# **Supercritical Carbonation of Steelmaking Slag for the CO2 Sequestration**



**Jihye Kim and Gisele Azimi**

**Abstract** Mineral carbonation of industrial byproducts is a promising carbon capture and storage technique to abate global warming. Steelmaking slag is the main byproduct of the steelmaking industry, and it is a potential source of alkaline oxides which can be transformed into carbonates. The carbonation of the steelmaking slag has proven to be a great countermeasure to sequester significant amounts of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ emitted from the steelmaking process at the point sources while offering the environmental benefits of waste reduction. In this study, a supercritical carbonation process is developed to sequester  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  using steelmaking slag. Compared with conventional aqueous carbonation, this process has several advantages including higher reactivity, less waste generation, and better economic feasibility. A response surface methodology is utilized to assess the effect of operating parameters on carbonation efficiency and to optimize the process. Under the optimum conditions, the maximum  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake of 213 gCO<sub>2</sub>/kg<sub>Slag</sub> is achieved. We believe that the findings of this study would help enable efficient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  mitigation utilizing an efficient and environmentally sustainable process and thereby contribute to carbon neutrality and waste reduction.

**Keywords** Supercritical carbonation · Steelmaking slag · Mineral carbonation · Process optimization · Response surface methodology

## **Introduction**

Iron and steel are essential materials that enable a modern way of life filled with buildings, automobiles, and bridges. Steelmaking slag is the main byproduct of the steel industry and is a potential source of alkaline oxides which can be transformed into carbonates [\[1,](#page-6-0) [2\]](#page-6-1). In 2019, world iron slag production was estimated to be

J. Kim  $\cdot$  G. Azimi  $(\boxtimes)$ 

University of Toronto, 200 College Street, Toronto, ON M5S35, Canada e-mail: [g.azimi@utoronto.ca](mailto:g.azimi@utoronto.ca)

J. Kim e-mail: [jhye.kim@mail.utoronto.ca](mailto:jhye.kim@mail.utoronto.ca)

<sup>©</sup> The Minerals, Metals & Materials Society 2022

A. Lazou et al. (eds.), *REWAS 2022: Developing Tomorrow's Technical Cycles (Volume I)*, The Minerals, Metals & Materials Series, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-92563-5\\_59](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-92563-5_59)

between 320 and 384 million tons, with steel slag production estimated to be between 190 to 280 million tons [\[3\]](#page-6-2). Of all steel slags produced globally, about 14% are landfilled [\[4\]](#page-6-3). The main reasons behind the partial utilization of steel slags are their volume instability and their leaching behavior [\[5\]](#page-6-4).

The carbonation of steelmaking slag can offer the benefits of improving the volume stability of the slag and producing enhanced construction materials with better inertness and corrosion resistance. Furthermore, considerable amounts of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emitted from the steelmaking industry can be sequestered at the point sources via steelmaking slag carbonation, and in turn, abating global warming. The steelmaking industry generated 1.85 tons of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  for one ton of steel produced in 2018, and it accounts for  $7-9\%$  of the direct  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions from global fossil fuel use [\[6\]](#page-6-5). To this end, the carbonation of slag has come to the fore as a breakthrough technique to mitigate the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  gas emissions resulting from the steelmaking industry.

In this study, a supercritical carbonation process of steelmaking slag was developed for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestration. A response surface methodology was used to quantify the effect of four operating parameters, i.e., slag particle size,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  pressure, reaction temperature, and water-to-slag ratio, on the carbonation efficiency and to optimize the process. The main contributor to enhance the carbonation efficiency was revealed, and the maximum  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake was obtained under the optimum conditions.

#### **Experimental**

#### *Materials*

The steelmaking slag was obtained from an undisclosed source. Glass vials were supplied from VWR (USA). The liquid  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  tank was purchased from Messer Canada Inc. (Canada). Hydrochloric acid (36.5–38.0 vol.% assays) and nitric acid (68.0–70.0 wt% assays) were purchased from VWR. Milli-Q Integral water purification system (MilliporeSigma, Germany) was used to produce deionized water (0.055  $\mu$ S/cm). A certified standard stock solution of iron, calcium, magnesium, silicon, manganese, aluminum, and chromium (1000 mg/L) was purchased from Inorganic Ventures, Inc. (USA) and used for calibration of the analytical instrument.

#### *Experimental Procedure*

For the supercritical carbonation of EAF slag, the EAF slag samples with different particle sizes were prepared using a jaw crusher (BB 200 Jaw Crusher, Retsch GmbH, Germany), ball mill (roll lab ball mill, The Galigher Co., USA), and ro-tap (RX-29 ro-tap, W.S. Tyler, USA). Afterward, the dried slag sample with a certain particle size was thoroughly mixed with water at different water-to-slag ratios. The glass vials

containing the mixture of the slag sample and water were placed in an autoclave reactor (Parr 4560 mini-benchtop reactor-600 mL, Parr Instrument Company, USA). Next, the reactor was sealed and heated to a set temperature (ramp-up time of 30 min). In the meantime, the pressure reached a setpoint utilizing a supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  pump (GB40-CO2, Dongguan Usun Fluid Control Equipment Co., Ltd., China) and air compressor. The reaction time was maintained constant at 48 h, and no agitation was made. After the test was completed, the carbonated slag sample was dried at 80 ºC for > 24 h and analyzed by different characterization techniques.

# *Factorial Design of Experiments and Empirical Model Building*

A systematic study was carried out to investigate the effect of operating parameters, namely slag particle size  $(X_1)$ ,  $CO_2$  pressure  $(X_2)$ , reaction temperature  $(X_3)$ , and water-to-slag ratio  $(X_4)$ , on the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake. The primary and quadratic effects of one factor, alongside the second-order interactions between two factors, were quantitatively analyzed. Each parameter was coded to five levels  $(-2, -1, 0, +1, +2)$  to directly compare the effect of different parameters. Upper and lower limits of factor levels were determined on the basis of the preliminary experimental results and the operating ranges of comparable processes in the literature [\[7–](#page-6-6)[10\]](#page-6-7). Table [1](#page-2-0) shows the detailed information of operating parameters.

A response surface methodology was used to design the experiments and to build an empirical model. The experimental data were then fitted to the empirical model Eq. [\(1\)](#page-2-1) using multiple linear least squares regression (mLLSR, Eq. [\(2\)](#page-3-0)).

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_2 + \hat{\beta}_3 X_3 + \hat{\beta}_4 X_4 + \hat{\beta}_{12} X_1 X_2 \n+ \hat{\beta}_{13} X_1 X_3 + \hat{\beta}_{14} X_1 X_4 + \hat{\beta}_{23} X_2 X_3 + \hat{\beta}_{24} X_2 X_4 \n+ \hat{\beta}_{34} X_3 X_4 + \hat{\beta}_{11} X_1^2 + \hat{\beta}_{22} X_2^2 + \hat{\beta}_{33} X_3^2 + \hat{\beta}_{44} X_4^2
$$
\n(1)

Factor	$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$	$X_4$
Factor description	Slag particle size	CO <sub>2</sub> pressure	Reaction temperature	Water-to-slag ratio
Units	$\mu$ m	bar	$^{\circ}C$	mL/g
$-2$ level	$-420 + 250$	80	40	0.1
$-1$ level	$-250 + 177$	90	50	0.2
0 level	$-177 + 105$	100	60	0.3
$+1$ level	$-105 + 74$	110	70	0.4
$+2$ level	$-74 + 44$	120	80	0.5

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1** Factor levels for operating parameters for supercritical carbonation

where  $\hat{\beta}$  is the vector including each of the model parameters,  $\beta_0$  corresponds to the baseline bias,  $\beta_1$  corresponds to  $X_1$  (slag particle size),  $\beta_2$  corresponds to  $X_2$  (CO<sub>2</sub>) pressure),  $β_3$  corresponds to  $X_3$  (reaction temperature),  $β_4$  corresponds to  $X_4$  (waterto-slag ratio),  $\beta_{ij}$  corresponds to the second-order interaction of the two different factors, and  $\hat{\beta}_{ii}$  corresponds to the quadratic term.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\widehat{\beta} = \left(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}\right) \tag{2}
$$

where X is the experimental design matrix and Y is the response matrix including the actual response (measured  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake).

# *Morphological, Mineralogical, and Compositional Characterization*

The inorganic carbon analysis (IC, SSM-5000A, Shimadzu, Japan) was carried out to calculate the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake of the solid sample. The compositional characterization was performed using alkali fusion digestion (Claisse LeNeo, Malvern Panalytical, UK; Li<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub> 49.75 wt%, LiBO<sub>2</sub> 49.75 wt%, LiBr 0.50 wt%). The concentration of elements of interest (Fe, Ca, Mg, Si, Mn, Al, and Cr) in the solution was measured using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES, Optima 8000, PerkinElmer, USA).

#### **Results and Discussion**

## *Characterization of Steelmaking Slag*

The elemental composition of the steelmaking slag was analyzed using alkali fusion followed by ICP-OES. As shown in Table [2,](#page-3-1) the steelmaking slag consists of 23.0 wt% iron, 15.3 wt% calcium, 6.4 wt% magnesium, 5.6 wt% silicon, 5.4 wt% manganese, 2.5 wt% aluminum, and 1.9 wt% chromium. The mineralogical characteristics of the slag were investigated with XRD in our previous work, and it was found that the

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Table 2** Alkali fusion followed by ICP-OES results indicating the elemental composition of the steelmaking slag

Element			Fe (wt%) $ $ Ca (wt%) $ $ Mg (wt%)	$\sin(wt\%)$	$ \text{Mn (wt\%)} $ Al (wt%)		$ Cr(wt\%)$
Average	23.0	15.3	6.40	5.59	5.35	2.51	1.91
Standard deviation	0.78	0.44	0.25	0.17	0.17	0.11	0.07

slag is mainly composed of larnite  $(Ca_2SiO_4)$ , brownmillerite  $(Ca_2(Fe_{1.63}Al_{0.37})O_5)$ , magnesioferrite (Fe<sub>2</sub>MgO<sub>4</sub>), wustite (Fe<sub>0.944</sub>O), and hematite (Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) [\[11\]](#page-6-8).

#### *Effect of Operating Parameters and Empirical Model Building*

A series of supercritical carbonation experiments was conducted based on the experimental matrix designed using a response surface methodology. The effect of operating parameters on the extrinsic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake was investigated, and the empirical model was built using multiple linear least squares regression (mLLSR). Figure [1](#page-4-0) presents the ordered factor effect coefficients with enough significance ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) on the extrinsic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake of the steelmaking slag.

As can be seen in Fig. [1,](#page-4-0) the slag particle size  $(X_1)$  showed the most significant positive impact on the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake. This is understandable because the decrease of slag particle size increases the total surface area and carbonated volume of the slag particles, and in turn, the carbonation efficiency.

The  $CO_2$  uptake was also positively affected by the  $CO_2$  pressure  $(X_2)$ . To explain this phenomenon, the thermodynamic modeling of the  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>-MeCO<sub>3</sub>-CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O$ system was conducted. The detailed explanations of the modeling procedure and results are presented in the authors' previous work [\[11\]](#page-6-8). The results showed that the concentration of dissolved  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  dramatically increases with increasing the partial pressure of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Because the equilibria of the overall carbonation reaction are governed by the concentration of dissolved  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (Reaction [\(3\)](#page-5-0)), this increase results in the increase of the carbonation efficiency.

The positive effect of the water-to-slag ratio  $(X_4)$  was captured because water participates in the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  dissolution and dissociation reactions and is significantly consumed for producing silicic acid, which is a product of the carbonation reaction

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

(Reaction [\(3\)](#page-5-0)).

<span id="page-5-1"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
Ca_2SiO_{4(s)} + 2CO_{2(aq)} + 2H_2O_{(aq)} = 2CaCO_{3(s)} + H_4SiO_{4(aq)} \qquad (3)
$$

#### *Optimization of the Supercritical Carbonation Process*

Based on the factor effect coefficients (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0), the empirical model for the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ uptake was built as presented in Eq. [\(4\)](#page-5-1).

$$
y = 99.4 + 33.5X_1 + 4.5X_2 + 20.7X_4 + 4.6X_1X_3 + 11.1X_3X_4 - 5.5X_2^2
$$
\n(4)

The supercritical carbonation process was then optimized using the ExcelSolver software with the objective of maximizing the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake in 48 h processing time. The conditions that satisfy this scenario were slag particle size of  $-105 +$ 74  $\mu$ m, CO<sub>2</sub> pressure of 101.4 bar, temperature of 69.5 °C, and water-to-slag ratio of 0.444 mL/g<sub>slag</sub>. Under these conditions, 189.0  $\pm$  19.8 g<sub>CO2</sub>/kg of the extrinsic CO<sub>2</sub> uptake was experimentally achieved, validating the high model accuracy (absolute relative deviation =  $3.40\%$ ). Considering the intrinsic CO<sub>2</sub> uptake of the steelmaking slag, this slag proves to sequester a maximum of 213.4  $g_{CO2}/kg$  via supercritical carbonation.

#### **Conclusions**

The current study is focused on developing a supercritical carbonation process of steelmaking slag to sequester  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . A systematic investigation was performed using a response surface methodology to study the effect of operating parameters, i.e., slag particle size,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  pressure, reaction temperature, and water-to-slag ratio, on the carbonation efficiency of the steelmaking slag. On the basis of the results, the slag particle size was found to have the most significant positive impact on the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake. After the process optimization, the optimum operating conditions were determined to be slag particle size of -105 + 74  $\mu$ m, CO<sub>2</sub> pressure of 101.4 bar, temperature of 69.5 °C, and water-to-slag ratio of 0.444 mL/ $g_{slas}$ , which resulted in  $189.0 \pm 19.8$  g<sub>CO2</sub>/kg extrinsic carbonation efficiency in 48 h processing time. The results of this study ascertain that the developed process offers several advantages including high carbonation efficiency, no use of chemicals, and minimal volumes of waste. It is expected that the findings of this study help enable the carbon sequestration using industrial wastes, in particular, steelmaking slag, thereby contributing to carbon neutrality and sustainable recycling.

### **References**

- <span id="page-6-0"></span>1. Ghosh A, Chatterjee A (2008) Ironmaking and steelmaking: Theory and practice, 1st ed. PHI Learning Private Limited, New Delhi
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>2. Zhang J, Matsuura H, Tsukihashi F (2014) Processes for Recycling. Elsevier Ltd, Amsterdam
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>3. U.S. Geological Survey (2020) Mineral commodity summaries 2020: U.S. geological survey, 200p
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>4. Branca TA, Colla V, Algermissen D et al (2020) Reuse and recycling of by-products in the steel sector: recent achievements paving the way to circular economy and industrial symbiosis in Europe. Metals (Basel) 10:345. <https://doi.org/10.3390/met10030345>
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>5. Fisher LV, Barron AR (2019) The recycling and reuse of steelmaking slags—a review. Resour Conserv Recycl 146:244–255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.03.010>
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>6. World Steel Association (2020) Steel's contribution to a low carbon future and climate resilient societies, 6p
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>7. Fernández-Carrasco L, Rius J, Miravitlles C (2008) Supercritical carbonation of calcium [aluminate cement. Cem Concr Res 38:1033–1037.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2008.02.013) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2008. 02.013
- 8. Min Y, Jun YS (2018) Wollastonite carbonation in water-bearing supercritical CO2: Effects of [water saturation conditions, temperature, and pressure. Chem Geol 483:239–246.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.01.012) https://doi. org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.01.012
- 9. Urbonas L, Leno V, Heinz D (2016) Effect of carbonation in supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  on the properties [of hardened cement paste of different alkalinity. Constr Build Mater 123:704–711.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.07.040) https://doi. org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.07.040
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>10. Sugama T, Ecker L, Butcher T (2010) Carbonation of rock minerals by supercritical carbon dioxide at 250 °C, 23p
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>11. Kim J, Azimi G (2021) The  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestration by supercritical carbonation of electric arc furnace slag. J CO2 Util 52:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2021.101667>