

# Chapter 12

## An Eisenbud–Goto-Type Upper Bound for the Castelnuovo–Mumford Regularity of Fake Weighted Projective Spaces



Bach Le Tran

**Abstract** We will give an upper bound for the  $k$ -normality of very ample lattice simplices, and then give an Eisenbud–Goto-type bound for some special classes of projective toric varieties.

**Keywords**  $k$ -normality · Toric variety · Very ample lattice simplex · Eisenbud–Goto conjecture · Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity

### 12.1 Introduction

The study of the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity for projective varieties has been greatly motivated by the Eisenbud–Goto conjecture [7] which asks for any irreducible and reduced variety  $X$ , is it always the case that

$$\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq \deg(X) - \operatorname{codim}(X) + 1?$$

The Eisenbud–Goto conjecture is known to be true for some particular cases. For example, it holds for smooth surfaces in characteristic zero [13], connected reduced curves [8], etc. Inspired by the conjecture, there are also many attempts to give an upper bound for the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity for various types of algebraic and geometric structures [5, 12, 15, 20].

For toric geometry, suppose that  $(X, L)$  is a polarized projective toric varieties such that  $L$  is very ample. Then there is a corresponding very ample lattice polytope  $P := P_L$  associated to  $L$  such that  $\Gamma(X, L) = \bigoplus_{m \in P \cap M} \mathbb{C} \cdot \chi^m$  [4, Sect. 5.4]. Therefore, by studying the  $k$ -normality of  $P$  (cf. Definition 2), we can obtain the  $k$ -normality and also the regularity of the original variety  $(X, L)$ . For the purpose of this article,

---

B. L. Tran (✉)

School of Mathematics, University of Edinburgh, James Clerk Maxwell Building, Peter Guthrie Tait Road, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, UK  
e-mail: [b.tran@sms.ed.ac.uk](mailto:b.tran@sms.ed.ac.uk)

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

A. M. Kasprzyk and B. Nill (eds.), *Interactions with Lattice Polytopes*, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics 386, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-98327-7\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-98327-7_12)

251

we will focus on the case that  $X$  is a fake weighted projective  $d$ -space and  $P_L$  a  $d$ -simplex.

For any fake weighted projective  $d$ -space  $X$  embedded in  $\mathbb{P}^r$  via a very ample line bundle, Ogata [17] gives an upper bound for its  $k$ -normality:

$$k_X \leq \dim X + \left\lfloor \frac{\dim X}{2} \right\rfloor - 1.$$

In this article, we will improve Ogata’s bound by giving a new upper bound for the  $k$ -normality of very ample lattice simplices and show that

$$\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq \operatorname{deg}(X) - \operatorname{codim}(X) + \left\lfloor \frac{\dim X}{2} \right\rfloor. \tag{12.1}$$

Recently, McCullough and Peeva showed some counterexamples to the Eisenbud–Goto conjecture and that the difference  $\operatorname{reg}(X) - \operatorname{deg}(X) + \operatorname{codim}(X)$  can be arbitrary large [14, Counterexample 1.8]. However, for any fake weighted projective space  $X$  embedded in  $\mathbb{P}^r$  via a very ample line bundle, it follows from (12.1) that  $\operatorname{reg}(X) - \operatorname{deg}(X) + \operatorname{codim}(X)$  is bounded above by  $\dim(X)/2$ . Furthermore, we will show that the Eisenbud–Goto conjecture holds for any projective toric variety corresponding to a very ample Fano simplex.

## 12.2 Background Material

### 12.2.1 Toric Varieties and Lattice Simplices

We begin this section by recalling the definition of the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity:

**Definition 1** Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$  be an irreducible projective variety and  $\mathcal{F}$  a coherent sheaf over  $X$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $k$ -regular if

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(k - i)) = 0$$

for all  $i > 0$ . The regularity of  $\mathcal{F}$ , denoted by  $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F})$ , is the minimum number  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $k$ -regular. We say that  $X$  is  $k$ -regular if the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_X$  of  $X$  is  $k$ -regular and use  $\operatorname{reg}(X)$  to denote the regularity of  $X$  (or of  $\mathcal{I}_X$ ).

As the main object of the article is to find an upper bound for  $k$ -normality of very ample lattice simplices, it is important for us to revisit the definition of  $k$ -normality of lattice polytopes.

**Definition 2** A lattice polytope  $P$  is  $k$ -normal if the map

$$\underbrace{P \cap M + \cdots + P \cap M}_{k \text{ times}} \rightarrow kP \cap M$$

is surjective. The  $k$ -normality of  $P$ , denoted by  $k_P$ , is the smallest positive integer  $k_P$  such that  $P$  is  $k$ -normal for all  $k \geq k_P$ .

Suppose now that  $X$  is a fake weighted projective  $d$ -space embedded in  $\mathbb{P}^r$  via a very ample line bundle. Then the polytope  $P$  corresponding to the embedding is a very ample lattice  $d$ -simplex. Furthermore,  $\text{codim}(X) = |P \cap M| - (d + 1)$ , where  $M$  is the ambient lattice, and  $\text{deg}(X) = \text{Vol}(P)$ , the normalized volume of  $P$ .

We have a combinatorial interpretation of  $\text{reg}(X)$  in terms of  $k_P$  and  $\text{deg}(P)$  [21, Proposition 4.1.5] as follows:

$$\text{reg}(X) = \max\{k_P, \text{deg}(P)\} + 1. \tag{12.2}$$

From this, we obtain a combinatorial form of the Eisenbud–Goto conjecture: for very ample lattice polytope  $P \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ , is it always true that

$$\max\{\text{deg}(P), k_P\} \leq \text{Vol}(P) - |P \cap M| + d + 1?$$

The first inequality was confirmed to be true recently [11, Proposition 2.2]; namely,

$$\text{deg}(P) \leq \text{Vol}(P) - |P \cap M| + d + 1. \tag{12.3}$$

Therefore, in order to verify the Eisenbud–Goto conjecture for the polarized toric variety  $(X, L)$ , it suffices to check if

$$k_P \leq \text{Vol}(P) - |P \cap M| + d + 1. \tag{12.4}$$

### 12.2.2 Ehrhart Theory

We now recall some basic facts about Ehrhart theory of polytopes and the definition of their degree.

Let  $P$  be a lattice polytope of dimension  $d$ . We define  $\text{ehr}_P(k) = |kP \cap M|$ , the number of lattice points in  $kP$ . Then from Ehrhart’s theory [6, 19],

$$\text{Ehr}_P(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{ehr}_P(k)t^k = \frac{h_P^*(t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}$$

for some polynomial  $h_P^* \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[t]$  of degree less than or equal to  $d$ . Let  $h_P^*(t) = \sum_{i=0}^d h_i^* t^i$ . We have

$$h_0^* = 1, \quad h_1^* = |P \cap M| - d - 1, \quad h_d^* = |P^0 \cap M|, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^d h_i^* = \text{Vol}(P).$$

**Definition 3** ([1, Remark 2.6]) Let  $P$  be a lattice polytope of dimension  $d$ . We define the degree of  $P$ , denoted by  $\text{deg}(P)$ , to be the degree of  $h_p^*(t)$ . Equivalently,

$$\text{deg}(P) = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } |P^0 \cap M| \neq 0, \\ \min \{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid (kP)^0 \cap M = \emptyset \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq d - i\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

### 12.3 $k$ -Normality of Very Ample Simplices

The following lemma by Ogata is crucial to the main result of this article:

**Lemma 4** ([17, Lemma 2.1]) *Let  $P = \text{conv}(v_0, \dots, v_d)$  be a very ample lattice  $n$ -simplex. Suppose that  $k \geq 1$  is an integer and  $x \in kP \cap M$ . For any  $i = 0, \dots, d$ , we have*

$$x + (k - 1)v_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2k-1} u_j$$

for some  $u_j \in P \cap M$ .

Using the ideas in [17, Lemma 2.5], we generalize the above lemma as follows.

**Lemma 5** *Suppose that  $P = \text{conv}(v_0, \dots, v_d)$  is a very ample  $d$ -simplex. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ . Then for any  $x \in kP \cap M$ ,  $a_0, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $\sum_{i=0}^d a_i = k - 1$ , we have*

$$\sum_{i=0}^d a_i v_i + x = \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} u_i$$

for some  $u_i \in P \cap M$ .

**Proof** We will use induction in this proof. The case  $k = 1$  is trivial. Suppose that the lemma holds for  $k = s - 1$ . We will now show that it holds for  $k = s$ ; i.e., for any  $x \in sP \cap M$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $\sum_{i=0}^d a_i = s - 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^d a_i v_i + x = \sum_{i=1}^{2s-1} u_i \tag{12.5}$$

for some  $u_i \in P \cap M$ . Without loss of generality, we can take  $a_0$  to be positive and move  $v_0$  to the origin. By Lemma 4,

$$(s - 1)v_0 + x = \sum_{i=1}^{2s-1} w_i$$

for some  $w_i \in P \cap M$ . Since  $v_0 = 0$ , we can write  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{2s-1} w_i$ . If  $w_i + w_j \in P \cap M$  for any  $i \neq j$ , then we can let  $t_i = w_{2i-1} + w_{2i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s-1$  and have  $x = t_1 + \dots + t_{s-1} + w_{2s-1}$ , which lies in  $\sum_{i=1}^s P \cap M$ . Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=0}^d a_i v_i + x = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i v_i + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} t_i + w_{2s-1},$$

which satisfies (12.5). Conversely, without loss of generality, suppose that  $w_1 + w_2 \notin P \cap M$ . Then since  $x = w_1 + w_2 + (w_3 + \dots + w_{2s-1}) \in sP \cap M$ , we have  $y := w_3 + \dots + w_{2s-1} \in (s-1)P \cap M$  and  $v_0 + x = w_1 + w_2 + y$ . Using the induction hypothesis,

$$\underbrace{(a_0 - 1)v_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d a_i v_i + y}_{a_0 - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^d a_i = s - 2} = \sum_{i=1}^{2(s-1)-1} w'_i$$

for some  $w'_i \in P \cap M$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^d a_i v_i + x &= v_0 + x + (a_0 - 1)v_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d a_i v_i \\ &= w_1 + w_2 + y + (a_0 - 1)v_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d a_i v_i \\ &= w_1 + w_2 + \sum_{i=0}^{2(s-1)-1} w'_i. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows. □

Now define the invariants  $d_P$  and  $\nu_P$  as in [21, Definition 2.2.8]:

**Definition 6** Let  $P$  be a lattice polytope with the set of vertices  $\mathcal{V} = \{v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ . We define  $d_P$  to be the smallest positive integer such that for every  $k \geq d_P$ ,

$$(k + 1)P \cap M = P \cap M + kP \cap M.$$

We also define  $\nu_P$  to be the smallest positive integer such that for any  $k \geq \nu_P$ ,

$$(k + 1)P \cap M = \mathcal{V} + kP \cap M.$$

Notice that for  $P$  an  $n$ -simplex,  $d_P \leq \nu_P \leq n - 1$ .

**Proposition 7** Let  $P = \text{conv}(v_0, \dots, v_d)$  be a very ample  $d$ -simplex. Then

$$k_P \leq \nu_P + d_P - 1.$$

**Proof** For any  $k \geq d_P + v_P - 1$  and  $p \in kP \cap M$ , by the definition of  $d_P$  and  $v_P$ , we have

$$p = x + \sum_{i=1}^{v_P-d_P} u_i + \sum_{i=0}^d a_i v_i \tag{12.6}$$

for some  $x \in d_P P \cap M, u_i \in P \cap M, \sum_{i=0}^d a_i = k - v_P$ . By assumption,  $k - v_P \geq d_P - 1$ , so it follows from Lemma 5 that

$$x + \sum_{i=0}^d a_i v_i = \sum_{i=1}^{d_P+k-v_P} u'_i \tag{12.7}$$

for some  $u'_i \in P \cap M$ . Substitute (12.7) into (12.6), we have

$$p = \sum_{i=1}^{v_P-d_P} u_i + \sum_{i=1}^{d_P+k-v_P} u'_i.$$

The conclusion follows. □

**Remark 8** This bound is stronger than [17, Proposition 2.4] since  $v_P \leq d$  [21, Proposition 2.2] and  $d_P \leq d/2$  [17, Proposition 2.2].

## 12.4 Eisenbud–Goto-Type Upper Bound for Very Ample Simplices

Suppose that  $P$  is a very ample simplex. If  $P$  is unimodularly equivalent to the standard simplex  $\Delta_d = \text{conv}(0, e_1, \dots, e_d)$  then (12.4) holds. Now consider the case  $P$  is not unimodularly equivalent to  $\Delta_d$ .

The following lemma is a rewording of [9, Proposition IV.10] to fit our purpose. We provide a proof for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 9** *Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{v_0, \dots, v_d\}$  and suppose that  $P = \text{conv}(\mathcal{V})$  is a lattice simplex not unimodularly equivalent to  $\Delta_d$ . Then  $\text{deg}(P) \geq v_P$ .*

**Proof** Since  $v_P \leq d$ , it suffices to show that for any  $d \geq k \geq \text{deg}(P)$ ,

$$\mathcal{V} + kP \cap M \twoheadrightarrow (k + 1)P \cap M.$$

Indeed, any  $x \in (k + 1)P \cap M$  can be written as  $x = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i v_i$  such that  $a_i \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^d a_i = k + 1$ . If  $a_i < 1$  for all  $i$ , then  $d > k$  and the point  $\sum_{i=0}^d (1 - a_i) v_i$  is an interior lattice point of  $(d - k)P$ , a contradiction since  $d - k \leq d - \text{deg}(P)$ . Hence,  $a_i \geq 1$  for some  $i$ , say  $a_0 \geq 1$ . Then

$$x = v_0 + (a_0 - 1)v_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d a_i v_i = v_0 + \left( (a_0 - 1)v_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d a_i v_i \right) \in \mathcal{V} + kP \cap M.$$

Hence,  $k \geq v_P$ . The conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 10** *Let  $P = \text{conv}(v_0, \dots, v_d)$  be a very ample simplex. Then*

$$k_P \leq \text{Vol}(P) - |P \cap M| + d + \left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

**Proof** From Proposition 7, (12.3), and Lemma 9,

$$\begin{aligned} k_P &\leq d_P + v_P - 1 \leq d_P + \deg(P) - 1 \\ &\leq d_P + \text{Vol}(P) - |P \cap M| + d. \end{aligned}$$

By [17, Proposition 2.2],  $d_P \leq \frac{d}{2}$ . Therefore, since  $k_P$ ,  $\text{Vol}(P)$ , and  $|P \cap M|$  are all integers,

$$k_P \leq \text{Vol}(P) - |P \cap M| + d + \left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

$\square$

**Remark 11** We show some cases that the result of Proposition 10 is stronger than [17, Proposition 2.4]:

1.  $\text{Vol}(P) \leq |P \cap M| + 2$ . In this case,

$$\text{Vol}(P) - |P \cap M| + d + \left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor \leq d + \left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor - 2.$$

**Example 12** Let  $\Delta_d$  be the standard  $d$ -simplex. Then

$$\text{Vol}(\Delta_d) - |\Delta_d \cap M| + d + \left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor = 1 - (d + 1) + d + \left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

This is clearly a better bound compared to  $d + \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor - 1$ .

2.  $P^0 \cap M = \emptyset$  or equivalently  $\deg(P) \leq d - 1$ . Indeed, in this case,

$$k_P \leq d_P + \deg(P) - 1 \leq \left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor + d - 2.$$

We will show in next section that this is the only case that we still need to consider in order to verify the Eisenbud–Goto conjecture for very ample simplices.

**Example 13** Consider  $P = 2\Delta_d$  for  $d \geq 4$ , where  $\Delta_d$  is the standard  $d$ -simplex. Then  $\deg(P) = 2$  and by Proposition 7,

$$k_P \leq d_P + 1 \leq \left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 < \left\lceil \frac{d}{2} \right\rceil + d - 1.$$

**Theorem 14** *Suppose that  $X$  is a fake weighted projective space embedded in  $\mathbb{P}^r$  via a very ample line bundle. Then*

$$\text{reg}(X) \leq \text{deg}(X) - \text{codim}(X) + \left\lceil \frac{\dim(X)}{2} \right\rceil.$$

**Proof** Let  $P$  be the corresponding polytope of the embedding. From (12.2), (12.3), and Proposition 10, it follows that

$$\text{reg}(X) \leq \text{Vol}(P) - |P \cap M| + d + \left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = \text{deg}(X) - \text{codim}(X) + \left\lceil \frac{d}{2} \right\rceil.$$

### 12.5 Eisenbud–Goto Conjecture for Non-hollow Very Ample Simplices

In this section, we will improve the bound of  $k$ -normality for non-hollow very ample simplices.

**Definition 15** A lattice polytope is hollow if it has no interior lattice points.

We now show that the inequality (12.4) holds for non-hollow very ample simplices.

**Proposition 16** *Let  $P \subseteq M_{\mathbb{R}}$  be a non-hollow very ample lattice  $d$ -simplex. Then*

$$k_P \leq \text{Vol}(P) - |P \cap M| + d + 1.$$

**Proof** We will consider two cases, namely  $|P \cap M| = d + 2$  and  $|P \cap M| \geq d + 3$ . For the first case, we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 17** *Suppose that  $P = \text{conv}(v_0, \dots, v_d)$  is a very ample lattice  $d$ -simplex with  $u$  is the only lattice point beside the vertices. Then  $P$  is normal.  $\square$*

**Proof** Assume that  $d_P \geq 2$ . Then there exists a point  $p \in d_P P \cap M$  such that  $p$  cannot be written as  $p = x + w$  for some  $x \in (d_P - 1)P \cap M$  and  $w \in P \cap M$ . Since  $P$  is a simplex,  $u$  and  $p$  can be uniquely written as

$$p = \sum_{i=0}^d \lambda_i v_i, \quad \sum_{i=0}^d \lambda_i = d_P$$

and



$$u = \sum_{i=0}^d \lambda_i^* v_i, \quad \sum_{i=0}^d \lambda_i^* = 1,$$

respectively. It follows from the condition of  $p$  that  $\lambda_i < 1$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq d$  and there exists  $0 \leq i \leq d$  such that  $\lambda_i < \lambda_i^*$ , say  $i = 0$ . By Lemma 4,

$$p + (d_p - 1)v_1 = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i v_i + bu$$

for some  $a_i, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $b + \sum_{i=0}^d a_i = 2d_p - 1$ . Replacing  $p$  by  $\sum_{i=0}^d \lambda_i v_i$  and  $u$  by  $\sum_{i=0}^d \lambda_i^* v_i$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0 &= a_0 + b\lambda_0^* \\ \lambda_1 + d_p - 1 &= a_1 + b\lambda_1^* \\ \lambda_2 &= a_2 + b\lambda_2^* \\ &\vdots \\ \lambda_d &= a_d + b\lambda_d^*. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda_0 < \lambda_0^*$  and  $\lambda_i < 1$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq d$ , it follows that  $a_0 = a_2 = \dots = a_d = 0$  and  $b = 0$ . Then  $p = d_p v_1$ , a contradiction to the choice of  $p$ . Therefore,  $P$  is normal.  $\square$

As a consequence,  $1 = k_p \leq \text{Vol}(P) - |P \cap M| + d + 1 = \text{Vol}(P) - 1$ . Now we consider the case  $|P \cap M| \geq d + 3$ . By the hypothesis,  $|P \cap M| - (d + 1) \geq 2$ . Consider the Ehrhart vector  $h^* = (h_0^*, \dots, h_d^*)$  of  $P$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} h_0^* &= 1 \\ h_1^* &= |P \cap M| - d - 1 \geq 2 \\ h_d^* &= |P^0 \cap M| \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

By [10, Theorem 1.1],  $2 \leq h_1^* \leq h_i^*$  for all  $1 \leq i < d$ . Therefore,

$$\text{Vol}(P) - |P \cap M| + d + 1 = h_0^* + h_2^* + \dots + h_d^* \geq 1 + 2(d - 1) = 2d - 1.$$

By [17, Proposition 2.4],

$$k_p \leq \left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor + d - 1 \leq 2d - 1 \leq \text{Vol}(P) - |P \cap M| + d + 1$$

for all  $d \geq 3$ . The conclusion follows.  $\square$

Let us now recall the definition of Fano polytopes:

**Definition 18** A Fano polytope is a convex lattice polytope  $P \subseteq M_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $P^0 \cap M = \{0\}$  and each vertex  $v$  of  $P$  is a primitive point of  $M$ .

From Proposition 16, we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 19** *The Eisenbud–Goto conjecture holds for any projective toric variety corresponding to a very ample Fano simplex.*

## 12.6 Final Remarks

We start with a remark that Proposition 7 fails in general.

**Example 20** ([3]) Consider the polytope  $P$  which is the convex hull of the vertices given by the columns of the following matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & s & s + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $s \geq 4$ . Then  $d_P = v_P = 2$ , and by [2, Theorem 3.3],  $k_P = s - 1$ . It is clear that  $k_P > d_P + v_P - 1$  for all  $s \geq 6$ .

Furthermore, it can be shown that  $P$  cannot be covered by very ample simplices [21, Proposition 4.3.3]; hence, it is very unlikely that we can apply Proposition 7 to find a bound of the  $k$ -normality of generic very ample polytopes.

### 12.6.1 Hollow Very Ample Simplices

Finally, we would love to see a classification of hollow very ample lattice simplices. For dimension 2, Rabinotwiz [18, Theorem 1] showed that any such simplex is unimodularly equivalent to either  $T_{p,1} := \text{conv}(0, (p, 0), (0, 1))$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  or  $T_{2,2} = \text{conv}(0, (2, 0), (0, 2))$ . Now we will show a way to obtain some hollow very ample simplices in any dimension with arbitrary volume.

We recall the definition of lattice pyramids as in [16]:

**Definition 21** Let  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$  be a lattice polytope with respect to  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ . Then  $\text{conv}(0, B \times \{1\}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$  is a lattice polytope with respect to  $\mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$ , called the (1-fold) standard pyramid over  $B$ . Recursively, we define for  $l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$  in this way the  $l$ -fold standard pyramid over  $B$ . As a convention, the 0-fold standard pyramid over  $B$  is  $B$  itself.

**Proposition 22** *Let  $P$  be a lattice polytope. Then the 1-fold pyramid over  $P$  is very ample if and only if  $P$  is normal.*

**Proof** Let  $Q = \text{conv}(0, P \times \{1\})$  be the 1-fold pyramid over  $P$ . Then it is easy to see that if  $P$  is normal then so is  $Q$ . Now suppose that  $Q$  is very ample. We have  $k_Q \geq k_P$  [21, Lemma 4.2.2] and each lattice point of  $k_Q Q \cap M$  sits in  $(tP \cap M) \times \{t\}$  for some  $0 \leq t \leq k_Q$ . In particular, suppose that  $(x, t) \in (tP \cap M) \times \{t\} \subseteq k_Q Q \cap M$ . Then

$$(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^t (u_i, 1) + (k_Q - t)0$$

for some  $u_i \in P \cap M$ . It follows that  $x = \sum_{i=1}^t u_i$ . Hence,  $P$  is  $t$ -normal for all  $k_Q \geq t \geq 1$ . Since  $k_Q \geq k_P$ , it follows that  $P$  is normal. The conclusion follows.  $\square$

From Proposition 22, if we take any  $(d - 2)$ -fold pyramid over either  $T_{p,1}$  with  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  or  $T_{2,2}$ , which are all normal, then we obtain a hollow normal (hence very ample)  $d$ -simplex with normalized volume  $p$ . The Eisenbud–Goto conjecture holds for these.

**Example 23** We give here an example to demonstrate the case that if  $Q$  is very ample but not normal then the 1-fold pyramid over  $Q$  is not very ample. Let  $Q$  be the convex polytope given by taking  $s = 4$  in Example 20. Then  $Q$  is very ample; however, the 1-fold pyramid of  $Q$ , which is given by the convex hull of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

is not very ample.

**Acknowledgements** We would like to thank Milena Hering for reading the drafts of this article and for some valuable suggestions.

## References

1. Batyrev, V., Nill, B.: Multiples of lattice polytopes without interior lattice points. *Mosc. Math. J.* **7**(2), 195–207, 349 (2007)
2. Beck, M., Delgado, J., Gubeladze, J., Michał ek, M.: Very ample and Koszul segmental fibrations. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **42**(1), 165–182 (2015)
3. Bruns, W., Gubeladze, J.: *Polytopes, Rings, and  $K$ -Theory*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Dordrecht (2009)
4. Cox, D.A., Little, J.B., Schenck, H.K.: *Toric Varieties*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 124. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2011)
5. Derksen, H., Sidman, J.: A sharp bound for the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of subspace arrangements. *Adv. Math.* **172**(2), 151–157 (2002)
6. Ehrhart, E.: Sur les polyèdres rationnels homothétiques à  $n$  dimensions. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **254**, 616–618 (1962)

7. Eisenbud, D., Goto, S.: Linear free resolutions and minimal multiplicity. *J. Algebra* **88**(1), 89–133 (1984)
8. Giaimo, D.: On the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of connected curves. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **358**(1), 267–284 (2006)
9. Hering, M.S.: Syzygies of toric varieties. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI (2006). Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of Michigan
10. Hibi, T.: A lower bound theorem for Ehrhart polynomials of convex polytopes. *Adv. Math.* **105**(2), 162–165 (1994)
11. Hofscheier, J., Katthän, L., Nill, B.: Ehrhart theory of spanning lattice polytopes. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **19**, 5947–5973 (2018)
12. Kwak, S.: Castelnuovo regularity for smooth subvarieties of dimensions 3 and 4. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **7**(1), 195–206 (1998)
13. Lazarsfeld, R.: A sharp Castelnuovo bound for smooth surfaces. *Duke Math. J.* **55**(2), 423–429 (1987)
14. McCullough, J., Peeva, I.: Counterexamples to the Eisenbud-Goto regularity conjecture. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **31**(2), 473–496 (2018)
15. Miyazaki, C.: Sharp bounds on Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352**(4), 1675–1686 (2000)
16. Nill, B.: Lattice polytopes having  $h^*$ -polynomials with given degree and linear coefficient. *Eur. J. Combin.* **29**(7), 1596–1602 (2008)
17. Ogata, S.:  $k$ -normality of weighted projective spaces. *Kodai Math. J.* **28**(3), 519–524 (2005)
18. Rabinowitz, S.: A census of convex lattice polygons with at most one interior lattice point. *Ars Combin.* **28**, 83–96 (1989)
19. Stanley, R.P.: Decompositions of rational convex polytopes. *Ann. Discrete Math.* **6**, 333–342 (1980)
20. Sturmfels, B.: Equations defining toric varieties. In: *Algebraic Geometry—Santa Cruz 1995, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, vol. 62, pp. 437–449. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1997)
21. Tran, B.L.: On  $k$ -normality and regularity of normal projective toric varieties. Ph.D. thesis, University of Edinburgh (2018)