Does Cinnamtannin B-1 Protect or Destabilize Sperm DNA? Contradictory Results of SCSA[®] and TUNEL



J. B. García, P. J. Soria Meneses, L. Luque, I. Ochando, A. Fabregat, E. Garcia-Hernandez, A. J. Soler, R. Bernabeu, F. Martinez-Pastor, J. J. Garde, and M. R. Fernández-Santos

Background: Oxidative stress is known to interfere with the fertilization capacity of spermatozoa damaging sperm nuclear DNA and affecting the epigenetic profile of these cells. Cinnamtannin B-1 (CINB-1) is a naturally occurring A-type proanthocyanidin found in a limited number of plants including *Linderae umbellateae* and *L. nobilis*, which exhibit antioxidant properties. It has been proven its DNA protection by the Terminal dUTP Nick-End Labeling assay (TUNEL). The objective of this study is to evaluate the CINB-1 sperm DNA protection with the Sperm Cromatine Structure Assay (SCSA[®]) to evaluate the fragmentation after the oxidative stress produced by incubation at 37 °C (4 h).

Main questions: Does CINB-1 protects or destabilize sperm DNA?

Experimental Design: Ninety samples were evaluated from 15 sperm donors. Sperm samples were collected with a period of abstinence between 48 and 72 h and were incubated at 37 °C for 4 h with 0, 10, and 100 μ M of CINB-1. DNA integrity was checked by measuring the index of sperm DNA fragmentation (DFI), for which TUNEL assay and the SCSA[®] were used.

Main Results: The TUNEL assay found significant differences when comparing the samples with CINB-1 with the control at 4 h, observing a positive effect when decreasing the percentage of DFI (Control 4 h 15.12 ± 1.15 ; 10 µM 10.08 ± 0.93 ; 100 µM 9.44 ± 0.74 ; (p < 0.001)). The SCSA[®] showed significant differences

J. B. García (🖂) · P. J. Soria Meneses · M. R. Fernández-Santos

A. J. Soler · J. J. Garde Ciencia y Tecnología Agroforestal y Genética, University of Castilla La Mancha, Albacete, Spain

F. Martinez-Pastor Bioquímica, University of León, León, Spain

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

L. Björndahl et al. (eds.), XIIIth International Symposium on Spermatology, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-66292-9_10

Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Castilla La Mancha, Albacete, Spain e-mail: Julia.Bartolome@alu.uclm.es

L. Luque · I. Ochando · A. Fabregat · E. Garcia-Hernandez · R. Bernabeu Instituto Bernabeu, Alicante, Spain

(p < 0.001) between control and CINB-1 treatments (Control 0 h 21.91 ± 3.03; Control 4 h 18.69 ± 3.10; 10 µM 66.38 ± 9.38; 100 µM 92.85 ± 5.18 (p < 0.001)). We observe how the CINB-1 exerts a negative effect on the sperm, the greater the concentration of CNB-1, the greater the DFI.

Conclusions: The SCSA[®] and TUNEL techniques offer contradictory results regarding the protection of CINB-1 in fresh human semen samples. As other authors have already pointed out, it is probably that the TUNEL assay is a very insensitive methodology for assessing DNA damage in spermatozoa. This insensitivity has been related to the truncated base excision repair pathway in spermatozoa, lacking the abasic site endonuclease. These cells cannot create the 3'-OH termini that are required by the TUNEL assay.