Inverse Problems of Single Molecule Localization Microscopy

Montse Lopez-Martinez, Gwenael Mercier, Kamran Sadiq, Otmar Scherzer, Magdalena Schneider, John C. Schotland, Gerhard J. Schütz, and Roger Telschow

Abstract Single molecule localization microscopy is a recently developed superresolution imaging technique to visualize structural properties of single cells. The basic principle consists in chemically attaching fluorescent dyes to the molecules, which after excitation with a strong laser may emit light. To achieve superresolution, signals of individual fluorophores are separated in time. In this paper we follow the physical and chemical literature and derive mathematical models describing the propagation of light emitted from dyes in single molecule localization microscopy experiments via Maxwell's equations. This forms the basis of formulating inverse problems related to single molecule localization microscopy. We also show that the current status of reconstruction methods is a simplification of more general inverse problems for Maxwell's equations as discussed here.

M. Lopez-Martinez · M. Schneider · G. J. Schütz

Institute of Applied Physics, TU-Wien, Vienna, Austria e-mail: [lopez-martinez@iap.tuwien.ac.at;](mailto:lopez-martinez@iap.tuwien.ac.at) [schneider@iap.tuwien.ac.at;](mailto:schneider@iap.tuwien.ac.at) schuetz@iap.tuwien.ac.at

G. Mercier · R. Telschow Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria e-mail: [gwenael.mercier@univie.ac.at;](mailto:gwenael.mercier@univie.ac.at) roger.telschow@univie.ac.at

K. Sadiq (\boxtimes)

Johann Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics (RICAM), Linz, Austria e-mail: kamran.sadiq@ricam.oeaw.ac.at

O. Scherzer Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Johann Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics (RICAM), Linz, Austria e-mail: otmar.scherzer@univie.ac.at

J. C. Schotland Department of Mathematics and Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

e-mail: schotland@umich.edu

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

B. Kaltenbacher et al. (eds.), *Time-dependent Problems in Imaging and Parameter Identification*, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-57784-1_12

1 Introduction

The structure and organization of proteins in cells relate directly to their biological function. Many proteins associate with each other and form functional supramolecular arrangements known as oligomers. Protein oligomers appear in a wide range of crucial biological processes, such as signal transduction, ion transport or immune reactions. The accurate characterization of the supramolecular organization of proteins, including oligomer stoichiometry and its spatial distribution, is fundamental to fully understand these biological processes.

Several tools address the study of the structure of small biological units, most popular ones being x-ray crystallography and, most recently, cryo-electron microscopy, which have been used to characterize the structure of individual isolated proteins with a high level of detail $[27, 31]$ $[27, 31]$ $[27, 31]$. However, currently these tools cannot be applied for studying quaternary protein assemblies in their native cellular environment, due to a lack in chemical contrast: it is impossible to single out the molecular structures of interest within the plethora of other molecular species. A solution is provided by fluorescence microscopy, where a single protein species is addressed by specific fluorescence labelling directly in the cell. While fluorescence microscopy allows for imaging these labelled structures at a high signal to noise ratio, its resolution is limited to around 200 nm due to the diffraction of light. This prohibits a characterization of oligomeric arrangements with conventional light microscopy, since these structures are smaller than the resolution limit. In summary, the current life sciences are limited by a resolution gap, the upper limit of which is set by the diffraction limit of fluorescence microscopy, the lower limit by the difficulty to interpret crystallography experiments of oligomeric protein complexes.

In principle, the arrival of superresolution microscopy techniques allows to overcome this gap. Virtually all superresolution techniques are based on fluorescence microscopy, and as such have to overcome or circumvent the problem of optical diffraction. A fluorescent label emits light that is imaged by the microscopy system as a blurry dot. This dot of diffracted light is known as the point spread function (PSF). Its size *d* (the diameter of the essential support of the PSF) is determined by the light wavelength λ and by the numerical aperture (NA) of the objective. The angle θ_{max} is one half of the angular aperture (A). Neglecting lens aberrations, it can be described analytically by an Airy function, where the distance between the maximum and its first minimum is given by (see Eq. [\(96\)](#page-42-0))

$$
d = \frac{\lambda}{2n\sin(\theta_{\text{max}})} = \frac{\lambda}{2\,\text{NA}}
$$
 with n the refractive index of the medium. (1)

The size of the PSF determines the limit of resolution of conventional light microscopy. It was first described by Abbe [\[1\]](#page-52-0), and it is known as Abbe's limit of diffraction: If two fluorescent labels are closer than the distance d, their PSFs overlap, and they cannot be distinguished from each other. For fluorescence

Fig. 1 Illustration of the limit of light diffraction. (**a**) Crystallographic structure of the human NPC (pdb: 5A9Q) [\[34\]](#page-53-2) viewed with NGL viewer [\[28\]](#page-53-3). (**b**) Simplification of the NPC structure showing its eight symmetric units. (**c**) Representation of an ideal, diffraction-limited image of the structure in (**b**)

microscopy, with wavelengths in the visible spectrum and objectives with numerical apertures generally lower than 1*.*3, this resolution limit is in the order of 200 nm.

For oligomeric protein structures, the distance between their subunits is typically in the range of a few nanometers, far smaller than the diffraction limit. The signals from the individual subunits overlap, and cannot be resolved by conventional light microscopy. A prominent example for such a structure is the nuclear pore complex (NPC), which is a large protein complex located in the nuclear membrane of eukaryotic cells. Its structure is well characterized through electron microscopy [\[34\]](#page-53-2). NPCs are composed of around 30 proteins arranged in an 8-fold symmetry forming a pore that regulates the transport across the nuclear membrane. The overall size ranges approximately between 80 to 120 nm depending on the species [\[22\]](#page-53-4). As we see in Fig. [1,](#page-2-0) even if only one protein in each symmetrical subunit is labelled, diffraction leads to one blurry dot as the image of the complex, where we can neither identify the number of subunits nor their spatial arrangement.

Great efforts have been made to overcome this barrier, but it was not until the advent of superresolution microscopy that images with a resolution below the diffraction limit could be obtained. As a key asset, superresolution microscopy techniques circumvent Abbe's limit of diffraction by utilizing photophysical properties of the fluorescent labels: they keep adjacent molecules at different fluorescence states, making it possible to differentiate them from each other. This is achieved using different techniques that can be combined into two general approaches:

- 1. Techniques that use patterned illumination to control the fluorescence state of the labels, selecting which of them emit at a given moment. This approach includes, among others, Stimulated Emission Depletion (STED) [\[23,](#page-53-5) [24,](#page-53-6) [35\]](#page-53-7), Reversible Saturable Optical Fluorescence Transitions (RESOLFT) [\[18\]](#page-52-1), Minimal Photon Fluxes (MINFLUX) [\[4\]](#page-52-2) or Saturated Structured Illumination Microscopy (SSIM) [\[16\]](#page-52-3) methods.
- 2. Techniques that use properties of the fluorescent labels to stochastically switch their fluorescent state, so that neighbouring labels do not emit at the same

time. These techniques are commonly termed Single Molecule Localization Microscopy (SMLM) and include, among others, Stochastic Optical Reconstruction Microscopy (STORM) [\[29\]](#page-53-8), Photoactivated Localization Microscopy (PALM) [\[6\]](#page-52-4), and DNA- Points Accumulation for Imaging in Nanoscale Topography (DNA-PAINT) [\[21\]](#page-53-9). In SMLM, the signals of the individual fluorophores are sequentially localized and used to reconstruct an image with subdiffraction resolution.

In this work, we focus on SMLM techniques, where the working principle is described in Sect. [2.](#page-3-0) The objective of this paper is to derive mathematical models of light propagation through the imaging device and to formulate associated inverse problems. This sets the base for the formulation of the inverse problems of SMLM, which concerns the localization of the fluorescent labels with high localization precision and the reliable reconstruction of the imaged structures. We show that the currently used imaging workflow in SMLM can be viewed as solving an inverse problem for Maxwell's equations (see Sect. [7\)](#page-43-0). The inverse problem of SMLM has been previously investigated. In [\[9\]](#page-52-5), a model for light propagation based on Maxwell's equations is proposed and used to localize the positions and strengths of fluorescent dipoles. The model also accounts for the effects of the detection optics and employed a maximum likelihood reconstruction method. The inverse scattering problem with internal sources was investigated in [\[13\]](#page-52-6), as a means of achieving sub wavelength resolution in SMLM. A local inversion formula was derived and the inverse problem was shown to be well-posed.

2 Single Molecule Localization Microscopy (SMLM)

Principle of SMLM

An SMLM experiment starts with the labelling of the proteins of interest with a fluorophore. There are different strategies for labelling, depending on the type of fluorescent probe, the molecule of interest, and its location in the cell. It should be taken into account that no labelling strategy is perfect, and labelling efficiency will likely be below 100%. In addition, the size of the probe or of the attachment of the linker molecule, in the cases were an intermediate is necessary, can affect the accuracy of the measurement. The influence of these aspects will be addressed in later sections in more detail.

During an SMLM measurement, the experimental conditions are tuned such that most of the fluorophores are in their dark state, and in each frame, a small subset of them is stochastically activated. The active fluorophores are sufficiently isolated from each other so that their PSFs do not overlap. After some time these fluorophores switch to a dark state, and a new subset of fluorophores is stochastically activated. This is repeated thousands of times, to ensure the collection of signals from enough fluorophores. We can see a scheme of an ideal experiment

Fig. 2 Scheme of an ideal SMLM experiment. In a classical diffraction-limited image, all the fluorophores are active, and the structure underneath—in this example an NPC—is unresolvable. In contrast, in an SMLM experiment only a sparse subset of fluorophores is active per image. In the first frame $(t = 1)$ of this exemplary SMLM movie, only one fluorophore is active, while the others remain in their dark state. The PSF of this fluorophore, can be fitted mathematically, which yields the fluorophore localization. In $t = 2$, the first fluorophore returns to its dark state, and another fluorophore is activated and can now be localized. This is repeated until all fluorophores have been localized. All localizations are collected in a final reconstructed image, which corresponds to the structure shown in Fig. [1b](#page-2-0)

in Fig. [2.](#page-4-0) The necessity for sparse labels per image and for enough localizations to reconstruct the structure results in movies with tens of thousands of frames. After data collection, all signals in all frames are fitted individually to obtain the coordinates of the fluorescent probes. All localizations are then collected and used to reconstruct a superresolution image.

The fluorophores used in SMLM are able to spontaneously change their fluorescence state. This property is commonly known as photoswitching or blinking. One dark-bright-dark cycle is usually called a blink. Photoswitching mechanisms are different for different kinds of probes and can be the result of conformational changes in the dye molecule, chemical changes, or binding events. Typically, a combination of light illumination and the choice of special chemical conditions is used for deactivation, i.e. the transitions to a long-lived dark state. The activation, i.e. the transition back from this state, is usually light-induced, although other phenomena may apply (for example, binding events in the case of DNA-PAINT microscopy). An extensive review of available SMLM fluorophores and their properties can be found in [\[26\]](#page-53-10).

In an ideal experiment, each fluorophore undergoes exactly one blink, in which it emits a high number of photons, and it remains in a dark state for the rest of the measurement. Commonly, however, fluorophores undergo multiple blinking events, or remain inactive during the whole imaging procedure. These non-ideal behaviours directly influence the quality of the final image, and they should be considered when analysing the data, as will be detailed in the next sections. The emission behaviour of a fluorescent label, and therefore the quality of the collected data, will depend largely on the kind of fluorophore used, the labelling strategy followed, and the environmental conditions of the fluorophore [\[25\]](#page-53-11).

Fitting of Localizations

In an SMLM experiment, thousands of individual frames are recorded. Obtaining the final image requires post-processing of the recorded raw data. All blinking events are analyzed and the positions of the molecules are determined by fitting their signals. A variety of algorithms and software packages exist that can be applied to analyze the data [\[30\]](#page-53-12). Often, a Gaussian function is fitted to the detected intensity data using a maximum likelihood or least squares method. The coordinates of the center of the Gaussian peak are then taken as the position of the molecule. Finally, the localizations obtained from all recorded frames are combined to yield the reconstructed image.

Localization Error and Bias

The achievable resolution in SMLM depends on how well the position of a molecule can be estimated by fitting its PSF. The fitting procedure is influenced by various factors of signal quality, including brightness, background noise and the pixel size of the detector. The error in the estimation of the molecule position follows a normal distribution. Its standard deviation is referred to as localization precision *σ*loc. The mean of the error distribution is the localization accuracy μ_{loc} . In the optimal case, it holds that $\mu_{loc} = 0$, i.e. the estimation is unbiased. However, in practice a bias in the localization procedure may be present, e.g. due to distortions of the PSF. A bias may also arise from the labeling procedure. The size of some labels itself can be rather large, which displaces the position of the fluorophore from the actual molecule of interest by up to tens of nanometers. Various formulas for the estimation of the localization precision σ_{loc} have been proposed in the literature [\[10\]](#page-52-7). The theoretical limit for the best achievable localization precision is given by the Cramér-Rao lower bound (CRLB), which is critically dependent on the collected number of photons [\[32\]](#page-53-13).

Blinking and Overcounting

In SMLM, fluorophores switch between a fluorescent on-state and a non-fluorescent off-state. The transitions between the two states occur stochastically. Ideally, each fluorophore is detected exactly once during the whole imaging procedure, i.e. it is in the on-state in exactly one frame.

However, this is unlikely in a real experimental situation. Due to the stochastic nature of transitions between the states, fluorescent dyes can stay in the onstate for several consecutive frames and, moreover, repeatedly switch between the on- and the off-state. Thus, a single molecule may be detected multiple times.

Fig. 3 Exemplary time trace for a fluorophore. The fluorophore can switch between a dark offstate and a bright on-state. Indicated are the on- and off-time $(t_{\text{on}}, t_{\text{off}})$, representing the number of consecutive frames the molecule is in its bright or dark state, respectively, and the number of detections *N*

However, the position coordinates assigned to each detection slightly differ due to localization errors. Hence, it is not possible to distinguish whether localizations belong to one blinking molecule or to different molecules. Overcounting of single protein molecules may also occur as a consequence of non-stoichiometric labeling: Depending on the labeling procedure, a single molecule of interest does not necessarily carry one fluorescent dye only, but may be linked to multiple dyes.

The problem of overcounting is depicted in Fig. [5.](#page-8-0) Here, individual molecules of the NPC are assumed to be detected multiple times during the imaging procedure, leading to a misrepresentation of the actual structure.

Blinking statistics can be determined experimentally by labeling at sufficiently low concentrations of the dye, so that localizations from individual molecules of interest can be well separated. Analysis of the acquired localization data allows to determine statistics for the number of detections of individual molecules of interest, the duration of emission bursts (t_{on}) and the duration of dark times (t_{off}) . In Fig. [3,](#page-6-0) a schematic of a time trace of occupied states for an individual molecule is shown. An exemplary result for the blinking statistics of a fluorescent dye is depicted in Fig. [4.](#page-7-0)

A simple approach to account for multiple detections of the same molecule is to merge localizations that occur in close spatial and temporal proximity [\[2\]](#page-52-8). However, the results of this method highly depend on the chosen thresholds. Moreover, it cannot account for long-lived dark states. Other post-processing algorithms rely on experimentally derived blinking statistics in order to correct for overcounting. However, care must be taken here, because photophysics of fluorophores, in particular blinking, depends on the local environment of the dye and may likely vary under different experimental conditions [\[25\]](#page-53-11). An overview over different methods for correcting overcounting artifacts is given in [\[5\]](#page-52-9).

Fig. 4 Experimentally derived blinking statistics for Alexa Fluor 647, a commonly used fluorophore for SMLM. Shown are histograms for the number of detections *N* of a single fluorophore (a), the on-time t_{on} (b), and the off-time t_{off} (c)

Forward Simulation of SMLM Localization Maps

In the following, we describe the main steps in the simulation of localization maps obtained by a 2D SMLM experiment. Figure [5](#page-8-0) shows simulation results of the spatial arrangement for the example of NPCs.

The actual question of interest is the structural arrangement of molecules in a cell membrane. The first step in the simulation is therefore to spread the position of molecules on the region of interest according to the desired distribution. For example, the molecules can be spread randomly, in clusters, or as oligomers of a certain shape. The assigned positions represent ground truth.

As a second step, the simulated molecules are fluorescently labeled. In real experimental conditions, not all molecules of interest are detected: some proteins are not bound to a dye, or the dye is never detected during the imaging time. In the simulations this is accounted for by adjusting the mean labeling efficiency, a parameter in the interval [0*,* 1] that determines the mean fraction of molecules observed in the experiment. Labeled molecules are selected randomly from all simulated molecules according to the chosen distribution.

Fig. 5 Simulation of a SMLM experiment for the nuclear pore complex (NPC). (**a**) True spatial arrangement of molecules. The distance between two neighboring molecules of the NPC was set to 40 nm. The molecules are labeled with fluorescent probes. (**b**) with a labeling efficiency of 80%. The SMLM experiment was simulated with a localization precision of $\sigma_{\text{loc}} = 5$ nm, and the blinking statistics from Fig. [4.](#page-7-0) (**c**) Obtained localization map. Due to overcounting and the finite localization precision, individual molecules are observed multiple times

Next, overcounting has to be included in the simulation. As described above, a protein molecule can be detected multiple times during the whole imaging procedure. To account for this in the simulations, the number of detections of each molecule of interest, the frame of its first appearance and the duration of on- and offtimes are included. For each labeled molecule, these variables are drawn randomly either from experimentally acquired blinking statistics or from specified theoretical distributions. This allows to assign to each molecule a list of those frames, in which it is detected.

The last step in the simulation is to account for measurement errors. For each detection of a molecule, its true simulated position is displaced by adding a localization error, which is drawn randomly from a normal distribution. The mean and the standard deviation of the error distribution correspond to the localization accuracy and localization precision, respectively. Ideally, the mean value is zero, i.e. the localization is accurate. However, inaccuracy may occur, e.g. due to certain properties of the labeling procedure [\[10\]](#page-52-7). Localization precision depends mainly on the collected number of photons and background noise. Typical values that are achieved in SMLM experiments are commonly around 10 nm, but precisions of 1 nm have been claimed.

The final result of the simulation is the localization map, i.e. a list of localization coordinates with the according frame numbers of detection. An exemplary simulated localization map for the NPC is shown in Fig. [5.](#page-8-0) The obtained localizations are the basis for further analysis.

In the next section we model the experiment mathematically. In order to do so we summarize essential notation first in Table [1.](#page-9-0)

Symbol	Description		Reference Relations	Units
d_0	Maximum thickness of lens			\mathfrak{m}
d	Thickness of lens (function)	Fig. 8		\mathfrak{m}
	of height)			
f_L	Focal length of the tube lens Fig. 8			m
f_{obj}	Focal length of the objective Fig. 8			\boldsymbol{m}
λ	Wavelength	Eq. (1)		\mathfrak{m}
$n=1$	Refractive index in vacuum	Eq. (1)		—
n_l	Refractive index of lens	Eq. (88)		
d	Resolution limit	Eq. (1)		m
NA	Numerical aperture	Eq. (1)	$d=\frac{\lambda}{2N\Delta}$	
	$\theta_{\text{max}} \in [0, \pi/2]$ Angle of aperture	Eq. (1)	$NA = n \sin(\theta_{\text{max}})$	
ϵ_0	Electric permittivity (vac.)	Eq. (12)		F/m
μ_0	Magnetic permeability (vac.)	Eq. (13)		H/m Henries per m
ω	Wave frequency			$Hz = 1/s$
\boldsymbol{c}	Light speed (vac.)	Eq. (22)		m/s
$\kappa, \kappa_{\varepsilon}$	Wave number	Eq. (22)	$\kappa = \frac{\omega}{c} = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$	1/m
χ	Susceptibility	Eq. (21)		$\qquad \qquad$
Ψ	Dipole	Eq. (36)		
Ψ_p, Ψ_s	Dipole components	Eq. (58)		

Table 1 Physical parameters used in the paper and dimensions

3 Mathematical Prerequisites

In what follows we summarize some basic mathematical framework:

3.1 Distributions

In order to define distributions (generalized functions) we need to introduce appropriate function spaces first:

Definition 1 The *Schwartz-space* of functions from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{C} is defined as

$$
\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}) := \left\{ \phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}) : \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \|\phi\|_{\alpha, \beta} := \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\mathbf{x}^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} \phi(\mathbf{x})| < \infty \right\}.
$$
 (2)

Accordingly the *Schwartz-space* of *vector valued functions* is defined by

$$
\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^m) := \left\{ \Phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^m) : \Phi_i \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}), i = 1, \dots, m \right\}.
$$
 (3)

The space of linear functionals $T : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^m) \to \mathbb{C}$ for which there exist $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and some $C > 0$ such that for all $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^m)$ the following inequality holds

$$
|\langle T, \Phi \rangle| := |T\Phi| \le C \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{|\alpha| \le k, |\beta| \le l} \|\Phi_i\|_{\alpha, \beta}
$$
 (4)

is called *space of tempered distributions* and is denoted by $S'(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^m)$.

Definition 2 (Causal Distribution) A tempered distribution $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$ is called *causal* if its support in time is included in $[0, +\infty)$. That is *T* is causal if and only if for all test functions $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathbb{C})$ which satisfy

$$
\phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \geq 0, \ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1},
$$

we have

$$
\langle T,\phi\rangle=0.
$$

For causal distributions, the quantity $| \langle T, \phi \rangle |$ can be estimated as follows.

Lemma 1 *Let* $T \in S'(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$ *be causal. Then, there exists a constant* $C > 0$ *(which depends only on <i>l)* such that for all test functions $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$ the *following estimate holds:*

$$
|\langle T, \phi \rangle| \leq C \sup_{|\alpha| \leq k, |\beta| \leq l} \sup_{\substack{t \geq -1 \\ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}}} |(\mathbf{x}, t)^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} \phi(\mathbf{x}, t)|. \tag{5}
$$

Proof Let *x* be a $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ cut-off function, that satisfies $x(t) = 1$ for $t \ge 0$ and $\chi(t) = 0$ for $t \le -1$. Then, for all test functions $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, we define $\psi = \kappa \phi$. For $t \ge 0$, we have $\psi - \phi = 0$, which means, since *T* is causal, that $\langle T, \psi - \phi \rangle = 0$, or in other words,

$$
\langle T, \phi \rangle = \langle T, \psi \rangle. \tag{6}
$$

Now, let us use the definition of tempered distribution for *T* : there exist $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\ddot{C} > 0$ such that

$$
|\langle T, \psi \rangle| \leq \tilde{C} \sup_{|\alpha| \leq k, |\beta| \leq l} \sup_{(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}} |(\mathbf{x}, t)^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} \psi(\mathbf{x}, t)|. \tag{7}
$$

Now, the function ψ has support in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times [-1, +\infty)$, which means that the last inequality can be rewritten as

$$
|\langle T,\psi\rangle| \leq C \sup_{|\alpha|\leq k, |\beta|\leq l} \sup_{(\mathbf{x},t)\in\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times[-1,+\infty)} |(\mathbf{x},t)^{\alpha}\partial^{\beta}\psi(\mathbf{x},t)|.
$$

Finally, denoting $\beta = (\beta_t, \beta_{x_1}, \cdots, \beta_{x_{n-1}})$, one can expand

$$
\partial^{\beta} \psi = \sum_{i=0}^{\beta_t} {\beta_t \choose i} x^{(i)}(t) \partial^{(\beta_t - i, \beta_{x_1}, \cdots, \beta_{x_{n-1}})} \phi(\mathbf{x}, t).
$$

Since the function χ is fixed (independent of ϕ), the quantity

$$
C_l = \sup_{i \leq l} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\varkappa^{(i)}(t)|
$$

is finite and independent of ϕ and we have

$$
|\partial^{\beta} \psi(\mathbf{x}, t)| \leq 2^{l} C_{l} \sup_{|\beta| \leq l} |\partial^{\beta} \phi(\mathbf{x}, t)|
$$

and we can finally conclude, taking the supremum on $t \geq -1$, that

$$
\sup_{|\alpha| \leq k, |\beta| \leq l} \sup_{(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times [-1, +\infty)} |(\mathbf{x}, t)^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} \psi(\mathbf{x}, t)|
$$

\$\leq 2^{l} C_l \sup_{|\alpha| \leq k, |\beta| \leq l} \sup_{(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times [-1, +\infty)} |(\mathbf{x}, t)^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} \phi(\mathbf{x}, t)|.

Since ψ has a support included in $[-1, +\infty)$, one can in the left-hand side of the inequality take the supremum over $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Plugging this inequality into Eq. [\(7\)](#page-10-0) and recalling Eq. (6) , we get Eq. (5) . \Box

We need to notationally differ between *δ*-distributions in different dimensions:

Definition 3 (δ **-Distributions)** δ : $\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ denotes the three-dimensional δ distribution. $\tilde{\delta}$: R \rightarrow R denotes the one-dimensional *δ*-distribution. For $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\tilde{\delta}_{r_0}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\tilde{\delta}_{r_0}(r) = \tilde{\delta}(r - r_0)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$. $\tilde{\delta}' : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ denotes the derivative of the one-dimensional *δ*-distribution.

3.2 Fourier- and k-Transform

The most important mathematical tool in this paper is the Fourier-transform:

Definition 4 (Temporal Fourier-Transform) Let $T \in S'(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{C})$. We define its *Fourier-transform* \widehat{T} by its action on a test function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{C})$

$$
\langle \widehat{T}, \phi \rangle := \langle T, \check{\phi} \rangle \tag{8}
$$

where

Inverse Problems of Single Molecule Localization Microscopy 335

$$
\check{\phi}(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\xi=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\xi t} \phi(\xi) d\xi.
$$

As defined, the operator $T \mapsto \hat{T}$ is well defined and continuous from $S'(\mathbb{R} \times$ \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , C) into itself [\[15\]](#page-52-10) with inverse $T \mapsto \tilde{T}$ with for all test functions ϕ ,

$$
\left\langle \check{T},\phi\right\rangle :=\left\langle T,\widehat{\phi}\right\rangle
$$

where

$$
\widehat{\phi}(\xi) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\xi t} \phi(t) dt
$$

is the Fourier-transform on the Schwartz space (it coincides with the one given in Eq. (8)).

The Fourier-transform in spatial variables is called the *k*-transform:

Definition 5 (*k*-Transform) Let
$$
\mathbf{r} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3
$$
, and $\mathbf{k} = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

• For $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, let us denote $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}^{(i)} =$ $\sqrt{2}$ \mathbf{I} \tilde{k}_1 \tilde{k}_2 \tilde{k}_3 \setminus $\in \mathbb{R}^3$, where $\tilde{k}_j =$

$$
\begin{cases} r_j & \text{if } j \neq i \\ k_i & \text{if } j = i \end{cases}
$$
, for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

The *k*-transform of the Fourier-transform of **V** : $\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^3$ in direction x_i , is defined by

$$
\mathcal{F}_i[\mathbf{V}](\tilde{\mathbf{k}}^{(i)}) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ik_ir_i} \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{r}) dr_i.
$$

• For
$$
i, \hat{i} \in \{1, 2, 3\}
$$
, let $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}^{(i,\hat{i})} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{k}_1 \\ \tilde{k}_2 \\ \tilde{k}_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, where $\tilde{k}_j = \begin{cases} r_j & \text{if } j \neq i \text{ and } j \neq \hat{i} \\ k_i & \text{if } j = i \text{ or } j = \hat{i} \end{cases}$,

for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

The *k*-transform of the Fourier-transform of **V** : $\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^3$ in direction (x_i, x_j) is defined by

$$
\mathcal{F}_{ij}[\mathbf{V}](\tilde{\mathbf{k}}^{(i,j)}) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i(k_ir_i+k_jr_j)} \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{r}) dr_i dr_j.
$$

• The *k*-transform of the Fourier-transform of $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^3$ in all three directions is defined by

$$
\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{V}](\mathbf{k}) := \mathcal{F}_1[\mathcal{F}_2[\mathcal{F}_3[\mathbf{V}]]](\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}.
$$

Remark 1 From Definition [3](#page-11-1) it follows that for $\mathbf{r}^0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ fixed

$$
\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{r} \to \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^0)](\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}^0}.
$$
 (9)

3.3 Coordinate Systems

Definition 6 (Spherical Coordinates) Associated to **r** = $\sqrt{2}$ \mathbf{I} *r*1 *r*2 *r*3 λ $\in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the polar coordinate representation $(r = |\mathbf{r}|, \theta, \varphi) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \pi] \times [0, 2\pi)$ such that

$$
\mathbf{r} = r \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\theta) \cos(\varphi) \\ \sin(\theta) \sin(\varphi) \\ \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} . \tag{10}
$$

4 Mathematical Modeling of Light Propagation

We consider an optical single molecule localization microscopy experiment. Therefore a mathematical modeling of the light propagation via Maxwell's equations is appropriate: We consider macroscopic Maxwell's equations (in SI units), in order to model the interaction of the incoming light with the sample. These equations describe the time evolution of the *electric field* $\mathbf{E} : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ and the *magnetic field* $\mathbf{B} : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ for a given *charge density* $\rho : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and an *electric current* $\mathbf{J}: \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}; t) = \rho(\mathbf{r}, t), \qquad \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3, t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{11a}
$$

$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}; t) = 0, \qquad \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3, t \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad (11b)
$$

$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\partial_t \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}; t), \qquad \qquad \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3, t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{11c}
$$

$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}; t) = \partial_t \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}; t) + \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}; t), \qquad \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3, t \in \mathbb{R}.
$$
 (11d)

Here

$$
\mathbf{D} \equiv \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P} \tag{12}
$$

denotes the *electric displacement* and

$$
\mathbf{H} \equiv \frac{1}{\mu_0} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{M} \tag{13}
$$

denotes the *effective magnetic field*, related to the *electric* and *magnetic polarization fields* **P** and **M**, respectively. All along this paper the differential operators $\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}$, $\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}$, $\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times$, Δ are meant with respect to the variables **r**. More background on modeling of electromagnetic wave propagation can be found in [\[20\]](#page-52-11).

In the following we make a series of assumptions for simplifying Maxwell's equations:

4.1 Material Properties

Biological specimens as we are considering in single molecule localization microscopy experiments can be assumed to be non-magnetizable:

Assumption (Non-Magnetizeable Medium) A medium is *non-magnetizable* if

$$
\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}; t) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3, t \in \mathbb{R}.
$$
 (14)

Ч

Remark 2 In single molecule localization microscopy experiments, fluorescent dyes are attached to molecules of interest and upon excitation of the probe with a strong laser impulse they emit light. The mathematical modeling of this process is omitted and we are considering only the influence on a macroscopic level, meaning that charge density and currents are induced. A detailed mathematical modeling of the chemical processes would require a modeling with *microsopic* Maxwell's equations, which is omitted here for the sake of simplicity. In a similar context microscopic Maxwell's equations have been considered in Optical Coherence Imaging in $[11]$.

On a macroscopic level, from Eqs. [\(11a\)](#page-13-0)–[\(11d\)](#page-13-1) it follows from Assumption [4.1](#page-14-2) that

$$
\partial_t \rho(\mathbf{r}; t) = -\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}; t) \text{ for all } \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3, t \in \mathbb{R}.
$$
 (15)

Taking into account Assumption 4.1 and combining Eqs. [\(11c\)](#page-13-2) and [\(11d\)](#page-13-1) we obtain the *vector Helmholtz equation* for the *electric field* **E**:

$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}; t) + \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_{tt} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}; t) = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_0 c^2} \partial_{tt} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}; t) - \frac{1}{\epsilon_0 c^2} \partial_t \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}; t) \text{ for all } \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3, t \in \mathbb{R}
$$
\n(16)

where $\mu_0 \epsilon_0 = 1/c^2$, with *c* being the speed of light in vacuum.

Remark 3 If the right-hand side of Eq. [\(16\)](#page-15-0) vanishes then **E** describes the propagation of the electric field in vacuum. The right-hand side models the interaction of light and matter and the effect of the external charges.

Equation [\(16\)](#page-15-0) is understood in a distributional sense. That means that for every $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^3)$, and with $\Phi \otimes \Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^3)$ denoting the vector valued function consisting of componentwise multiplication,

$$
\langle \mathbf{E}, (\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \boldsymbol{\Phi}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\Psi} \rangle + \left\langle \mathbf{E}, \frac{1}{c^2} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \otimes \partial_{tt} \boldsymbol{\Psi} \right\rangle = - \left\langle \mathbf{P}, \frac{1}{\epsilon_0 c^2} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \otimes \partial_{tt} \boldsymbol{\Psi} \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathbf{J}, \frac{1}{\epsilon_0 c^2} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \otimes \partial_t \boldsymbol{\Psi} \right\rangle.
$$
\n(17)

4.2 Linear Optics

In linear optics one assumes a linear relation between the electric polarization **P** and the electric field **E**.

Assumption (Polarization Response Function in Linear Optics) **P** and **E** satisfy the linear relation,

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r};t) = \epsilon_0 \int_{\tau=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{r};t,\tau) \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},\tau) d\tau,
$$
 (18)

where $(t; \tau) \to \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{r}; t, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ is a matrix valued function that averages the electric field over time. T is called the (linear) *polarization response function*. For fixed **r** the matrix valued function $(t; \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{r}; t, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ is supposed to satisfy the following assumptions:

Causality No polarization is observed before the field is induced, i.e.

$$
\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{r}; t, \tau) = 0, \quad \text{ for all } t \leq \tau.
$$

Time invariance means that $(t; \tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{r}; t, \tau)$ is just a function of $t - \tau$. That is, we can write

$$
\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{r}; t - \tau) = \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{r}; t, \tau), \quad \text{ for all } t, \tau \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

Here we use a slight abuse of notation and identify notationally the two functions τ on the left-hand side and right-hand side.

Remark 4 Let Assumption [4.2](#page-15-1) hold, then $T(\mathbf{r}; t - \tau) = 0$ for $t \leq \tau$.

We now move on to the Fourier-Laplace domain. In order to do so we postulate causality assumptions, which we assume to hold all along the remaining paper:

Assumption (Causality) The functions **J**, **P**, **E** (and thus in turn ρ , **D**, **H**) are meaning that

$$
\mathbf{J}(t; \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{P}(t; \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{E}(t; \mathbf{r}) = 0 \text{ for all } t < 0, \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{19}
$$

Let Assumption [4.2](#page-15-1) hold (in particular we assume that $\mathcal T$ is time invariant and causal), and assume that **J***,* **P***,***E** are causal, then from the Fourier convolution theorem it follows that

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) = \epsilon_0 \chi(\mathbf{r};\omega) \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r};\omega), \quad \text{ for all } \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \omega \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{20}
$$

where

$$
\chi(\mathbf{r}; \omega) = \int_{\tau = -\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{r}; \tau) e^{-i\omega \tau} d\tau = \sqrt{2\pi} \widehat{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{r}; \omega) \in \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3} \text{ for all } \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \omega \in \mathbb{R},
$$
\n(21)

is called the linear *electric dipolar susceptibility*.

We denote the wave number by

$$
\kappa(\omega) := \frac{\omega}{c} \text{ and more general } \kappa_{\varepsilon} := \kappa_{\varepsilon}(\omega) = \frac{\omega + i\varepsilon}{c} \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0. \tag{22}
$$

The application of the Fourier-transform to the vector Helmholtz Equation [\(16\)](#page-15-0) gives the following equation for the Fourier-transform $\hat{\mathbf{E}} : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}^3$ of the clearing field: electric field:

$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}; \omega) - \kappa^2(\omega) \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}; \omega) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \kappa^2(\omega) \widehat{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{r}; \omega) - \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega}{\epsilon_0 c^2} \widehat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}; \omega), \quad \text{ for all } \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \omega \in \mathbb{R}
$$

and consequently by using Eq. (20) we get

$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}; \omega) - \kappa^2(\omega)(\mathbb{I} + \chi(\mathbf{r}; \omega))\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}; \omega) = -\frac{\mathrm{i}\omega}{\epsilon_0 c^2}\widehat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}; \omega) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \omega \in \mathbb{R},
$$
\n(23)

where $\mathbb{I} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ is the identity matrix.

Ч

4.3 Isotropic Media

Additional simplifications of Maxwell's equations can be made when the medium is assumed to be *isotropic*:

Assumption (Isotropic Medium) Let Assumptions [4.1](#page-14-2) and [4.2](#page-15-1) hold. The medium is *isotropic* if the susceptibility is a multiple of the identity, that is it can be written as $\chi(\mathbf{r}; t)$ $\in \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3}$ with $\chi(\mathbf{r}; t) \in \mathbb{C}$. With a slight abuse of notation, we identify the diagonal matrix and the diagonal entry. \Box

4.4 Homogeneous Material

We consider an isotropic, non magnetizable material with a linear polarization response (that is, Assumptions [4.1,](#page-14-2) [4.2,](#page-15-1) and [4.3](#page-17-0) are satisfied), which in addition is *homogeneous*:

Assumption (Homogeneous Material) An isotropic, non magnetizable material with a linear polarization response is *homogeneous* if $\chi \equiv 0$. \Box

For a homogeneous material (that is $\chi \equiv 0$) it follows from Eq. [\(23\)](#page-16-3) that

$$
-\frac{i\omega}{\epsilon_0 c^2}\hat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) - \kappa^2(\omega)\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r};\omega).
$$
 (24)

Thus, by using the vector identity

$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \widehat{\mathbf{E}} = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{E}} - \Delta_{\mathbf{r}} \widehat{\mathbf{E}},
$$

we get from Eq. [\(24\)](#page-17-1)

$$
-\frac{i\omega}{\epsilon_0 c^2}\hat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) - \Delta_{\mathbf{r}}\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) - \kappa^2(\omega)\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r};\omega).
$$
 (25)

Now, by using Eq. [\(12\)](#page-14-0) and the assumption on homogeneity, $\chi \equiv 0$, which together with Eq. [\(20\)](#page-16-2) implies that $P \equiv 0$, we get

$$
D=\varepsilon_0 E+P=\varepsilon_0 E.
$$

This, together with Eq. (25) shows that

$$
-\frac{i\omega}{\epsilon_0 c^2}\hat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) - \Delta_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) - \kappa^2(\omega) \hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r};\omega).
$$
 (26)

Now, by using Eq. [\(11a\)](#page-13-0) in Fourier domain we get from Eq. [\(26\)](#page-17-3)

$$
-\frac{i\omega}{\epsilon_0 c^2}\hat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \widehat{\rho}(\mathbf{r};\omega) - \Delta_{\mathbf{r}} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) - \kappa^2(\omega) \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r};\omega).
$$
 (27)

Finally, by using Eq. [\(15\)](#page-14-3) in Fourier domain,

$$
i\omega\widehat{\rho} = -\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}; \omega) \tag{28}
$$

in Eq. (27) we get

$$
-\frac{i\omega}{\epsilon_0 c^2}\hat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r};\omega)=-\frac{1}{i\omega\epsilon_0}\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r};\omega)-\Delta_{\mathbf{r}}\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r};\omega)-\kappa^2(\omega)\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r};\omega).
$$

In other words, we have for every $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\Delta_{\mathbf{r}} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}; \omega) + \kappa^2(\omega) \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}; \omega) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{\omega}{c^2} + \frac{1}{\omega} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \right) \widehat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}; \omega)
$$

$$
= \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega}{\epsilon_0 c^2} \widehat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}; \omega) + \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \widehat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}; \omega). \tag{29}
$$

For any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, a solution of the nonhomogeneous Eq. [\(29\)](#page-18-1) is given by (see [\[33\]](#page-53-14)):

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) = \tau \widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{+}(\mathbf{r};\omega) + (1-\tau)\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{-}(\mathbf{r};\omega) \quad \text{for all} \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \ \omega \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{where}
$$
\n
$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\pm}(\mathbf{r};\omega) := -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \mathcal{G}_{\omega}^{\pm}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') \left(\frac{\mathrm{i}\omega}{\epsilon_{0}c^{2}}\widehat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}';\omega) + \frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\widehat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}';\omega)\right) d\mathbf{r}'
$$
\n(30)

with Green's functions:

$$
\mathcal{G}_{\omega}^{\pm}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{e^{\pm i\kappa(\omega)|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}}{4\pi |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}.
$$
(31)

The physically meaningful solution is, as we motivate below, a convolution with the *retarded Green's* function G_{ω}^{+} : That is, the retarded solution of the Helmholtz Equation [\(23\)](#page-16-3) is given by Eq. [\(30\)](#page-18-2) with $\tau = 1$ (see [\[33\]](#page-53-14)):

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{G}_{\omega}^+(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') \left(\frac{\mathrm{i}\omega}{\epsilon_0 c^2} \widehat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}';\omega) + \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \widehat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}';\omega) \right) d\mathbf{r}'.\tag{32}
$$

Remark 5 With a slight abuse of notation we identify G^+_{ω} with G_{ω} and \mathbf{E}^+_{ω} with \mathbf{E}_{ω} , since we are only interested in the retarded solutions.

5 Attenuating Solution and Initial Conditions

Definition 7 (Attenuating and Causal Solution of Eq. [\(23\)](#page-16-3)**)** Let *ε >* 0 and $\kappa_{\varepsilon}(\omega) = \frac{\omega + i\varepsilon}{c}$ as defined in Eq. [\(22\)](#page-16-0).

• Then, we call \mathbf{E}_{ε} the *approximate attenuating solution* of Eq. [\(23\)](#page-16-3) if it satisfies the equation

$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}; \omega) - \kappa_{\varepsilon}^{2}(\omega)(\mathbb{I} + \chi(\mathbf{r}; \omega))\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}; \omega) = -\frac{\mathrm{i}\omega - \varepsilon}{\epsilon_{0}c^{2}}\widehat{\mathbf{J}}_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}; \omega),
$$
\n(33)

where non-attenuating solution and attenuating solution is related by Eq. [\(35\)](#page-19-0).

• We call \mathbf{E}_{ε} a *causal attenuating solution* of Eq. [\(23\)](#page-16-3) if \mathbf{E}_{ε} (the inverse Fourier-
transform of $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}$) is a smood distribution transform of **E***ε*) is a *causal* distribution.

In the following we show that \mathbf{E}_{ε} approximates the retarded solution of the vector-
Helmhaltz Equation (22) in a distributional sensor Helmholtz Equation [\(32\)](#page-18-3) in a distributional sense:

Theorem 1 *For every* $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}$ *be the solution of Eq.* [\(33\)](#page-19-1)*, the causal attenuating wave equation, and let* **E** *be the retarded solution of Eq.* [\(23\)](#page-16-3)*, which is given by Eq.* [\(32\)](#page-18-3)*, then*

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \to 0]{} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}.\tag{34}
$$

Proof We define for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$
\mathbf{E}_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}; t) = \alpha_{\varepsilon}(t)\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}; t) \text{ where } \alpha_{\varepsilon}(t) := e^{-\varepsilon t}.
$$
 (35)

Because **E** is causal, \mathbf{E}_ε is a tempered distribution and since **E** is a solution of Eq. [\(23\)](#page-16-3), it follows that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}$ is a solution of Eq. [\(33\)](#page-19-1) and in particular it is also causal. We show that $\mathbf{E}_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \to 0]{\mathcal{S}'} \mathbf{E}$ and because the Fourier transform (see Eq. [\(8\)](#page-11-0)) is a bounded operator on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^3)$ (see [\[15,](#page-52-10) Theorem 5.17]), the assertion, Eq. [\(34\)](#page-19-2), then follows.

To prove that $\mathbf{E}_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \to 0]{\mathcal{S}'} \mathbf{E}$, we need to show that for all $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^3)$, $\langle \mathbf{E}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{\Phi} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{\Phi} \rangle$. Noting that $\langle \mathbf{E}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{\Phi} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{E}, \alpha_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{\Phi} \rangle$, we therefore need to show that $\langle \mathbf{E}, \Phi - \alpha_{\varepsilon} \Phi \rangle \to 0$. Lemma [1](#page-10-3) shows that, because **E** is causal, one can write

$$
|\langle \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{\Phi} - \alpha_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{\Phi} \rangle| \leq C \sup_{\alpha \leq k, \beta \leq l} \sup_{t \geq -1} |t^{\alpha} \partial_t^{\beta} (\mathbf{\Phi} - \alpha_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{\Phi})(t)|.
$$

Now, note that for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\partial_t^{\beta} \left[(e^{-\varepsilon t} - 1) \Phi(t) \right] = -\partial_t^{\beta} \Phi(t) + \sum_{i=0}^{\beta} {\binom{\beta}{i}} (-\varepsilon)^i e^{-\varepsilon t} \partial_t^{\beta - i} \Phi(t)
$$

$$
= (e^{-\varepsilon t} - 1) \partial_t^{\beta} \Phi(t) + \varepsilon A_{\varepsilon}(t) e^{-\varepsilon t}.
$$

where A_{ε} is a polynomial (with coefficients uniformly bounded with ε) in the derivatives of Φ up to the order $\beta - 1$. Since the derivatives of Φ are Schwartz functions, $\sup_{t \geq -1} |t^{\alpha} A_{\varepsilon}(t)|$ is then uniformly bounded in ε , which implies

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{t \ge -1} |t^{\alpha} \varepsilon A_{\varepsilon}(t) e^{-\varepsilon t}| = 0.
$$

Now, $B(t) := \partial_t^\beta \Phi$ is also a Schwartz function, which means that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exists C_k such that $\sup_t |(t^{k+2} + 1)B(t)| \leq C_k$. It then follows that for all $t \geqslant -1$,

$$
\left|t^{\alpha}(e^{-\varepsilon t}-1)B(t)\right|
$$

=
$$
\left|\frac{t^{\alpha}}{t^{\alpha+2}+1}(e^{-\varepsilon t}-1)(t^{\alpha+2}+1)B(t)\right| \leq C_{\alpha} \sup_{t\geq -1} \left|\frac{t^{\alpha}(e^{-\varepsilon t}-1)}{t^{\alpha+2}+1}\right|,
$$

where the last supremum converges to zero with $\varepsilon \to 0$. Therefore we conclude that

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{t \ge -1} \left| t^{\alpha} \partial_t^{\beta} \left((e^{-\varepsilon t} - 1) \Phi(t) \right) \right| = 0,
$$

which means $\langle \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{\Phi} - \alpha_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{\Phi} \rangle \rightarrow 0$.

5.1 Dipoles

The emission of fluorescent dyes will be modeled as dipoles.

Definition 8 (Emitting Dipole) An *emitting dipole* is a vector $\Psi = (\Psi_1 \ \Psi_2 \ \Psi_3)^T$, which is associated to a point \mathbf{r}^{Ψ} in space; $|\Psi|$ is called *charge intensity* and $\frac{\Psi}{|\Psi|}$ can be represented in spherical coordinates $(\theta_m, \varphi_m) \in \mathbb{S}^2$. Both notations are used synonymously and called the *orientation* of the emitting dipole. That is

$$
\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \\ \Psi_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} |\Psi| \sin(\theta_m) \cos(\varphi_m) \\ |\Psi| \sin(\theta_m) \sin(\varphi_m) \\ |\Psi| \cos(\theta_m) \end{pmatrix} . \tag{36}
$$

 \Box

The *limiting* density of a dipole at position \mathbf{r}^{Ψ} = $\sqrt{2}$ \mathbf{I} $\boldsymbol{0}$ $\boldsymbol{0}$ r_3^{Ψ} λ $\in \mathbb{R}^3$ is defined as a generalized function in space

$$
\widehat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) := |\Psi| \lim_{s \to 0^+} \frac{\delta_{\mathbf{r}^{\Psi} - s \frac{\Psi}{|\Psi|}}(\mathbf{r}) - \delta_{\mathbf{r}^{\Psi} + s \frac{\Psi}{|\Psi|}}(\mathbf{r})}{2s} \text{ for all } \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3.
$$
 (37)

That is, in mathematical terms, the dipole charge is the directional derivative of a three-dimensional *δ*-distribution in direction $-\frac{\Psi}{|\Psi|}$. Moreover, we denote by

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) := i\omega \Psi \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^{\Psi})
$$
\n(38)

the *dipole current* (which is frequency dependent).

In what follows we assume that the emitting dipole is a unit-vector (that is $|\Psi|$ = 1), which simplifies the considerations and the notation.

Lemma 2 *Let* $\widehat{\mathbf{J}}$ *and* $\widehat{\rho}$ *be as defined in Eqs.* [\(38\)](#page-21-0) *and* [\(37\)](#page-21-1)*, respectively and satisfy* F_a (28) *Then Eq.* [\(28\)](#page-18-4)*. Then*

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) := \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega}{c^2}\widehat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\widehat{\rho}(\mathbf{r};\omega)
$$
(39)

satisfies

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) = -\frac{\omega^2 \Psi}{c^2} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^{\Psi}) \n- \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \tilde{\delta}''(x_1) \tilde{\delta}(x_2) \tilde{\delta}(x_3) + \Psi_2 \tilde{\delta}'(x_1) \tilde{\delta}'(x_2) \tilde{\delta}(x_3) + \Psi_3 \tilde{\delta}'(x_1) \tilde{\delta}(x_2) \tilde{\delta}'(x_3) \\ \Psi_1 \tilde{\delta}'(x_1) \tilde{\delta}'(x_2) \tilde{\delta}(x_3) + \Psi_2 \tilde{\delta}(x_1) \tilde{\delta}''(x_2) \tilde{\delta}(x_3) + \Psi_3 \tilde{\delta}(x_1) \tilde{\delta}'(x_2) \tilde{\delta}'(x_3) \\ \Psi_1 \tilde{\delta}'(x_1) \tilde{\delta}(x_2) \tilde{\delta}'(x_3) + \Psi_2 \tilde{\delta}(x_1) \tilde{\delta}'(x_2) \tilde{\delta}'(x_3) + \Psi_3 \tilde{\delta}(x_1) \tilde{\delta}(x_2) \tilde{\delta}''(x_3) \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(40)

where $(\mathbf{x}, x_3)^T := \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^{\Psi}$, where \mathbf{r}^{Ψ} denotes the dipole position.

Proof Taking into account that the three-dimensional *δ*-distribution can be written as

$$
\delta_{\mathbf{r}^{\Psi} \pm s \Psi}(\mathbf{r}) = \prod_{j=1}^{3} \tilde{\delta}_{(\mathbf{r}^{\Psi})_j \pm s \Psi_j}(\mathbf{r}_j) = \prod_{j=1}^{3} \tilde{\delta}(\mathbf{r}_j - (\mathbf{r}^{\Psi})_j \mp s \Psi_j)
$$

we find

$$
\widehat{\rho}(\mathbf{r};\omega) = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} \Psi_i(\widetilde{\delta}_{\mathbf{r}} \Psi_{i})'(\mathbf{r}_i) \prod_{j \neq i} \widetilde{\delta}_{\mathbf{r}} \Psi_{j}(r_j) = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} \Psi_i \widetilde{\delta}'((\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^{\Psi})_i) \prod_{j \neq i} \widetilde{\delta}((\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^{\Psi})_j)
$$

and we get

$$
-\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\widehat{\rho}(\mathbf{r};\omega)
$$

=\begin{pmatrix}\n\Psi_1 \tilde{\delta}'(x_1) \tilde{\delta}(x_2) \tilde{\delta}(x_3) + \Psi_2 \tilde{\delta}'(x_1) \tilde{\delta}'(x_2) \tilde{\delta}(x_3) + \Psi_3 \tilde{\delta}'(x_1) \tilde{\delta}(x_2) \tilde{\delta}'(x_3) \\
\Psi_1 \tilde{\delta}'(x_1) \tilde{\delta}'(x_2) \tilde{\delta}(x_3) + \Psi_2 \tilde{\delta}(x_1) \tilde{\delta}'(x_2) \tilde{\delta}(x_3) + \Psi_3 \tilde{\delta}(x_1) \tilde{\delta}'(x_2) \tilde{\delta}'(x_3) \\
\Psi_1 \tilde{\delta}'(x_1) \tilde{\delta}(x_2) \tilde{\delta}'(x_3) + \Psi_2 \tilde{\delta}(x_1) \tilde{\delta}'(x_2) \tilde{\delta}'(x_3) + \Psi_3 \tilde{\delta}(x_1) \tilde{\delta}(x_2) \tilde{\delta}''(x_3)\n\end{pmatrix} .\n(41)

On the other hand

$$
-\nabla \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}; \omega) = -i\omega \nabla \cdot (\Psi \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^{\Psi}))
$$

=
$$
-i\omega \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Psi_{i} \tilde{\delta}'((\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^{\Psi})_{i}) \prod_{j \neq i} \tilde{\delta}((\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^{\Psi})_{j}) = i\omega \widehat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}),
$$

and thus Eq. (28) is satisfied.

Moreover, using Eq. (41) in Eq. (28) gives Eq. (40) .

In the following we calculate the solution \bf{E} of Eq. [\(30\)](#page-18-2), similar as in [\[12\]](#page-52-13).
The following lawnes and its graph as based on [12]. The following lemma and its proof are based on [\[12\]](#page-52-13).

Lemma 3 *Let* **E** *as in Eq.* [\(32\)](#page-18-3) *be the retarded solution of Eq.* [\(33\)](#page-19-1) *at fixed frequency*
we have a fill we see sociate and an almost was down of ward write $\hat{E}(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{E}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ *ω. In what follows we omit therefore the dependency of <i>ω and write* $\mathbf{\hat{E}}(\mathbf{r}) := \mathbf{\hat{E}}(\mathbf{r}; \omega)$ *. M* and write \mathbf{h} is a set write and the set of the set o *Moreover, let the medium be isotropic, non magnetizable, homogeneous and have a linear polarization response (that is,* $\chi \equiv 0$).

As above we assume that a dipole $\Psi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ *is located at position***r** $\Psi = (0, 0, r_3^{\Psi})^T$. *Moreover, for all* $\varepsilon > 0$ *let* κ_{ε} *be as in Eq.* [\(22\)](#page-16-0) *and we define for fixed* $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
q := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} q_{\varepsilon} \text{ where } q_{\varepsilon} := a_{\varepsilon} + \mathrm{i}b_{\varepsilon} := \sqrt{\kappa_{\varepsilon}^2 - k_1^2 - k_2^2} \quad \text{with} \quad b_{\varepsilon} > 0 \tag{42}
$$

(that is q_{ε} *is the complex root with positive imaginary part). Let now* $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ *be such that* $r_3 - r_3^{\Psi} \geq 0$ *, then*

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}_{12}^{-1} \left[(k_1, k_2) \mapsto \Psi_3 \mathbf{e}_3 \tilde{\delta}(r_3 - r_3^{\Psi}) + \frac{\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} q (r_3 - r_3^{\Psi})}}{2q} (\Psi \times \mathbf{k}_q) \times \mathbf{k}_q \right] (r_1, r_2), \tag{43}
$$

where $\mathbf{k}_q = (k_1, k_2, q)^T$.

Proof First let $\varepsilon > 0$, and we prove an identity of the form Eq. [\(43\)](#page-22-1) for $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}$. We note that

$$
\mathcal{F}[\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}](\mathbf{k}) = -(\mathcal{F}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}](\mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k} \text{ for all } \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}.
$$

Thus from Eq. [\(23\)](#page-16-3) with $\chi \equiv 0$ it follows by applying the *k*-transform, and by using Eqs. (38) , (22) and (9) that

$$
\Box
$$

$$
- (\mathcal{F}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}](\mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k} - \kappa_{\varepsilon}^{2} \mathcal{F}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}](\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{i\omega - \varepsilon}{\epsilon_{0}c^{2}} \mathcal{F}[\widehat{\mathbf{J}}_{\varepsilon}](\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\kappa_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}\epsilon_{0}} \Psi e^{-ik_{3}r_{3}^{\Psi}}.
$$
\n(44)

Elementary calculation rules for \times provide that

$$
(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{k} - |\mathbf{k}|^2 \mathbf{v} \text{ for all } \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3,
$$
 (45)

which, by application to $\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{F}[\mathbf{\hat{E}}_{\varepsilon}](\mathbf{k})$ and $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{\Psi}$, respectively, shows that

$$
|\mathbf{k}|^2 \mathcal{F}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}](\mathbf{k}) = -(\mathcal{F}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}](\mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k} + (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathcal{F}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}](\mathbf{k}))\mathbf{k} \text{ and}
$$

$$
|\mathbf{k}|^2 \Psi = -(\Psi \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k} + (\mathbf{k} \cdot \Psi)\mathbf{k}.
$$
 (46)

Therefore, by multiplying Eq. (44) with $|\mathbf{k}|^2$ and using Eq. (46) , it follows that

$$
(\kappa_{\varepsilon}^{2} - |\mathbf{k}|^{2}) (\mathcal{F}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}](\mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k} - \kappa_{\varepsilon}^{2} (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathcal{F}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}](\mathbf{k})) \mathbf{k}
$$

=
$$
\frac{\kappa_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} \epsilon_{0}} e^{-ik_{3}r_{3}^{\Psi}} [-(\Psi \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k} + (\mathbf{k} \cdot \Psi)\mathbf{k}].
$$
 (47)

Since **k** and $(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k}$ are orthogonal, it follows from Eq. [\(47\)](#page-23-2) that:

$$
(\mathcal{F}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}](\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{k})\mathbf{k} = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} e^{-ik_3 r_3^{\Psi}} (\Psi \cdot \mathbf{k})\mathbf{k}
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} e^{-ik_3 r_3^{\Psi}} (\vert \mathbf{k} \vert^2 \Psi + (\Psi \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k}),
$$

$$
(\vert \mathbf{k} \vert^2 - \kappa_{\varepsilon}^2)(\mathcal{F}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}](\mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k} = \frac{\kappa_{\varepsilon}^2}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} \epsilon_0} e^{-ik_3 r_3^{\Psi}} (\Psi \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k}.
$$

Inserting these two identities into Eq. (46) and noting that since κ_{ε} is not real, one can divide by $|\mathbf{k}|^2 - \kappa_{\varepsilon}^2$, yields

$$
|\mathbf{k}|^2 \mathcal{F}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}](\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} e^{-ik_3 r_3^{\Psi}} \left(|\mathbf{k}|^2 \Psi + (\Psi \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k} + \frac{\kappa_{\varepsilon}^2}{|\mathbf{k}|^2 - \kappa_{\varepsilon}^2} (\Psi \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k} \right)
$$

=
$$
-\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} e^{-ik_3 r_3^{\Psi}} \left(|\mathbf{k}|^2 \Psi + \frac{|\mathbf{k}|^2}{|\mathbf{k}|^2 - \kappa_{\varepsilon}^2} (\Psi \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k} \right),
$$

such that

$$
\mathcal{F}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}](\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} e^{-ik_3 r_3^{\Psi}} \left(\Psi + \frac{(\Psi \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{k}|^2 - \kappa_{\varepsilon}^2} \right).
$$

Therefore

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}_{12}^{-1} \left[\mathcal{F}_{3}^{-1} \left[\left(\Psi + \frac{(\Psi \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{k}|^{2} - \kappa_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \right) e^{-ik_{3}r_{3}^{\Psi}} \right] (r_{3}) \right] (r_{1}, r_{2}).
$$

In order to prove Eq. [\(43\)](#page-22-1) for \mathbf{E}_{ε} , it remains to show that

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathcal{F}_3^{-1}\left[k_3 \to \left(\Psi + \frac{(\Psi \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{k}|^2 - \kappa_{\varepsilon}^2}\right) e^{-ik_3 r_3^{\Psi}}\right] (r_3) = \Psi_3 \mathbf{e}_3 \tilde{\delta}(r_3 - r_3^{\Psi}) + \frac{ie^{i q_{\varepsilon}(r_3 - r_3^{\Psi})}}{2q_{\varepsilon}} (\Psi \times \mathbf{k}_{q_{\varepsilon}}) \times \mathbf{k}_{q_{\varepsilon}},
$$

which is done by standard, but quite lengthy computations, which are presented in Appendix 1.

Now, we consider $\varepsilon \to 0$. Theorem [1](#page-19-3) combined with the continuity of the inverse Fourier transform \mathcal{F}_{12}^{-1} in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ which implies that

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}_{12}^{-1} \left[(k_1, k_2) \to \Psi_3 \mathbf{e}_3 \tilde{\delta}(r_3 - r_3^{\Psi}) + \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{i e^{i q_{\varepsilon}(r_3 - r_3^{\Psi})}}{2 q_{\varepsilon}} (\Psi \times \mathbf{k}_{q_{\varepsilon}}) \times \mathbf{k}_{q_{\varepsilon}} \right] (r_1, r_2).
$$

To prove the assertion, we simply need to check that, in \mathcal{S}'

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{\mathrm{i} e^{\mathrm{i} q_\varepsilon(r_3-r_3^{\Psi})}}{2q_\varepsilon}(\Psi\times\mathbf{k}_{q_\varepsilon})\times\mathbf{k}_{q_\varepsilon}=\frac{\mathrm{i} e^{\mathrm{i} q(r_3-r_3^{\Psi})}}{2q}(\Psi\times\mathbf{k}_q)\times\mathbf{k}_q.
$$

These two quantities being L_{loc}^1 functions, it is enough to show that the limit holds in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}))$. The L^1_{loc} convergence is then obtained noticing that

$$
e^{iq_{\varepsilon}(r_3-r_3^{\Psi})}(\Psi \times \mathbf{k}_{q_{\varepsilon}}) \times \mathbf{k}_{q_{\varepsilon}} - e^{iq(r_3-r_3^{\Psi})}(\Psi \times \mathbf{k}_q) \times \mathbf{k}_q \xrightarrow{L^{\infty}} 0
$$

and that

$$
\frac{1}{q_{\varepsilon}} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\kappa_{\varepsilon}^{2} - \kappa^{2}}{(\kappa_{\varepsilon}^{2} - k_{1}^{2} - k_{2}^{2})\sqrt{\kappa^{2} - k_{1}^{2} - k_{2}^{2}} + (\kappa^{2} - k_{1}^{2} - k_{2}^{2})\sqrt{\kappa_{\varepsilon}^{2} - k_{1}^{2} - k_{2}^{2}}}
$$

converges to zero in L^1_{loc} . Note that this would imply only a convergence in \mathcal{D}' , but the two functions are actually uniformly L^{∞} outside the compact set $\{k_1^2 + k_2^2 \geq 1\}$ $|\kappa|^2 + 1$, so the convergence holds in S' as well. \Box

Moreover, we make the assumption that the dipole can be *rotating*.

Definition 9 (Rotating Dipole) The emitting dipole is considered wobbling uniformly distributed around the dipole orientation $\frac{\Psi_m}{|\Psi_m|} = (\theta_m, \varphi_m) \in \mathbb{S}^2$ in a cone of semi-angle α_m (see Fig. [6\)](#page-25-0). Assuming a dipole-emission from an oscillating source we get after averaging, a *source* represented as the indicator function

$$
\mathbb{1}_m = \frac{1}{|C(\Psi_m, \alpha_m)|} \mathbb{1}_{C(\Psi_m, \alpha_m)},
$$
\n(48)

where

$$
C(\Psi_m, \alpha_m) = \left\{ \tau \Phi \in \mathbb{S}^2 : |\measuredangle \Phi \Psi_m| \le \alpha_m, 0 \le \tau \le |\Psi_m| \right\}.
$$
 (49)

Note that $|C(\Psi_m, \alpha_m)| = \frac{1}{3}\pi |\Psi_m|^3 \tan^2(\alpha_m)$. Taking into account Eqs. [\(38\)](#page-21-0) and [\(37\)](#page-21-1) the according charge density and current of dye *m* are given by

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{J}}_m(\mathbf{r};\omega) = i\omega \mathbb{1}_m, \quad \widehat{\rho}_m(\mathbf{r};\omega) = \frac{i}{\omega} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{J}}_m(\mathbf{r};\omega) \text{ and}
$$
\n
$$
\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_m(\mathbf{r};\omega) := \frac{i\omega}{c^2} \widehat{\mathbf{J}}_m(\mathbf{r};\omega) + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \widehat{\rho}_m(\mathbf{r};\omega).
$$
\n(50)

6 The Forward Problem

In the following we present mathematical models describing the emission and propagation of light caused by dyes, which are exposed to strong laser light illumination. See Fig. [7](#page-26-0) for a schematic representation of the experiment. In single molecule localization microscopy two-dimensional images are recorded after exposing the probe subsequently to strong laser illuminations, such that the dyes appear in dark ("off") and light ("on") state. This allows to separate the fluorescent emission of individual dyes in time, allowing for high resolution images. In order to minimize the notational effort we consider recording of a single image frame first. The mathematical model of consecutive recordings of multiple frames is analogous and requires one additional parameter representing numbering of frames (a virtual time).

In the following we state a series of assumptions, which are used throughout the remainder of the paper:

Assumption (Medium, Monochromatic Source and Response) In the following we assume that

- The incident light is a *monochromatic plane wave* of frequency ω_{inc} and orientation **v**.
- The medium is assumed to be isotropic, non magnetizable, homogeneous and has a linear polarization response.
- Moreover, we assume that a dye can be modeled as an *absorbing dipole* Ψ_a , which emits monochromatic waves of frequency $\omega \neq \omega_{inc}$ resulting in an *emitting dipole*

$$
\Psi = (\mathbf{v} \cdot \Psi_a) \mathbf{v}.\tag{51}
$$

Indeed what we will measure is the electric field at frequency *ω*, which is not affected by the incident field at frequency ω_{inc} . As a consequence we only have to consider the electric field at the frequency $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$.

Fig. 7 Illustration of the experiment: Biomolecular structures are placed on the glass surface at position $r_3^{\Psi} \le 0$ and illuminated from the bottom. The glass plate has a thickness r_3^{Ψ}

• In what follows we assume that the considered dipole $\Psi =$ $\sqrt{2}$ \mathbf{I} Ψ_1 Ψ_2 Ψ_3 λ [⎠] is located

at position $\mathbf{r}^{\Psi} = (0 \ 0 \ r_3^{\Psi})^T$ with $r_3^{\Psi} \leq 0$. Unless stated otherwise $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $r_3 > 0$. The sign assumptions on r_3 and r_3^{Ψ} are in accordance with the experiment: the object is assumed left of the lens system (see Fig. [8\)](#page-28-0) and **r** is a point of the measurement system.

• The dyes absorb light, which can result in fluoresence emission. We describe the states of an absorbing dye with index *m* via a time indicator function: The *on-off* indicator

$$
\mathbf{I}_m \in \{0, 1\},\tag{52}
$$

tells us whether the *m*-th dye is an emitting state or not.

The complete experimental setup of the optical experiment of single molecule localization microscopy is represented in Fig. [8.](#page-28-0) For the mathematical modeling we are considering the propagation of light at different locations of the optical system. The dyes are considered at positions \mathbf{r}^{Ψ_m} with $r_3^{\Psi_m} \leq 0$ and the *focal plane* (which contains the focal point of the objective) corresponds to the bottom of the glass plate, which is not mathematically modeled, that is the focal plane is at position $r_3 = 0$. Note that in particular the dipole *is not located at the focal plane*, unless if $r_3^{\Psi_m} = 0$. For the sake of simplicity of presentation we consider only a single dye, and leave the subscript *m* whenever appropriate.

The mathematical modeling of the experimental setup follows [\[3\]](#page-52-14), however it is adapted to our notation:

- In Sect. [6.1](#page-29-0) we describe the propagation of the electric field in the medium, that is from the bottom of the cell (the assumption is that only molecules labeled with a dye at the bottom of the cell emit light) up to the objective (see Fig. [8\)](#page-28-0). This domain will be denoted by Ω . Since the objective is far away from the molecule (relative to the size of the molecule) the electric field can be approximated well by its far field, which is calculated below. The three-dimensional *k*-transformed coordinate system is denoted by $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ (see Sect. [6.1\)](#page-29-0).
- In Sect. [6.2](#page-32-0) we present in mathematical terms the propagation of the emitted light when it passes through the objective; that is after passing through the medium. In fact the light rays are aligned parallel by the objective in $r₃$ direction. The objective has a focal length f_{obj} and it is positioned orthogonal to the r_3 axis with left distance to the focal plane (glass plate) $r_3^{\text{obj}} = f_{\text{obj}}$ (see Fig. [10\)](#page-33-0). Indeed the lens system is complicated and a detailed mathematical modeling is not possible. A simplified model assumes that the objective is big compared to the wavelength, such that the intensity law of *Geometric Optics* applies (see Fig. [9](#page-32-1) and [\[7\]](#page-52-15)), and phase shifts due to the curvature of the lenses can be neglected.

Ч

- In Sect. [6.3](#page-34-0) we calculate the propagation of the light after passing through the back focal plane of the objective, that is in between r_3^{bfp} and $r_3^{\text{t1}i}$ (see Fig. [8\)](#page-28-0), from knowledge of the field at the plane with third coordinate r_3^{bfp} . This is achieved by solving the Helmholtz equation in air between the back focal plane of the objective and the incident plane of the tube lens.
- We assume that the lens is a circular plano-convex *tube lens* with maximal thickness d_0 . The thickness is described as a function d . Moreover, we assume that the lens has a *focal length* f_L and that its pupil function is given by $P_{\text{L}} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$
P_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |\mathbf{x}| \le R \\ 0 & \text{for } |\mathbf{x}| > R \end{cases} \tag{53}
$$

in the plane $r_3 = r_3^{\text{tl}}$ (see Fig. [8\)](#page-28-0). The lens is assumed to be *converging*, such that the *paraxial approximation* holds, that is we can assume that the wave vector of the wave is almost aligned with the optical axis [\[14,](#page-52-16) Sec. 4.2.3]. The adequate formulas are derived in Sect. [6.4.](#page-40-1)

• Finally the light is bundled to the image plane, which provides an image described by coordinates $\mathbf{x}_f \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (see Sect. [6.5\)](#page-41-0).

We summarize the different coordinate systems used below in a table:

Fig. 8 The *plane of observation* is defined as the plane containing the dipole Ψ , the e_3 -axis, and the path of a particular ray through the objective, the *back focal plane* and the tube lens (with focal length f_{obj})

Position	Coordinates	Fourier	
Medium Ω	$\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $(r, \theta, \varphi) \in$ $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{S}^2$	$\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3$	
Back focal plane (BFP)	$\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $(\rho, \varphi) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ × $[0, 2\pi)$	$\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $(\xi, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, 2\pi)$	
Tube Lens	$\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $(\varrho, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ × $[0, 2\pi)$	$\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $(x, \vartheta) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, 2\pi)$	
Image plane (IP) \overline{I}	$\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$	$\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^2$	
Between BFP and IP	$({\bf x}, r_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$		
General notation	$\mathbf{k}_{12} = (k_1, k_2)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, k_3)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3$		
	${\bf k}_z = (k_1, k_2, z)^T z \in \mathbb{C}, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}$		

Table 2 Some abbreviation used in Lemma [3](#page-22-2) and its proof, as well as in Appendix 1 and 2

6.1 Far Field Approximation in the Medium

In this subsection we derive the far field approximation of the Fourier-transform of the electric field, \bf{E} , *in the medium*. The derivation expands [\[12\]](#page-52-13).

First, we give the definition of the far field:

Definition 10 The *far field* F_{∞} : $\mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^3$ of a function $F : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^3$ satisfies: There exists $\hat{C} > 0$ and a function $C : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\lim_{r \to \infty} |F(r, \theta, \varphi) - C(r) F_{\infty}(\theta, \varphi)| = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad |rC(r)| \leq \hat{C} \text{ for all } r \in [0, \infty).
$$
\n(54)

Lemma 4 *Let the medium be isotropic, non magnetizable, homogeneous and have* a linear polarization response. We assume that the considered dipole Ψ is located

at position
$$
\mathbf{r}^{\Psi} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ r_3^{\Psi} \end{pmatrix}
$$
 with $r_3^{\Psi} < 0$. Moreover, let $\mathbf{r} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $r_3 > 0$.

 r_{3}^{Ψ} *; The later assumption means that we are considering only light rays, which are propagating into the lens system (see Fig. [8\)](#page-28-0).*

Then the far field *of* **E** in the medium *is given by*

$$
e^{i\kappa r_3^{\Psi}\cos(\theta)}\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\infty}(\theta,\varphi) = \cos(\theta)\left(-\Psi_p\cos(\theta) + \Psi_3\sin(\theta)\right)\mathbf{e}_p - \Psi_s\mathbf{e}_s
$$

+
$$
\sin(\theta)\left(\Psi_p\cos(\theta) - \Psi_3\sin(\theta)\right)\mathbf{e}_3
$$
 (55)

and

$$
C(r) = \frac{\kappa^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{e^{i\kappa r}}{r}.
$$
 (56)

where $\Psi_j = \langle \Psi, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle$, $j = p, s, 3$ *are the coefficients of* Ψ *with respect to the orthonormal basis*

$$
\mathbf{e}_p := (\cos(\varphi) \sin(\varphi) 0)^T, \quad \mathbf{e}_s := (-\sin(\varphi) \cos(\varphi) 0)^T, \quad \mathbf{e}_3,\tag{57}
$$

that is

$$
\Psi = \Psi_p \mathbf{e}_p + \Psi_s \mathbf{e}_s + \Psi_3 \mathbf{e}_3. \tag{58}
$$

Proof Taking into account the assumption that $r_3 - r_3^{\Psi} > 0$, and by representing the vector **r** $\in \mathbb{R}^3$ as

$$
\mathbf{r} = r_3 \mathbf{e}_3 + r_3 \left(\mathbf{v} \ 0\right)^T = r_3 \left(v_1 \ v_2 \ 1\right)^T,\tag{59}
$$

with a (non-unit) vector $(v_1 v_2)^T = \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ in the plane spanned by \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 , it follows from Eq. [\(43\)](#page-22-1) that

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \int_{\mathbf{k}_{12} \in \mathbb{R}^2} e^{i r_3 \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{12}} \left(\frac{ie^{i q (r_3 - r_3^{\Psi})}}{q} (\Psi \times \mathbf{k}_q) \times \mathbf{k}_q \right) d\mathbf{k}_{12}, \quad (60)
$$

where *q* and **k**_{*q*} are as defined in Eq. [\(42\)](#page-22-3). Note that in Eq. [\(60\)](#page-30-1) $q = q(\mathbf{k}_{12})$ is defined as in Eq. [\(115\)](#page-50-0), and therefore the integral on the right-hand side is of the form (neglecting the factor $-\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon_0}$)

$$
\int_{{\bf k}_{12}\in{\mathbb R}^2} e^{ir_3\zeta({\bf k}_{12})}\beta({\bf k}_{12})\,d{\bf k}_{12}
$$

with

$$
\zeta(\mathbf{k}_{12}) = \mathbf{k}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{v} + q \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(\mathbf{k}_{12}) = \frac{e^{-i r_3^{\Psi} q}}{q} (\Psi \times \mathbf{k}_q) \times \mathbf{k}_q. \tag{61}
$$

The *stationary phase method*, [\[19,](#page-52-17) Th. 7.7.5], states that if $\hat{\bf k}$ is a critical point of ζ , which has been calculated in Eq. (114) , then

$$
\int_{\mathbf{k}_{12} \in \mathbb{R}^2} e^{i r_3 \zeta(\mathbf{k}_{12})} \beta(\mathbf{k}_{12}) d\mathbf{k}_{12} = e^{i r_3 \zeta(\hat{\mathbf{k}})} \left(\det \left(r_3 H(\zeta)(\hat{\mathbf{k}})/(2\pi i) \right) \right)^{-1/2} \beta(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) + o\left(\frac{1}{r_3} \right).
$$

Taking into account Eq. [\(113\)](#page-50-2) in Lemma [11,](#page-50-3) and $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{12}$ of ζ as defined in Eq. [\(114\)](#page-50-1), and being aware that $q = q(\mathbf{k}_{12})$ (that is *q* is a function of \mathbf{k}_{12}), we apply Eqs. [\(116\)](#page-51-0), and (115) and get

$$
\int_{\mathbf{k}_{12} \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{e^{ir_3(\mathbf{k}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{v} + q)}}{q} e^{-iqr_3^{\Psi}} (\Psi \times \mathbf{k}_q) \times \mathbf{k}_q \, d\mathbf{k}_{12}
$$
\n
$$
= 2i\pi \kappa^2 \frac{e^{ir_3 \kappa \sqrt{1+|\mathbf{v}|^2}}}{r_3} e^{-i \frac{\kappa r_3^{\Psi}}{\sqrt{1+|\mathbf{v}|^2}}} \left(\Psi \times \frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|} \right) \times \frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|} + o\left(\frac{1}{r_3}\right) \text{ for } r_3 \to \infty.
$$

Now, we recall Eqs. (10) and (59) , which imply that

$$
\sqrt{1+|\mathbf{v}|^2} = \frac{1}{\cos(\theta)}, \quad \frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\theta)\cos(\phi) \\ \sin(\theta)\sin(\phi) \\ \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} = \sin(\theta)\mathbf{e}_p + \cos(\theta)\mathbf{e}_3
$$

and
$$
|\mathbf{r}| \cos(\theta) = r_3
$$
,

such that we get

$$
\int_{\mathbf{k}_{12} \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{e^{i r_3(\mathbf{k}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{v} + q)}}{q} e^{-i q r_3^{\Psi}} (\Psi \times \mathbf{k}_q) \times \mathbf{k}_q \, d\mathbf{k}_{12}
$$
\n
$$
= 2i \pi \kappa^2 e^{-i \kappa r_3^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} \frac{e^{i \kappa |\mathbf{r}|}}{|\mathbf{r}|} \left(\Psi \times \frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|} \right) \times \frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|} + o\left(\frac{1}{r_3}\right).
$$

This shows that

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}) = e^{-i\kappa r_3^{\Psi}\cos(\theta)} \frac{\kappa^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{e^{i\kappa|\mathbf{r}|}}{|\mathbf{r}|} \left(\Psi \times \frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|}\right) \times \frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|} + o\left(\frac{1}{r_3}\right) = C(r)\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\infty}(\mathbf{r}) + o\left(\frac{1}{r_3}\right). \tag{62}
$$

It remains to compute the second identity of Eq. (55) . Expressing $\frac{\mathbf{r}}{4}$ $\frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}|}$ and Ψ in terms of the associated basis \mathbf{e}_p , \mathbf{e}_s , \mathbf{e}_3 from Definition [6,](#page-13-5) and using Eq. [\(45\)](#page-23-3), we get from Eq. [\(58\)](#page-30-0)

$$
\left(\Psi \times \frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|}\right) \times \frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|} = \left(\Psi \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|}\right) \frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|} - \Psi
$$

\n
$$
= \left(\sin(\theta)\mathbf{e}_p + \cos(\theta)\mathbf{e}_3\right) \cdot \left(\Psi_p\mathbf{e}_p + \Psi_s\mathbf{e}_s + \Psi_3\mathbf{e}_3\right)
$$

\n
$$
\left(\sin(\theta)\mathbf{e}_p + \cos(\theta)\mathbf{e}_3\right) - \Psi_p\mathbf{e}_p - \Psi_s\mathbf{e}_s - \Psi_3\mathbf{e}_3
$$

\n
$$
= \left(\sin(\theta)\Psi_p + \cos(\theta)\Psi_3\right) \left(\sin(\theta)\mathbf{e}_p + \cos(\theta)\mathbf{e}_3\right) - \Psi_p\mathbf{e}_p
$$

\n
$$
- \Psi_s\mathbf{e}_s - \Psi_3\mathbf{e}_3,
$$

which after rearrangement proves the second identity.

 \Box

In the imaging system the calculation of the electric field is not done at once but in different sections (Sects. 6.1 , 6.2 , 6.3 , 6.4 , and 6.5). In each of these sections the electric field is calculated by transmission from the electric field computed at the previous section. In addition, we assume that the light which hits the objective from $Ω$ can be approximated by its far field expansion $C(f_{obj})\hat{E}_{∞}$, which we will use instead of **E**.

6.2 Propagation of the Electric Field through the Objective

In the following we calculate the electric field in the objective. Assuming that the electric field (light) emitted from the dipoles travels along straight lines in the medium to the objective, the objective aligns the emitted rays from the dipole parallel to the r_3 -axis in such a way that the electric field between the incidence surface of the objective and the back focal plane undergoes a phase shift that does not depend on the distance to the optical axis. In the ideal situation, where the wavelength is assumed to be infinitely small compared to the length parameters of the optical system, the electric field can be computed via the *intensity law of geometrical optics* (see Fig. [9](#page-32-1) and [\[7,](#page-52-15) Sec. 3.1.2] for a derivation).

Assumption The objective consists of a set of optical elements (lenses and mirrors) which are not modelled here (see some examples in [\[7,](#page-52-15) Sec. 6.6]). Its aim is to transform spherical waves originated at its focal point into waves which propagate along the optical axis. In what follows, the computations are made ignoring a constant (independent on the point in the back focal plane) phase shift which is underwent by the wave through the objective. \Box

Lemma 5 *Let* **r** *be a point at the back focal plane of the objective, that is with r*³ *coordinate* r_3^{bfp} *and with spherical coordinates* (r, θ, φ) *. We define the* radial length *on the propagation plane (planes with constant r*³ *coordinate) (see Fig. [10\)](#page-33-0), by*

Fig. 9 Intensity law of Geometrical optics: the energy carried along a ray must remain constant. The power transported by a ray is proportional to $|\mathbf{E}|^2 dA$, where *dA* is an infinitesimal cross-section perpendicular to the ray propagation. Thus, the fields must satisfy $|\mathbf{E}_2| = |\mathbf{E}_1| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\cos(\theta)}}$

$$
\rho := \rho(\theta) := \mathbf{f}_{\text{obj}} \sin(\theta). \tag{63}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{bfp}}(\rho,\varphi) &:= \\
&\left\{\n\frac{C(\mathbf{f}_{\text{obj}})}{\sqrt{\cos(\theta)}} e^{-\mathrm{i} \kappa r_3^{\Psi}\cos(\theta)} \begin{pmatrix}\n\cos(\varphi) \left(-\Psi_p \cos(\theta) + \Psi_3 \sin(\theta)\right) - \sin(\varphi)\Psi_s \\
\sin(\varphi) \left(-\Psi_p \cos(\theta) + \Psi_3 \sin(\theta)\right) + \cos(\varphi)\Psi_s \\
0\n\end{pmatrix}\n\right\}\n\quad \theta &\leq \theta_{\text{max}} \\
\theta > \theta_{\text{max}}\n\end{aligned}
$$

(64)

where $C(E_{obj})$ *is as defined in Eq.*[\(56\)](#page-29-2)*, and*

 $\theta_{\text{max}} := \arcsin(\text{NA})$

is the maximal angle θ for rays to enter the objective (the other rays simply do not enter the optical system). Note that the refractive index in air is assumed one.

Proof The electric field is transmitted according to the law of geometrical optics [\[3,](#page-52-14) Eq. 16] into the objective at the points

$$
\mathbf{r} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ r_3^{\Psi} \end{pmatrix} + f_{\text{obj}} \mathbb{S}^2, \tag{65}
$$

that is the electric field simply undergoes a rotation of axis \mathbf{e}_s and angle θ as well as a magnification of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\cos \theta}}$ (see Assumption [6.2\)](#page-32-0).

The rotation with angle θ around the axis \mathbf{e}_s changes the unit vectors as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathbf{e}_p &\to \sin(\theta)\mathbf{e}_3 + \cos(\theta)\mathbf{e}_p \\
\mathbf{e}_3 &\to \cos(\theta)\mathbf{e}_3 - \sin(\theta)\mathbf{e}_p \\
\mathbf{e}_s &\to \mathbf{e}_s.\n\end{aligned} \tag{66}
$$

Now, Eq. [\(66\)](#page-34-1) shows that the expression of the electric field in the back focal plane will be simpler using coordinates (e_p, e_s, e_3) . Equation [\(55\)](#page-29-1) leads to

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{bfp}}(\rho,\varphi)=\frac{C(\texttt{f}_{\text{obj}})}{\sqrt{\cos(\theta)}}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\kappa r_3^{\Psi}\cos(\theta)}\left\{\biggl(-\Psi_p\cos(\theta)+\Psi_3\sin(\theta)\biggr)\mathbf{e}_p-\Psi_s\mathbf{e}_s\right\},\,
$$

where $C(\mathbf{f}_{\text{obj}})$ is as defined in Eq. [\(56\)](#page-29-2). Writing the unit vectors \mathbf{e}_p and \mathbf{e}_s in the fixed system of coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) gives Eq. [\(64\)](#page-33-1). \Box

6.3 Between the Objective and the Lens

Behind the objective, the light propagates through air until it reaches the tube lens. Denoting by κ^2 the wave number in air (see Eq. [\(22\)](#page-16-0)) the electric field satisfies the homogeneous Helmholtz equation in the tube lens:

$$
\Delta \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}) + \kappa^2 \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{H} := \left\{ \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : r_3^{\text{bfp}} < r_3 < r_3^{\text{tl}} \right\} \tag{67}
$$

together with the boundary condition

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(r_1, r_2, r_3^{\text{bfp}}) = \widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{bfp}}(r_1, r_2) \text{ for all } (r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.
$$
 (68)

The solution of Eq. [\(67\)](#page-34-2) can actually be calculated by applying a phase shift to $\mathbf{\widehat{E}}^{\text{bfp}}$ as the following lemma shows.

Lemma 6 *Representing* $\mathbf{x} = (f_{obj} \sin(\theta) \cos(\varphi), f_{obj} \sin(\theta) \sin(\varphi)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, then *the Fourier transforms of* **E** *in the transverse plane of (***x***, r*3*) can be calculated from* $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, r_3^{\text{bfp}})$ *in the following way*

$$
\mathcal{F}_{12}(\widehat{\mathbf{E}})(k_1, k_2, r_3) = \mathcal{F}_{12}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{bfp}}](k_1, k_2) e^{(r_3 - r_3^{\text{bfp}})} \sqrt{-\kappa^2 + k_1^2 + k_2^2}.
$$
 (69)

where the square root can denote both of the complex square roots.

Proof First, we notice that since $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{bfp}}$ is bounded with compact support, it is a L^2 function in the plane for \mathbf{E}^{bfp} . Taking the Equation transform in these transforms L^2 function in the plane $\{r_3 = r_3^{\text{bfp}}\}$. Taking the Fourier transform in these two variables, Eqs. (67) and (68) are equivalent to

$$
\partial_{r_3}^2 \mathcal{F}_{12}(\widehat{\mathbf{E}})(k_1, k_2, r_3) + (\kappa^2 - k_1^2 - k_2^2) \mathcal{F}_{12}(\widehat{\mathbf{E}})(k_1, k_2, r_3)
$$

= 0 for all $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $r_3^{\text{bfp}} < r_3 < r_3^{\text{tl}_i}$ (70)

with the boundary condition

$$
\mathcal{F}_{12}(\widehat{\mathbf{E}})(k_1, k_2, r_3^{\text{bfp}}) = \mathcal{F}_{12}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{bfp}}](k_1, k_2) \text{ for all } (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{71}
$$

Now, Eq. [\(70\)](#page-35-0) is a simple ODE whose solution writes (for $\kappa^2 - k_1^2 - k_2^2 \neq 0$)

$$
\mathcal{F}_{12}(\widehat{\mathbf{E}})(k_1,k_2,r_3)=\mathcal{F}_{12}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{bfp}}](k_1,k_2)e^{(r_3-r_3^{\text{bfp}})}\sqrt{-\kappa^2+k_1^2+k_2^2}.
$$

Among the fields computed in Eq. (69) , several are not physical or will not be observed:

- Having $\kappa^2 < k_1^2 + k_2^2$ leads to either a real positive square root which corresponds to a wave exploding as *r*³ increases and is therefore not physical or a real negative root, which yields an exponentially decreasing wave (*evanescent*) which exist but, since $(r_3 - r_3^{\text{bf}})$ is several orders of magnitude bigger than the wave length, will be damped by the time it hits the tube lens. Therefore we also do not consider it.
- When, $\kappa^2 > k_1^2 + k_2^2$, we get two imaginary roots, namely $\pm i \sqrt{\kappa^2 k_1^2 k_2^2}$. which corresponds to the two Green functions Eq. (31) . For the same reason as above, we will only consider the positive sign.

This can be summerized in the following assumption, that will hold in what follows.

Assumption We only consider $\kappa^2 \geq k_1^2 + k_2^2$ and we obtain

$$
\mathcal{F}_{12}(\widehat{\mathbf{E}})(k_1, k_2, r_3) = \mathcal{F}_{12}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{bfp}}](k_1, k_2) e^{\mathbf{i}(r_3 - r_3^{\text{bfp}})} \sqrt{\kappa^2 - k_1^2 - k_2^2}.
$$
 (72)

Ч

Ч

In the following we calculate $\mathcal{F}_{12}[\hat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{t1}}]$, where $\hat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{t1}} = \hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, r_3^{\text{t1}})$.

Lemma 7 *Let* $\mathbf{\widehat{E}}^{\text{t-1}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{\widehat{E}}(\mathbf{x}, r_3^{\text{t-1}})$ *be the electric field at the indicent plane of the tube thin lens (at* $r_3^{\text{tl}_i}$) as defined in Eq. [\(69\)](#page-34-4), then the Fourier transform of $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{tl}_i}$ in *this plane in polar coordinates* (ξ, v) *of* (k_1, k_2) *is given, for* $\xi^2 \le \kappa^2$ *, by*

$$
\mathcal{F}_{12}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{t\,1}](\xi,\nu) = \mathcal{F}_{12}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{bfp}](\xi,\nu)e^{i(r_3^{t\,1} - r_3^{bfp})\sqrt{\kappa^2 - \xi^2}}\n= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{f}_{\text{obj}})^2 C(\mathbf{f}_{\text{obj}})e^{i(r_3^{t\,1} - r_3^{bfp})\sqrt{\kappa^2 - \xi^2}}.\n\cdot \begin{pmatrix} -\Psi_1[I_{1,0}(\xi) + I_{2,0}(\xi)] + \Psi_1\cos(2\nu)[I_{1,2}(\xi) + I_{2,2}(\xi)] + \Psi_2\sin(2\nu)[I_{1,2}(\xi) + I_{2,2}(\xi)] - 2i\Psi_3\cos(\nu)I_{2,1}(\xi) \\ -\Psi_2[I_{1,0}(\xi) + I_{2,0}(\xi)] + \Psi_2\cos(2\nu)[I_{1,2}(\xi) + I_{2,2}(\xi)] + \Psi_1\sin(2\nu)[I_{1,2}(\xi) + I_{2,2}(\xi)] - 2i\Psi_3\sin(\nu)I_{2,1}(\xi) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(73)

where

$$
I_{1,0}(\xi) = \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \sqrt{\cos(\theta)} \sin(\theta) e^{-i\kappa r_3^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} J_0 \left(f_{\text{obj}} \xi \sin(\theta) \right) d\theta
$$

\n
$$
I_{1,2}(\xi) = \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \sqrt{\cos(\theta)} \sin(\theta) e^{-i\kappa r_3^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} J_2 \left(f_{\text{obj}} \xi \sin(\theta) \right) d\theta
$$

\n
$$
I_{2,1}(\xi) = \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} (\cos(\theta))^{3/2} \frac{1 - \cos(2\theta)}{2} e^{-i\kappa r_3^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} J_1 \left(f_{\text{obj}} \xi \sin(\theta) \right) d\theta \qquad (74)
$$

\n
$$
I_{2,0}(\xi) = \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \sqrt{\cos(\theta)} \frac{\sin(2\theta)}{2} e^{-i\kappa r_3^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} J_0 \left(f_{\text{obj}} \xi \sin(\theta) \right) d\theta
$$

\n
$$
I_{2,2}(\xi) = \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \sqrt{\cos(\theta)} \frac{\sin(2\theta)}{2} e^{-i\kappa r_3^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} J_2 \left(f_{\text{obj}} \xi \sin(\theta) \right) d\theta,
$$

*Jm denotes the Bessel function of the first kind of order m, and θ*max *is the angle of aperture as defined in Eq.* [\(1\)](#page-1-0)*.*

Proof We use the following notation

$$
\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \xi \begin{pmatrix} \cos(v) \\ \sin(v) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \rho \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\varphi) \\ \sin(\varphi) \end{pmatrix},
$$

where $\rho = \rho(\theta)$ (see Eq. [\(63\)](#page-33-2)) is the radial length on the back focal plane.

The two-dimensional Fourier transform of the $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{obj}}$ (defined in Eq. [\(64\)](#page-33-1)) reads as follows:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{12}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{obj}}](\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{obj}}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} d\mathbf{x}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2\pi} (\mathbf{f}_{\text{obj}})^2 \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \int_0^{2\pi} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{obj}}(\rho(\theta), \varphi) e^{-i\xi \rho(\theta)\cos(\varphi - \nu)} \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta) d\varphi d\theta
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{(\mathbf{f}_{\text{obj}})^2}{2\pi} C(\mathbf{f}_{\text{obj}}) \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \left(-\Psi_p \cos(\theta) + \Psi_3 \sin(\theta) \right) \mathbf{e}_p - \Psi_s \mathbf{e}_s \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\cdot e^{-i\kappa r_3^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} e^{-i\xi \rho(\theta) \cos(\varphi - \nu)} \sqrt{\cos(\theta)} \sin(\theta) d\varphi d\theta.
$$
\n(75)

Next we calculate the integral on the right-hand side of Eq. [\(75\)](#page-36-0):

$$
\int_{0}^{\theta_{\max}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left\{ \left(-\Psi_{p} \cos(\theta) + \Psi_{3} \sin(\theta) \right) e_{p} - \Psi_{s} e_{s} \right\} \cdot e^{-i\kappa r_{3}^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} e^{-i\xi \rho(\theta) \cos(\varphi - \nu)} \sqrt{\cos(\theta)} \sin(\theta) d\varphi d\theta
$$
\n
$$
= -\int_{0}^{\theta_{\max}} e^{-i\kappa r_{3}^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} \sqrt{\cos(\theta)} \frac{\sin(2\theta)}{2} \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} \Psi_{p} e_{p} e^{-i\xi \rho(\theta) \cos(\varphi - \nu)} d\varphi \right) d\theta
$$
\n
$$
- \int_{0}^{\theta_{\max}} e^{-i\kappa r_{3}^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} \sqrt{\cos(\theta)} \sin(\theta) \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} \Psi_{s} e_{s} e^{-i\xi \rho(\theta) \cos(\varphi - \nu)} d\varphi \right) d\theta
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_{0}^{\theta_{\max}} e^{-i\kappa r_{3}^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} (\cos(\theta))^{3/2} \frac{1 - \cos(2\theta)}{2} \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} \Psi_{3} e_{p} e^{-i\xi \rho(\theta) \cos(\varphi - \nu)} d\varphi \right) d\theta, \tag{76}
$$

where we use sin and cos summation formulas.

We proceed by first evaluating the inner integrals (involving the φ variable) on the right-hand side of Eq. [\(76\)](#page-37-0), by transforming the $(\mathbf{e}_p, \mathbf{e}_s, \mathbf{e}_3)$ system to the $(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3)$ system, and then using the Bessel identities Eq. [\(117\)](#page-51-1), to evaluate the integrals.

Using Eq. (57) it follows from Eq. (36) that

$$
\Psi_p = |\Psi| \sin(\theta_m) \cos(\varphi_m - \varphi), \quad \Psi_s = |\Psi| \sin(\theta_m) \sin(\varphi_m - \varphi). \tag{77}
$$

Again by application of Eq. (36) and sin and cos summation formulas we get

$$
\Psi_p \cos(\varphi) = \Psi_1 \frac{1 + \cos(2\varphi)}{2} + \Psi_2 \frac{\sin(2\varphi)}{2}, \Psi_p \sin(\varphi)
$$

\n
$$
= \Psi_1 \frac{\sin(2\varphi)}{2} + \Psi_2 \frac{1 - \cos(2\varphi)}{2},
$$

\n
$$
\Psi_s \cos(\varphi) = -\Psi_1 \frac{\sin(2\varphi)}{2} + \Psi_2 \frac{1 + \cos(2\varphi)}{2}, \quad \Psi_s \sin(\varphi)
$$

\n
$$
= -\Psi_1 \frac{1 - \cos(2\varphi)}{2} + \Psi_2 \frac{\sin(2\varphi)}{2}.
$$
\n(78)

Using Eq. (57) , we express the first inner integral on the right-hand side of Eq. (76) :

$$
\int_0^{2\pi} \Psi_p e^{-i\xi \rho(\theta)\cos(\varphi - v)} d\varphi \mathbf{e}_p = \int_0^{2\pi} \Psi_p \cos(\varphi) e^{-i\xi \rho(\theta)\cos(\varphi - v)} d\varphi \mathbf{e}_1
$$

+
$$
\int_0^{2\pi} \Psi_p \sin(\varphi) e^{-i\xi \rho(\theta)\cos(\varphi - v)} d\varphi \mathbf{e}_2,
$$
 (79)

and to evalute the integral we use the Bessel identities Eqs. [\(117\)](#page-51-1), and [\(78\)](#page-37-1).

We use Eq. [\(117\)](#page-51-1) for $m = 0$ and $m = 2$, and Eq. [\(63\)](#page-33-2) to evaluate the first integral in Eq. [\(79\)](#page-37-2):

$$
\int_{0}^{2\pi} \Psi_{p} \cos(\varphi) e^{-i\eta \cos(\varphi - \nu)} d\varphi = \Psi_{1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{1 + \cos(2\varphi)}{2} e^{-i\eta \cos(\varphi - \nu)} d\varphi \n+ \Psi_{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\sin(2\varphi)}{2} e^{-i\eta \cos(\varphi - \nu)} d\varphi \n= \frac{\Psi_{1}}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{-i\eta \cos(\varphi - \nu)} d\varphi + \frac{\Psi_{1}}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \cos(2\varphi) e^{-i\eta \cos(\varphi - \nu)} d\varphi \n+ \frac{\Psi_{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sin(2\varphi) e^{-i\eta \cos(\varphi - \nu)} d\varphi \n= \pi \Psi_{1} J_{0}(\eta) - \pi \Psi_{1} \cos(2\nu) J_{2}(\eta) - \pi \Psi_{2} \sin(2\nu) J_{2}(\eta),
$$
\n(80)

where $\eta = f_{obj} \xi \sin(\theta)$, and a calculation similar to Eq. [\(80\)](#page-38-0) yields

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Psi_p \sin(\varphi) e^{-i\eta \cos(\varphi - \nu)} d\varphi = \Psi_2 J_0(\eta) - \Psi_2 \cos(2\nu) J_2(\eta) - \Psi_1 \sin(2\nu) J_2(\eta).
$$
\n(81)

Thus, using Eqs. (80) and (81) , in Eq. (79) , the first integral expression on the right-hand side of Eq. [\(76\)](#page-37-0), becomes

$$
-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} e^{-i\kappa r_3^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} \sqrt{\cos(\theta)} \frac{\sin(2\theta)}{2} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \Psi_p e_p e^{-i\xi \rho(\theta) \cos(\varphi - \nu)} d\varphi \right) d\theta
$$

\n
$$
= -(\Psi_1 e_1 + \Psi_2 e_2) \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \sqrt{\cos(\theta)} \frac{\sin(2\theta)}{2} e^{-i\kappa r_3^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} J_0 \left(f_{\text{obj}} \xi \sin(\theta) \right) d\theta
$$

\n
$$
+ (\Psi_1 e_1 + \Psi_2 e_2) \cos(2\nu) \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \sqrt{\cos(\theta)} \frac{\sin(2\theta)}{2} e^{-i\kappa r_3^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} J_2 \left(f_{\text{obj}} \xi \sin(\theta) \right) d\theta
$$

\n
$$
+ (\Psi_2 e_1 + \Psi_1 e_2) \sin(2\nu) \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \sqrt{\cos(\theta)} \frac{\sin(2\theta)}{2} e^{-i\kappa r_3^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} J_2 \left(f_{\text{obj}} \xi \sin(\theta) \right) d\theta
$$

\n
$$
= -(\Psi_1 e_1 + \Psi_2 e_2) I_{2,0}(\xi, r_3^{\Psi}) + (\Psi_1 e_1 + \Psi_2 e_2) \cos(2\nu) I_{2,2}(\xi, r_3^{\Psi})
$$

\n
$$
+ (\Psi_2 e_1 + \Psi_1 e_2) \sin(2\nu) I_{2,2}(\xi, r_3^{\Psi}),
$$

where integrals $I_{p,q}(\xi, r_3^{\Psi})$ are as in Eq. [\(74\)](#page-36-1). Similar calculation to Eq. [\(80\)](#page-38-0) yields

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Psi_s \sin(\varphi) e^{-i\eta \cos(\varphi - \nu)} d\varphi = -\Psi_1 J_0(\eta) - \Psi_1 \cos(2\nu) J_2(\eta) - \Psi_2 \sin(2\nu) J_2(\eta),
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Psi_s \cos(\varphi) e^{-i\eta \cos(\varphi - \nu)} d\varphi = \Psi_2 J_0(\eta) + \Psi_2 \cos(2\nu) J_2(\eta) + \Psi_1 \sin(2\nu) J_2(\eta).
$$
(83)

(82)

Next, using Eqs. [\(57\)](#page-30-3) and [\(83\)](#page-38-2), we compute the second integral term on the right-hand side of Eq. [\(76\)](#page-37-0):

$$
-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} e^{-i\kappa r_3^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} \sqrt{\cos(\theta)} \sin(\theta) \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \Psi_s \mathbf{e}_s e^{-i\xi \rho(\theta) \cos(\varphi - \nu)} d\varphi \right) d\theta
$$

\n
$$
= -(\Psi_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \Psi_2 \mathbf{e}_2) \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \sqrt{\cos(\theta)} \sin(\theta) e^{-i\kappa r_3^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} J_0 \left(f_{\text{obj}} \xi \sin(\theta) \right) d\theta
$$

\n
$$
+ (\Psi_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \Psi_2 \mathbf{e}_2) \cos(2\nu) \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \sqrt{\cos(\theta)} \sin(\theta) e^{-i\kappa r_3^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} J_2 \left(f_{\text{obj}} \xi \sin(\theta) \right) d\theta
$$

\n
$$
+ (\Psi_2 \mathbf{e}_1 + \Psi_1 \mathbf{e}_2) \sin(2\nu) \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \sqrt{\cos(\theta)} \sin(\theta) e^{-i\kappa r_3^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} J_2 \left(f_{\text{obj}} \xi \sin(\theta) \right) d\theta
$$

\n
$$
= -(\Psi_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \Psi_2 \mathbf{e}_2) I_{1,0}(\xi, r_3^{\Psi}) + (\Psi_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \Psi_2 \mathbf{e}_2) \cos(2\nu) I_{1,2}(\xi, r_3^{\Psi})
$$

\n
$$
+ (\Psi_2 \mathbf{e}_1 + \Psi_1 \mathbf{e}_2) \sin(2\nu) I_{1,2}(\xi, r_3^{\Psi}),
$$

\n(84)

where integrals $I_{p,q}(\xi, r_3^{\Psi})$ are as in Eq. [\(74\)](#page-36-1).

Next, we compute the last integral term on the right-hand side of Eq. [\(76\)](#page-37-0):

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\theta_{\text{max}}} e^{-i\kappa r_{3}^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} (\cos(\theta))^{3/2} \frac{1 - \cos(2\theta)}{2} \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} \Psi_{3} e_{p} e^{-i\xi_{p}(\theta) \cos(\varphi - \nu)} d\varphi \right) d\theta
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\pi} \Psi_{3} \int_{0}^{\theta_{\text{max}}} e^{-i\kappa r_{3}^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} (\cos(\theta))^{3/2} \frac{1 - \cos(2\theta)}{2}
$$
\n
$$
\left(e_{1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \cos(\varphi) e^{-i\xi_{p}(\theta) \cos(\varphi - \nu)} d\varphi \right) d\theta
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{\pi} \Psi_{3} \int_{0}^{\theta_{\text{max}}} e^{-i\kappa r_{3}^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} (\cos(\theta))^{3/2} \frac{1 - \cos(2\theta)}{2}
$$
\n
$$
\left(e_{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sin(\varphi) e^{-i\xi_{p}(\theta) \cos(\varphi - \nu)} d\varphi \right) d\theta
$$
\n
$$
= -2i \Psi_{3} (\cos(\nu)e_{1} + \sin(\nu)e_{2}) \int_{0}^{\theta_{\text{max}}} (\cos(\theta))^{3/2}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1 - \cos(2\theta)}{2} e^{-i\kappa r_{3}^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} J_{1} \left(f_{\text{obj}} \xi \sin(\theta) \right) d\theta
$$
\n
$$
= -2i \Psi_{3} (\cos(\nu)e_{1} + \sin(\nu)e_{2}) J_{2,1}(\xi, r_{3}^{\Psi}), \qquad (85)
$$

where in the second equality we use Eq. [\(117\)](#page-51-1) for $m = 1$, and in the last equality we use the integral $I_{p,q}(\xi, r_3^{\Psi})$ as in Eq. [\(74\)](#page-36-1).

Using Eqs. (82) , (84) , and (85) , the expression of Eq. (76) becomes

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \left(-\Psi_p \cos(\theta) + \Psi_3 \sin(\theta) \right) \mathbf{e}_p - \Psi_s \mathbf{e}_s \right\} \cdot \\ \cdot e^{-\mathrm{i}\kappa r_s^{\Psi} \cos(\theta)} e^{-\mathrm{i}\xi \rho(\theta) \cos(\varphi - \nu)} \sqrt{\cos(\theta)} \sin(\theta) d\varphi d\theta \n= - (\Psi_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \Psi_2 \mathbf{e}_2) [I_{1,0}(\xi, r_3^{\Psi}) + I_{2,0}(\xi, r_3^{\Psi})] \n+ (\Psi_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \Psi_2 \mathbf{e}_2) \cos(2\nu) [I_{1,2}(\xi, r_3^{\Psi}) + I_{2,2}(\xi, r_3^{\Psi})] \n+ (\Psi_2 \mathbf{e}_1 + \Psi_1 \mathbf{e}_2) \sin(2\nu) [I_{1,2}(\xi, r_3^{\Psi}) + I_{2,2}(\xi, r_3^{\Psi})] \n- 2\mathrm{i} \Psi_3(\cos(\nu) \mathbf{e}_1 + \sin \mathbf{e}_2) I_{2,1}(\xi),
$$
\n(86)

where the integrals $I_{p,q}(\xi)$ are defined in Eq. [\(74\)](#page-36-1).

6.4 Electric Field Approximation in the Lens

After the light ray has passed through the objective and the back focal plane, a tube lens is placed to focus the light rays onto the image plane.

Definition 11 (Tube Lens Parameters) For the tube lens, we assume that it is a plano-convex *converging lens* with focal length $f_L > 0$, placed with one side at $r_3^{\text{tl}_i}$ and the other side at $r_3^{\text{tl}_o}$ (see Fig. [8\)](#page-28-0). Moreover the lens has a thickness which is measured orthogonal to **e**³ by the function d.

The incoming field at the tube lens $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{t-1}}$ (as defined in Eq. [\(69\)](#page-34-4)) and the outgoing wave field $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\texttt{t}_0}$ immediately after the lens aperture are related by (we use the same polar coordinates (ρ, φ) in both planes $\{r_3 = r_3^{\text{tl}_i}\}\$ and $\{r_3 = r_3^{\text{tl}_o}\}\$

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\texttt{tl}_o}(\rho,\varphi) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mu(\rho)} P_{\texttt{L}}(\rho) \widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\texttt{tl}_i}(\rho,\varphi),\tag{87}
$$

where P_{L} is the pupil function associated with the tube lens as in Eq. [\(53\)](#page-28-1), μ is the phase shift experienced by the field through the tube lens (note that it does not depend on φ):

$$
\mu(\rho) = \underbrace{\kappa n_l d(\rho)}_{\text{phase delay by lens}} + \underbrace{\kappa (d_0 - d(\rho))}_{\text{phase delay by vacuum}},
$$
\n(88)

where d_0 is the maximum thickness of the lens, $d(\rho)$ is the thickness of the lens at distance ρ from the optical axis, n_l is the refractive index of the lens material, and κ as defined in Eq. [\(22\)](#page-16-0). The phase delay induced by the lens, under the assumption of a *paraxial approximation* reads as follows (see Table [1](#page-9-0) for the summary of all physical parameters below):

 \Box

$$
\mu(\rho) \approx \kappa n_l d_0 - \frac{\kappa}{2f_L} \rho^2 \text{ for all } \rho \in \mathbb{R}.
$$
 (89)

6.5 Electric Field Approximation in the Image Plane

Definition 12 The *electric field at the focal plane*,

$$
\mathcal{I} := \left\{ (\mathbf{x}_f, r_3^f) : \mathbf{x}_f \in \mathbb{R}^2 \right\},\tag{90}
$$

is denoted by $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^f(\mathbf{x}_f) := \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}_f, r_3^f)$, where $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}$ solves the boundary value problem

$$
\Delta \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, r_3) + \kappa^2(\mathbf{x}, r_3) \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, r_3) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2, \ r_3^{\text{t1}_o} < r_3 < r_3^{\text{f}} \tag{91}
$$

with boundary data

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, r_3^{\text{tl}_o}) = \widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{tl}_o}(\mathbf{x}) \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{92}
$$

Note that \hat{E}^{t_1} ⁰ as defined in Eq. [\(64\)](#page-33-1) is already an approximation of the electric field outside of the lens system.

Following [\[14,](#page-52-16) Eqs 5-14], we can calculate the field **E** in the *image plane*.

Lemma 8 At a point \mathbf{x}_f in the image plane,

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{f}})=\frac{1}{i\lambda\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{L}}}e^{i\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}(f_{\mathrm{L}}+n_{\mathrm{f}}d_{0})}e^{i\frac{\pi}{\lambda\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{L}}|\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{f}}|^{2}}}\int_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^{2}}P_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathbf{x})\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\mathrm{t}\mathrm{1}_{i}}(\mathbf{x})e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{\lambda\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{L}}}\langle\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{f}},\mathbf{x}\rangle}d\mathbf{x} \text{ for all }\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{f}}\in\mathbb{R}^{2}.
$$
\n(93)

Proof We apply the Huygens-Fresnel principle (see [\[14,](#page-52-16) Eqs 4-17]) to compute the field in the *image plane*:

$$
\begin{split} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{f}}(\mathbf{x}_{\text{f}}) &= \frac{1}{i\lambda d} e^{i\kappa d} e^{\frac{i\kappa}{2d}|\mathbf{x}_{\text{f}}|^2} \int_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{t1}_o}(\mathbf{x}) e^{i\frac{\kappa}{2d}|\mathbf{x}|^2} e^{-i\frac{\kappa}{d}(\mathbf{x}_{\text{f}},\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{i\lambda d} e^{i\kappa d} e^{\frac{i\kappa}{2d}|\mathbf{x}_{\text{f}}|^2} \int_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^2} P_{\text{L}}(\mathbf{x}) \widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{t1}_i}(\mathbf{x}) e^{i\mu(\mathbf{x})} e^{i\frac{\kappa}{2d}|\mathbf{x}|^2} e^{-\frac{i\kappa}{d}(\mathbf{x}_{\text{f}},\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{i\lambda d} e^{i\kappa d} e^{\frac{i\kappa}{2d}|\mathbf{x}_{\text{f}}|^2} \int_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^2} P_{\text{L}}(\mathbf{x}) \widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{t1}_i}(\mathbf{x}) e^{i\kappa n_l d_0} e^{-i\frac{\kappa}{2d\text{L}}|\mathbf{x}|^2} e^{i\frac{\kappa}{2d}|\mathbf{x}|^2} e^{-i\frac{\kappa}{d}(\mathbf{x}_{\text{f}},\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{i\lambda d} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} (d+n_l d_0)} e^{i\frac{\pi}{\lambda d}|\mathbf{x}_{\text{f}}|^2} \int_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^2} P_{\text{L}}(\mathbf{x}) \widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{t1}_i}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\frac{\pi}{\lambda \text{f}}(1-\frac{\text{f}_{\text{L}}}{d})|\mathbf{x}|^2} e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{\lambda d}(\mathbf{x}_{\text{f}},\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}, \end{split}
$$

where in the second equality we use Eq. [\(87\)](#page-40-2), in the third equality we use the paraxial approximation Eq. [\(89\)](#page-41-1), and in the last equality we use $\kappa = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$. Now, if the image

plane is at the distance $d = f_L$, the quadratic phase factor terms within the integrand exactly cancel, leaving

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{f}}(\mathbf{x}_{\text{f}})=\frac{1}{i\lambda\mathbf{f}_{\text{L}}}e^{i\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}(\mathbf{f}_{\text{L}}+n_{l}d_{0})}e^{i\frac{\pi}{\lambda\mathbf{f}_{\text{L}}}}|\mathbf{x}_{\text{f}}|^{2}\int_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^{2}}P_{\text{L}}(\mathbf{x})\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{t1}_{i}}(\mathbf{x})e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{\lambda\mathbf{f}_{\text{L}}}\langle\mathbf{x}_{\text{f}},\mathbf{x}\rangle}d\mathbf{x},
$$

where the term $e^{i\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}(f_L+n_l d_0)}$ is a constant amplitude, and the term $e^{i\frac{\pi}{\lambda f_L}|x_f|^2}$ describes a spherical phase curvature in the focal plane. \Box

Remark 6 From Eq. [\(93\)](#page-41-2) it follows by the convolution theorem for the Fourier transform that

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{f}}(\mathbf{x}_{\text{f}}) = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda \mathbf{f}_{\text{L}}} e^{i\pi \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\lambda} (\mathbf{f}_{\text{L}} + \mathbf{n}_{\text{l}} d_{0}) + \frac{1}{\lambda \mathbf{f}_{\text{L}}}} |\mathbf{x}_{\text{f}}|^{2} \right)} \mathcal{F}_{12}[P_{\text{L}} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{t}}] \left(2\pi \frac{\mathbf{x}_{\text{f}}}{\lambda \mathbf{f}_{\text{L}}} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= C_{\text{f}} \Phi_{\text{f}} \left(\mathcal{F}_{12}[P_{\text{L}}] * \mathcal{F}_{12}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{t}}] \right) \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda \mathbf{f}_{\text{L}}} \mathbf{x}_{\text{f}} \right) \text{ for all } \mathbf{x}_{\text{f}} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \tag{94}
$$

where

$$
C_{\rm f} = \frac{1}{\lambda \mathsf{f}_{\rm L}} \text{ and } \Phi_{\rm f} = e^{i\pi \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\lambda} (\mathsf{f}_{\rm L} + \mathsf{n}_l \mathsf{d}_0) + \frac{1}{\lambda \mathsf{f}_{\rm L}} |\mathbf{x}_{\rm f}|^2\right)}.
$$
 (95)

In the next step we calculate the Fourier-transform of a circular pupil function:

Lemma 9 *Let* $P : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ *be the* circular pupil function *with radius R, as defined in Eq.* [\(53\)](#page-28-1)*, then*

$$
\mathcal{F}_{12}[P](\mathbf{v}) = R^2 \frac{J_1(R|\mathbf{v}|)}{R|\mathbf{v}|} \text{ for all } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{96}
$$

Proof We use the following polar coordinates:

$$
\mathbf{v} = \varkappa \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\vartheta) \\ \sin(\vartheta) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{y} = \varrho \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\sigma) \\ \sin(\sigma) \end{pmatrix},
$$

then the Fourier transform of the pupil function

$$
\mathcal{F}_{12}[P](\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2} P(\mathbf{y}) e^{-i\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{v}} d\mathbf{y} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^R \varrho \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\mathbf{x}\varrho \cos(\sigma - \vartheta)} d\sigma d\varrho
$$

$$
= \int_0^R \varrho J_0(\mathbf{x}\varrho) d\varrho = \frac{R}{\mathbf{x}} J_1(R\mathbf{x}) = R^2 \frac{J_1(R|\mathbf{v}|)}{R|\mathbf{v}|},\tag{97}
$$

where we use Eq. [\(117\)](#page-51-1) for $m = 0$ in the third equality.

Now, we calculate the *k*-transform of $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{bfp}}$ in this approximation.

 \Box

6.6 Small Aperature

In what follows, we are interested in a small aperture. Of course small apertures have the problem that only little light of the emitted dipoles passes through the lens and thus these considerations are more of theoretical nature.

In case the numerical aperture NA is small, that is if θ_{max} is small, it follows from Eq. (64) that

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{\text{small}}^{\text{bfp}}(\rho,\varphi) = C(\mathbf{f}_{\text{obj}}) \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \chi_{\rho \leq \text{NA}}.\tag{98}
$$

In what follows, we denote by P_{NA} the pupil function $\chi_{\rho \leq NA}$. We emphasize that the left-hand side of Eq. (98) is actually an approximation of the right-hand side of Eq. (64) . Next we calculate the *k*-transform of \widehat{E}^{bfp} in this approximation. Noting that the objective acts again as a pupil function with disc radius NA we get analogously to Lemma [9](#page-42-1) and by using Eq. [\(73\)](#page-36-2)

$$
\mathcal{F}_{12}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{small}^{\text{L1}_i}](\xi, \nu) = C(\mathbf{f}_{\text{obj}}) e^{\mathrm{i} (r_3^{\text{L1}_i} - r_3^{\text{bfp}}) \sqrt{\kappa^2 - \xi^2}} \n\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{12}[P_{\text{NA}}](\xi, \nu), \quad \xi^2 \leq \kappa^2, \nu \in [0, 2\pi).
$$
\n(99)

7 Single Molecule Localization Microscopy Experiments and Inverse Problems

We consider two experiments in two different settings:

Experiment

For *setting* 1 we assume *n* static emitting dipoles: Several monochromatic plane waves of the same frequency ω_{inc} but with different orientations $\mathbf{v}^{(j)}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ with $M > 1$ are used to illuminate the cell. Every emitting dipole emits light in an orientation $\Psi^{(j)}(k)$, $k = 1, 2, ..., n$, $j = 1, 2, ..., M$ (depending on the incident field according to Eq. (51)).

Therefore, for each experiment $j = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ the current

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{J}}^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) = i\omega \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Psi^{(j)}(k) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^{\Psi(k)})
$$

and the density

$$
\widehat{\rho}^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) = -\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| \Psi^{(j)}(k) \right| \delta'(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^{\Psi(k)}) = -\sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta'(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^{\Psi(k)})
$$

are given via Eqs. [\(38\)](#page-21-0) and [\(37\)](#page-21-1) as the superposition of all dipoles. Note, that we assume that all dipoles are unit vectors.

Consequently, the electric field $\mathbf{\hat{E}} := \mathbf{\hat{E}}^{(j)}$ solves Eq. [\(29\)](#page-18-1),

$$
\Delta_{\mathbf{r}} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) + \kappa^2(\omega) \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) = \frac{i\omega}{\epsilon_0 c^2} \widehat{\mathbf{J}}^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \widehat{\rho}^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) \text{ for all } \mathbf{r} \in \Omega.
$$

1. The measurements recorded in a *static experiment* are the energies of the electric field in the image plane, after the light has passed through the imaging system. That is, for each experiment $j = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ the data

$$
m_i^{(j)}(\mathbf{x}_f; t) = \left| (\mathbf{E}_i^{\text{f}})^{(j)} \right|^2 (\mathbf{x}_f; t) \text{ for all } \mathbf{x}_f \in \mathbb{R}^2, t > 0 \text{ and for } i = 1, 2
$$
\n(100)

are recorded.

2. In the *dynamic experiment* setting the static experiment is repeated. We denote the experiment repetitions with the parameter *s*: This experimental setup is used in practice because it makes use of blinking dyes, which allows for better localization of the dyes, and thus molecules. That is, the measurements are

$$
m^{(j)}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{f}}; t; s) = \left| \left(\mathbf{E}_{i}^{\mathrm{f}} \right)^{(j)} \right|^{2} (\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{f}}; t; s) \text{ for all } \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{f}} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, t, s > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2.
$$
\n(101)

For *setting* 2 we assume rotating dipoles, which are modelled via Eq. [\(50\)](#page-25-1)—here in particular we assume that the cone becomes the ball. That is, $\theta = \pi$. Note that in this case several monochromatic excitations do not provide an asset, so we can constrain ourselves to the case $M = 1$. As a consequence of Eq. [\(50\)](#page-25-1), the emitted currents of all rotating dipoles of a single molecule localization microscopy experiment are given by

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) = i\omega \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{I}_{k} \mathbb{1}_{k}(\mathbf{r}),
$$
\n(102)

and according to Eq. [\(29\)](#page-18-1) the electric field satisfies the equation

$$
\Delta_{\mathbf{r}} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) + \kappa^2(\omega) \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r};\omega) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \sum_m \mathbf{I}_m \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_m(\mathbf{r};\omega) \text{ for all } \mathbf{r} \in \Omega.
$$
 (103)

1. The measurements recorded in a single molecule localization microscopy are the energies of the electric field in the focal plane (see Eq. (93)), after the light has passed through the imaging system. That is, the data

$$
m(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{f}}; t) = \left| \mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{f}} \right|^{2} (\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{f}}; t) \text{ for all } \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{f}} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad t > 0
$$
 (104)

are recorded.

2. In the *dynamic experiment* setting the static experiment is repeated, and we denote every repetition experiment with the parameter *s*: That is the measurements are

$$
m(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{f}}; t; s) = \left|\mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{f}}\right|^{2}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{f}}; t; s) \text{ for all } \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{f}} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad t, s > 0.
$$
 (105)

Note the difference between setting 1 and 2. In the former it is much easier to identify dipoles because the orientation can be resolved and is not changing over time.

7.1 The Limit

Using the small aperture limit of Sect. [6.6](#page-43-2) in combination with the formula for the electric field on the image plane Eq. [\(94\)](#page-42-2), we can compute the electric field in the image plane from Eq. [\(99\)](#page-43-3). We first make use of a linear approximation of the function $[0, \kappa] \ni \rho \rightarrow \sqrt{\kappa^2 - \rho^2} \simeq \kappa$, that is we assume that between the back focal plane of the objective and the lens, the electric field only undergoes a phase shift which does not depend on the distance to the optical axis. It follows then from Eq. [\(99\)](#page-43-3) that

$$
\mathcal{F}_{12}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{small}^{\text{tl}}](\xi,\nu) \simeq C(\mathbf{f}_{\text{obj}}) e^{\mathrm{i}(r_3^{\text{tl}_i} - r_3^{\text{bfp}})\kappa} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{12}[P_{\text{NA}}](\xi,\nu). \tag{106}
$$

Applying Eq. [\(94\)](#page-42-2) where we replace $\mathcal{F}_{12}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{\text{t1}}]$ by $\mathcal{F}_{12}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{small}^{\text{t1}}]$ and inserting Eq. (106) , we obtain

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{small}^{f}(\mathbf{x}_{f}) = C_{f} \Phi_{f} \left(\mathcal{F}_{12}[P_{L}] * \mathcal{F}_{12}[\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{small}^{t1}] \right) \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda f_{L}} \mathbf{x}_{f} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{1} \\ \Psi_{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{F}_{12}[P_{L}] * \mathcal{F}_{12}[P_{NA}]) (\xi, \nu)
$$
\n
$$
= \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{1} \\ \Psi_{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{12}[P_{L}P_{NA}](\xi, \nu),
$$

where we use the following polar coordinates: $\frac{2\pi}{\lambda f} \mathbf{x}_f = \xi \begin{pmatrix} \cos(v) \\ \sin(v) \end{pmatrix}$.

Now, assuming that $R \geq N_A$ (the lens is bigger than the objective), we have $P_{\text{L}}P_{\text{NA}} = P_{\text{NA}}$ and Eq. [\(97\)](#page-42-3) provides,

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{small}^{\text{f}}(\mathbf{x}_{\text{f}}) = C(\mathbf{f}_{\text{obj}})C_{\text{f}} \Phi_{\text{f}} e^{i(r_{3}^{\text{t}1} - r_{3}^{\text{bfp}})\kappa}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\Psi_{1} \\
\Psi_{2} \\
0\n\end{pmatrix} \text{NA}^{2} \frac{J_{1}(\text{NA} \frac{2\pi}{\lambda \mathbf{f}_{\text{L}}}| \mathbf{x}_{\text{f}}|)}{\text{NA} \frac{2\pi}{\lambda \mathbf{f}_{\text{L}}}| \mathbf{x}_{\text{f}}|} =: \Gamma(\omega) \begin{pmatrix}\n\Psi_{1} \\
\Psi_{2} \\
0\n\end{pmatrix} \text{NA}^{2} \frac{J_{1}(\text{NA} \frac{2\pi}{\lambda \mathbf{f}_{\text{L}}}| \mathbf{x}_{\text{f}}|)}{\text{NA} \frac{2\pi}{\lambda \mathbf{f}_{\text{L}}}| \mathbf{x}_{\text{f}}|}.
$$

Finally, what is actually measured in experiments is the intensity $m(\mathbf{x}_f, t)$ = $|\mathbf{E}^{\text{f}}(\mathbf{x}_{\text{f}},t)|^2$ averaged in time. If we assume that the signal **E** is compactly supported in time and that what is measured by the detector contains this whole support, we can use that the time Fourier transform is a unitary operator and write

$$
\bar{m}(\mathbf{x}_{f}) = \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbf{E}^{f}(\mathbf{x}_{f})|^{2} dt
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} |\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{f}(\mathbf{x}_{f})|^{2} d\omega
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} |\Gamma(\omega)|^{2} \left(\text{NA}^{2} \frac{J_{1}(\text{NA} \frac{2\pi}{\lambda f_{\text{L}}} |\mathbf{x}_{f}|)}{\text{NA}^{2} \frac{2\pi}{\lambda f_{\text{L}}} |\mathbf{x}_{f}|} \right)^{2} \left(\Psi_{1}^{2} + \Psi_{2}^{2} \right) d\omega.
$$
\n(107)

So, under these approximations (small aperture), what is observed by the detector is an *Airy pattern* (see Fig. [11\)](#page-47-0) whose intensity depends on the optical system but is also proportional to the squared norm of the component of the dipole which lies orthogonally to the optical axis. Making use of the notation introduced before for the dipole orientation, we obtain that *the measured intensity m is proportional to* $\cos^2(\theta_m)$. Since the Airy function can be well approximated by a Gaussian function, the measured signals of the emitted dyes very much looks like a superposition of Gaussian functions.

Fig. 11 Airy pattern

Now, having summarized the mathematical modeling of single molecule localization microscopy we can state the associated inverse problems:

Definition 13 (Inverse Problem) The inverse problem of single molecule localization microscopy in the two different settings for the two experiments consists in calculating

 $(\Psi_m, \mathbf{r}_m, \chi_m(s))_{m=1,\dots,M}$, from the measurements *m*.

Indeed the inverse problem could also be generalized to reconstruct Eq. [\(103\)](#page-45-1) in addition, which would result in an *inverse scattering problem* [\[8\]](#page-52-18). However, this complex problem is not considered here further.

In current practice of single molecule localization microscopy the simplified formulas Eq. [\(107\)](#page-46-0) are used for reconstruction of the center of gravities (r_m^1, r_m^2) in the measurement data *m* induced by the point spread function PSF*ω*.

8 Conclusion

The main objective of this work was to model mathematically the propagation of light emitted from dyes in a superresolution imaging experiment. We formulated basic inverse problems related to single molecule superresolution microscopy, with the goal to have a basis for computational and quantitative single molecule superresolution imaging. The derivation of the according equations follows the physical and chemical literature of superresolution microscopy, in particular [\[3,](#page-52-14) [17\]](#page-52-19), which are combined with the mathematical theory of distributions to translate physical and chemical terminologies into a mathematical framework.

Appendix 1: Derivation of Particular Fourier Transforms

Before reading through the appendix it might be useful to recall the notation of Table [2.](#page-29-3) The derivation in Lemma [3](#page-22-2) uses the *residual theorem*.

Theorem 2 (Residual Theorem) Let the function $z \in \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \tilde{f}(z) := f(z)e^{iaz}$ with *a >* 0 *satisfy the following properties:*

- *1. f is analytic with at most finitely many poles* p_i , $i = 1, \ldots, m$ *, which do not lie on the real axis.*
- *2. There exists* $M, R > 0$ *such that for every* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *satisfying* $\Im(z) > 0$ *and* $|z| > R$

$$
|f(z)| \le \frac{M}{|z|}.\tag{108}
$$

Then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{f}(x) dx = 2\pi i \sum_{i=1}^{m} Res(\tilde{f}; p_i).
$$

Using this lemma we are able to prove the following result used in Lemma [3:](#page-22-2)

Lemma 10 *Let the assumptions and notation of Lemma [3](#page-22-2) hold (in particular this means that* $r_3, r_3^{\Psi} \in \mathbb{R}$ *satisfy* $r_3 - r_3^{\Psi} > 0$ *), then*

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathcal{F}_3^{-1}\left[k_3 \to \left(\Psi + \frac{(\Psi \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{k}|^2 - \kappa_\varepsilon^2}\right) e^{-ik_3 r_3^{\Psi}}\right] (r_3)
$$
\n
$$
= \Psi_3 \mathbf{e}_3 \tilde{\delta}(r_3 - r_3^{\Psi}) + \frac{i e^{iq_\varepsilon (r_3 - r_3^{\Psi})}}{2q_\varepsilon} (\Psi \times \mathbf{k}_{q_\varepsilon}) \times \mathbf{k}_{q_\varepsilon}.
$$
\n(109)

First, we note that

$$
\Psi + \frac{(\Psi \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k}}{k_3^2 - q_\varepsilon^2} = \left(\Psi - \Psi_3 \mathbf{e}_3 + \frac{(\Psi \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k}}{k_3^2 - q_\varepsilon^2}\right) + \Psi_3 \mathbf{e}_3.
$$

Now, we calculate the Fourier-transform of each of the two terms on the right-hand side. The second term can be calculated from Eq. [\(9\)](#page-13-3) and is given by

$$
\mathcal{F}_3^{-1}[k_3 \mapsto e^{-ik_3 r_3^{\Psi}}](r_3) = \sqrt{2\pi} \tilde{\delta}(r_3 - r_3^{\Psi}). \tag{110}
$$

For the calculation of the first term, let

$$
z \in \mathbb{C} \to f(z) := \frac{1}{z^2 - q_{\varepsilon}^2} \left(\Psi \times \binom{k_1}{z} \right) \times \binom{k_1}{z} + \Psi - \Psi_3 \mathbf{e}_3, \tag{111}
$$

and we use the residual theorem:

- Clearly *f* is analytic with potential poles at $k_3 = \pm q_{\varepsilon}$.
- To verify Eq. [\(108\)](#page-48-0) we use the elementary calculation rules for ∇ **_r**×, summarized in Eq. (45) and get

$$
\frac{(\Psi \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k}}{k_3^2 - q_\varepsilon^2} = -\frac{1}{k_3^2 - q_\varepsilon^2}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{pmatrix}\nk_3^2(\Psi - \Psi_3 \mathbf{e}_3) - k_3 \binom{\Psi_3 k_1}{\Psi_3 k_2} + (k_1^2 + k_2^2) \Psi - \binom{(\Psi_1 k_1 + \Psi_2 k_2) k_1}{(\Psi_1 k_1 + \Psi_2 k_2) k_2} \right)\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{k_3^2 - q_\varepsilon^2} \begin{pmatrix}\nk_3^2(\Psi - \Psi_3 \mathbf{e}_3) - k_3 \binom{\Psi_3 k_1}{\Psi_3 k_2} \\
k_3^2(\Psi - \Psi_3 \mathbf{e}_3) - k_3 \binom{\Psi_3 k_1}{\Psi_1 k_1 + \Psi_2 k_2}\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
+ (k_1^2 + k_2^2) (\Psi - \Psi_3 \mathbf{e}_3) + \begin{pmatrix}\n-\Psi_1 k_1^2 - \Psi_2 k_2 k_1 \\
-\Psi_2 k_2^2 - \Psi_1 k_1 k_2\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{k_3^2 - q_\varepsilon^2} \left((k_3^2 - q_\varepsilon^2) (\Psi - \Psi_3 \mathbf{e}_3) - k_3 \binom{\Psi_3 k_1}{\Psi_1 k_1 + \Psi_2 k_2} + \kappa^2 (\Psi - \Psi_3 \mathbf{e}_3) + \begin{pmatrix}\n-\Psi_1 k_1^2 - \Psi_2 k_2 k_1 \\
-\Psi_2 k_2^2 - \Psi_1 k_1 k_2 \\
(k_1^2 + k_2^2) \Psi_3\n\end{pmatrix} = -\Psi + \Psi_3 \mathbf{e}_3 + \frac{k_3}{k_3^2 - q_\varepsilon^2} \mathbf{a} - \frac{1}{k_3^2 - q_\varepsilon^2} \mathbf{b}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_3 k_1 \\ \Psi_3 k_2 \\ \Psi_1 k_1 + \Psi_2 k_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} (\kappa^2 - k_1^2) \Psi_1 - \Psi_2 k_1 k_2 \\ (\kappa^2 - k_2^2) \Psi_2 - \Psi_1 k_1 k_2 \\ (k_1^2 + k_2^2) \Psi_3 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Using that

$$
\frac{k_3}{k_3^2 - q_\varepsilon^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{k_3 - q_\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{k_3 + q_\varepsilon} \right) \text{ and } \frac{1}{k_3^2 - q_\varepsilon^2} = \frac{1}{2q_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{k_3 - q_\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{k_3 + q_\varepsilon} \right)
$$

we get

$$
\frac{(\Psi \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k}}{k_3^2 - q_\varepsilon^2} + \Psi - \Psi_3 \mathbf{e}_3 = \frac{1}{k_3 - q_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a} - \frac{1}{2q_\varepsilon} \mathbf{b} \right) + \frac{1}{k_3 + q_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a} + \frac{1}{2q_\varepsilon} \mathbf{b} \right). \tag{112}
$$

This shows Eq. [\(108\)](#page-48-0).

Therefore we can apply the residual Theorem [2](#page-48-1) for *f* defined in [\(111\)](#page-48-2). Then, since q_{ε} is complex with positive imaginary part, *f* has only one pole in the upper half plane, and we get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(k_3) e^{ik_3(r_3 - r_3^{\Psi})} dk_3 = 2\pi i \text{Res}\left(f(z) e^{iz(r_3 - r_3^{\Psi})}; z = q_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

$$
= 2\pi i \left(\frac{1}{2q_{\varepsilon}} \left(\Psi \times \binom{k_1}{k_2}_{q_{\varepsilon}}\right) \times \binom{k_1}{q_{\varepsilon}} e^{iq_{\varepsilon}(r_3 - r_3^{\Psi})}\right).
$$

This implies

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathcal{F}_3^{-1}\left[k_3 \to \left(\Psi + \frac{(\Psi \times \mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{k}|^2 - \kappa_{\varepsilon}^2}\right)e^{-ik_3r_3^{\Psi}}\right](r_3)
$$

$$
= \Psi_3\mathbf{e}_3\tilde{\delta}(r_3 - r_3^{\Psi}) + \frac{ie^{iq_{\varepsilon}(r_3 - r_3^{\Psi})}}{2q_{\varepsilon}}(\Psi \times \mathbf{k}_{q_{\varepsilon}}) \times \mathbf{k}_{q_{\varepsilon}}.
$$

Appendix 2: Derivation of the Far Field Approximation for the Electric Field

Lemma 11 *Let* ζ *be the function defined in Eq.*[\(61\)](#page-30-4)*. That is, for all* **k**₁₂ $\in \mathbb{R}^2$ ζ (**k**₁₂) = **k**₁₂ · **v** + *q*(**k**₁₂)*, with* $q = \sqrt{\kappa^2 - k_1^2 - k_2^2}$; note the formal definition of q *in Eq.* [\(42\)](#page-22-3) *is via the limit* $\varepsilon \to 0$ *. Then the gradient of* ζ *is given by*

$$
\nabla \zeta(\mathbf{k}_{12}) = \mathbf{v} - \frac{\mathbf{k}_{12}}{\sqrt{\kappa^2 - |\mathbf{k}_{12}|^2}},
$$
(113)

which vanishes for

$$
\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{12} := \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{1+|\mathbf{v}|^2}} \mathbf{v}.\tag{114}
$$

Consequently $\mathbf{r} = r_3 \mathbf{e}_3 + r_3 \left(\mathbf{v} \ 1\right)^T$ *as in Eq.* [\(59\)](#page-30-2) *satisfies*

$$
\frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\mathbf{v}|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (115)

Moreover, with q as defined in Eq. [\(42\)](#page-22-3)*, we get the following identities*

$$
\zeta(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) = \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{v} + q = \kappa \sqrt{1 + |\mathbf{v}|^2} \quad and
$$

$$
q = q(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) = \sqrt{\kappa^2 - \hat{k}_1^2 - \hat{k}_2^2} = \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{1 + |\mathbf{v}|^2}}.
$$
 (116)

The Hessian of ζ is given by

$$
H(\zeta)(\mathbf{k}_{12}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa^2 - |\mathbf{k}_{12}|^2}} - \frac{k_1^2}{(\kappa^2 - |\mathbf{k}_{12}|^2)^{3/2}} & -\frac{k_1k_2}{(\kappa^2 - |\mathbf{k}_{12}|^2)^{3/2}} \\ -\frac{k_1k_2}{(\kappa^2 - |\mathbf{k}_{12}|^2)^{3/2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa^2 - |\mathbf{k}_{12}|^2}} - \frac{k_2^2}{(\kappa^2 - |\mathbf{k}_{12}|^2)^{3/2}} \end{pmatrix},
$$

which evaluated at **k**ˆ *gives*

$$
H(\zeta)(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) =
$$
\n
$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n-\frac{c}{\omega}\sqrt{1+|\mathbf{v}|^2} - \frac{c^3}{\omega^3}(1+|\mathbf{v}|^2)^{3/2} \cdot \frac{v_1^2\omega^2}{c^2(1+|\mathbf{v}|^2)} \\
-\frac{c^3}{\omega^3}(1+|\mathbf{v}|^2)^{3/2} \cdot \frac{v_1v_2\omega^2}{c^2(1+|\mathbf{v}|^2)} \\
-\frac{c}{\omega^3}(1+|\mathbf{v}|^2)^{3/2} \cdot \frac{v_1v_2\omega^2}{c^2(1+|\mathbf{v}|^2)} \\
=-\frac{c}{\omega}\sqrt{1+|\mathbf{v}|^2}\left(\frac{1+v_1^2}{v_1v_2}\frac{v_1v_2}{1+v_2^2}\right),\n\end{pmatrix},
$$

and the determinant satisfies

$$
=\frac{c^2(1+|\mathbf{v}|^2)^2}{\omega^2}>0.
$$

Appendix 3: Bessel Identities

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi_0 \in [0, 2\pi)$ the Bessel-identities hold:

$$
2\pi(-1)^m i^m J_m(x) \cos(m\varphi_0) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ix \cos(\varphi - \varphi_0)} \cos(m\varphi) d\varphi,
$$

$$
2\pi(-1)^m i^m J_m(x) \sin(m\varphi_0) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ix \cos(\varphi - \varphi_0)} \sin(m\varphi) d\varphi,
$$
 (117)

where J_m is the Bessel function of the first kind of order m .

Acknowledgments OS is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), within SFB F68 (Tomography across the scales), project F6807-N36 (Tomography with Uncertainties) and I3661- N27 (Novel Error Measures and Source Conditions of Regularization Methods for Inverse Problems). KS is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), project P31053-N32 (Weighted X-ray transform and applications). MLM, MS and GS are also supported by the SFB F68, project F6809-N36 (Ultra-high Resolution Microscopy). JS is supported in part by the NSF grant DMS-1912821 and the AFOSR grant FA9550-19-1-0320.

References

- 1. E. Abbe, Beiträge zur Theorie des Mikroskops und der mikroskopischen Wahrnehmung. Arch. Mikrosk. Anat. **9**(1), 413–468 (1873)
- 2. P. Annibale, S. Vanni, M. Scarselli, U. Rothlisberger, A. Radenovic, Quantitative photo activated localization microscopy: unraveling the effects of photoblinking. PLoS One **6**(7), e22678 (2011)
- 3. D. Axelrod, Fluorescence excitation and imaging of single molecules near dielectric-coated and bare surfaces: a theoretical study. J. Microsc. **247**(2), 147–160 (2012)
- 4. F. Balzarotti, Y. Eilers, K.C. Gwosch, A.H. Gynnå, V. Westphal, F.D. Stefani, J. Elf, S.W. Hell, Nanometer resolution imaging and tracking of fluorescent molecules with minimal photon fluxes. Science **355**(6325), 606–612 (2017)
- 5. F. Baumgart, A.M. Arnold, B.K. Rossboth, M. Brameshuber, G.J. Schutz, What we talk about when we talk about nanoclusters. Methods Appl. Fluores. **7**(1), 013001 (2019)
- 6. E. Betzig, G.H. Patterson, R. Sougrat, O.W. Lindwasser, S. Olenych, J.S. Bonifacino, M.W. Davidson, J. Lippincott-Schwartz, H.F. Hess, Imaging intracellular fluorescent proteins at nanometer resolution. Science **313**(5793), 1642–1645 (2006)
- 7. M. Born, E. Wolf, *Principles of Optics*, 7th edn. (Cambridge University, Cambridge, 1999)
- 8. F. Cakoni, D. Colton, *A Qualitative Approach to Inverse Scattering Theory* (Springer, New York, 2014)
- 9. B.J. Davis, A.K. Swan, M.S. Ünlü, W.C. Karl, B.B. Goldberg, J.C. Schotland, P.S. Carney, Spectral self-interference microscopy for low-signal nanoscale axial imaging. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **24**(11), 3587–3599 (2007)
- 10. H. Deschout, F.C. Zanacchi, M. Mlodzianoski, A. Diaspro, J. Bewersdorf, S.T. Hess, K. Braeckmans, Precisely and accurately localizing single emitters in fluorescence microscopy. Nat. Meth. **11**(3), 253–266 (2014)
- 11. P. Elbau, L. Mindrinos, O. Scherzer, Mathematical methods of optical coherence tomography, in *Handbook of Mathematical Methods in Imaging*, ed. by O. Scherzer (Springer, New York, 2015), pp. 1169–1204
- 12. G.W. Ford, W.H. Weber, Electromagnetic interactions of molecules with metal surfaces. Phys. Rep. **113**(4), 195–287 (1984)
- 13. A.C. Gilbert, H.W. Levinson, J.C. Schotland, Imaging from the inside out: inverse scattering with photoactivated internal sources. Opt. Lett. **43**(12), 3005–3008 (2018)
- 14. J.W. Goodman, *Introduction to Fourier Optics* (Roberts, New York, 2005)
- 15. G. Grubb, Distributions and operators, in *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* (Springer, New York, 2009)
- 16. M.G.L. Gustafsson, Nonlinear structured-illumination microscopy: Wide-field fluorescence imaging with theoretically unlimited resolution. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **102**(37), 13081– 13086 (2005)
- 17. E.H. Hellen, D. Axelrod, Fluorescence emission at dielectric and metal-film interfaces. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **4**(3), 337–350 (1987)
- 18. M. Hofmann, C. Eggeling, S. Jakobs, S.W. Hell, Breaking the diffraction barrier in fluorescence microscopy at low light intensities by using reversibly photoswitchable proteins. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **102**(49), 17565–17569 (2005)
- 19. L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I*, 2nd edn. (Springer, Berlin, 2003)
- 20. J.D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3rd edn. (Wiley, New York, 1998)
- 21. R. Jungmann, C. Steinhauer, M. Scheible, A. Kuzyk, P. Tinnefeld, F.C. Simmel, Singlemolecule kinetics and super-resolution microscopy by fluorescence imaging of transient binding on DNA origami. Nano Lett. **10**(11), 4756–4761 (2010)
- 22. G. Kabachinski, T.U. Schwartz, The nuclear pore complex—structure and function at a glance. J. Cell Sci. **128**(3), 423–429 (2015)
- 23. T.A. Klar, S. Jakobs, M. Dyba, A. Egner, S.W. Hell, Fluorescence microscopy with diffraction resolution barrier broken by stimulated emission. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **97**(15), 8206– 8210 (2000)
- 24. T.A. Klar, E. Engel, S.W. Hell, Breaking Abbe's diffraction resolution limit in fluorescence microscopy with stimulated emission depletion beams of various shapes. Phys. Rev. E **64**(6), 066613 (2001)
- 25. M. Levitus, S. Ranjit, Cyanine dyes in biophysical research: the photophysics of polymethine fluorescent dyes in biomolecular environments. Quart. Rev. Biophys. **44**(1), 123–151 (2011)
- 26. H.L. Li, J.C. Vaughan, Switchable fluorophores for single-molecule localization microscopy. Chem. Rev. **118**(18), 9412–9454 (2018)
- 27. I. Orlov, et al.: The integrative role of cryo electron microscopy in molecular and cellular structural biology. Biol. Cell **109**(2), 81–93 (2017)
- 28. A.S. Rose, A.R. Bradley, Y. Valasatava, J.M. Duarte, A. Prlic, P.W. Rose, Ngl viewer: webbased molecular graphics for large complexes. Bioinformatics **34**(21), 3755–3758 (2018)
- 29. M.J. Rust, M. Bates, X.W. Zhuang, Sub-diffraction-limit imaging by stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (storm). Nat. Meth. **3**(10), 793–795 (2006)
- 30. D. Sage, et al., Super-resolution fight club: assessment of 2d and 3d single-molecule localization microscopy software. Nat. Methods **16**(5), 387–395 (2019)
- 31. Y.G. Shi, A glimpse of structural biology through x-ray crystallography. Cell **159**(5), 995–1014 (2014)
- 32. C.S. Smith, N. Joseph, B. Rieger, K.A. Lidke, Fast, single-molecule localization that achieves theoretically minimum uncertainty. Nat. Meth. **7**(5), 373–375 (2010)
- 33. C.-T. Tai., *Dyadic Green's Functions in Electromagnetic Theory* (IEEE, New York, 1971)
- 34. A. von Appen, J. Kosinski, L. Sparks, et al., In situ structural analysis of the human nuclear pore complex. Nature **526**, 140–143 (2015)
- 35. V. Westphal, S.W. Hell, Nanoscale resolution in the focal plane of an optical microscope. Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**(14), 143903 (2005)