# **Chapter 4 Production, Partial Optimization, and Characterization of Keratinase Enzyme by Fungal Species Isolated from Soil of Bhopal**



### **Contents**



R. Mishra  $(\boxtimes)$ 

Department of Botany & Microbiology Sri Sathya Sai College for Women, Bhopal, MP, India

S. Tamrakar

Sri Sathya Sai College for Women, Bhopal, MP, India

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022 95 A. C. Shukla (ed.), *Applied Mycology*, Fungal Biology, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-90649-8\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-90649-8_4#DOI)

### <span id="page-1-0"></span>**4.1 Introduction**

Soil encourages a large number of microorganisms and is one of the highest complicated microbial habitats that permit bacteria, fungi, and other micro-organisms to nurse their entire life. Soil is the shelter for several fungi, which are not noticed and explored in the environment (Pahare et al., [2018](#page-15-0)).

Keratinophilic fungi are a little, all around characterized and essential gathering of growths that colonize diverse keratinous substrates, and generate them to fragments of low atomic weight. These fungi are available on the earth with variable dispersion designs, which rely upon components, for example, human or animals (Kumar et al., [2013\)](#page-14-1).

Keratinophilic means keratin-loving micro-organism. Keratinophilic fungi are natural colonizers of keratinous substrates. Few of them are keratinolytic and play a massive ecological character in the decay of insoluble fbrous proteins, keratins (Kushwaha & Guarro, [2000](#page-14-2)). Keratin has a place with the exceptionally heterogeneous group of fbrillar proteins of conservative structure. Countless extensions of disulfde bridges in keratins structure altogether impact its properties, specifcally, high mechanical and substance obstruction. Keratin is highly stable and water insoluble. Common proteases like pepsin and papain are not capable of degrading keratin (Staroń et al., [2011](#page-15-1)).

Keratinase is a specifc class of extracellular proteolytic inducible chemical with the ability of debasing insoluble keratin substrates. It is vital for hydrolyzing hair, plume, and collagen in the sewage framework amid wastewater treatment.

Human hair and nails are always in interaction with pollutants in the environment and waste exposed to fungal and bacterial contamination (Alghamdi et al., [2018\)](#page-14-3). Recent studies have become an important clinical condition, which require attention to public health, because some of them are seriously harmful to human health. Health authorities must build up their health information campaigns, which cover not only serious disease prevention and treatment but also environmental hygiene.

### <span id="page-1-1"></span>*4.1.1 Keratinases: Applications*

Keratinolytic micro-organisms and keratinases may be utilized for multiple applications in a myriad of industries, like the detergent industry, leather production, food and feed industries, medical and pharmaceutical industries, waste management industries, etc. Compared to traditional microbial proteases, production of keratinases can be achieved at lower costs as the organisms are able to utilize the abundant keratinous waste as nitrogen and carbon source. Since elevated production cost is one of the main issues in the industrial production of enzymes, this methodology of utilization of abundant quantities of keratinous waste will make the process comparatively more economical while also increasing its application portfolio.

#### **4.1.1.1 Detergent Industry**

Proteases are widely utilized in the detergent industry as a safe alternative replacing harmful chemicals such as caustic soda. In addition to being used in washing detergents, keratinases may also be used as an additive in lens cleaning solutions, drain cleaning solutions, etc. (Nasaimento & Martin, [2006](#page-15-2)).

#### **4.1.1.2 Leather Industry**

The leather industry involves several steps of leather treatment with chemicals, such as sodium sulfde, sodium hydroxide, and lime. These chemicals are potent water pollutants along with solid wastes emerging due to these treatments (Sivakumar et al., [2012\)](#page-15-3). Processing of leather with enzymes such as proteolytic, lipolytic, and glycolytic enzymes has emerged as a safe alternative (Bihari et al., [2010](#page-14-4)).

#### **4.1.1.3 Food and Feed Industry**

The National Research Development Corporation (NRDC), India, has recently utilized bifunctional chimeric keratinase from *Bacillus* sp. for the purpose of debittering amino acids in the processing of soy sauce. It was observed that this increased the taste of tea, and feather meal preparation along with other applications [\(www.](http://www.nrdcindia.com) [nrdcindia.com](http://www.nrdcindia.com)). Usually, enzymes are useful in feed industries because of their part in the breakdown of the antinutritional elements in feed ingredients. In addition to that, this keratinase treatment improves the bioavailability of biomolecules in animal feed. It does so by assisting endogenous proteolytic enzymes of feeding animals to unwind the rigid structure of feed. However, often, this is restricted due to the high production costs, and therefore, low-cost keratinases can prove to be a useful alternative as a feed additive. Recently, many commercial enzymes, such as Versazyme, and Valkerase, etc., have been used as applications in animal feed preparations.

#### **4.1.1.4 Fertilizer for Organic Farming**

Composting of keratinous wastes using keratinolytic micro-organisms leads to gradual release of nitrogen fertilizers. This makes it a viable application in organic farming. This methodology has become one of the safest and most cost-effective technologies to resolve keratinous waste materials.

#### **4.1.1.5 Biofuel Production**

Poultry waste can be used as a viable source of biofuel. Keratinolytic microorganisms and their keratinases can be employed in the utilization of these wastes for generation of natural gas (Puhl et al., [2009\)](#page-15-4), methane gas fuel pellets (Gushterova et al., [2005](#page-14-5)), and biohydrogen (Saha et al., [2013](#page-15-5)).

#### **4.1.1.6 Cosmetic and Pharmaceutical Applications**

Non-collagenolytic keratinases have emerged as promising biocatalysts in the pharmaceutical as well as cosmetic industries. They have been illustrated as a useful ingredient in depilatory compositions for hair shaving formulations and skin lightening agents (Yang, [2012\)](#page-15-6). Certain crude keratinases have also been demonstrated to elevate hair characteristics like weight, fexibility, brightness, softness, and strength; this illustrates that these can be applied in the creation of hair care products (Cao et al., [2012\)](#page-14-6). Additionally, keratinases have shown the ability to degrade thickened layers of dead skin (hyperkeratosis) found around the toes and fngers, thereby promising to be an alternative to the conventional salicylic acid used for the treatment of such predilections (Gupta & Ramnani, [2006](#page-14-7)). In the same fashion, keratinases can be capable of peeling skin to remove acne, which is caused by the blockage of the sebaceous gland by keratins (Selvam &Vishnupriya, [2012\)](#page-15-7).

### <span id="page-3-0"></span>**4.2 Materials and Methods**

### <span id="page-3-1"></span>*4.2.1 Collection of Soil Samples*

Soil samples of keratin containing waste were collected in polythene bags from the different sampling sites, brought to the laboratory, and analyzed.

### <span id="page-3-2"></span>*4.2.2 Isolation of Keratinophilic Fungi*

For the isolation of fungi, hair baiting technique was used (Vanbreuseghem, [1952](#page-15-8)).

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>*4.2.3 Morphological Microscopic and Molecular Identifcation of Keratinophilic Fungi*

Firstly, the fungi were indentifed on the basis of morphological characteristics of the colony and microscopic examination. Molecular identifcation of isolated microorganisms was confrmed by the sequence of ITSI, 508 s, and ITS2 region of their DNA sequences.

# <span id="page-4-1"></span>*4.2.4 Optimization of Culture Condition for Mass Production of Keratinase Enzyme*

To optimize the culture conditions for mass production of keratinase enzyme by isolated soil microorganisms, a basal medium containing insoluble keratin was prepared with various pH (6, 7, 8, and 9) incubated on different temperatures (40, 45, 50, 55, and 60  $^{\circ}$ C) for various incubation periods (12, 15, 18, and 21 days) (Table [4.1](#page-4-3)).

### <span id="page-4-2"></span>*4.2.5 Enzyme Production*

The keratinase enzyme production was carried out in the basal medium by using a shaking fask. To begin the process, 5 mg of azokeratin was added in the basal medium: 2.5 ml of the all isolated fungal inoculums was added in 50 ml of medium and cultured on a rotary shaking incubator at 150 rpm and 37 °C for 72 h. After incubation, fermented broth was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C. The cell-free supernatant was collected and used for the assay of keratinase activity (Sivakumar et al., [2012\)](#page-15-3).

$S$ . no.	Component	Gm/L
	Meat extract	
	Peptone	
	<b>NaCl</b>	0.5
	Keratin	

<span id="page-4-3"></span>**Table 4.1** Composition of basal medium for keratinase production

### <span id="page-5-0"></span>*4.2.6 Keratinase Assay*

Keratinase activity was determined by taking 20 ml of 0.1 M Tris buffer (pH 8) containing  $0.1\%$  keratin and 40 µl of enzyme solution and was incubated for 30 minutes at 55 °C. The reaction was stopped with 500 μl of 0.1 mol-1 trichloroacetic acid (TCA) in 0.1 mol-1 Tris buffer, pH 8. The amount of protein present was assayed by the Lowry method using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as standard. The color developed was read at 660 nm (Jin et al., [2017\)](#page-14-8).

### <span id="page-5-1"></span>**4.3 Results**

### <span id="page-5-2"></span>*4.3.1 Morphological Characterization*

Morphological characterization was performed and further confrmed by the National Center for Fungal Taxonomy (NCFT), New Delhi. All positive keratinophilic fungi were identifed by colony morphology and lactophenol cotton blue microscopic staining method (Table [4.2](#page-5-3)).

S. no.	Sample ID	Species (morphological basis)	Color of mycelia	Pigmentation	Spore arrangement	Sporulation
1	S <sub>1</sub>	Fusarium solani	White cottony	Pink	Long branched	Sexual
$\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$	S <sub>2</sub>	Curvularialunata	<b>Blackish</b>	Greenish brown	<b>Branched</b> septa	Sexual
3	S <sub>3</sub>	Chrysosporium keratinophilum	Cream	Pale	Long lateral branched	Asexual
$\overline{4}$	<b>S4</b>	Acremonium kiliense	Cream	Colorless	<b>Branched</b>	Sexual/ asexual
5	S <sub>5</sub>	Aspergillus Niger	<b>Black</b>	Pale	Septet	Sexual
6	S6	Acremonium restrictum	White orange	Not appear	Septet	Sexual/ asexual
7	S7	Absidia californica	Grayish brown	Colorless	<b>Branched</b>	Sexual
8	S <sub>8</sub>	Absidia repens	White	Pale gray	<b>Branched</b>	Sexual
9	S9	Penicillium chrysogenum	White	Colorless	Highly branched	Asexual
10	S <sub>10</sub>	Gibberella pulicaris	Bluish	Light pink	Long branched	Sexual
11	S11	Aspergillus flavus	Greenish	White	<b>Branched</b>	Sexual/

<span id="page-5-3"></span>**Table 4.2** Morphological and microscopical characterization of isolated keratinophilic fungi

### <span id="page-6-0"></span>*4.3.2 Molecular Identifcation of Fungi*

The genomic DNA was isolated from selected keratinophilic fungi using the CTAB method. The DNA was visualized on agarose gel and quantifed for an accurate amount of DNA isolated. We have observed an average of 2.86 mg/μl genomic DNA from all major keratinophilic fungi.

The gene-specifc primers were designed using coding sequence available at National Centre for Biological Information (NCBI). The primers were designed based on in silico PCR validations. Primers are supplied in lyophilized form and dissolved in recommended volume of sterile water or TE buffer result in 100 μM concentration.

The 100 μM concentration primers are used for master stock and aliquoted into 10 μM for PCR reactions. The targeted genes were amplifed using thermal cycler and PCR reaction mixture. Different primers are required for identifcation of different set of genes in PCR Programme.

The primer may also vary in its melting temperature, and hence Tm values were pre-calculated and PCR program was designed based on Tm value for effcient annealing. The Tag Polymerase, a thermostable DNA polymerase, had a chain elongation capacity, 500 bases per second, and hence PCR program was designed based on gene length. Here, we have run several individual reactions and PCR trials for gene amplifcation and amplifed gene was visualized on agarose gel with a standard DNA ladder. The primer used in the study was 550 bp in length.

The amplifcation products were sequenced for species identifcation. The DNA sequences of isolated strains were recited using basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) for species identifcation. The BLAST search showed that the sequence data of the isolated strain S1 shared 99% similarity with *Fusarium solani* and S2 shared 99% similarity with *Curvularia lunata*. The three isolated strains, identifed as *Gibberella pulicaris, Chrysoporium keratinophilum* and *Penicillium chrysogenum* were S10, S3 and S9. DNA sequences of S5 and S 11 showed 90% matching with *Aspergillus niger* and *A. favus.* Similarly*,* S7 and TS8 fungi found close similarity with *Absidia s*pecies. Amplifcation of each primer pair was tested on a panel of strains representing *Acremonium kiliense and A. restrictum*, along with the genomic DNA of the isolate from which the library was generated.

All isolated strains were submitted in the Gen-Bank databases for the purpose of phylogenetic study. The gene bank accession numbers for fungi from S1 to S11 are shown in  $(Fig. 4.1)$  $(Fig. 4.1)$ .

### <span id="page-6-1"></span>*4.3.3 Phylogenetic Analysis*

In the phylogenetic tree (Fig. [4.1\)](#page-7-1), all major keratinophilics were reported to be of a distant origin and do not have any close resemblance. The phylogenetic tree was constructed by the neighbor-joining method and Jaccard coeffcient. There are three

<span id="page-7-1"></span>

**Fig. 4.1** Phylogenetic tree of the major keratinophilic fungi

ancestors of isolates found in this study. Group one has two species, that is, *Penicillum chrysogenum and Gibberella pulicaris. Aspergillus niger* and *Acremonium* are in another group, whereas the third has two subgroups, one including *Aspergillus favus, Absidia californica*, and *Absida repens.* The other subgroup includes *Chrysosporium keratinophilum, Fusarium solani, Acremonium* restrictum, and *Curvularialuntana* (Fig. [4.1](#page-7-1)).

# <span id="page-7-0"></span>*4.3.4 Optimization of Culture Media for Keratinase Enzyme Production*

#### **4.3.4.1 Effect of Fermentation on Keratinase Enzyme**

Maximum keratinase production was recorded in the shake culture method compared to static culture. Table [4.3](#page-8-0) and Fig. [4.2](#page-8-1) show the results of keratinase production by both techniques. *Curvularialunata* produced a maximum 43.5 U/ml keratinase while minimum 17.5 U/ml was observed in the culture medium containing *Aspergillus favus* during the shake culture method. The highest amount (38.6 U/ ml) of keratinase was produced by *Fusarium solani* and minimum 14.6 U/ml produced by *Gibberellapulicaris* during static culture technique. Data represent the mean and  $\pm$  standard deviation for different methods. Although Table [4.3](#page-8-0) and Fig. [4.2](#page-8-1) show varied keratinase production in the two different methods, this

S <sub>10</sub> S <sub>11</sub>	Gibberellapulicaris Aspergillus flavus	19.8 17.5	14.6 15.4
S <sub>9</sub>	Penicillium chrysogenum	39.1	34.5
S <sub>8</sub>	Absidia repens	42.3	38.4
S7	Absidia californica	19.6	16.9
S6	Acremonium restrictum	22.1	19.6
S <sub>5</sub>	Aspergillus Niger	20.5	18.4
S <sub>4</sub>	Acremonium kiliense	40.1	36.2
S <sub>3</sub>	Chrysosporiumkeratinophilum	34.1	30.2
S <sub>2</sub>	Curvularialunata	43.5	28.7
S1	Fusarium solani	41.6	38.6
ID	<b>Species</b>	culture	culture
Sample		Enzyme (U/ml) in shake	Enzyme (U/ml) static

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 4.3** Optimization of culture media for keratinase production by shake and static culture sample ID

<span id="page-8-1"></span>

**Fig. 4.2** Keratinase production by shake and static culture (error bars show 1 st dev)

difference, however, is not statistically signifcant (*p* is 0.32 at t-test static value) and t-test is calculated using "Microsoft Excel Version 2019."

#### **4.3.4.2 Effect of Temperature on Keratinase Enzyme Production**

Different temperatures, such as 40 °C, 45 °C, 50 °C, 55 °C, and 60 °C, were tested to obtain the optimum temperature for the maximum production of keratinase by all isolates. Out of the temperatures tested as above, the maximum production of kera-tinase was observed to be at 50 °C (Table [4.4](#page-9-0) and Fig. [4.3](#page-9-1)). Maximum keratinase (43.5 U/ml) at optimum temperature 50 °C was produced by *Penicillium chrysogenum*, while minimum keratinase (5.9 U/ml) was recorded at 40 °C temperature

$Mean \pm SD$		$11.0 \pm 3.1$	$19.8 \pm 7.7$	$30.5 \pm 10.1$	$23.3 \pm 7.8$	$12.4 \pm 3.4$
S <sub>11</sub>	Aspergillus flavus	5.9	8.8	17.5	16.2	10.3
S <sub>10</sub>	<i>Gibberellapulicaris</i>	6.2	10.3	19.8	18.6	11
S9	Penicillium chrysogenum	13.8	24.9	43.5	30	14.8
S <sub>8</sub>	Absidia repens	14.9	21	41.7	30.1	11.4
S7	Absidia californica	14.6	28.7	40.3	27.5	16.2
S6	Acremonium restrictum	11.4	29.1	40.1	28.9	18.4
S <sub>5</sub>	Aspergillus Niger	13.3	28.5	35.1	28.4	14.2
S <sub>4</sub>	Acremonium kiliense	12.4	24.8	32.1	34.2	14.6
S <sub>3</sub>	Chrysosporiumkeratinophilum	9.9	14.9	23.5	14.9	8.6
S <sub>2</sub>	Curvularialunata	10.3	14.6	22.3	15.9	9.4
S <sub>1</sub>	Fusarium solani	8.6	12.9	19.4	11.8	7.9
ID	Species Temp $(^{\circ}C)$	$40^{\circ}$ C	$45^{\circ}$ C	$50^{\circ}$ C	$55^{\circ}$ C	$60^{\circ}$ C
Sample						

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Table 4.4** Effect of temperature on keratinase enzyme production U/ml

<span id="page-9-1"></span>

**Fig. 4.3** Keratinase production in different temperature

produced by *Aspergillus favus.* Proteolytic activities of most organisms are seen to be at 30–37 °C, whereas some keratinolytic microbes show keratin-degrading capacities at higher temperatures. A nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test applied on the data (the data fulfll the requirements for the test) shows that there was a statistically signifcant difference in the keratinase activity under different temperatures studied ( $p < 0.0001$  for the test static H = 31.08).

#### **4.3.4.3 Effect of pH on Keratinase Enzyme Activity**

The medium adjusted on different pH values, (such as pH 6, 7, 8, and 9) was assayed to obtain the optimum pH for the maximum production of keratinase by all isolated keratinophilic microbes. From Table [4.5](#page-10-0), it is clear that *Penicillium chrysogenum* is found to be the maximum enzyme-producing microorganism, which produced

Sample ID	Species pH	6	7	8	$\mathbf Q$
S <sub>1</sub>	Fusarium solani	0.620	1.010	1.910	0.960
S <sub>2</sub>	Curvularialunata	0.920	1.920	2.110	1.640
S <sub>3</sub>	Chrysosporiumkeratinophilum	0.940	1.840	2.210	1.680
S <sub>4</sub>	Acremonium kiliense	1.160	2.980	3.970	1.890
S <sub>5</sub>	Aspergillus Niger	1.210	3.010	3.940	1.760
S <sub>6</sub>	Acremonium restrictum	0.960	2.670	3.210	1.540
S7	Absidia californica	1.280	3.240	3.860	2.140
S8	Absidia repens	1.190	2.970	3.390	1.790
S9	Penicillium chrysogenum	1.210	3.910	4.010	2.050
S <sub>10</sub>	<i>Gibberellapulicaris</i>	0.840	1.230	2.010	1.130
S <sub>11</sub>	Aspergillus flavus	0.720	1.120	1.840	1.050
$Mean \pm SD$		$1.0 \pm 0.2$	$2.3 \pm 0.9$	$2.9 \pm 0.9$	$1.6 \pm 0.4$

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Table 4.5** Effect of pH on Keratinase Enzyme Activity of Keratinophilic Fungi (U/ml enzyme produced)

<span id="page-10-1"></span>

**Fig. 4.4** Keratinase Production in different pH

4.01 U/ml keratinase at 8pH at 50 °C optimum temperature. pH 6 reduced the proteolytic activity of all isolates. The lowest enzyme (0.62 U/ml) production was found at pH 6 by *Fusarium solani.* There was a statistically signifcant difference in the keratinase activity under different pH values studied ( $p = 0.00001$  for the test static H = 29.25) (Fig. [4.4\)](#page-10-1) (Bewick et al.,  $2004$ ).

#### **4.3.4.4 Effect of Incubation Time**

The effect of incubation period on keratinase production from keratinophilic fungi was studied for the incubation period from 12 days to 21 days as shown in Table [4.6](#page-11-0) and Fig. [4.5](#page-12-1). It was observed that the maximum enzyme production was attained between 15–18 days of incubation period. Incubation beyond the optimum time showed a rapid decline in the enzyme yield, as compared to the maximum (39.8 U/ml)



<span id="page-11-0"></span>

<span id="page-12-1"></span>

**Fig. 4.5** Keratinase production in different periods

at 15 hrs. *Fusarium solani* produced maximum (39.8 U/ml) keratinase enzyme at the incubation of 15 days and minimum (7.9 U/ml) was produced by *Aspergillus favus* after 12 days of incubation. The Kruskal-Wallis test showed that there was a statistically signifcant difference between the overall keratinase production by the fungi under the different incubation periods studied ( $p = 0.004$  at H statistic 13.15).

#### <span id="page-12-0"></span>**4.4 Discussion**

The molecular identifcation was carried out by DNA bar-coding using the ITS region sequencing. The DNA sequences were compared to those in the databases using NCBI-BLAST. Eleven species were identifed using DNA bar-coding with an identical range between 97–99%. It is also proposed that DNA region sequence is one of the most important tools for the identifcation of the fungal species isolated from environmental sources. Hence, it has been widely used to detect the soil fungal community, and as an improvement of the classical identifications (Alsohaili  $\&$ Bani-Hasan, [2018](#page-14-10)).

Pakshir et al. [\(2013](#page-15-9)) used the molecular technique for the first time in Iran to identify keratinophilic fungi. In the current investigation, 11 species of eight genera were identifed by molecular marker–based sequence analysis (Fig. [4.1\)](#page-7-1)*.*

In the present examination, *Absidia californica* and *Absidia repens* were also found majorly in the soil sample of dumping sites. These fungi also have a great keratin-solubilizing potential. The current fndings are in agreement with previous reports about *Absidia*as keratinophilic fungi. Morphological criteria and molecular marker for clear distinction of *Absidia* was used. Different species of clinically signifcant zygomycetes including 2 species of *Rhizopus*, 3 species of *Mucor*, 2 species of *Cunninghamella,* as well as 1 strain each of *Rhizomucorpusillus* and *Absidiacorymbifera* were identifed by molecular techniques (Iwen et al., [2011](#page-14-11)).

Majority of reports on Keratinase production are under submerged shaking/ static conditions (Cai et al., [2011](#page-14-12)). It is diffcult to compare the production condition for Keratinase due to a variety of organisms and the methods of cultivation.

In comparison to the present fndings, other studies reported that maximum enzyme activity was obtained within 96 h (150 U/ml and 90 U/ml for *Chrysosporium*and *Microsporum*respectively) of cultivation at pH 7.0, 30 °C. The optimal conditions for the keratinolytic activity of both enzymes were found to be at pH 9.0 and temperature 50  $^{\circ}$ C; however, the enzymes showed stability over a broad range of pH between 7.0 and 10.0 and temperature 30  $\degree$ C–50  $\degree$ C (Kanchana, [2013](#page-14-13)).

Similar studies were conducted by different scientists. Three factors, temperature, pH, and manitol, were used for keratinase optimization (Shankar et al. [2014\)](#page-15-10). Similar work for keratinase production employing response surface methodology was performed (Ramnani & Gupta, [2004](#page-15-11); Hashemet al., [2018](#page-14-14)). Impact of different substrates, temperature, pH and protease inhibitors, lessening operators, and metal particle supplements in the generation medium on enzyme creation was studied (Yadav et al., [2011](#page-15-12)).

Previous reports show that the activity of keratinolytic enzyme produced by *Aspergillus favus* effectively degraded feather substrate (Mini et al., [2015\)](#page-15-13). Extremely high and low pH values showed complete loss of activity for most enzymes. Alkaline pH possibly supported keratin degradation as higher pH modifed cysteine residues, making it accessible for enzyme action. Keratin degradation took incubation time for enzyme production from 24 h to several days. This is probably involved in the complex procedure of keratinolysis of these microbes (Kumawat et al., [2013](#page-14-15)). The harvesting time of 72 h was found to be ideal for maximum enzyme production.

These results showed similarity with our fndings that keratinase enzyme production was maximum at 8 pH, between 15 and 18 days of incubation at 50 °C. Although the mean enzyme activity is maximum at 18 days of incubation period (Table [4.6\)](#page-11-0), fungi such as *Fusarium solani* and *Penicillium chrysogenum* did show better activity after 15 days of incubation*.* Also, some fungi showed good activity after 21 days of incubation. For more precise optimization, enzyme activity could be observed on consecutive days between 15 to 21 days of incubation.

#### <span id="page-13-0"></span>**4.5 Conclusion**

The fndings concluded that total eleven keratinophilic fungi were isolated from the soil of Bhopal. All these fungi showed good keratinase production in shake culture method at 8 pH, 50 °C temperature, between 15–18 days of incubation period. These fungal isolates will be useful in eco-friendly and environment cleanup process of keratinic wastes. They may also be used for large-scale production of keratinase for industrial purposes. This research is suggestive of potential optimization scheme and its scope alongside the development of optimal medium compositions and culture conditions, for the production of keratinolytic enzyme that can be useful for developing entrepreneurship.

### <span id="page-14-0"></span>**References**

- <span id="page-14-3"></span>Alghamdi, S., Alotaibi, A., Haya, A., Al-SubaiMunira, Z., & Alwakeel Suaad, S. (2018). Isolation and identifcation of microbial and fungal fora from female hair samples in Riyadh Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology, 3*(11–5), 2456–1878.
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>Alsohaili, S. A., & Bani-Hasan, B. M. (2018). Morphological and molecular identifcation of fungi isolated from different environmental sources in the Northern Eastern Desert of Jordan. *Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences, 11*(3), 329–337.
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>Bewick, V., & Chakl, & Bali, J. (2004). Statistics review 10: Further non parametric methods. *Critical Care, 8*, 196–199.
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>Bihari, Z., Videki, D., Mihalik, E., et al. (2010). Degradation of native feathers by a novel keratinase-producing, thermophilic isolate, *Brevibacillus thermoruber* T1E. *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung. Section C, 65*, 134–140.
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>Cai, S. B., Huang, Z. H., Zhang, X. Q., Cao, Z. J., Zhou, M. H., et al. (2011). Identifcation of a keratinase-producing bacterial strain and enzymatic study for its improvement on shrink resistance and tensile strength of wool- and polyester-blended fabric. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology, 163*(1), 112–126.
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>Cao, Z. J., Lu, D., Luo, L. S., Deng, Y. X., Bian, Y. G., et al. (2012). Composition analysis and application of degradation products of whole feathers through a large scale of fermentation. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 19*(7), 2690–2696.
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>Gupta, R., & Ramnani, P. (2006). Microbial keratinases and their prospective applications: An overview. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 70*, 21–33.
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>Gushterova, A., Vasileva-Tonkova, E., Dimova, E., et al. (2005). Keratinase production by newly isolated Antarctic actinomycete strains. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 21*, 831–834.
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>Hashem, A. M., Abdel-Fattah, A. M., Ismail, S. A., El-Gamal, M. S., Esawy, M. A., & Emran, M. A. (2018). Optimization, characterization and thermodynamic studies on *B.* 137 *licheniformis* ALW1 keratinase. *Egyptian Journal of Chemistry, 61*(4), 591–607.
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>Iwen, P. C., Thapa, I., & Bastola, D. (2011). Review of methods for the identifcation of zygomycetes with an emphasis on advances in molecular diagnostics. *Laboratory Medicine, 42*(5), 260–266.
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>Jin, H. S., Park, S. Y., Kin, K., Leu, Y.-J., Nam, G.-W., Kang, N. J., et al. (2017). Development of a Keratinase activity assay using recombinant chicken feather keratin substrates. *PLoS One, 12*(2), e0172712.
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>Kanchana, R. (2013). Utilization of biodegradable keratin containing wastes by enzymatic treatment. *International Journal of Pharmacological and Biological Sciences, 4*(1B), 117–126.
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>Kumar, R., Mishra, R., Maurya, S., & Sahu, H. B. (2013). Isolation and identifcation of keratinophilic fungi from garbage waste soils of Jharkhand region of India. *European Journal of Experimental Biology, 3*(3), 600–604.
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>Kumawat, T. K., Sharma, V., Seth, R., & Sharma, A. (2013). Diversity of keratin degrading fungal fora in industrial area of Jaipur and keratinolytic potential of *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* and *Microsporumcanis*. *International Journal of Biotechnology and Bioengineering Research, 4*(4), 359–364.
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>Kushwaha, R. K. S., & Guarro, J. (Eds.). (2000). *Biology of dermatophytes and other keratinophilic fungi*. RevistaIbero americana de Micología.

<span id="page-15-13"></span>Mini, K. D., George, S. M., & Mathew, J. (2015). Screening and selection of fungus for keratinase production by solid state fermentation and optimization of conditions of SSF and formulation of low-cost medium for the production of keratinase by *Aspergillus favus* S125. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 4*(9), 535–548.

- <span id="page-15-2"></span>Nasaimento, W. C. A., & Martin, M. L. L. (2006). Studies on the stability of Protease from *Bacillus sp*. & its Compatibility with commercial detergent. *Bazilian Journal of Microbiology, 37*, 307–311.
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Pahare, S., Shukla, K., & Shukla, R. V. (2018). Keratinophilic fungi from warm, moist, cattle – house of Bilaspur Central – India. *Journal of Microbiology & Experimentation, 6*(2), 46–48.
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>Pakshir, K., Ghiasi, M. R., Zomorodian, K., & Gharavi, A. R. (2013). Isolation and molecular identifcation of keratinophilic fungi from public parks soil in Shiraz, Iran. *BioMed Research International, 619576*.
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>Puhl, A. A., Selinger, L. B., McAllister, T. A., et al. (2009). Actinomadurakeratinilytica sp. nov., a keratin degrading actinobacterium isolated from bovine manure compost. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 59*, 828–834.
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>Ramnani, P., & Gupta, R. (2004). Optimization of medium composition for keratinase production on feather by *Bacillus licheniformis* RG1 using statistical methods involving response surface methodology. *Biotechnology and Applied Biochemistry, 40*(20), 191–196.
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>Saha, S., Dhanasekaran, D., Shanmugapriya, S., et al. (2013). *Nocardiopsis sp*. SD5: A potent feather degrading rare actinobacterium isolated from feather waste in Tamil Nadu, India. *Journal of Basic Microbiology, 53*, 608–616.
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>Selvam, K., & Vishnupriya, B. (2012). Biochemical and molecular characterization of microbial keratinase and its remarkable applications. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biological Archive, 3*(2), 267–275.
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>Shankar, T., Thangamathi, P., Sathiya, C., & Sivakumar, T. (2014). Statistical optimization of keratinase production by *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Journal of Global Biosciences, 3*(2), 477–483.
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>Sivakumar, T., Shankar, T., Vijayabaskar, P., & Ramasubramanian, V. (2012). Optimization for keratinase enzyme production using *bacillus thuringiensis* TS2. *Academic Journal of Plant Sciences, 5*(3), 102–109.
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>Staroń, P., Banach, M., & Kowalski, Z. (2011). Keratin – Origins, properties, application. *Chem, 65*(10), 1019–1026.
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>Vanbreuseghem, R. (1952). Technique biologique pour I' isolement des dermatophytes dusol. *Annales de la Société Belge de MédecineTropicale, 32*, 173–178. [www.nrdcindia.com](http://www.nrdcindia.com)
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>Yadav, A. K., Vardhan, S., Yandigeri, M. S., Srivastava, A. K., & Arora, D. K. (2011). Optimization of keratin degrading enzyme from thermophilic strain of *Streptomyces sclerotialus*. *Research Journal of Microbiology, 6*, 693–705.
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>Yang, Y. (2012). *Skin-whitening and freckle-dispelling essence and preparation method thereof*. Patent: CN102614104.

MS Excel (dentopreed by Microsoft).