Chapter 1 Difference Between Families of Weakly and Strongly Maximal Integral Lattice-Free Polytopes



Gennadiy Averkov

Abstract A *d*-dimensional closed convex set *K* in \mathbb{R}^d is said to be lattice-free if the interior of *K* is disjoint with \mathbb{Z}^d . We consider the following two families of lattice-free polytopes: the family \mathcal{L}^d of integral lattice-free polytopes in \mathbb{R}^d that are not properly contained in another integral lattice-free polytope and its subfamily \mathcal{M}^d consisting of integral lattice-free polytopes in \mathbb{R}^d which are not properly contained in another lattice-free polytopes in \mathbb{R}^d holds for $d \leq 3$ and, for each $d \geq 4$, \mathcal{M}^d is a proper subfamily of \mathcal{L}^d . We derive a super-exponential lower bound on the number of polytopes in $\mathcal{L}^d \setminus \mathcal{M}^d$ (with standard identification of integral polytopes up to affine unimodular transformations).

Keywords Egyptian fraction \cdot Hollow polytope \cdot Lattice-free set \cdot Lattice polytope \cdot Maximality

1.1 Introduction

By |X| we denote the cardinality of a finite set *X*. Let \mathbb{N} be the set of all positive integers and let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be the dimension. Elements of \mathbb{Z}^d are called *integral points* or *integral vectors*. We call a polyhedron $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ *integral* if *P* is the convex hull of $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$. Let Aff(\mathbb{Z}^d) be the group of affine transformations $A : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying $A(\mathbb{Z}^d) = \mathbb{Z}^d$. We call elements of Aff(\mathbb{Z}^d) *affine unimodular transformations*. For a family X of subsets of \mathbb{R}^d , we consider the family of equivalence classes

$$\mathcal{X}/\operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^d) := \left\{ \left\{ A(X) : A \in \operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^d) \right\} : X \in \mathcal{X} \right\}$$

with respect to identification of the elements of X up to affine unimodular transformations. A subset K of \mathbb{R}^d is called *lattice-free* if K is closed, convex, d-dimensional and

G. Averkov (🖂)

Brandenburgische Technische Universität Cottbus-Senftenberg, Fakultät 1, Platz der Deutschen Einheit 1, 03046 Cottbus, Germany e-mail: averkov@b-tu.de

[©] Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

A. M. Kasprzyk and B. Nill (eds.), *Interactions with Lattice Polytopes*, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics 386, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-98327-7_1

the interior of *K* contains no points from \mathbb{Z}^d . A set *K* is called *maximal lattice-free* if *K* is lattice-free and is not a proper subset of another lattice-free set.

Our objective is to study the relationship between the following two families of integral lattice-free polytopes:

- 1. The family \mathcal{L}^d of integral lattice-free polytopes *P* in \mathbb{R}^d such that there exists no integral lattice-free polytope properly containing *P*. We call elements of \mathcal{L}^d weakly maximal integral lattice-free polytopes.
- 2. The family \mathcal{M}^d of integral lattice-free polytopes P in \mathbb{R}^d such that there exists no <u>lattice-free set</u> properly containing P. We call the elements of \mathcal{L}^d strongly maximal integral lattice-free polytopes.

The family \mathcal{L}^d has applications in mixed-integer optimization, algebra and algebraic geometry; see [1, 3, 4, 13], respectively. In [2, 11] it was shown that \mathcal{L}^d is finite up to affine unimodular transformations:

Theorem 1 ([2, Theorem 2.1], [11, Corollary 1.3]) $\mathcal{L}^d / \operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is finite.

Several groups of researchers are interested in enumeration of \mathcal{L}^d , up to affine unimodular transformations, in fixed dimensions. This requires understanding geometric properties of \mathcal{L}^d . Currently, no explicit description of \mathcal{L}^d is available for dimensions $d \ge 4$ and, moreover, it is even extremely hard to decide if a given polytope belongs to \mathcal{L}^d . A brute-force algorithm based on volume bounds for \mathcal{L}^d (provided in [11]) would have doubly exponential running time in d. In contrast to \mathcal{L}^d , its subfamily \mathcal{M}^d is easier to deal with. Lovász's characterization [9, Proposition 3.3] of maximal lattice-free sets leads to a straightforward geometric description of polytopes belonging to \mathcal{M}^d . This characterization can be used to decide whether a given polytope is an element of \mathcal{M}^d in only exponential time in d. Thus, while enumeration of \mathcal{M}^d in fixed dimensions is a hard task, too, enumeration of \mathcal{L}^d is even more challenging.

For a given dimension d, it is a priori not clear whether or not \mathcal{M}^d is a proper subset of \mathcal{L}^d . Recently, it has been shown that the inequality $\mathcal{M}^d = \mathcal{L}^d$ holds if and only if $d \leq 3$. The equality $\mathcal{M}^d = \mathcal{L}^d$ is rather obvious for $d \in \{1, 2\}$, as it is not hard to enumerate \mathcal{L}^d in these very small dimensions and to check that every element of \mathcal{L}^d belongs to \mathcal{M}^d . Starting from dimension three, the problem gets very difficult. Results in [1, 2] establish the equality $\mathcal{M}^3 = \mathcal{L}^3$ and enumerate \mathcal{L}^3 , up to affine unimodular transformations. As a complement, in [11, Theorem 1.4] it was shown that for all $d \geq 4$ there exists a polytope belonging to \mathcal{L}^d but not to \mathcal{M}^d .

While Theorem 1.4 in [11] shows that \mathcal{L}^d and \mathcal{M}^d are two different families, it does not provide information on the number of polytopes in \mathcal{L}^d that do not belong to \mathcal{M}^d . Relying on a result of Konyagin [6], we will show that, asymptotically, the gap between \mathcal{L}^d and \mathcal{M}^d is very large.

For $a_1, \ldots, a_d > 0$, we introduce

$$\kappa(a) := \kappa(a_1, \dots, a_d) = \frac{1}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_d}.$$

Reciprocals of positive integers are sometimes called *Egyptian fractions*. Thus, if $a \in \mathbb{N}^d$, then $\kappa(a)$ is a sum of *d* Egyptian fractions. We consider the set

$$\mathcal{A}_d := \left\{ (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d : a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_d, \ \kappa(a_1, \dots, a_d) = 1 \right\}$$

of all different solutions of the Diophantine equation

$$\kappa(x_1,\ldots,x_d)=1$$

in the unknowns $x_1, \ldots, x_d \in \mathbb{N}$. The set \mathcal{A}_d represents possible ways to write 1 as a sum of *d* Egyptian fractions. It is known that \mathcal{A}_d is finite. Our main result allows is a lower bound on the cardinality of $(\mathcal{L}^d \setminus \mathcal{M}^d) / \operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$:

Theorem 2 $|(\mathcal{L}^{d+5} \setminus \mathcal{M}^{d+5}) / \operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^{d+5})| \ge |\mathcal{A}_d|.$

The proof of Theorem 2 is constructive. This means that, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}_d$, we generate an element in $P_a \in \mathcal{L}^{d+5} \setminus \mathcal{M}^{d+5}$ such that for two different elements a and b of \mathcal{A}_d , the respective polytopes P_a and P_b do not coincide up to affine unimodular transformations. The proof of Theorem 2 is inspired by the construction in [11]. Using lower bounds on $|\mathcal{A}_d|$ from [6], we obtain the following asymptotic estimate:

Corollary 3 $\ln \ln \left| \left(\mathcal{L}^d \setminus \mathcal{M}^d \right) / \operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^d) \right| = \Omega \left(\frac{d}{\ln d} \right), \text{ as } d \to \infty.$

Note 4 We view the elements of \mathbb{R}^d as columns. By *o* we denote the zero vector and by e_1, \ldots, e_d the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^d . If $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, then x_i denotes the *i*-th component of *x*. The relation $a \leq b$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ means $a_i \leq b_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. The relations \geq , > and < on \mathbb{R}^d are introduced analogously. The abbreviations aff, conv, int and relint stand for the affine hull, convex hull, interior and relative interior, respectively.

1.2 An Approach to Construction of Polytopes in $\mathcal{L}^d \setminus \mathcal{M}^d$

We will present a systematic approach to construction of polytopes in $\mathcal{L}^d \setminus \mathcal{M}^d$, but first we discuss general maximal lattice-free sets.

Definition 5 Let *P* be a lattice-free polyhedron in \mathbb{R}^d . We say that a facet *F* of *P* is *blocked* if the relative interior of *F* contains an integral point.

Maximal lattice-free sets can be characterized as follows:

Proposition 6 ([9, Proposition 3.3]) Let K be a d-dimensional closed convex subset of \mathbb{R}^d . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1. K is maximal lattice-free;
- 2. K is a lattice-free polyhedron such that every facet of K is blocked.

It can happen that some facets of a maximal lattice-free polyhedron are more than just blocked. We introduce a respective notion. Recall that the *integer hull* K_I of a compact convex set K in \mathbb{R}^d is defined by

$$K_I := \operatorname{conv}(K \cap \mathbb{Z}^d).$$

Definition 7 Let *P* be a *d*-dimensional lattice-free polyhedron in \mathbb{R}^d . A facet *F* of *P* is called *strongly blocked* if F_I is (d - 1)-dimensional and $\mathbb{Z}^d \cap$ relint $F_I \neq \emptyset$. The polyhedron *P* is called *strongly blocked* if all facets of *P* are strongly blocked.

The following proposition extracts the geometric principle behind the construction from [11, Sect. 3]. (Note that arguments in [11, Sect. 3] use an algebraic language.)

Proposition 8 Let P be a strongly blocked lattice-free polytope in \mathbb{R}^d . Then $P_I \in \mathcal{L}^d$. Furthermore, if P is not integral, then $P_I \notin \mathcal{M}^d$.

Proof In order to show $P_I \in \mathcal{L}^d$ it suffices to verify that, for every $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\operatorname{conv}(P_I \cup \{z\})$ is lattice-free, one necessarily has $z \in P_I$. If $z \notin P_I$, then $z \notin P$ and so, for some facet F of P, the point z and the polytope P lie on different sides of the hyperplane aff F. Then $\emptyset \neq \mathbb{Z}^d \cap \operatorname{relint} F_I \subseteq \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{conv}(P \cup \{z\}))$, yielding a contradiction to the choice of z. Thus, for every facet F of P, z and P lie on the same side of aff F. It follows $z \in P$. Hence $z \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \subseteq P_I$.

If *P* is not integral, then $P_I \notin \mathcal{M}^d$ since $P_I \subsetneq P$ and *P* is lattice-free. \Box

1.3 Lattice-Free Axis-Aligned Simplices

For $a \in \mathbb{R}^{d}_{>0}$, the *d*-dimensional simplex

$$T(a) := \operatorname{conv}\{o, a_1e_1, \ldots, a_de_d\}.$$

is called *axis-aligned*. The proof of the following proposition is straightforward.

Proposition 9 For $a \in \mathbb{R}^{d}_{>0}$, the following statements hold:

- 1. the simplex T(a) is a lattice-free set if and only if $\kappa(a) \ge 1$;
- 2. the simplex T(a) is a maximal lattice-free set if and only if $\kappa(a) = 1$.

We introduce transformations which preserve the values of κ . The transformations arise from the following trivial identities for t > 0:

$$\frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{t+1} + \frac{1}{t(t+1)},\tag{1.1}$$

$$\frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{t+2} + \frac{1}{t(t+2)} + \frac{1}{t(t+2)},$$
(1.2)

$$\frac{1}{t} = \frac{2}{3t} + \frac{1}{3t}.$$
(1.3)

Consider a vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^{d}_{>0}$. By (1.1), if *t* is a component of *a*, we can replace this component with two new components t + 1 and t(t + 1) to generate a vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}_{>0}$ satisfying $\kappa(b) = \kappa(a)$. Identities (1.2) and (1.3) can be applied in a similar fashion. For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, with the help of (1.1)–(1.3), we introduce the following maps:

$$\phi_{d} : \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{d} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{d+1}, \qquad \phi_{d}(a) := \begin{pmatrix} a_{1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{d-1} \\ a_{d} + 1 \\ a_{d}(a_{d} + 1) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (1.4)$$

$$\psi_{d} : \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{d} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{d+3}, \qquad \psi_{d}(a) := \begin{pmatrix} a_{1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{d-1} \\ a_{d} + 3 \\ a_{d}(a_{d} + 1) \\ (a_{d} + 1)(a_{d} + 3) \\ (a_{d} + 1)(a_{d} + 3) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\xi_{d} : \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{d} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{d+1} \qquad \xi_{d}(a) := \begin{pmatrix} a_{1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{d-1} \\ \frac{3}{2}a_{d} \\ 3a_{d} \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (1.5)$$

The map ϕ_d replaces the component a_d by two other components based on (1.1), while ξ_d replaces a_d based on (1.3). The map ψ_d acts by replacing the component a_d based on (1.1) and then replacing the component $a_d + 1$ based on (1.2). Identities (1.1)–(1.3) imply

$$\kappa(\phi_d(a)) = \kappa(\psi_d(a)) = \kappa(\xi_d(a))) = \kappa(a). \tag{1.6}$$

Lemma 10 Let $P = T(\xi_d(a))$, where $a \in \mathcal{A}_d$ and $d \ge 2$. Then P is a strongly blocked lattice-free (d + 1)-dimensional polytope. Furthermore, if a_d is odd, P is not integral.

Proof In this proof, we use the *all-ones vector*

$$\mathbb{1}_d := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For the sake of brevity we introduce the notation $t := a_d$. One has $1 = \kappa(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{a_i} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{t} = \frac{d}{t}$, which implies $t \ge d \ge 2$. By (1.6), one has $\kappa(\xi_d(a)) = 1$ and so, by Proposition 9, *P* is maximal lattice-free.

If t is even, the polytope P is integral and hence every facet of P is integral, too. In view of Proposition 6, integral maximal lattice-free polytopes are strongly blocked, and so we conclude that P is strongly blocked.

Assume that t is odd, then the polytope P has one non-integral vertex. In this case, we need to look at facets of P more closely, to verify that P is strongly blocked. We consider all facets of P.

1. The facet $F = \operatorname{conv}\{o, a_1e_1, \dots, a_{d-1}e_{d-1}, 3te_{d+1}\}$ is a *d*-dimensional integral integral axis-aligned simplex. Since

$$\kappa(a_1,\ldots,a_{d-1},3t)<1,$$

the integral point $e_1 + \cdots + e_{d-1} + e_{d+1}$ is in the relative interior of *F*. Hence, *F* is strongly blocked.

2. The facet $F = \operatorname{conv}\left\{o, a_1e_1, \dots, a_{d-1}e_{d-1}, \frac{3}{2}te_d\right\}$ contains the *d*-dimensional integral axis-aligned simplex

$$G := \operatorname{conv} \Big\{ o, a_1 e_1, \dots, a_{d-1} e_{d-1}, \frac{3t-1}{2} e_d \Big\},\,$$

as a subset. In view of $t \ge 2$, we have

$$\kappa\Big(a_1,\ldots,a_{d-1},\frac{3t-1}{2}\Big)<1,$$

which implies that the integral point $e_1 + \cdots + e_d$ is in the relative interior of *G*. It follows that *F* is strongly blocked.

3. The facet $F := \operatorname{conv}\left\{a_1e_1, \ldots, a_{d-1}e_{d-1}, \frac{3}{2}te_d, 3te_{d+1}\right\}$ contains the integral *d*-dimensional simplex

$$G := \operatorname{conv} \Big\{ a_1 e_1, \dots, a_{d-1} e_{d-1}, \frac{3t-1}{2} e_d + e_{d+1}, 3t e_{d+1} \Big\}.$$

as a subset. It turns out that $\mathbb{1}_{d+1}$ is the relative interior of *G*, because $\mathbb{1}_{d+1}$ is a convex combination of the vertices of relint *G*, with positive coefficients. Indeed, the equality

$$\mathbb{1}_{d+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{a_i} (a_i e_i) + \lambda \Big(\frac{3t-1}{2} e_d + e_{d+1} \Big) + \mu \big(3t e_{d+1} \big)$$

holds for $\lambda = \frac{2}{3t-1}$ and $\mu = \frac{t-1}{t(3t-1)}$, where

1 Difference Between Families of Weakly and Strongly ...

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{a_i} + \lambda + \mu = 1.$$

4. It remains to consider faces F with the vertex set

$$\left\{o, a_1e_1, \ldots, a_de_d, \frac{3}{2}te_d, 3te_{d+1}\right\} \setminus \{a_ie_i\},\$$

where $i \in \{1, ..., d + 1\}$. Without loss of generality, let i = 1 so that

$$F = \operatorname{conv}\left\{o, a_2 e_2, \dots, \frac{3}{2} t e_d, 3 t e_{d+1}\right\}.$$

This facet contains the integral d-dimensional simplex

$$G := \operatorname{conv} \Big\{ o, a_2 a_2, \dots, a_{d-1} e_{d-1}, \frac{3t-1}{2} e_d + e_{d+1}, 3t e_{d+1} \Big\}.$$

Similarly to the previous case, one can check that $e_2 + \cdots + e_{d+1}$ is an integral point in the relative interior of *G*. Consequently, *F* is strongly blocked.

1.4 Proof of the Main Result

For $d \ge 4$, Nill and Ziegler [7] construct one vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0}$ with $T(a)_I \in \mathcal{L}^d \setminus \mathcal{M}^d$. We generalize this construction and provide many further vectors a with the above properties. We will also need to verify that for different choices of a, we get essentially different polytopes $T(a)_I$.

Lemma 11 Let P and Q be d-dimensional strongly blocked lattice-free polytopes such that for their integral hulls the equality $Q_I = A(P_I)$ holds for some $A \in$ Aff (\mathbb{Z}^d) . Then Q = A(P).

Proof Since A is an affine transformation, we have

$$A(P_I) = A(\operatorname{conv}(P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)) = \operatorname{conv} A(P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d).$$

Using $A \in Aff(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, it is straightforward to check the equality $A(P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) = A(P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$. We thus conclude that $A(P_I) = A(P)_I$. The assumption $Q_I = A(P_I)$ yields $Q_I = A(P)_I$. Since *P* is strongly blocked lattice-free, A(P) too is strongly blocked lattice-free. We thus have the equality $Q_I = A(P)_I$ for strongly blocked lattice-free polytopes *Q* and A(P). To verify the assertion, it suffices to show that a strongly blocked lattice-free polytope *Q* is uniquely determined by the knowledge of its integer hull Q_I . This is quite easy to see. For every strongly blocked facet *G* of Q_I , the affine hull of *G* contains a facet of *Q*. Conversely, if *F* is an arbitrary facet of *Q*,

then $G = F_I$ is a strongly blocked facet of Q_I . Thus, the knowledge of Q_I allows to determine affine hulls of all facets of Q. In other words, Q_I uniquely determines a hyperplane description of Q.

Lemma 12 Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0}$ be such that the equality T(b) = A(T(a)) holds for some $A \in \operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. Then a and b coincide up to permutation of components.

Proof We use induction on d. For d = 1, the assertion is trivial. Let $d \ge 2$. One of the d facets of T(a) containing o is mapped by A to a facet of T(b) that contains o. Without loss of generality we can assume that the facet $T(a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1}) \times \{0\}$ of T(a) is mapped to the facet $T(b_1, \ldots, b_{d-1}) \times \{0\}$ of T(b). By the inductive assumption, (a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1}) and (b_1, \ldots, b_{d-1}) coincide up to permutation of components. Since unimodular transformations preserve the volume, T(a) and T(b) have the same volume. This means, $\prod_{i=1}^{d} a_i = \prod_{i=1}^{d} b_i$. Consequently, $a_d = b_d$ and we conclude that a and b coincide up to permutation of components.

Proof (Proof of Theorem 2) For every $a \in \mathcal{A}_d$, we introduce the (d + 5)-dimensional integral lattice-free polytope

$$P_a := T(\eta(a))_I,$$

where

$$\eta(x) := \xi_{d+4}(\psi_{d+1}(\phi_d(x)))$$

and the functions ξ_{d+4} , ψ_{d+1} and ϕ_d are defined by (1.4)–(1.5).

By (1.6) for each $a \in \mathcal{A}_d$, we have $\kappa(\eta(a)) = 1$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}_d$ the last component of $\phi_d(a)$ is even. This implies that the last component of $\psi_{d+1}(\phi_d(a))$ is odd. Thus, by Lemma 10, $T(\eta(a))$ is strongly blocked lattice-free polytope which is not integral.

Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_d$ be such that the polytopes P_a and P_b coincide up to affine unimodular transformations. Then, by Lemma 11, $T(\eta(a))$ and $T(\eta(b))$ coincide up to affine unimodular transformations. But then, by Lemma 12, $\eta(a)$ and $\eta(b)$ coincide up to permutations. Since the components of a and b are sorted in the ascending order, the components of $\eta(a)$ and $\beta(b)$ too are sorted in the ascending order. Thus, we arrive at the equality $\eta(a) = \eta(b)$, which implies a = b.

In view of Proposition 8, each P_a with $a \in \mathcal{A}_d$ belongs to \mathcal{L}^d but not to \mathcal{M}^d . Thus, the equivalence classes of the polytopes P_a with $a \in \mathcal{A}_d$ with respect to identification up to affine unimodular transformations form a subset of $(\mathcal{L}^{d+5} \setminus \mathcal{M}^{d+5}) / \operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^{d+5})$ of cardinality $|\mathcal{A}_d|$. This yields the desired assertion.

Proof (Proof of Corollary 3) The assertion is a direct consequence of Theorem 2 and the asymptotic estimate

$$\ln \ln |\mathcal{A}_d| = \Omega\left(\frac{d}{\ln d}\right)$$

of Konyagin [6, Theorem 1] (see also [5, Corollary 1.2]).

Remark 13 In view of the asymptotic upper bound $\ln \ln |\mathcal{A}_d| = O(d)$, determined with different degrees of precision in [8, 10] and [12, Theorem 2], the lower bound of Konyagin is optimal up to the logarithmic factor in the denominator.

Since all known elements of \mathcal{L}^d are of the form P_I , for some strongly blocked lattice-free polytope P, we ask the following:

Question 14 Do there exist polytopes $L \in \mathcal{L}^d$ which cannot be represented as $L = P_I$ for any strongly blocked lattice-free polytope *P*?

If there is a gap between the families \mathcal{L}^d and the family

 $\{P_I : P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \text{ strongly blocked lattice-free polytope}\},\$

then it would be interesting to understand how irregular the polytopes from this gap can be. For example, one can ask the following:

Question 15 Do there exist polytopes $L \in \mathcal{L}^d$ with the property that no facet of *L* is blocked?

Acknowledgements I would like to thank Christian Wagner for valuable comments and Christian Elsholtz for pointing to [5, 8, 10].

References

- Averkov, G., Krümpelmann, J., Weltge, S.: Notions of maximality for integral lattice-free polyhedra: the case of dimension three. Math. Oper. Res. 42(4), 1035–1062 (2017)
- 2. Averkov, G., Wagner, C., Weismantel, R.: Maximal lattice-free polyhedra: finiteness and an explicit description in dimension three. Math. Oper. Res. **36**(4), 721–742 (2011)
- Blanco, M., Haase, C., Hofmann, J., Santos, F.: The finiteness threshold width of lattice polytopes. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. Ser. B 8, 399–419 (2021)
- Del Pia, A., Weismantel, R.: Relaxations of mixed integer sets from lattice-free polyhedra. Ann. Oper. Res. 240(1), 95–117 (2016)
- 5. Elsholtz, C.: Egyptian fractions with odd denominators. Q. J. Math. 67(3), 425-430 (2016)
- Konyagin, S.V.: Double exponential lower bound for the number of representations of unity by Egyptian fractions. Math. Notes 95(1–2), 277–281 (2014). Translation of Mat. Zametki 95 (2014), no. 2, 312–316
- 7. Lagarias, J.C., Ziegler, G.M.: Bounds for lattice polytopes containing a fixed number of interior points in a sublattice. Canad. J. Math. **43**(5), 1022–1035 (1991)
- Landau, E.: Über die Klassenzahl der binären quadratischen Formen von negativer Discriminante. Math. Ann. 56(4), 671–676 (1903)
- Lovász, L.: Geometry of numbers and integer programming. In: Mathematical Programming, Tokyo (1988). Mathematics Applied (Japanese Ser.), vol. 6, pp. 177–201. SCIPRESS, Tokyo (1989)
- Newman, M.: A bound for the number of conjugacy classes in a group. J. London Math. Soc. 43, 108–110 (1968)
- Nill, B., Ziegler, G.M.: Projecting lattice polytopes without interior lattice points. Math. Oper. Res. 36(3), 462–467 (2011)

- hart Karls Universität Tübingen (2010)