fig. 13.11).

#### (d) CasX

A new CRISPR system was identified and elaborated in *Nature* in 2019 that is able to edit human genome. This CRISPR system has high functionality as compared to other CRISPR systems in having smallest size among all CRISPR systems, has minimum trans-cleavage activity, and has very high gRNA content. An earlier metagenome analysis of samples from groundwater recognized the CasX protein which is able to interrupt the transformation of bacteria when expressed with an RNA complimentary to the construct through an unknown mechanism. This mechanism led to the endonuclease activity of CasX as its apparent similarity to other Cas proteins. CasX generates a staggered ds-DNA break with a 20-nucleotide piece of DNA exactly complimentary to its gRNA (Liu et al. 2019) (Fig. 13.12).



**Fig. 13.11** Schematic diagram of CRISPR-Cas13a and 13b. CRISPR-Cas13a and 13b together with crRNA binds and cleaves single-stranded RNA. Complementarity between crRNA and protospacer flanking sequence (PFS) together with protospacer results in the cleavage of RNA. Cas13a carries direct repeat at 5' end. Cas13b carries direct repeat at 3' end

#### (e) Cas-14

CRISPR/Cas14 is also a new genome editing system that has an advantage of being minor and plainer than other CRISPR systems. It is being used in a broader term of diagnostics (infectious/non-infectious). Professor Jennifer Doudna and her team investigated other forms of CRISPR system by generating a database of metagenomes and microbial genomes. Cas14 was then identified as one of the smaller proteins weighing 40-70 KDa. They are almost half the size of the rest of the Cas proteins, and their amino acid number ranges from 400 to 700. Cas14 has 24 variants which are grouped into 3 categories: Cas14a, Cas14b, and Cas-14c. Regardless of the diverse sequence of Cas14 proteins, they all have a conserved RuvC cleavage domain. The clear difference of Cas14 among others is that it is only present in archaea and not in any bacteria, which depicts its more primitive nature than all other Cas proteins. Being smaller, it is known as a stand-alone CRISPR system. Cas14 is able to detect dsDNA, ssDNA, and RNA, which increases its fidelity to SNPs (single nucleotide polymorphism). Cas14 has its application in microbial infection diagnostics and cancer therapeutics (Aquino-Jarquin 2019; Harrington et al. 2018; NIH 2017) (Fig. 13.13).



Fig. 13.12 Schematic diagram of CRISPR-CasX. RNA-dependent plasmid with two natural RNAs including crRNA and tracrRNA binds and cleaves double-stranded DNA



Fig. 13.13 Schematic diagram of CRISPR-Cas14. CRISPR-Cas14 together with crRNA and tracrRNA binds and cleaves single-stranded DNA without PAM recognition

# 13.6 CRISPR/Cas Tools for Engineering Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Soybean

There is a dire need to increase agricultural productivity and to reduce the stresses that affect its production to feed the world's ever-increasing population. To cope up with food security problems, innovative breeding methods must be applied that can boost agricultural production. Genome sequencing coupled with genome editing technologies has opened new horizons for biologists to edit the genome of almost any crop according to the world's need. First-generation genome editing tools, i.e., ZFN, meganucleases, TALENs, and site-specific nucleases, can edit almost any gene in plants. These tools were profligate, tedious, and troublesome. The emergence of second-generation genome editing tools, i.e., CRISPR, offers the most precise and highly efficient tools in targeting the genomes of almost all the crops. CRISPR-based genome editing has transformed agriculture by acting as an efficient tool for regulation of gene expression, imparting viral resistance in crops, mutant libraries generation, and crop improvement by integrating new traits (Singh et al. 2020) (Fig. 13.14).



Fig. 13.14 Schematic diagram of abiotic stress tolerance. Plant response to abiotic stress which includes signaling pathways is important for the survival of the plant

The genome of soybean was successfully edited endogenously by CRISPR system for *Gm-FE-12* and *Gm-SHR* genes using 6 gRNAs and exogenously for *bar* genes using single gRNA (Cai et al. 2015). Similarly, chromosome 4 of soybean was targeted at two genomic loci, i.e., DD-20 and DD-43, providing small indels (Li et al. 2015). Moreover, the ubiquitin promoter of *Glycine max* (Gm-U6-16-1) can be used to edit numerous homeotic genes efficiently (Du et al. 2016). The soybean nodulation restriction gene (*Rj-4*) was edited by CRISPR system to inhibit nodulation (Tang et al. 2016). The virulence gene locus of soybean was identified by mutating *Phytophthora sojae* virulence gene (*Avr4/6*) by CRISPR (Fang and Taylor, 2016). Delayed flowering in short-day and long-day situations was induced by mutating *Gm-FT-2* gene (Soybean flowering time-2 gene) by using CRISPR system (Cai et al. 2018). Summary of the crops edited with CRISPR/Cas system for abiotic stress tolerance is elaborated in Table 13.5.

# 13.7 Applications of CRISPR for Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Soybean

Soybean is a crop of high economic value being rich in oil and protein. With the ever-increasing demand of high-quality oil and increased contents of proteins, it is significant to investigate gene functions and to accelerate breeding procedures for enhancing crop improvement and better yield. Recently, CRISPR technology

			Gene			
	Targeted	Abiotic	editing	Repair	Abiotic stress	
Crop	gene	stress	method	mechanism	tolerance	References
Rice	OsNAC041	Salinity	Knockout	NHEJ	Salinity	Bo et al.
					tolerance	(2019)
Rice	OsOTS1	Salinity	Knock-out	NHEJ	Salinity	Zhang et al.
					tolerance	(2019a, b)
Rice	OsRR22	Salinity	Knockout	NHEJ	Salinity	Zhang et al.
					tolerance	(2019a, b)
Rice	OsNAC14	Drought	Knock-in	HDR	Drought	Shim et al.
					tolerance	(2018)
Rice	SAPK1	Salinity	Knockout	NHEJ	Salinity	Lou et al.
					tolerance	(2018)
Rice	OsAnn3	Cold	Knockout	NHEJ	Cold	Shen et al.
					tolerance	(2017)
Rice	OsSAPK2	Drought	Knockout	NHEJ	Drought and	Lou et al.
		and salinity			salinity	(2017)
					tolerance	
Rice	OsMPK2	Multiple	Knockout	HDR	Multiple	Liu et al.
	OSPDS OSPDDH2	stress			stress	(2020)
	CINDD1	Duralit	IZ a sala sast	NUE	Dresselt	T 1 - 4 - 1
Tomato	SINPKI	Drought	Knockout	NHEJ	Drought	(2010a b)
Caribaan	D-: D2 -	Draught	Vacalsout	NUE	Dreught and	(2019a, 0)
Soybean	DrB2a DrP2b	Drought and colimity	Knockout	NHEJ	Drought and	(2018)
	DID20	and samily			tolerance	(2018)
Tomato	SICRE1	Cold	Knockout	NHEI	Cold	Lietal
Tomato	SICDII	Colu	Rhoekout	TTTL5	tolerance	(2018a, b)
Zea	ZmHKT1	Salinity	Knockout	NHEI	Salinity	Zhang et al
mays	Zmini	Summey	moekout	111120	tolerance	(2018a, b)
Wheat	TaDREB2	Drought	Knockout	NHEJ	Drought	Kim et al.
	TaDREB3				tolerance	(2018)
Zea	ARGOS8	Drought	Knockout	HDR	Drought	Shi et al.
mays					tolerance	(2017)
Tomato	SIMAPK3	Drought	Knockout	NHEJ	Drought	Wang et al.
					tolerance	(2017)
		1			1	

Table 13.5 Summary of abiotic stress resistance crops developed through CRISPR-Cas9

provides a precise tool for targeted genome editing in crops with a broad range of applications (Fig. 13.15), reverse genetics gene knock-out/knock-in, editing of multiple genes, gene deletion, and gene replacement (Gratz et al. 2013, 2014; Feng et al. 2013, 2014; Mao et al. 2013; Zhou et al. 2014). Several crop species have been edited by CRISPR involving *Arabidopsis*, barley, cotton, maize, rice, wheat, and tobacco (Li et al. 2013; Kapusi et al. 2017; Gao et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2018; Shan et al. 2013; Upadhyay et al. 2013; Gao et al. 2015). CRISPR/Cas system was used to modify nine endogenous genes of soybean by knocking out GFP (Green Fluorescent Protein) (Jacob et al. 2015).



**Fig. 13.15** CRISPR/Cas-based genome editing in plants to improve abiotic stress tolerance: CRISPR-Cas9-based genome editing for the generation of ost2 mutant allele by using tissuespecific AtEF1 promotor for the expression of Cas9. The mutant *Arabidopsis* ost2-crispr-1 showed enhanced stomatal response to abiotic stress (drought)

Soybean has been extensively edited by CRISPR (Cai et al. 2015, 2018; Du et al. 2016; Jacob et al. 2015; Li et al. 2015, 2019a, b; Michno et al. 2015; Sun et al. 2015; Tang et al. 2016). CRISPR system is an important genome editing tool in soybean which has hastened the breeding progressions and refining the quality of soybean. CRISPR/Cas system works on the principle of transformation, and soybean has very low transformation efficiency with the limitation of dependence on receptor genotypes (Du et al. 2016). There are only few varieties of soybean that are suitable for transformation (Guo et al. 2015; Donaldson and Simmonds 2000). On the other hand, soybean is a short-day plant with high sensitivity to photoperiod that further restricts its geographical cultivation, amending its breeding productivity and development of tremendous varieties (Wang et al. 2016a, b; Xu et al. 2013). Almost all soybean varieties need to be integrated photoperiod insensitivity as they are inherent to varied photoperiod and latitudes. This adaptability in soybean is controlled by some QTLs and numerous major genes (Watanabe et al. 2012). Currently, eleven genes (E-1, E-2, E-3, E-4, E-5, E-6, E-7, E-8, E-9, E-10, and J) relating to soybean growth period are the potential targets for improvement through CRISPR system (Bernard 1971; Bonato and Antonio 1999; Buzzell and Voldeng 1980; Buzzell 1980; Cober et al. 2010; Kong et al. 2014; Lu et al. 2017; Mcblain et al. 1987; Mcblain and Bernard 1987; Ray et al. 1995; Yue et al. 2017). CRISPR/Cas9 system have been used to eradicate detrimental DNA sequence by targeting the specific gene. Apart from that, crops can be made clean or transgene free by eliminating Cas9 or selectable markers during selfing/progeny separation resulting in clean gene crops (Chen et al. 2018; Cai et al. 2018). Off-target activity can also be reduced by carefully selecting the target sequence (Xie et al. 2014; Xu et al. 2015). A significant foundation in soybean for editing its genome by CRISPR was laid in sitedirected mutagenesis (Jacob et al. 2015). Soybean quality was improved by introducing a clean and transgene free soybean variety with high content of oleic acid (Huan et al. 2014). Transgene free soybean has the ability of delayed flowering which was developed by using CRISPR/Cas system for knocking out *Gm-FT2a* gene (Cai et al. 2018). Summary of the crops developed through CRISPR/Cas system for abiotic stress tolerance is explained in Table 13.5.

			Gene		D	
Crop	Targeted gene	Biotic stress	method	mechanism	tolerance	References
Rice	eIF4G	Rice tungro spherical virus (RTSV)	Knockout	NHEJ	Increased resistance against (RTSV)	Macovei et al. (2018)
Grape	VuWRKY52	Botrytis cinerea (fungus)	Knockout	NHEJ	Resistance against Botrytis cinerea fungus	Wang et al. (2018)
Cotton	Gh14-3-3d	Verticillium dahliae (fungus)	Knock-in	NHEJ	Resistance against verticillium wilt	Zhang et al. (2018a, b)
Rice	OsSWEET13	X. oryzae pv. Oryzae	Knockout	NHEJ	Resistance against bacterial blight of rice	Zhou et al. (2015)
Rice	OsERF92	Magnaporthe oryzae (fungus)	Knockout	NHEJ	Resistance against rice blast fungus	Wang et al. (2016a, b)
Sweet oranges	CsLOB1	Xanthomonas citri subsp. citri	Knockout	NHEJ	Resistance against citrus bacterial canker	Tripathi et al. (2020)
Pink grapefruit	CsLOB1	Xanthomonas citri subsp. citri	Knockout	NHEJ	Resistance against citrus bacterial canker	Peng et al. (2017)
Wheat	EDR1	Erysiphe cichoracearum	Knockout	NHEJ	Resistance against powdery mildews	Zhang et al. (2017a, b)
Tomato	<i>CP</i> and <i>rep</i> sequences	Tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV)	Knockout	NHEJ	Resistance against TYLCV	Tashkandi et al. (2018)

Table 13.6 Summary of biotic stress resistance crops developed through CRISPR-Cas9

# 13.8 Engineering Biotic Stress Tolerance in Soybean Through CRISPR

Numerous disease-resistant crops have been developed by utilizing CRISPR/Cas technology. In 2016, the resistance to rice blast disease was developed in *Oryza sativa* by targeting *OzERF-922* gene (Wang et al. 2016a, b). Mutant lines of rice were selected on segregation at T1 and T2 generation. Resultant lines were observed as developing lesser diseased lesions due to pathogen attack (Wang et al. 2016a, b). *Triticum aestivum* (hexaploid bread wheat) was targeted at mildew resistance locus homeoalleles (*MLO*) utilizing site-specific endonucleases (Wang et al. 2014). *Xanthomonas citri* subspecies Xcc *Citrus sinensis* was targeted for citrus canker disease (Peng et al. 2017). Disease resistance enhancement by CRISPR/Cas system was observed by modifying lateral organ boundary promoter (CsLOB-1). Entire sequence deletion from both alleles of CsLOB-1 (EBE<sub>pthA-4</sub>) convened maximum resistance to Wanjincheng oranges. Stacked multigene as single transgene of CRISPR system led to targeted disintegration of numerous viral infections (Iqbal et al. 2016). A summary of different crops engineered with CRISPR/Cas for biotic stress tolerance have been presented in Table 13.6.

### 13.8.1 Future Prospects

CRISPR/Cas technology is progressing at an exceptional rate. Gene silencing and gene knockouts done so far through NHEJ couldn't prevail for long as being not much precise. HDR-based gene replacement/gene knock-in showed promising results in numerous plants and mammalian cell lines. Homology directed repairing was a competitive task especially in plants due to ineffective distribution of the donor sequence into transformed plant cells (Puchta and Fauser 2014; Steinert et al. 2016). Numerous success reports for HDR based applications of CRISPR are available (Collonnier et al. 2017; Gil-Humanes et al. 2017). Apart from gene editing, CRISPR technology is used in studying molecular biology, cell biology, and functional genomics, study of gene modules, loss/gain of individual gene functional genomic analysis, and regulation of gene expression. Some key applications of CRISPR system are as follows, of which some are yet to be applied in plants:

- (a) Site-specific gene integration
  - (i) Gene knock in by non-homologous end joining (NHEJ)
  - (ii) Knock in by homologous recombination (HR)
  - (iii) Fusion of GFP with native genes
  - (iv) Cas9 gene splitting

- (b) Clean gene technology
  - (i) Editing of RNP
  - (ii) Editing of viral encoding genes
  - (iii) Selfing and crossing
- (c) Imparting resistance against virus
  - (i) Viral genome disintegration
  - (ii) Viral genome cleaning
  - (iii) Removal of RNPs
- (d) Regulation of gene expression
  - (i) Regulation of transcription
  - (ii) Regulation of translation
- (e) Manipulation of structure, function, and number of chromosomes
  - (i) Addition
  - (ii) Deletion
  - (iii) Translocation
- (f) Screening of functional genomes
  - (i) Repressor
  - (ii) Activator
  - (iii) Enhancer

# References

- Aliyari R, Ding SW (2009) RNA-based viral immunity initiated by the Dicer family of host immune receptors. Immunol Rev 227:176–188
- Aquino-Jarquin G (2019) CRISPR-Cas14 is now part of the artillery for gene editing and molecular diagnostic. Nanomedicine 18:428–431
- Axford DS, Morris DP, Mcmurry JL (2017) Cell penetrating peptide-mediated nuclear delivery of Cas9 to enhance the utility of CRISPR/Cas genome editing. FASEB J 31:909.4–909.4
- Baltes NJ, Hummel AW, Konecna E, Cegan R, Bruns AN, Bisaro DM, Voytas DF (2015) Conferring resistance to geminiviruses with the CRISPR–Cas prokaryotic immune system. Nat Plant 1:1–4
- Barrangou R (2015) The roles of CRISPR-Cas systems in adaptive immunity and beyond. Curr Opin Immunol 32:36-41
- Barrangou R, Fremaux C, Deveau H, Richards M, Boyaval P, Moineau S, Romero DA, Horvath P (2007) CRISPR provides acquired resistance against viruses in prokaryotes. Science 315:1709–1712
- Beerli RR, Barbas CF (2002) Engineering polydactyl zinc-finger transcription factors. Nat Biotechnol 20:135–141
- Bernard R (1971) Two major genes for time of flowering and maturity in soybeans 1. Crop Sci 11:242–244
- Bitinaite J, Wah DA, Aggarwal AK, Schildkraut I (1998) FokI dimerization is required for DNA cleavage. Proc Natl Acad Sci 95:10570–10575

- Bo W, Zhaohui Z, Huanhuan Z, Xia W, Binglin L, Lijia Y, Xiangyan H, Deshui Y, Xuelian Z, Chunguo W (2019) Targeted mutagenesis of NAC transcription factor gene, OsNAC041, leading to salt sensitivity in rice. Ric Sci 26:98–108
- Boch J (2011) TALEs of genome targeting. Nat Biotechnol 29:135-136
- Boch J, Bonas U (2010) Xanthomonas AvrBs3 family-type III effectors: discovery and function. Annu Rev Phytopathol 48:419–436
- Boch J, Scholze H, Schornack S, Landgraf A, Hahn S, Kay S, Lahaye T, Nickstadt A, Bonas U (2009) Breaking the code of DNA binding specificity of TAL-type III effectors. Science 326:1509–1512
- Bonato ER, Vello NA (1999) E6, a dominant gene conditioning early flowering and maturity in soybeans. Genet Mol Biol 22:229–232
- Butler NM, Baltes NJ, Voytas DF, Douches DS (2016) Geminivirus-mediated genome editing in potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) using sequence-specific nucleases. Front Plant Sci 7:1045
- Butt H, Eid A, Ali Z, Atia MA, Mokhtar MM, Hassan N, Lee CM, Bao G, Mahfouz MM (2017) Efficient CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing using a chimeric single-guide RNA molecule. Front Plant Sci 8:1441
- Buzzell M (1980) Adapting chronological stabilization to property risks. Risk Manage (NY) 27:32-34
- Buzzell R, Voldeng H (1980) Inheritance of insensitivity to long day length. Soybean Genetics Newsletter 7:13
- Cai Y, Chen L, Liu X, Sun S, Wu C, Jiang B, Han T, Hou W (2015) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing in soybean hairy roots. PLoS One 10:e0136064
- Cai Y, Chen L, Liu X et al (2018) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated targeted mutagenesis of GmFT2a delays flowering time in soya bean. *Plant Biotechnol J* 16(1):176–185
- Capecchi MR (2005) Gene targeting in mice: functional analysis of the mammalian genome for the twenty-first century. Nat Rev Genet 6:507–512
- Carroll D (2011) Genome engineering with zinc-finger nucleases. Genetics 188:773-782
- Cathomen T, Joung JK (2008) Zinc-finger nucleases: the next generation emerges. Mol Ther 16:1200–1207
- Cermak T, Doyle EL, Christian M, Wang L, Zhang Y, Schmidt C, Baller JA, Somia NV, Bogdanove AJ, Voytas DF (2011) Efficient design and assembly of custom TALEN and other TAL effectorbased constructs for DNA targeting. Nucleic Acids Res 39:e82–e82
- Char SN, Unger-Wallace E, Frame B, Briggs SA, Main M, Spalding MH, Vollbrecht E, Wang K, Yang B (2015) Heritable site-specific mutagenesis using TALEN s in maize. Plant Biotechnol J 13:1002–1010
- Charpentier M, Khedher A, Menoret S, Brion A, Lamribet K, Dardillac E, Boix C, Perrouault L, Tesson L, Geny S (2018) CtIP fusion to Cas9 enhances transgene integration by homologydependent repair. Nat Commun 9:1–11
- Chen W, Qian Y, Wu X, Sun Y, Wu X, Cheng X (2014) Inhibiting replication of begomoviruses using artificial zinc finger nucleases that target viral-conserved nucleotide motif. Virus Genes 48:494–501
- Chen S, Lee B, Lee AY-F, Modzelewski AJ, He, L. (2016) Highly efficient mouse genome editing by CRISPR ribonucleoprotein electroporation of zygotes. J Biol Chem 291:14457–14467
- Chen JS, Dagdas YS, Kleinstiver BP, Welch MM, Sousa AA, Harrington LB, Sternberg SH, Joung JK, Yildiz A, Doudna JA (2017) Enhanced proofreading governs CRISPR–Cas9 targeting accuracy. Nature 550:407–410
- Chen R, Xu Q, Liu Y, Zhang J, Ren D, Wang G, Liu Y (2018) Generation of transgene-free maize male sterile lines using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Front Plant Sci 9:1180
- Choulika A, Perrin A, Dujon B, Nicolas J-F (1995) Induction of homologous recombination in mammalian chromosomes by using the I-SceI system of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol 15:1968–1973
- Christian M, Cermak T, Doyle EL, Schmidt C, Zhang F, Hummel A, Bogdanove AJ, Voytas DF (2010) Targeting DNA double-strand breaks with TAL effector nucleases. Genetics 186:757–761

- Clasen BM, Stoddard TJ, Luo S, Demorest ZL, Li J, Cedrone F, Tibebu R, Davison S, Ray EE, Daulhac A (2016) Improving cold storage and processing traits in potato through targeted gene knockout. Plant Biotechnol J 14:169–176
- Cober ER, Molnar SJ, Charette M, Voldeng HD (2010) A new locus for early maturity in soybean. Crop Sci 50:524–527
- Collonnier C, Guyon-Debast A, Maclot F, Mara K, Charlot F and Nogué F (2017). Towards mastering CRISPR-induced gene knock-in in plants: Survey of key features and focus on the model Physcomitrella patens. Methods, 121:103–117
- Cong L, Ran FA, Cox D, Lin S, Barretto R, Habib N, Hsu PD, Wu X, Jiang W, Marraffini LA (2013) Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. Science 339:819–823
- Connorton JM, Jones ER, Rodríguez-Ramiro I, Fairweather-Tait S, Uauy C, Balk J (2017) Wheat vacuolar iron transporter TaVIT2 transports Fe and Mn and is effective for biofortification. Plant Physiol 174:2434–2444
- Cromwell CR, Sung K, Park J, Krysler AR, Jovel J, Kim SK, Hubbard BP (2018) Incorporation of bridged nucleic acids into CRISPR RNAs improves Cas9 endonuclease specificity. Nat Commun 9:1–11
- Curtin SJ, Xiong Y, Michno JM, Campbell BW, Stec AO, Čermák T, Starker C, Voytas DF, Eamens AL, Stupar RM (2018) CRISPR/Cas9 and TALENs generate heritable mutations for genes involved in small rna processing of Glycine max and Medicago truncatula. Plant Biotechnol J 16:1125–1137
- D'astolfo DS, Pagliero RJ, Pras A, Karthaus WR, Clevers H, Prasad V, Lebbink RJ, Rehmann H, Geijsen N (2015) Efficient intracellular delivery of native proteins. Cell 161:674–690
- Demorest ZL, Coffman A, Baltes NJ, Stoddard TJ, Clasen BM, Luo S, Retterath A, Yabandith A, Gamo ME, Bissen J (2016) Direct stacking of sequence-specific nuclease-induced mutations to produce high oleic and low linolenic soybean oil. BMC Plant Biol 16:1–8
- Donaldson P, Simmonds D (2000) Susceptibility to agrobacterium tumefaciens and cotyledonary node transformation in short-season soybean. Plant Cell Rep 19:478–484
- Doyon Y, Vo TD, Mendel MC, Greenberg SG, Wang J, Xia DF, Miller JC, Urnov FD, Gregory PD, Holmes MC (2011) Enhancing zinc-finger-nuclease activity with improved obligate heterodimeric architectures. Nat Methods 8:74
- Du H, Zeng X, Zhao M, Cui X, Wang Q, Yang H, Cheng H, Yu D (2016) Efficient targeted mutagenesis in soybean by TALENs and CRISPR/Cas9. J Biotechnol 217:90–97
- Dugar G, Herbig A, Förstner KU, Heidrich N, Reinhardt R, Nieselt K, Sharma CM (2013) Highresolution transcriptome maps reveal strain-specific regulatory features of multiple campylobacter jejuni isolates. PLoS Genet 9:e1003495
- Ekker SC (2008) Zinc finger-based knockout punches for zebrafish genes. Zebrafish 5:121-123
- Fang Y, Tyler BM (2016) Efficient disruption and replacement of an effector gene in the oomycete Phytophthora sojae using CRISPR/Cas9. Mol Plant Pathol 17(1):127–139
- Feng Z, Zhang B, Ding W, Liu X, Yang D-L, Wei P, Cao F, Zhu S, Zhang F, Mao Y (2013) Efficient genome editing in plants using a CRISPR/Cas system. Cell Res 23:1229–1232
- Feng Z, Mao Y, Xu N, Zhang B, Wei P, Yang D-L, Wang Z, Zhang Z, Zheng R, Yang L (2014) Multigeneration analysis reveals the inheritance, specificity, and patterns of CRISPR/Casinduced gene modifications in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci 111:4632–4637
- Gaj T, Gersbach CA, Barbas CF (2013) ZFN, TALEN, and CRISPR/Cas-based methods for genome engineering. Trends Biotechnol 31:397–405
- Gao J, Wang G, Ma S, Xie X, Wu X, Zhang X, Wu Y, Zhao P, Xia Q (2015) CRISPR/Cas9mediated targeted mutagenesis in Nicotiana tabacum. Plant Mol Biol 87:99–110
- Gao W, Long L, Tian X, Xu F, Liu J, Singh PK, Botella JR, Song C (2017) Genome editing in cotton with the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Front Plant Sci 8:1364
- Geiβler R, Scholze H, Hahn S, Streubel J, Bonas U, Behrens S-E, Boch J (2011) Transcriptional activators of human genes with programmable DNA-specificity. PLoS One 6:e19509

- Gil-Humanes J, Wang Y, Liang Z, Shan Q, Ozuna CV, Sánchez-León S, Baltes NJ, Starker C, Barro F, Gao C (2017) High-efficiency gene targeting in hexaploid wheat using DNA replicons and CRISPR/Cas9. Plant J 89(6):1251–1262
- Gonzalez B, Schwimmer LJ, Fuller RP, Ye Y, Asawapornmongkol L, Barbas CF (2010) Modular system for the construction of zinc-finger libraries and proteins. Nat Protoc 5:791
- Gratz S (2013) Cummings AM, nguyen Jn, Hamm Dc, Donohue LK, Harrison MM, Wildonger J., O'connor-Giles KM. Genetics 194:1029–1035
- Gratz SJ, Ukken FP, Rubinstein CD, Thiede G, Donohue LK, Cummings AM, O'connor-Giles KM (2014) Highly specific and efficient CRISPR/Cas9-catalyzed homology-directed repair in Drosophila. Genetics 196:961–971
- Guan Y, Ma Y, Li Q, Sun Z, Ma L, Wu L, Wang L, Zeng L, Shao Y, Chen Y (2016) CRISPR/ Cas9-mediated somatic correction of a novel coagulator factor IX gene mutation ameliorates hemophilia in mouse. EMBO Mol Med 8:477–488
- Guo J, Gaj T, Barbas CF (2010) Directed evolution of an enhanced and highly efficient FokI cleavage domain for zinc finger nucleases. J Mol Biol 400:96–107
- Guo B-F, Yong G, Jun W, Zhang L-J, Jin L-G, Hong H-L, Chang R-Z, Qiu L-J (2015) Co-treatment with surfactant and sonication significantly improves agrobacterium-mediated resistant bud formation and transient expression efficiency in soybean. J Integr Agric 14:1242–1250
- Harrington LB, Burstein D, Chen JS, Paez-Espino D, Ma E, Witte IP, Cofsky JC, Kyrpides NC, Banfield JF, Doudna JA (2018) Programmed DNA destruction by miniature CRISPR-Cas14 enzymes. Science 362:839–842
- Hatoum-Aslan A, Maniv I, Marraffini LA (2011) Mature clustered, regularly interspaced, short palindromic repeats RNA (crRNA) length is measured by a ruler mechanism anchored at the precursor processing site. Proc Natl Acad Sci 108:21218–21222
- Hilioti Z, Ganopoulos I, Ajith S, Bossis I, Tsaftaris A (2016) A novel arrangement of zinc finger nuclease system for in vivo targeted genome engineering: the tomato LEC1-LIKE4 gene case. Plant Cell Rep 35:2241–2255
- Hille F, Richter H, Wong SP, Bratovič M, Ressel S, Charpentier E (2018) The biology of CRISPR-Cas: backward and forward. Cell 172:1239–1259
- Hockemeyer D, Wang H, Kiani S, Lai CS, Gao Q, Cassady JP, Cost GJ, Zhang L, Santiago Y, Miller JC (2011) Genetic engineering of human pluripotent cells using TALE nucleases. Nat Biotechnol 29:731–734
- Holmgaard A, Askou AL, Benckendorff JNE, Thomsen EA, Cai Y, Bek T, Mikkelsen JG, Corydon TJ (2017) In vivo knockout of the Vegfa gene by lentiviral delivery of CRISPR/Cas9 in mouse retinal pigment epithelium cells. Mol Ther-Nucleic Acid 9:89–99
- Hoover D (2012) Using DNAWorks in designing oligonucleotides for PCR-based gene synthesis. Springer, Gene Synthesis
- Hu JH, Miller SM, Geurts MH, Tang W, Chen L, Sun N, Zeina CM, Gao X, Rees HA, Lin Z (2018) Evolved Cas9 variants with broad PAM compatibility and high DNA specificity. Nature 556:57–63
- Huang P, Xiao A, Zhou M, Zhu Z, Lin S, Zhang B (2011) Heritable gene targeting in zebrafish using customized TALENs. Nat Biotechnol 29:699–700
- Iqbal Z, Sattar MN, Shafiq M (2016) CRISPR/Cas9: a tool to circumscribe cotton leaf curl disease. Front Plant Sci 7:475
- Jacobs TB, Lafayette PR, Schmitz RJ, Parrott WA (2015) Targeted genome modifications in soybean with CRISPR/Cas9. BMC Biotechnol 15:1–10
- Jinek M, Chylinski K, Fonfara I, Hauer M, Doudna JA, Charpentier E (2012) A programmable dual-RNA–guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. Science 337:816–821
- Juillerat A, Pessereau C, Dubois G, Guyot V, Maréchal A, Valton J, Daboussi F, Poirot L, Duclert A, Duchateau P (2015) Optimized tuning of TALEN specificity using non-conventional RVDs. Sci Rep 5:1–7
- Jung JH, Altpeter F (2016) TALEN mediated targeted mutagenesis of the caffeic acid O-methyltransferase in highly polyploid sugarcane improves cell wall composition for production of bioethanol. Plant Mol Biol 92:131–142

- Kang W, Zhao X, Sun Z, Dong T, Jin C, Tong L, Zhu W, Tao Y, Wu H (2020) Adeno-associated virus vector enables safe and efficient Cas9 activation in neonatal and adult Cas9 knockin murine cochleae. Gene Ther 27:392–405
- Kannan B, Jung JH, Moxley GW, Lee SM, Altpeter F (2018) TALEN-mediated targeted mutagenesis of more than 100 COMT copies/alleles in highly polyploid sugarcane improves saccharification efficiency without compromising biomass yield. Plant Biotechnol J 16:856–866
- Kapusi E, Corcuera-Gómez M, Melnik S, Stoger E (2017) Heritable genomic fragment deletions and small indels in the putative ENGase gene induced by CRISPR/Cas9 in barley. Front Plant Sci 8:540
- Karponi G, Zogas N (2019) Gene therapy for beta-thalassemia: updated perspectives. Appl Clin Genet 12:167
- Kaushik A, Yndart A, Atluri V, Tiwari S, Tomitaka A, Gupta P, Jayant RD, Alvarez-Carbonell D, Khalili K, Nair M (2019) Magnetically guided non-invasive CRISPR-Cas9/gRNA delivery across blood-brain barrier to eradicate latent HIV-1 infection. Sci Rep 9:1–11
- Kelliher T, Starr D, Richbourg L, Chintamanani S, Delzer B, Nuccio ML, Green J, Chen Z, Mccuiston J, Wang W (2017) MATRILINEAL, a sperm-specific phospholipase, triggers maize haploid induction. Nature 542:105–109
- Kim S, Kim J-S (2011) Targeted genome engineering via zinc finger nucleases. Plant Biotechnol Report 5:9–17
- Kim Y-G, Cha J, Chandrasegaran S (1996) Hybrid restriction enzymes: zinc finger fusions to Fok I cleavage domain. Proc Natl Acad Sci 93:1156–1160
- Kim D, Alptekin B, Budak H (2018) CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing in wheat. Funct Integr Genomics 18:31–41
- Klap C, Yeshayahou E, Bolger AM, Arazi T, Gupta SK, Shabtai S, Usadel B, Salts Y, Barg R (2017) Tomato facultative parthenocarpy results from SI AGAMOUS-LIKE 6 loss of function. Plant Biotechnol J 15:634–647
- Knorre DG, Vlasov VV (1985) Reactive derivatives of nucleic acids and their components as affinity reagents. Russ Chem Rev 54:836
- Kong F, Nan H, Cao D, Li Y, Wu F, Wang J, Lu S, Yuan X, Cober ER, Abe J (2014) A new dominant gene E9 conditions early flowering and maturity in soybean. Crop Sci 54:2529–2535
- Lalgudi PV, Winslow MM, Winters IP (2018) 21 CRISPR/Cas9-based in vivo models of cancer. Genome editing and engineering: from TALENs, ZFNs and CRISPRs to molecular surgery, 315
- Lee K, Conboy M, Park HM, Jiang F, Kim HJ, Dewitt MA, Mackley VA, Chang K, Rao A, Skinner C (2017) Nanoparticle delivery of Cas9 ribonucleoprotein and donor DNA in vivo induces homology-directed DNA repair. Nature Biomed Eng 1:889–901
- Li T, Huang S, Zhao X, Wright DA, Carpenter S, Spalding MH, Weeks DP, Yang B (2011) Modularly assembled designer TAL effector nucleases for targeted gene knockout and gene replacement in eukaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res 39:6315–6325
- Li J-F, Norville JE, Aach J, Mccormack M, Zhang D, Bush J, Church GM, Sheen J (2013) Multiplex and homologous recombination-mediated genome editing in Arabidopsis and Nicotiana benthamiana using guide RNA and Cas9. Nat Biotechnol 31:688–691
- Li M, Fan Y-N, Chen Z-Y, Luo Y-L, Wang Y-C, Lian Z-X, Xu C-F, Wang J (2018a) Optimized nanoparticle-mediated delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 system for B cell intervention. Nano Res 11:6270–6282
- Li R, Zhang L, Wang L, Chen L, Zhao R, Sheng J, Shen L (2018b) Reduction of tomato-plant chilling tolerance by CRISPR–Cas9-mediated SICBF1 mutagenesis. J Agric Food Chem 66:9042–9051
- Li C, Nguyen V, Liu J, Fu W, Chen C, Yu K, Cui Y (2019a) Mutagenesis of seed storage protein genes in soybean using CRISPR/Cas9. BMC Res Notes 12:1–7
- Li R, Liu C, Zhao R, Wang L, Chen L, Yu W, Zhang S, Sheng J, Shen L (2019b) CRISPR/ Cas9-mediated SINPR1 mutagenesis reduces tomato plant drought tolerance. BMC Plant Biol 19:1–13
- Li Z, Liu ZB, Xing A et al (2015) Cas9-guide RNA directed genome editing in soybean. Plant Physiol 169(2):960–970

- Liu J-J, Orlova N, Oakes BL, Ma E, Spinner HB, Baney KL, Chuck J, Tan D, Knott GJ, Harrington LB (2019) CRISPR-CasX is an RNA-dominated enzyme active for human genome editing. Nature 566:218
- Liu H-J, Jian L, Xu J, Zhang Q, Zhang M, Jin M, Peng Y, Yan J, Han B, Liu J (2020) Highthroughput CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis streamlines trait gene identification in maize. Plant Cell 32:1397–1413
- Long C, Amoasii L, Mireault AA, Mcanally JR, Li H, Sanchez-Ortiz E, Bhattacharyya S, Shelton JM, Bassel-Duby R, Olson EN (2016) Postnatal genome editing partially restores dystrophin expression in a mouse model of muscular dystrophy. Science 351:400–403
- Lou D, Wang H, Liang G, Yu, D. (2017) OsSAPK2 confers abscisic acid sensitivity and tolerance to drought stress in rice. Front Plant Sci 8:993
- Lou D, Wang H, Yu D (2018) The sucrose non-fermenting-1-related protein kinases SAPK1 and SAPK2 function collaboratively as positive regulators of salt stress tolerance in rice. BMC Plant Biol 18:1–17
- Macovei A, Sevilla NR, Cantos C, Jonson GB, Slamet-Loedin I, Čermák T, Voytas DF, Choi IR, Chadha-Mohanty P (2018) Novel alleles of rice eIF4G generated by CRISPR/Cas9-targeted mutagenesis confer resistance to Rice tungro spherical virus. Plant Biotechnol J 16:1918–1927
- Mahfouz MM, Li L, Shamimuzzaman M, Wibowo A, Fang X, Zhu J-K (2011) De novo-engineered transcription activator-like effector (TALE) hybrid nuclease with novel DNA binding specificity creates double-strand breaks. Proc Natl Acad Sci 108:2623–2628
- Makarova KS, Wolf YI, Alkhnbashi OS, Costa F, Shah SA, Saunders SJ, Barrangou R, Brouns SJ, Charpentier E, Haft DH (2015) An updated evolutionary classification of CRISPR–Cas systems. Nat Rev Microbiol 13:722–736
- Mali P, Yang L, Esvelt KM, Aach J, Guell M, Dicarlo JE, Norville JE, Church GM (2013) RNAguided human genome engineering via Cas9. Science 339:823–826
- Mao X, Zheng Y, Xiao K, Wei Y, Zhu Y, Cai Q, Chen L, Xie H, Zhang J (2018) OsPRX2 contributes to stomatal closure and improves potassium deficiency tolerance in rice. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 495:461–467
- Maoy ZH (2013) Application of the CRISPR/Cas system for efficient genome engineering in plants. Mol Plant 6:2008G2011
- Marraffini LA, Sontheimer EJ (2008) CRISPR interference limits horizontal gene transfer in staphylococci by targeting DNA. Science 322:1843–1845
- Mcblain B, Bernard R (1987) A new gene affecting the time of flowering and maturity in soybeans. J Hered 78:160–162
- Mcblain B, Bernard R, Cremeens C, Korczak J (1987) A procedure to identify genes affecting maturity using soybean Isoline testers 1. Crop Sci 27:1127–1132
- Michno J, Wang X, Liu J, Curtin S, Kono T, Stupar R (2015) CRISPR/Cas mutagenesis of soybean and Medicago truncatula using a new web-tool and a modified Cas9 enzyme. GM Crops Food 6:243–252
- Miller JC, Tan S, Qiao G, Barlow KA, Wang J, Xia DF, Meng X, Paschon DE, Leung E, Hinkley SJ (2011) A TALE nuclease architecture for efficient genome editing. Nat Biotechnol 29:143–148
- Mishra S (2019) Targeted genome editing tools in plants. In: Innovations in life science research. NOVA Science Publisher, New York
- Morbitzer R, Elsaesser J, Hausner J, Lahaye T (2011) Assembly of custom TALE-type DNA binding domains by modular cloning. Nucleic Acids Res 39:5790–5799
- Moscou M, Bogdanove A (2009) A simple cipher governs TAL effector-DNA recognition. Science 326:1501
- Mout R, Ray M, Yesilbag Tonga G, Lee Y-W, Tay T, Sasaki K, Rotello VM (2017) Direct cytosolic delivery of CRISPR/Cas9-ribonucleoprotein for efficient gene editing. ACS Nano 11:2452–2458
- Mussolino C, Alzubi J, Fine EJ, Morbitzer R, Cradick TJ, Lahaye T, Bao G, Cathomen T (2014) TALENs facilitate targeted genome editing in human cells with high specificity and low cytotoxicity. Nucleic Acids Res 42:6762–6773

- Pabo CO, Peisach E, Grant RA (2001) Design and selection of novel Cys2His2 zinc finger proteins. Annu Rev Biochem 70:313–340
- Palpant N, Dudzinski D (2013) Zinc finger nucleases: looking toward translation. Gene Ther 20:121–127
- Pavletich NP, Pabo CO (1991) Zinc finger-DNA recognition: crystal structure of a Zif268-DNA complex at 2.1 A. Science 252:809–817
- Peng A, Chen S, Lei T, Xu L, He Y, Wu L, Yao L, Zou X (2017) Engineering canker-resistant plants through CRISPR/Cas9-targeted editing of the susceptibility gene Cs LOB 1 promoter in citrus. Plant Biotechnol J 15:1509–1519
- Petolino JF (2015) Genome editing in plants via designed zinc finger nucleases. In Vitro Cell Develop Biol-Plant 51:1–8
- Plessis A, Perrin A, Haber J, Dujon B (1992) Site-specific recombination determined by I-SceI, a mitochondrial group I intron-encoded endonuclease expressed in the yeast nucleus. Genetics 130:451–460
- Pyott DE, Sheehan E, Molnar A (2016) Engineering of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated potyvirus resistance in transgene-free Arabidopsis plants. Mol Plant Pathol 17:1276–1288
- Ramirez CL, Foley JE, Wright DA, Müller-Lerch F, Rahman SH, Cornu TI, Winfrey RJ, Sander JD, Fu F, Townsend JA (2008) Unexpected failure rates for modular assembly of engineered zinc fingers. Nat Methods 5:374–375
- Raveux A, Vandormael-Pournin S, Cohen-Tannoudji M (2017) Optimization of the production of knock-in alleles by CRISPR/Cas9 microinjection into the mouse zygote. Sci Rep 7:1–11
- Ray JD, Hinson K, Mankono JEB, Malo MF (1995) Genetic control of a long-juvenile trait in soybean. Crop Sci 35:1001–1006
- Regalado A (2015) CRISPR gene editing to be tested on people by 2017, says Editas. MIT Technology Review
- Rinaldo AR, Ayliffe M (2015) Gene targeting and editing in crop plants: a new era of precision opportunities. Mol Breed 35:1–15
- Roehm PC, Shekarabi M, Wollebo HS, Bellizzi A, He L, Salkind J, Khalili K (2016) Inhibition of HSV-1 replication by gene editing strategy. Sci Rep 6:1–11
- Rothstein RJ (1983) [12] one-step gene disruption in yeast. Methods Enzymol 101:202-211
- Rouet P, Smih F, Jasin M (1994) Introduction of double-strand breaks into the genome of mouse cells by expression of a rare-cutting endonuclease. Mol Cell Biol 14:8096–8106
- Rudin N, Sugarman E, Haber JE (1989) Genetic and physical analysis of double-strand break repair and recombination in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 122:519–534
- Sakuma T, Yamamoto T (2018) Acceleration of cancer science with genome editing and related technologies. Cancer Sci 109:3679–3685
- Scherer S, Davis RW (1979) Replacement of chromosome segments with altered DNA sequences constructed in vitro. Proc Natl Acad Sci 76:4951–4955
- Shan Q, Wang Y, Li J, Zhang Y, Chen K, Liang Z, Zhang K, Liu J, Xi JJ, Qiu J-L (2013) Targeted genome modification of crop plants using a CRISPR-Cas system. Nat Biotechnol 31:686–688
- Shan Q, Zhang Y, Chen K, Zhang K, Gao C (2015) Creation of fragrant rice by targeted knockout of the Os BADH 2 gene using TALEN technology. Plant Biotechnol J 13:791–800
- Shelake RM, Pramanik D, Kim J-Y (2019) Evolution of plant mutagenesis tools: a shifting paradigm from random to targeted genome editing. Plant Biotechnol Report 13:423–445
- Shen C, Que Z, Xia Y, Tang N, Li D, He R, Cao M (2017) Knock out of the annexin gene OsAnn3 via CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing decreased cold tolerance in rice. J Plant Biol 60:539–547
- Shi J, Gao H, Wang H, Lafitte HR, Archibald RL, Yang M, Hakimi SM, Mo H, Habben JE (2017) ARGOS 8 variants generated by CRISPR-Cas9 improve maize grain yield under field drought stress conditions. Plant Biotechnol J 15:207–216
- Shim JS, Oh N, Chung PJ, Kim YS, Choi YD, Kim J-K (2018) Overexpression of OsNAC14 improves drought tolerance in rice. Front Plant Sci 9:310

- Singh A, Roychowdhury R, Singh T, Wang W, Yadav D, Kumar A, Modi A, Rai AC, Ghughe S, Kumar A (2020) Improvement of crop's stress tolerance by gene editing CRISPR/CAS9 system. In: Sustainable agriculture in the era of climate change. Springer, pp 557–587
- Song M (2017) The CRISPR/Cas9 system: their delivery, in vivo and ex vivo applications and clinical development by startups. Biotechnol Prog 33:1035–1045
- Steinert J, Schiml S, Puchta H (2016) Homology-based double-strand break-induced genome engineering in plants. Plant Cell Rep 35:1429–1438
- Sternberg SH, Doudna JA (2015) Expanding the biologist's toolkit with CRISPR-Cas9. Mol Cell 58:568–574
- Sun X, Hu Z, Chen R, Jiang Q, Song G, Zhang H, Xi Y (2015) Targeted mutagenesis in soybean using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Sci Rep 5:1–10
- Suresh B, Ramakrishna S, Kim H (2017) Cell-penetrating peptide-mediated delivery of Cas9 protein and guide RNA for genome editing. In: Eukaryotic Transcriptional and Post-Transcriptional Gene Expression Regulation. Springer
- Swarts DC, Mosterd C, VAN Passel MW, Brouns SJ (2012) CRISPR interference directs strand specific spacer acquisition. PLoS One 7:e35888
- Szczepek M, Brondani V, Büchel J, Serrano L, Segal DJ, Cathomen T (2007) Structure-based redesign of the dimerization interface reduces the toxicity of zinc-finger nucleases. Nat Biotechnol 25:786–793
- Tang L-M, Zhou C-L, Guo Z-F, Xiao L, Chüeh AC (2015) Advances in zinc finger nuclease and its applications. Gene and Gene Editing 1:3–15
- Tang F, Yang S, Liu J, Zhu H (2016) Rj4, a gene controlling nodulation specificity in soybeans, encodes a thaumatin-like protein but not the one previously reported. Plant Physiol 170:26–32
- Tashkandi M, Ali Z, Aljedaani F, Shami A, Mahfouz MM (2018) Engineering resistance against tomato yellow leaf curl virus via the CRISPR/Cas9 system in tomato. Plant Signal Behav 13:e1525996
- Tesson L, Usal C, Ménoret S, Leung E, Niles BJ, Remy S, Santiago Y, Vincent AI, Meng X, Zhang L (2011) Knockout rats generated by embryo microinjection of TALENs. Nat Biotechnol 29:695–696
- Tripathi L, Ntui VO, Tripathi JN (2020) CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing of banana for disease resistance. Curr Opin Plant Biol 56:118–126
- Upadhyay SK, Kumar J, Alok A, Tuli R (2013) RNA-guided genome editing for target gene mutations in wheat. G3: Genes Genom Genet 3:2233–2238
- Wang Y, Cheng X, Shan Q, Zhang Y, Liu J, Gao C, Qiu J-L (2014) Simultaneous editing of three homoeoalleles in hexaploid bread wheat confers heritable resistance to powdery mildew. Nat Biotechnol 32:947–951
- Wang F, Wang C, Liu P, Lei C, Hao W, Gao Y, Liu Y-G, Zhao K (2016a) Enhanced rice blast resistance by CRISPR/Cas9-targeted mutagenesis of the ERF transcription factor gene OsERF922. PLoS One 11:e0154027
- Wang Y, Gu Y, Gao H, Qiu L, Chang R, Chen S, He C (2016b) Molecular and geographic evolutionary support for the essential role of GIGANTEAa in soybean domestication of flowering time. BMC Evol Biol 16:1–13
- Wang L, Chen L, Li R, Zhao R, Yang M, Sheng J, Shen L (2017) Reduced drought tolerance by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated SIMAPK3 mutagenesis in tomato plants. J Agric Food Chem 65:8674–8682
- Wang X, Tu M, Wang D, Liu J, Li Y, Li Z, Wang Y, Wang X (2018) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated efficient targeted mutagenesis in grape in the first generation. Plant Biotechnol J 16:844–855
- Weber E, Gruetzner R, Werner S, Engler C, Marillonnet S (2011) Assembly of designer TAL effectors by Golden Gate cloning. PLoS One 6:e19722
- Wen S, Liu H, Li X, Chen X, Hong Y, Li H, Lu Q, Liang X (2018) TALEN-mediated targeted mutagenesis of fatty acid desaturase 2 (FAD2) in peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) promotes the accumulation of oleic acid. Plant Mol Biol 97:177–185
- Westra ER, Dowling AJ, Broniewski JM, VAN Houte S (2016) Evolution and ecology of CRISPR. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 47:307–331

- Wolter F, Puchta H (2018) The CRISPR/Cas revolution reaches the RNA world: Cas13, a new Swiss Army knife for plant biologists. Plant J 94:767–775
- Wood AJ, Lo T-W, Zeitler B, Pickle CS, Ralston EJ, Lee AH, Amora R, Miller JC, Leung E, Meng X (2011) Targeted genome editing across species using ZFNs and TALENs. Science 333:307–307
- Wright AV, Nuñez JK, Doudna JA (2016) Biology and applications of CRISPR systems: harnessing nature's toolbox for genome engineering. Cell 164:29–44
- Xie K, Zhang J, Yang Y (2014) Genome-wide prediction of highly specific guide RNA spacers for CRISPR–Cas9-mediated genome editing in model plants and major crops. Mol Plant 7:923–926
- Xu M, Xu Z, Liu B, Kong F, Tsubokura Y, Watanabe S, Xia Z, Harada K, Kanazawa A, Yamada T (2013) Genetic variation in four maturity genes affects photoperiod insensitivity and PHYAregulated post-flowering responses of soybean. BMC Plant Biol 13:1–14
- Xu R-F, Li H, Qin R-Y, Li J, Qiu C-H, Yang Y-C, Ma H, Li L, Wei P-C, Yang J-B (2015) Generation of inheritable and "transgene clean" targeted genome-modified rice in later generations using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Sci Rep 5:11491
- Yin H, Song C-Q, Dorkin JR, Zhu LJ, Li Y, Wu Q, Park A, Yang J, Suresh S, Bizhanova A (2016) Therapeutic genome editing by combined viral and non-viral delivery of CRISPR system components in vivo. Nat Biotechnol 34:328–333
- Yosef I, Goren MG, Qimron U (2012) Proteins and DNA elements essential for the CRISPR adaptation process in Escherichia coli. Nucleic Acids Res 40:5569–5576
- Yue Y, Liu N, Jiang B, Li M, Wang H, Jiang Z, Pan H, Xia Q, Ma Q, Han T (2017) A single nucleotide deletion in J encoding GmELF3 confers long juvenility and is associated with adaption of tropic soybean. Mol Plant 10:656–658
- Zhang F, Cong L, Lodato S, Kosuri S, Church GM, Arlotta P (2011) Efficient construction of sequence-specific TAL effectors for modulating mammalian transcription. Nat Biotechnol 29:149–153
- Zhang F, Wen Y, Guo X (2014) CRISPR/Cas9 for genome editing: progress, implications and challenges. Hum Mol Genet 23:R40–R46
- Zhang H, Zhang J, Lang Z, Botella JR, Zhu J-K (2017a) Genome editing—principles and applications for functional genomics research and crop improvement. Crit Rev Plant Sci 36:291–309
- Zhang Y, Bai Y, Wu G, Zou S, Chen Y, Gao C, Tang D (2017b) Simultaneous modification of three homoeologs of Ta EDR 1 by genome editing enhances powdery mildew resistance in wheat. Plant J 91:714–724
- Zhang M, Cao Y, Wang Z, Wang ZQ, Shi J, Liang X, Song W, Chen Q, Lai J, Jiang C (2018a) A retrotransposon in an HKT1 family sodium transporter causes variation of leaf Na+ exclusion and salt tolerance in maize. New Phytol 217:1161–1176
- Zhang Z, Ge X, Luo X, Wang P, Fan Q, Hu G, Xiao J, Li F, Wu J (2018b) Simultaneous editing of two copies of Gh14-3-3d confers enhanced transgene-clean plant defense against Verticillium dahliae in allotetraploid upland cotton. Front Plant Sci 9:842
- Zhang A, Liu Y, Wang F, Li T, Chen Z, Kong D, Bi J, Zhang F, Luo X, Wang J (2019a) Enhanced rice salinity tolerance via CRISPR/Cas9-targeted mutagenesis of the OsRR22 gene. Mol Breed 39:1–10
- Zhang C, Srivastava AK, Sadanandom A (2019b) Targeted mutagenesis of the SUMO protease, overly tolerant to Salt1 in rice through CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing reveals a major role of this SUMO protease in salt tolerance. BioRxiv, p 555706
- Zhou H, Liu B, Weeks DP, Spalding MH, Yang B (2014) Large chromosomal deletions and heritable small genetic changes induced by CRISPR/Cas9 in rice. Nucleic Acids Res 42:10903–10914
- Zhou J, Peng Z, Long J, Sosso D, Liu B, Eom JS, Huang S, Liu S, Vera Cruz C, Frommer WB (2015) Gene targeting by the TAL effector PthXo2 reveals cryptic resistance gene for bacterial blight of rice. Plant J 82:632–643