



Psychological Response to Injury and Illness

17

Margot Putukian

Introduction

Participation in sport entails hours of training, practice, and competition with athletes spending time and energy working with coaches and other support staff to improve their skills and compete. Mental health (MH) is an important consideration in supporting the overall vigor and well-being of athletes. Several recent publications have focused on MH symptoms and disorders in athletes [1–5]. MH and well-being exist on a continuum, with resilience and thriving on one end and MH symptoms and disorders that significantly disrupt function and performance on the other. A systematic review and meta-analysis of 22 studies demonstrated a prevalence of MH symptoms and disorders in current and former elite athletes in males and females from team sports and combined Olympic sports that was significant, with the most common symptoms and disorders reported being anxiety, depression, sleep dysfunction, alcohol misuse, and general psychological distress [6].

Participation in sport includes the additional risk of injury and illness that may not necessarily be experienced by non-athletes. There is an interface between injury or illness and MH and performance that is quite complex, and understanding the risk factors for injury and/or illness is complicated [1–3, 5, 7, 8]. It is unclear whether participation in sport increases the risk of or potentially protects athletes from MH disorders. Sport entails unique stressors, and, accordingly, there are MH symptoms or disorders in athletes that are potentially seen more commonly than in their nonathlete peers. These stressors include sexual abuse, hazing and bullying, challenges related to retirement from or transition out of sport, and the psychological response to injury and illness [1, 2]. This chapter will focus on the psychological response to injury and illness.

Epidemiology

As mentioned previously, in a systematic review of elite sport athletes, several MH symptoms and disorders were reported in both current and former elite male and female athletes [6]. The prevalence ranged from 19% for alcohol misuse to 34% for anxiety/depression in current elite athletes and from 16% for distress to 26% for anxiety/depression in former elite athletes.

Specifically, for current athletes, in 11 studies of 3335 male and female athletes, 19.6% (95% confidence interval (CI): 16.0–23.0) reported symptoms of distress. In 10 studies of 4782 current athletes from various sports, 26.4% (95% CI: 21.6–31.2) reported symptoms of sleep disturbance. In 9 studies of 2895 current athletes from various sports, 33.6% (95% CI: 27.4–39.7) reported symptoms of anxiety/depression. In 11 studies of 5555 current athletes from various sports, 18.8% (95% CI: 11.1–26.5) reported symptoms of alcohol misuse. Cross-sectional studies demonstrated eating disorders (EDs)/disordered eating ($n = 7$ studies) in 1–28%, panic disorder ($n = 2$ studies) in 1–5%, and problem gambling ($n = 1$ study) in 2–7% [6].

Specifically, for former athletes, 15 studies were included, and prevalence data from 8 of them ($n = 1686$ male and female athletes from team sports and combined Olympic sports) demonstrated that 15.8% (95% CI: 16.0–23.3) reported symptoms of distress [6]. Sleep disturbance was reported in 20.9% (95% CI: 15.2–26.6) out of a total of 1579 former athletes ($n = 7$ studies) from various sports. Anxiety/depression was reported in 26.4% (95% CI: 21.4–31.4) out of a total of 1662 former athletes from various sports ($n = 8$ studies). Alcohol misuse was reported in 21.1% (95% CI: 14.7–27.4) out of a total of 1636 former athletes from various sports ($n = 8$ studies). Cross-sectional studies revealed eating disorders in 24–27% ($n = 2$ studies), dementia in 6% ($n = 1$ study), Alzheimer's disease in 12% ($n = 1$ study), and mild cognitive impairment in 23% ($n = 1$ study). This comprehensive review demonstrates the significant prevalence of MH symptoms and disorders in both current and former

M. Putukian (✉)
Major League Soccer, Princeton, NJ, USA

male and female elite athletes participating in a variety of sports [6].

The Context and Current Environment of Mental Health, Injury, and Illness in Elite Sport

The International Olympic Committee (IOC) has identified MH as a focus point, with the development of several new tools for athletes and several other stakeholders related to MH and wellness, including the Sports Mental Health Recognition Tool 1 and the Sports Mental Health Assessment Tool 1 as well as the IOC Mental Health Toolkit [9–11]. These tools have raised awareness, provided education to several stakeholders, and created a framework to understand important policies and procedures that can improve the delivery of MH care to athletes.

There are several barriers and facilitators to help-seeking behavior in athletes [11–13], and prevention programs should address these through education, policy development, mental health action plans, screening programs, and effective referral and treatment plans. The most important barriers to help-seeking behaviors in elite athletes are MH stigma, a lack of MH literacy, and the negative experience that some athletes had previously had when seeking help [11, 12]. Alternatively, facilitators to help-seeking behavior include encouragement from others, an established relationship with the health-care provider, positive previous interactions with the provider, support for help-seeking by others, especially coaches, and access to the Internet [11, 12].

Health-care providers can be instrumental in facilitating help-seeking behavior by providing educational programs, developing and implementing policies and programs, adding screenings for MH symptoms and disorders to sports physicals and office visits as indicated, and establishing MH plans and MH Emergency Action Plans. Given the relationship that many team physicians develop with athletes and teams, the ability to decrease the stigma surrounding MH is an important opportunity for improving the overall health and safety of athletes [1]. In addition, team physicians and other health-care providers that work with athletes are often involved throughout the injury/illness and are therefore in a position to recognize athletes that may be in distress related to their injury/illness [1–4].

Illness and Injury in Elite Athletes

Illness and injury have been associated with and identified as risk factors for several MH symptoms and disorders that occur commonly in athletes, including anxiety, depression, suicide, substance use, disordered gambling, trauma-related

disorders, overtraining, and eating disorders (EDs) as well as issues related to transition/retirement from sport [5, 14–26]. Although controversial, there may, conversely, also be MH disorders and psychological stressors that an athlete experiences that can increase the risk of illness or injury [27–31]. Finally, there may be underlying MH disorders that are unmasked by the psychological response to injury or that complicate recovery from injury [27–29, 31–35].

The risk factors for injuries are multifactorial and often challenging to interpret. Injured athletes report a higher level of symptoms of both depression and anxiety compared to their noninjured peers [26, 36]. The risk factors for injury include both sociocultural and psychological factors [31, 37, 38]. The sociocultural risk factors include social pressures, limited social resources, a lifetime history of sexual or physical abuse, organizational stress (i.e., how the athlete feels about the structure and function of their team), stress related to a negative self-assessment of their academic or athletic performance, coaching quality (how they perceive their relationship with their coach), and the culture of their sport/team (e.g., the mindset of the team; win at all costs versus continued team growth) [3, 31, 39, 40]. The psychological risk factors include perfectionism, anxiety/worry, hypervigilance, poor body image or low self-esteem, limited coping resources, risk-taking behaviors, low mood state, or life event stress (e.g., stress associated with major life event stressors such as the death of a family member or starting at a new school). Life event stress can also include athlete-specific stressors such as injury or illness, fear of reinjury, failure in competitions, retirement from sport, or abuse related to sport (e.g., sexual abuse, hazing, bullying) [27–30, 33, 41].

Although there are limited prospective and/or conflicting results regarding the risk factors related to injury, life event stress and high stress response (e.g., negative emotional response after a sport injury or other stressful events) consistently demonstrate a relationship with injury risk [26, 29, 31, 37, 39, 40, 42–44]. Athletes with higher resiliency and “mental toughness” have lower rates of injury and lower rates of depression, stress, anxiety, and obsessive-compulsive symptoms [17, 21].

The psychological response to injury has been described previously as a model that suggested that the way an athlete appreciates their injury (cognitive appraisal) determines their emotional and behavioral responses [38]. This has formed the framework for much of the more recent literature. The cognitive, emotional, and behavioral responses can be influenced by several athlete-specific factors, can change over time, and can influence the rehabilitative process as well as an athlete’s ability to return to sport. Understanding each of these responses is critical in understanding how they interact and what role the medical staff can play in providing additional support and improving outcome.

A cognitive response is how the athlete understands their injury or illness. It leads to an emotional response; both can be either “normal” or “problematic,” [3] and both can affect behavioral responses such as goal setting, motivation, and compliance with treatment [37]. An emotional response is how the athlete feels about their injury or illness. This can include sadness, anger, frustration, changes in sleep or appetite, and lack of motivation [37].

Improved injury recovery has been shown in athletes that have more positive cognitive, emotional, and behavioral responses to injury [17, 27, 45–50]. Factors such as motivation [51], apprehension of reinjury [41, 52–54], and psychological readiness [55] are associated with a higher likelihood of return to the preinjury level of play and higher post-injury performances [50]. Higher levels of optimism and self-efficacy and lower levels of depression and stress are also associated with improved recovery from injury [17, 27, 46, 48–50, 54, 56–60].

Management of Injuries and Illnesses to Improve Outcomes

Strategies such as (1) using modeling techniques (e.g., videos, peer athletes) to decrease the fear of reinjury, (2) support of athlete autonomy (e.g., via explaining the purpose of rehabilitative exercises), (3) increasing confidence (e.g., via goal setting, functional tests), (4) providing social support, (5) finding a role for the athlete within their sport (e.g., monitoring statistics, helping the coach), and (6) stress inoculation training (e.g., to avoid the need for pain medication if the injury requires surgery) are all important for improving recovery [47, 60].

A recent publication has outlined a 24-week program via a randomized controlled trial (RCT) for athletes recovering from anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) surgical reconstruction. It plans to implement cognitive behavioral therapy modules targeting several psychological barriers to return to sport as an intervention [61]. The seven self-directed modules are provided using a smartphone application and address: (1) goal setting, (2) recovery, (3) return to sport, (4) return to performance, (5) staying injury-free, (6) handling thoughts and emotions, and (7) injury education [61]. The results of this RCT will be useful in determining whether a smartphone application that delivers cognitive behavioral therapy is effective in improving the number of athletes that return to various levels of sport after surgical treatment of their ACL injury.

Specific Situation: Sport-Related Concussion (SRC)

One of the most common injuries that is particularly challenging is a sport-related concussion (SRC) [62], which includes several nonspecific symptoms that are the same symptoms

endorsed by individuals with MH disorders. This overlap creates diagnostic challenges for health-care providers working to both diagnose and treat SRCs [63–65]. There are also data that suggest that athletes with SRC may develop MH symptoms and that athletes may use substances in order to cope with these emotions [66]. A prospective cohort study of male professional rugby players assessed at three time points (a baseline assessment and then follow-ups at 6 and 12 months) found that those players that sustained a concussion within 12 months of a baseline assessment were more likely to develop MH symptoms (odds ratio ranging from 1.5 (95% CI: 1.0–2.1) for distress to 2.0 (95% CI: 1.2–3.6) for adverse alcohol use). In this study, players who sustained a severe injury within 12 months of baseline were more likely to develop symptoms of anxiety/depression (odds ratio of 1.5 (95% CI: 1.1–2.0)) [67].

In male collegiate athletes who have been diagnosed with SRC, symptoms of depression, anxiety, and impulsivity are commonly noted [68]. In one study, 20% of collegiate athletes reported symptoms of depression after an SRC, with predictors including ethnicity (non-White), baseline reporting of symptoms of depression, the number of games missed after injury (the more the games, the more are the symptoms), and the number of years they had been involved in sport (more symptoms if fewer number of years in sport) [65]. A longer recovery time and/or persisting symptoms after an SRC, a preexisting history of mood or other MH disorders, a family history of MH disorders, and high-stress life events have been associated with the development of MH symptoms [16, 19, 69–72]. Individuals who have had an SRC report fear of reinjury [32, 67], an issue initially cited in athletes after ACL reconstruction [17, 46, 48, 49, 56, 73].

Other Injuries and Illnesses: Beyond Concussion

Whether the psychological response is different when in response to a musculoskeletal injury versus other types of injuries or illnesses such as concussion is unclear. The assumption might be that the response might be similar if the expectation (how severe the athlete appreciates the condition to be) is similar. A recent study has found that the response to a musculoskeletal injury and a concussion are similar when assessed using the Profile of Mood States (POMS) and the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) [74]. The time to return to sport was similar, and this was an important consideration. In addition, there was improvement over time for both conditions, suggesting that psychological issues that occurred after an injury, whether musculoskeletal or a concussion, improved to baseline levels of function no matter the injury [74].

Another recent study has evaluated whether or not the premorbid psychological status of the athlete can predict how they handle a subsequent injury/illness and found that if

the athlete had some previous experience with adversity, which was neither trivial nor overwhelming, then they did better than others with either nonexistent adversity or prior overwhelming adversity [75]. In this study, the authors prospectively evaluated preinjury adversity over a 5-year time period using a measure of adversity and then evaluated a measure of coping as well as psychological responses at the onset of injury, rehabilitation, and return to sport. They subsequently performed in-person interviews on a subset of the injured participants. They theorized that an explanation for their findings was that athletes with high preinjury adversities were excessively overwhelmed such that they were unable to cope with injury and those with low preinjury adversities had not developed coping abilities and lacked the resources needed to cope with injury [75]. More research is needed to further clarify future directions.

The human severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus called COVID-19 [76] was first described in December 2019 and subsequently created a worldwide pandemic. It brought elite sports to a halt and postponed the Summer Olympic Games, moving them to Tokyo in the summer of 2021. The literature regarding the impacts of COVID-19 suggests the psychological effects of the pandemic on athletes [77–79]. At the collegiate level, a survey of >37,000 athletes demonstrated high rates of mental distress, with more than 25% reporting feeling sadness and a sense of loss and 8.3% reporting “feeling so depressed it has been hard to function” at least “constantly” or “most every day” [77]. Sleep dysfunction was reported in one in three (33%), and 80% reported having barriers to training including fear of exposure to COVID-19 (43%), lack of motivation (40%), feeling of stress or anxiety (21%), and sadness or depression (13%) [77]. It is clear that the mental health response to COVID-19 infection is significant not only for athletes but also for the general population at large, and ongoing efforts to recognize and identify athletes at risk for mental health symptoms and disorders are essential [78].

Future Directions

Over the past decade, more attention has been paid to the importance of psychological factors and how they relate to not only the risk of injury/illness but also how these factors may relate to recovery, rehabilitation, and return to sport [80]. MH issues are common in sport [1–6], and a better understanding of the importance of recovery [81], nutrition, and sleep [82] in supporting overall well-being and performance is expected [83].

More attention is being paid to the evaluation of psychological factors, unique stressors, and risk factors for injury—including psychological parameters—[84] and ultimately a better understanding of the psychological response to injury

and how to respond and support athletes that are injured or ill will be possible. Understanding the complexity that each individual athlete presents with their antecedent psychological factors, the social support network that they have in place, their prior adversity, and all the factors that can play a role in modifying their post-injury/illness response is an area of further research. Having a better understanding of the cognitive, emotional, and behavioral responses to injury, as well as the individual factors that can affect them, is also an area that merits additional attention.

References

1. Chang C, Putukian M, Aerni G, et al. Mental health issues and psychological factors in athletes: detection, management, effect on performance and prevention: American Medical Society for Sports Medicine Position Statement—executive summary. *Br J Sports Med.* 2020;54(4):216–20. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2019-101583>.
2. Reardon C, Hainline B, Aron CM, et al. Mental health in elite athletes: International Olympic Committee consensus statement. *Br J Sports Med.* 2019;53(11):667–99.
3. Herring SA, Kibler WB, Putukian M, et al. Psychological issues related to illness and injury in the athletes and the team physician: a consensus statement—2016 update. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2017;49(5):1043–54.
4. Inter-association Consensus Document: mental health best practices. Understanding and supporting student-athlete mental wellness. 2016. Updated 2020. https://ncaaorg.s3.amazonaws.com/ssi/mental/SSI_MentalHealthBestPractices.pdf. Accessed 1 Apr 2020.
5. Rice SM, Purcell R, De Silva S, et al. The mental health of elite athletes: a narrative systematic review. *Sports Med.* 2016;46:1333–53.
6. Gouttebauge V, Castaldelli-Maia JM, Gorczynski P, et al. Occurrence of mental health symptoms and disorders in current and former elite athletes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med.* 2019;53(11):700–6. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2019-100671>.
7. Putukian M. How being injured affects mental health. In: Brown GT, Hainline B, Kroshus E, Wilfert M, editors. *Mind, body and sport: understanding and supporting student-athlete mental wellness*. Indianapolis, IN: NCAA Press; 2014. p. 72–5.
8. Putukian M. The psychological response to injury in student athletes: a narrative review with a focus on mental health. *Br J Sports Med.* 2016;50:145–8.
9. Gouttebauge V, Abhinav B, Blauwet C, et al. The International Olympic Committee Sport Mental Health Assessment Tool 1 (SMHAT-1) and Sport Mental Health Recognition Tool 1 (SMHRT-1): towards better support of athletes' mental health. *Br J Sports Med.* 2021;55:30–7.
10. Rice S, Olive L, Gouttebauge V, et al. Mental health screening: severity and cut-off point sensitivity of the Athlete Psychological Strain Questionnaire in male and female elite athletes. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med.* 2020;6(1):e000712. . Published 2020 Mar 18. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjsem-2019-000712>.
11. IOC Toolkit. <https://olympics.com/ioc/news/amp/ioc-launches-safe-sport-action-plan-and-mental-health-toolkit-for-elite-athletes>.
12. Gulliver A, Griffiths KM, Christensen H. Barriers and facilitators to mental health help-seeking for young elite athletes: a qualitative study. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2012;12:157.
13. Confectioner K, Currie A, Gabana N, et al. Help-seeking behaviours related to mental health symptoms in professional football.

- BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med. 2021;7(2):e001070. Published 2021 May 17.
14. Armstrong S, Omen-Early J. Social connectedness, self-esteem, and depression symptomatology among collegiate athletes versus non-athletes. *J Am Coll Heal*. 2009;57(5):521–6.
 15. Beals KA, Manore MM. Disorders of the female athlete triad among collegiate athletes. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab*. 2002;12(3):281–93.
 16. Guskiewicz KM, Marshall SW, Bailes J, et al. Recurrent concussion and risk of depression in retired professional football players. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2007;39(6):903–9.
 17. Hammond T, Gialloreti C, Kubas H, et al. The prevalence of failure-based depression among elite athletes. *Clin J Sport Med*. 2013;23:273–7.
 18. Huang J-H, Jacobs DF, Deverensky JL, et al. Gambling and health risk behaviors among U.S. college student-athletes: findings from a national study. *J Adolesc Health*. 2007;10:390–7.
 19. Kerr ZY, Thomas LC, Simon JE, et al. Association between history of multiple concussions and health outcomes among former college football players: 15-year follow-up from the NCAA Concussion Study (1999–2001). *Am J Sports Med*. 2018;46:1733–41.
 20. Lindqvist AS, Moberg T, Ehmberg C, et al. Increased mortality rate and suicide in Swedish former elite male athletes in power sports. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2013;1–6.
 21. Proctor SL, Boan-Lenzo C. Prevalence of depressive symptoms in male intercollegiate student-athletes and nonathletes. *J Clin Sport Psychol*. 2010;4:204–20.
 22. Weigand S, Cohen J, Merenstein D. Susceptibility for depression in current and retired student athletes. *Sports Health*. 2013;5(3):263–6.
 23. Wolanin A, Gross M, Hong E. Depression in athletes: prevalence and risk factors. *Curr Sports Med Rep*. 2015;14(1):56–60.
 24. Yang J, Peek-Asa C, Corlette JD, et al. Prevalence of and risk factors associated with symptoms of depression in competitive collegiate student athletes. *Clin J Sport Med*. 2007;17:481–7.
 25. Kiliç Ö, Aoki H, Goedhart E, et al. Severe musculoskeletal time-loss injuries and symptoms of common mental disorders in professional soccer: a longitudinal analysis of 12-month follow-up data. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2018;26(3):946–54. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-017-4644-1>.
 26. Rice SM, Gwyther K, Santesteban-Echarri O, et al. Determinants of anxiety in elite athletes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med*. 2019;53(11):722–30. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2019-100620>.
 27. Arden CL, Taylor NF, Feller JA, et al. A systematic review of the psychological factors associated with returning to sport following injury. *Br J Sports Med*. 2013;47:1120–6.
 28. Ivarsson A, Johnson U. Psychological factors as predictors of injuries among senior soccer players. A prospective study. *J Sports Sci Med*. 2010;9:347–52.
 29. Ivarsson A, Johnson U, Anderson MB, et al. Psychosocial factors and sport injuries: meta-analyses for prediction and prevention. *Sports Med*. 2017;47:353–65.
 30. Ivarsson A, Johnson U, Lindwall M, et al. Psychosocial stress as a predictor of injury in elite junior soccer: a latent growth curve analysis. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2014;17(4):366–70.
 31. Wiese-Bjornstal DM. Psychology and socioculture affect injury risk, response, and recovery in high-intensity athletes: a consensus statement. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2010;20(Suppl 2):103–11.
 32. Anderson MN, Womble MN, Mohler SA, et al. Preliminary study of fear of re-injury following sport-related concussion in high school sports. *Dev Neuropsychol*. 2019;44(6):443–51.
 33. Ivarsson A, Johnson U, Podlog L. Psychological predictors of injury occurrence: a prospective investigation of professional Swedish soccer players. *J Sport Rehabil*. 2013;22(1):19–26.
 34. Iverson GL. Chronic traumatic encephalopathy and risk of suicide in former athletes. *Br J Sports Med*. 2014;48:162–4.
 35. Nippert AH, Smith AM. Psychological stress related to injury and impact on sport performance. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am*. 2008;19(2):399–418.
 36. Gulliver A, Griffiths KM, Mackinnon A, et al. The mental health of Australian elite athletes. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2015;18:255–61.
 37. Forsdyke D, Smith A, Jones M, et al. Psychosocial factors associated with outcomes of sports injury rehabilitation in competitive athletes: a mixed studies systematic review. *Br J Sports Med*. 2016;50:537–44.
 38. Wiese-Bjornstal DM, Smith AM, Shaffer SM, et al. An integrated model of response to sport injury: psychological and sociological dynamics. *J Appl Sport Psychol*. 1998;10:46–69.
 39. Johnson U, Ivarsson A. Psychological predictors of sport injuries among junior soccer players injury prediction in Swedish Soccer. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2011;21:129–36.
 40. Pensgaard AM, Ivarsson A, Nilstad A, et al. Psychosocial stress factors, including the relationship with the coach, and their influence on acute and overuse injury risk in elite female football players. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med*. 2018;4(1):e000317.
 41. Arden CL, Taylor NF, Feller JA, Webster KE. Fear of re-injury in people who have returned to sport following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2012;15:488–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2012.03.015>.
 42. van der Does HT, Brink MS, Otter RT, Visscher C, Lemmink KA. Injury risk is increased by changes in perceived recovery of team sport players. *Clin J Sport Med*. 2017;27(1):46–51. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JSM.0000000000000306>. PMID: 26945309.
 43. Kellmann M, Bertollo M, Bosquet L, et al. Recovery and performance in sport: consensus statement. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2018;13(2):240–5. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2017-0759>. Epub 2018 Feb 19. PMID: 29345524.
 44. Staufenbiel SM, Penninx BW, Spijker AT, Elzinga BM, van Rossum EF. Hair cortisol, stress exposure, and mental health in humans: a systematic review. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2013;38(8):1220–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2012.11.015>. Epub 2012 Dec 17. PMID: 23253896.
 45. Burland JP, Toonstra J, Werner JL, et al. Decision to return to sport after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction, part I: a qualitative investigation of psychosocial factors. *J Athl Train*. 2018;53(5):452–63.
 46. Arden CL. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction-not exactly a one-way ticket back to the preinjury level: a review of contextual factors affecting return to sport after surgery. *Sports Health*. 2015;7:224–30.
 47. Podlog L, Banham SM, Wadey R, et al. Psychological readiness to return to competitive sport following injury: a qualitative study. *Sport Psychol*. 2015;29:1–14.
 48. Gignac MA, Cao X, Ramanathan S, et al. Perceived personal importance of exercise and fears of re-injury: a longitudinal study of psychological factors related to activity after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil*. 2015;7:4. <http://www.biomedcentral.com/2052-1847/7/4>.
 49. Glazer DD. Development and preliminary validation of the Injury-Psychological Readiness to Return to Sport (I-PRRS) scale. *J Athl Train*. 2009;44:185–9.
 50. Conti C, di Fronso S, Pivetti M, et al. Well-come back! Professional basketball players perceptions of psychosocial and behavioral factors influencing a return to pre-injury levels. *Front Psychol*. 2019;8(10):222. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00222>. PMID: 30800089; PMCID: PMC6375854.
 51. Podlog L, Eklund RC. Return to sport after serious injury: a retrospective examination of motivation and psychological outcomes. *J Sport Rehabil*. 2005;14:20–34. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsr.14.1.20podlog>.
 52. Arden CL, Webster KE, Taylor NF, Feller JA. Return to sport following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery: a system-

- atic review and meta-analysis of the state of play. *Br J Sport Med.* 2011;45:596–606. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546513489284>.
53. Ardern CL, Taylor NF, Feller JA, et al. Psychological responses matter in returning to preinjury level of sport after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery. *Am J Sports Med.* 2013;41:1549–58. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546513489284>.
 54. Hsu C-J, Meierbachtol A, George SZ, et al. Fear of reinjury in athletes: implications for rehabilitation. 2016;9(2):162–7. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1941738116666813>.
 55. Ardern CL, Österberg A, Tagesson S, et al. The impact of psychological readiness to return to sport and recreational activities after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Br J Sport Med.* 2014;48:1613–9. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2014-093842>.
 56. Czuppon S, Racette BA, Klein SE, et al. Variables associated with return to sport following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review. *Br J Sports Med.* 2014;48:356–64.
 57. Flanigan DC, Everhart JS, Glassman AH. Psychological factors affecting rehabilitation and outcomes following elective orthopaedic surgery. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2015;23(9):563–70. <https://doi.org/10.5435/JAAOS-D-14-00225>. Epub 2015 Jul 20. PMID: 26195567.
 58. Everhart JS, Best TM, Flanigan DC. Psychological predictors of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction outcomes: a systematic review. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2015;23(3):752–62. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-013-2699-1>. Epub 2013 Oct 15. PMID: 24126701.
 59. Podlog L, Dimmock J, Miller J. A review of return to sport concerns following injury rehabilitation: practitioner strategies for enhancing recovery outcomes. *Phys Ther Sport.* 2011;12(1):36–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2010.07.005>. Epub 2010 Aug 30. PMID: 21256448.
 60. Hainline B, Turner JA, Caneiro JP, et al. Pain in elite athletes: neurophysiological, biomechanical and psychosocial considerations: a narrative review. *Br J Sports Med.* 2017;51:1259–64.
 61. Ardern CL, Kvist J, BANG Trial Group. BANG iN the Game (BANG)—a smartphone application to help athletes return to sport following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: protocol for a multi-centre, randomised controlled trial. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disord.* 2020;21(1):523. Published 2020 Aug 8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-020-03508-7>.
 62. McCrory P, Meeuwisse W, Dvorák J, et al. Consensus statement on concussion in sport—the 5th international conference on concussion in sport held in Berlin, October 2016. *Br J Sports Med.* 2017;51:838–47.
 63. Echemendia RJ, Meeuwisse W, McCrory P, et al. The sport concussion assessment tool 5th edition (SCAT5): background and rationale. *Br J Sports Med.* 2017;51:848–50.
 64. Kontos AP, Covassin T, Elbin RJ, et al. Depression and neurocognitive performance after concussion among male and female high school and collegiate athletes. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2012;93:1751–6.
 65. Vargas G, Rabinowitz A, Meyer J, et al. Predictors and prevalence of postconcussion depression symptoms in collegiate athletes. *J Athl Train.* 2015;50:250–5.
 66. McDuff DR, Baron D. Substance use in athletics: a sports psychiatry perspective. *Clin Sports Med.* 2005;24:885–97; Reardon C, Creado S. Drug abuse in athletes. *Subst Abus Rehabil.* 2014:95–105.
 67. Kilic Ö, Hopley P, Kerkhoffs GMMJ, et al. Impact of concussion and severe musculoskeletal injuries on the onset of mental health symptoms in male professional rugby players: a 12-month study. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med.* 2019;5(1):e000693. Published 2019 Dec 22. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjsem-2019-000693>.
 68. Rice SM, Parker AG, Rosenbaum S, et al. Sport-related concussion and mental health outcomes in elite athletes: a systematic review. *Sports Med.* 2018;48:447–65.
 69. Corwin DJ, Zonfrillo MR, Master CL, et al. Characteristics of prolonged concussion recovery in a pediatric subspecialty referral population. *J Pediatr.* 2014;165:1207–15.
 70. Kerr ZY, Marshall SW, Harding HP, et al. Nine-year risk of depression diagnosis increases with increasing self-reported concussions in retired professional football players. *Am J Sports Med.* 2012;40(10):2206–12.
 71. Lange RT, Iverson GL, Rose A. Depression strongly influences postconcussion symptom reporting following mild traumatic brain injury. *J Head Trauma Rehabil.* 2011;26.
 72. Putukian M, Riegler K, Amalfe S, et al. Pre-injury and post-injury factors that predict sport-related concussion and clinical recovery. *Clin J Sports Med.* 2021;31(1):15–22. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JSM.0000000000000705>.
 73. Garcia GH, Wu HH, Park MJ, et al. Depression symptomatology and anterior cruciate ligament injury: incidence and effect on functional outcome—a prospective cohort study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2016;44(3):572–9. Published online Dec 1. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546515612466>.
 74. Turner S, Langdon J, Shaver G, et al. Comparison of psychological response between concussion and musculoskeletal injury in collegiate athletes. *Sport Exerc Perform Psychol.* 2017;6(3):277–88. <https://doi.org/10.1037/spy0000099>.
 75. Wadey R, Evans L, Hanton S, et al. Can preinjury adversity affect postinjury responses? A 5-year prospective, multi-study analysis. *Front Psychol.* 2019;10:1411. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01411>.
 76. World Health Organization. Novel Coronavirus 2019. <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019>. Accessed 31 May 2020.
 77. NCAA: NCAA student-athlete COVID-19 well being study. <http://www.ncaa.org/about/resources/research/ncaa-student-athlete-covid-19-well-being-study>. Accessed 1 Jun 2020.
 78. Reardon CL, Bindra A, Blauwet C, et al. Mental health management of elite athletes during COVID-19: a narrative review and recommendations. *Br J Sports Med.* 2020;bjsports-2020-102884. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2020-102884>. PMID: 32967853.
 79. McGuine TA, Biese KM, Petrovska L, et al. Mental health, physical activity, and quality of life of US adolescent athletes during COVID-19-related school closures and sport cancellations: a study of 13000 athletes. *J Athl Train.* 2021;56(1):11–9.
 80. Truong LK, Mosewich AD, Holt CJ, et al. Psychological, social and contextual factors across recovery stages following a sport-related knee injury: a scoping review. *Br J Sports Med.* 2020;54:1149–56.
 81. Herring SA, Kibler WB, Putukian M. Load, overload, and recovery in the athlete: select issues for the team physician—a consensus statement. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2019;51(4):821–8. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000001910>. PMID: 30882753.
 82. Andrade A, Bevilacqua G, Casagrande P, Brandt R, Coimbra D. Sleep quality associated with mood in elite athletes. *Phys Sportsmed.* 2019;47(3):312–7.
 83. Santi G, Pietrantonio L. Psychology of sport injury rehabilitation: a review of models and interventions. *J Hum Sport Exerc.* 2013;8(4):1029–44.
 84. Li C, Ivarsson A, Lam LT, Sun J. Basic psychological needs satisfaction and frustration, stress and sports injury among university athletes: a four-wave prospective study. *Front Psychol.* 2019;10:665. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00665>.