

Chapter 9

Almost Periodic Functions with Values in a Non-locally Convex Space



In this section, $(X, +, \cdot, \|\cdot\|)$ will be a p -Fréchet space with $0 < p < 1$ (over the field $\Phi = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}). Also, denote $D(x, y) = \|x - y\|$.

Similarly to [22], p. 137, a trigonometric polynomial of degree $\leq n$ with coefficients (and values) in the p -Fréchet space X , is defined as a finite sum of the form $T_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k e^{i\lambda_k t}$, where $c_k \in X, k = 1, \dots, n$.

Also, recall that $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is said to be continuous on $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ if $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|f(x) - f(x_0)\| < \epsilon$, whenever $x \in \mathbb{R}, |x - x_0| < \delta$. From the triangle inequality satisfied by the p -norm $\|\cdot\|$, it easily follows the inequality $|\|x\| - \|y\|| \leq \|x - y\|$, which immediately implies that if f is continuous in x_0 as above, then the real-valued function $\|f(t)\|$ is also continuous at x_0 .

1 Definitions and Properties

In this section, starting from a Bohr-kind definition for the almost periodicity, we develop a theory of almost periodic functions with values in a p -Fréchet space, $0 < p < 1$, similar to that for functions with values in a Banach space.

The following three points in Definition 3.1 represent the basic concepts in the theory of almost periodic functions with values in the p -Fréchet space X .

Definition 9.1 Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ be continuous on \mathbb{R} .

- (i) We say that **f is almost periodic** if $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists $l(\epsilon) > 0$ such that any interval of length $l(\epsilon)$ of the real line contains at least one point ξ with

$$\|f(t + \xi) - f(t)\| < \epsilon, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- (ii) We say that **f is normal** if for any sequence $F_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ of the form $F_n(x) = f(x + h_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $(h_n)_n$ is a sequence of real numbers, one can extract a subsequence of $(F_n)_n$, converging uniformly on \mathbb{R} (i.e. $\forall (h_n)_n, \exists (F_{n_k}), \exists F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ (which may depend on $(h_n)_n$), such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|F_{n_k}(x) - F(x)\| = 0$, uniformly with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}$).
- (iii) We say that **f has the approximation property**, if $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists some trigonometric polynomial T with coefficients in X , such that $\|f(x) - T(x)\| < \epsilon, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let us denote $AP(X) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X; f \text{ is almost periodic}\}$. The next two theorems show that $AP(X)$ is a subclass of uniformly continuous bounded functions.

Remark 9.2 We can reformulate 9.1(i), as follows: $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is called almost periodic if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a relatively dense set $\{\tau\}_\epsilon$, such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(t + \tau) - f(t)\| \leq \epsilon, \text{ for all } \tau \in \{\tau\}_\epsilon.$$

Also, each $\tau \in \{\tau\}_\epsilon$ is called ϵ -almost period of f .

Remark 9.3 Theorems 10.5, 8.5, 8.6, and 8.10 and Remark 8.8 remain valid in p -Fréchet spaces, $0 < p < 1$.

Theorem 9.4 *If f has the approximation property, then it is almost periodic.*

Proof A function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is said to be τ -periodic if $f(t + \tau) = f(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Obviously, any trigonometric polynomial with values in \mathbb{X} is almost periodic. This with Theorem 8.6 completes the proof. \square

Remark 9.5 Let us denote $AP(X) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X; f \text{ is B-almost periodic}\}$, and for $f \in AP(X)$, let us define $\|f\|_b = \sup\{\|f(t)\|; t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. By Theorem 3.2, we get $\|f\|_b < +\infty$. It easily follows that $\|\cdot\|_b$ is also a p -norm on the space

$$BC(\mathbb{R}, X) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X \text{ is continuous and bounded on } \mathbb{R}\}.$$

In addition, since (X, D) , where D is defined by $D(x, y) = \|x - y\|$, is a complete metric space, by standard reasonings, it follows that $BC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ becomes complete metric space with respect to the metric $D_b(f, g) = \|f - g\|_b$, that is, $(BC(\mathbb{R}, X), \|\cdot\|_b)$ becomes a p -Fréchet space.

Then, Theorems 3.2 and 3.5 show that $AP(X)$ is a closed subset of $BC(\mathbb{R}, X)$, i.e. $(AP(X), D_b)$ is a complete metric space, and therefore $(AP(X), \|\cdot\|_b)$ becomes a p -Fréchet space. By similar reasonings with those in the proofs of Theorems 6.9 and 6.10 in [22], pp. 142–143 (where we define on X^m the p -norm

$$\|x\|_m = \sum_{k=1}^m \|x_k\| \text{ and the metric } D_m(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^m D(x_i, y_i), \forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_m),$$

$y = (y_1, \dots, y_m) \in X^m$), we can state the following compactness criterion.

Theorem 9.6 *The necessary and sufficient condition that a family $\mathcal{A} \subset AP(\mathbb{X})$ be relatively compact is that the following properties hold true:*

- (i) \mathcal{A} is equicontinuous;
- (ii) \mathcal{A} is equi-almost periodic;
- (iii) for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the set of values of functions from \mathcal{A} be relatively compact in \mathbb{X} .

In what follows, we consider the concept of Bochner's transform. Thus, Bochner's transform of f in $BC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is denoted by $B(f) := \tilde{f}$ and is defined by $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow BC(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $\tilde{f}(s) \in BC(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $\tilde{f}(s)(t) = f(t + s)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

The properties of the Bochner transform can be summarized in the following theorem:

- Theorem 9.7** (i) $\|\tilde{f}(s)\|_b = \|f(\cdot + s)\|_b = \|\tilde{f}(0)\|_b$, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (ii) $\|\tilde{f}(s + \tau) - \tilde{f}(s)\|_b = \sup\{\|f(t + \tau) - f(t)\|; t \in \mathbb{R}\} = \|\tilde{f}(\tau) - \tilde{f}(0)\|_b$, for all $s, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (iii) f is B -almost periodic if and only if \tilde{f} is B -almost periodic, with the same set of ε -almost periods $\{\tau\}_\varepsilon$;
- (iv) \tilde{f} is B -almost periodic, if and only if there exists a relatively dense sequence in \mathbb{R} , denoted by $\{s_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, such that the set of functions $\{\tilde{f}(s_n); n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is relatively compact in the complete metric space $(BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X}), D_b)$;
- (v) \tilde{f} is B -almost periodic, if and only if $\tilde{f}(\mathbb{R})$ is relatively compact in the complete metric space $(BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X}), D_b)$;
- (vi) (Bochner's criterion) f is B -almost periodic if and only if $\tilde{f}(\mathbb{R})$ is relatively compact in the complete metric space $(BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X}), D_b)$.

Proof It is absolutely similar to the proof for Banach space valued functions, see e.g. [3, pp. 7–9].

Now, we are in position to prove the following sufficient condition for B -almost periodicity in p -Fréchet spaces, $0 < p < 1$. □

Theorem 9.8 *Let $f \in BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$. Let us suppose that there exists a relatively dense set of real numbers (s_n) , such that*

- (i) the set $\{f(s_n); n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is relatively compact in the metric space (X, D) and
- (ii) for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the relation

$$\|f(s_n) - f(s_m)\| \geq c\|f(\cdot + s_n) - f(\cdot + s_m)\|_b$$

holds with $c > 0$ independent of n, m .

Then, f is almost periodic.

Proof The inequality in statement together with Theorem 9.7, obviously implies

$$D[f(s_n), f(s_m)] = \|f(s_n) - f(s_m)\| \geq c\|\tilde{f}(s_n) - \tilde{f}(s_m)\|_b = cD_b[\tilde{f}(s_n), \tilde{f}(s_m)].$$

Since by hypothesis, the set $\{f(s_n); n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is relatively compact in the metric space (X, D) , it has a convergent subsequence $(f(s'_n))_n$, which therefore is a

Cauchy sequence in the complete metric space (X, D) , so it is convergent. The above inequality implies that $(\tilde{f}(s'_n))_n$ is also a Cauchy sequence in the complete metric space $(C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X}), D_b)$, so it is convergent. Combined with Theorem 3.11(iv), it follows that \tilde{f} is almost periodic, which combined with Theorem 3.11(iii), implies that f is almost periodic. The theorem is proved. \square

2 Weakly Almost Periodic Functions

In what follows, we will consider the concept of weakly almost periodicity, at least in the cases of l^p and H^p spaces, $0 < p < 1$. Indeed, according to Remark 9.13(1) in Sect. 2, the dual spaces $(l^p)^*$ and $(H^p)^*$ are non-null. In addition, since $\{e_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, with $e_i = (\delta_{i,n})_n \in l^p$, $\delta_{i,n}$, Kronecker's symbol, is a basis in l^p (see e.g. [5], p. 20), and since any $e_i^* : l^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is linear and continuous (see e.g. [5], p. 12, Theorem 1.8), it easily follows that $\{p_\varphi; \varphi \in (l^p)^*\}$, with $p_\varphi(x) = |\varphi(x)|$, for all $x \in l^p$, defines a sufficient family of seminorms on l^p , which evidently induces a weak topology on l^p , namely a locally convex Hausdorff topology on l^p .

Also, since according to e.g. [37, p. 35], the point evaluations $\varphi_z(f) = f(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, satisfy $\varphi_z \in (H^p)^*$, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, again it easily follows that $\{p_\varphi(x); \varphi \in (H^p)^*\}$ with $p_\varphi(x) = |\varphi(x)|$, for all $x \in H^p$, defines a sufficient family of seminorms on H^p , which evidently induces a locally convex Hausdorff (weak) topology on H^p .

Definition 9.9 Let $X = l^p$ or $X = H^p$ with $0 < p < 1$. A function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is called weakly almost periodic, if $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is continuous and almost periodic, considering X endowed with the (weak) locally convex topology as above (see e.g. [2, pp. 159–160], or [7, 8]).

Remark 9.10 Obviously that Definition 9.9 has no sense for the p -Fréchet space $L^p[0, 1]$, $0 < p < 1$, whose dual is $\{0\}$.

Theorem 9.11 Let $X = l^p$ or $X = H^p$, $0 < p < 1$. The necessary and sufficient condition that the function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ be weakly almost periodic is that for any $\varphi \in X^*$, the numerical function $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by $h(t) = \varphi[f(t)]$, be almost periodic.

Proof It is similar to the proof for Banach space-valued functions (see Theorem 6.1.7, p. 160 in [2]). \square

Theorem 9.12 Let $X = l^p$ or $X = H^p$, $0 < p < 1$. The necessary and sufficient condition that the function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ be almost periodic is that f be weakly almost periodic and that $f(\mathbb{R})$ be relatively compact.

Proof Since for any $\varphi \in X^*$ and all $t, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$|\varphi[f(t + \tau)] - \varphi[f(t)]| \leq \|\varphi\| \cdot \|f(t + \tau) - f(t)\|^{1/p},$$

the usual (strong) almost periodicity and continuity of f immediately imply that f is weakly almost periodic and weakly continuous. This together with Theorem 3.6 immediately proves the necessity of theorem.

To prove the sufficiency, we would need the analogue for p -Fréchet space of the following result of Philips for Banach space (see the proof of Theorem 6.18, pp. 160–161 in [2]): from any bounded sequence $(\varphi_n)_n$ in X^* defined on a relatively compact subset $A \subset X$, one can extract a convergent subsequence on A . \square

Remark 9.13

- (1) In the case when X is a p -Fréchet space endowed with the p -norm $\|\cdot\|$, $0 < p < 1$, in [3, p. 102] (see also [1, p. 158]), the integral was introduced as follows. First, for $a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n = b$, a partition of $[a, b]$, a step function on $[a, b]$ is of the form $s(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} y_k \cdot \chi_{[a_k, a_{k+1})}(x)$ (where $\chi_{[a_k, a_{k+1})}$ is the characteristic function of $[a_k, a_{k+1})$ and $y_k \in X, k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$), and its integral on $[a, b]$ is defined by $\int_a^b s(x)dx = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} y_k(a_{k+1} - a_k)$. Then, since any continuous function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is uniformly continuous on $[a, b]$, it is easy to show that it is the uniform limit on $[a, b]$ of the sequences of step functions $s_n(x), n \in \mathbb{N}$ defined by

$$s_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(a_k) \cdot \chi_{[a_k, a_{k+1})}(x), a_k = a + k \frac{b-a}{n}, k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

and the integral of f will be defined by $\int_a^b f(x)dx \in X$, where

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_a^b f(x)dx - \int_a^b s_n(x)dx \right\| = 0.$$

(It is easy to see that the above $\int_a^b f(x)dx$ does not depend on the sequence of step functions uniformly convergent to f .) Unfortunately, the fundamental theorem of calculus stated in [3, Theorem 2, pp. 104–105] (see also [10], pp. 161–162) seems to be not valid in general, since for a continuous function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$, for the integral $F(t) = \int_a^t f(x)dx$, we have

$$\left\| \frac{F(t+h) - F(t)}{h} - f(t) \right\| = \left\| \frac{\int_t^{t+h} [f(x) - f(t)]dx}{h} \right\|,$$

but we do not get, in general, the estimate

$$\left\| \int_t^{t+h} [f(x) - f(t)] dx \right\| \leq |h|^p \|f(u) - f(t)\|,$$

with u between t and $t + h$, as claimed in [10], p. 162 (which would imply that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{F(t+h) - F(t)}{h} - f(t) \right\| = 0$). As a first consequence, it follows that the implication “ f' uniformly continuous and f B-almost periodic imply f' B-almost periodic” does not hold, although in the case of Banach space-valued functions, it is valid (see e.g. [3, Theorem VI, p. 6]).

- (2) One could also adopt the more particular definition (of Riemann-type) for the integral on $[a, b]$ of a function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$, as unique limit of all the Riemann sums $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(\xi_k)(a_{k+1} - a_k)$, with $\xi_k \in [a_k, a_{k+1}]$. Unfortunately, for this kind of integral too, the property $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda|^p \|x\|$, where $0 < p < 1$, produces a bad estimate for the difference between the Riemann sums attached to two functions $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow X$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(\xi_k)(a_{k+1} - a_k) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g(\xi_k)(a_{k+1} - a_k) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (a_{k+1} - a_k)^p \|f(\xi_k) - g(\xi_k)\| \\ & \not\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (a_{k+1} - a_k) \|f(\xi_k) - g(\xi_k)\| \end{aligned}$$

(in fact, since $0 < p < 1$, for $a_{k+1} - a_k \leq 1$, we have $(a_{k+1} - a_k)^p \geq (a_{k+1} - a_k)$, which is the case for n sufficiently large). This fact that has the similar effect as for the first integral, namely the fundamental theorem of calculus for this second integral also does not hold.

- (3) From Remarks 9.13(1) and (2), it is evident that for a continuous $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$, the inequality

$$\left\| \int_a^b f(t) dt \right\| \leq \int_a^b \|f(t)\| dt$$

does not hold.

Now, if we introduce (as in the case of Banach space-valued functions) the mean value

$$M(f) = \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \cdot \int_0^T f(t) dt \in X,$$

where the limit is considered in the metric space (X, D) (i.e. there exists $M(f) \in X$ with $\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} D\left(M(f), \frac{1}{T} \cdot \int_0^T f(t) dt\right) = 0$), then because of Remark 9.13, it seems that $M(f)$ does not exist for any $f \in AP(X)$, since in the proof for the case of Banach space-valued functions, the inequality mentioned in Remark 9.13(3) is essential. This has as an effect the fact that, in general, one cannot attach Fourier series to a function $f \in AP(X)$ and the fact that the almost periodicity of f does not imply the approximation property mentioned in Definition 3.1(iii).

- (4) In [6], a theory of the semigroups of linear and continuous operators is developed. As one of the applications, it was obtained that the initial value problem in the p -Fréchet space X , $0 < p < 1$,

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = A[u(t)], \quad t \geq 0, \quad u(0) = x \in X$$

(where $A : X \rightarrow X$ is linear and continuous) has as the unique solution $u(t) = T(t)(x)$, with $T(t)(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(I - \frac{t}{n}A\right)^{-n}(x)$, the limit being in the p -norm in X . On the other hand, taking into account Remarks 9.13(1) and 9.13(2), it follows that the inhomogeneous Cauchy problem

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = A[u(t)] + f(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad u(0) = x \in X,$$

in general, seems to not have mild solution, in the sense that, even if we can define it as usual, it does not satisfy the differential equation.

- (5) It is easy to construct almost periodic functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ for which there exists $M(f)$ and the fundamental theorem of calculus holds. Indeed, any f of the form $c \cdot g$, where $c \in X$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is almost periodic, satisfies these two requirements.

Remark 9.14 The results in this section are contributions from Gal and N'Guérékata [30].

3 Applications

Firstly, we illustrate the idea of propagation of almost periodicity from the input data to the solutions of a simple differential equation in a p -Fréchet space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. In this sense, we present the following.

Theorem 9.15 *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a usual almost periodic function and $c \in X$. Then, the function $y : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ given by*

$$y(t) = c \cdot \int_{-\infty}^t e^{u-t} f(u) du, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

is B -almost periodic and satisfies the differential equation

$$y'(t) + y(t) = c \cdot f(t),$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Here, $y'(t)$ is defined as usual, that is, the limit in the metric $D(x, y) = \|x - y\|$, given by

$$y'(t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{y(t+h) - y(t)}{h}.$$

Proof Let us denote $F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{u-t} f(u) du$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By the classical theory, F is a usual almost periodic function. Then, by

$$\|c \cdot F(t) - c \cdot F(t + \tau)\| = |F(t) - F(t + \xi)|^p \cdot \|c\|,$$

it is immediate that $y(t) = c \cdot F(t)$ is B -almost periodic in the sense of Definition 3.1(i).

Since

$$F'(t) = f(t) - \int_{-\infty}^t e^{u-t} f(u) du, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

it easily follows that $y'(t) = c \cdot \left[f(t) - \int_{-\infty}^t e^{u-t} f(u) du \right]$ and that $y(t)$ satisfies the differential equation, which proves the theorem. \square

Bibliographical Notes The materials in this chapter are due to Gal and N'Guérékata [30]. As one can see, there are some open problems that need further investigations.