Chapter 10 Root-Associated Ectomycorrhizal Mycobionts as Forest Biofertilizers: Standardized Molecular Methods for Characterization of Ectomycorrhizal Wood Wide Web

Rezwana Assad, Zafar Ahmad Reshi, and Irfan Rashid

Abstract Ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi play a crucial role in nutrient mobilization and cycling, particularly in temperate forests dominated by coniferous species. The belowground ectomycorrhizal Wood Wide Web interconnects innumerable host plants and serves as a sustainable continuum for plant and soil health in forest ecosystems. Conifers, particularly conifer roots harbouring ectomycorrhizal fungi, are rich in phenolics and other secondary metabolites, which interfere and hamper their DNA extraction and inhibit all downstream processes like amplifcation and sequencing. The present study was projected for presenting the standardized molecular methodology for characterization of ectomycorrhizal fungi from conifer roots, starting from extraction of high-quality DNA and its PCR amplifcation, followed by DNA purifcation and loading, to fnal sequencing, all things refected in a chronological manner. This chapter highlights the role of root-associated ectomycorrhizal fungi as biofertilizers in forest ecosystems and efficient molecular methods specially optimized for characterization of ectomycorrhizal fungi associated with conifers.

Keywords Biofertilizers · Ectomycorrhiza · Forestry · Microbiota · Molecular characterization · Soil health

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this chapter ([https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-48771-3_10#DOI) [org/10.1007/978-3-030-48771-3_10\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-48771-3_10#DOI) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

R. Assad $(\boxtimes) \cdot Z$. A. Reshi \cdot I. Rashid

Department of Botany, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

[©] Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021 165

K. R. Hakeem et al. (eds.), *Microbiota and Biofertilizers*, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-48771-3_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-48771-3_10#DOI)

10.1 Introduction

Belowground microbiota is an imperative constituent of forest ecosystems. The rhizospheric microbiota comprises of diverse microorganisms including actinomycetes, algae, archaea, bacteria, fungi, protozoa, and viruses (Tarkka et al. [2018;](#page-15-0) Adeleke et al. [2019](#page-13-0)). Amongst these ecologically important communities, ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi are essential acquaintances of this intricate forest microbiota that function as biological linkages between diverse assemblage of forest organisms and serve as a sustainable continuum for plant and soil health in forest ecosystems (Molina [1994\)](#page-14-0). Root-associated ECM fungi are a ubiquitous group of microorganisms that correlate plants through a huge belowground hyphal network, which facilitate interplant metabolite passage (Chatterjee et al. [2019](#page-13-1); Domínguez-Núñez et al. [2019\)](#page-13-2). This belowground diverse mycorrhizal network that interconnects rootlets of innumerable plants has been named as "common mycorrhizal networks (CMN)" or "Wood Wide Web" (Giovannetti et al. [2006](#page-13-3); Simard [2012](#page-15-1); Martin et al. [2016;](#page-14-1) Adeleke et al. [2019](#page-13-0)). ECM fungi through these hyphal networks enhance nutrient acquisition capability of host plants by extending their root surface area and facilitate interplant communications (Bücking et al. [2012;](#page-13-4) Adeleke et al. [2019](#page-13-0)).

The species-level identifcation of individual ECM mycobionts is a prerequisite for understanding the ecological signifcance of this symbiotic association (Gil-Martínez et al. [2018](#page-13-5)). However, these plant-fungal species interactions are poorly studied, primarily because of methodological limitations to the accurate identifcation of these mutualists. The study of taxonomy and structural and functional diversity of ectomycorrhizal fungi has proven reasonably exigent (Gil-Martínez et al. [2018\)](#page-13-5). Isolation of DNA from ectomycorrhizal roots is intricate due to the presence of tough chitin cell wall, co-occurrence of host plant cells along with fungal cells, and co-precipitation of secondary metabolites of host plant which obstruct downstream processes like PCR and sequencing (Janowski et al. [2019\)](#page-13-6).

Initially, ECM diversity studies were primarily based on sporocarp analysis (Horton and Bruns [2001;](#page-13-7) Domínguez-Núñez and Albanesi [2019\)](#page-13-8). However, in view of the poor correspondence between the diversity of ECM based on survey of aboveground ECM fruiting bodies and ECM actually colonizing roots of host species, sampling and screening of conifer roots for associated ECM fungi employing morpho-anatomical and standard molecular methods was undertaken. Furthermore, recent advancements in molecular research and DNA sequencing technologies have made exceptional contribution to our understanding of ECM fungal diversity, ecology, and biogeography (Horton and Bruns [2001](#page-13-7); Nilsson et al. [2011](#page-14-2); Smith and Peay [2014;](#page-15-2) Domínguez-Núñez and Albanesi [2019](#page-13-8); Janowski et al. [2019\)](#page-13-6).

This chapter highlights the role of root-associated ectomycorrhizal fungi as biofertilizers in forest ecosystems and effcient molecular methods for characterization of ectomycorrhizal fungi associated with conifers. Moreover, this chapter also divulges a comprehensive deliberation of chemical concentrations, their preparations, and corporations from which these chemicals were procured.

10.2 Root-Associated Ectomycorrhizal Fungi as Forest Biofertilizers

Biofertilizers encompass a live formulation of benefcial microbes which, on application to soils, plant surfaces, or seeds, colonize rhizosphere or plant interior and elevate growth by escalating the supply or accessibility of crucial nutrients to the host plant (Vessey [2003](#page-15-3); Malusá et al. [2012;](#page-14-3) Mahanty et al. [2017;](#page-14-4) Thomas and Singh [2019\)](#page-15-4). *Amanita* spp., *Hebeloma* spp., *Laccaria* spp., *Pisolithus tinctorius*, *Piriformospora indica*, *Rhizopogon luteolus*, *Suillus luteus*, and *Tuber melanosporum* are some ECM fungi that have been used as forest biofertilizers (Marx and Cordell [1989](#page-14-5); Domínguez et al. [2006](#page-13-9); Schwartz et al. [2006;](#page-14-6) Chavez et al. [2014;](#page-13-10) Pal et al. [2015;](#page-14-7) Sharma [2017](#page-14-8); Domínguez-Núñez and Albanesi [2019](#page-13-8); Domínguez-Núñez et al. [2019\)](#page-13-2). ECM fungal biofertilizers serve as a natural, effective, economic, non-bulky, productive, and eco-friendly substitute of synthetic chemical fertilizers and pesticides (Pal et al. [2015](#page-14-7); Bhat et al. [2017](#page-13-11); Mahanty et al. [2017;](#page-14-4) Thomas and Singh [2019](#page-15-4)).

In forest ecosystems, ectomycorrhizal fungi are directly involved in nutrient cycling (Domínguez-Núñez et al. [2019](#page-13-2)). One of the most effective forest management strategies for regeneration and reinstatement of degraded forest ecosystems is the use of ECM fungi as promising biofertilizers to improve survival, growth, health, and establishment of seedlings (McAfee and Fortin [1986](#page-14-9); Adeleke et al. [2019\)](#page-13-0).

Ectomycorrhizal biofertilizers boost plant health and concurrently improve sustainability and health of the soil (Bhardwaj et al. [2014;](#page-13-12) Nuti and Giovannetti [2015;](#page-14-10) Pal et al. [2015;](#page-14-7) Bhat et al. [2017;](#page-13-11) Vecstaudža et al. [2018;](#page-15-5) Chatterjee et al. [2019\)](#page-13-1), through processes like solubilization/mobilization of soil nutrients (mainly phosphorus and nitrogen), escalation of long-term soil fertility and soil aeration, mounting effcient uptake of water and nutrients by increasing surface area of host plant roots, repression of soil borne diseases, and production of plant growth-promoting substances into the soil (Mridha [2003;](#page-14-11) Malusá et al. [2012;](#page-14-3) Nuti and Giovannetti [2015;](#page-14-10) Pal et al. [2015;](#page-14-7) Mahanty et al. [2017;](#page-14-4) Frąc et al. [2018](#page-13-13); Chatterjee et al. [2019;](#page-13-1) Thomas and Singh [2019](#page-15-4)).

The common ECM species associated with different coniferous hosts can be utilized for mass production of inoculum for in vitro mycorrhization of conifer seedlings in forest nurseries. This in vitro mycorrhization of tree seedlings has become the essential ingredient of successful reforestation programme because anthropogenic activities, like deforestation, urbanization, altered land-use pattern, pollution, etc., severely impair the ECM diversity in soil, which results in reduced natural regeneration.

Main sources of ectomycorrhizal inoculum are forest soil, chopped ECM roots, spores of fruiting bodies, and pure mycelial inoculum (Sim and Eom [2006;](#page-14-12) Domínguez-Núñez et al. [2019\)](#page-13-2). Several biofertilizers consist of single ECM strain; however, numerous strains in the form of consortium have been also employed, which promote plant growth through diverse mechanisms (Pal et al. [2015;](#page-14-7) Vecstaudža et al. [2018\)](#page-15-5). However, the appropriate choice of apposite host-mycobiont is indispensable for the success of mycorrhization (Olivier [2000](#page-14-13)).

Various polymicrobial formulations like mycorrhizal helper bacteria (MHB) and other benefcial rhizospheric microbes have the capability to facilitate ectomycorrhiza formation and act in synchrony with ectomycorrhizal symbionts (Saravanan and Natarajan [1996,](#page-14-14) [2000](#page-14-15); Schrey et al. [2012](#page-14-16); Chatterjee et al. [2019;](#page-13-1) Domínguez-Núñez et al. [2019](#page-13-2)). A better understanding of mycorrhizospheric microbiota is crucial for comprehending the functional aspect of these symbionts for their exploitation in sustainable forest management and environmental protection (Tarkka et al. [2018;](#page-15-0) Janowski et al. [2019](#page-13-6)).

10.3 Standardized Molecular Methods for Characterization of Ectomycorrhizal Wood Wide Web

10.3.1 Sampling of ECM Root Tips

ECM root samples of various conifer species like *Abies pindrow* (Royle ex D. Don) Royle, *Cedrus deodara* (Roxb. ex D. Don) G. Don, and *Picea smithiana* (Wall.) Boiss. were collected from Naranag, Ganderbal province (N34° 22′ 16′′; E74° 59′ 45′′), of Kashmir Himalaya, for molecular characterization of associated ECM diversity. A spade was used to collect soil cores, starting from the organic layer, after removal of litter layer. All the samples were collected in sealed polybags and were stored at 4 °C before being processed, but were not held longer than 2 weeks.

Prior to analysis, the soil cores were immersed in water and soaked carefully in water until saturated. Fine ECM-infected root tips (short lateral roots) were gently rinsed under a cold running water in a 1 mm sieve to limit damage to the ectomycorrhizas. Cleaned lateral roots were placed in a Petri dish containing double distilled water, to avoid drying up of delicate root tips (Fig. [10.1](#page-4-0)). Fine root tips were carefully sorted from the main roots and processed for isolation of fungal DNA.

10.3.2 Scanning Electron Microscope and Compound Microscope Study of Ectomycorrhizal Roots

To observe surface features of ectomycorrhizal roots with scanning electron microscope (SEM), tertiary roots of the studied species (showing a well-developed mycorrhizal sheath) were excised and prepared for SEM study by the method of Kinden and Brown ([1975\)](#page-14-17) and Chung et al. ([2003\)](#page-13-14).

The ectomycorrhizal roots were rinsed twice with 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.3) and fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution at 4 \degree C for 2 h, then washed it off with 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.3), and post fxed

Fig. 10.1 (**a**) White-coloured ECM mycelium in forest soil, (**b**) processing conifer ECM root material in laboratory, (**c**) association of ectomycorrhizal fungi with conifer roots, (**d**) mantle peel with fungal hyphae and transverse section of ectomycorrhizal root showing distinct mantle and emanating hyphae, (**e**) scanning electron micrographs showing external surface features of ectomycorrhizal roots with projected fungal hyphae

the sample with 1% osmium tetroxide $(1\% \text{ OsO}_4 \text{ in } 0.1 \text{ M} \text{ cacodylate buffer})$. Postfxed specimens were rinsed thrice with 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.3) for around 40 min. These samples were then dehydrated with 50%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95%, and 100% ethanol for 20 min, followed by treatment with 100% isoamyl acetate for 40 min. Subsequently, these samples were dried up. After attaching the carbon tape on aluminium stub, these samples were pasted carefully on it and were coated with gold using a sputter coater and were then observed with SEM (Hitachi S-3000H).

The morpho-anatomical structural details of mantle, Hartig net, and emanating hyphae of ECM roots were observed via mantle peels and thin hand-cut transverse sections of ectomycorrhizal root. These sections were gently cleared in hot alkali

(10% KOH), stained overnight with Aniline blue (0.5%) or Trypan blue (0.5%), followed by destaining with 10% lactic acid. These were then examined at 4x, 10x, and 40x magnifcation under a compound microscope (Magnus MLX LED).

10.3.3 Molecular Methods for Characterization of Ectomycorrhizal Fungi

Molecular characterization of root-associated ECM was done by extracting genomic DNA from the root tips of the studied species, followed by the amplifcation of the ITS region using universal primers and universal fungal-specifc primers. The amplifed products were sent for sequencing. The sequences were then identifed by performing BLAST searches on GenBank [\(https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi](https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi)) and UNITE ([https://unite.ut.ee/\)](https://unite.ut.ee/).

10.3.3.1 Protocol for ECM Root Tip DNA Extraction

Modifed CTAB (cetyltrimethylammonium bromide) protocol was employed for DNA extraction of ectomycorrhizal roots of selected conifer species:

- (i) **Mechanical lysis:** 2–3 frozen root tips were taken in a 2 ml microcentrifuge tube (having a fat base). This material was crushed by a sterilized cold Micro Pestle (made of polypropylene, designed for crushing a material inside a microcentrifuge tube), without using liquid nitrogen.
- (ii) **Chemical lysis:** 1 ml prewarmed isolation buffer (freshly prepared) was poured immediately into each microcentrifuge tube and mixed well. The tissue was completely homogenized in buffer. (Optional step: Samples can be pulverized further after addition of isolation buffer.) The composition of isolation buffer is given in Table [10.1](#page-5-0).

S. No.	Stock	Working (for five reactions)
1.	CTAB (10%)	1 ml
2.	NaCl $(5 M)$	1.4 ml
3.	EDTA $(0.5 M)(pH = 8)$	0.2 ml $(200 \mu l)$
4.	Tris-HCl $(1 M)$ (pH = 8)	0.5 ml $(500 \mu l)$
5.	β -Mercaptoethanol (2-mercaptoethanol) (99.0%)	0.005 ml (5 µl)
6.	Double distilled water	To make the volume up to 5 ml (like 1.9 ml) was added)
7.	$PVP(1\%)$ or powdered – optional	-

Table 10.1 Composition of isolation buffer

All the reagents of isolation buffer and other requisite material like microcentrifuge tubes, tips, etc. were autoclaved, prior to use. Use of prewarmed and freshly prepared isolation buffer provided better results. Preparation and concentration details of CTAB reagents are stated in the supplementary material.

- (iii) **Incubation:** The samples were then incubated at 65 °C for 1 h (in a water bath) with occasional mixing by gentle inversion of the microcentrifuge tubes.
- (iv) **DNA purifcation:** For purifcation purposes, the tubes were centrifuged at 12,000 RPM for 10 min, and the supernatant (upper layer) was collected in a fresh microcentrifuge tube. The cell debris was discarded along with the tube.
- (iv-a) **Protein denaturation and removal step:** Equal amount of chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (CI-Mix) (24:1, v/v) was added to each tube (like 500 μl CI-Mix was added to the 500 μl collected supernatant). The mixture was emulsifed by inversion of the tube. The mixture was then centrifuged at 12,000 RPM for 5 min, and the upper layer was pipetted out to a fresh tube. Repeat CI treatment if samples seem unclean. (The upper aqueous phase must be taken off carefully without touching the lower layer or else the DNA will get contaminated; furthermore, wide-bore tips should be used in this step; otherwise, the DNA will get sheared.)

[Optional step: Chloroform- or Tris-saturated phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (PCI-Mix) (25:24:1, v/v) can be used instead of CI-Mix.]

- (iv-b) **RNA removal step:** For removal of RNA, 3–4 μl of RNase A (Sigma or Qiagen) was added to each tube, followed by incubation at 37° C for 1 h (in) an incubator). The tubes were occasionally mixed by gentle inversion. To remove additional unused RNase, 500 μl of chloroform:isoamyl alcohol was added and mixed by inversion. Then the samples were centrifuged again at 12,000 RPM for 5 min. The supernatant (upper phase) was collected in a fresh tube.
	- (v) **DNA precipitation:** The DNA was precipitated in these tubes by adding 750 μl (or two-third volume) of ice-cold isopropanol. These tubes were gently inverted for proper mixing and precipitation of DNA and were then kept overnight at −20 °C in a deep freezer. These samples were then centrifuged at 13,000 RPM for 20 min. The upper phase (most of the supernatant) was discarded by pouring, and the pellet was collected. Nucleic acid concentrations are minute and transparent in nature, so even if there is not any noticeable pellet in the tube, DNA can be still there. (The samples can be even kept for only 1 h in a deep freezer; increase the time according to the quantity of pellet required; however, keeping tubes for longer duration for DNA precipitation also co-precipitates impurities and inhibitors with it, which further hinder the downstream processes.)
	- (vi) **DNA washing:** The pellet was washed with 200 μl of 70% ice-cold ethanol and was centrifuged at 8000 RPM for 5 min. The upper phase was discarded,

and the pellet was dried in an oven at 37 °C in an incubator (do not overdry the pellet; otherwise, it will not get dissolved).

(vii) **DNA pellet solubilization:** The dried pellet was dissolved in 10–20 μl nuclease-free water. The samples were kept at 4 °C for an hour or so, for proper DNA pellet solubilization. These samples were then stored at −20 °C until further use.

10.3.3.2 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) Protocol

The fungal ITS region of rDNA was amplifed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) by using gene-specifc primers (ITS1/ITS2; ITS3/ITS4; ITS1/ITS4; ITS1F/ITS4) in a thermal cycler (Table [10.2](#page-7-0)). The amplifed fragments include ITS1, 5.8S, and the ITS2 of rDNA.

The 20 μl reaction mixture for each PCR reaction contained 2 μl PCR buffer (with MgCl₂), 0.5 μl of 10 mM dNTPs, 0.4 μl of each primer (10 μM), 2 μl template DNA, 0.5 μl of 5% DMSO, 0.5 μl of 0.1% BSA, and 0.2 μl of 5 U/μl Taq polymerase (Table [10.3](#page-8-0)). Preparing master mix is more convenient and time-saving as compared to setting up each reaction independently.

Amplifcations were performed in a thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems) with an initial denaturation step of 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min, and a final extension of 72 °C for 10 min. A negative control reaction was performed with same reaction components and conditions except that nuclease-free water was added instead of DNA template. PCR amplifcation was confrmed on 1.5% agarose gel. Preparation of PCR reagents is refected in the supplementary material.

10.3.3.3 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis

The integrity of DNA was checked on 0.8% agarose gels (for genomic DNA) or 1.5% agarose gels (for PCR amplicons). Electrophoresis was performed at room temperature in 1X TAE buffer with an applied voltage of 8–10 V cm−¹ . The compo-

Forward primer	Reverse primer	Tm (°C)	GC(%)
TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG	GCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGC ITS1: 68.5 ITS1: 63.1		
(TTS1)	(TTS2)	ITS2: 68.2 ITS2: 55	
GCATCGATGAAGAACGCAGC	TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC	ITS3: 68.2 ITS3: 55	
(TTS3)	(TTS4)	ITS4: 61.5 ITS4: 45	
TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG	TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC	ITS1: 68.5 ITS1: 63.1	
(TTS1)	(TTS4)	ITS4: 61.5 ITS4: 45	
CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTAA TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC		ITS1F:	ITS1F:
		56.6	36.3
(TTS1F)	(TTS4)	ITS4: 61.5 ITS4: 45	

Table 10.2 Primers used for amplifcation of ITS region

Component	Basic concentration	Volume
PCR buffer	10X	$2 \mu l$
dNTPs	10 mM	0.5 µl
Forward primer	$10 \mu M$	$0.4 \mu l$
Reverse primer	$10 \mu M$	0.4 µl
Taq polymerase	$5 \text{U}/\mu$	0.2 μ
Template DNA	10μ g	$2 \mu l$
DMSO	5%	0.5 µl
BSA	0.1%	0.5 µl
Nuclease-free water		To $20 \mu l$

Table 10.3 Composition of 20 μl PCR reaction

Note: Using concentrated DNA template with high DNA content hindered the PCR. So, in order to overcome this, DNA template was diluted by nuclease-free water up to 1:10 (1 μl DNA:9 μl water); 1:100 (1 μl DNA:99 μl water), or even 1:1000 (1 μl DNA:999 μl water)

sition of loading dye and TAE buffer are given in Table [10.4.](#page-8-1) The gels were visualized on a gel imager after ethidium bromide (0.5 μg ml⁻¹) staining.

10.3.3.4 Purifcation of PCR Products

PCR products were purifed prior to further downstream analysis using Gene-Jet Gel Extraction Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientifc; Cat No. K0691), following manufacturer's instructions. PCR products were resolved on 1.5% agarose gel, and each of the separating bands was cut and placed in separate 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes. The gel solubilization buffer was added to gel pieces and incubated at 50 °C for 10 min, till the gel dissolved completely. The mixture was then passed through a binding column after addition of 1 volume isopropanol. After proper washing, samples were eluted with elution buffer and stored at −20 °C until use.

10.3.3.5 Sequence Analysis and Identifcation of ECM Species

The purifed PCR products were sent for sequencing (in both directions with respective primers) to Xcelris, Gujarat, India. Each sequence was then separately identifed by performing individual nucleotide BLAST searches on GenBank ([https://](https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) and UNITE (<https://unite.ut.ee/>).

10.4 Findings and Inferences

10.4.1 Morpho-Anatomical Characteristics of ECM Roots

ECM roots are characterized by the incidence of mantle, Hartig net, and extraradicular mycelium. The surface of these ECM root tips was found to be harbouring fne fungal hyphae. ECM fungi grow and extend their hyphal network (mycelial strands or rhizomorphs) into the rhizospheric soil, forming dense mycorrhizal mycelial mats with specialized conducting hyphae (Fig. [10.1](#page-4-0)). The major distinction between mycorrhizal and dead roots is that mycorrhizal roots are living, coloured, and swollen with a growing mycelium attached to the surface whereas the dead roots are shrivelled and black (Fig. [10.1](#page-4-0)).

10.4.2 Molecular Characterization of Ectomycorrhizal Fungi

10.4.2.1 Analysis of ECM Root Tip Genomic DNA

The purity and integrity of ECM root tip genomic DNA was checked on 0.8% agarose gel (Fig. [10.2\)](#page-9-0). The DNA quality and quantity was determined by measuring the optical density (OD) at 260/280 nm on spectrophotometer, and samples with OD ranging between 1.7 and 1.9 were selected for PCR amplifcation of ITS region.

10.4.2.2 PCR Amplifcation Analysis

The purity and integrity of amplifed DNA was checked on 1.5% agarose gel (Fig. [10.3\)](#page-10-0). The amplicons ranged between 250 and 300 bp for ITS1/ITS2; 350 and 450 bp for ITS3/ITS4; 600 and 755 bp for ITS1/ITS4, and 700 and 800 bp for ITS1F/ITS4 primer combinations (Table [10.5\)](#page-10-1). Negative control in which nuclease-

Fig. 10.2 Representative gel for ECM root tip genomic DNA loaded on 0.8% agarose gel. DNA extracted by (**a**) CTAB method (with RNA) and (**b**) CTAB method (without RNA after RNase treatment)

Fig. 10.3 Representative gel for PCR-amplifed DNA loaded on 1.5% agarose gel with 100 bp ladder

S. No.	Primer combination	Amplicon size (in bp)	Annealing temperature $(^{\circ}C)$
	ITS1/ITS2	$250 - 300$	55
2.	ITS3/ITS4	350-450	55
	ITS1/ITS4	$600 - 755$	55
	ITS1F/ITS4	700-800	53/55

Table 10.5 Amplicon size obtained using various primer combinations

free water was used instead of DNA template showed no band. Each band was then gel purifed for further downstream analysis.

10.4.2.3 Role of Dimethyl Sulphoxide (DMSO)

Various enhancing agents can be employed in PCR reactions to increase specifcity and yield. One of those enhancing agents is dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO), which is used in PCR to interrupt the formation of secondary structures in DNA template or in DNA primers. DMSO formulates hydrogen bond with template and consequently distorts the double helix structure of DNA. This is predominantly useful in templates with high GC content because augmented hydrogen bond strength enhances intricacy of denaturing template and leads to the formation of intermolecular secondary structures, which then compete with primer annealing (Chakrabarti and Schutt [2001](#page-13-15)). Moreover, DMSO decreases melting temperature needed for separation of both strands of DNA. Thus, addition of DMSO can signifcantly improve specificities of PCR priming reactions (Frackman et al. [1998](#page-13-16); Hardjasa et al. [2010;](#page-13-17) Jensen et al. [2010\)](#page-14-18).

10.4.2.4 Role of Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA)

Bovine serum albumin (BSA) facilitates specifc fragment amplifcation (Nagai et al. [1998\)](#page-14-19) and enhances PCR amplifcation yields from low purity templates (Farell and Alexandre [2012](#page-13-18)). Addition of BSA improves yield of PCR product, by binding fatty acids and phenolic compounds that can inhibit PCR reaction. It also checks adhesion of various PCR reagents to tip and tube surfaces.

10.4.2.5 Why DNA Template Dilutions?

DNA template was diluted by nuclease-free water to 1:10 (1 μ l DNA:9 μ l water); 1:100 (1 μl DNA:99 μl water), or even 1:1000 (1 μl DNA:999 μl water). Using concentrated DNA template with high DNA content hindered PCR. One of the reasons of diluting DNA template before PCR is to counteract the effect of inhibitors (less DNA: less inhibitors). In addition to this, by limiting the quantity of template, it confnes non-specifc binding of primers and thus results in the formation of only one specifc PCR band.

10.4.2.6 Sequence Analysis and Identifcation of ECM Species

The sequences were identifed by performing BLAST searches on GenBank ([https://](https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) and UNITE [\(https://unite.ut.ee/\)](https://unite.ut.ee/) (details in the supplementary material). The outputs from the BLAST searches were sorted on account of the maximum identity and were recorded according to their coverage. Sequence similarity with a cut-off of 90% or greater was considered signifcant, while others which showed similarity of 80–90% were considered as low confidence score species.

10.4.2.7 Advanced Techniques for the Study of Ectomycorrhizal Microbiome

The Sanger sequencing resulted in the identifcation of only one fungal species per sample (the most abundant one). The conifer root samples are categorized as environmental samples (contain a mixture of fungi).

Amongst different techniques like cloning, terminal restriction fragment length polymorphisms (T-RFLP), single-strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP), denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE), temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (TGGE), and next-generation sequencing (NGS) for sequencing of environmental samples, NGS is the most advanced, accurate, and reliable technique. Globally, metagenomic NGS techniques like Illumina sequencing technology are

apposite techniques for the study of samples taken directly from diverse environments and plant-associated ectomycorrhizal communities.

10.5 Conclusions

Root-associated ECM fungi, informally named as "Wood Wide Web", are a ubiquitous group of microorganisms that correlate plants through a huge belowground hyphal network and serve as sustainable continuum for plant and soil health in forest ecosystems. Ectomycorrhizal fungi like *Amanita* spp., *Hebeloma* spp., *Laccaria* spp., *Pisolithus tinctorius*, *Piriformospora indica*, *Rhizopogon luteolus*, *Suillus luteus*, and *Tuber melanosporum* serve as a natural, effective, economic, and ecofriendly substitute of synthetic chemical fertilizers and pesticides. One of the most effective forest management strategies for regeneration and reinstatement of degraded forest ecosystems is the use of ECM fungi as promising biofertilizers, to improve survival, growth, health, and establishment of seedlings. Ectomycorrhizal biofertilizers boost plant and soil health through processes like mobilization of soil nutrients, escalation of soil fertility and aeration, and mounting effcient acquisition of water and nutrients by increasing the surface area of host plant roots. However, the appropriate choice of apposite host-mycobiont is indispensable for the mycorrhization success.

The species-level identifcation of individual ECM mycobionts is a prerequisite for understanding the ecological signifcance of this symbiotic association. However, the study of taxonomy and structural and functional diversity of ectomycorrhizal fungi has proven reasonably exigent. Subsequently, sampling and screening of conifer roots for associated ECM fungi employing morpho-anatomical and standard molecular methods was undertaken in the present study.

This chapter highlights the role of root-associated ectomycorrhizal fungi as biofertilizers in forest ecosystems and effcient molecular methods specially optimized for characterization of ectomycorrhizal fungi associated with conifers. A better understanding of mycorrhizospheric microbiota is crucial for comprehending the functional aspect of these symbionts for their exploitation in sustainable forest management and environmental protection.

Acknowledgements The authors profoundly acknowledge G.B. Pant National Institute of Himalayan Environment and Sustainable Development (NMHS-IERP) for providing fnancial support under the Grant number GBPI/IERP-NMHS/15-16/10/03. We thank the director of the University Scientifc Instrumentation Centre (USIC), University of Kashmir, for providing the necessary SEM facility. We also thank the head of the Department of Botany, University of Kashmir, India, for providing the necessary laboratory facilities.

Confict of Interest The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

References

- Adeleke RA, Nunthkumar B, Roopnarain A, Obi L (2019) Applications of plant–microbe interactions in agro-ecosystems. In: Microbiome in plant health and disease. Springer, Singapore, pp 1–34
- Bhardwaj D, Ansari MW, Sahoo RK, Tuteja N (2014) Biofertilizers function as key player in sustainable agriculture by improving soil fertility, plant tolerance and crop productivity. Microb Cell Factories 13:66
- Bhat RA, Dervash MA, Mehmood MA, Skinder BM, Rashid A, Bhat JIA, Lone R (2017) Mycorrhizae: a sustainable industry for plant and soil environment. In: Mycorrhiza-nutrient uptake, biocontrol, ecorestoration. Springer, Cham, pp 473–502
- Bücking H, Liepold E, Ambilwade P (2012) The role of the mycorrhizal symbiosis in nutrient uptake of plants and the regulatory mechanisms underlying these transport processes. In: Plant science, vol 4. IntechOpen, pp 107–138
- Chakrabarti R, Schutt CE (2001) The enhancement of PCR amplifcation by low molecular-weight sulfones. Gene 274:293–298
- Chatterjee A, Khan SR, Vaseem H (2019) Exploring the role of mycorrhizae as soil ecosystem engineer. In: Varma A, Choudhary DK (eds) Mycorrhizosphere and pedogenesis. Springer, Singapore, pp 73–93
- Chavez D, Pereira G, Machuca Á (2014) Stimulation of *Pinus radiata* seedling growth using ectomycorrhizal and saprophytic fungi as biofertilizers. Bosque 35:57–63
- Chung H, Kim D, Cho N, Lee S (2003) Observation and distribution of ectomycorrhizal fungi in *Pinus* roots. Mycobiology 31:1–8
- Domínguez JA, Selva J, Rodríguez Barreal JA, Saiz de Omeñaca JA (2006) The infuence of mycorrhization with *Tuber melanosporum* in the afforestation of a Mediterranean site with *Quercus ilex* and *Quercus faginea*. For Ecol Manag 231:226–233
- Domínguez-Núñez JA, Albanesi AS (2019) Ectomycorrhizal fungi as biofertilizers in forestry. In: Biostimulants in plant science. IntechOpen
- Domínguez-Núñez JA, Berrocal-Lobo M, Albanesi AS (2019) Ectomycorrhizal fungi: role as biofertilizers in forestry. In: Giri B et al (eds) Biofertilizers for sustainable agriculture and environment, Soil biology 55. Springer, Cham, pp 67–82
- Farell EM, Alexandre G (2012) Bovine serum albumin further enhances the effects of organic solvents on increased yield of polymerase chain reaction of GC-rich templates. BMC Res Notes 5:257
- Frąc M, Hannula SE, Bełka M, Jędryczka M (2018) Fungal biodiversity and their role in soil health. Front Microbiol 9:707
- Frackman S, Kobs G, Simpson D, Storts D (1998) Betaine and DMSO: enhancing agents for PCR, Promega notes 65, pp 27–29
- Gil-Martínez M, López-García Á, Domínguez MT, Navarro-Fernández CM, Kjøller R, Tibbett M, Marañón T (2018) Ectomycorrhizal fungal communities and their functional traits mediate plant-soil interactions in trace element contaminated soils. Front Plant Sci 9:1682
- Giovannetti M, Lucian A, Paola F, Elisa P, Cristiana S, Patrizia S (2006) At the root of the wood wide web. Plant Signal Behav 1:1–5
- Hardjasa A, Ling M, Ma K, Yu H (2010) Investigating the effects of DMSO on PCR fdelity using a restriction digest-based method. JEMI 14:161–164
- Horton TR, Bruns TD (2001) The molecular revolution in ectomycorrhizal ecology: peeking into the black-box. Mol Ecol 10:1855–1871
- Janowski D, Wilgan R, Leski T, Karliński L, Rudawska M (2019) Effective molecular identifcation of ectomycorrhizal fungi: revisiting DNA isolation methods. Forests 10:218
- Jensen MA, Fukushima M, Davis RW (2010) DMSO and betaine greatly improve amplifcation of GC-rich constructs in de novo synthesis. PLoS One 5:e11024
- Kinden DA, Brown MF (1975) Technique for scanning electron microscopy of fungal structures within plant cells. Phytopathology 65:74–76
- Mahanty T, Bhattacharjee S, Goswami M, Bhattacharyya P, Das B, Ghosh A, Tribedi P (2017) Biofertilizers: a potential approach for sustainable agriculture development. Environ Sci Pollut R 24:3315–3335
- Malusá E, Sas-Paszt L, Ciesielska J (2012) Technologies for benefcial microorganisms inocula used as biofertilizers. Sci World J:2012
- Martin F, Kohler A, Murat C, Veneault-Fourrey C, Hibbett DS (2016) Unearthing the roots of ectomycorrhizal symbioses. Nat Rev Microbiol 14:760–773
- Marx DH, Cordell CE (1989) The use of specifc ectomycorrhizas to improve artifcial forestation practices. In: Whipps JM, Lumsden RD (eds) Biotechnology of fungi for improving plant growth: symposium of the British Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 1–25
- McAfee BJ, Fortin JA (1986) Competitive interactions of ectomycorrhizal mycobionts under feld conditions. Can J Bot 64:848–852
- Molina R (1994) The role of mycorrhizal symbioses in the health of giant redwoods and other forest ecosystems¹. Proceedings of the symposium on Giant Sequoias: their place in the ecosystem and society 151:78–81
- Mridha MAU (2003) Application of mycorrhizal technology in plantation forestry in Bangladesh. In: The XII World Forestry Congress, Canada
- Nagai M, Yoshida A, Sato N (1998) Additive effects of bovine serum albumin, dithiothreitol and glycerol on PCR. IUBMB Life 44:157–163
- Nilsson HR, Tedersoo L, Lindahl BD, Kjøller R, Carlsen T, Quince C, Abarenkov K, Pennanen T, Stenlid J, Bruns T, Larsson K, Kõljalg U, Kauserud H (2011) Towards standardization of the description and publication of next-generation sequencing datasets of fungal communities. New Phytol 191:314–318
- Nuti M, Giovannetti G (2015) Borderline products between bio-fertilizers/bio-effectors and plant protectants: the role of microbial consortia. J Agric Sci Technol A 5:305–315
- Olivier JM (2000) Progress in the cultivation of truffes. In: Van Griensven LJLD (ed) Mushroom science XV: science and cultivation of edible fungi, vol 2. Balkema, Rotterdam, pp 937–942
- Pal S, Singh HB, Farooqui A, Rakshit A (2015) Fungal biofertilizers in Indian agriculture: perception, demand and promotion. J Eco-friendly Agric 10:101–113
- Saravanan RS, Natarajan K (1996) Effect of *Pisolithus tinctorius* on the nodulation and nitrogen fxing potential of *Acacia nilotica* seedlings. Kavaka 24:41–49
- Saravanan RS, Natarajan K (2000) Effect of ecto- and endomycorrhizal fungi along with *Bradyrhizobium* sp. on the growth and nitrogen fxation in *Acacia nilotica* seedlings in the nursery. J Trop For Sci 12:348–356
- Schrey SD, Erkenbrack E, Früh E, Fengler S, Hommel K, Horlacher N, Schulz D, Ecke M, Kulik A, Fiedler HP, Hampp R, Tarkka MT (2012) Production of fungal and bacterial growth modulating secondary metabolites is widespread among mycorrhiza–associated streptomycetes. BMC Microbiol 12:164
- Schwartz MW, Hoeksema JD, Gehring CA, Johnson NC, Klironomos JN, Abbott LK, Pringle A (2006) The promise and the potential consequences of the global transport of mycorrhizal fungal inoculum. Ecol Lett 9:501–515
- Sharma R (2017) Ectomycorrhizal mushrooms: their diversity, ecology and practical applications. In: Varma A, Prasad R, Tuteja N (eds) Mycorrhiza – function, diversity, state of the art. Springer, Berlin, pp 99–131
- Sim MY, Eom AH (2006) Effects of ectomycorrhizal fungi on growth of seedlings of *Pinus densifora*. Mycobiology 34:191–195
- Simard SW (2012) Mycorrhizal networks: mechanisms, ecology and modeling. Fungal Biol Rev26:39–60
- Smith D, Peay KG (2014) Sequence depth, not PCR replication, improves ecological inference from next generation DNA sequencing. PLoS One 92:e90234

Tarkka MT, Drigo B, Deveau A (2018) Mycorrhizal microbiomes. Mycorrhiza 28:403–409

- Thomas L, Singh I (2019) Microbial biofertilizers: types and applications. In: Biofertilizers for sustainable agriculture and environment. Springer, Cham, pp 1–19
- Vecstaudža D, Seņkovs M, Nikolajeva V, Mutere O (2018) Characteristics of an endophytic microbial consortium and its impact on rhizosphere microbiota of barley. Environ Exp Biol 16:177–183
- Vessey JK (2003) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. Plant Soil 255:571