Biomechanics of Hip Function

Kyle R. Sochacki and Marc R. Safran

18.1 Anatomy

18.1.1 Bony Anatomy

The acetabulum is composed of the triradiate cartilage and the acetabular cartilage complex formed by the fusion of the ilium, ischium, and pubis [[1\]](#page-8-0). The triradiate cartilage will form the non-articular medial wall, and the acetabular cartilage complex, composed mainly of hyaline cartilage, will form the articular portion of the acetabulum [\[1](#page-8-0)]. Physeal growth occurs through the triradiate cartilage with appropriate height and depth of the socket developing in response to the presence of the femoral head [[2\]](#page-8-1). Acetabular maturation continues until the fusion of the triradiate cartilage, usually from 16 to 18 years of age [\[2](#page-8-1)]. The normal acetabulum is anteverted 15–20° with a mean depth and diameter of 29.49 ± 4.2 millimeters (mm) and 54.29 ± 3.8 mm, respectively [\[3](#page-8-2)]. A deep acetabulum (profunda or protrusio) may result in pincer type impingement, while failure of the secondary ossifcation centers to develop will result in a shallow socket known as hip dysplasia [\[2](#page-8-1), [4](#page-8-3), [5](#page-8-4)].

The femoral head develops simultaneously with the acetabulum with growth occurring through the longitudinal (between the femoral

K. R. Sochacki · M. R. Safran (\boxtimes) tribute to hip motion.

Stanford University, Redwood City, CA, USA e-mail[: msafran@stanford.edu](mailto:msafran@stanford.edu)

J. Koh et al. (eds.), *Orthopaedic Biomechanics in Sports Medicine*, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-81549-3_18](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-81549-3_18#DOI)

head and the neck), trochanteric (between the femoral neck and the greater trochanter), and femoral neck isthmus physes [[1,](#page-8-0) [2](#page-8-1)]. The neck shaft angle is the angle measured between the axis of the femoral neck and the femoral shaft. The angle is highest at birth, but decreases to an average adult value of $125 \pm 5^{\circ}$ [\[1](#page-8-0), [6\]](#page-8-5). Femoral neck version is the angle between the femoral neck and the axis that crosses the distal femur epicondyles with the normal amount of adult anteversion ranging from 12 to 14° for a mean of 15.4° [\[1](#page-8-0), [3](#page-8-2), [7](#page-8-6), [8](#page-8-7)]. The mechanical advantage of the gluteus maximus muscle increases while the hip abductor mechanical advantage decreases with increasing proximal femur anteversion [\[9](#page-8-8)].

The femoral head-neck junction is normally shaped with the femoral neck narrower than its head. The head-neck junction morphology can be quantifed by the anterior offset or the alpha angle [\[10](#page-8-9)[–13](#page-8-10)]. The offset is measured as the ratio between the femoral head and neck radii, or as an absolute distance, which is normally about 10 mm [\[11](#page-8-11), [14\]](#page-8-12). The alpha angle is a method to quantify the concavity at femoral head-neck junction with normal alpha angle values less than 50 or 55°. The two bony prominences on the superior-lateral and posterior-medial aspects of the proximal femur are named the greater and lesser trochanters, respectively, and serve as the insertion sites for a variety of muscles that con-

18

18.1.2 The Hip Joint Capsule

The hip joint is surrounded by a thick fbrous capsule that extends from the acetabular rim to the proximal femur attaching anteriorly to the intertrochanteric line, superiorly to the base of the femoral neck, superomedially to the intertrochanteric crest, and inferiorly to the femoral neck near the lesser trochanter [[15,](#page-8-13) [16](#page-8-14)]. Three reinforcing ligaments are confuent with the hip capsule that help to provide hip stability. These include the iliofemoral ligament (Y ligament of Bigelow), pubofemoral ligament, and ischiofemoral ligament (Fig. [18.1\)](#page-2-0). The ligaments serve as the primary hip rotational restraints depending on the position and rotation of the hip during specifc motions [\[17](#page-8-15), [18](#page-8-16)]. The iliofemoral ligament originates from the anterior inferior iliac spine (AIIS) and splits distally into two distinct arms with the lateral arm inserting on the anterior prominence of the greater trochanteric crest and the medial arm inserting on a subtle angulated prominence of the anterior-inferior femur at the level of the lesser trochanter. This acts to restrict external rotation in all hip positions and both internal and external rotation with the hip in extension. The medial arm was most dominant in hip neutral fexion or extension while the lateral arm dominated in all other hip positions [[17,](#page-8-15) [19](#page-8-17), [20](#page-8-18)]. The pubofemoral ligament attaches to the superior pubic ramus proximally and then blends with the ischiofemoral and iliofemoral ligaments distally as it lacks a true bony femoral attachment [\[15](#page-8-13)]. It was previously believed to control external rotation in extension; however, a recent study by Martin et al. demonstrated the key function of the pubofemoral ligament as limiting internal rotation with increasing hip fexion [[20,](#page-8-18) [21\]](#page-8-19). The ischiofemoral ligament extends from the ischium to the femoral neck-trochanteric junction acting as a primary restrictor of internal rotation in both high and low hip flexion [\[17](#page-8-15), [20\]](#page-8-18). The iliofemoral ligament is the strongest of these ligaments while the posterior ischiofemoral ligament is the thinnest and weakest [\[22](#page-8-20)]. Several studies have demonstrated the important role of the hip capsule in providing stability to the joint synergistically with other static soft tissue stabilizers such as the acetabular labrum and transverse acetabular ligament throughout physiologic and supraphysiologic range of motion [[23–](#page-8-21)[26\]](#page-9-0).

18.1.3 Intra-Articular Structures

The acetabular labrum is a horseshoe shaped structure attached to the acetabular rim that lies just deep to the hip capsule. The capsular side of the labrum is composed of mainly type I and III collagen, while the articular side is composed of fbrocartilage [\[27](#page-9-1)]. The labrum functions to deepen the acetabulum with labral size inversely proportional to the depth of the bony acetabular socket. It also acts to increase hip stability by increasing the acetabular surface area and volume while creating a suction seal that opposes the fow of synovial fuid in and out of the central compartment [\[28](#page-9-2), [29](#page-9-3)]. Weight bearing activities with a functioning labral seal leads to an increase in intra-articular pressure that reduces intraarticular friction by improving joint lubrication [\[30](#page-9-4), [31](#page-9-5)]. Additionally, Safran et al. demonstrated that the labrum has strain at rest, which can increase and decrease in different locations of the labrum depending on hip position [[32\]](#page-9-6).

The ligamentum teres is composed of wellorganized collagen bundles that attaches the femoral head to the acetabulum. The biomechanical role of the ligamentum teres has been a widely debated topic with proposed functions including hip stabilizer, fuid and force distributor of the acetabulum, and embryonic remnant without a specific function in adults [\[33](#page-9-7)[–36](#page-9-8)]. However, recent studies have shown the ligamentum teres to be taut in fexion, adduction, and external rotation, leading some authors to believe that it does provide some degree of hip stability, resisting dislocation, and micro-instability [\[33](#page-9-7), [37](#page-9-9)[–39](#page-9-10)].

Hip articular cartilage has been shown to be highly inhomogeneous in thickness distribution on both the acetabular and femoral sides. A study by Von Eisenhart et al. demonstrated that maximum cartilage thickness was found ventrosuperiorly in the acetabulum and in the femoral head with a maximal thickness that ranged from 2.6 to 4.3 mm in the acetabulum and from 2.4 to

Fig. 18.1 Ligamentous relationships of the hip capsule. Computer model (**a**) demonstrating the relationship of the distal lateral iliofemoral ligament (ILFL) and the distal ischiofemoral ligament (ISFL), viewed from a superior position looking down the femoral shaft. Computer model showing (**b**) the posterior blend of the pubofemoral ligament (PFL) and ISFL, and (**c**) the anterior blend of the PFL and ILFL. Fig. (**d**) demonstrates the relationships of all three ligaments as viewed from an inferior position

looking upwards at the inferior aspect of the femoral head. Figure used with permission from the senior author. (ASIS, anterior superior ischial spine; AIIS, anterior inferior ischial spine; IR, ischial ramus). Reprinted from DeLee Drez and Miller's Orthopaedic Sports Medicine, Fifth Edition, Mark D. Miller, MD and Stephen R. Thompson, MD, MEd, FRCSC, Hip Anatomy and Biomechanics, 907–924, 2020, with permission from Elsevier

5.3 mm in the femoral head [[40\]](#page-9-11). This location of maximum thickness corresponded with location of maximum pressure recorded during the walking cycle.

18.1.4 Muscles around the Hip Joint

There are more than 20 muscles that cross the hip joint and contribute to hip motion (Table [18.1\)](#page-3-0). These muscles can be grouped according to their main function and innervation. The hip abductors and internal rotators (gluteus medius, gluteus minimus, and tensor fascia lata) are innervated by the superior gluteal nerve [[6\]](#page-8-5). The iliopsoas, rectus femoris, sartorius, and pectineus are responsible for hip fexion and are innervated by the femoral nerve [\[6](#page-8-5)]. Adduction of the hip occurs through action of the adductor magnus, adductor longus, adductor brevis, and gracilis through innervation by the obturator nerve. The gluteus maximus and hamstrings (biceps femoris, semi-

Hip abductors and internal rotators						
Muscle	Origin	Insertion	Innervation	Spinal Level	Additional Function	Notes
Gluteus Medius	Ilium (between posterior-anterior gluteal lines)	Greater trochanter	Superior gluteal	$L4-S1$		
Gluteus Minimus	Ilium (between Anterior/Inferior Gluteal Lines)	Greater trochanter	Superior gluteal	$L4-S1$		
Tensor fasciae Latae	Anterior iliac crest	Iliotibial band (Gerdy's tubercle)	Superior gluteal	$L4-S1$		
Hip flexors						
Muscle	Origin	Insertion	Innervation	Spinal level	Additional function	Notes
Iliopsoas	Iliac Fossa (iliacus) Transverse process $L1-L5$ (psoas)	Lesser trochanter	Femoral	$L2-4$	External rotation	Strongest hip flexor
Pectineus	Pectineal line of pubis bone	Pectineal line of femur	Femoral	$L2-4$	Adduction	
Rectus Femoris	AIIS (straight head) Anterior acetabular rim (reflected head)	Patella	Femoral	$L2-4$		Biarthrodial muscle
Sartorius	ASIS	Proximal medial tibia	Femoral	$L2-4$	External rotation	Biarthrodial muscle
Hip external rotators						
Muscle	Origin	Insertion	Innervation	Spinal level	Additional function	Notes
Gluteus Maximus	Ilium along crest posterior to posterior gluteal line	Iliotibial band/ posterior femur	Inferior gluteal L5-S2		Extension	
Piriformis	Anterior sacrum, through sciatic notch	Proximal greater trochanter (piriformis Fossa)	Piriformis	$S1-S2$		

Table 18.1 Muscles around the hip joint sorted by function

Table 18.1 (continued)

membranosus, and semitendinosus) function as the hip extensors with innervation by the inferior gluteal nerve and tibial branch of the sciatic nerve, respectively [[6\]](#page-8-5).

18.2 Hip Motion

Although the femoral head moves relative to the acetabulum, the hip functions as a ball-in-socket joint [[41,](#page-9-12) [42\]](#page-9-13). The acetabulum acts as the socket with the femoral head serving as the ball. As such, it has six degrees of freedom with three planes of motion (fexion-extension, abductionadduction, and internal-external rotation) and three of translation (anterior-posterior, mediallateral, and proximal-distal).

Hip range of motion is generally greatest in the sagittal plane. However, this may be affected by the bony morphology and/or laxity of ligaments and muscles around the hip. Knee position can also have a signifcant impact on hip motion as several muscles are biarthrodial, crossing both the hip and knee joints. As such, hip fexion ranges from 120° to 140° with the knee fexed and 90° with the knee fully extended due to increased tension across the hamstring muscles [\[9](#page-8-8), [43](#page-9-14)]. Normal hip extension ranges from 10° to 30°, but is limited by the iliofemoral ligament, anterior capsule, and hip fexors [[9,](#page-8-8) [43](#page-9-14)]. Normal hip abduction and adduction is at least 50° and 30°, respectively. Internal and external rotation of the hip is dependent upon the degree of hip motion in the sagittal plane (fexion or extension) with considerably less internal and external rotation possible with the hip extended due to increased soft tissue tension. Internal rotation is limited by the short external rotator muscles (obturator internus and externus, superior and inferior gemelli, quadratus femoris, and pirifor-mis) and the ischiofemoral ligament [[44\]](#page-9-15). External rotation is limited by the lateral band of the iliofemoral ligament, the pubofemoral ligament, the internal rotator muscles, and the degree of femoral neck anteversion [\[45](#page-9-16)]. During hip fexion, hip internal rotation ranges from 0° to 70° and external rotation can range from 0° to 90° [[46\]](#page-9-17).

Abnormalities of the proximal femur or acetabular morphology in conditions such as femoroacetabular impingement can also lead to reductions in hip range of motion. It is often limited because of abnormal bony contact between the proximal femur and acetabulum at the extremes [\[47](#page-9-18)]. However, surgical correction of these bony abnormalities has been shown to reduce this impingement and lead to improved hip range of motion similar to normal values [\[48,](#page-9-19) [49\]](#page-9-20).

It is important to note that hip motions are the result of combined hip joint, pelvic, and lumbar spine motion with increased contribution from the pelvis and lumbar spine when there is bony impingement at the hip $[50]$ $[50]$. A previous study by Dewberry et al. determined that 26–39% of hip fexion comes from lumbopelvic rotation depending on whether the knees were fexed or extended, respectively [\[43](#page-9-14)]. Pelvic rotation has also been found to contribute 18% of hip fexion during weight-bearing [\[51](#page-9-22)]. Additionally, lumbar spine motion signifcantly contributes to hip fexion with the majority of the contribution occurring early in the forward bending process [[52\]](#page-9-23). This intimate connection between the hip, pelvis, and spine may lead to "hip-spine syndrome" as stress can be transferred from the hip to the spine and vice versa when there is abnormal sagittal balance, bony morphology, or irregular gait [\[53](#page-9-24)].

18.3 Gait Cycle

The human bipedal gait cycle consists of the stance and swing phases as measured from heel strike to heel strike. The stance phase is defned by the period of time that the foot is on the ground. During walking, the stance phase accounts for about 60% of the gait cycle with both feet on the ground (double-support) occurring for approximately 20% of the time [\[54](#page-9-25)]. This double-support phase defnes walking. It is eliminated with running and replaced by the addition of the foat phase, in which both legs are in the air at the same time $[54–56]$ $[54–56]$ $[54–56]$. As the velocity of running increases, the stance phase shortens to less than 22% of the cycle at maximum velocity [[54\]](#page-9-25).

Hip motion during gait is dependent upon the different phases of the gait cycle with the main motion of the hip occurring in the sagittal plane (fexion and extension). The extent to which the hip fexes and extends is dependent upon the rate of ambulation as it increases from walking to jogging to running. The hip is extended, adducted, and internally rotated in the stance phase while it is fexed, abducted, and externally rotated in the swing phase of the gait cycle [\[44](#page-9-15), [45,](#page-9-16) [56](#page-10-0)]. During normal walking, the hip fexes to about 30° and extends to around 10° [\[44,](#page-9-15) [45\]](#page-9-16). Hip flexion increases with running and sprinting to approximately 50° and 65°, respectively [[54](#page-9-25)]. Extension of the hip has also been found to increase with running but paradoxically decreases with sprinting [\[54,](#page-9-25) [57](#page-10-1)].

The amount of hip abduction and adduction also differs between walking, jogging, and running with maximum values of both occurring with running. Hip adduction is $5-10^{\circ}$ while walking and increases to 15–20° during running just before heel strike [[44,](#page-9-15) [45\]](#page-9-16). Maximum abduction, on the other hand, occurs after toe-off during the swing phase of running.

The muscles around the hip joint work in conjunction with each other during the gait cycle. Hip fexors are most active during the swing phase, while extensors increase activity during the stance phase. In terminal swing, however, the gluteus maximus and the hamstrings also function to decelerate the swinging thigh [[54,](#page-9-25) [58\]](#page-10-2). Hip adductor muscles are active throughout all phases while running, but only activate from the swing phase to mid-stance when walking [[56\]](#page-10-0). The gluteus medius and tensor fascia lata also help to stabilize the pelvis in normal gait. During running, they are active in the swing and early stance phases. However, while walking, they are mainly active during the stance phase only [[58\]](#page-10-2).

18.4 Forces around the hip

The human hip is biomechanically complicated with several forces contributing to the joint reaction forces across the hip. Direct measurement can be diffcult. As such, free body diagrams have been developed to estimate these forces based on several assumptions regarding the soft tissue structures around the hip and their individual contributions (Fig. [18.2](#page-6-0)) [\[44](#page-9-15)]. The most commonly used free body diagram makes estimates using single limb stance [\[46](#page-9-17), [59,](#page-10-3) [60\]](#page-10-4). Under static conditions, the gravitational force, force from the abductor muscles (A), and force exerted by the femoral head on the acetabulum (F, joint reaction force) act on the hip to keep the hip level $[60]$ $[60]$. The gravitational force is the weight of the body (W) minus the weight of the contralateral lower limb (1/6 W) or 5/6 W. It is possible to determine the joint reaction force (F) after the force from the abductor muscles (A) is calculated. This can be calculated with knowledge of a person's weight, moment arm of the gravitational force (*d*), and moment arm of the abductor muscles (*l*) using the following equation [\[46](#page-9-17)]:

$$
A = \frac{5/6W \times d}{l}
$$

Fig. 18.2 Forces acting on the hip joint during single leg stance under conditions of equilibrium. Gravitational force W, abductor muscle force A, hip joint reaction force F, abductor muscle moment arm *l*, and force of gravity moment arm *d*. Reprinted from DeLee Drez and Miller's Orthopaedic Sports Medicine, Fifth Edition, Mark D. Miller, MD and Stephen R. Thompson, MD, MEd, FRCSC, Hip Anatomy and Biomechanics, 907–924, 2020, with permission from Elsevier

At equilibrium, according to Newton's frst law of motion, the joint reaction force (F) is equal to the sum of the gravitational force and force from the abductors. As such, F is calculated to be 2.7 times the body weight during the single leg stance phase of walking with the pelvis parallel to the floor $[46]$ $[46]$.

These principles can also be applied to estimate the forces exhibited on a hip joint in motion, which may be more applicable and of greater interest in the treatment of athletes. Previous studies using kinetic and kinematic data have estimated that the hip is loaded up to 4 times body weight while slow walking [\[61](#page-10-5)]. The forces seen by the hip increase as the speed of gait increases with forces 7–8 times body weight transmitted to the hip during running [[61\]](#page-10-5). Unfortunately, these estimates are based on straight line motion. As such, sports with cutting, pivoting, and twisting motions would be expected to have even higher forces across the hip. This has been demonstrated in mogul skiers with as much as 12.4 times body weight seen by the hip joint $[62]$ $[62]$.

Direct measurements of these activities can be difficult in athletes due to the requirement for surgical implantation of a force transducer. In order to account for this, Bergmann et al. was able to determine the forces acting on the hip during several activities after total hip arthroplasty by implanting pressure transducers at the time of surgery [\[63](#page-10-7)]. The results of the study confrmed previous estimates with increasing hip joint forces as gait speed increases. The forces transmitted to the hip were 300% body weight during slow walking, 350% to 400% with quick walking, and up to 500% during jogging [\[63](#page-10-7)]. This in vivo measurement has the advantage of including all the forces acting on the hip during activities, but is likely dependent upon hip implant position to a certain degree [\[64](#page-10-8)].

18.5 Hip Joint Surface Pressure

Focal increases in hip articular cartilage pressure has been thought to contribute to the development of hip osteoarthritis. As such, understanding of this mechanism and the pathology that contributes is paramount for the sports medicine surgeon and hip preservation specialist. Joint pressure (P) can be estimated based on the joint reaction force (F) and surface area (A) over which this force is distributed using the following equation $[60]$ $[60]$:

$$
P = F / A.
$$

Based on the above calculations, the average pressure seen by the hip joint is 75 N/cm² assuming a body mass of 60 kilograms, femoral head diameter of 5 centimeters (cm), and joint reaction force of 1500 Newtons (N) [[60,](#page-10-4) [65\]](#page-10-9).

However, the pressure distribution across the hip articular cartilage is not uniform due to the bearing surface lacking perfect sphericity. In a native hip, the femoral head is slightly out-ofround and the acetabulum is a horseshoe shaped, nonuniform hemisphere. This leads to low pressures at the most constrained aspects of the hip and increasing pressures on the articular cartilage near the uncovered rim of the acetabulum [[60\]](#page-10-4). This principle has been further reinforced by Greenwald and Brinckmann. Decreased acetabular coverage, as in hip dysplasia, leads to signifcantly greater and more laterally (along the acetabular rim) based pressure on the articular cartilage compared to normal hips [\[60](#page-10-4), [66](#page-10-10)].

In addition to the acetabular bony morphology, cartilage and soft tissue structural integrity have been shown to contribute to hip joint pressure. Day et al. have shown up to 5 times normal pressure in areas with thin fbrocartilage, located mainly at the top of the acetabulum [\[67](#page-10-11)]. Another study by Song et al. measured the resistance to rotation, which refects articular cartilage friction, in an intact hip and after focal and complete labrectomy. Resistance to rotation, and likely resultant joint pressure, was signifcantly increased by up to 20% following focal and complete labrectomy indicating the importance of the labrum in maintaining joint homeostasis and normal hip joint biomechanics [\[30](#page-9-4)].

Hip position can also affect hip pressure distribution by decreasing the surface area between the femoral head and acetabulum [\[68](#page-10-12)]. Deep hip fexion, as seen in sitting with subsequent rising

from a chair as well as stair climbing produces the highest joint pressure predominantly in the posterior acetabulum [\[68](#page-10-12), [69](#page-10-13)]. This is in contrast to normal walking where the hip joint pressure is the lowest of all full weight bearing activities and is maximally focused on the superior aspect of the acetabulum [\[69](#page-10-13)].

18.6 Summary

Several studies of basic hip joint anatomy and biomechanics have been published over the years. These mostly involve straight line motion, and therefore likely underestimate the complex movements and resultant interactions between the bony anatomy, capsule, labrum, ligaments, and muscles of this joint in high level athletes. As such, future research and biomechanical studies should focus on abnormalities of these structures and how injury may affect changes to the biomechanics of the athletic hip.

References

- 1. Lee MC, Eberson CP. Growth and development of the child's hip. Orthop Clin North Am. 2006;37(2):119– 32. v
- 2. Ponseti IV. Growth and development of the acetabulum in the normal child. Anatomical, histological, and roentgenographic studies. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1978;60(5):575–85.
- 3. Tonnis D, Heinecke A. Acetabular and femoral anteversion: relationship with osteoarthritis of the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1999;81(12):1747–70.
- 4. Ganz R, Klaue K, Vinh TS, Mast JW. A new periacetabular osteotomy for the treatment of hip dysplasias: Technique and preliminary results. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1988;2004(418):3–8.
- 5. Byrd JW, Jones KS. Hip arthroscopy in the presence of dysplasia. Arthroscopy. 2003;19(10):1055–60.
- 6. Fagerson TL. The hip handbook. Boston: Butterworth-Heinemann; 1998.
- 7. Hamill J, Knutzen K. Biomechanical basis of human movement. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2009.
- 8. Fabry G, MacEwen GD, Shands AR Jr. Torsion of the femur. A follow-up study in normal and abnormal conditions. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1973;55(8):1726–38.
- 9. Radin EL. Biomechanics of the human hip. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1980;152:28–34.
- 10. Notzli HP, Wyss TF, Stoecklin CH, Schmid MR, Treiber K, Hodler J. The contour of the femoral head-neck junction as a predictor for the risk of anterior impingement. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2002;84(4):556–60.
- 11. Ito K, Minka MA 2nd, Leunig M, Werlen S, Ganz R. Femoroacetabular impingement and the cameffect. A MRI-based quantitative anatomical study of the femoral head-neck offset. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2001;83(2):171–6.
- 12. Clohisy JC, Carlisle JC, Trousdale R, Kim YJ, Beaule PE, Morgan P, et al. Radiographic evaluation of the hip has limited reliability. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2009;467(3):666–75.
- 13. Beaule PE, Zaragoza E, Motamedi K, Copelan N, Dorey FJ. Three-dimensional computed tomography of the hip in the assessment of femoroacetabular impingement. J Orthop Res. 2005;23(6):1286–92.
- 14. Tannast M, Siebenrock KA, Anderson SE. Femoroacetabular impingement: radiographic diagnosis--what the radiologist should know. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2007;188(6):1540–52.
- 15. Telleria JJ, Lindsey DP, Giori NJ, Safran MR. A quantitative assessment of the insertional footprints of the hip joint capsular ligaments and their spanning fbers for reconstruction. Clin Anat. 2014;27(3):489–97.
- 16. Bedi A, Galano G, Walsh C, Kelly BT. Capsular management during hip arthroscopy: from femoroacetabular impingement to instability. Arthroscopy. 2011;27(12):1720–31.
- 17. van Arkel RJ, Amis AA, Cobb JP, Jeffers JR. The capsular ligaments provide more hip rotational restraint than the acetabular labrum and the ligamentum teres: an experimental study. Bone Joint J. 2015;97-B(4):484–91.
- 18. van Arkel RJ, Amis AA, Jeffers JR. The envelope of passive motion allowed by the capsular ligaments of the hip. J Biomech. 2015;48(14):3803–9.
- 19. Myers CA, Register BC, Lertwanich P, Ejnisman L, Pennington WW, Giphart JE, et al. Role of the acetabular labrum and the iliofemoral ligament in hip stability: an in vitro biplane fuoroscopy study. Am J Sports Med. 2011;39(Suppl):85S–91S.
- 20. Martin HD, Savage A, Braly BA, Palmer IJ, Beall DP, Kelly B. The function of the hip capsular ligaments: a quantitative report. Arthroscopy. 2008;24(2):188–95.
- 21. Martin HD, Khoury AN, Schroder R, Johnson E, Gomez-Hoyos J, Campos S, et al. Contribution of the Pubofemoral ligament to hip stability: a biomechanical study. Arthroscopy. 2017;33(2):305–13.
- 22. Nicholas JA, Hershman EB. The lower extremity & spine in sports medicine. 2nd ed. St. Louis: Mosby; 1995.
- 23. Shindle MK, Ranawat AS, Kelly BT. Diagnosis and management of traumatic and atraumatic hip instability in the athletic patient. Clin Sports Med. 2006;25(2):309–26. ix-x
- 24. Domb BG, Philippon MJ, Giordano BD. Arthroscopic capsulotomy, capsular repair, and capsular plication of

the hip: relation to atraumatic instability. Arthroscopy. 2013;29(1):162–73.

- 25. Boykin RE, Anz AW, Bushnell BD, Kocher MS, Stubbs AJ, Philippon MJ. Hip instability. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2011;19(6):340–9.
- 26. Bowman KF Jr, Fox J, Sekiya JK. A clinically relevant review of hip biomechanics. Arthroscopy. 2010;26(8):1118–29.
- 27. Petersen W, Petersen F, Tillmann B. Structure and vascularization of the acetabular labrum with regard to the pathogenesis and healing of labral lesions. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2003;123(6):283–8.
- 28. Seldes RM, Tan V, Hunt J, Katz M, Winiarsky R, Fitzgerald RH Jr. Anatomy, histologic features, and vascularity of the adult acetabular labrum. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2001;382:232–40.
- 29. Tan V, Seldes RM, Katz MA, Freedhand AM, Klimkiewicz JJ, Fitzgerald RH Jr. Contribution of acetabular labrum to articulating surface area and femoral head coverage in adult hip joints: an anatomic study in cadavera. Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ). 2001;30(11):809–12.
- 30. Song Y, Ito H, Kourtis L, Safran MR, Carter DR, Giori NJ. Articular cartilage friction increases in hip joints after the removal of acetabular labrum. J Biomech. 2012;45(3):524–30.
- 31. Ferguson SJ, Bryant JT, Ganz R, Ito K. The acetabular labrum seal: a poroelastic fnite element model. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 2000;15(6):463–8.
- 32. Safran MR, Giordano G, Lindsey DP, Gold GE, Rosenberg J, Zaffagnini S, et al. Strains across the acetabular labrum during hip motion: a cadaveric model. Am J Sports Med. 2011;39(Suppl):92S–102S.
- 33. Wenger D, Miyanji F, Mahar A, Oka R. The mechanical properties of the ligamentum teres: a pilot study to assess its potential for improving stability in children's hip surgery. J Pediatr Orthop. 2007;27(4):408–10.
- 34. Savory WS. The use of the ligamentum Teres of the hip-joint. J Anat Physiol. 1874;8(Pt 2):291–6.
- 35. Kapandji IA. The physiology of the joints. 6th ed. Edinburgh. New York: Churchill Livingstone; 2007.
- 36. Wenger DR, Mubarak SJ, Henderson PC, Miyanji F. Ligamentum teres maintenance and transfer as a stabilizer in open reduction for pediatric hip dislocation: surgical technique and early clinical results. J Child Orthop. 2008;2(3):177–85.
- 37. Martin HD, Hatem MA, Kivlan BR, Martin RL. Function of the ligamentum teres in limiting hip rotation: a cadaveric study. Arthroscopy. 2014;30(9):1085–91.
- 38. Cerezal L, Kassarjian A, Canga A, Dobado MC, Montero JA, Llopis E, et al. Anatomy, biomechanics, imaging, and management of ligamentum teres injuries. Radiographics. 2010;30(6):1637–51.
- 39. Bardakos NV, Villar RN. The ligamentum teres of the adult hip. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2009;91(1):8–15.
- 40. von Eisenhart R, Adam C, Steinlechner M, Muller-Gerbl M, Eckstein F. Quantitative determination of joint incongruity and pressure distribution during

simulated gait and cartilage thickness in the human hip joint. J Orthop Res. 1999;17(4):532-9.

- 41. Safran MR, Lopomo N, Zaffagnini S, Signorelli C, Vaughn ZD, Lindsey DP, et al. In vitro analysis of peri-articular soft tissues passive constraining effect on hip kinematics and joint stability. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2013;21(7):1655–63.
- 42. Charbonnier C, Kolo FC, Duthon VB, Magnenat-Thalmann N, Becker CD, Hoffmeyer P, et al. Assessment of congruence and impingement of the hip joint in professional ballet dancers: a motion capture study. Am J Sports Med. 2011;39(3):557–66.
- 43. Dewberry MJ, Bohannon RW, Tiberio D, Murray R, Zannotti CM. Pelvic and femoral contributions to bilateral hip fexion by subjects suspended from a bar. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 2003;18(6):494–9.
- 44. Polkowski GG, Clohisy JC. Hip biomechanics. Sports Med Arthrosc Rev. 2010;18(2):56–62.
- 45. Hughes PEHJ, Matava MJ. Hip anatomy and biomechanics in the athlete. Sports Med Arthrosc Rev. 2002;10(2):103–14.
- 46. Nordin M, Frankel VH. Basic biomechanics of the musculoskeletal system. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2001.
- 47. Ganz R, Parvizi J, Beck M, Leunig M, Notzli H, Siebenrock KA. Femoroacetabular impingement: a cause for osteoarthritis of the hip. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2003;417:112–20.
- 48. Bedi A, Dolan M, Magennis E, Lipman J, Buly R, Kelly BT. Computer-assisted modeling of osseous impingement and resection in femoroacetabular impingement. Arthroscopy. 2012;28(2):204–10.
- 49. Bedi A, Dolan M, Hetsroni I, Magennis E, Lipman J, Buly R, et al. Surgical treatment of femoroacetabular impingement improves hip kinematics: a computer-assisted model. Am J Sports Med. 2011;39(Suppl):43S–9S.
- 50. Ross JR, Nepple JJ, Philippon MJ, Kelly BT, Larson CM, Bedi A. Effect of changes in pelvic tilt on range of motion to impingement and radiographic parameters of acetabular morphologic characteristics. Am J Sports Med. 2014;42(10):2402–9.
- 51. Murray R, Bohannon R, Tiberio D, Dewberry M, Zannotti C. Pelvifemoral rhythm during unilateral hip fexion in standing. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 2002;17(2):147–51.
- 52. Esola MA, McClure PW, Fitzgerald GK, Siegler S. Analysis of lumbar spine and hip motion during forward bending in subjects with and without a history of low back pain. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1996;21(1):71–8.
- 53. Offerski CM, MacNab I. Hip-spine syndrome. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1983;8(3):316–21.
- 54. Mann RA, Hagy J. Biomechanics of walking, running, and sprinting. Am J Sports Med. 1980;8(5):345–50.
- 55. Novacheck TF. The biomechanics of running. Gait Posture. 1998;7(1):77–95.
- 56. Nicola TL, Jewison DJ. The anatomy and biomechanics of running. Clin Sports Med. 2012;31(2):187–201.
- 57. Franz JR, Paylo KW, Dicharry J, Riley PO, Kerrigan DC. Changes in the coordination of hip and pelvis kinematics with mode of locomotion. Gait Posture. 2009;29(3):494–8.
- 58. Cappellini G, Ivanenko YP, Poppele RE, Lacquaniti F. Motor patterns in human walking and running. J Neurophysiol. 2006;95(6):3426–37.
- 59. Pauwels F. Biomechanics of the locomotor apparatus: contributions on the functional anatomy of the locomotor apparatus. Berlin. New York: Springer; 1980.
- 60. Brinckmann P, Frobin W, Leivseth G. Musculoskeletal biomechanics. Stuttgart. New York: Thieme; 2002.
- 61. Kadaba MP, Ramakrishnan HK, Wootten ME, Gainey J, Gorton G, Cochran GV. Repeatability of kinematic, kinetic, and electromyographic data in normal adult gait. J Orthop Res. 1989;7(6):849–60.
- 62. van den Bogert AJ, Read L, Nigg BM. An analysis of hip joint loading during walking, running, and skiing. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1999;31(1):131–42.
- 63. Bergmann G, Deuretzbacher G, Heller M, Graichen F, Rohlmann A, Strauss J, et al. Hip contact forces

and gait patterns from routine activities. J Biomech. 2001;34(7):859–71.

- 64. Delp SL, Maloney W. Effects of hip center location on the moment-generating capacity of the muscles. J Biomech. 1993;26(4–5):485–99.
- 65. Watanabe RS. Embryology of the human hip. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1974;98:8–26.
- 66. Brinckmann P, Frobin W, Hierholzer E. Stress on the articular surface of the hip joint in healthy adults and persons with idiopathic osteoarthrosis of the hip joint. J Biomech. 1981;14(3):149–56.
- 67. Day WH, Swanson SA, Freeman MA. Contact pressures in the loaded human cadaver hip. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1975;57(3):302–13.
- 68. Martin DE, Greco NJ, Klatt BA, Wright VJ, Anderst WJ, Tashman S. Model-based tracking of the hip: implications for novel analyses of hip pathology. J Arthroplast. 2011;26(1):88–97.
- 69. Hodge WA, Carlson KL, Fijan RS, Burgess RG, Riley PO, Harris WH, et al. Contact pressures from an instrumented hip endoprosthesis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1989;71(9):1378–86.