

Physical Activity, Exercise, and Health Promotion for the Pregnant Exerciser

Mireille van Poppel , Katrine Mari Owe , Rita Santos-Rocha , Hélia Dias , and Miguel Ángel Oviedo-Caro

Abstract

Currently, there is consensus that maintaining light to moderate physical activity during an uncomplicated pregnancy has several benefits for the health of the woman and the fetus. Pregnancy provides good opportunities for promoting women's health and an active lifestyle. The purpose of this chapter is to provide exercise and healthcare professionals with a basic understanding of the importance of an active lifestyle, health promotion, and education during the different stages of pregnancy, by emphasizing the benefits, correlates, and patterns of physical activity during

M. van Poppel (🖂)

K. M. Owe

R. Santos-Rocha

Sport Sciences School of Rio Maior - ESDRM, Polytechnic Institute of Santarém, Rio Maior, Portugal

Laboratory of Biomechanics and Functional Morphology, Interdisciplinary Centre for the Study of Human Performance - CIPER, Faculty of Human Kinetics - FMH, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal

e-mail: ritasantosrocha@esdrm.ipsantarem.pt

H. Dias

Health School of Santarém - ESSS, Polytechnic Institute of Santarém, Santarém, Portugal

Center for Health Technology and Services Research (NursID Group – Innovation & Development in Nursing), University of Porto, Porto, Portugal e-mail: helia.dias@essaude.ipsantarem.pt

M. Á. Oviedo-Caro Department of Physical Education and Sport, University of Seville, Seville, Spain

© The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022 R. Santos-Rocha (ed.), *Exercise and Physical Activity During Pregnancy and Postpartum*, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-06137-0_1

Institute of Human Movement Science, Sport and Health, University of Graz, Graz, Austria e-mail: Mireille.van-poppel@uni-graz.at

Norwegian Research Centre for Women's Health, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway e-mail: Katrine.Mari.Owe@fhi.no

pregnancy. We highlight the importance of healthcare professionals in promoting the benefits of physical activity and advising women on a healthy and active lifestyle during pregnancy, referring them to a prenatal exercise specialist.

Keywords

 $\label{eq:pregnancy} Pregnancy \cdot Postpartum \cdot Health \ promotion \ \cdot \ Education \ for \ health \ \cdot \ Physical \ activity \ \cdot \ Exercise$

1.1 Introduction

Currently, there is consensus that maintaining light to moderate physical activity during an uncomplicated pregnancy has several benefits for the health of the woman and the fetus [1-5]. In the last three decades, scientific evidence has clearly supported the importance of physical activity and exercise during pregnancy [6-11]. In the first place, one should clarify the meaning of these terms, because sometimes the terms physical activity and exercise are used interchangeably, and there is no clear consensus on the definitions of fitness or exercise prescription [5].

Physical activity is defined as any bodily movement produced by the contraction of skeletal muscles that results in a substantial increase in caloric requirements over resting energy expenditure [5, 12]. *Exercise* is a type of physical activity consisting of planned, structured, and repetitive bodily movement done to improve and/or maintain one or more components of physical fitness [12] and/or prolong life [13]. In other words, exercise is a subcategory of physical activity. Although energy expenditure is increased during physical activity, it does not always reflect exercise and should not be confused with fitness [14]. Thus, physical activity can be categorized either in different contexts, such as leisure-time, exercise, sports, occupational, household, and transportation activities, or by intensity, i.e., light (less than 3 METs—metabolic equivalents¹), moderate (between 3 and 5.9 METs), and vigorous (6 METs or more) [14]. The World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines recommend adults to do 150–300 min of intentional physical activity of moderate to vigorous intensity per week for good health and reduction of several diseases [15].

On the contrary, *sedentary behavior* involves activities that less than 1.5 METs [5], and *physical inactivity* is a behavioral state of not achieving on a regular basis, a certain minimum standard of physical activity [14], i.e., fail to meet the WHO recommended level of physical activity required for good health. Physical inactivity is the fourth leading cause of death worldwide [16] and considered the biggest public health problem of the twenty-first century [17].

Physical inactivity during pregnancy may be a significant public health² issue due to its prevalence and associates with adverse pregnancy and birth outcomes, as

¹MET = Multiple of resting metabolic rate, used as a measure of exercise intensity [5].

²Public health is a field that encompasses many disciplines in an effort to promote and protect health and prevent disease and disability in defined populations and communities [16]. In other words, the science and art of promoting health, preventing disease, and prolonging life through the organized effects of society [17].

well as the short- and long-term risk for several chronic diseases for mother and child. Both exercise and health professional organizations have discipline-specific and endorsed guidelines for physical activity during pregnancy. However, whether interventions exist that translate health evidence of regular physical activity in pregnant women into practice remains unknown.

The purpose of this chapter is to provide exercise and healthcare professionals with a basic understanding of the importance of an active lifestyle, health promotion,³ and education⁴ during the different stages of pregnancy, by emphasizing the benefits, the correlates, and the patterns of physical activity during pregnancy.

1.2 Education for Health and Lifestyle During Pregnancy

1.2.1 Pregnancy as an Opportunity to Promote Health

Assuming the importance of professional health intervention aiming at low-risk pregnancy surveillance, the main components are defined as prenatal care, health education, and preparation for childbirth and parenting [20]. Learning and information sharing must be integrated and permeate all pregnancy care from a health education perspective. Health education is understood as "all intentional activities conducive to learning related to health and disease [...]; can facilitate the acquisition of skills; may also lead to changes in behavior and lifestyles" [21]. In other words, health education can be defined as the processes through which people learn about personal health concepts and behaviors [16]. It is necessary here to identify the indicators of differentiation in adulthood that fit the perspective of adult education. Those indicators should be considered in the operationalization of the different components of pregnancy surveillance, in order to support self-development and learning. This perspective is supported by the principles that guide learning within the andragogic model that Knowles defined in the 1970s: The need to know, the concept of self, the role of experience, the willingness to learn, the orientation of learning, and motivation [22, 23]. Adults are only inclined to start and develop a learning process as long as they recognize its applicability, that is, a process that guides them to solving problems and tasks that they encounter in their life. Since pregnancy is a period of profound physical and psychological changes in a woman's life, it is an opportune moment to change lifestyles and improve health behaviors, based on a philosophy of "woman-centered care" [24, 25].

Thus, for health education during pregnancy and in the postpartum period, the goal of health professionals should be to: increase health literacy and develop parental skills; make learning and information sharing components of each prenatal and postnatal surveillance visit with the pregnant woman/couple and family; establish a relationship of trust that facilitates the expression of expectations, fantasies, beliefs,

³Health promotion is the process of enabling people to increase control over the determinants of health and thereby improve their health [18].

⁴Health education can be defined as the processes through which people learn about personal health concepts and behaviors [19].

myths, feelings (positive or negative) and competencies related to pregnancy, birth and parenthood; and promote the right to adequate information for a free and informed decision.

Moreover, a routine health promotion program, encompassing education, advice, and general health assessment in the prepregnancy period can be developed for improving pregnancy outcomes by encouraging behavioral change, or allowing early identification of potentially modifiable risk factors, such as smoking, alcohol intake, poor nutrition [26], poor sleep, as well as physical inactivity.

1.2.2 Preparing for Childbirth and Parenting

The preparation for childbirth and the operationalized parenting in formal courses is an important part of health promotion in maternal, obstetric, and gynecological health [27]. These courses allow women and their partners to experience pregnancy, and from their needs, share, express, and clarify fears, doubts, and anxieties, in a group environment and mutual support, in order to enable them to the tasks that pregnancy and parenting require. The courses often include a theoretical component and a practical component. According to Recommendation 2/2012 of the Board of the College of Maternal and Obstetrical Health Nursing Specialty [28], the theoretical component includes the following topics: labor, labor analgesia, breastfeeding, puerperium, childbirth, among others. The following practical components are worth highlighting: exercises to promote the attachment of the pregnant woman/companion/baby during pregnancy; comfort techniques during labor; the role of the companion to promote the comfort of the parturient, placements during labor and delivery, and the pelvic floor toning exercises. It should be noted that this descriptive statement also refers to the creation and use of opportunities in the preconception, pregnancy, and puerperium periods to promote healthy lifestyles, which reinforces the importance of a care centered on the needs of each woman and structured based on the philosophy of the andragogic model.

1.2.3 Health and Lifestyle Promotion

There is growing evidence that regular physical activity during pregnancy contributes positively to physical and psychological health. Moreover, physical activity significantly affects public health as it reduces the risk of chronic diseases (such as cardiometabolic diseases) and provides numerous protective factors related to psychologic (e.g., mood, self-esteem, etc.) and musculoskeletal parameters (e.g., urinary incontinence, low back pain, etc.), during and after pregnancy. Adverse consequences of inactivity may be an important problem especially among pregnant women.

Pregnancy is a period *par excellence* to identify, modify, and adopt habits and behaviors for healthy lifestyles. The pregnant woman is often motivated to learn when she understands the advantages and benefits of learning. The health

professional should seek the congruence between the adult's learning process, its particularity, and the need for personal development within the life cycle. Moreover, the promotion of physical activity may represent an important prevention strategy for public health, and it implies an interaction among several professionals from health and wellbeing settings [29].

We stress the importance of healthcare professionals in promoting the benefits of physical activity, and advising women on a healthy and active lifestyle during pregnancy, referring them to a prenatal exercise specialist. It is also necessary to show that exercise specialist and instructors are well informed regarding the implementation of tailored exercise programs or the inclusion of pregnant women in regular group fitness classes. The best service is for sure provided by multidisciplinary professional groups, including exercise professionals, midwives, physiotherapists, and doctors, who can coach pregnant women to exercise properly.

However, a review by Nascimento et al. [30] reported that most pregnant women are not receiving physical activity and exercise guidance from healthcare providers, during prenatal care meetings, and some pregnant women were told to stop exercise [31]. The latter particularly applies to women pregnant with twins or triplets, who are often classified as contraindicated to physical activity and advised bed rest [32]. Several barriers experienced by healthcare providers have been identified to explain this lack of promotion of physical activity, such as a lack of time and resources and insufficient skills [33]. Nascimento et al. [30] provide suggestions to improve the quality of exercise guidance for pregnant women including the participation of a multidisciplinary team in providing educational strategies, support groups, and education about exercise benefits and safety for both pregnant women and medical professionals involved in prenatal care.

Exercise guidance and recommendations given to pregnant women in prenatal care is a particular issue in health and lifestyle promotion, since women who received some counseling were three times more likely to exercise than those who received no guidance [32, 34]. Indeed, Krans et al. [35] found that the probability that a woman exercised during pregnancy was increased if her obstetrician encouraged her to exercise.

1.3 Public Health and Physical Activity During Pregnancy

1.3.1 Impact of Maternal Physical Activity on Chronic Disease Risk

The recommendations for physical activity in pregnancy are mostly based on the evidence that maternal physical activity reduces the risk of pregnancy and birth complications. Sufficient physical activity in pregnancy may reduce the risk of the great obstetric complications (i.e., preeclampsia, gestational diabetes mellitus, hypertension, preterm, large for gestational age (LGA), small for gestational age (SGA), and other (e.g., varicose veins, deep vein thrombosis, fatigue, stress, anxiety, and depression)) [1, 3, 4, 7, 10, 11, 36].

However, for public health, not only pregnancy and birth outcomes are of relevance, but also the long-term health of both mother and offspring. Although physical activity has beneficial effects for some pregnancy outcomes, in this part the focus will be on four health parameters that are highly relevant for public health: weight status, glucose metabolism, cardiovascular health, and quality of life. The first three are influenced by both pregnancy and physical activity. Quality of life is related to the other health parameters and therefore indirectly influenced.

Pregnancy is obviously associated with weight gain. Gestational weight gain (GWG) is comprised of the accretion of water, protein (fat-free mass), and fat mass in the fetus as well as the placenta, uterus, and amniotic fluid, and expansion of maternal blood volume, mammary gland, and maternal adipose tissue [37]. How much weight women gain and are recommended to gain is dependent on their prepregnancy body mass index (BMI = weight (kg)/height (m)²) [38]. However, many women gain more than the recommended weight, especially overweight and obese women [37]. Excessive GWG in pregnancy is associated with a reduced risk for preterm birth, but increases the risk for cesarean section, large for gestational age, and macrosomia [39]. Furthermore, excessive GWG is related to a higher risk of obesity in the offspring [40]. The prevention of excessive GWG is therefore relevant for both mother and child. There is a high level of evidence that a higher level of physical activity in pregnancy is related to a lower risk of excessive GWG [41–43].

From a public health perspective, it is especially worrying that excessive GWG is also associated with more weight retention postpartum. Weight retention is the difference between prepregnancy and postpartum weight. Women with excess GWG retain the most weight postpartum, and the excess weight gained in pregnancy is still retained up until 20 years later [44]. Therefore, limiting GWG in pregnancy has a long-lasting effect on the weight development of women and reduces the risk of becoming overweight or obese [45]. Since physical activity in pregnancy reduces the risk of excessive GWG, it seems logical to assume it will also reduce postpartum weight retention. However, currently, there is no convincing evidence that physical activity interventions alone in pregnancy are effective in reducing weight retention, with some studies finding reduced weight retention after antenatal intervention [46, 47] and others finding no reduction [48, 49]. However, there are relatively few studies that evaluated physical activity interventions that were initiated in pregnancy for the effect on postpartum weight retention. In contrast, there is good evidence that physical activity interventions initiated postpartum are effective in reducing weight retention [50].

Insulin sensitivity is highly influenced by pregnancy (see Chap. 3 [51], "Physiological Changes"). When women cannot "cope" with the increased insulin resistance in pregnancy with a sufficient increase in insulin secretion, they develop gestational diabetes (GDM). Women who start pregnancy with increased insulin resistance (e.g., due to obesity), or those with compromised insulin secretion, are at higher risk of developing GDM [52]. Pregnancy is therefore considered a metabolic "stress test." Women with GDM during pregnancy have an elevated risk of developing type 2 diabetes postpartum [53].

Many studies on the prevention of gestational diabetes have been conducted in recent years, most of them evaluating an intervention that included a physical activity component. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses of these studies generally conclude that physical activity interventions in pregnancy are effective in reducing the risk of gestational diabetes [43, 54]. However, there are some indications that interventions initiated in the first trimester are effective, but those initiated in the second trimester or later are not [55]. Prevention of GDM does not automatically mean that the women will not develop type 2 diabetes later in life, but that they will have a reduced risk of type 2 diabetes if they maintain their increased physical activity after a pregnancy complicated by GDM are needed. Unfortunately, this is not routinely implemented in clinical care and the most effective strategy is unclear yet. A review reported inconsistent findings of the 12 studies that addressed the effectiveness of postpartum interventions for the prevention of type 2 diabetes among women with a history of GDM [56].

Also for the cardiovascular system, pregnancy is a stress test. Gestational hypertensive disorders, including gestational hypertension and preeclampsia, are one of the leading causes of maternal morbidity and mortality [57]. Women who develop preeclampsia are at increased risk for chronic hypertension and cardiovascular disease in later life [58]. There is evidence that physical activity interventions in pregnancy reduce the risk of hypertension in pregnancy [42, 59], but have no effect on the risk of preeclampsia [59]. In contrast, observational studies show that physical activity before or in early pregnancy might be related to a lower risk of preeclampsia [60].

Given the evidence of beneficial effects of physical activity in pregnancy on maternal health, it is not surprising that it also has a positive effect on quality of life of the women during pregnancy. Physical activity has been reported to have a positive effect on quality of life, mainly with regards to physical and pain components [61–63], and maternal perception of health status [64]. Whether physical activity in pregnancy also influences the quality of life later in life is unknown, since no information on the long-term consequences for maternal quality of life is available.

1.3.2 Impact of Maternal Physical Activity on Offspring Health

Also in this part, the focus is mostly on the long-term consequences of maternal physical activity on offspring health, since this has the most implications for public health. Important neonatal outcomes, related to health outcomes in later life, are preterm birth (e.g., [65, 66]), and small- or large-for-gestational age (SGA and LGA, respectively) [67, 68], and body composition [69].

Physical activity in pregnancy is related to a reduced risk of preterm birth [42, 70–72]. Physical activity might also be related to birth weight, although results might depend on the physical intensity level, on which measure of birth weight are used as the outcome and study design. A recent meta-analysis found a small increase in mean birth weight for moderate levels of maternal physical activity and a

reduction in birth weight in high maternal levels of physical activity compared to lower levels [73]. Structured exercise interventions showed small reductions in mean birth weight, and reduced the risk of LGA, without influencing the risk of SGA newborns [74].

No conclusive evidence is available for an effect of PA on neonatal body composition, mostly because it is studied relatively little [73]. Observational studies indicate that maternal physical activity might reduce neonatal body fat mass at birth [75–78]. However, one intervention study with high compliance with the exercise intervention did not find a change in offspring fat mass but a reduced lean mass in the intervention group [79]. A systematic review of lifestyle interventions in overweight or obese women reported no effect on neonatal adiposity, but only four studies were included in the review [80].

Long-term relationship of maternal physical activity in pregnancy with offspring obesity [81, 82], or fat percentage [76] has been reported. Other long-term health effects of maternal physical activity are plausible, for instance, through epigenetic changes in the offspring; however, such effects have not been demonstrated in humans yet.

1.4 Correlates of Physical Activity Among Pregnant Women

Given its numerous health benefits for both pregnant women and their children, national and international guidelines have recommended regular PA for all healthy pregnant women since the 1980s [83]. However, only a small proportion of women achieve the recommended levels of PA during pregnancy [33, 84]. In addition, longitudinal studies have shown a decline in PA levels as pregnancy progresses and PA levels are also lower in pregnant women compared to their nonpregnant counterparts [85, 86].

Therefore, identifying and understanding the factors that explain why some women are regularly physically active during pregnancy while others are not is of utmost importance to public health research. Physical activity is a complex behavior determined by the interaction of a large number of personal, social, and environmental factors specific to populations, setting, and type of physical activity. Furthering the understanding of the factors that influence physical activity behavior in specific populations such as pregnant women will aid the development of effective, tailored intervention strategies aimed at increasing the prevalence of physical activity among the pregnant population.

The terms *correlates* and *determinant* are often used synonymously in the literature. *Correlates* are factors statistically associated with or predictive of physical activity but do not imply a causal relationship. Determinants are defined as causal factors and derived from experimental or longitudinal studies [87, 88]. Most studies including pregnant women have only assessed the impact of individual correlates (i.e., psychological and biological) on physical activity during pregnancy [89]. Information on interpersonal, societal, environmental, policy, and global factors have barely been studied in pregnant populations. Correlates and determinants of physical activity may change as pregnancy progresses due to massive bodily changes associated with pregnancy. If we assume that most women reduce their physical activity levels toward the end of their pregnancy, we may also expect correlates of PA to change. It seems that pregnant women shift from high-intensity exercise (i.e., running, ball games, and fitness training) to moderate and low-intensity exercise such as swimming and bicycling.

1.4.1 Identifying Physically Active Pregnant Women

Women who are regularly physically active before pregnancy are much more likely to continue to be regularly physically active during pregnancy [89–94]. Physically active pregnant women also tend to be older, primiparous, and have higher education [92, 93, 95–99], compared to those not meeting the recommended levels of physical activity or who are inactive during pregnancy. In addition, overweight or obesity status before pregnant and pregnancy-related weight gain are shown to be independently associated with regular exercise during pregnancy [91, 92, 98].

In nonpregnant populations, a negative association between age and physical activity has repeatedly been documented [87]. Depending on the outcome of interest, studies including pregnant women have reported both positive and negative associations with maternal age and physical activity [95, 100, 101].

1.4.2 Identifying Physically Inactive Women

Pregnancy-related factors such as gestational length, multiple pregnancies, pelvic girdle pain, nausea with or without vomiting, assisted reproduction, sub fecundity, sick leave, and other musculoskeletal pain are found to be associated with less like-lihood of regular physical activity during pregnancy [92, 94, 97].

Correlates of sedentary behavior among pregnant women overlap but are not the same as for physical activity: smoking [102], having a sitting job, fewer children less than 5 years of age in the household [103], and younger age [102, 103] were related to more sedentary behavior during pregnancy.

1.5 Physical Activity Patterns Among Pregnant Women

There is a lack of information on the specific guidelines and real strategies of adaptation of several recreational and sports activities that might be adapted to healthy pregnant women. These issues are further addressed in Chaps. 7, 8, and 9 [104– 106]. However, we believe that in order to better develop safe and effective exercise programs, it is necessary to understand, among other variables: the physical activity patterns of pregnant women; the motivations for exercise during pregnancy; and the characteristics of proper exercise. This information will be useful to develop recommendations for pregnancy-specific exercise programs.

Some studies report the prevalence of physical activity during pregnancy, but little references in the literature report the pattern of exercise and leisure-time physical activity using well-validated measures [84, 86, 89, 92, 96, 107–116]. Although there are international guidelines for physical activity during pregnancy recommending regular physical activity for healthy pregnant women, either sedentary or previously active, the results of such studies conducted in different countries are not favorable. A deep review of these studies is out of the scope of this chapter but the respective analysis, in general, allow to formulate key ideas, as follows.

Regarding the prevalence of physical activity, a high percentage of pregnant women are sedentary; few pregnant women are meeting the international guidelines for physical activity during pregnancy (i.e., completing the minimum of 150 min of aerobic exercise per week); and there is an increasing decline of exercise, leisure time, and work-related physical activities across pregnancy, in comparison with the prepregnancy period, especially in the first and third trimesters. Considering that the prevalence of PA is lower in the first and the third trimesters, and higher in the second trimester, only few women remain active throughout pregnancy, in accordance with the latest review on the topic, by Nascimento et al. [117]. Tavares et al. [118] and Lynch et al. [119] reported that women tend to replace moderate-intensity activities with light-intensity or sedentary activities during pregnancy. Furthermore, the reduction in physical activity level occurred not only in the level of exercise but also in daily activities, such as housework, childcare, transportation, and occupational activities [43]. According to Gaston and Vamos [32], results indicate that promoting physical activity during pregnancy should remain a public health priority.

Regarding the pattern of physical activity and exercise during pregnancy, walking is the most commonly reported form of exercise, followed by water exercise, swimming, and aerobics. Nevertheless, other types of exercise are also reported, such as dancing, cycling, jogging, resistance training, Pilates, yoga, stretching, and pelvic floor exercises. Although there is insufficient data in the literature to assess the specific effects of these types of exercise, there seems to be a consensus that they are safe, effective, and allow a broad span of intensity and complexity to be adapted to pregnant women. Further development of this topic is provided in Chaps. 7, 8, and 9 [104–106].

1.6 Measuring Physical Activity, Sedentary Behavior, and Sleep Pattern

When analyzing physical activity patterns with the aim to investigate its relationship with health, we should take into account that physical activity is part of the activity behaviors that integrate the daily activity of a person. During years, research has mainly studied the potential effect that some activity behavior in isolation, such as physical activity or sedentary activity, has for heath. For instance, scientific evidence has shown how physical activity have potential benefits to reduce the risk of developing hypertension, obesity, or adverse psychological and neonatal outcomes [3, 120–122]; or how sedentary behaviors have potential negative effects on glucose tolerance, mental distress, and pregnancy outcomes [123–126].

Scientific evidence highlights that each activity behavior has unique potential effect on health and also that one activity behavior could influence the other behaviors [126], what supposes the need of a global assessment of all behaviors rather than the assessment of them in isolation. Consequently, it has been required the introduction, for first time, of advice for sedentary behaviors in the update of the World Health Organization guidelines on physical activity [15]; or the integration of physical activity, sedentary behavior, and sleep in the update of the Canadian guidelines [127]. This perspective is related with the concept of healthy lifestyle, which is defined by the American Psychological Association's Thesaurus of Psychological Index Terms as "a pattern of behavior involving lifestyle which ensures optimum health" [128].

To reach a global conclusion when analyzing the effect of activity behavior on health, it is necessary to take into account all activity behaviors. For instance, a pregnant woman could be categorized as physically active, by meeting physical activity recommendations, but also spent a higher amount of time on sedentary activities [129]. If we only focus on the assessment of one behavior in isolation, a possible lack of information could avoid us to lead a conclusion about the influence on health that activity behaviors have.

Additionally, the finite nature of the day, 24 h, makes activity behaviors exhaustive and mutually exclusive components of a person's 24-h day [130]. This nature implies that the time spent in one behavior necessarily has to be displaced from another behavior within the 24-h day. So when analyzing the health effect of an activity behavior, the rest of activity behaviors must not be ignored because they are an influence of the studied behavior and as aforementioned a unique effect on health.

The design of the assessment procedure is very important to guarantee that it fulfills the research objective and do not lead us to misleading results. At first, it is essential to establish the dimensions of the activity behaviors that we want to analyze and to select the most appropriate instruments to obtain the activity behavior's data. In this sense, the research could focus on activity intensity (sleep, sedentary activity, light, moderate, and vigorous physical activity), activity type (walking, working, leisure, etc.), or the posture (reclining, sitting, and standing). Objective instruments such as accelerometry-based devices obtain a measurement of pregnant women's activity intensity [131, 132], while inclinometer-based devices obtain a measure of pregnant women's posture [133]. Subjective instruments such as diaries and questionnaires allow obtaining a measure of the type of activity developed by pregnant women [134–137].

Subsequently, the selection of the most appropriate statistical approach based on the characteristics of the data obtained is also very important. Traditional linear regression models, which allow analyze the relationship between a set of explanatory variables and an outcome, or traditional isotemporal substitution, which allows analyze the

theoretical effect of displaced a fixed time between behaviors, show some inconvenient that impossibilities to properly analyze all activity behaviors together, i.e., multicollinearity⁵ [138]. To overcome the multicollinearity problem derived from the codependent nature of activity behaviors, compositional log-ratio transformation techniques⁶ have been proposed [138]. For instance, the compositional isometric log-ratio transformation allows expressing the time spent in one behavior relative to the time spent in the rest of behaviors [130], which solve the codependency problem when the research applies compositional linear regression and compositional isotemporal substitution models [139]. However, the analysis of the collinearity is still required to ensure that there is an absence of collinearity in the linear regression model [137]. The multivariate pattern analysis, which describes the pattern of associations for the descriptors with the outcome accounting for the correlated structure of the data, is another technique that allows overcoming collinearity problems [140]. However, there is a limitation in the interpretation of the results because it does not allow quantifying time exchange between descriptors or adjusting the regression models [137].

Researchers have to deeply analyze what is the best procedure to answer the research question and reach this objective. The selection of most suitable instruments and statistical approach is required to properly interpret the results and get global conclusions when analyzing the effects of activity behaviors on health.

1.7 Further Research

Although beneficial effects of physical activity in pregnancy on maternal and offspring health are established, some open questions remain. First and foremost, no consensus is reached on the type and intensity of physical activity required, especially for women who start pregnancy obese or with a low fitness level. Second, there is some evidence that the timing of physical activity (intervention) is relevant, with better outcomes for early physical activity (intervention). However, this needs to be studied more systematically. Furthermore, most studies in this field are from developed countries, and voluntary physical activity might have different effects on pregnancy outcomes than nonvoluntary physical activity (e.g., at work or for transport). Reducing physical activity levels according to developing pregnancy and possible pregnancy complaints is not always an option for women in from low-to-middle income (LMIC) countries. Whether this type of physical activity in pregnancy has the same beneficial effect on maternal and offspring outcomes is grossly understudied.

⁵Multicollinearity occurs when independent variables in a regression model are correlated, which is a problem when the degree of correlation between variables is high enough because it can cause problems when fitting the model and interpreting the results.

⁶Log-ratio transformation techniques allow to transfer compositional data from the constrained simplex space to the unconstrained real space, where traditional multivariate statistics may be applied.

Although there are international guidelines for physical activity during pregnancy recommending regular physical activity for healthy pregnant women, little is known about the pattern of exercise and physical activity of pregnant women worldwide. Hence, national surveillance studies of physical activity in the population should include pregnant women. Well-designed longitudinal investigations reporting pregnancy-related changes in physical activity by trimester of pregnancy would also help to fill this gap. Not only the various designs but also the various instruments used in the studies limit comparisons of studies on the practice of exercise during pregnancy. It would be of particular importance that researchers would use same precise, reliable, acculturated, and validated instruments for physical activity and exercise measurement whenever applicable, in what concerns to quantity and quality.

Moreover, results indicate a need for more information and motivation for moderate exercise before and throughout pregnancy. Pregnant women is a heterogeneous group, which implies that different women need different approaches. Promoting physical activity remains a priority in public health policy, and pregnant women or those planning a pregnancy should be encouraged to maintain an active lifestyle or to adopt a tailored exercise routine during pregnancy in order to avoid sedentary- and obesity-associated risks.

Research on better and more effective physical activity and exercise interventions that improve long-term compliance to a physically active lifestyle during and after pregnancy is needed. The methods of increasing physical activity in this special population must be developed, implemented, and evaluated.

References

- 1. Mottola MF, Davenport MH, Ruchat SM, et al. 2019 Canadian guideline for physical activity throughout pregnancy. Br J Sports Med. 2018;52:1339–46.
- 2. ACNM American College of Nurse-Midwives. Exercise in pregnancy. J Midwif Women's Health. 2014;59:473–4.
- ACOG American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 804: Physical activity and exercise during pregnancy and the postpartum period. Obstet Gynecol. 2020;135(4):e178–e88.
- SMA Sport Medicine Australia. SMA statement the benefits and risks of exercise during pregnancy. Sydney Olympic Park, NSW: SMA; 2016. http://sma.org.au/publications-media/ sma-position-statements/. Accessed 31 Dec 2017.
- ACSM American College of Sports Medicine. ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription. 11th ed. Baltimore, MD: Wolters Kluwer; 2021.
- Kramer MS. Aerobic exercise for women during pregnancy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2002;2:CD000180.
- 7. Brown W. The benefits of physical activity during pregnancy. J Sci Med Sport. 2002;5(1):37-45.
- Downs DS, Chasan-Taber L, Evenson KR, Leiferman J, Yeo S. Physical activity and pregnancy: past and present evidence and future recommendations. Res Q Exerc Sport. 2012;83(4):485–502.
- Kader M, Naim-Shuchana S. Physical activity and exercise during pregnancy. Eur J Physiother. 2014;16(1):2–9.

- Perales M, Santos-Lozano A, Ruiz JR, Lucia A, Barakat R. Benefits of aerobic or resistance training during pregnancy on maternal health and perinatal outcomes: a systematic review. Early Hum Dev. 2016;94:43–8.
- Díaz-Burrueco JR, Cano-Ibáñez N, Martín-Peláez S, Khan KS, Amezcua-Prieto C. Effects on the maternal-fetal health outcomes of various physical activity types in healthy pregnant women. A systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2021;262:203–15.
- Caspersen CJ, Powell KE, Christenson GM. Physical activity, exercise, and physical fitness: definitions and distinctions for health-related research. Public Health Rep. 1985;100(2):126–31.
- 13. Boome T. Regular exercise and disease prevention. ASEP's exercise medicine text for exercise physiologists. Sharjah: Bentham Science Publishers; 2016. p. 3–31. Chapter 1.
- ACSM American College of Sports Medicine. ACSM's resources for the exercise physiologist. 2nd ed. Alphen aan den Rijn: Wolters Kluwer; 2018.
- Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, Borodulin K, Buman MP, Cardon G, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Br J Sports Med. 2020;54(24):1451–62.
- Kohl HW III, Craig CL, Lambert EV, Inoue S, Alkandari JR, Leetongin G, Kahlmeier S, Lancet Physical Activity Series Working Group. The pandemic of physical inactivity: global action for public health. Lancet. 2012;380(9838):294–305.
- 17. Blair SN. Physical inactivity: the biggest public health problem of the 21st century. Br J Sports Med. 2009;43:1–2.
- 18. Kohl HW III, Murray TD. Foundations of physical activity and public health. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 2012.
- 19. Davies M, Macdowall W, editors. Health promotion theory. London: Open University Press; 2006.
- da Saúde DG. Programa nacional para a vigilância da gravidez de baixo risco (National program for low-risk pregnancy vigilance). Lisboa: DGS; 2015. [Portuguese].
- Tones K, Tilford S. Health education. Effectiveness, efficiency and equity. 2nd ed. London: Chapman & Hall; 1994.
- 22. Canário R. Educação de adultos: Um campo e uma problemática (adult education: a field and a problematic). Lisboa: Educa; 1999. [Portuguese].
- 23. Quintas H. Educação de adultos: vida no currículo e currículo na vida. Perspectivas e reflexões [Adult education: life in a curriculum and curriculum in life]. 1st ed. Lisboa: Agência Nacional para a Qualificação, I.P; 2008. [Portuguese].
- 24. Barradas A, et al. Livro de bolso: Enfermeiras especialistas em saúde materna e obstétrica/parteiras (pocket book: Midwives). Lisboa: Ordem dos Enfermeiros; 2015. [Portuguese]. http:// www.ordemenfermeiros.pt/publicacoes/Documents/LivroBolso_EESMO.pdf. Accessed 30 Dec 2017.
- Bowden J, Manning V. Health promotion in midwifery: principles and practice. 3rd ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2016.
- Whitworth M, Dowswell T. Routine pre-pregnancy health promotion for improving pregnancy outcomes. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009;7(4):CD007536.
- dos Enfermeiros O. Regulamento dos padrões de qualidade dos cuidados especializados em enfermagem de saúde materna, obstétrica e ginecológica (Regulation on quality pattern of nurse care in obstetrics and gynecologics woman health). Lisboa: Ordem dos Enfermeiros; 2011. [Portuguese]. https://membros.ordemenfermeiros.pt/AssembleiasGerais/Documents/ AG2011/Ponto10_Reg%20PQCEE%20SMOG.pdf. Accessed Oct 2017.
- dos Enfermeiros O. Recomendação nº 2/2012: Recomendações para a preparação para o nascimento [Recommendations for birth preparation]. Lisboa: Ordem dos Enfermeiros; 2012. [Portuguese]. http://www.ordemenfermeiros.pt/colegios/Documents/Recomendacao_2-2012. pdf. Accessed Oct 2017.
- 29. Liguori G, Gallé F, Valeriani F, Romano Spica V. The role of the hygienist in prevention and health promotion through physical activity: the contribute of the Working Group "Movement Sciences for Health" of the Italian Society of Hygiene. Ann Ig. 2015;27(1):11–5.

- Nascimento SL, Surita FG, Godoy AC, Kasawara KT, Morais SS. Physical activity patterns and factors related to exercise during pregnancy: a cross sectional study. PLoS One. 2015;10(6):e0128953.
- Meah VL, Davies GA, Davenport MH. Why can't I exercise during pregnancy? Time to revisit medical 'absolute' and 'relative' contraindications: systematic review of evidence of harm and a call to action. Br J Sports Med. 2020;54(23):1395–404.
- 32. Gaston A, Vamos CA. Leisure-time physical activity patterns and correlates among pregnant women in Ontario, Canada. Matern Child Health J. 2013;17(3):477–84.
- 33. McParlin C, Bell R, Robson SC, Muirhead C, Araújo-Soares V. What helps or hinders midwives to implement physical activity guidelines for obese pregnant women? A questionnaire survey using the Theoretical Domains Framework. Midwifery. 2017;49:110–6.
- 34. Whitaker KM, Baruth M, Schlaff RA, Talbot H, Connolly CP, Liu J, Wilcox S. Provider advice on physical activity and nutrition in twin pregnancies: a cross-sectional electronic survey. BMC Pregnan Childb. 2019;19(1):418.
- 35. Krans EE, Gearhart JG, Dubbert PM, Klar PM, Miller AL, Replogle WH. Pregnant women's beliefs and influences regarding exercise during pregnancy. J Miss State Med Assoc. 2005;46(3):67–73.
- Pivarnik JM, Chambliss H, Clapp J III, et al. Impact of physical activity during pregnancy and postpartum on chronic disease risk. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2006;38(5):989–1006.
- 37. Gilmore LA, Klempel-Donchenko M, Redman LM. Pregnancy as a window to future health: excessive gestational weight gain and obesity. Semin Perinatol. 2015;39(4):296–303.
- Institute of Medicine. Weight Gain During pregnancy: reexamining the guidelines. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2009.
- 39. Goldstein RF, Abell SK, Ranasinha S, Misso M, Boyle JA, Black MH, Li N, Hu G, Corrado F, Rode L, Kim YJ, Haugen M, Song WO, Kim MH, Bogaerts A, Devlieger R, Chung JH, Teede HJ. Association of Gestational Weight Gain With Maternal and Infant Outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2017;317(21):2207–25.
- 40. Lau EY, Liu J, Archer E, McDonald SM, Liu J. Maternal weight gain in pregnancy and risk of obesity among offspring: a systematic review. J Obes. 2014;2014:524939.
- 41. Elliott-Sale KJ, Barnett CT, Sale C. Exercise interventions for weight management during pregnancy and up to 1 year postpartum among normal weight, overweight and obese women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med. 2015;49(20):1336–42.
- 42. Muktabhant B, Lawrie TA, Lumbiganon P, Laopaiboon M. Diet or exercise, or both, for preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;15(6):CD007145.
- 43. da Silva SG, Ricardo LI, Evenson KR, Hallal PC. Leisure-time physical activity in pregnancy and maternal-child health: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and cohort studies. Sports Med. 2017;47(2):295–317.
- 44. Nehring I, Schmoll S, Beyerlein A, Hauner H, von Kries R. Gestational weight gain and longterm postpartum weight retention: a meta-analysis. Am J Clin Nutr. 2011;94(5):1225–31.
- 45. Fraser A, Tilling K, Macdonald-Wallis C, Hughes R, Sattar N, Nelson SM, et al. Associations of gestational weight gain with maternal body mass index, waist circumference, and blood pressure measured 16 y after pregnancy: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC). Am J Clin Nutr. 2011;93:1285–92.
- Ronnberg A, Hanson U, Ostlund I, Nilsson K. Effects on postpartum weight retention after antenatal lifestyle intervention - a secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2016;95(9):999–1007.
- 47. Phelan S, Phipps MG, Abrams B, Darroch F, Grantham K, Schaffner A, et al. Does behavioral intervention in pregnancy reduce postpartum weight retention? Twelve-month outcomes of the Fit for Delivery randomized trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2014;99:302–11.
- Althuizen E, van der Wijden CL, van Mechelen W, Seidell JC, van Poppel MN. The effect of a counselling intervention on weight changes during and after pregnancy: a randomised trial. BJOG. 2013;120(1):92–9.

- Rauh K, Günther J, Kunath J, Stecher J, Hauner H. Lifestyle intervention to prevent excessive maternal weight gain: mother and infant follow-up at 12 months postpartum. BMC Pregnan Childb. 2015;15:265.
- Dalrymple KV, Flynn AC, Relph SA, O'Keeffe M, Poston L. Lifestyle Interventions in overweight and obese pregnant or postpartum women for postpartum weight management: a systematic review of the literature. Nutrients. 2018;10:1704.
- 51. Perales M, Nagpal TS, Barakat R. Physiological changes during pregnancy. Main adaptations and discomforts and implications for physical activity and exercise. In: Santos-Rocha R, editor. Exercise and physical activity during pregnancy and postpartum. Evidence-based guidelines. 2nd ed. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2022. Chapter 3.
- Catalano PM, Tyzbir ED, Roman NM, Amini SB, Sims EA. Longitudinal changes in insulin release and insulin resistance in nonobese pregnant women. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1991;165:1667–72.
- Bellamy L, Casas JP, Hingorani AD, Williams D. Type 2 diabetes mellitus after gestational diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2009;373:1773–9.
- 54. Sanabria-Martínez G, García-Hermoso A, Poyatos-León R, Álvarez-Bueno C, Sánchez-López M, Martínez-Vizcaíno V. Effectiveness of physical activity interventions on preventing gestational diabetes mellitus and excessive maternal weight gain: a meta-analysis. BJOG. 2015;122(9):1167–74.
- 55. Song C, Li J, Leng J, Ma RC, Yang X. Lifestyle intervention can reduce the risk of gestational diabetes: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Obes Rev. 2016;17(10):960–9.
- 56. Guo J, Chen JL, Whittemore R, Whitaker E. Postpartum lifestyle interventions to prevent type 2 diabetes among women with history of gestational diabetes: a systematic review of randomized clinical trials. J Women's Health (Larchmt). 2016;25(1):38–49.
- 57. Lo JO, Mission JF, Caughey AB. Hypertensive disease of pregnancy and maternal mortality. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. 2013;25(2):124–32.
- Brown MC, Best KE, Pearce MS, Waugh J, Robson SC, Bell R. Cardiovascular disease risk in women with pre-eclampsia: systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Epidemiol. 2013;28(1):1–19.
- Magro-Malosso ER, Saccone G, Di Tommaso M, Roman A, Berghella V. Exercise during pregnancy and risk of gestational hypertensive disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2017;96(8):921–31.
- 60. Aune D, Saugstad OD, Henriksen T, Tonstad S. Physical activity and the risk of preeclampsia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Epidemiology. 2014;25(3):331–43.
- Haakstad LA, Bø K. Effect of regular exercise on prevention of excessive weight gain in pregnancy: a randomised controlled trial. Eur J Contracept Reprod Healthc. 2011;16:116–25.
- 62. Vallim AL, Osis MJ, Cecatti JG, et al. Water exercises and quality of life during pregnancy. Reprod Health. 2011;8:14.
- Montoya Arizabaleta AV, Orozco Buitrago L, Aguilar de Plata AC, et al. Aerobic exercise during pregnancy improves health-related quality of life: a randomised trial. J Physiother. 2010;56:253–8.
- 64. Barakat R, Pelaez M, Montejo R, et al. Exercise during pregnancy improves maternal health perception: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2011;204:402.e1–7, 19.
- Bolton CE, Bush A, Hurst JR, Kotecha S, McGarvey L. Lung consequences in adults born prematurely. Postgrad Med J. 2015;91(1082):712–8.
- 66. Pyhälä R, Wolford E, Kautiainen H, Andersson S, Bartmann P, Baumann N, Brubakk AM, Evensen KAI, Hovi P, Kajantie E, Lahti M, Van Lieshout RJ, Saigal S, Schmidt LA, Indredavik MS, Wolke D, Räikkönen K. Self-reported mental health problems among adults born preterm: a meta-analysis. Pediatrics. 2017;139(4):e20162690.
- Hammami M, Walters JC, Hockman EM, et al. Disproportionate alterations in body composition of large for gestational age neonates. J Pediatr. 2001;138:817–21.
- Rogers IS, Ness AR, Steer CD, et al. Associations of birth size and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry measures of lean and fat mass at 9 to 10 y of age. Am J Clin Nutr. 2006;84:739–47.

- Simpson J, Smith AD, Fraser A, et al. Programming of adiposity in childhood and adolescence: associations with birth weight and cord blood adipokines. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017;102:499–506.
- 70. Magro-Malosso ER, Saccone G, Di Mascio D, Di Tommaso M, Berghella V. Exercise during pregnancy and risk of preterm birth in overweight and obese women: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2017;96(3):263–73.
- Vamos CA, Flory S, Sun H, DeBate R, Bleck J, Thompson E, Merrell L. Do physical activity patterns across the lifecourse impact birth outcomes? Matern Child Health J. 2015;19(8):1775–82.
- 72. Di Mascio D, Magro-Malosso ER, Saccone G, Marhefka GD, Berghella V. Exercise during pregnancy in normal-weight women and risk of preterm birth: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2016;215(5):561–71.
- 73. Bisson M, Lavoie-Guénette J, Tremblay A, Marc I. Physical activity volumes during pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies assessing the association with infant's birth weight. AJP Rep. 2016;6(2):e170–97.
- 74. Wiebe HW, Boulé NG, Chari R, Davenport MH. The effect of supervised prenatal exercise on fetal growth: a meta-analysis. Obstet Gynecol. 2015;125(5):1185–94.
- Clapp JF III, Capeless EL. Neonatal morphometrics after endurance exercise during pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1990;163(6 Pt 1):1805–11.
- Clapp JF III. Morphometric and neurodevelopmental outcome at age five years of the offspring of women who continued to exercise regularly throughout pregnancy. J Pediatr. 1996;129:856–63.
- 77. Harrod CS, Chasan-Taber L, Reynolds RM, et al. Physical activity in pregnancy and neonatal body composition: the Healthy Start study. Obstet Gynecol. 2014;124(2 Pt 1):257–64.
- Bisson M, Tremblay F, St-Onge O, Robitaille J, Pronovost E, Simonyan D, Marc I. Influence of maternal physical activity on infant's body composition. Pediatr Obes. 2017;12(Suppl 1):38–46.
- Hopkins SA, Baldi JC, Cutfield WS, McCowan L, Hofman Hoplins PL. Exercise training in pregnancy reduces offspring size without changes in maternal insulin sensitivity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2010;95:2080–8.
- 80. Baroni NF, Baldoni NR, Alves GCS, Crivellenti LC, Braga GC, Sartorelli DS. Do lifestyle interventions in pregnant women with overweight or obesity have an effect on neonatal adiposity? A systematic review with meta-analysis. Nutrients. 2021;13(6):1903.
- Mourtakos SP, Tambalis KD, Panagiotakos DB, Antonogeorgos G, Arnaoutis G, Karteroliotis K, Sidossis LS. Maternal lifestyle characteristics during pregnancy, and the risk of obesity in the offspring: a study of 5,125 children. BMC Pregnan Childb. 2015;15:66.
- Mudd LM, Pivarnik J, Holzman CB, Paneth N, Pfeiffer K, Chung H. Leisure-time physical activity in pregnancy and the birth weight distribution: where is the effect? J Phys Act Health. 2012;9(8):1168–77.
- 83. Evenson KR, Barakat R, Brown WJ, Dargent-Molina P, Haruna M, Mikkelsen EM, Mottola MF, Owe KM, Rousham EK, Yeo S. Guidelines for physical activity during pregnancy: comparisons from around the world. Am J Lifestyle Med. 2014;8(2):102–21.
- Evenson KR, Savitz DA, Huston SL. Lesure-time physical activity among pregnat women in the US. Paediat Perinat Epidemol. 2004;18:400–7.
- Rousham EK, Clarke PE, Gross H. Significant changes in physical activity among pregnant women in the UK as assessed by accelerometry and self-reported activity. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2006;60(3):393–400.
- Borodulin KM, Evenson KR, Wen F, Herring AH, Benson AM. Physical activity patterns during pregnancy. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2008;40(11):1901–8.
- Bauman AE, Reis RS, Sallis JF, Wells JC, Loos RJ, Martin BW. Correlates of physical activity: why are some people physically active and others not? Lancet. 2012;380(9838):258–71.
- Bauman AE, Sallis JF, Dzewaltowski DA, Owen N. Toward a better understanding of the influences on physical activity: the role of determinants, correlates, causal variables, mediators, moderators, and confounders. Am J Prev Med. 2002;23(2 Suppl):5–14.

- Gaston A, Cramp A. Exercise during pregnancy: a review of patterns and determinants. J Sci Med Sport. 2011;14:299–305.
- Chasan-Taber L, Schmidt MD, Pekow P, Sternfeld B, Manson J, Markenson G. Correlates of physical activity in pregnancy among Latina women. Matern Child Health J. 2007;11(4):353–63.
- Haakstad LA, Voldner N, Henriksen T, Bø K. Why do pregnant women stop exercising in the third trimester? Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2009;88(11):1267–75.
- 92. Owe KM, Nystad W, Bø K. Correlates of regular exercise during pregnancy: the Norwegian mother and child cohort study. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2009;19(5):637–45.
- 93. Zhang Y, Dong S, Zuo J, Hu X, Zhang H, Zhao Y. Physical activity level of urban pregnant women in Tianjin, China: a cross-sectional study. PLoS One. 2014;9(10):e109624.
- 94. Broberg L, Ersboll AS, Backhausen MG, Damm P, Tabor A, Hegaard HK. Compliance with national recommendations for exercise during early pregnancy in a Danish cohort. BMC Pregnan Childb. 2015;15:317.
- Petersen AM, Leet TL, Brownson RC. Correlates of physical activity among pregnant women in the United States. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2005;37(10):1748–53.
- 96. Schmidt MD, Pekow P, Freedson PS, Markenson G, Chasan-Taber L. Physical activity patterns during pregnancy in a diverse population of women. J Women's Health. 2006;15(8):909–18.
- Juhl M, Madsen M, Andersen AM, Andersen PK, Olsen J. Distribution and predictors of exercise habits among pregnant women in the Danish National Birth Cohort. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2012;22(1):128–38.
- Jukic AMZ, Evenson KR, Herring AH, Wilcox AJ, Hartmann KE, Daniels JL. Correlates of physical activity at two time points during pregnancy. J Phys Act Health. 2012;9(3):325–35.
- 99. Gjestland K, Bø K, Owe KM, Eberhard-Gran M. Do pregnant women follow exercise guidelines? Prevalence data among 3482 women, and prediction of low-back pain, pelvic girdle pain and depression. Br J Sports Med. 2013;47(8):515–20.
- 100. Hinton PS, Olson CM. Predictors of pregnancy-associated change in physical activity in a rural white population. Matern Child Health J. 2001;5(1):7–14.
- 101. Liu J, Blair SN, Teng Y, Ness AR, Lawlor DA, Riddoch C. Physical activity during pregnancy in a prospective cohort of British women: results from the Avon longitudinal study of parents and children. Eur J Epidemiol. 2011;26(3):237–47.
- 102. Evenson KR, Wen F. Prevalence and correlates of objectively measured physical activity and sedentary behavior among US pregnant women. Prev Med. 2011;53(1–2):39–43.
- 103. Jones MA, Whitaker K, Wallace M, Barone Gibbs B. Demographic, socioeconomic, and health-related predictors of objectively measured sedentary time and physical activity during pregnancy. J Phys Act Health. 2021;18:1–8.
- 104. Szumilewicz A, Worska A, Santos-Rocha R, Oviedo-Caro MA. Evidence-based and practiceoriented guidelines for exercising during pregnancy. In: Santos-Rocha R, editor. Exercise and physical activity during pregnancy and postpartum. Evidence-based guidelines. 2nd ed. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2022. Chapter 7.
- 105. Santos-Rocha R, Corrales-Gutierrez I, Szumilewicz A, Pajaujiene S. Exercise testing and prescription during pregnancy. In: Santos-Rocha R, editor. Exercise and physical activity during pregnancy and postpartum. Evidence-based guidelines. 2nd ed. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2022. Chapter 8.
- 106. Szumilewicz A, Santos-Rocha R. Exercise selection during pregnancy. In: Santos-Rocha R, editor. Exercise and physical activity during pregnancy and postpartum. Evidence-based guidelines. 2nd ed. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2022. Chapter 9.
- 107. Zhang J, Savitz DA. Exercise during pregnancy among US women. Ann Epidemiol. 1996;6(1):53–9.
- Symons Downs D, Hausenblas HA. Women's exercise beliefs and behaviors during their pregnancy and postpartum. J Midwif Womens Health. 2004;49(2):138–44.
- Clarke PE, Rousham EK, Gross H, Halligan AW, Bosio P. Activity patterns and time allocation during pregnancy: a longitudinal study of British women. Ann Hum Biol. 2005;32(3):247–58.

- 110. Poudevigne MS, O'Connor PJ. A review of physical activity patterns in pregnant women and their relationship to psychological health. Sports Med. 2006;36(1):19–38.
- 111. Domingues MR, Barros AJD. Leisure-time physical activity during pregnancy in the 2004 Pelotas Birth Cohort Study. Rev Saude Publica. 2007;41(2):173–80.
- 112. Tendais I, Figueiredo B, Mota J. Actividade física e qualidade de vida na gravidez. Aná Psicológica. 2007;25(3):489–501. [Portuguese].
- 113. Gouveia R, Martins S, Sandes AR, Nascimento C, Figueira J, Valente S, Correia S, Rocha E, Silva LJ. Gravidez e exercício mitos, evidências e recomendações. Acta Medica Port. 2007;20:209–14. [Portuguese].
- 114. Hegaard HK, Damm P, Hedegaard M, Henriksen TB, Ottesen B, Dykes A, Kjaergaard H. Sports and leisure time physical activity during pregnancy in nulliparous women. Matern Child Health J. 2011;15:806–13.
- 115. Ribeiro CP, Milanez H. Knowledge, attitude and practice of women in Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil with respect to physical exercise in pregnancy: a descriptive study. Reprod Health. 2011;8:31.
- 116. Walsh JM, McGowan C, Byrne J, McAuliffe FM. Prevalence of physical activity among healthy pregnant women in Ireland. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2011;114(2):154–5.
- 117. Nascimento SL, Surita FG, Cecatti JG. Physical exercise during pregnancy: a systematic review. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. 2012;24(6):387–94.
- 118. Tavares JS, Melo ASO, Amorim MMR, Barros VO, Takito MY, Benício MHD, Cardoso MAA. Padrão de atividade física entre gestantes atendidas pela estratégia saúde da família de Campina Grande–PB. Rev Bras Epidemiol. 2009;12(1):10–9. [Portuguese].
- 119. Lynch KE, Landsbaugh JR, Whitcomb BW, Pekow P, Markenson G, Chasan-Taber L. Physical activity of pregnant hispanic women. Am J Prev Med. 2012;43(4):434–9.
- 120. Davenport MH, Meah VL, Ruchat S-M, Davies GA, Skow RJ, Barrowman N, et al. Impact of prenatal exercise on neonatal and childhood outcomes: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Br J Sports Med. 2018;52(21):1386–96.
- 121. Davenport MH, McCurdy AP, Mottola MF, Skow RJ, Meah VL, Poitras VJ, et al. Impact of prenatal exercise on both prenatal and postnatal anxiety and depressive symptoms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med. 2018;52:1376–85.
- 122. Fazzi C, Saunders DH, Linton K, Norman JE, Reynolds RM. Sedentary behaviours during pregnancy: a systematic review. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2017;14(1):32.
- 123. van Poppel MNM, Simmons D, Devlieger R, van Assche FA, Jans G, Galjaard S, et al. A reduction in sedentary behaviour in obese women during pregnancy reduces neonatal adiposity: the DALI randomised controlled trial. Diabetologia. 2019;62(6):915–25.
- 124. Dieberger AM, Desoye G, Stolz E, Hill DJ, Corcoy R, Simmons D, et al. Less sedentary time is associated with a more favourable glucose-insulin axis in obese pregnant women-a second-ary analysis of the DALI study. Int J Obes. 2021;45(2):296–307.
- 125. Xiang M, Zhang Z, Liang H. Sedentary behavior relates to mental distress of pregnant women differently across trimesters: an observational study in China. J Affect Disord. 2020;260:187–93.
- 126. Whitaker KM, Zhang D, Kline CE, Catov J, Barone Gibbs B. Associations of Sleep with sedentary behavior and physical activity patterns across pregnancy trimesters. Womens Health Issues. 2021;31:366.
- 127. Ross R, Chaput J-P, Giangregorio LM, Janssen I, Saunders TJ, Kho ME, et al. Canadian 24-hour movement guidelines for adults aged 18-64 years and adults aged 65 years or older: an integration of physical activity, sedentary behaviour, and sleep. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. 2020;45(10 Suppl. 2):S57–102.
- 128. Tuleya L. Thesaurus of psychological index terms, 11 Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 2007. xxvi, 463.
- 129. Di Fabio DR, Blomme CK, Smith KM, Welk GJ, Campbell CG. Adherence to physical activity guidelines in mid-pregnancy does not reduce sedentary time: an observational study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2015;12:27.

- 130. Dumuid D, Pedišić Ž, Stanford TE, Martín-Fernández JA, Hron K, Maher CA, et al. The compositional isotemporal substitution model: a method for estimating changes in a health outcome for reallocation of time between sleep, physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Stat Methods Med Res. 2017;28:346.
- 131. Smith KM, Lanningham-Foster LM, Welk GJ, Campbell CG. Validity of the SenseWear armband to predict energy expenditure in pregnant women. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2012;44(10):2001–8.
- 132. Ehrlich SF, Casteel AJ, Crouter SE, Hibbing PR, Hedderson MM, Brown SD, et al. Alternative wear-time estimation methods compared to traditional diary logs for wrist-Worn ActiGraph accelerometers in pregnant women. J Measur Phys Behav. 2020;3(2):110–7.
- 133. Barone Gibbs B, Paley JL, Jones MA, Whitaker KM, Connolly CP, Catov JM. Validity of self-reported and objectively measured sedentary behavior in pregnancy. BMC Pregnan Childb. 2020;20(1):99.
- 134. Chasan-Taber L, Schmidt MD, Roberts DE, Hosmer D, Markenson G, Freedson PS. Development and validation of a pregnancy physical activity questionnaire. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2004;36(10):1750–60.
- Oviedo-Caro MA, Bueno-Antequera J, Munguía-Izquierdo D. Measuring sedentary behavior during pregnancy: comparison between self-reported and objective measures. Matern Child Health J. 2018;22(7):968–77.
- 136. Herring SJ, Foster GD, Pien GW, Massa K, Nelson DB, Gehrman PR, et al. Do pregnant women accurately report sleep time? A comparison between self-reported and objective measures of sleep duration in pregnancy among a sample of urban mothers. Sleep Breath. 2013;17(4):1323–7.
- 137. Migueles JH, Aadland E, Andersen LB, Brønd JC, Chastin SF, Hansen BH, et al. GRANADA consensus on analytical approaches to assess associations with accelerometer-determined physical behaviours (physical activity, sedentary behaviour and sleep) in epidemiological studies. Br J Sports Med. 2021;56:376.
- 138. Chastin SFM, Palarea-Albaladejo J, Dontje ML, Skelton DA. Combined effects of time spent in physical activity, sedentary behaviors and sleep on obesity and cardio-metabolic health markers: a novel compositional data analysis approach. PLoS One. 2015;10(10):e0139984.
- Oviedo-Caro MA, Bueno-Antequera J, Munguia-Izquierdo D. Associations of 24-hours activity composition with adiposity and cardiorespiratory fitness: the PregnActive project. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2020;30(2):295–302.
- 140. Aadland E, Andersen LB, Migueles JH, Ortega FB, Kvalheim OM. Interpretation of associations between the accelerometry physical activity spectrum and cardiometabolic health and locomotor skills in two cohorts of children using raw, normalized, log-transformed, or compositional data. J Sports Sci. 2020;38(23):2708–19.