Chapter 1 Epidemiology and Economics of Deworming



Donald A. P. Bundy, Suzy J. Campbell, Goylette F. Chami, Kevin Croke, Linda Schultz, and Hugo C. Turner

Abstract Global access to deworming is one of the public health success stories of the twenty-first century and was the key catalyst for creating the neglected tropical disease (NTD) agenda. Human worm infections appear to have been with us since the domestication of household animals, some 10,500 years ago, and putative treatments are known from the earliest pharmacopoeias, but it has only been in the last 100 years that we have sought a public health solution and only in the last 5 years that real success at scale has been achieved. This is a success that depends on donated drugs and targeted treatment campaigns outside of the traditional health system. In this chapter, we explore the scientific foundations for this success and explore what this implies for the future management of soil-transmitted helminths (STHs) by health systems. This chapter describes the evolution of public health approaches to reduce the prevalence and morbidity of STH and the evidence of impact of mass drug administration on their target populations, and provides context for the debate that has surrounded these results. This chapter also details the costs of delivering these interventions as well as how future delivery approaches can align with Universal Health Care objectives.

D. A. P. Bundy · L. Schultz (⊠) London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK e-mail: Donald.Bundy@lshtm.ac.uk; linda.schultz@lshtm.ac.uk

S. J. Campbell SCI Foundation, London, UK

G. F. Chami Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

K. Croke Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

H. C. Turner Imperial College London, London, UK

Authors are listed in alphabetical order, with equal contributions from each author.

[©] The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022 F. Bruschi (ed.), *Helminth Infections and their Impact on Global Public Health*, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-00303-5_1

1.1 Introduction

Global access to deworming is one of the public health success stories of the twentyfirst century and was the key catalyst for creating the neglected tropical disease (NTD) agenda. Human worm infections appear to have been with us since the domestication of household animals, some 10,500 years ago, and putative treatments are known from the earliest pharmacopoeias, but it has only been in the last 100 years that we have sought a public health solution and only in the last 5 years that real success at scale has been achieved. This is a success that depends on donated drugs and targeted treatment campaigns outside of the traditional health system. In this chapter, we explore the scientific foundations for this success and explore what this implies for the future management of soil-transmitted helminths (STHs) by health systems.

1.1.1 The Evolution of Deworming Programmes

Figure 1.2 tracks the evolution of deworming programmes from their start as largescale projects before the 1970s to the global movement and national programmes that are the norm today.

The earliest public health programmes that would be recognizable to us today as community deworming were the Rockefeller hookworm campaigns of the early twentieth century, in the Southern United States and certain endemic countries (Ettling 1981; Stiles and Garrison 1906). Despite the toxicity and low efficacy of the drugs then available, recent re-analysis shows that these programmes may have been successful in supporting human development (see Bleakley (2007) for an analysis which finds positive long run effects and Roodman (2018) for a critical analysis), and they laid the conceptual foundation for much of what was to follow.

In tracking this process, there are three interrelated strands that developed together. Two of these strands were science-driven: one was the accumulation of evidence of the scale of health impact and the other the development of cost-efficient interventions, based on growing understanding of epidemiology, pharmaceuticals, and public health implementation science. The third strand depended upon the success of these two and was the slow and difficult evolution in public health policy.

1.1.1.1 The Importance of Scale

The scale of infection had long been recognized, but it took the global chaos of human movements during the Second World War to remind the public health community that worms were the most ubiquitous of chronic human infections, as memorably reported in "This Wormy World" (Stoll 1947). The ground-breaking work of Julia Walsh and Kenneth Warren (Walsh and Warren 1979) led to growing recognition that these

hundreds of millions of STH infections could add up to a huge public health burden even if each individual case was not a clinical priority. In the 1980s, the Rockefeller Foundation launched the "Great Neglected Diseases of Mankind Programme" and cited *Ascaris lumbricoides* infection as an exemplar of this principle (Warren et al. 1993). In 1993, the World Bank's World Development Report "Investing in Health" (World Bank 1993) explored the economic argument for investing in diseases based on their scale, impact, and cost and presented the use of DALYs (disability-adjusted life years) as a way of quantifying the impacts of disease beyond their immediate clinical consequences. Soil-transmitted helminths were cited as a specific example where the long-term developmental impacts, such as on life time educational achievement and future earnings, were potentially a greater contribution to the disease burden than short-term clinical disease (Warren et al. 1993).

1.1.1.2 Developing more Efficient Programmes

When it became clear that worms were a significant public health problem, there was greater incentive to find solutions. In the 1970s, several drugs developed originally for veterinary use were licenced for use in humans; worryingly, these remain the most commonly used treatments today. Almost at the same time, parasite epidemiology took an extraordinary leap forward by applying the principles of population dynamics, originally developed for whole organism ecology, to parasite populations (Anderson and May 1982). This showed that STH infections were regulated by the numbers of adult worms present and thus that reducing infection intensity would simultaneously reduce both morbidity and transmission (see Chap. 11 by Gabrielli (2022) in this volume for more details of the biology and epidemiology of STH). Since infection intensity was often age-related (Fig. 1.1), treating the most heavily infected age groups of the population should then disproportionately reduce infection and disease in the population as a whole. Applying this theory to practical programme design showed that targeting of treatment at school-aged children, who had the most intense infections, resulted in reduced infection in untreated adults (Bundy 1990) and later led to recognition of the economic corollary that there were essentially free additional benefits, or "externalities", to be gained from well-targeted interventions (Miguel and Kremer 2004). In parallel with the focus on targeting, there was a surge of implementation science that focused on minimizing treatment delivery costs. Since the cost of individual diagnosis was some ten times the cost of treatment, the policy game changer was the acceptance that once a community had been recognized as requiring treatment, this could be rolled out as mass drug administration (MDA) without further individual screening (Bundy 1990).

1.1.1.3 Towards a New Global Policy on Deworming

Global policy change tracked this convergence between recognizing the problem and finding a cost-effective solution. Interest in controlling helminth infections



Fig. 1.1 Cross-sectional surveys of the mean intensity of infection in different age groupings for *Ascaris lumbricoides* (**a**), *Trichuris trichiura* (**b**), and hookworm (**c**) based on worm expulsion studies. Source: Adapted from Anderson et al. (2015)

surged after the decision by Merck in 1987 to donate the newly discovered veterinary drug ivermectin for use in controlling river blindness and pledging "as much as necessary for as long as necessary" (Sturchio 2001). This set a precedent for other major donations: from GlaxoSmithKline in 1997 (2012 for STH), Johnson & Johnson in 2006, and Merck KGaA in 2007 (Table 1.1). The value of schoolbased MDA was recognized by the education community as part of the FRESH (Focusing Resources on Effective School Health) framework launched at the World Education Forum in Dakar, 2000 (Bundy et al. 2006), which was followed a year later by a World Health Organization (WHO) declaration in support of school-based MDA (WHA 2011).

		Susceptible	
Company	Drug donated	disease	Commitment
Merck & co.	Ivermectin	Onchocerciasis	Since 1987: unlimited supply until
	(Mectizan)	and lymphatic	onchocerciasis is eliminated
		filariasis	Since 1997: unlimited supply until lym- phatic filariasis is eliminated from Yemen and African continent in regions where lymphatic filariasis is co-endemic with onchocerciasis
			2018–2025: Up to 100 million treat- ments to eliminate lymphatic filariasis using WHO-recommended triple-ther- apy MDA in regions that are not co-endemic for onchocerciasis
GlaxoSmithKline (GSK)	Albendazole	Lymphatic filariasis	Since 1997: up to 600 million tablets annually until lymphatic filariasis is eliminated as a public health problem
		STH	2012–2020: 400 million tablets annually for the treatment of STH in school-aged children
Pfizer	Azithromycin	Trachoma	Since 1998–2025: unlimited quantity to eliminate trachoma as a public health problem
Johnson & Johnson	Mebendazole	STH	2006–2025: initially 50 million annual donation, revised to 200 million annual donation in 2010, for the treatment of STH in school-aged children. From 2020, Johnson & Johnson is donating its chewable paediatric formulation, which can be safely used by preschool-aged children
Merck KGaA	Praziquantel	Schistosomiasis	Since 2007: initially up to 200 million tablets to treat schistosomiasis in school- aged children; commitment revised to an unlimited donation in 2017, until schis- tosomiasis is eliminated as a public health problem

Table 1.1 Medicines donated by pharmaceutical companies to WHO for the control of preventive chemotherapy (PC-)NTDs

Source: Adapted from Bradley et al. (2021) with additional information from Johnson and Johnson (2019)

At the beginning of the 2000s, the epidemiology, economics, and policy components were in place for the rollout of mass treatment programmes to control STH, lymphatic filariasis, and onchocerciasis, providing a model approach to addressing some of the most common infections of low-resourced communities. Activists looked back to the analogous "*Great Neglected Diseases*" programme launched more than 20 years previously and adopted the new "brand" of *Neglected Tropical* *Diseases* (WHO 2004, 2006b; Molyneux et al. 2005; Hotez et al. 2006a, 2006b). A new NTD department was opened at WHO in 2005.

In 2012, a coalition of development partners made a global call, "the London Declaration", to support the WHO NTD 2012-2020 Road Map (WHO 2012) and to continue and expand access to drug donations. Among other pledges, 13 pharmaceutical companies collectively committed to donate 14 billion treatments to control and eliminate 10 NTDs, including the 5 preventive chemotherapy (PC-)NTDs, over a 10-year period (Table 1.1) (Uniting to Combat NTDs 2012). This \$18 billion pharmaceutical donation circumvented the scarcity of domestic resources to secure sufficient quality-assured drugs to achieve NTD targets. The London Declaration attracted additional investors and stakeholders to strengthen country capacity to deliver drugs at scale (Espinal et al. 2021). By 2016, more than a billion treatments were being delivered every year, the majority by school-based MDA for STH (Uniting to Combat NTDs 2016), and in the following year, the Guinness World Records recognized the largest drug donation in a single day, with 200 million doses arriving to distribution facilities across six countries (Guinness World Records 2017). In 2021, a second NTD Road Map was launched, charting a path to 2030 (WHO 2020b).

To summarize, Fig. 1.2 shows that it took a combination of new approaches to launch the ultimately successful movement towards making deworming universally accessible. The first 30 years (1970–2000) were largely focused on demonstrating the previously unrecognized development burden and on creating a control approach, based on the "new" safer anthelmintics, which was also good value for money because it was focused only on a subsection of the population, did not require individual diagnosis, and was delivered through the existing education system and subsidized by donated treatment. 2000 was the watershed moment when there was formal normative acceptance by both the education and health sectors. It then took another 10 years to reach the status of a global movement (perhaps delayed by the "worm wars"; see below), and it is only in the last 5 years that national programmes at scale have become the new normal. The next section considers how these policy changes were rolled out in practice by countries and development partners.

1.2 Global Evidence of Deworming

School-aged children are the cohort with the highest infection burden for STH, and WHO set a global target of 75% treatment coverage of school-aged children (WHO 2012). Focusing treatment on this cohort is anticipated to reduce the greatest burden of attributable morbidity while also holding potential anthelmintic resistance in check (Campbell et al. 2018). Utilizing the existing school infrastructure to deliver periodic MDA for STH and SCH is efficient and cost-effective as it reaches 575 million school-aged children in low-income countries (Bundy 2011) and serves a population that often has little contact with the formal health system (Bundy et al. 2017). Moreover, treatment with anthelmintics offers educational and nutritional

1 Epidemiology and Economics of Deworming



Fig. 1.2 Timeline of milestones related to the epidemiological understanding of helminth infections, relevant policy measures, and pharmaceutical donations. Source: Ettling 1981, Keating (2014), Bundy (1990), Bundy et al. (1990), Anderson and May (1982), Partnership for Child Development https://www.imperial.ac.uk/partnership-for-child-development/about-us/, Warren et al. (1993), World Bank (1993), Bundy et al. (2006), WHA (2011), Miguel and Kremer (2004), WHO (2012), WHO Africa (2015), Uniting to Combat NTDs (2016), Guinness World Records (2017), WHO (2020), Sturchio (2001), Bradley et al. (2021), Uniting to Combat NTDs (2012), Johnson and Johnson (2019)

benefits precisely at the time when children are physically and cognitively maturing (Miguel and Kremer 2004; Baird et al. 2016). Moderate- to heavy-intensity (MHII) STH infections are associated with malnutrition, lethargy, stunting, and impaired physical and cognitive growth (Crompton and Whitehead 1993; Hall et al. 2008; Stoltzfus et al. 1997).

1.2.1 Coverage Achieved to Date

Since 2010, some 3.3 billion treatments have been delivered through schools for the control of STH infection (Montresor et al. 2020). There is some indication that the number of school-aged children living with STH infections was reduced by half between 2010 and 2015 (Bundy et al. 2018); however, the relative contributions of large-scale sustained deworming, improvements to mapping estimates and disease predictions, and socioeconomic development are not possible to elucidate. As of 2018, treatment coverage for STH exceeded 60% of school-aged children in endemic countries, with 28 (of 96) endemic countries reaching effective treatment coverage for 5 or more years (\geq 75%) (Montresor et al. 2020). Of the 28 countries, Burkina Faso and Mali have since stopped MDA and are conducting regular surveillance to detect disease resurgence (Montresor et al. 2020).

Following the London Declaration, most endemic countries scaled up deworming programmes. Many conducted prevalence surveys to determine programmatic area (s) and endemicity level and develop a treatment strategy per WHO recommendations (WHO 2011). This baseline becomes useful for measuring resultant programmatic effectiveness. Although deworming drugs are coordinated by WHO free of charge to countries, extensive within-country distribution is required, necessitating budget and personnel commitments. Most countries use national to local "cascade" distribution; the "reverse cascade" is advantageous for monitoring and evaluation (M&E), to effectively transmit local numbers treated to national levels.

Performance tracking is essential; thus, effective M&E is integral to program success. Existing M&E guidance (WHO 2011), geared towards scaling up, prioritizes process and performance monitoring, including independent MDA monitoring and coverage evaluation surveys (WHO 2016) of participants' receipt of deworming tablets. Results guide programmatic improvements, ideally before the next MDA. While country programmes tend to plan monitoring processes, few establish performance evaluation at inception to determine, via reassessment, programmatic effectiveness in reducing disease severity after achieving five rounds of sustained, high-coverage MDA (WHO 2011). Reassessments are critically important for revising treatment frequency in accordance with WHO decision trees (WHO 2011) and, eventually, to determine whether elimination as a public health problem (EPHP) (WHO 2020b) has been achieved. Yet these surveys are expensive, technically complex (requiring epidemiological oversight), and rarely done without external assistance.

1.2.2 Looking Towards the Endpoint

Some programmes provide outstanding examples of success. Kenya's National School-Based Deworming Programme was established with extensive M&E, including pre- and post-MDA surveys and reassessment surveys, enabling assessment of yearly treatment impact and overall reductions in prevalence and intensity of infection (Mwandawiro et al. 2019). India's National Deworming Day, reaching over 226 million children (WHO n.d.), is the world's largest single-day public health campaign. With substantial government investment and political commitment, India is the exemplar of domestic contribution to deworming. Reassessments have enabled India to conceptualize a 5-year road map, further optimizing domestic deworming investment.

Preventive chemotherapy's oft-discussed limitation is its inability to prevent reinfection. However, it was never meant to. Preventive chemotherapy for STH was intended as sustained, regular drug provision, for the goal of controlling morbidity from MHII STH infection (WHO and WHO Expert Committee on the Control of Schistosomiasis 2002). It was always recommended that STH programs be accompanied by water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) and health education (WHA 2011) although these often receive inadequate NTD programme attention

(Campbell et al. 2016). Long-term, community-wide sanitation infrastructure and hygiene behaviours are believed necessary to sustain disease reductions in endemic settings (Anderson et al. 2014; Campbell et al. 2014).

There may also be important lessons to learn from countries that were among the first and most successful in achieving control. Japan and South Korea both made a very intentional effort to eliminate STH infections. They both used school-based selective drug administration, combined with regular mass screening, health education, night soil treatment, improved WASH infrastructure, and specific legislation, such as the Parasitosis Prevention Law in Japan (Hasegawa et al. 2020; Hong et al. 2006). In both cases, the decline in infection was accompanied by economic development and socioeconomic improvements. This is also true for Kenya and India and can only help reinforce the sustainability of the interventions. The economic trajectory of most countries where STHs are present today is also upwards, and the World Bank estimates that a third of low-income countries in Africa will be middle-income countries by 2030.

1.2.3 Elimination as a Public Health Problem or Interruption of Transmission?

Since 2012, public health policy has gradually shifted from morbidity control to EPHP, and more recently, some programmes express plans to achieve "interruption of transmission" (IOT). In 2030 targets, EPHP is defined as achieving < 2% proportion of MHII STH infections (WHO 2020b). While this may represent achievement of IOT, this is as yet unproven; however, analyses suggest attainment is not uniformly possible (Brooker et al., 2015). If EPHP attainment becomes used to then stop preventive chemotherapy, there will need to be more direct evidence and consideration of other control aspects; otherwise, analyses indicate likely resurgence of STH if solely preventive chemotherapy to SAC is provided. Countries must be enabled for success; yet currently, major evidence shortfalls for IOT include metrics, diagnostic techniques, resources, country capacity, survey methodologies, and validation criteria. Interruption of transmission will likely require increased domestic and donor funding, intensified mapping (including methodologies and diagnostics), increased treatments to more cohorts, community-based augmentation of schoolbased platforms, WASH, lower administrative implementation units, analyses of undifferentiated infections, increased monitoring, tracking of MDA compliance, and monitoring of anthelmintic resistance. Current donations and resourcing do not extend to this. Pharmaceutical companies aim to reduce drug donations and focus on eliminating lymphatic filariasis, onchocerciasis, and trachoma (considered possible by preventive chemotherapy). Unless evidence-based, implementable prospects for IOT are forthcoming, there may be reduced donor interest in maintaining long-term STH preventive chemotherapy.



Fig. 1.3 The need for an evidence-informed monitoring and evaluation framework

Ground-breaking trials include the WASH Benefits randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of WASH and nutrition interventions on outcomes including STH in Kenya and Bangladesh (Luby et al. 2018; Null et al. 2018), the TUMIKIA RCT of community-wide versus school-based treatment in Kenya (Pullan et al. 2019) and the multi-country DeWorm3 RCT which will provide evidence towards feasibility of IOT using biannual MDA to all ages (Ásbjörnsdóttir et al. 2018). A programmatic effort to achieve IOT, using tailored strategies, is the Deworming Innovation Fund, aiming to achieve national IOT in Rwanda and Zimbabwe, county IOT in Kenya, and acceleration towards national IOT in Ethiopia (The END Fund 2020; CIFF 2020).

Looking forward, attention should shift to programmatic and technical requirements of country deworming programmes now that they are scaled up. There are now few STH-endemic landscapes without a control programme (some exceptions include conflict zones). Many countries have reached a "tipping point" of successive years of MDA; every country can anticipate needing at least one and, the majority, two reassessments before 2030. Crucially, robust guidance is needed regarding what countries do when they achieve EPHP, the basis of the 2030 targets (WHO 2020b). At that point, countries are at a decision-making nexus, with scarce evidence for every scenario. They can (1) reduce treatment frequency (per WHO decision trees (WHO 2011)), (2) retain treatment frequency, or (3) intensify activities (increasing treatment frequency and/or incorporating other activities, possibly towards IOT) (Fig. 1.2). Programmatic, technical, sustainability, and resource-based parameters for each decision vary dramatically. Thus, the need for evidence-informed, global M&E frameworks for STH has become acute (Fig. 1.3).

With increased focus on self-reliance and domestic financing towards 2030, the WHO supplemental Sustainability Framework for Action (WHO 2021) aims to

guide governments on embedding NTD programmes within national health strategies. In this context, it is worth noting that the India National Deworming Day programme is almost entirely supported from domestic funds and domestically produced anthelmintics. If the largest deworming programme in the world can be self-reliant, then there is hope for programmes everywhere.

In the next section, we consider the evidence that these programmes have had an impact on their target populations and discuss the debate that has surrounded these results.

1.3 Assessing the Impact: The "Worm Wars" and Beyond

The previous sections have described the long trajectory of deworming programmes and the growing global progress in scaling up these programmes, lowering STH burdens, and reducing associated morbidity. However, despite this progress, there has been some disagreement about the evidence base for health gains at population level from MDA for deworming. This section discusses these debates.

1.3.1 Reviewing the Statistical Evidence from Meta-Analyses

Perhaps the most important disagreement relates to how to aggregate and interpret over 40 years of randomized trials of MDA. Many individual MDA trials have been underpowered to detect meaningful changes in health and nutrition outcomes, so meta-analytic approaches are important tools. Nonetheless, implementation of metaanalysis and interpretation of findings on this topic remain contested. A series of Cochrane systematic reviews and meta-analyses, most recently in 2015 (Taylor-Robinson et al. 2015) and in 2019 (Taylor-Robinson et al. 2019), and a Campbell Collaboration review (Welch et al. 2016) found no average impact of MDA for deworming on nutritional and educational outcomes. By contrast, other metaanalyses have aggregated a larger set of studies and found significant effects, most notably for weight gain, which is the nutritional outcome most commonly measured in STH trials (Croke et al. 2017).

Early versions of these systematic reviews differed significantly in their point estimates of average effects of MDA (Taylor-Robinson et al. 2015; Croke et al. 2017). However, over time, and with scientific exchanges between the review teams, the reviews have begun to converge in the population of included studies and accordingly in the point estimates for key outcomes. For example, the most recent Cochrane review (Taylor-Robinson et al. 2019) estimates an average effect of MDA on weight of 0.11 kg (95% confidence interval (CI) -0.01, 0.24), while the Croke et al. (2017) review estimates an effect of 0.13 kg (95% CI 0.03, 0.24). Notably, these meta-analyses also agree in their finding that trials which dewormed children with confirmed worm infections produced large benefits. Taylor-Robinson et al.

(2019) do not separately analyse "test and treat" studies, but the 2015 Cochrane review (Welch et al. 2016) found significant gains in nutritional outcomes (e.g., weight, height, middle-upper arm circumference) from these studies.

Indeed, while the various systematic reviews continue to differ in inclusion/ exclusion and data extraction decisions regarding individual studies, the convergence of point estimates, and the agreement that deworming treatment brings nutritional benefits to infected populations, suggests that the most pressing question about MDA relates to the cost-effectiveness and the spatial and temporal targeting of the intervention.

1.3.2 Debates over Long-Run Results of Deworming

Separately from debates over the short-run health effects of MDA, a separate literature focuses on long-term benefits to health and broader socioeconomic outcomes. Outside of deworming, a growing literature has demonstrated the importance of early life health and nutrition to adult health and well-being. Thus, an important element of the potential cost-benefit ratio of deworming in childhood is consideration of benefits over the life course. As with MDA meta-analyses, debates have also emerged over the interpretation of the evidence on this topic. These debates have focused on several influential studies which examine short- and long-run socioeconomic benefits of deworming, notably the Miguel and Kremer (2004) study of school-based deworming in western Kenya, and findings from longitudinal tracking of this original trial population (Baird et al. 2016; Hicks et al. 2021). In a setting where STH infection was almost universal, Miguel and Kremer (2004) found that mass deworming increased attendance at dewormed schools as well as for those living in close proximity. A re-analysis of this study found an error which reduced estimates of the geographic distance over which beneficial effects of deworming were detected (Aiken et al. 2015). However, subsequent studies have compared the more intensively and less intensively treated cohorts over the longer term, focusing on education, health, and economic outcomes. Baird et al. (2016) found that a decade after treatment, dewormed men worked 17% more hours per week and had higher living standards, while women were more likely to have passed primary school leaving exams and attended secondary school (Baird et al. 2016). Following the same cohorts after 20 years, Hicks et al. (2021) found that the more intensively treated groups had per capita household consumption expenditure that was 14% higher (p = 0.06) than less treated groups (Hicks et al. 2021). This leads the authors to estimate 37% annual social internal rate of return for MDA in this setting. Detailed scrutiny of the longitudinal studies (Roodman 2016, 2017) has largely supported the validity of these findings.

However, even as these studies from the western Kenya cohort demonstrate large benefits over the life course, other recent trials in lower prevalence settings have not found educational or cognitive gains to MDA. For example, Liu et al. (2017) do not find any nutritional or test score gains from MDA in schools in rural China, while

Croke and Atun (2019) do not find significant literacy or numeracy gains 10 years after early childhood deworming in rural eastern Uganda, although effects on nutrition had been observed soon after treatment. As with studies of short-run health outcomes, heterogeneous effects are likely mediated by environmental conditions; both the China and eastern Uganda settings were low to medium prevalence and light intensity settings, whereas, as Hicks et al. (2021) note, the high prevalence and heavy intensity of infection around Lake Victoria circa 1998 could explain the large gains that deworming has generated over the life course in that part of Kenya.

1.3.3 Reframing the Debates over Evidence as Policy Decisions

How, then, should this complex body of evidence be interpreted by policymakers? We can reframe the debates over statistical evidence in the form of a policy decision problem: how should a policymaker with a given level of helminth prevalence think about deworming policies, taking into account expected value, cost, and equity? Decisions about whether or not to support MDA in a given setting require both synthesis and interpretation of the global evidence base but also local knowledge and a decision theory perspective. Some analysts have focused on a "hypothesis testing" approach (i.e., whether or not MDA has a zero-average effect across all settings) to inform a binary decision about whether MDA programmes are justified on a global level. However, this approach to evidence synthesis does not match the decision problem facing health policymakers. Perhaps a more relevant policy question in the case of deworming MDA is rather where MDA can be expected to be cost-effective relative to other health interventions in a given setting.

As mentioned above, there is a consensus clinically and in the meta-analyses that infected children should be treated. All recent meta-analyses find that there is large heterogeneity in impact, with significant effects in some trials and settings and minimal effects in others (consistent with the clinical understanding of STH infection). Since treatment of infected children is uncontroversial, it seems likely to be uncontroversial to presumptively treat high prevalence populations (i.e., where average infection rates are 80–100%). Conversely, it is also likely consensus that it does not make sense to conduct MDA in populations with low prevalence and very few to no highly infected children. The policy question globally is where to place the threshold: WHO guidelines place the threshold for annual deworming at 20% prevalence (WHO 2017), and a recent modelling study (Lo et al. 2016) supported this 20% threshold.

A decision theory approach faced by a specific policymaker should integrate global evidence with knowledge of local conditions to generate an expectation of benefit, net of costs, that MDA is likely to generate. A reasonable interpretation of the global evidence base is that deworming has population-level impact on children's nutrition but that the impacts are likely concentrated in heavily infected individuals, so population benefits (and the statistical power of trials to detect them) will vary by population infection prevalence and especially intensity. There is also some probability, in high prevalence settings, that MDA can benefit individuals over the life course. Equity considerations are also relevant since infection is correlated with poverty and disadvantage. Expected benefits in a given epidemiological context should therefore consider both short- and long-run benefits in a probabilistic framework and should be compared to the modest costs of MDA and the cost/benefit profile of other health interventions.

The quality of data and analysis of the impact of deworming programmes have improved with time, and current evidence suggests that, in some settings, the impacts are substantial and long term. In the next section, we consider the costs of achieving these impacts.

1.4 The Economics of Interventions

One of the first key areas of health economic analysis related to deworming was whether selective treatment should be used, that is, where only those that are tested positive for infection (or suspected to be infected) are treated or mass treatment. Although selective treatment uses fewer drugs relative to mass treatment, due to the costs associated with conducting the testing and the test's sensitivity, mass treatment is less costly and more effective strategy for deworming (Warren et al. 1993). Consequently, mass treatment became the standard strategy.

1.4.1 Costs Related to Deworming Programmes

The cost of deworming varies between different settings depending on several factors, such as the implementation method, the salaries of healthcare personnel, and the size of the targeted population (Goldman et al. 2007; Gedge et al. 2018; Turner et al. 2021).

1.4.1.1 Delivery Cost Benchmarks and Drivers

Current benchmarks of the delivery costs of mass deworming are generally quoted to be around US\$0.50 per treatment (Turner et al. 2021; Fitzpatrick et al. 2016). However, delivery costs vary across different settings and are positively correlated with local GDP (Fitzpatrick et al. 2016). Therefore, there are settings with higher delivery costs. Importantly, deworming delivery costs show economies of scale, and therefore, as the number of people treated increases, the cost per treatment tends to decrease (Turner et al. 2018; Conteh et al. 2010). The costs of deworming will

Strategy	Number treated	Assumed cost per treatment (US\$)	Estimated total financial cost per year (US\$)
School-based treatment	6 million children (Hodges 2017)	0.30 ^a -0.56 ^b	1.8–3.4 million
Community- wide treatment	14 million individuals ^c	0.32 ^d -0.46 ^e	4.4–6.4 million

 Table 1.2
 Hypothetical case study of the estimated financial costs of using different treatment strategies within the Kenyan national STH control programme

Source: Adapted from Turner and Bundy (2020)

^aBased on the WHO MDA cost benchmark model (Fitzpatrick et al. 2016)

^bEstimate from Evidence Action (a programmatic estimate for 2015) (Hodges 2017)

^cApproximated based on demographic data from the World Bank (n.d.)

^dBased on the estimate from the TUMIKIA trial (Pullan et al. 2019): routine scenario (excluding the research costs) relating to whole county (i.e., estimate at scale). US\$0.025 per treatment was added for the cost of albendazole

^eBased on the estimate from the TUMIKIA trial (Pullan et al. 2019)—routine scenario (excluding the research costs) relating to trial areas only. US\$0.025 per treatment was added for the cost of albendazole

therefore depend on the size of the targeted population, and the cost per treatment can be much higher for small programmes.

When comparing different cost estimates, it is important to note if these are financial or economic costs. Financial costs represent the actual monetary expenditure for the goods, resources, and services that are purchased (i.e., the amount of money paid) for an intervention. Economic costs conceptualize costs more broadly and represent the full value of the resources utilized in providing an intervention, including the economic value of donated resources (such as unpaid time of health personnel). Economic costs of deworming programmes are therefore typically higher than financial costs.

The precise relative cost of different deworming implementation methods (such as school-based vs community-wide treatment) is currently unknown. It is important to note that even if community-wide treatment has a lower cost per treatment compared to school-based strategies, the total annual cost of community-wide treatment will typically be higher because more individuals are targeted (case study in Table 1.2) (Turner and Bundy 2020). That said, it has been shown that leveraging existing delivery platforms (such as child health days or antenatal clinics) is cheaper than providing the treatment through a dedicated deworming programme (Turner et al. 2021; Bangert et al. 2019; Chami and Bundy 2019). For example, Boselli et al. (2011) estimated that the delivery costs of adding deworming into an existing immunization and vitamin A supplementation campaign cost less than US\$0.01 per treatment (2009 prices) when targeting children 1–5 years of age and women of childbearing age.

1.4.1.2 Cost of the Drugs

The drugs used for deworming are often donated, and when this is the case, they are not a financial cost to the programmes (Turner et al. 2021). Their economic value can, however, be included as an economic cost, depending on the study's perspective *i.e.* the viewpoint from which the intervention's costs and consequences are evaluated. The value of donated medicines can be a notable economic cost to deworming programmes (Turner et al. 2021). GlaxoSmithKline valuation of donated albendazole is US\$0.045 per tablet (which was reduced from a valuation of US\$0.19 per tablet in 2009 (Goldman et al. 2011). It should be noted that it is difficult to estimate the true economic cost of these deworming drugs (Turner et al. 2017, 2019b; Hernando et al. 2016). In some cases, the value of the drugs reported by donating companies can be higher than the cost of generic versions, and the correct value to use is debatable (Turner et al. 2021; Hernando et al. 2016). The market price of albendazole and mebendazole can be as low as US\$0.02-0.03 per tablet and as high as several hundred dollars within US markets (Pullan et al. 2019; Boselli et al. 2011; Shahriar and Alpern 2020). If and how the donated drugs are valued causes variation in cost-effectiveness estimates of deworming. In addition, some countries do not use donated drugs and purchase their own drugs. Such variation needs to be considered when comparing costing and cost-effectiveness analyses (Turner et al. 2021).

1.4.2 Cost-Effectiveness of Deworming

A number of cost-effectiveness analyses have been performed on STH deworming (reviewed in more detail in the Turner et al. (2021) paper). The estimated cost-effectiveness of annual school-based deworming for STH has been found to be favourable but varies across different studies (with the cost per DALY averted varying between US\$8 and 1077 (Table 1.3)). This variation is at least partly due to two key factors. The first is the local pre-control endemicity: the higher the endemicity, the higher the level of morbidity. Therefore, as the pre-control endemicity increases so does the cost-effectiveness of deworming. The second factor is the methods used to estimate the DALYs and how these are changed over time (Turner et al. 2021). For example, cognitive impairment was removed as a quantifiable sequela of STH infection for Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Although this was justified by a perceived lack of evidence of causation (Taylor-Robinson et al. 2012), it is an area of debate within the field (Owada et al. 2017; Bundy et al. 2009; Campbell et al. 2016).

The generalizability of the reported cost-effectiveness estimates of deworming depends on multiple factors, including the epidemiological setting and drivers that influence the delivery costs (Turner et al. 2021). It is important to consider these when comparing and interpreting different studies for informing policy decisions

Table 1.3 The c	ost per disabili	ity-adjusted life year (DALY) ave	erted estimates relating	to annual school-based dew	orming for STH	
			Approach used to estimate the			
Ctudu	Publication	Intervention and catting	effectiveness and	Assumed average costs of	Average cost-effectiveness	Cost
Soil-transmitted	helminthiases	(STH)		preventitive circumounerapy	ומווסאם דדער וסל הוומו	yca
					(+ +	
Chan et al.	1997	Mass treating SAC against	Dynamic transmis-	US\$1600 to treat the	Us\$8	Unclear
(Chan 1997)		A. <i>lumbricoides</i> —Within a	sion model (time	schoolchildren per		
		high prevalence community	horizon, 10 years)	100,000 population in		
		(timeframe for the interven-		China		
		tion, 10 years)				
Miguel and	2004	Biannual mass school-based	Based on project	Based on US\$0.49 per	US\$280 (per STH related	Unclear
Kremer		treatment-Given within a	data (time horizon,	pupil per year (removing	DALY averted)	
(Miguel and		project in Kenya (timeframe	1 year)	the costs related to		
Kremer 2004)		for the intervention, 1 year)		praziquantel)		
Hotez et al.	2006	Annual mass school-based	Back of the enve-	Not stated	US\$326.43 (note that within	Unclear
(DCP2)		treatment-Hypothetical set-	lope (time horizon,		the report, the results were	
(Hotez, Bundy		ting (timeframe for the inter-	not clearly stated)		reported as US\$3.41 but there	
et al. 2006)		vention, not clearly stated)			were errors within the calcu- lation (GiveWell 2011b))	
GiveWell	2011	Annual mass school-based	Back of the enve-	US\$0.085 per treatment	US\$82.54	Unclear
(GiveWell		treatment—Hypothetical set-	lope (time horizon,	4		
2011a)		ting (timeframe for the inter-	one treatment			
		vention, one treatment round)	round)			
Lo et al.	2016	Annual mass school-based	Dynamic transmis-	US\$0.53 per treatment	20% prevalence in SAC:	2015
(Lo et al.		treatment-Hypothetical set-	sion model (time	(including drug costs)	US\$1077	
2016)		ting (timeframe for the inter-	horizon, 5 years)		60% prevalence in SAC:	
		vention, 5 years)			US\$298	
					85% prevalence in SAC: US\$174	

1 Epidemiology and Economics of Deworming

(continued)

17

			Approach used to estimate the			
	Publication		effectiveness and	Assumed average costs of	Average cost-effectiveness	Cost
Study	year	Intervention and setting	time horizon	preventive chemotherapy	ratio per DALY averted	year
Schistosomiasis,	lymphatic fila	riasis, and STH				
De Neve et al.	2018	Annual mass school-based	Static model (time	Not directly reported	US\$125 (95% uncertainty	2013
(De Neve et al.		treatment-Based on the PC	horizon, unclear)		range, 65–231)	
2018)		programme in Madagascar				
		(timeframe for the interven- tion. one treatment round)				
Schistosomiasis	and STH					
Warren et al.	1993	Hypothetical setting	Static calculation	US\$0.8-1.80 per child	US\$6–33	Unclear
(DCP1)		(timeframe for the interven-	(time horizon,	per year (including drug		
(Warren et al.		tion, 10 years)	10 years)	costs)		
1993)						
Miguel and	2004	Annual mass school-based	Based on project	US\$0.49 per pupil per	US\$5 (99% of the benefit was	Unclear
Kremer		treatment for schistosomiasis	data (time horizon,	year (including drug	due to averted	
(Miguel and		and biannual mass school-	1 year)	costs)	schistosomiasis)	
Kremer 2004)		based treatment for STH				
		Given within a project in				
		Kenya (timeframe for the				
		intervention, 1 year)				
Lo et al.	2015	Annual mass school-based	Dynamic transmis-	US\$0.71 per treatment	US\$118 (US\$87–140) (92%	2014
(Lo et al.		treatment-Within four com-	sion model (time	(including drug costs)	of the disability resulted from	
2015)		munities in Côte d'Ivoire	horizon, 15 years)		Schistosoma spp. infections)	
		(timeframe for the interven-				
		tion, 15 years)				
It was not possibl	e to adjust the	different studies for inflation and	I they are reported in the	heir original cost year (Turne	r et al. 2019a)	

Table 1.3 (continued)

(Turner et al. 2021). The majority of the estimates are below the cost-effectiveness thresholds commonly used for low-income countries (Turner et al. 2021), and the highest estimate relates to a low endemicity setting (20% prevalence, below which mass treatment is not recommended (Table 1.3)). A further consideration is that the cost-effectiveness of deworming is greater when considering integrated control, such as the cost-effectiveness of deworming against both schistosomiasis and STH in one programme, as opposed to separate control programmes (Table 1.3).

It is important to note that it is debatable whether the DALY averted metric (which focuses on losses of optimum health) is truly capturing all the long-term benefits and value for money of deworming against STH (Turner et al. 2021). Consequently, the broad benefits of deworming against STH may not be fully captured by the conventional approaches to cost-effectiveness analysis. For example, Hicks et al. (2021) recently demonstrated significant long-term economic benefits of deworming children, such as on household income. Additionally, the DALY framework fails to acknowledge the implications of socioeconomic context; the burden of disease will vary within at-risk groups based on poverty-related factors.

Box 1.1 School-Based vs Community-Wide Deworming for STH

A key research gap is the relative benefits and cost-effectiveness of switching from school-based to community-wide MDA for STH. On the plus side, using community-wide MDA for STH could reduce infection overall (by treating currently untreated adults and perhaps children not reached through the school-based programme) generating additional health benefits, and in addition, mathematical models suggest that community-wide MDA may contribute to the interruption of transmission (Anderson et al. 2014; Truscott et al. 2014, 2016), which could potentially be cost-saving in the long term (Turner et al. 2015a). On the minus side, however, community-wide MDA would very significantly increase the number of treatments required, potentially more than doubling costs in the example here (Table 1.2).

The potential benefits of switching to community-wide MDA are highly dependent on the local setting (Anderson et al. 2015). This is illustrated in Fig. 1.1, which shows the different age profiles of infection for the different STH species. Based on these age profiles, the benefits could be notable for hookworm but small for the other species (Truscott et al. 2016; Anderson et al. 2015; Turner et al. 2015a). The benefits will also be smaller in settings that have a low baseline level of endemicity and for settings that have had past community-wide MDA for lymphatic filariasis. Consequently, the health gains from switching will vary depending on which STH species are endemic, the treatment history, and the baseline level of endemicity (Turner and Bundy 2020; Turner et al. 2015a).

1.4.3 Economic Benefits of Deworming

In addition to their impact as measured by averting DALYs, NTDs are known to cause financial hardship among affected individuals, which can exacerbate the cycle of poverty (Fitzpatrick et al. 2017). Therefore, deworming can also have important socioeconomic benefits (as discussed in the "Global Evidence of Deworming" section and summarized by Ahuja et al. (2017)).

Some studies have estimated the monetary value of the benefits of deworming programmes (Turner et al., 2015b, Turner et al., 2020). For example, Redekop et al. (2017) estimated large economic benefits from preventive chemotherapy. Typically within these studies, the majority of the economic benefits are due to the estimated monetary value of productivity gains, and these are highly dependent on several assumptions, such as the number of disease cases averted due to deworming, the effect of clinical disease on productivity, the number of years of productive life lived with clinical disease, employment rates and wage rates (Turner 2021). Furthermore, most of the studies used the human capital approach where all potential production not performed by a person due to morbidity or early mortality is counted as the productivity loss (Gedge et al. 2018; Turner 2021; Turner et al. 2016). Consequently, the estimated monetized economic benefits being quoted in many studies are generally based on potential rather than experienced productivity gains. That said, the overall conclusion that the deworming programmes generate notable economic benefits appears robust, and some studies have looked at the actual economic benefits experienced by treated populations.

The evidence suggests that deworming has achieved impact at remarkably low cost. But the programmes are often stand-alone efforts reliant to a large extent on external funding. Is the success sustainable with the present model? In the next section, we envisage future approaches that are more aligned to the aspirations of access to Universal Health Care (UHC).

1.5 Health System Issues

Mass drug administration depends on the large-scale donation of medicines, which cannot go on indefinitely if there are donor fatigue, changes in industry leadership, fluctuations in international aid commitments, and global insecurity (Glenn et al. 2020). In this section, we rethink the current approach to deworming, with less emphasis on MDA and school children and more emphasis on adults and UHC.

1.5.1 Reliance on External Support and Donations and MDA Instability

A pressing example of the potential vulnerability of MDA to external shocks was shown by the aid cuts which took place when the United Kingdom's Department for International Development (DFID) was merged with the Foreign and Common-wealth Office to form the Foreign, Development, and Commonwealth Office, as of 2 September 2020. By January 2021, UK overseas development assistance for low-income countries was cut by US\$1.69 billion (£1.2bn) (House of Commons: Foreign Affairs Committee 2020; Mitchell et al. 2021). Prior to these changes, DFID was a key donor to NTD programmes, for example, pledging US\$271 million (£195 m) towards MDA implementation during the London Declaration (Watts 2017).

In addition to political changes, global insecurity has highlighted weaknesses in the current model of MDA implementation. With the SARS-CoV2 pandemic, WHO, which manages the drug donations, recommended halting MDA as of 1 April 2020 (WHO 2020a). Mass drug administration is a vertical campaign that bypasses existing health systems (Chami and Bundy 2019). Although a cadre of volunteers, lay health workers, and primary school teachers are trained through MDA to distribute preventive chemotherapies, these medicines are often unavailable within peripheral primary healthcare (PHC) facilities. Without donated medicines available in local health systems, individuals infected with one or more STH were left untreated when MDA was halted since alternative options were unavailable. The COVID-19 pandemic has shown clearly the vulnerability of MDA alone because of its restricted access to medicines outside of scheduled campaigns.

Increasing country ownership of STH programmes is an important way forward to establishing a more sustainable treatment programme. The recently launched WHO 2021–2030 Road Map for NTDs emphasizes increasing country ownership, in particular exploring options for domestic financing (WHO 2020b). In line with bold new visions in the Road Map, this implies a need to (1) switch from treating specific diseases to treating people, (2) integrate treatment within local healthcare systems, and (3) increase country decision-making for STH treatment regimens/ strategies. To achieve these objectives, alternatives to MDA are needed that align more closely with the principles of UHC, which aspires to make essential services always available and to ensure that when they are used, they do not result in financial hardship.

1.5.2 MDA Does Not Equate with UHC

Mass drug administration often is used as a proxy indicator of UHC (Fitzpatrick et al. 2018). By providing essential health services at no cost to the end patient, MDA is one step towards providing essential health services for NTDs (Chami and Bundy

2019). However, using MDA as a proxy indicator of UHC may be misleading and masks the inequities present in the distribution of preventive chemotherapies and lack of control for the patient over their own treatment options (Dean et al. 2019). Endemic country financing options still need to be developed for medicine purchase and delivery through PHC facilities.

With current approaches to MDA, the choice of when and where to receive treatment is not made by the patient. In many endemic settings, there are often no on-demand treatment options for STH. However, it may now be possible to develop strategies for on-demand treatment for STH as prevalence decreases worldwide due to the successes of MDA (Montresor et al. 2020). The placement of medicines in PHC facilities to enable on-demand treatment raises a number of challenges and, in turn, future research opportunities. Open questions remain as to whether the donated medicines should be provided for use outside of vertical campaigns. In addition to promoting UHC and in-country ownership, there is a need to assess whether the individuals most in need of treatment would be reached through PHC facilities and whether this strategy is cost-effective, including the willingness to pay of participants for preventive chemotherapies or the willingness of national health systems to pay for diagnostics (Storey et al. 2019).

1.5.3 Rethinking Infection Mapping Strategies

At a minimum, to make progress towards the placement of medicines in PHC facilities, new infection mapping strategies are needed that require a rethinking of the overall strategy. For example, they need not be reliant, as they are now, on administrative units (e.g., districts) or sampling of children in primary schools. The target population for on-demand treatment access, focusing on the users of PHC, might arguably be primarily adults. Adults can have heavy infections with STH, especially hookworm, can play a major role in sustaining transmission in endemic communities, and, perhaps most importantly, are in charge of the decisions and financial resources for bringing children to health facilities for treatment (Chami et al. 2015, 2018). This is in contrast to the current focus on school-aged children within primary schools for STH treatment (WHO 2006a).

This shift in thinking implies a need for new prevalence mapping strategies to measure STH prevalence within the catchment of the PHC facility. A list of communities served by a health centre, a spatial buffer such as a predefined radius from the health centre, or spatially regulated sampling (Fronterre et al. 2020) may be used to define catchment areas. After defining catchments, random sampling of eligible communities and individuals within those communities may be used to estimate STH prevalence. Cutting-edge approaches for spatial modelling, yet to be used by the NTD field, such as gravity models also may be applied to incorporate healthcare access within catchment definitions (Apparicio et al. 2008). Remarkably, Travel times to a majority of government health centres across sub-Saharan Africa already have been estimated and are publicly available (Weiss et al. 2020). These

revised implementation units for treatment programmes may guide the placement of preventive chemotherapies.

1.5.4 Towards Patient-Led, On-Demand Treatment

If STH treatment was available in PHC facilities, the next step to ensuring equitable access to treatment is to increase patient awareness. Campaigns have been underway to clearly communicate the definition of UHC, establish its purpose within countries, and provide technical knowledge (Holtz et al. 2018). In addition to the existing challenges of creating a common understanding and platform for UHC, STHs face the barrier of informing those who need treatment that on-demand options are available within PHC facilities. Health education campaigns will be needed to share the principles of UHC and to inform individuals of their right to request treatment for STH (Ediriweera et al. 2019; Montresor and Mupfasoni 2019). There is preliminary support for the demand for preventive chemotherapies outside of MDA (Dhakal et al. 2020). In Bangladesh, where only school-aged children were targeted for treatment, adults were found to experience a similar decline in prevalence when compared to treated children over a 10-year period. The authors speculate that this decline may be due to adults actively purchasing deworming medicines or improved WASH. To improve patient-led demand, other initiatives such as child health days, women's reproductive health clinics, and vaccine campaigns conducted through health centres might be coupled with the provision of deworming medicines to reach at-risk individuals.

Importantly, in STH-endemic areas, individuals who seek care from government health centres have been shown to differ in terms of socioeconomic status and WASH behaviours than individuals who seek care from traditional healers or private clinics or seek no care at all (Chami et al. 2018). This implies that those most likely to be infected with STH are also less likely to seek treatment. This has the potential to undermine a patient-led process. Working with local communities and working with community leaders to advocate on-demand treatment should be investigated as a method to increase patient awareness and address inequalities related to who seeks treatment (Valente and Pumpuang 2007).

1.5.5 Improved Health Information Systems to Support UHC

Health management information systems (HMIS) are improving in low-income countries. For example, the MalariaCare Electronic Data System used across Africa has enabled data entry at the district level with guided software platforms to reduce data entry time and errors (Burnett et al. 2019). A similar platform might be developed for (1) STH catchment mapping, (2) tracking of medicines delivered from national medical stores, and (3) record keeping of medicines administered to

patients. At the very least, results from NTD registers that are used to track STH campaigns should be shared with HMIS, particularly by training existing HMIS staff to handle MDA data (as is being done with ESPEN).

Digital health approaches have shown promise for improving data management of STH in PHC facilities. Mobile notifications already have been widely used for lymphatic filariasis (and the distribution of albendazole) (Stanton et al. 2016; Tilahun et al. 2021). Text messaging and mobile applications have assisted in tracking albendazole medicine supplies, confirming patient treatment receipt, and providing healthcare information. Biometric technology, for example, fingerprint scanning, in the Geshiyaro study in Ethiopia has been used to verify treatment receipt in MDA campaigns for STH (Mekete et al. 2019). In addition to data management and patient outreach, a move towards systems thinking may assist in strengthening local health systems.

1.5.6 Future Research Is Needed for UHC Integration

Such an approach would require acknowledging that "quick hit" solutions to STH are no longer plentiful (having been achieved by MDA) and that STH treatment can no longer be reduced to only MDA. Instead, complexity should be embraced by acknowledging the changing international landscape, patient needs, and dynamic health systems within endemic countries. For on-demand treatment in PHC facilities, there is a need to revise the understanding of the epidemiology of STH. Repeated MDA has been shown to miss individuals systematically, thereby introducing heterogeneity into the known distribution of infections within endemic communities (Basáñez et al. 2012; Chami et al. 2017, 2016). In particular, community-based distribution of albendazole in the context of areas co-endemic with lymphatic filariasis and STHs has been shown to miss the most marginalized individuals of low socioeconomic status and with limited access to adequate sanitation and safe water. These individuals are the most likely to be infected with STH. As these characteristics also represent individuals who also are less likely to seek care from government health centres (Chami et al. 2018), the need to monitor these characteristics in PHC facilities is twofold. Observable characteristics of poor socioeconomic status and inadequate WASH may be used to redefine at-risk groups for STH within PHC facilities. Simple characteristics such as home quality, drinking water source, and latrine ownership might be used to identify the groups for treatment through blanket or test-and-test strategies. One step towards inclusion of these social determinants of treatment would be to trial the collection of different indicators across countries where MDA is ongoing. The feasibility and applicability of observable characteristics could be systematically identified in future research to assess the evidence for UHC integration by piloting the collection of this information in NTD registers, holding focus groups in endemic communities, and conducting key informant interviews (e.g., with district health officers or primary school teachers).

1.6 Conclusions

This chapter has undertaken a sequential analysis of the global development of deworming programmes. We have discussed the evolution of policy, the translation of policy into programming, and the measurement of the impact and cost of the programmes and explored what might come next. In this final section, we offer some concluding thoughts on each of these topics.

The evolution of policy: There has been recognition of worms as a health issue for thousands of years, but it is only in the last 100 years that there have been concerted public health responses. The "modern" approach to deworming, with a focus on specific treatments delivered at large scale to at-risk populations, first emerged in the 1980s, some 40 years ago, and reached the status of broad consensus in the mid-2000s. The consensus was around mass drug administration, with effective pharmaceuticals delivered through schools to school-aged children without individual diagnosis, in communities shown by prior screening to have infection prevalence greater than 20%. While many countries went ahead with their own programmes, it was only 8 years ago, in 2012, that a global effort was launched, and only in the last 5 years that programmes have been implemented at global scale.

This then is a story of success. We would remark on two points. First, this seems like a long time for the rollout of a seemingly very simple intervention; change in global health policy comes slowly. Second, and perhaps worryingly, the main pharmaceuticals used are based on products first discovered for veterinary applications, and there has been no breakthrough deworming drug for human or veterinary use discovered in the last 30 years.

The translation of policy into programmes: The 2012 "London Declaration on NTDs" was a watershed moment in the global rollout of the deworming programmes, driven by the availability of donated treatments by the pharmaceutical industry. This has become the largest public health donation programme in human history, and the mobilization of drugs during 2018 was recognized as such by the *Guinness Book of World Records*. School-based MDA has been adopted by nearly all the countries where STH infection is endemic at levels considered to be of public health consequence. Some 3.3 billion treatments have been delivered to school-aged children through schools since 2010. Some countries have stopped treatment, but for a majority of the worst affected, the focus now is on identifying a threshold, based on "Elimination as a Public Health Problem" or "Interruption of Transmission", that will allow countries to scale back their programmes and to transition to sustainable, self-reliant programmes supported by domestic financing.

Measuring the impact of programmes: For many public health practitioners, their awareness of deworming may be largely as spectators of the "worm wars". Today, the apparently conflicting interpretations of the evidence seem to have converged in some sense: There is a consensus clinically and in the meta-analyses that infected children should be treated. All recent meta-analyses find that there is large heterogeneity in impact, with significant effects in some trials and settings and minimal effects in others (consistent with the clinical understanding of STH infection). There appears to be common ground around the justification for presumptively treating high prevalence populations (i.e., where average infection rates are 80–100%). Conversely, it is also likely consensus that it does not make sense to conduct MDA in populations with low prevalence and very few to no highly infected children. The policy question globally is where to place the threshold. This helps reframe the debate over statistical evidence into a policy decision problem: how should a policymaker with a given level of helminth prevalence think about deworming policies, taking into account expected value, cost, and equity?

Assessing the costs and benefits of programmes: Analyses show that the current deworming strategies are cost-effective and value for money. This appears to hold even if the cost of procuring treatment is included. The programmes also appear to be cost-beneficial, although the current framework for estimating DALYs does not fully summarize the disease burden, potentially underestimating the returns. There is a need to more comprehensively capture the health benefits of deworming, including quantifying if they are associated with excess mortality, and evaluate the non-health-related benefits of deworming, such as improved educational and economic outcomes. This will be particularly important in considering the potential costs and benefits of broadening MDA coverage to whole communities. As programmes evolve away from stand-alone vertical programmes, decision-makers need to consider the cost-effectiveness of integrated NTD control programme packages and should account for the potential returns from building on established health system platforms and primary healthcare (PHC) facilities to deliver treatments, particularly to adults.

Rethinking deworming as an integrated part of health systems: Deworming programmes have become among the most ubiquitous and cost-effective public health programmes worldwide. This has taken a long time to happen, in terms of conceptualizing the problem, developing the solution, and mobilizing resources, but in the end has become a success story benefitting billions of children. Looking forward, however, it is clear that the current reliance on MDA, whether school based or not, presents major concerns about vulnerability to external shocks, such the cessation of the donations, and the consequences of such stand-alone programmes as countries strive to achieve Universal Health Care. It took some 40 years to develop and roll out the MDA approach; it would be timely to start thinking now about what should replace it in the context of UHC.

References

Ahuja A, Baird S, Hicks JH, Kremer M, Miguel E (2017) Economics of mass deworming programs. In: Bundy DAP, de Silva N, Horton S, Jamison DT, Patton GC (eds) Disease control priorities, third edition (volume 8): child and adolescent health and development, 3rd edn. World Bank Group, Washington, DC, pp 413–422. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-0423-6_ch29

- Aiken AM, Davey C, Hargreaves JR, Hayes RJ (2015) Re-analysis of health and educational impacts of a school-based deworming programme in Western Kenya: a pure replication. Int J Epidemiol 44(5):1572–1580. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyv127
- Anderson R, May R (1982) Population dynamics of human helminth infections: control by chemotherapy. Nature 297(5867):557–563. https://doi.org/10.1038/297557a0
- Anderson R, Truscott J, Deirdre T, Hollingsworth (2014) The coverage and frequency of mass drug administration required to eliminate persistent transmission of soil-transmitted helminths. Philos Trans R Soc no. 369. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0435
- Anderson RM, Turner HC, Truscott JE, Déirdre Hollingsworth T, Brooker SJ (2015) Should the goal for the treatment of soil transmitted helminth (STH) infections be changed from morbidity control in children to community-wide transmission elimination? Edited by Serap Aksoy. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 9(8):e0003897. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003897
- Apparicio, Philippe, Mohamed Abdelmajid, Mylène Riva, and Richard Shearmur. 2008. Comparing alternative approaches to measuring the geographical accessibility of urban health services: distance types and aggregation-error issues. doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-072X-7-7
- Ásbjörnsdóttir, Kristjana Hrönn, Sitara S. Rao Ajjampur, Roy M. Anderson, Robin Bailey, Iain Gardiner, Katherine E. Halliday, Moudachirou Ibikounle, et al. 2018. Assessing the feasibility of interrupting the transmission of soil-transmitted helminths through mass drug administration: the DeWorm3 cluster randomized trial protocol. Edited by Amadou GarbaPLoS Negl Trop Dis 12 (1): e0006166. doi:https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006166
- Baird S, Hicks JH, Kremer M, Miguel NE, Audi K et al (2016) Worms at work: long-run impacts of a child health investment. Q J Econ 131(4):1637–1680
- Bangert M, Bancalari P, Mupfasoni D, Mikhailov A, Gabrielli AF, Montresor A (2019) Provision of deworming intervention to pregnant women by antenatal services in countries endemic for soil-transmitted helminthiasis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 13(5). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd. 0007406
- Basáñez M-G, French MD, Walker M, Churcher TS (2012) Paradigm lost: how parasite control may alter pattern and process in human helminthiases. Trends Parasitol 28(4):161–171. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2012.02.004
- Bleakley H (2007) Disease and development: evidence from hookworm eradication in the American south. Q J Econ 122(1):73–117. https://doi.org/10.1162/qjec.121.1.73
- Boselli G, Yajima A, Aratchige PE, Feldon KE, Xeuatvongsa A, Phounphenghak K, Sihakhang K et al (2011) Integration of deworming into an existing immunisation and vitamin a supplementation campaign is a highly effective approach to maximise health benefits with minimal cost in Lao PDR. Int Health 3:240–245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inhe.2011.08.002
- Bradley M, Taylor R, Jacobson J, Guex M, Hopkins A, Jensen J, Leonard L et al (2021) Medicine donation programmes supporting the global drive to end the burden of neglected tropical diseases. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 115(2):136–144. https://doi.org/10.1093/trstmh/traa167
- Brooker SJ, Nikolay B, Balabanova D, Pullan RL (2015) Global feasibility assessment of interrupting the transmission of soil-transmitted helminths: a statistical modelling study. Lancet Infect Dis 15(8):941–950. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/
- Bundy D (1990) Control of intestinal nematode infections by chemotherapy: mass treatment versus diagnostic screening. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 84(5):622–625. https://doi.org/10.1016/0035-9203(90)90126-Y
- Bundy DAP, Wong MS, Lewis LL, Horton J (1990) Control of geohelminths by delivery of targeted chemotherapy through schools. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 84(1):115–120
- Bundy D (2011) In: Bundy DAP (ed) Rethinking school health: a key component of education for all. IBRD/World Bank, Washington, DC. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-0-8213-7907-3
- Bundy D, Shaeffer S, Jukes M, Beegle K, Gillespie A, Drake L, Lee A-MF, Hoffman S-h et al (2006) School-based health and nutrition programs. In: Jamison D, Brenanm JG, Measham AR, Alleyne G, Claeson M, Evans D, Jha P, Mills A, Musgrove P (eds) Disease control priorities in developing countries, 2nd edn. The World Bank/Oxford University Press, New York, pp 1091–1108. http://files.dcp2.org/pdf/expressbooks/prevent.pdf#page=78

- Bundy DAP, Kremer M, Bleakley H, Jukes MCH, Miguel E (2009) Deworming and development: asking the right questions, asking the questions right. Edited by Gavin Yamey. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 3(1):e362. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000362
- Bundy D, Schultz L, Sarr B, Banham L, Colenso P, Drake L (2017) The school as a platform for addressing health in middle childhood and adolescence. In: Bundy DAP, de Silva N, Horton S, Jamison DT, Patton G (eds) Disease control priorities, third edition (volume 8): child and adolescent health and development, 3rd edn. IBRD/World Bank, Washington, DC, pp 269–285. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-0423-6_ch20
- Bundy D, Appleby LJ, Bradley M, Kevin C, Deirdre Hollingsworth T, Pullan R, Turner HC, de Silva N (2018) 100 years of mass deworming Programmes: a policy perspective from the World Bank's disease control priorities analyses. Adv Parasitol 100:127–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/ bs.apar.2018.03.005
- Burnett SM, Wun J, Evance I, Davis KM, Smith G, Lussiana C, Tesha G et al (2019) Introduction and evaluation of an electronic tool for improved data quality and data use during malaria case management supportive supervision. Am J Trop Med Hyg 100(4):889–898. https://doi.org/10. 4269/ajtmh.18-0366
- Campbell SJ, Savage GB, Gray DJ, Atkinson J-AM, Soares Magalhães RJ, Nery SV, McCarthy JS et al (2014) Water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH): a critical component for sustainable soiltransmitted helminth and schistosomiasis control. Edited by Xiao-nong Zhou. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 8(4):e2651. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002651
- Campbell SJ, Nery SV, McCarthy JS, Gray DJ, Soares Magalhães RJ, Clements ACA (2016) A critical appraisal of control strategies for soil-transmitted helminths. Trends Parasitol. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2015.10.006
- Campbell SJ, Osei-Atweneboana MY, Stothard R, Koukounari A, Cunningham L, Armoo SK, Biritwum N-K et al (2018) Tropical medicine and infectious disease the COUNTDOWN study protocol for expansion of mass drug administration strategies against schistosomiasis and soiltransmitted helminthiasis in Ghana. Trop Med Infect Dis 3:10. https://doi.org/10.3390/ tropicalmed3010010
- Chami GF, Bundy DAP (2019) More medicines alone cannot ensure the treatment of neglected tropical diseases. Lancet Infect Dis. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(19)30160-4
- Chami GF, Fenwick A, Bulte E, Kontoleon AA, Kabatereine NB, Tukahebwa EM, Dunne DW (2015) Influence of Schistosoma Mansoni and hookworm infection intensities on Anaemia in Ugandan villages. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 9(10). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004193
- Chami GF, Kontoleon AA, Bulte E, Fenwick A, Kabatereine NB, Tukahebwa EM, Dunne DW (2016) Profiling nonrecipients of mass drug Administration for Schistosomiasis and Hookworm Infections: a comprehensive analysis of Praziquantel and Albendazole coverage in communitydirected treatment in Uganda. Clin Infect Dis 62(2):200–207. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/civ829
- Chami GF, Kontoleon AA, Bulte E, Fenwick A, Kabatereine NB, Tukahebwa EM, Dunne DW (2017) Community-directed mass drug administration is undermined by status seeking in friendship networks and inadequate Trust in Health Advice Networks. Soc Sci Med 183:37– 47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.04.009
- Chami GF, Kabatereine NB, Tukahebwa EM, Dunne DW (2018) Precision Global Health and comorbidity: a population-based study of 16 357 people in rural Uganda. J R Soc Interface 15. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2018.0248
- Chan MS (1997) The global burden of intestinal nematode infections—fifty years on. Parasitol Today 13(11):438–443. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-4758(97)01144-7
- CIFF (2020) Deworming Innovation Fund:2020. https://ciff.org/grant-portfolio/deworminginnovation-fund/
- Conteh L, Engels T, Molyneux DH (2010) Socioeconomic aspects of neglected tropical diseases. Lancet 375:239–247. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61422-7
- Croke, Kevin, and Rifat Atun. 2019. The long run impact of early childhood deworming on numeracy and literacy: evidence from Uganda. doi:https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd. 0007085

- Croke K, Hicks JH, Hsu E, Kremer M, Miguel E (2017) Should the WHO withdraw support for mass deworming? PLoS Negl Trop Dis 11(6). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005481
- Crompton DWT, Whitehead RR (1993) Hookworm infections and human iron metabolism. Parasitology 107(S1):s137–S145. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182000075569
- Dean, Laura, Kim Ozano, Oluwatosin Adekeye, Ruth Dixon, Ebua Gallus Fung, Margaret Gyapong, Sunday Isiyaku, et al. 2019. Neglected tropical diseases as a 'litmus test' for universal health coverage? Understanding who is left behind and why in mass drug administration: lessons from four country contexts. Edited by Arianna R. Means. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 13 (11): e0007847. doi:https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007847
- Dhakal S, Mohammad Jahirul KID, Al Kawsar A, Jasmine IID, Rahman M, Cara TID, Kabir A, Rubina IID (2020) Post-intervention epidemiology of STH in Bangladesh: Data to Sustain the Gains. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008597
- Ediriweera DS, Gunawardena S, Gunawardena NK, Iddawela D, Kannathasan S, Murugananthan A, Yahathugoda C, Pathmeswaran A, Diggle PJ, de Silva N (2019) Reassessment of the prevalence of soil-transmitted helminth infections in Sri Lanka to enable a more focused control Programme: a cross-sectional National School Survey with spatial modelling. Lancet Glob Health 7(9):e1237–e1246. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(19) 30253-0
- Espinal M, Kruk ME, Cisse M, Mohamed M, Wainwright E (2021) Considerations for a sustainability framework for neglected tropical diseases programming. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 115:176–178. https://doi.org/10.1093/trstmh/traa196
- Ettling J (1981) The germ of laziness. In: Rockefeller philanthropy and public health in the new south. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, p 263
- Fitzpatrick, Christopher, Fiona M. Fleming, Matthew Madin-Warburton, Timm Schneider, Filip Meheus, Kingsley Asiedu, Anthony W. Solomon, Antonio Montresor, and Gautam Biswas. 2016. Benchmarking the cost per person of mass treatment for selected neglected tropical diseases: an approach based on literature review and meta-regression with web-based software application. Edited by Hélène Carabin. PLOS Negl Trop Dis 10 (12): e0005037. doi:https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005037
- Fitzpatrick C, Nwankwo U, Lenk E, de Vlas SJ, Bundy DAP (2017) An investment case for ending neglected tropical diseases. In: Disease control priorities, 3rd edn (vol 6): Major infectious diseases. The World Bank, pp 411–431. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-0524-0_ch17
- Fitzpatrick C, Bangert M, Mbabazi PS, Mikhailov A, Zouré H, Rebollo MP, Correia MR, e Silva, and Gautam Biswas. (2018) Monitoring equity in universal health coverage with essential Services for Neglected Tropical Diseases: an analysis of data reported for five diseases in 123 countries over 9 years. Lancet Glob Health 6(9):e980–e988. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2214-109X(18)30307-3
- Fronterre C, Amoah B, Giorgi E, Stanton MC, Diggle PJ (2020) Design and analysis of elimination surveys for neglected tropical diseases. J Infect Dis 221(Supplement_5):S554–S560. https://doi. org/10.1093/infdis/jiz554
- Gabrielli AF (2022) Soil-transmitted helminthiasis. In: Bruschi F (ed) Helminth infections and their impact on global public health. Springer
- Gedge LM, Bettis AA, Bradley MH, Déirdre Hollingsworth T, Turner HC (2018) Economic evaluations of lymphatic Filariasis interventions: a systematic review and research needs. Parasites Vectors 11(75). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-018-2616-z
- GiveWell. 2011a. Cost-effectiveness in \$/DALY for deworming interventions. https://www.givewell.org/international/technical/programs/deworming/cost-effectiveness
- GiveWell. 2011b. Errors in DCP2 cost-effectiveness estimate for deworming. https://blog.givewell. org/2011/09/29/errors-in-dcp2-cost-effectiveness-estimate-for-deworming/
- Glenn J, Kamara K, Umar ZA, Chahine T, Daulaire N, Bossert T (2020) Applied systems thinking: a viable approach to identify leverage points for accelerating Progress towards ending neglected tropical diseases. Health Res Policy Syst 18(56). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-020-00570-4

- Goldman AS, Guisinger VH, Aikins M, Maria Lourdes E, Amarillo VY, Belizario BG et al (2007) National mass drug administration costs for lymphatic Filariasis elimination. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 1(1):e67. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000067
- Goldman AS, Brady MA, Direny A, Desir L, Oscard R, Vely JF, Linehan M, Baker M (2011) Costs of integrated mass drug administration for neglected tropical diseases in Haiti. Am J Trop Med Hyg 85(5):826–833. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2011.10-0635
- Guinness World Records. 2017. Most medication donated in 24 hours. https://www.guinnessworldrecords.com/world-records/439520-most-medication-donated-in-24-hours
- Hall A, Hewitt G, Tuffrey V, De Silva N (2008) A review and meta-analysis of the impact of intestinal worms on child growth and nutrition. Maternal Child Nutr 4:118–236
- Hasegawa M, Pilotte N, Kikuchi M, Means AR, Papaiakovou M, Gonzalez AM, Maasch JRMA et al (2020) What does soil-transmitted helminth elimination look like? Results from a targeted molecular detection survey in Japan. Parasites Vectors 13(1):6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3875-z
- Hernando Y, Colwell K, Wright BD (2016) Doing well while fighting river blindness: the alignment of a corporate drug donation programme with responsibilities to shareholders. Trop Med Int Health 21(10):1304–1310. https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.12759
- Hicks JH, Miguel E, Walker M, Kremer M, Baird S (2021) Twenty-year economic impacts of deworming. PNAS 118(14). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023185118/-/DCSupplemental.y
- Hodges, Liam Chan. 2017. Costs of school-based deworming: a best-buy development intervention—evidence action evidence action. https://www.evidenceaction.org/deworm-cost-per-child/
- Holtz J, Cox B, Cico A (2018) Building momentum for universal health coverage, Washington, DC. https://lhssproject.org/building-momentum-for-universal-health-coverage
- Hong ST, Chai JY, Choi MH, Huh S, Rim HJ, Lee SH (2006) A successful experience of soiltransmitted helminth control in the Republic of Korea. Korean J Parasitol 44(3):177–185. https://doi.org/10.3347/kjp.2006.44.3.177
- Hotez PJ, Bundy DAP, Beegle K, Brooker S, Drake L, de Silva N, Montresor A et al (2006a) Helminth infections: soil-transmitted helminth infections and schistosomiasis. In: Jamison DT, Breman JG, Measham AR (eds) Disease control priorities in developing countries, 2nd edn. The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank, Washington, DC, pp 467–482. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21250326
- Hotez, Peter J., David H. Molyneux, Alan Fenwick, Eric Ottesen, Sonia Ehrlich Sachs, and Jeffrey D. Sachs. 2006b. Incorporating a rapid-impact package for neglected tropical diseases with programs for HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria: a comprehensive pro-poor health policy and strategy for the developing world. PLoS Med. Public Library of Science. doi:https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pmed.0030102
- Keating C (2014) Ken Warren and the Rockefeller foundation's great neglected diseases network, 1978–1988: the transformation of tropical and global medicine. Mol Med 16(20):S24–S30
- House of Commons: Foreign Affairs Committee (2020) Merging success: bringing together the FCO and DFID, London. https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm5801/cmselect/cmfaff/809/80 902.htm
- Johnson & Johnson (2019) Johnson & Johnson extends long-standing donation program to support global effort to tackle intestinal worms. Johnson & Johnson. https://www.jnj.com/johnsonjohnson-extends-long-standing-donation-program-to-support-global-effort-to-tackle-intestinalworms
- Liu C, Louise L, Zhang L, Luo R, Sylvia S, Medina A, Rozelle S, Smith DS, Chen Y, Zhu T (2017) Effect of deworming on indices of health, cognition, and education among schoolchildren in rural China: a cluster-randomized controlled trial. Am J Trop Med Hyg 96(6):1478–1489. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.16-0354
- Lo NC, Bogoch II, Blackburn BG, Raso G, N'Goran EK, Coulibaly JT, Becker SL, Abrams HB, Utzinger J, Andrews JR (2015) Comparison of community-wide, integrated mass drug administration strategies for schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiasis: a cost-effectiveness

modelling study. Lancet Glob Health 3(October):e629-e638. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00047-9

- Lo NC, Lai YS, Karagiannis-Voules DA, Bogoch II, Coulibaly JT, Bendavid E, Utzinger J, Vounatsou P, Andrews JR (2016) Assessment of global guidelines for preventive chemotherapy against schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiasis: a cost-effectiveness modelling study. Lancet Infect Dis 16(9):1065–1075. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30073-1
- Luby SP, Rahman M, Arnold BF, Unicomb L, Ashraf S, Winch PJ, Stewart CP et al (2018) Effects of water quality, sanitation, handwashing, and nutritional interventions on Diarrhoea and child growth in rural Bangladesh: a cluster randomised controlled trial. Lancet Glob Health 6(3): e302–e315. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(17)30490-4
- Mekete K, Ower A, Dunn J, Sime H, Tadesse G, Abate E, Nigussu N et al (2019) The Geshiyaro project: a study protocol for developing a scalable model of interventions for moving towards the interruption of the transmission of soil-transmitted helminths and Schistosome infections in the Wolaita zone of Ethiopia. Parasit Vectors 12(503). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3757-4
- Miguel E, Kremer M (2004) Worms: identifying impacts on education and health in the presence of treatment externalities. Econometrica 72(1):159–217. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0262. 2004.00481.x
- Mitchell I, Hughes S, Ritchie E (2021) An overview of the impact of proposed cuts to UK aid l center for global development. Center for Global Development. https://www.cgdev.org/publication/overview-impact-proposed-cuts-uk-aid
- Molyneux DH, Hotez PJ, Fenwick A (2005) 'Rapid-impact interventions': how a policy of integrated control for Africa's neglected tropical diseases could benefit the poor. PLoS Med 2(11):e336. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0020336
- Montresor, Antonio, and Deniose Mupfasoni. 2019. Achievements of the deworming programme in Sri Lanka. Lancet Glob Health. Elsevier Ltd.. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(19) 30309-2
- Montresor A, Mupfasoni D, Mikhailov A, Mwinzi P, Lucianez A, Jamsheed M, Gasimov E et al (2020) The global progress of soil-transmitted helminthiases control in 2020 and World Health Organization targets for 2030. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 14(8):1–17. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pntd.0008505
- Mwandawiro C, Okoyo C, Kihara J, Simiyu E, Kepha S, Campbell SJ, Freeman MC, Brooker SJ, Njenga SM (2019) Results of a national school-based deworming programme on soiltransmitted helminths infections and schistosomiasis in Kenya: 2012–2017. Parasites Vectors 12(76):1–18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3322-1
- Neve JW, De RL, Andriantavison KC, Krisam J, Rajoela VH, Rakotoarivony RA, Rambeloson V, Schultz L, Qamruddin J, Verguet S (2018) Health, financial, and education gains of investing in preventive chemotherapy for schistosomiasis, soil-transmitted helminthiases, and lymphatic Filariasis in Madagascar: a modeling study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 12(12):1–17. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pntd.0007002
- Null C, Stewart CP, Pickering AJ, Dentz HN, Arnold BF, Arnold CD, Benjamin-Chung J et al (2018) Articles effects of water quality, sanitation, handwashing, and nutritional interventions on Diarrhoea and child growth in rural Kenya: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. Lancet Glob Health 6:e316–e329. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30005-6
- Owada K, Nielsen M, Lau CL, Clements ACA, Yakob L, Soares RJ, Magalhães. (2017) Measuring the effect of soil-transmitted helminth infections on cognitive function in children: systematic review and critical appraisal of evidence. Adv Parasitol 98:1–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs. apar.2017.05.002
- Pullan RL, Halliday KE, Oswald WE, Mcharo C, Beaumont E, Kepha S, Witek-McManus S et al (2019) Effects, equity, and cost of school-based and community-wide treatment strategies for soil-transmitted helminths in Kenya: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. Lancet 393(10185): 2039–2050. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32591-1

- Redekop, William K., Edeltraud J. Lenk, Marianne Luyendijk, Christopher Fitzpatrick, Louis Niessen, Wilma A. Stolk, Fabrizio Tediosi, et al. 2017. The socioeconomic benefit to individuals of achieving the 2020 targets for five preventive chemotherapy neglected tropical diseases. Edited by Paul J Brindley PLOS Negl Trop Dis 11 (1): e0005289. doi:https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pntd.0005289
- Roodman, David. 2016. Why I mostly believe in worms. The GiveWell Blog December 6, 2016. https://blog.givewell.org/2016/12/06/why-i-mostly-believe-in-worms/
- Roodman, David. 2017. How thin the reed? Generalizing from 'Worms at work.' The GiveWell Blog. January 4, 2017. https://blog.givewell.org/2017/01/04/how-thin-the-reed-generalizing-from-worms-at-work/
- Roodman D (2018) Comment: the impacts of hookworm eradication in the American south, San Francisco
- Shahriar AA, Alpern JD (2020) Antiparasitic drugs in the United States—two roads to high prices. Front Sociol 5(October):540478. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2020.540478
- Stanton M, Molineux A, Mackenzie C, Kelly-Hope L (2016) Mobile technology for empowering health workers in underserved communities: new approaches to facilitate the elimination of neglected tropical diseases. JMIR Public Health Surveill 2(1). https://doi.org/10.2196/ publichealth.5064
- Stiles CW, Garrison PE (1906) A statistical study of the prevalence of intestinal Worms in man. US Government Printing Office
- Stoll NR (1947) This wormy world. J Paristol 33:1-18
- Stoltzfus RJ, Albonico M, Tielsch JM, Chwaya HM, Savioli L (1997) School-based deworming program yields small improvement in growth of Zanzibari school children after one year. J Nutr 127(11):2187–2193. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/127.11.2187
- Storey HL, Agarwal N, Cantera J, Golden A, Gallo K, Herrick T, Belizario V et al (2019) Formative research to inform development of a new diagnostic for soil-transmitted helminths: going beyond the laboratory to ensure access to a needed product. Edited by Ana Lourdes Sanchez. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 13(5):e0007372. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007372
- Sturchio JL (2001) The case of Ivermectin: lessons and implications for improving access to care and treatment in developing countries. Community Eye Health 14(38):22–23. https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1705916/pdf/jceh_14_38_022.pdf
- Taylor-Robinson DC, Maayan N, Soares-Weiser K, Donegan S, Garner P (2012) Deworming drugs for soil-transmitted intestinal Worms in children: effects on nutritional indicators, Haemoglobin and school performance (review). Cochrane Database Syst Rev 11:143. http://www.thecochranelibrary.com
- Taylor-Robinson DC, Maayan N, Soares-Weiser K, Donegan S, Garner P (2015) Deworming drugs for soil-transmitted intestinal Worms in children: effects on nutritional indicators, Haemoglobin, and school performance (review). Cochrane Database Syst Rev 7:160. https://doi.org/10.1002/ 14651858.CD000371.pub6
- Taylor-Robinson DC, Maayan N, Donegan S, Chaplin M, Garner P (2019) Public health deworming Programmes for soil-transmitted helminths in children living in endemic areas (review). Cochrane Database Syst Rev 9:172. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000371. pub7
- The END Fund (2020) The END Fund announces the deworming innovation Fund in Four African Countries on the inaugural world NTD day. https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/the-end-fund-announces-the-deworming-innovation-fund-in-four-african-countries-on-the-inaugu ral-world-ntd-day-300996147.html
- Tilahun B, Gashu KD, Mekonnen ZA, Endehabtu BF, Angaw DA (2021) Mapping the role of digital health technologies in the case detection, management, and treatment outcomes of neglected tropical diseases: a scoping review. Trop Med Health 49(17). https://doi.org/10. 1186/s41182-021-00307-1

- Truscott JE, Déirdre Hollingsworth T, Brooker SJ, Anderson RM (2014) Can chemotherapy alone eliminate the transmission of soil transmitted helminths? Parasites Vectors 7(1):266. https://doi. org/10.1186/1756-3305-7-266
- Truscott JE, Turner HC, Farrell SH, Anderson RM (2016) Soil-transmitted helminths: mathematical models of transmission, the impact of mass drug administration and transmission elimination criteria. Adv Parasitol 94:133–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apar.2016.08.002
- Turner H (2021) Health economic analyses of the global Programme to eliminate lymphatic Filariasis. Int Health 13(Suppl.1):S71–S74. https://doi.org/10.1093/inthealth/ihaa095
- Turner HC, Bundy DAP (2020) Programmatic implications of the TUMIKIA trial on communitywide treatment for soil-transmitted helminths: further health economic analyses needed before a change in policy. Parasites Vectors 12(102). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-3977-7
- Turner HC, Truscott JE, Bettis AA, Shuford KV, Dunn JC, Déirdre Hollingsworth T, Brooker SJ, Anderson RM (2015a) An economic evaluation of expanding hookworm control strategies to target the whole community. Parasites Vectors 8(570). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-015-1187-5
- Turner HC, Truscott JE, Hollingsworth TD, Bettis AA, Brooker SJ, Anderson RM (2015b) Cost and cost-effectiveness of soil-transmitted helminth treatment programmes: systematic review and research needs. Parasites Vectors 8(355). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-015-0885-3
- Turner HC, Bettis AA, Chu BK, McFarland DA, Hooper PJ, Ottesen EA, Bradley MH (2016) The health and economic benefits of the global programme to eliminate lymphatic Filariasis (2000-2014). Infect Dis Poverty 5(54). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-016-0147-4
- Turner HC, Bettis AA, Chu BK, Mcfarland DA, Hooper PJ, Mante SD, Fitzpatrick C, Bradley MH (2017) Investment success in public health: an analysis of the cost-effectiveness and cost-benefit of the global programme to eliminate lymphatic Filariasis. Clin Infect Dis 728(6):728–763. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciw835
- Turner HC, Jaspreet Toor TD, Hollingsworth, and Roy M. Anderson. (2018) Economic evaluations of mass drug administration: the importance of economies of scale and scope. Clin Infect Dis 66(8):1298–1303. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix1001
- Turner HC, Lauer JA, Tran BX, Teerawattananon Y, Jit M (2019a) Adjusting for inflation and currency changes within health economic studies. Value Health 22(9):1026–1032. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jval.2019.03.021
- Turner HC, Walker M, Pion SDS, McFarland DA, Bundy DAP, Basáñez M-G (2019b) Economic evaluations of onchocerciasis interventions: a systematic review and research needs. Trop Med Int Health 24(7):788–816. https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.13241
- Turner HC, French MD, Montresor A, King CH, Rollinson D, Toor J (2020) Economic evaluations of human schistosomiasis interventions: a systematic review and identification of associated research needs. Wellcome Open Res 5(45). https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15754.2
- Turner HC, Stolk WA, Solomon AW, King JD, Montresor A, Molyneux DH, Toor J (2021) Are current preventive chemotherapy strategies for controlling and eliminating neglected tropical diseases cost-effective? BMJ Glob Health 6(8):e005456. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2021-005456
- Uniting to Combat NTDs. 2012. London declaration on neglected tropical diseases. https:// unitingtocombatntds.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/london_declaration_on_ntds.pdf
- Uniting to Combat NTDs. 2016. Executive summary: beating 10 diseases, reaching a billion people. https://unitingtocombatntds.org/reports/5th-report/executive-summary/
- Valente TW, Pumpuang P (2007) Identifying opinion leaders to promote behavior change. Health Edu Behav 34(6):881–896. https://doi.org/10.1177/1090198106297855
- Walsh JA, Warren KS (1979) Selective primary health care. N Engl J Med 301(18):967–974. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejm197911013011804
- Warren KS, Bundy DAP, Anderson RM, Davis AR, Henderson DA, Jamison DT (1993) Helminth infections. In: Disease control priorities in developing countries, 1st edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp 131–160

- Watts C (2017) Neglected tropical diseases: a DFID perspective. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 11(4). https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005492
- Weiss DJ, Nelson A, Vargas-Ruiz CA, Gligorić K, Bavadekar S, Gabrilovich E, Bertozzi-Villa A et al (2020) Global maps of travel time to healthcare facilities. Nat Med 26(12):1835–1838. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1059-1
- Welch VA, Ghogomu E, Hossain A, Awasthi S, Bhutta Z, Cumberbatch C, Fletcher R et al (2016) Deworming and adjuvant interventions for improving the developmental health and Well-being of children in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review and network metaanalysis. Campbell Syst Rev 12(1):1–383. https://doi.org/10.4073/csr.2016.7
- WHA (2011) Resolution 54.19 schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminth infections, Geneva
- WHO (2004) Intensified control of neglected diseases report of an international workshop, Geneva. www.who.int
- WHO (2006a) Preventive in human helminthiasis chemotherapy, coordinated use of anthelmintic drugs in control interventions: a manual for health professionals and Programme managers. Switzerland, Geneva
- WHO (2006b) Strategic and technical meeting on intensified control of neglected tropical diseases, Geneva. http://www.who.int/neglected_diseases/en/
- WHO (2011) Helminth control in school-age children: a guide for managers of control Programmes, 2nd edn. Switzerland, Geneva. http://www.who.int/neglected_diseases/en
- WHO (2012) Accelerating work to overcome the global impact of neglected tropical diseases: a roadmap for implementation. Switzerland, Geneva
- WHO (2016) Coverage evaluation surveys for preventive chemotherapy: field guide for implementation. Switzerland, Geneva. http://www.who.int/about/licensing/copyright_form/en/index.html
- WHO (2017) Preventive chemotherapy to control soil-transmitted helminth infections in at-risk population groups. WHO, Geneva. http://apps.who.int/bookorders
- WHO (2020a) COVID-19: WHO issues interim guidance for implementation of NTD Programmes. WHO World Health Organization. http://www.who.int/neglected_diseases/news/COVID19-WHO-interim-guidance-implementation-NTD-programmes/en/
- WHO (2020b) Ending the neglect to attain the sustainable development goals. World Health Organization, Geneva. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-0524-0/ch17
- WHO (2021) A sustainability framework for action against neglected tropical diseases 2021–2030. Ending the neglect to attain the sustainable development goals, Geneva. https://www.who.int/ publications/i/item/9789240019027
- WHO. n.d. Soil-Transmitted Helminths: Number of Children (Pre-SAC and SAC) Requiring Preventive Chemotherapy for Soil-Transmitted Helminthiases: 2019. Accessed April 7, 2021. https://apps.who.int/neglected_diseases/ntddata/sth/sth.html
- WHO, and WHO Expert Committee on the Control of Schistosomiasis (2002) Prevention and control of schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiasis: report of a WHO expert committee, Geneva. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/42588
- World Bank (1993) World development report 1993: investing in health. World development report 1993. Oxford University Press, New York. https://doi.org/10.1596/0-1952-0890-0
- World Bank. n.d. World Bank Indicators. https://data.worldbank.org/indicator