# **Chapter 7 Decarbonisation Pathways for Buildings**



**Souran Chatterjee, Benedek Kiss, Diana Ürge-Vorsatz, and Sven Teske**

**Abstract** This section documents the development of four different energy demand pathways on the basis of the high-effciency buildings (HEB) model of the Central European University. The assumptions and the scenario narratives are derived and the results provided in numerous graphs and tables. Of the four derived scenarios, two are selected for the OECM and the selection criteria are justifed. The results in terms of the global energy demand and energy-related  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions are provided in tables.

**Keywords** Decarbonisation pathways · Buildings · Residential · Commercial · High-efficiency buildings (HEB) model  $\cdot$  Energy intensities  $\cdot$  Floor area  $\cdot$ Bottom-up demand projections

The developments of the regional and global energy demand for the building sector are described in this chapter. Sections [7.1](#page-1-0) and [7.2](#page-1-1) document the development of the bottom-up energy demand projections for buildings with the methodology described in Sect. [3.2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-99177-7_3#Sec16) and are authored by Prof. Dr. Diana Ürge-Vorsatz, Dr. Souran Chatterjee, and Benigna Boza-Kiss of the Central European University Budapest, Hungary. The last section describes the implementation of this research in the wider OneEarth Climate Model (OECM) to generate a single  $1.5 \degree C$  energy pathway for buildings and construction.

S. Chatterjee · B. Kiss · D. Ürge-Vorsatz

Department of Environmental Sciences and Policy, Central European University, Budapest, Hungary e-mail: [ChatterjeeS@ceu.edu;](mailto:ChatterjeeS@ceu.edu) [KissB@ceu.edu](mailto:KissB@ceu.edu)[; Vorsatzd@ceu.edu](mailto:Vorsatzd@ceu.edu)

S. Teske  $(\boxtimes)$ 

Institute for Sustainable Futures, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia e-mail: [sven.teske@uts.edu.au](mailto:sven.teske@uts.edu.au)

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>**7.1 Buildings**

The building sector is responsible for 39% of process-related greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions globally and accounts for almost 32% of the global fnal energy demand, making the building sector pivotal in reducing the global energy demand and climate change (Ürge-Vorsatz et al., [2015a,](#page-22-0) [2020\)](#page-22-1). The building sector is often suggested to have the largest low-cost climate change mitigation potential, achievable by reducing the energy demand (Ürge-Vorsatz & Tirado Herrero, [2012a;](#page-22-2) Güneralp et al., [2017a](#page-22-3)). However, with the increasing rates of population growth and urbanisation, the building stock is projected to double in developing regions by 2050, so reducing the global energy demand will become challenging (EIA, [2015a](#page-21-0)). Along with these challenges, new building stocks in developing regions will simultaneously provide opportunities for energy-effcient construction, which could substantially reduce the global energy demand. In developed regions, opportunities to reduce the energy demand will predominantly involve renovating the existing building stock (Prieto et al., [2019a](#page-22-4); Chatterjee & Ürge-Vorsatz, [2020](#page-21-1)).

The IPCC's ffth assessment report makes clear that the energy demand must be reduced substantially by 2050 to limit the global temperature rise to 1.5 °C (Rogelj et al., [2018a](#page-22-5)). However, today, most mitigation pathways still rely on supply-side solutions, and little effort has been made to understand the demand-side potential (Creutzig et al., [2018a](#page-21-2)). More precisely, understanding the global energy demand for the building sector by assessing the future growth in foor area and the corresponding energy demand is crucial in the context of the 1.5 °C target. Therefore, different models of the building energy demand are used to understand the future energy consumption and emission potential of the building sector under different policy scenarios.

# <span id="page-1-1"></span>**7.2 The High-Effciency Buildings (HEB) Model: Energy Demand Projections for the Building Sector**

To develop detailed energy demand projections for the regional and global building sectors, the *high-effciency buildings* (HEB) *model* was used. The HEB methodology is documented in Sect. 3.2 and is among the most detailed models for this sector. The key output of the HEB model consists of foor area projections for different types of residential and tertiary buildings in different regions and countries, the total energy consumption of residential and tertiary buildings, the energy consumption for heating and cooling, the energy consumption for hot water energy, the total  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ emissions, the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions for heating and cooling, and the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions for hot water energy. The HEB is based on a bottom-up approach, and it includes rather detailed technological information for one sector of the economy. However, it also uses certain macroeconomic and socio-demographic data, including population growth rates, urbanisation rates, and foor areas per capita. The HEB model uses

four different scenarios to understand the dynamics of energy use and to explore the potential of the building sector to mitigate climate change by exploiting various opportunities. The four scenarios are:

- 1. *Deep effciency scenario*: The *deep effciency* scenario demonstrates the potential utility of state-of-the-art construction and retroftting technologies, which can substantially reduce the energy consumption of the building sector and therefore  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions while also providing full thermal comfort in buildings. In this scenario, exemplary building practices are implemented worldwide for both new and renovated buildings.
- 2. *Moderate effciency scenario*: The *moderate effciency* scenario incorporates present policy initiatives, particularly the implementation of the Energy Performance of Building Directive (EPBD) in the EU and building codes for new buildings in other regions.
- 3. *Frozen effciency scenario*: This scenario assumes that the energy performance of new and retroftted buildings does not improve relative to the baseline. Retroftted buildings will consume around 10% less energy for space heating and cooling than standard existing buildings, whereas most new buildings have a lower level of energy performance than that in the *moderate effciency* scenario due to their lower compliance with building codes.
- 4. *Nearly net-zero scenario*: The last scenario models the potential of deploying 'nearly net-zero energy buildings' (buildings that can produce as much energy locally through the utilisation of renewables as they consume, on annual balance) around the world. It differs from other three scenarios in that it not only calculates the energy consumption but already incorporates the local energy supply to arrive at the fnal energy demand. In other aspects, it uses the same parameters as the *deep effciency* scenario.

The aim of the scenario analysis is to determine the importance of different policies for building energy-effciency measures and to show how much the fnal energy consumption of the building sector can be reduced across the world. Table [7.1](#page-3-0) summarises the actual parameters of the four scenarios.

# *7.2.1 Regional Breakdown of the High-Effciency Buildings (HEB) Model*

The end-use demand and its corresponding emissions are produced until 2060 at yearly resolution for 11 key regions, which include 28 member states of the European Union and 3 key countries (India, China, and the USA), and cover the world. Those 11 regions shown in Fig. [7.1](#page-3-1) differ from the 10 IEA regions used for the regional transport demand analysis. The main differences are as follows: OECD Europe (IEA) is broken down into Western and Eastern Europe (HEB); Africa (IEA) and the Middle East (IEA) are grouped into Middle East and Northern Africa (HEB)

	Deep efficiency	Moderate	Frozen efficiency	Nearly net-zero
Parameter	scenario	efficiency scenario	scenario	scenario
Initial retrofit rate	$1.4\%$	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%
Accelerated retrofitting rate	3% in developed countries and $1.5 - 1.6\%$ in developing countries after 2027	3% in developed countries and $1.5 - 1.6\%$ in developing countries after 2027	No accelerated retrofitting rate is assumed	3% in developed countries and $1.5 - 1.6\%$ in developing countries after 2027
Energy- efficiency measures for new buildings	New buildings are. built to regional standards	New buildings are. built to regional standards	New buildings do not improve relative to the existing stock	New buildings are built to regional standards
Energy- efficiency measures for renovated buildings	<b>Renovations</b> reduce the energy demand by approximately 30%	Renovations reduce the energy demand by approximately 30%	Renovations reduce the energy demand by approximately 10%	<b>Renovations</b> reduce the energy demand by approximately 30%
Share of advanced buildings within the new and retrofitted stock	All new and retrofitted buildings have a very low energy demand after 2030 in EU, NAM, and PAO and after 2037 in other parts of the world	Advanced buildings (new buildings) are only introduced in Western Europe after 2035, and after 2045, all retrofitted buildings have a very low-energy design	Advanced buildings are only introduced in Western Europe after $(1\% \text{ of the})$ new and retrofitted building stock)	All new and retrofitted buildings have a net-zero energy demand after 2030 in EU, NAM, and PAO and after 2037 in other parts of the world

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 7.1 Parameters of the four scenarios

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

**Fig. 7.1** Global coverage of HEB model

and sub-Saharan Africa (HEB); India (IEA) is part of the South Asia (HEB) region, which includes the neighbouring countries Bangladesh, Bhutan, Sri Lanka, Nepal, and Pakistan—countries that are part of the IEA region non-OECD Asia; China (IEA) is part of the group Centrally Planned Asia, which includes Cambodia, Lao, Mongolia, North Korea, and Vietnam, all of which are part of the IEA region non-OECD Asia; Pacifc Asia (HEB) is the remaining part of the non-OECD Asia (IEA) region and all Pacifc Island states.

#### *7.2.2 HEB: Data and Assumptions*

Similar to any bottom-up energy demand model, the HEB model is very dataintensive. Therefore, it relies on a broad variety of input sources, including statistical databases and the scientifc peer-reviewed and grey literature, to incorporate the most up-to-date data. The HEB model largely depends on four sources for its basic input data:

*World Bank Databases* Both present and historical data on population and real gross domestic product (GDP) fgures are obtained from the World Bank databases. The GDP forecast data play a particularly crucial role because they determine the growth in foor area of non-residential buildings. The HEB model calculates future GDP values based on historic and present GDP growth rate data obtained from the World Bank database. The future real GDP is predominantly calculated for non-OECD countries for which future forecasts of real GDP are not available. However, for the OECD member states, this model uses the OECD database of real GDP projections. In addition to the forecast GDP and real GDP databases, the HEB model uses the population forecast database of the World Bank to calculate the future population growth for different countries and regions.

*United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), UN-Habitat, and United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) Population Databases* To calculate the growth in floor area and therefore the final energy consumption for heating and cooling, population projection data are required. Together with the World Bank database, the HEB model uses the UNDP population projection database to calculate future populations. Furthermore, because the HEB uses rural and urban classifcations, urbanisation rate data are obtained from the UNCTAD database. However, none of these databases contains data on slums or the informal settlement of different regions. Therefore, urban populations living in slums are calculated based on UN-Habitat projections.

In addition to population and GDP data, other important data points used in HEB, such as building stock data and energy intensity data, have been collected from several project reports and datasets of the European Commission, as well as the Eurostat database in the case of the EU, the US Energy Information Administration (EIA) database, and various literature sources. Further information on the data

collection can be found in the previous report of HEB in Urge-Vorsatz et al. [\(2012a\)](#page-22-6). In some cases, data for some of the parameters are unavailable, and in those cases, the HEB model relies on expert judgement. For instance, the energy intensity (specifc energy consumption) of advanced buildings mainly utilises the 'passive house' principle, meaning that the useful energy demand may not exceed 15 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/year for heating. This concept has been shown to be applicable throughout the world, and various other measures are used to reduce the cooling and dehumidifcation demands. The total useful demand can be supplied by increasingly effcient heat pumps, which results in very low fnal energy demands in such advanced buildings. In the *nearly net-zero* scenario, the energy consumption of advanced buildings is even more reduced by potential local energy production, which is calculated with the Better Integration for Sustainable Energy (BISE) model at the building level. The basic input data used in the HEB model are presented, together with their sources, in Table [7.2.](#page-5-0)

The key assumptions of the model are presented in Table [7.1](#page-3-0), and the sources of the key input data are documented in Table [7.2.](#page-5-0) Assumptions, such as the retroftting rate, the share of advanced buildings within the new and retroftted stock, and the energy performance of buildings of different vintages, are based on expert judgements and the authors' experience in the feld of modelling building energy. Because data for these parameters are not available, the authors have made several assumptions related to their magnitudes (Table [7.1\)](#page-3-0). Moreover, because the HEB model provides a realistic evaluation of the building energy demands under different policy scenarios, different scenario-specifc assumptions are also used to defne the scenarios.

The fndings are presented in Sects. [7.2.3](#page-6-0) and [7.2.4](#page-10-0). First, the fndings of the study show the future foor area projections under different scenarios, and then it presents future space-heating and space-cooling demand of the different regions. Space-heating and space-cooling demand largely depends on the foor area growth,

Description	Sources
<b>GDP</b> forecast	World Bank (2020) and OECD (2021a)
Population forecast	World Bank $(2021a, b)$ and UN DESA $(2019)$
Urbanisation rate forecast	Our World in Data (2021a), United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (2021), and UN DESA (2018)
Urban populations living in slums (forecast)	Our World in Data (2021a) and UN-Habitat (2021a)
Shares of building types (residential) and commercial) within a region	Eurostat $(2021a)$ , Hong et al. $(2014a)$ , EIA $(2015a)$ , and European Commission (2021a)
Demolition rate, retrofitting rate, floor area per capita/GDP	European Commission $(2021b)$ , EIA $(2012, 2015a)$ , and ENTRANZE $(2014a)$ , and literature (Chatterjee & Ürge-Vorsatz, 2020)
Specific energy use for heating	Schnieders et al. $(2015a)$ , Mantzos et al. $(2015)$ , Hotmaps (2021a), and Heat Roadmap and experts' judgement

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 7.2** Key input data used in the HEB model and their sources

and hence, the results of foor area are presented frst. To calculate foor area and fnal energy demand, HEB model frst calculates region-specifc population and GDP with the help of Eqs.  $3.1-3.9$  $3.1-3.9$  (Sect. 3.2). Based on the region-specific populations and GDP growth rates, then region-specifc foor area and fnal energy consumption for space heating and cooling are calculated.

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>*7.2.3 Floor Area*

The floor area for each of the regions is calculated with Eqs. [3.10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-99177-7_3#Equ10) and [3.11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-99177-7_3#Equ11) (Sect. 3.2). In accordance with the HEB modelling assumptions, the growth in foor area in the residential sector depends predominantly on the population growth, whereas the growth in non-residential or commercial foor area depends on the GDP growth of the region. Based on these equations and assumptions, the fndings of the HEB model show that the global foor area will increase by 77% from 2022 to 2060 and the global foor area growth will be dominated by the growth in the Asian, Middle Eastern, and African regions. Precisely, substantial growth in foor area will be observed in the Middle East and Africa (180%), followed by Pacifc Asia (174%), Africa (131%), and Latin America (130%) (refer to Fig. [7.2](#page-6-1)).

Signifcant population and GDP growth is projected for regions such as the Middle East and Africa, Africa, and Pacifc Asia in the future, so the foor area growth in these regions will be substantial. If the global growth in foor area is further analysed according to different building categories and classifcations, it can be seen that the substantial increase in foor area will be dominated by urban foor area (99% growth is projected by 2060 relative to 2022), which will mainly be caused by an increasing rate of urbanisation. As a result of the increasing urbanisation rate, urban slums are projected to increase signifcantly to 176% by 2060. However, the foor area of slums constitutes only a small proportion of the global foor area (2.4%

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

**Fig. 7.2** Growth of the total foor area and its distribution among the regions of the world

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Fig. 7.3 Total building floor area in the world by building classification

<span id="page-7-1"></span>

**Fig. 7.4** Distribution of the total foor area throughout the world by building vintage across the modelling period

of the global floor area, which is projected to increase to  $3.7\%$ ), so the growth of slum areas will have little impact on the global foor area growth. Moreover, if foor area growth is analysed per building classifcation, substantial growth can be projected for both residential and commercial buildings. More precisely, the global residential building sector is projected to grow from  $186$  billion  $m<sup>2</sup>$  in 2022 to 292 billion m<sup>2</sup> by 2060, and the global commercial building sector is projected to grow from 102 billion  $m^2$  in 2022 to 217 billion  $m^2$  by 2060 (refer to Fig. [7.3](#page-7-0)).

The fndings of the HEB model are summarised in Figs. [7.4](#page-7-1) and [7.5.](#page-8-0) However, it is important to understand the future proportions of buildings of different vintages, because they have different levels of energy performance and therefore different energy consumption patterns. The foor area growth for buildings of different

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

**Fig. 7.5** Distribution of the total foor area in China by building vintages across the modelling period

<span id="page-8-1"></span>

Fig. 7.6 Distribution of the total floor area in the USA by building vintages across the modelling period

vintages is presented in Figs. [7.4,](#page-7-1) [7.5,](#page-8-0) [7.6](#page-8-1), [7.7](#page-9-0), [7.8](#page-9-1) and [7.9](#page-10-1), which shows the share of each vintage and its change over the modelling period across the different scenarios in each of the regions. It is important to note that the total foor area remains the same in all scenarios.

The fndings show that the growth in total foor area is mainly dominated by growth in China and India. More precisely, China's share of the global total foor area in 2022 will be around 28%, and by 2060, it will increase by 54%, whereas India's share in 2022 will be 14% and will increase by 96% by 2060. Furthermore, signifcant growth in foor area can be observed by 2060 in key regions, such as the USA (41%), Pacifc OECD (25%), and EU-28 (22%).

The results of the HEB model also show that a very small amount of today's building stock will remain as it is until 2060. Therefore, to reduce the energy demand and the impact of the energy demand of the building sector on climate change, it

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

**Fig. 7.7** Distribution of the total foor area in India by building vintages across the modelling period

<span id="page-9-1"></span>

**Fig. 7.8** Distribution of the total floor area in EU-27 countries by building vintages across the modelling period

will be crucial to implement advanced efficiency measures for retrofitted and new buildings that will be constructed in 2022–2060. If today's best practices of energy effciency are applied to all new and retroftted buildings globally (*deep effciency* scenario), 43% of the building stock will be classifable as 'advanced new' buildings and 41% of the building stock as 'advanced retroftted' buildings in 2060. However, a signifcant amount of stock will remain less energy-effcient based on the assumption that the construction market cannot adjust immediately to the new practices required to build highly effcient buildings. On the contrary, if the current practice is '*frozen*' and no advanced measures are introduced, 99% of the stock will remain less effcient while having the rest unchanged in 2022 values. It is noteworthy that according to the fndings of the HEB model, 66% of the building stock in 2060 does not yet exist in 2022. The *moderate effciency* scenario assumes that only

<span id="page-10-1"></span>

**Fig. 7.9** Distribution of the total floor area in Pacific OECD countries by building vintages across the modelling period

present policies will be enforced and there will no further more ambitious goals set throughout the world. Under this scenario, only a minor share (7%) of the foor area will be classifiable as 'advanced' (2% new and 5% retrofitted). This is because most countries with strong policies for energy-effcient buildings (especially the EU) will only play a minor role in constructing a share of new buildings around the globe.

# <span id="page-10-0"></span>*7.2.4 Final Energy Use for Space Heating and Cooling Under the HEB Scenarios*

The fnal energy use for space heating and cooling will largely depend upon the calculated foor areas. After the foor area is calculated for each region, the thermal energy use is calculated. Like the foor area calculations, thermal energy use is also calculated for the four different scenarios.

Among the four scenarios, the fnal energy use for space heating and cooling under two scenarios clearly shows immense potential for reducing the energy demand of the building sector by 2060. At the global level, if best practices in building construction and retroftting become standard, the fnal energy for heating and cooling will decrease from 24 PWh in 2022 to 10 PWh in 2060, which corresponds to a 56% drop, as shown in Table [7.3](#page-11-0). However, if existing policies continue in place until 2060, the fnal energy use will increase by 34% by 2060 relative to the 2022 level. In other words, under the *moderate effciency* scenario, the global fnal energy required for space heating and cooling will increase by 34% by 2060 relative to that in 2022. Under the *deep effciency* scenario, the global fnal energy demand in 2060 will be 67% less than under the *moderate effciency* scenario, whereas under the *frozen effciency* scenario, it will be 37% higher, which corresponds to an 83% increase relative to the 2022 level.



<span id="page-11-0"></span>

There are two key reasons behind the signifcant energy savings in the *deep effciency* and *nearly net-zero* scenario compared with the *frozen effciency* and *moderate effciency* scenarios:

- 1. Low retroftting rates
- 2. Higher proportions of advanced new and retroftted buildings

More precisely, in the *deep effciency* and *nearly net-zero* scenarios, the retroftting rate is assumed to be  $3\%$  in developed countries and  $1.5-1.6\%$  in developing countries after 2027. The same retroftting rates are assumed in the *moderate effciency* scenario. However, in the *frozen effciency* scenario, the retroftting rate is assumed to be no higher than 1.4% across all regions. Similar to the retroftting rate, under the *deep effciency* scenario, it is assumed that all new and retroftted buildings will have a very low energy demand in the EU, NAM, and PAO after 2030 and in the other parts of the world after 2037. Under the *nearly net-zero* scenario, it is assumed that all new and retroftted buildings will have a net-zero energy demand in EU, NAM, and PAO after 2030 and in other parts of the world after 2037 because the local onsite solar electric production is included in the defnition of the *nearly net-zero* scenario. In the *moderate effciency* scenario, advanced buildings are only introduced in Western Europe after 2035 for all new buildings, and after 2045, all retroftted buildings will have a low-energy design. Based on these assumptions, the fndings of HEB highlight the importance of ambitious in-act policies.

Key regions, such as China, EU-27, and India, consume most of the global energy, so it is important to know how the building sectors in these regions will perform under different scenarios. Regions such as the USA and EU-27 have much greater potential to reduce space-heating- and space-cooling-related energy use with the help of best practices. Precisely, 73% and 75% of energy consumption related to thermal comfort can be reduced by 2060 in the USA and EU-27, respectively, if best practices are followed. The *nearly net-zero* scenario goes one step further than the *deep effciency* scenario. The results show that the energy consumption of buildings for heating and cooling can reach almost zero in the EU, the USA, and Pacifc OECD countries by 2055–2057. Although heating- and cooling-related energy consumption in China and India will not reach zero in the modelled period, signifcant reductions in China and India (85% and 27%, respectively) can be achieved relative to 2022 values. Figures [7.10](#page-13-0) and [7.11](#page-14-0) show the fnal energy demands for space heating and cooling in different parts of the world under the different scenarios (Fig. [7.12\)](#page-15-0).

Globally, commercial and public buildings in urban areas are the largest consumers of space-heating- and space-cooling-related energy. Therefore, best practices should especially focus on commercial and public buildings in urban areas. Commercial and public buildings in urban areas will reduce their consumption by up to 33% by 2060 under the *deep effciency* scenario. Similarly, urban residential buildings will reduce their consumption by up to 57% globally by 2060 under the *deep effciency* scenario. Under the *nearly net-zero* scenario, commercial and public buildings still have a signifcant share of energy consumption in 2060, but the total energy demand is extremely reduced. It is noteworthy that reducing the energy intensity of commercial and public buildings even further will require further

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

Final energy for space heating and cooling

Fig. 7.10 Final energy consumption for space heating and cooling in the world and key regions (in PWh)

investigation of the usage characteristics of different building types. Therefore, even more effort will be required than merely servicing these building with renewable energy. Similar fndings are obtained from the analysis of the region-specifc fnal energy demands for the USA, the European Community, India, China, and the OECD Pacifc.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

World final energy for space heating and cooling

**Fig. 7.11** Shares of the total heating and cooling energy consumption attributable to different regions of the world

Commercial buildings are the largest consumer of space-heating- and spacecooling-related fnal energy in low- to middle-income regions, such as India and China. However, in developed regions, such as the Pacifc OECD, EU-28, and the USA, the residential building sector is the largest consumer. The HEB results show that these developed high-income regions can substantially reduce their energy demands in both residential and commercial building sectors if advanced higheffciency energy measures are standardised over the years. In fact, in these regions, if local energy production is included (i.e. *nearly net-zero* scenario), then the building sector can achieve a net-zero status by 2060. In contrast, the low- to middleincome regions will not be able to achieve a net-zero status by 2060, even if the local production of solar electric energy is added into the calculation. However, regardless of the local energy production, these regions can still achieve a substantial reduction in China, and in India, the rate of increase will be slowed by the introduction of advanced effciency energy measures, such as new energy-effcient

<span id="page-15-0"></span>

World final energy for space heating and cooling

**Fig. 7.12** Shares of fnal energy consumption for space heating and cooling for the world by building category (in PWh)

building codes and the rigorous renovation of existing buildings. More precisely, in India, even with advanced energy-efficiency measures, the final energy demand for heating and cooling will increase by 12% in 2060 relative to that in 2022, which is 65% lower than the fnal energy demand for 2060 if the existing effciency measures are followed until 2060.

#### *7.2.5 Key Findings for the HEB Scenarios*

The HEB model analysis demonstrates the potential for reducing the energy demand in the building sector if state-of-the-art high-effciency buildings are implemented worldwide. The findings of the study show that with a higher share of high-efficiency renovations and construction (as assumed in the *deep effciency* and *nearly net-zero* scenarios), it will be possible to reduce the fnal thermal energy used globally in the

building sector by more than half by 2060. In some regions, such as the EU and Pacifc OECD, it will even be possible to achieve net-zero status for the thermal energy demand. However, this pathway towards high-efficiency or net-zero emissions is ambitious in its assumptions and requires strong policy support. On the contrary, if policy support to implement more high-effciency buildings is not in place (*frozen effciency* scenario) or even if the present policy scenarios are continued (*moderate effciency* scenario), the total thermal energy demand of the building sector could increase by 34–83% by 2060 relative to the 2022 level. Furthermore, if the present rate of energy-effciency measures is continued, 67–80% of the global fnal thermal energy savings will be locked in by 2060 in the world building infrastructure. The lock-in effect of the building sector also indicates that if the present moderate energy performance levels become the standard in new and/or retroftted buildings, it will be almost impossible to further reduce the thermal energy consumption in such buildings for many decades to come.

#### **7.3 1.5 °C OECM Pathway for Buildings**

Based on the results of the detailed HEB model analysis, the *deep effciency* scenario was chosen for commercial buildings and the *moderate effciency* scenario for residential buildings. These scenarios were chosen after stakeholder consultation with representatives of the respective industries, members of the Carbon Risk Real Estate Monitor (CRREM), the Net-Zero Asset Owner Alliance, and academia. To integrate the building sector into the  $1.5 \degree C$  pathway as part of the OECM, consistent with all other industry and service sectors and the transport sector, the selection of one specifc pathway for the building sector as a whole was necessary. The energy demand for the construction sector was also required to calculate the emissions for the Global Industry Classifcation Standard (GICS) (see Chap. [2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-99177-7_2)). This section documents the calculation process and the results for the residential and commercial building sector and construction.

Table [7.4](#page-17-0) shows the assumed development of floor space for residential and commercial buildings, which was taken from the HEB analysis and the projected economic development of the construction sector. The increase in the construction industry is based on the overall global GDP, developed as documented in Chap. [2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-99177-7_2), and is therefore not directly related to the HEB foor space projections. The direct link between both parameters was beyond the scope of this analysis and is therefore highlighted as a potential source of error.

The global energy intensities for residential and commercial buildings (in kilowatt-hours per square metre (kWh/m<sup>2</sup>)) are the second main input for the OECM 1.5 °C building pathway and are taken from the documented HEB analysis. The global values were calculated on the basis of the total HEB results for the global energy demand per year divided by the foor space. The global values are the sum of the values for all 11 regions analysed with HEB. Table [7.5](#page-17-1) also shows the reductions

Parameter	Units	2019	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
			Projection					
Residential buildings	[Billion] m <sup>2</sup>	184	196	211	226	241	255	269
Residential buildings—variation compared with 2019	$\lceil \% \rceil$	$\Omega$	6%	15%	23%	31%	38%	46%
Commercial buildings	[Billion] $m2$ ]	101	112	130	146	163	177	192
Commercial buildings—variation compared with 2019	[%]	$\Omega$	12%	29%	46%	62%	76%	91%
Construction: residential and commercial building—economic value	[bn \$GDP]	2149	2699	3186	3753	4321	5607	2149
Variation compared with 2019	[%]	$\Omega$	26%	48%	75%	101%	131%	161%

<span id="page-17-0"></span>**Table 7.4** OECM—global buildings: projected foor space and economic value of construction

<span id="page-17-1"></span>**Table 7.5** OECM—global buildings: assumed energy intensities

Parameter	Units	2019	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
			Projections					
Residential buildings: energy intensity	[kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ]	81	78	74	70	66	62	58
Variation compared with 2019	[%]	$0\%$	$-4%$	$-9%$	$-14%$	$-19%$	$-23%$	$-28%$
Commercial buildings: energy intensity	[kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ]	87	81	77	65	53	43	33
Variation compared with 2019	[%]	$0\%$	$-7%$	$-11\%$	$-25%$	$-39\%$	$-51\%$	$-62%$
<b>Construction</b>								
Construction: residential and commercial buildings—energy intensity	[MJ/\$GDP]	0.70	0.57	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.55	0.54
Variation compared with 2019	[%]	$0\%$	$-19%$	$-19\%$	$-20%$	$-20%$	$-22%$	$-23%$
Energy demand: mining and quarry—sand, stones, clay, gravel	$[$ PJ/yr $]$	510	526	618	724	829	934	1034
Variation compared with 2019	[%]	$\Omega$	$3\%$	21%	42%	63%	83%	103%

in the energy intensity for residential and commercial buildings relative to the values in the base year 2019.

The energy intensity of the construction industry was calculated with the total energy demand (in petajoules (PJ)) in 2019, as provided in the IEA World Energy Balances 2019 for Construction and the projected economic values (in \$US) for the same year. The energy demand value for construction in the IEA statistics includes the construction of roads and railways, as well as other civil engineering and utility projects, as defned in IEA ([2020\)](#page-22-20). Therefore, the shares of the energy demand for residential and commercial buildings must be estimated. The calculated energy intensity for construction work was compared with published values.

Based on the assumptions and input parameters documented in Tables [7.4](#page-17-0) and [7.5](#page-17-1), the energy demand for all sub-sectors was calculated. Table [7.6](#page-18-0) shows the calculated annual energy demand for residential and commercial buildings and for the construction industry. The energy demand consists of the energy required for space heating and cooling ('heating energy') and the electricity demand, which includes all electrical applications in the buildings but excludes electricity for heating and cooling. This separation is necessary to harmonise the input data from the HEB, which do not include electricity for household applications such as washing machines, etc., with the OECM.

The electricity demand for residential buildings is based on the bottom-up analysis of households documented in Sect. [3.1.2.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-99177-7_3#Sec3) The electricity demand for the service sector is based on a breakdown of electricity and heating in 2019 across all service

Parameter	Units	2019	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
			Projections					
Residential buildings: total energy demand	$[$ PJ $/$ yr]	82,565	77,724	77,039	75,274	75,199	66,944	63,147
Variation compared with 2019	[%]	$0\%$	$-6%$	$-7%$	$-9%$	$-9%$	$-19%$	$-24%$
Residential buildings: heat energy demand	$[$ PJ $/$ yr]	60,417	54,746	56,056	56,739	56,983	56,677	55,989
Variation compared with 2019	[%]	$0\%$	$-9%$	$-7%$	$-6\%$	$-6\%$	$-6%$	$-7%$
Residential buildings: electricity demand	$[$ PJ $/$ yr]	22,148	22,979	20,983	18,536	18,216	10,268	7158
Variation compared with 2019	[%]	$0\%$	$4\%$	$-5\%$	$-16%$	$-18%$	$-54%$	$-68%$
Commercial buildings: total energy demand	$[$ PJ $/$ yr]	34,567	40,609	44,311	42,549	39,315	35,991	31,676
Variation compared with 2019	[%]	$0\%$	17%	28%	23%	14%	4%	$-8%$
Commercial buildings: heat energy demand	$[$ PJ $/$ yr]	28,432	34,736	38,346	36,482	33,137	29,690	25,243
Variation compared with 2019	[%]	$0\%$	22%	35%	28%	17%	$4\%$	$-11%$
Commercial buildings: electricity demand	$[$ PJ $/$ yr]	2921	2686	2619	2554	2490	2428	2367
Variation compared with 2019	[%]	$0\%$	$-8%$	$-10%$	$-13%$	$-15%$	$-17%$	$-19%$
Construction of residential and commercial building: energy demand	$[$ PJ $/$ yr]	1505	1531	1798	2108	2415	2719	3010
Variation compared with 2019	[%]	$0\%$	2%	20%	40%	60%	81%	100%

<span id="page-18-0"></span>**Table 7.6** OECM—global buildings: calculated annual energy demand for residential and commercial buildings and construction

Parameter	Units	2019	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
			Projections					
Residential buildings- heating: fossil fuels	$[$ PJ $/$ yr]	38,274	25,484	15,547	10,781	6450	1912	$\theta$
Residential buildings- heating: renewable, electric, and synthetic fuels	$[$ PJ $/$ yr]	22,144	29,262	40,509	45,958	50,533	54,765	55,989
Residential buildings- heating: renewable share	[%]	36.7%	53.5%	72.3%	81.0%	88.7%	96.6%	100.0%
Residential buildings- electricity: fossil fuels	$[$ PJ $/$ yr]	16,712	11,017	5412	2117	930	187	$\overline{0}$
Residential buildings- electricity: renewables	$[$ PJ $/$ yr]	5436	11,962	15,571	16,418	17,286	10,081	7158
Residential buildings- electricity for heating share	$[\%]$	6.6%	18.2%	20.4%	37.7%	42.0%	48.4%	54.0%
Commercial buildings- heating: fossil fuels	$[$ PJ $/$ yr]	18,011	16,170	10,635	6932	3751	1002	$\theta$
Commercial buildings- heating: renewable, electric, and synthetic fuels	$[$ PJ $/$ yr]	10,421	18,567	27,711	29,550	29,386	28,688	25,243
Commercial buildings- heating: renewable share	[%]	36.7%	53.5%	72.3%	81.0%	88.7%	96.6%	100.0%
Commercial buildings- electricity: fossil fuels	$[$ PJ $/$ yr]	2204	1288	676	292	127	44	$\Omega$
Commercial buildings- electricity: renewables	$[$ PJ $/$ yr]	717	$\Omega$	$-1$	$-1$	2363	$-1$	$-1$
Commercial buildings- electricity for heating share	$[\%]$	6.2%	17.0%	19.0%	35.2%	39.2%	45.2%	50.4%
Construction of residential and commercial buildings: fossil fuels	$[$ PJ $/$ yr]	1356	1037	854	809	675	512	273
Residential buildings- heating: renewable, electric, and synthetic fuels	$[$ PJ $/$ yr]	149	494	944	1300	1740	2207	2737
Residential buildings- heating: renewables share	$[\%]$	9.9%	32.3%	52.5%	61.6%	72.0%	81.2%	90.9%

<span id="page-19-0"></span>**Table 7.7** OECM−global buildings: energy supply

sectors, published in the IEA World Energy Balances. The future values until 2050 are based on the projections for the analysed service and industry sectors documented in Chaps. [5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-99177-7_5) and [6.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-99177-7_6)

The supply side for the building and construction sectors is based on the  $1.5 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ pathway for energy utilities, as documented in Chap. [12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-99177-7_12) . In contrast to the demand side, the supply values for electricity are provided both for room climatisation (heating and cooling) and for appliances (Table  $7.7$ ). The total energy-related  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions were calculated based on the energy supply mix for heating and electricity generation (Table [7.8\)](#page-20-0).

Parameter	Units	2019	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
			Projection					
Residential buildings: total emission intensity (heating and electricity)	[ $kgCO2/$ kWh]	0.61	0.35	0.17	0.07	0.04	0.01	0.00
Residential buildings: emission intensity- heating per square metre	[ $kgCO2/$ $m2$ ]	7.8	5.0	2.6	1.5	0.8	0.2	0.0
Residential buildings: emission intensity-heat	[ $kgCO$ <sub>2</sub> / kWh]	0.097	0.064	0.036	0.021	0.013	0.003	0.000
Residential buildings: emission intensity-electricity	[ $kgCO2/$ $kWh$ ]	0.509	0.291	0.135	0.052	0.024	0.007	0.000
Residential buildings: total emission intensity- compared with 2019	[%]	$0\%$	$-36%$	$-67%$	$-81%$	$-89%$	$-97%$	$-100%$
Residential buildings: total emissions	[MtCO <sub>2</sub> ] yr]	4578	2830	1343	605	320	74	$\overline{0}$
Residential buildings: emissions-heat	[MtCO <sub>2</sub> / yr]	1446	975	553	336	201	54	$\theta$
Residential buildings: emissions-electricity	[MtCO <sub>2</sub> ] yr]	3132	1855	789	269	119	21	$\theta$
Residential buildings: total emissions-compared with 2019	[%]	$0\%$	$-38%$	$-71\%$	$-87%$	$-93%$	$-98%$	$-100%$
Commercial buildings: total emission intensity (heating and electricity)	[ $kgCO2/$ kWh]	19.88	12.64	7.07	3.75	1.91	0.45	0.00
Commercial buildings: emission intensity-heat per square metre	[ $kgCO2/$ $m2$ ]	19.6	12.5	7.0	3.7	1.9	0.4	0.0
Commercial buildings: emission intensity-heat	[ $kgCO2/$ kWh]	0.227	0.154	0.090	0.057	0.036	0.010	0.000
Commercial buildings: emission intensity-electricity	[ $kgCO2/$ kWh]	0.509	0.291	0.135	0.052	0.024	0.007	0.000
Commercial buildings: total emission intensity- compared with 2019	$\lceil \% \rceil$	$0\%$	$-36%$	$-67%$	$-81%$	$-89%$	$-97%$	$-100%$
Commercial buildings: total emissions	[MtCO <sub>2</sub> ] yr]	5107	3255	1696	810	425	98	$\Omega$
Commercial buildings: emissions-heat	[ $MtCO2/$ yr]	1975	1400	907	541	306	78	$\overline{0}$
Commercial buildings: emissions-electricity	[ $MtCO2/$ yr]	3132	1855	789	269	119	21	$\theta$

<span id="page-20-0"></span>**Table 7.8** OECM—global buildings: energy-related  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions

(continued)



#### **Table 7.8** (continued)

The specific energy-related  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions are also provided for power and heat generation, as well as per square meter of foor area, for residential and commercial buildings. The specific energy demand and the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions per square meter are key performance indicators for the fnance industry for real estate. Moreover, these parameters are used for regulatory frameworks, such as the EU energy performance for building directive (EU, [2010](#page-21-5)).

**Acknowledgements** The authors of Sect. [7.2](#page-1-1) (Souran Chatterjee, Benedek Kiss, Diana Ürge-Vorsatz) are immensely grateful to the DBH Group for the administrative support provided during the HEB research. This research was funded by the project Sustainable Energy Transitions Laboratory (SENTINEL), which received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement no. 837089. This research was also partly funded by the Energy Demand changes Induced by Technological and Social innovations (EDITS) project, which is part of the initiative co-ordinated by the Research Institute of Innovative Technology for the Earth (RITE) and the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA) (funded by the Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry [METI], Japan).

## **References**

- <span id="page-21-1"></span>Chatterjee, S., Ürge-Vorsatz, D. (2020). *Observed trends and modelling paradigms. Topic: LC-SC3-CC-2-2018 of the Horizon 2020 work program: Modelling in support to the transition to a Low-Carbon Energy System in Europe. Building a low-carbon, climate resilient future: secure, clean and effcient energy*.
- <span id="page-21-2"></span>Creutzig, F., Roy, J., Lamb, W. F., et al. (2018a). Towards demand-side solutions for mitigating climate change. *Nature Climate Change, 84*(8), 260–263. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0121-1) [s41558-018-0121-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0121-1)
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>EIA. (2015a). *2015 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) data, consumption & expenditures (C&E) tables*.
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>EIA. (2012). *2012 Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey (CBECS) Data*. Energy Information Administration (EIA). <https://www.eia.gov/consumption/commercial/data/2012/>. Accessed 24 Dec 2021.
- <span id="page-21-4"></span>ENTRANZE. (2014a). *Welcome to ENTRANZE project page*. [https://www.entranze.eu/.](https://www.entranze.eu/) Accessed 21 Dec 2021.
- <span id="page-21-5"></span>EU. (2010). *Directive 2010/31/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 May 2010 on the energy performance of buildings*. European Parliament.
- <span id="page-22-15"></span>European Commission. (2021a). *Share of non-residential in total building foor area*. [https://](https://ec.europa.eu/energy/eu-buildings-datamapper_en) [ec.europa.eu/energy/eu-buildings-datamapper\\_en.](https://ec.europa.eu/energy/eu-buildings-datamapper_en) Accessed 21 Dec 2021.
- <span id="page-22-16"></span>European Commission. (2021b) *EU buildings database | energy*. European Commission. [https://](https://ec.europa.eu/energy/eu-buildings-database_en?redir=1) [ec.europa.eu/energy/eu-buildings-database\\_en?redir=1](https://ec.europa.eu/energy/eu-buildings-database_en?redir=1). Accessed 24 Dec 2021.
- <span id="page-22-13"></span>Eurostat. (2021a). *Distribution of population by degree of urbanisation, dwelling type and income group—EU-SILC survey*. Eurostat. [https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/databrowser/view/ILC\\_](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/databrowser/view/ILC_LVHO01__custom_598746/default/table?lang=en) [LVHO01\\_\\_custom\\_598746/default/table?lang=en.](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/databrowser/view/ILC_LVHO01__custom_598746/default/table?lang=en) Accessed 21 Dec 2021.
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>Güneralp, B., Zhou, Y., Ürge-Vorsatz, D., et al. (2017a). Global scenarios of urban density and its impacts on building energy use through 2050. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 114*, 8945–8950. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1606035114>
- <span id="page-22-14"></span>Hong, L., Zhou, N., Fridley, D., et al. (2014a) *Modeling China's building foor-area growth and the implications for building materials and energy demand | LBL China Energy Group*. [https://](https://china.lbl.gov/publications/modeling-chinas-building-floor-area) [china.lbl.gov/publications/modeling-chinas-building-foor-area.](https://china.lbl.gov/publications/modeling-chinas-building-floor-area) Accessed 21 Dec 2021.
- <span id="page-22-19"></span>Hotmaps. (2021a). *Hotmaps Project—The open source mapping and planning tool for heating and cooling*. [https://www.hotmaps-project.eu/.](https://www.hotmaps-project.eu/) Accessed 21 Dec 2021.
- <span id="page-22-20"></span>IEA. (2020). *World energy balances 2020*. IEA. https://www.iea.org/data-and-statistics?countr y=WORLD&fuel=Energy transition indicators&indicator=TFCShareBySector. Accessed 6 Apr 2021.
- <span id="page-22-18"></span>Mantzos, L., Matei, N. A., Rozsai, M., et al. (2015). *JRC-IDEES: Integrated database of the European energy sector: Methodological note*.
- <span id="page-22-7"></span>OECD. (2021a). *GDP and spending—Real GDP long-term forecast—OECD Data*. OECD. [https://](https://data.oecd.org/gdp/real-gdp-long-term-forecast.htm) [data.oecd.org/gdp/real-gdp-long-term-forecast.htm.](https://data.oecd.org/gdp/real-gdp-long-term-forecast.htm) Accessed 24 Dec 2021.
- <span id="page-22-9"></span>Our World in Data. (2021a). *Urban and rural population projected to 2050*. Our World Data. [https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/urban-and-rural-population-2050?tab=table&country=~O](https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/urban-and-rural-population-2050?tab=table&country=~OWID_WRL) WID WRL. Accessed 24 Dec 2021.
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>Prieto, M. P., de Uribarri, P. M. Á., & Tardioli, G. (2019a). Applying modeling and optimization tools to existing city quarters. In *Urban energy systems for low-carbon cities* (pp. 333–414). Academic. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-811553-4.00010-X>
- <span id="page-22-5"></span>Rogelj, J., Popp, A., Calvin, K. V., et al. (2018a). Scenarios towards limiting global mean temperature increase below 1.5 °C. *Nature Climate Change, 8*, 325–332. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0091-3) [s41558-018-0091-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0091-3)
- <span id="page-22-17"></span>Schnieders, J., Feist, W., & Rongen, L. (2015a). Passive Houses for different climate zones. *Energy and Buildings, 105*, 71–87.<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENBUILD.2015.07.032>
- <span id="page-22-12"></span>UN-Habitat. (2021a). *Housing, slums and informal settlements | Urban Indicators Database*. UN-Habitat. <https://data.unhabitat.org/pages/housing-slums-and-informal-settlements>. Accessed 24 Dec 2021.
- <span id="page-22-8"></span>UN DESA. (2019). *World population prospects 2019*. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. <https://population.un.org/wpp/Download/Standard/Population/>. Accessed 25 Oct 2021.
- <span id="page-22-11"></span>UN DESA. (2018). *World urbanization prospects: The 2018 revision, online edition*.
- <span id="page-22-10"></span>United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. (2021). *Beyond 20/20 WDS—Table view— Total and urban population, annual*. [https://unctadstat.unctad.org/wds/TableViewer/tableView.](https://unctadstat.unctad.org/wds/TableViewer/tableView.aspx?ReportId=97) [aspx?ReportId=97.](https://unctadstat.unctad.org/wds/TableViewer/tableView.aspx?ReportId=97) Accessed 21 Dec 2021.
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>Ürge-Vorsatz, D., Cabeza, L. F., Serrano, S., et al. (2015a). Heating and cooling energy trends and drivers in buildings. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 41*, 85–98. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSER.2014.08.039) [org/10.1016/J.RSER.2014.08.039](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSER.2014.08.039)
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>Urge-Vorsatz, D., Khosla, R., Bernhardt, R., et al. (2020). Advances toward a net-zero global building sector. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 45*, 227–269. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-ENVIRON-012420-045843) [org/10.1146/ANNUREV-ENVIRON-012420-045843](https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-ENVIRON-012420-045843)
- <span id="page-22-6"></span>Urge-Vorsatz, D., Petrichenko, K., Antal, M., et al. (2012a). *Building policies for a better world: Best practice policies for low carbon & energy buildings based on scenario analysis*.
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>Ürge-Vorsatz, D., & Tirado Herrero, S. (2012a). Building synergies between climate change mitigation and energy poverty alleviation. *Energy Policy, 49*, 83–90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENPOL.2011.11.093) [ENPOL.2011.11.093](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENPOL.2011.11.093)
- World Bank. (2021d). *GDP growth (annual %) | Data*. [https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/](https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.KD.ZG) [NY.GDP.MKTP.KD.ZG.](https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.KD.ZG) Accessed 21 Dec 2021.
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>World Bank. (2020). *GDP, PPP (constant 2017 international \$) | Data*. World Bank. [https://data.](https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.PP.KD) [worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.PP.KD.](https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.PP.KD) Accessed 22 Dec 2021.
- World Bank. (2021e) *Population, total | Data*. [https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.](https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.TOTL) [TOTL](https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.TOTL). Accessed 21 Dec 2021.
- Heat Roadmap Europe. (2021). Heat Roadmap.<https://heatroadmap.eu/>. Accessed 24 Dec 2021.

#### *HEB Scenarios*

- <span id="page-23-1"></span>Chatterjee, S, Ürge-Vorstaz, D. (2020). *D3.1: Observed trends and modelling paradigms. Topic: LC-SC3-CC-2-2018 of the Horizon 2020 work program: Modelling in support to the transition to a low-carbon Energy system in Europe. Building a low-carbon, climate resilient future: Secure, clean and effcient energy*. SENTINEL. [https://sentinel.energy/wp-content/](https://sentinel.energy/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/D-3.1-837089-EC.pdf) [uploads/2021/02/D-3.1-837089-EC.pdf](https://sentinel.energy/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/D-3.1-837089-EC.pdf)
- Creutzig, F., Roy, J., Lamb, W. F., Azevedo, I. M. L., Bruine de Bruin, W., Dalkmann, H., Edelenbosch, O. Y., Geels, F. W., Grubler, A., Hepburn, C., Hertwich, E. G., Khosla, R., Mattauch, L., Minx, J. C., Ramakrishnan, A., Rao, N. D., Steinberger, J. K., Tavoni, M., Ürge-Vorsatz, D., & Weber, E. U. (2018b). Towards demand-side solutions for mitigating climate change. *Nature Climate Change, 8*(4). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0121-1>
- ENTRANZE. (2014b). *Demolition rate and retroft rate database*. <https://www.entranze.eu/>
- EU Buildings Datamapper (2021). *Share of residential and non-residential in total building foor area*. [https://ec.europa.eu/energy/eu-buildings-datamapper\\_en.](https://ec.europa.eu/energy/eu-buildings-datamapper_en) Accessed 6 Mar 2021.
- EIA. (2015b). *2012 RECS Survey Data*. [https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2015/](https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2015/index.php?view=consumption#by End uses by fuel) [index.php?view=consumption#by%20End%20uses%20by%20fuel](https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2015/index.php?view=consumption#by End uses by fuel). Accessed 12 Mar 2021.
- EIA. (2019). *2015 RECS Survey Data*. [https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2015/](https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2015/index.php?view=consumption#by End uses by fuel) [index.php?view=consumption#by%20End%20uses%20by%20fuel](https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2015/index.php?view=consumption#by End uses by fuel). Accessed 12 Mar 2021.
- Eurostat. (2021b). *Distribution of population by degree of urbanisation, dwelling type and income group—EU-SILC survey*. [https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/databrowser/view/ILC\\_LVHO01\\_\\_cus](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/databrowser/view/ILC_LVHO01__custom_598746/default/table?lang=en)[tom\\_598746/default/table?lang=en.](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/databrowser/view/ILC_LVHO01__custom_598746/default/table?lang=en) Accessed 10 Mar 2021.
- Güneralp, B., Zhou, Y., Ürge-Vorsatz, D., Gupta, M., Yu, S., Patel, P. L., Fragkias, M., Li, X., & Seto, K. C. (2017b). Global scenarios of urban density and its impacts on building energy use through 2050. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 114*(34).<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1606035114>
- Mantzos, L., Matei, N. A., Mulholland, E. A., Rózsai, M., Tamba, M., & Wiesenthal, T. (2018). *JRC-IDEES 2015*. European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC) [Dataset]. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.2905/JRC-10110-10001) [org/10.2905/JRC-10110-10001](https://doi.org/10.2905/JRC-10110-10001). PID.<http://data.europa.eu/89h/jrc-10110-10001>
- Heat RoadMap. (2021). *Energy intensity for heating*. [https://heatroadmap.eu/.](https://heatroadmap.eu/) Accessed 10 Mar 2021.
- HotMaps. (2021b). *Energy intensity for heating*. <https://www.hotmaps-project.eu/>. Accessed 10 Mar 2021.
- Hong, L., Zhou, N., Fridley, D., Feng, W., & Khanna, N. (2014b, August). Modeling China's building foor-area growth and the implications for building materials and energy demand. In *2014 ACEEE summer study on energy effciency in buildings* (pp. 146–157).
- IEA. (2019). *2019 Global Status Report for Buildings and Construction: Towards a zero-emissions, effcient and resilient buildings and construction sector*.
- Schnieders, J., Feist, W., & Rongen, L. (2015b). Passive Houses for different climate zones. *Energy and Buildings, 105*, 71–87.
- OECD. (2021b). *Real GDP long-term forecast (indicator)*. <https://doi.org/10.1787/d927bc18-en>. <https://data.oecd.org/gdp/real-gdp-long-term-forecast.htm>. Accessed 6 Mar 2021.
- Our World in Data. (2021b). *Urban population long-run with 2050 projections (OWID)*. [https://](https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/urban-and-rural-population-2050?tab=table&country=~OWID_WRL) [ourworldindata.org/grapher/urban-and-rural-population-2050?tab=table&country=~OWID\\_](https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/urban-and-rural-population-2050?tab=table&country=~OWID_WRL) [WRL.](https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/urban-and-rural-population-2050?tab=table&country=~OWID_WRL) Accessed 6 Mar 2021.
- Prieto, M. P., Álvarez de Uribarri, P. M., & Tardioli, G. (2019b). Applying modeling and optimization tools to existing city quarters. In *Urban energy systems for low-carbon cities*. Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-811553-4.00010-X>
- Rogelj, J., Popp, A., Calvin, K. V., Luderer, G., Emmerling, J., Gernaat, D., … Krey, V. (2018b). Scenarios towards limiting global mean temperature increase below 1.5 °C. *Nature Climate Change, 8*(4), 325.
- <span id="page-24-0"></span>World Bank. (2021a). *GDP growth (annual %)*. [https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.](https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.KD.ZG) [MKTP.KD.ZG](https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.KD.ZG). Accessed 6 Mar 2021.
- <span id="page-24-1"></span>World Bank. (2021b). *GDP (current US\$)*. [https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.](https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.CD) [CD.](https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.CD) Accessed 6 Mar 2021.
- World Bank. (2021c). *Population, total*. [https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.](https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.TOTL) [TOTL](https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.TOTL). Accessed 6 Mar2021.
- UN. (2019). *World Population Prospects 2019. File POP/2: Average annual rate of population change by region, subregion and country, 1950–2100 (percentage). Estimates, 1950–2020*. [https://population.un.org/wpp/Download/Files/1\\_Indicators%20\(Standard\)/EXCEL\\_](https://population.un.org/wpp/Download/Files/1_Indicators (Standard)/EXCEL_FILES/1_Population/WPP2019_POP_F02_POPULATION_GROWTH_RATE.xlsx) [FILES/1\\_Population/WPP2019\\_POP\\_F02\\_POPULATION\\_GROWTH\\_RATE.xlsx](https://population.un.org/wpp/Download/Files/1_Indicators (Standard)/EXCEL_FILES/1_Population/WPP2019_POP_F02_POPULATION_GROWTH_RATE.xlsx)
- United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2018). *World urbanization prospects: The 2018 revision, online edition*. [https://population.un.org/wup/](https://population.un.org/wup/Download/Files/WUP2018-F01-Total_Urban_Rural.xls) [Download/Files/WUP2018-F01-Total\\_Urban\\_Rural.xls](https://population.un.org/wup/Download/Files/WUP2018-F01-Total_Urban_Rural.xls)
- UNCTAD. (2021). *Total and urban population, annual*. [https://unctadstat.unctad.org/wds/](https://unctadstat.unctad.org/wds/TableViewer/tableView.aspx?ReportId=97) [TableViewer/tableView.aspx?ReportId=97.](https://unctadstat.unctad.org/wds/TableViewer/tableView.aspx?ReportId=97) Accessed 5 Mar 2021.
- UN-HABITAT. (2021b). *Urban indicators database*. [https://data.unhabitat.org/datasets/](https://data.unhabitat.org/datasets/proportion-of-urban-population-living-in-slums-time-period-between-1990-and-2018/data) [proportion-of-urban-population-living-in-slums-time-period-between-1990-and-2018/data](https://data.unhabitat.org/datasets/proportion-of-urban-population-living-in-slums-time-period-between-1990-and-2018/data). Accessed 5 Mar 2021.
- Ürge-Vorsatz, D., Cabeza, L. F., Serrano, S., Barreneche, C., & Petrichenko, K. (2015b). Heating and cooling energy trends and drivers in buildings. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 41*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.08.039>
- Ürge-Vorsatz, D., Khosla, R., Bernhardt, R., Chan, Y. C., Vérez, D., Hu, S., & Cabeza, L. F. (2020). Advances toward a net-zero global building sector. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 45*, 227–269.
- Ürge-Vorsatz, D., & Tirado Herrero, S. (2012b). Building synergies between climate change mitigation and energy poverty alleviation. *Energy Policy, 49*, 83–90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.11.093) [enpol.2011.11.093](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.11.093)
- Urge-Vorsatz, D., Petrichenko, K., Antal, M., Labelle, M., Staniec, M., Ozden, E., & Labzina, E. (2012b). *Best practice policies for low energy and carbon buildings. A scenario analysis*.

**Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

