



Tyler R. Chesney, Naser AlQurini, and Shabbir M. H. Alibhai

Introduction

Older adults are the fastest growing cohort requiring surgery and have the greatest incidence of cancer [1–3]. The median age at cancer diagnosis is nearing 70 years, and by 2030 nearly 70% of incident cancers will be in older adults [4–6]. For older adults, cancer care decision-making has inherent complexities due to altered risk-benefit profiles, underlying health status, remaining life expectancy, and heterogeneity in patient values and goals [7–9]. Older adults often place higher importance on outcomes such as long-term functional independence, quality of life, and avoidance of prolonged recovery [10–13]. Recommendations applicable to geriatric surgical oncology emphasize preoperative discussions regarding personal goals and preferences, while incorporating counseling about older adult-specific outcomes such as postoperative delirium, functional decline, loss of independence, and long-term care admissions [14–21]. However, age alone does not adequately describe the diversity in health status of older adults with cancer [22, 23]. Focused consideration

T. R. Chesney
Department of Surgery, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

N. AlQurini
Division of Geriatric Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Toronto,
Toronto, ON, Canada

Department of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
e-mail: naser.alqurini@uhn.ca

S. M. H. Alibhai (✉)
Division of Geriatric Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Toronto,
Toronto, ON, Canada

Institute of Health Policy, Management, and Evaluation, University of Toronto,
Toronto, ON, Canada

Department of Medicine, University Health Network, Toronto, ON, Canada
e-mail: shabbir.alibhai@uhn.ca

Table 13.1 Approach to older adults with cancer when surgery is a treatment option [25–28]

Confirm diagnosis and clinically stage cancer
Assess for vulnerability using a geriatric screening tool with defined measurement properties
Refer for CGA if abnormal geriatric screening or other age-related concern
Assess risk of surgery using surgical risk tool with defined measurement properties
Estimate underlying life expectancy using a prognostic tool with defined measurement properties
Describe treatment options in light of above assessments including options for preoperative optimization based on CGA and prehabilitation
Elicit goals and values from patient to make treatment recommendation
The following sections provide further detail on aspects of this approach

on patient assessment, patient-centered decision-making, and perioperative care for older adults tailored to individual needs will optimize disease control and quality of life [24]. This chapter acts as an overview to guide the integration of geriatric principles into the overall surgical care of older adults with cancer (Table 13.1); it does not provide cancer-type specific considerations or treatment recommendations.

Terminology

- *Geriatric Oncology*: The practice of geriatric oncology incorporates geriatric principles into the care of older adults with cancer. This includes tailored assessments, decision-making, and treatment options including addressing geriatric syndromes. A *geriatric oncologist* may have a background in geriatric medicine, medical oncology, radiation oncology, or surgical oncology along with expertise in the care of older adults with cancer. All clinicians caring for older adults with cancer can apply these principles to practice and seek expert consultation when needed.
- *Older Adult*: To avoid negative stereotypes and discriminative connotation, the preferred term for referring to individuals aged 65 and older is “older adult” and including a specific age range as relevant [1]. The diversity in physiologic, functional, and social health among older adults must be recognized [29, 30].
- *Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA)*: A CGA includes assessment by a trained assessor in all four cardinal domains: physical health (comorbidities, medications, nutritional status), functional status (basic and instrumental activities of daily living), psychological status (cognitive and emotional), and socioeconomic factors (living situation, financial resources) [31, 32]. Typically, CGA includes a multidisciplinary team with geriatric expertise using structured and validated instruments in each domain sufficient for diagnosis and management. Importantly, CGA also includes implementation and monitoring of a treatment plan for identified deficits.
- *Geriatric Screening*: In contrast to CGA, geriatric screening involves the use of abbreviated evaluations not requiring advanced geriatric training [31]. Many geriatric screening tools have been developed with varying degrees of

methodological rigor and investigation of measurement properties [33–37]. While many studies have aimed to assess the prognostic and predictive value of individual geriatric screening tools, geriatric screening is best used to identify older adults who would benefit from CGA [31–33, 35].

- *Geriatric Syndromes*: Geriatric syndromes are multifactorial health conditions that are common in older adults and manifested by multiple interacting contributing factors [38]. Examples include delirium, dementia, falls, frailty, sarcopenia, pressure ulcers, malnutrition, and incontinence.
- *Frailty*: Frailty is a state of vulnerability to stressors associated with a multisystem decline in physiologic reserve and function and increased risk of adverse health outcomes [39–43]. Frailty is operationalized both as a *cumulative deficit model* reflecting coaction of intrinsic and extrinsic factors and as a *phenotype model* reflecting multidimensional biological changes [39, 40]. Many tools now exist to screen for frailty to predict adverse outcomes, and an abnormal screen prompts a comprehensive assessment for treatment planning [44, 45].
- *Sarcopenia*: Sarcopenia includes loss of skeletal muscle mass, strength, and physical performance [46–49]. Some definitions use muscle mass alone, but incorporating a measure of strength or performance is recommended [46–48, 50–52]. Examples of measurements include cross-sectional imaging (skeletal muscle index, total psoas index, total psoas volume, total psoas area), bioimpedance analysis, dual X-ray absorptiometry, grip strength, physical performance batteries, and timed walking tests. Depending on the definition, prevalence of sarcopenia in surgical oncology patients ranges from 12% to 78%, but when a measure of strength or performance is included, prevalence ranges from 12% to 21% [52]. In surgical oncology, sarcopenia is associated with reduced overall survival and increased complications; this association is greater when a measure of strength or performance is included [51, 52].

Frailty for Surgeons

Frailty is associated with increased risk of falls, disability, hospitalization, functional dependence, chemotherapy intolerance, and poorer postoperative outcomes including overall complications, postoperative mortality, readmission, need for institutional care, and overall survival [42, 53–60]. Frailty is present in 10–20% of the general older adult population and up to 40% of older adults with cancer [57, 61, 62].

Several definitions exist. Those without frailty are described as fit, well, or robust. Some definitions use a range from very fit to very severely frail, some use a dichotomous definition of fit versus frail, and some have a middle category between fit and frail labeled as pre-frail or vulnerable [40, 42, 57]. Over 70 tools exist to measure frailty, many without validation [42, 63]. Broadly these are used for screening using either single or short assessments or comprehensive assessment [45]. Others have been designed for research purposes using administrative data. A CGA can identify frailty based on the number of identified deficits and has the

advantage of identifying deficits amenable to intervention [57]. Gold-standard frailty measurements include the Rockwood Frailty Index assessing accumulation of deficits across 30–70 items and the Fried Frailty Phenotype of weight loss, low activity, weak grip strength, slow gait speed, and exhaustion [64–66].

Given the association of frailty with poorer postoperative, functional, and oncologic outcomes, surgeons should routinely include a geriatric screening tool when assessing older adults with cancer and use the screening results to prompt referral for CGA [63, 67–70].

Assessing Older Adults Before Cancer Surgery

Traditional metrics of risks such as ASA physical status (American Society of Anesthesiologists), ECOG performance status (Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group), Karnofsky performance status, and clinical judgment alone miss important modifiable deficits and underestimate treatment intolerance, complications, impairments in function, and mortality [53, 71–77]. Older adult-specific assessments should be used [21]. An approach that uses brief geriatric screening tools to select older adults who should be referred for CGA is a practicable approach for surgeons [28, 33, 34, 78]. There are several goals of preoperative assessment outlined in Table 13.2.

Geriatric Screening Tools

Many screening tools have been developed to identify vulnerable older adults who are most likely to benefit from referral for CGA [31–36, 42]. These tools offer feasibility over CGA, but each has incumbent tradeoffs in comprehensiveness. These screening tools vary in the domains assessed, method of administration, time to complete, and test properties [28, 33, 34]. Surgeons should select a tool based on resources available, and familiarity or recommendations of local geriatric services. At minimum, surgeons caring for older adults with cancer should select one screening tool to use routinely. This can be done by the surgeon or trained delegate (e.g., residents, physician assistants, and nurses) and some are self-administered by

Table 13.2 Goals of preoperative assessment [18, 19, 28, 32]

Provide estimates of postoperative outcomes and competing causes of death and poor outcomes to aid decision-making and preparedness planning
Identify areas of vulnerability that may be optimized, including candidates for prehabilitation
Tailor treatment choices and supportive care
Anticipate postoperative needs
Plan for early rehabilitation
Delirium prevention and monitoring strategies
Proactive discharge planning including caregiver preparation and home care needs

patients. Older adults with an abnormal screening test score can then be referred to local multidisciplinary geriatric service for CGA and recommendations [63, 67–70].

Geriatric screening tools to identify vulnerability or frailty (an abnormal score should prompt CGA)

- Two commonly used geriatric screening tools that are sensitive for abnormalities in CGA and for postoperative outcomes in older adults with cancer are VES-13 (Vulnerable Elders Survey-13) (Table 13.3) and the G8 (Table 13.4.) [34, 79–81]. Both can be done in <5 minutes. VES-13 can be self-administered and G8 is administered by a healthcare professional. G8 has better sensitivity but worse specificity than VES-13 [34]. The G8 has been optimized in a single prospective cohort study to a shortened 6-item G6 tool with improved performance on internal validation, but it is yet to undergo external validation [82].
- Other available tools include GFI (Groningen Frailty Indicator), FRAIL Scale, SAOP2 (Senior Adult Oncology Program 2), Abbreviated CGA, TRST (Triage Risk Screening Tool), Clinical Frailty Scale, Edmonton Frail Scale, and PRISMA-7.

Other than frailty, if resources are available, additional single domain assessment tools that often are included as part of a CGA can be used (Table 13.5). Components that are most associated with postoperative outcomes and offer targets for interventions are functional status, cognition, depression, nutritional status, and comorbidities [86–88].

Table 13.3 Vulnerable Elders Survey-13 (VES-13) [42, 79]

Category		Points
Age (years)	<75	0
	75–85	1
	≥85	3
Self-rated health	Good, very good, or excellent	0
	Fair or poor	1
Physical disability	Difficulty with any of the following	0 (0 items)
	Stooping, crouching, or kneeling	1 (1 item)
	Lifting or carrying objects as heavy as 10 lbs	2 (≥2 items)
	Reaching or extending arms above shoulder level	
	Writing, handing, or grasping small objects	
Walking a quarter mile (400 m)		
Doing heavy housework		
Functional disability	Need assistance because of health/physical condition for any of the following:	0 (0 items)
	Shopping for personal items	4 (≥1 item)
	Managing money	
	Walking across the room (cane or walker okay)	
	Doing light housework	
	Bathing or showering	

A score of ≥3 is abnormal (frail)

Table 13.4. G8 Tool [80]

Item	Answers	Points
Has food intake declined over the past 3 months due to loss of appetite, digestive problems, chewing, or swallowing difficulties?	Severe decrease in food intake	0
	Moderate decrease in food intake	1
	No decrease in food intake	2
Weight loss during the last 3 months	Weight loss >3 kg	0
	Does not know	1
	Weight loss between 1 and 3 kg	2
	No weight loss	3
Mobility	Bed or chair bound	0
	Able to get out of bed/ chair but does not go out	1
	Goes out	2
Neuropsychological problems	Severe dementia or depression	0
	Mild dementia or depression	1
	No psychological problems	2
Body mass index (BMI, kg/m ²)	<19	0
	19 to <21	1
	21 to <23	2
	≥23	3
Takes >3 medications per day	Yes	0
	No	1
In comparison to other people of the same age, how does patient consider their health status?	Not as good	0
	Does not know	0.5
	As good	1
	Better	2
Age	>85	0
	80–85	1
	<80	2

A score of ≤14 is abnormal (frail)

A mobile app for frailty screening (Essential Frailty Toolset) has been developed to assess patients undergoing aortic valve replacement [89]. The Essential Frailty Toolset has not been assessed in general surgery or oncology patients, but it is a simple four-item tool and is free to download (frailtytool.com).

Table 13.5 Single domain tools to consider if resources are available

Tool	Domains evaluated	Abnormal score	Time to complete	Comments
Timed Up and Go (TUG) [83]	Rise from chair, walk 3 m (10 ft), and return to sitting in chair	>12 s to complete	<1 minute	Simple test; requires timer and walking space Associated with major postoperative complications
Falls [84]	Ask patient about falls in the past 6 months	Report of any fall in the past 6 months	<1 minute	One-third patients had reported a fall when asked Strongly associated with postoperative complication and institutional discharge Sensitivity for frailty unreported
Mini-Cog [85]	Cognitive screening tool 3-word recall (scored 0–3) Clock drawing with all numbers and time set to 10 past 11 (scored 0 or 2)	≤3	≤3 minutes	Short screen for cognitive impairment Associated with postoperative complications, institutional discharge, and death at 6 months Poor performance with limited education
Nutrition	BMI Weight loss MNA-SF	BMI < 21 <80% of ideal weight or weight loss (>5% in 1 month or 10% in 6 months)	1–3 minutes	Associated with increased complications, hospital stay, and mortality [18]

BMI body mass index, *MNA-SF* mini nutritional assessment short form

Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment

CGA (Table 13.6) reveals unrecognized health issues, predicts postoperative outcomes, and can influence oncologic and non-oncologic treatment decisions [105–107]. In hospitalized patients, CGA has been associated with decreased mortality and functional decline at 3, 6, and 12 months.

CGA is recommended by multiple clinical oncology societies for those aged 70–75 years or older and those who are younger with age-related health concerns [18, 20, 32, 90, 108, 109]. If resources do not allow this, then geriatric screening tests can be used to select older adults for CGA [63, 67–70]. For CGA, any of various models and combinations of tools that assess the cardinal domains are acceptable [31, 32, 90]. Some geriatric oncology centers have developed electronic assessments [110, 111]. The Preoperative Assessment of Cancer in the Elderly (PACE) is a battery

Table 13.6 Comprehensive geriatric assessment [32, 90]

Domain	Example tools	Impact	Treatment options [90]
Functional independence	ADL (Katz index) IADL (Lawton scale)	Impairs independent living Adverse health-related outcomes	Home care assistance Prehabilitation, anticipate postoperative rehabilitation
Physical performance [92, 93]	Grip strength Gait speed Timed Up and Go (TUG) Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB)	Treatment complications Increased risk of death Falls typically multifactorial	Physical therapy Exercise program/falls prevention program Occupational therapy
Falls [94]	Prior falls history Location and circumstance	Consider impact of chemotherapy-associated neuropathy [91]	Home safety evaluation Medication review for falls
Comorbidity [95]	Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) Cumulative Illness Rating Scale-Geriatric (CIRS-G)	Perioperative considerations Severe comorbidity may be more life-limiting than cancer diagnosis	Optimize medical management
Nutrition [96]	Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) Unintentional weight loss Serum albumin BMI	Treatment complications Increased mortality Increased hospital stay Poor adjuvant chemotherapy tolerance	Dietician Specific dietary recommendations Oral care Social work, home care, occupational therapy
Polypharmacy [97]	STOPP/START Criteria [98] Beers Criteria [99] Medication Appropriateness Index (MAI)	Drug interactions Adverse events Altered renal or liver function Medication appropriateness	ACS-AGS guidelines for perioperative medication management [21] Pharmacist medication review Geriatrician management
Social support	Living situation Power of Attorney Availability of caregiver(s) Social isolation Financial status	Impaired treatment tolerability Prolonged and difficult recovery Difficulty with discharge planning	Social work Transportation assistance Home care assistance Caregiver support Spiritual care

Table 13.6 (continued)

Domain	Example tools	Impact	Treatment options [90]
Cognition (MCI, dementia, and delirium)	Mini-Cog (screening test) Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE) Rowland Universal Dementia Assessment Scale (RUDAS) [100] Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) for delirium	Capacity for informed consent Ability to follow complex treatment instructions Risk factor for postoperative delirium	Delirium prevention strategies [101, 102] Involve caregiver Involve SDM if capacity for informed consent is lacking Evaluate home supports Review medication appropriateness
Psychological status (depression, anxiety, distress) [103, 104]	Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) Distress Thermometer (DT)	Poor QoL Caregiver burden Functional decline	Geriatrician or PCP treatment Psycho-oncology Social work/ counseling Geriatric psychiatry
Other geriatric syndromes	Urinary incontinence	Social withdrawal and dermatitis Increased infections Increased health care costs	Lifestyle and pharmacotherapy
	Pressure ulcers	Physical restriction and social isolation Increased infections Increased health care costs	Multidisciplinary wound care team
	Osteoporosis	Falls and fracture risk	Geriatrician or PCP treatment
	Sarcopenia	Disability, hospitalization, and death	Dietician and nutritional recommendations Exercise program

CGA should be conducted by a team with geriatric expertise

Specific tools used are not standard but should include assessment in all four cardinal domains of physical health, functional status, psychological status, and socioeconomic factors and include management plans for identified deficits [31, 32].

Individual tools can be used alone or in shorter batteries for screening

ACS-AGS American College of Surgeons and American Geriatrics Society, ADL activities of daily living, CGA comprehensive geriatric assessment, IADL instrumental activities of daily living, MCI mild cognitive impairment, PCP primary care provider, SDM substitute decision-maker

investigated in older adults having cancer surgery [60]. Treatment strategies exist for deficits identified on CGA; however, specific guidance on how oncologic treatments should be altered based on CGA is not yet available.

Estimating Surgical Risk

Frailty, abnormal geriatric screening tests, and CGA are associated with surgical outcomes; however, several prognostic models have been developed aiming to give individual estimates of postoperative outcomes [35]. Few meet high-quality methodological standards for development and validation in older adults and older adults with cancer [88, 112–115]. The American College of Surgeons (ACS) Surgical Risk Calculator is a commonly used prognostic model that has undergone sound development, validation, and recalibration to improve test performance (Table 13.7) [116, 117].

Estimating Life Expectancy

Estimating an older adult's underlying life expectancy can assist with contextualizing treatment choices for cancer control by relating life expectancy with the risk of cancer-related morbidity, recurrence, and death. Discussing overall prognosis is helpful in supporting patients make choices about their healthcare and may strengthen the physician-patient relationship [118, 119]. Clinician predictions of life expectancy are often inaccurate, and prognosis calculators are helpful (Table 13.8) [7, 123–125]. An easy-to-use web-interface with life expectancy calculators informed by a systematic review of prognostic indices is available (ePrognosis) [7, 126]. Project Big Life also developed and validated a newer population-based life expectancy calculator with an easy-to-use web-interface [122, 127].

Table 13.7 Prediction model to estimate surgical risk

ACS Surgical Risk Calculator (riskcalculator.facs.org/RiskCalculator)	Web-based calculator Presents risk referenced against average patient Printable patient-friendly report	Outcomes of most interest Serious complication Death Return to OR Discharge to institution (short-term only)
--	---	--

Table 13.8 Free web-based life expectancy calculators

ePrognosis (eprognosis.ucsf.edu/calculators/#/)	Multiple calculators available Informed by systematic review [7] Lee Schonberg Index is most relevant [120, 121] Estimates 5-, 10-, and 14-year mortality Prints or emails patient-friendly report
Project Big Life (www.projectbiglife.ca/)	Canadian population-based prediction model [122] Patient-friendly online results display

Communication and Patient-Centered Decision-Making

Results of geriatric screening, CGA, life expectancy, and surgical risk estimates allow more informed patient-centered decision-making [26, 68]. There are no high-level clinical trial data to guide specific oncologic treatment modifications, but treatment recommendations should incorporate multidisciplinary recommendations in a shared decision-making model that integrates the patient's preferences and values [18, 20, 24, 28, 42, 68, 87].

For a fit older adult, standard treatments are appropriate. Based on patient preferences, tailored options can be considered (e.g., watch and wait, local excision, no surgical axillary staging, omission of radiotherapy after breast conserving surgery).

For a vulnerable or frail older adult – or when life expectancy is short enough that cancer control is a lesser priority due to low likelihood of cancer-related morbidity or mortality – management options include prehabilitation, less-invasive or organ-sparing treatment, or a palliative/non-curative symptom management approach.

Inadequate assessment and communication pitfalls can lead to nonbeneficial interventions with unintended consequences and unwanted burdens [26, 128, 129]. Uncertainty can lead to pressures for more aggressive treatments, and well-informed patients may choose differently [130–132]. Poor quality of the decision-making conversation, lack of shared decision-making, and unexpected poor postoperative quality of life all contribute to regret [133].

If surgery is chosen, it is important to discuss goals and preferences. Surgeons may discuss surgery with a “fix-it” model, convey risk as “big surgery”, and insist on “surgical buy-in” to aggressive interventions in the case of major complications, and patients focus on logistical concerns [134–136]. However, an approach that narratively describes the types of patient-centered outcomes that are reasonably possible conveys more meaningful information [137]. Simply asking what a patient “wants” can lead to unattainable expectations or unexpected excessive burden [128, 138–140]. Explore and understand the types of patient-reported outcomes that would be unacceptable to the patient and the relative importance of longevity-based or comfort-based care if major complications occur, particularly a prolonged trajectory of accumulating complications [141, 142]. Question prompt lists are being investigated to facilitate discussing choices, expectations if everything goes well, and what happens if things go wrong [143].

Preparing for Surgery

What Is Prehabilitation?

Prehabilitation is a coordinated process aimed at improving the capacity of a patient to withstand an upcoming stressor like surgery. For patients with frailty, interventions that have been tested include physical activity, protein or other nutritional supplementation, psychosocial interventions, medication management, pharmacotherapy, and multifaceted interventions [68, 144]. Currently available evidence for

prehabilitation, particularly in oncology, is mixed and inconclusive [145–149]. Multifaceted prehabilitation guided by CGA is likely to be most effective, with high yield for programs incorporating supervised combined nutrition and exercise interventions in individuals at increased risk of functional decline or with functional deficits at baseline.

Nutrition

Malnutrition is a common finding in older adults with cancer, particularly gastrointestinal cancers and when symptoms like anorexia, early satiety, nausea, and vomiting are present [96]. Malnutrition is associated with postoperative complications, mortality, and decreased survival [96, 150].

There are many tools to screen for malnutrition, or it may be identified on CGA. Screen with BMI (≤ 21), unintentional weight loss ($>5\%$ in 1 month or 10% in 6 months), serum albumin (<35 g/L), or MNA-SF (Mini Nutritional Assessment-Short Form) [96, 151]. If a patient screens positive for malnutrition, refer to a dietician if available and for CGA for suggested interventions (Table 13.9). Additionally, follow standard ERAS pathways with preoperative carbohydrate load, short liquid fast, and early postoperative diet [17].

Caring for Older Adults After Surgery

The American College of Surgeons and American Geriatrics Society provide detailed recommendations for older adults undergoing surgery (these are not specific to oncology) [17, 21]. Largely, older adults should be cared for similarly to younger adults, including ERAS pathways, with added attention to proactive early

Table 13.9 Suggested interventions for malnutrition (best done with dietician involvement) [96, 152]

Nutritional counseling	Individualized Focus on protein intake; recommend minimum of 20-35 g protein/meal and at least 1 g/kg/day Oral nutrition supplements typically low in protein; use protein-rich preparations Whey protein isolates or whole milk powder contain high-quality proteins
Pharmacologic	Anti-emetics Pain control Branched-chain amino acids (leucine) promote protein synthesis in older adults (renal impairment is contraindication) Omega-3 fatty acids (fish oil) may improve appetite and body weight (2 g/day) Insufficient evidence for cannabinoids Corticosteroids considered to increase appetite ($<1-3$ weeks, usually not used due to numerous side effects)
Physical activity	Daily aerobic and strength training; can stimulate appetite and anabolism

Table 13.10 Postoperative considerations requiring added attention in older adults [17, 24, 68]

Proactive early mobilization [153]	Remove barriers (crowding furniture) and restraints (proactive removal of Foley and nasogastric tube, saline lock intravenous) Up to chair at meal times even if not eating; active range-of-motion exercises if in bed; head-of-bed at 30 ° if aspiration risk Encourage ambulation; walking aids as needed Physiotherapy as needed
Delirium prevention [101, 102]	Avoid physical restraints, orient to surroundings (lighting, clock, date), family members present, sleep hygiene (limit nighttime interruptions, early waking, and napping during daytime), hearing and visual aids Optimal pain control, but limit opioids as much as possible Avoid inappropriate medications Screening with Confusion Assessment Method (CAM); is a work-up of suspected delirium for reversible causes, and prevent complications Antipsychotics (risperidone, olanzapine, quetiapine, or ziprasidone) at the lowest effective dose for shortest possible duration considered if behavioral measures have failed and severely agitated, distressed, or threatening substantial harm to self, others, or both
Avoid inappropriate medications	Beers or STOPP-START criteria [99] Avoid benzodiazepines (e.g., lorazepam), anticholinergics (e.g., dimenhydrinate), and antihistamines (e.g., diphenhydramine) Limit opioids as much as possible

mobilization, avoidance of inappropriate medications (Beers criteria), delirium prevention, and discharge planning including caregiver education, home care planning, rehabilitation planning (Table 13.10) [17, 24, 68].

For vulnerable patients who undergo surgery, although largely studied in emergency and orthopedic surgical populations, a proactive geriatric co-management strategy may provide some benefit [70, 154–156]. While all routine postoperative management applies to older adults, older adults are at increased risk for the hazards of hospitalization including delirium, malnutrition, pressure ulcers, falls, restraint use, functional decline, and adverse drug effects [24, 157]. Postoperative geriatrics teams can assist with management of medications and chronic medical conditions; prevention, recognition, and treatment of common postoperative complications including delirium; and discharge planning and caregiver education for post-hospital care [17, 24, 70].

Toronto Pearls

- In general, age is not the primary consideration to guide decision-making for cancer treatment, and older adults have unique vulnerabilities that require assessment beyond the traditional preoperative evaluation.
- Many resources exist that can be adapted to local clinical environments (Table 13.11).
- Surgeons, or a delegate, should employ a screening tool to guide referral for CGA when planning cancer treatments.

Table 13.11 List of key guidelines and geriatric oncology resources [27, 28]

Guideline or resource	Contents
International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG) (www.siog.org/content/comprehensive-geriatric-assessment-cga-older-patient-cancer)	Guidelines Screening Tools (Geriatric 8, Triage Risk Screening Tool, Vulnerable Elderly Survey-13) Geriatric Assessment Tools
Cancer-Type Specific Guidelines	SIOG breast cancer guideline [158] SIOG rectal cancer guideline [87] SIOG colorectal cancer guideline [159]
ACS-AGS preoperative and perioperative guidelines [17, 21]	Detailed recommendations for older adults undergoing surgery not specific to oncology
AGS Postoperative Delirium [101, 102]	Detailed recommendations for prevention, screening, diagnosis, work-up, and management
American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) Geriatric Oncology (www.asco.org/practice-guidelines/cancer-care-initiatives/geriatric-oncology)	Compilation of geriatric oncology resources, tools, updates, and research
Cancer & Aging Research Group (CARG) Tools http://www.mycarg.org/SelectQuestionnaire	Online Chemo-Toxicity Calculator Online Geriatric Assessment Tool in multiple languages
Senior Adult Oncology Program (SOAP) Tools, Moffitt Cancer Center (moffitt.org/for-healthcare-providers/clinical-programs-and-services/senior-adult-oncology-program/senior-adult-oncology-program-tools)	Chemotherapy Risk Assessment Scale for High-Age Patients (CRASH) Calculator Cumulative Illness Rating Scale-Geriatric (CIRS-G) Calculator SAOP2 Screening Questionnaire
ConsultGeri, The Hartford Institute for Geriatric Nursing (consultgeri.org/tools/try-this-series)	Geriatric assessment tools with video tutorials

ACS-AGS American College of Surgeons and American Geriatrics Society

- Surgeons should identify local resources available to assist in caring for older adults with cancer as these will vary.
- Results of screening and CGA as needed, risk of surgery estimation, and life expectancy estimation should be combined with patient preferences in a shared decision-making model to guide treatment choices and perioperative planning.

This systematic multidomain and holistic approach to provide assessment and intervention in the perioperative settings optimizes life prolongation, geriatric syndrome prevention, subjective well-being improvement, and functional status.

References

1. Lundebjerg NE, Trucil DE, Hammond EC, Applegate WB. When it comes to older adults, language matters: Journal of the American Geriatrics Society adopts modified American Medical Association style. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2017;65:1386–8.

2. Balducci L, Ershler WB. Cancer and ageing: a nexus at several levels. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2005;5:655.
3. Etzioni DA, Liu JH, Maggard MA, Ko CY. The aging population and its impact on the surgery workforce. *Ann Surg*. 2003;238:170.
4. Ries LAG, Reichman ME, Lewis DR, Hankey BF, Edwards BK. Cancer survival and incidence from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) program. *Oncologist*. 2003;8:541–52.
5. Edwards BK, Howe HL, Ries LAG, Thun MJ, Rosenberg HM, Yancik R, Wingo PA, Jemal A, Feigal EG. Annual report to the nation on the status of cancer, 1973–1999, featuring implications of age and aging on US cancer burden. *Cancer*. 2002;94:2766–92.
6. Smith BD, Smith GL, Hurria A, Hortobagyi GN, Buchholz TA. Future of cancer incidence in the United States: burdens upon an aging, changing nation. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27:2758–65.
7. Yourman LC, Lee SJ, Schonberg MA, Widera EW, Smith AK. Prognostic indices for older adults: a systematic review. *JAMA*. 2012;307:182–92.
8. Hutchins LF, Unger JM, Crowley JJ, Coltman CA Jr, Albain KS. Underrepresentation of patients 65 years of age or older in cancer-treatment trials. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341:2061–7.
9. Talarico L, Chen G, Pazdur R. Enrollment of elderly patients in clinical trials for cancer drug registration: a 7-year experience by the US Food and Drug Administration. *J Clin Oncol*. 2004;22:4626–31.
10. Fried TR, Van Ness PH, Byers AL, Towle VR, O’Leary JR, Dubin JA. Changes in preferences for life-sustaining treatment among older persons with advanced illness. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2007;22:495–501.
11. Barnato AE, Herndon MB, Anthony DL, Gallagher PM, Skinner JS, Bynum JPW, Fisher ES. Are regional variations in end-of-life care intensity explained by patient preferences?: a study of the US Medicare Population. *Med Care*. 2007;45:386.
12. Teno JM, Fisher ES, Hamel MB, Coppola K, Dawson NV. Medical care inconsistent with patients’ treatment goals: association with 1-year Medicare resource use and survival. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2002;50:496–500.
13. Nabozny MJ, Kruser JM, Steffens NM, Brasel KJ, Campbell TC, Gaines ME, Schwarze ML. Constructing high-stakes surgical decisions: it’s better to die trying. *Ann Surg*. 2016;263:64.
14. Hurria A, Mohile SG, Dale W. Research priorities in geriatric oncology: addressing the needs of an aging population. *J Natl Compr Cancer Netw*. 2012;10:286–8.
15. Dall TM, Gallo PD, Chakrabarti R, West T, Semilla AP, Storm MV. An aging population and growing disease burden will require a large and specialized health care workforce by 2025. *Health Aff*. 2013;32:2013–20.
16. Section for Enhancing Geriatric Understanding and Expertise Among Surgical and Medical Specialists (SEGUE) AGS. Retooling for an aging America: building the Healthcare Workforce: a white paper regarding implementation of recommendation 4.2 of this Institute of Medicine Report of April 14, 2008, that “All licensure, certification and maintenance of certification for healthcare professionals should include demonstration of competence in care of older adults as a criterion”. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2011;59:1537–9.
17. Mohanty S, Rosenthal RA, Russell MM, Neuman MD, Ko CY, Esnaola NF. Optimal perioperative management of the geriatric patient: a best practices guideline from the American College of Surgeons NSQIP and the American Geriatrics Society. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2016;222:930–47.
18. National Comprehensive Cancer Network older adult oncology (version 2.2018). https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/senior.pdf. Accessed 19 Oct 2018.
19. Kristjansson SR, Spies C, Veering BTH, Silverstein JH, Vignano AAL, Mercadante S, Jack S, Zaniboni A, Schmidt M, Watt HL. Perioperative care of the elderly oncology patient: a report from the SIOG task force on the perioperative care of older patients with cancer. *J Geriatr Oncol*. 2012;3:147–62.
20. Aapro M, Schrijvers D. *ESMO handbook of cancer in the senior patient*. 2nd ed. London: ESMO; 2015.

21. Chow WB, Rosenthal RA, Merkow RP, Ko CY, Esnaola NF. Optimal preoperative assessment of the geriatric surgical patient: a best practices guideline from the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program and the American Geriatrics Society. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2012;215:453–66.
22. Lowsky DJ, Olshansky SJ, Bhattacharya J, Goldman DP. Heterogeneity in healthy aging. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2013;69:640–9.
23. Wildiers H, Mauer M, Pallis A, Hurria A, Mohile SG, Luciani A, Curigliano G, Extermann M, Lichtman SM, Ballman K. End points and trial design in geriatric oncology research: a joint European organisation for research and treatment of cancer–Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology–International Society Of Geriatric Oncology position article. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013;31:3711–8.
24. Shahrokni A, Kim SJ, Bosl GJ, Korc-Grodzicki B. How we care for an older patient with cancer. *J Oncol Pract.* 2017;13:95–102.
25. Shapiro GR. Introduction: decision making with older cancer patients. *Cancer J.* 2017;23:199–200.
26. Chesney TR, Schwarze ML. Patient-centered surgical decision making. In: Rosenthal R, Zenilman M, Katlic M, editors. *Principles and practice of geriatric surgery.* 3rd ed. Cham: Springer; 2017. p. 1–13.
27. Soto-Perez-de-Celis E, Li D, Yuan Y, Lau YM, Hurria A. Functional versus chronological age: geriatric assessments to guide decision making in older patients with cancer. *Lancet Oncol.* 2018;19:e305–16.
28. Loh KP, Soto-Perez-de-Celis E, Hsu T, de Glas NA, Battisti NML, Baldini C, Rodrigues M, Lichtman SM, Wildiers H. What every oncologist should know about geriatric assessment for older patients with cancer: Young International Society of Geriatric Oncology position paper. *J Oncol Pract.* 2018;14:85–94.
29. Ghignone F, Van Leeuwen BL, Montroni I, Huisman MG, Somasundar P, Cheung KL, Audisio RA, Ugolini G. The assessment and management of older cancer patients: a SIOG surgical task force survey on surgeons’ attitudes. *Eur J Surg Oncol.* 2016;42:297–302.
30. Chesney TR, Pang G, Ahmed N. Caring for older surgical patients: contemporary attitudes, knowledge, practices, and needs of general surgeons and residents. *Ann Surg.* 2017;268:77. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000002363>.
31. Puts MTE, Alibhai SMH. Fighting back against the dilution of the comprehensive geriatric assessment. *J Geriatr Oncol.* 2018;9:3–5.
32. Wildiers H, Heeren P, Puts M, Topinkova E, Janssen-Heijnen MLG, Extermann M, Falandry C, Artz A, Brain E, Colloca G. International Society of Geriatric Oncology consensus on geriatric assessment in older patients with cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32:2595–603.
33. Decoster L, Van Puyvelde K, Mohile S, Wedding U, Basso U, Colloca G, Rostoft S, Overcash J, Wildiers H, Steer C. Screening tools for multidimensional health problems warranting a geriatric assessment in older cancer patients: an update on SIOG recommendations. *Ann Oncol.* 2014;26:288–300.
34. Hamaker ME, Jonker JM, de Rooij SE, Vos AG, Smorenburg CH, van Munster BC. Frailty screening methods for predicting outcome of a comprehensive geriatric assessment in elderly patients with cancer: a systematic review. *Lancet Oncol.* 2012;13:e437–44.
35. Eamer G, Al-Amoodi M, Holroyd-Leduc J, Rolfson DB, Warkentin L, Khadaroo RG. Review of risk assessment tools to predict morbidity and mortality in elderly surgical patients brief title: review of surgical risk assessment tools. *Am J Surg.* 2018;216:585–94.
36. Watt J, Tricco AC, Talbot-Hamon C, Rios P, Grudniewicz A, Wong C, Sinclair D, Straus SE. Identifying older adults at risk of harm following elective surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Med.* 2018;16:2.
37. Mokkink LB, Terwee CB, Patrick DL, Alonso J, Stratford PW, Knol DL, Bouter LM, de Vet HCW. The COSMIN study reached international consensus on taxonomy, terminology, and definitions of measurement properties for health-related patient-reported outcomes. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2010;63:737–45.

38. Inouye SK, Studenski S, Tinetti ME, Kuchel GA. Geriatric syndromes: clinical, research, and policy implications of a core geriatric concept: (See Editorial Comments by Dr. William Hazzard on pp 794–796). *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2007;55:780–91.
39. Clegg A, Young J, Iliffe S, Rikkert MO, Rockwood K. Frailty in elderly people. *Lancet.* 2013;381:752–62.
40. Robinson TN, Walston JD, Brummel NE, Deiner S, Brown CH, Kennedy M, Hurria A. Frailty for surgeons: review of a National Institute on Aging Conference on Frailty for Specialists. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2015;221:1083–92.
41. Anaya DA, Johanning J, Spector SA, Katlic MR, Perrino AC, Feinleib J, Rosenthal RA. Summary of the panel session at the 38th Annual Surgical Symposium of the Association of VA Surgeons: what is the big deal about frailty? *JAMA Surg.* 2014;149:1191–7.
42. Ethun CG, Bilen MA, Jani AB, Maittel SK, Ogan K, Master VA. Frailty and cancer: implications for oncology surgery, medical oncology, and radiation oncology. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2017;67:362–77.
43. Morley JE, Vellas B, Van Kan GA, Anker SD, Bauer JM, Bernabei R, Cesari M, Chumlea WC, Doehner W, Evans J. Frailty consensus: a call to action. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2013;14:392–7.
44. Buta BJ, Walston JD, Godino JG, Park M, Kalyani RR, Xue Q-L, Bandeen-Roche K, Varadhan R. Frailty assessment instruments: systematic characterization of the uses and contexts of highly-cited instruments. *Ageing Res Rev.* 2016;26:53–61.
45. Rockwood K, Theou O, Mitnitski A. What are frailty instruments for? *Age Ageing.* 2015;44:545–7.
46. Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Baeyens JP, Bauer JM, Boirie Y, Cederholm T, Landi F, Martin FC, Michel J-P, Rolland Y, Schneider SM. Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis report of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in older people. *Age Ageing.* 2010;39:412–23.
47. Fielding RA, Vellas B, Evans WJ, Bhasin S, Morley JE, Newman AB, van Kan GA, Andrieu S, Bauer J, Breuille D. Sarcopenia: an undiagnosed condition in older adults. Current consensus definition: prevalence, etiology, and consequences. International working group on sarcopenia. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2011;12:249–56.
48. Studenski SA, Peters KW, Alley DE, Cawthon PM, McLean RR, Harris TB, Ferrucci L, Guralnik JM, Fragala MS, Kenny AM. The FNIH sarcopenia project: rationale, study description, conference recommendations, and final estimates. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2014;69:547–58.
49. Muscaritoli M, Anker SD, Argiles J, Aversa Z, Bauer JM, Biolo G, Boirie Y, Bosaeus I, Cederholm T, Costelli P. Consensus definition of sarcopenia, cachexia and pre-cachexia: joint document elaborated by Special Interest Groups (SIG) “cachexia-anorexia in chronic wasting diseases” and “nutrition in geriatrics”. *Clin Nutr.* 2010;29:154–9.
50. Clynes MA, Edwards MH, Buehring B, Dennison EM, Binkley N, Cooper C. Definitions of sarcopenia: associations with previous falls and fracture in a population sample. *Calcif Tissue Int.* 2015;97:445–52.
51. Levolger S, Van Vugt JLA, De Bruin RWF, IJzermans JNM. Systematic review of sarcopenia in patients operated on for gastrointestinal and hepatopancreatobiliary malignancies. *Br J Surg.* 2015;102:1448–58.
52. Simonsen C, de Heer P, Bjerre ED, Suetta C, Hojman P, Pedersen BK, Svendsen LB, Christensen JF. Sarcopenia and postoperative complication risk in gastrointestinal surgical oncology: a Meta-analysis. *Ann Surg.* 2018;268:58–69.
53. Makary MA, Segev DL, Pronovost PJ, Syin D, Bandeen-Roche K, Patel P, Takenaga R, Devgan L, Holzmueller CG, Tian J. Frailty as a predictor of surgical outcomes in older patients. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2010;210:901–8.
54. Shamlayan T, Talley KMC, Ramakrishnan R, Kane RL. Association of frailty with survival: a systematic literature review. *Ageing Res Rev.* 2013;12:719–36.
55. Pawlik TM, Gleisner AL, Bauer TW, Adams RB, Reddy SK, Clary BM, Martin RC, Scoggins CR, Tanabe KK, Michaelson JS. Liver-directed surgery for metastatic squamous cell carcinoma to the liver: results of a multi-center analysis. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2007;14:2807–16.

56. Tan K-Y, Kawamura YJ, Tokomitsu A, Tang T. Assessment for frailty is useful for predicting morbidity in elderly patients undergoing colorectal cancer resection whose comorbidities are already optimized. *Am J Surg*. 2012;204:139–43.
57. Handforth C, Clegg A, Young C, Simpkins S, Seymour MT, Selby PJ, Young J. The prevalence and outcomes of frailty in older cancer patients: a systematic review. *Ann Oncol*. 2014;26:1091–101.
58. Li Y, Pederson JL, Churchill TA, Wagg AS, Holroyd-Leduc JM, Alagiakrishnan K, Padwal RS, Khadaroo RG. Impact of frailty on outcomes after discharge in older surgical patients: a prospective cohort study. *Can Med Assoc J*. 2018;190:E184–90.
59. Hewitt J, Long S, Carter B, Bach S, McCarthy K, Clegg A. The prevalence of frailty and its association with clinical outcomes in general surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Age Ageing*. 2018;47:793–800.
60. Audisio RA. Shall we operate? Preoperative assessment in elderly cancer patients (PACE) can help A SIOG surgical task force prospective study. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2008;48:16–31.
61. Collard RM, Boter H, Schoevers RA, Voshaar RCO. Prevalence of frailty in community-dwelling older persons: a systematic review. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2012;60:1487–92.
62. Song X, Mitnitski A, Rockwood K. Prevalence and 10-year outcomes of frailty in older adults in relation to deficit accumulation. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2010;58:681–7.
63. Huisingh-Scheetz M, Walston J. How should older adults with cancer be evaluated for frailty? *J Geriatr Oncol*. 2017;8:8–15.
64. Mitnitski AB, Mogilner AJ, Rockwood K. Accumulation of deficits as a proxy measure of aging. *Sci World J*. 2001;1:323–36.
65. Rockwood K, Song X, MacKnight C, Bergman H, Hogan DB, McDowell I, Mitnitski A. A global clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people. *Can Med Assoc J*. 2005;173:489–95.
66. Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J, Seeman T, Tracy R, Kop WJ, Burke G. Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2001;56:M146–57.
67. Hall DE, Arya S, Schmid KK, Carlson MA, Lavedan P, Bailey TL, Purviance G, Bockman T, Lynch TG, Johannung JM. Association of a frailty screening initiative with postoperative survival at 30, 180, and 365 days. *JAMA Surg*. 2017;152:233–40.
68. Alvarez-Nebreda ML, Bentov N, Urman RD, Setia S, Huang JC-S, Pfeifer K, Bennett K, Ong TD, Richman D, Gollapudi D. Recommendations for preoperative management of frailty from the Society for Perioperative Assessment and Quality Improvement (SPAQI). *J Clin Anesth*. 2018;47:33–42.
69. Magnuson A, Canin B, van Londen GJ, Edwards B, Bakalarski P, Parker I. Incorporating geriatric medicine providers into the care of the older adult with cancer. *Curr Oncol Rep*. 2016;18:65.
70. McDonald SR, Hefflin MT, Whitson HE, Dalton TO, Lidsky ME, Liu P, Poer CM, Sloane R, Thacker JK, White HK. Association of integrated care coordination with postsurgical outcomes in high-risk older adults: the Perioperative Optimization of Senior Health (POSH) initiative. *JAMA Surg*. 2018;153:454–62.
71. Robinson TN, Eiseman B, Wallace JI, Church SD, McFann KK, Pfister SM, Sharp TJ, Moss M. Redefining geriatric preoperative assessment using frailty, disability and co-morbidity. *Ann Surg*. 2009;250:449–55.
72. Kristjansson SR, Nesbakken A, Jordhøy MS, Skovlund E, Audisio RA, Johannessen H-O, Bakka A, Wyller TB. Comprehensive geriatric assessment can predict complications in elderly patients after elective surgery for colorectal cancer: a prospective observational cohort study. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2010;76:208–17.
73. Jolly TA, Deal AM, Nyrop KA, Williams GR, Pergolotti M, Wood WA, Alston SM, Gordon B-BE, Dixon SA, Moore SG. Geriatric assessment-identified deficits in older cancer patients with normal performance status. *Oncologist*. 2015;20:379–85.

74. Kirkhus L, Benth JŠ, Rostoft S, Grønberg BH, Hjermsstad MJ, Selbæk G, Wyller TB, Harneshaug M, Jordhøy MS. Geriatric assessment is superior to oncologists' clinical judgement in identifying frailty. *Br J Cancer*. 2017;117:470.
75. Revenig LM, Canter DJ, Henderson MA, Ogan K, Kooby DA, Maithel SK, Liu Y, Kim S, Master VA. Preoperative quantification of perceptions of surgical frailty. *J Surg Res*. 2015;193:583–9.
76. Hoffmann TC, Del Mar C. Clinicians' expectations of the benefits and harms of treatments, screening, and tests: a systematic review. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2017;177:407–19.
77. Sacks GD, Dawes AJ, Ettner SL, Brook RH, Fox CR, Maggard-Gibbons M, Ko CY, Russell MM. Surgeon perception of risk and benefit in the decision to operate. *Ann Surg*. 2016;264:896–903.
78. Korc-Grodzicki B, Holmes HM, Shahrokni A. Geriatric assessment for oncologists. *Cancer Biol Med*. 2015;12:261.
79. Saliba D, Elliott M, Rubenstein LZ, Solomon DH, Young RT, Kamberg CJ, Roth RNC, MacLean CH, Shekelle PG, Sloss EM. The Vulnerable Elders Survey: a tool for identifying vulnerable older people in the community. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2001;49:1691–9.
80. Bellera CA, Rainfray M, Mathoulin-Pelissier S, Mertens C, Delva F, Fonck M, Soubeyran PL. Screening older cancer patients: first evaluation of the G-8 geriatric screening tool. *Ann Oncol*. 2012;23:2166–72.
81. Yokom DW, Alibhai SMH, Sattar S, Krzyzanowska MK. Puts MTE (2018) Geriatric oncology screening tools for CGA-based interventions: results from a phase II study of geriatric assessment and management for older adults with cancer. *J Geriatr Oncol*. 2018;9(6):683–6.
82. Martinez-Tapia C, Canoui-Poitrine F, Bastuji-Garin S, Soubeyran P, Mathoulin-Pelissier S, Tournigand C, Paillaud E, Laurent M, Audureau E. Optimizing the G8 screening tool for older patients with cancer: diagnostic performance and validation of a six-item version. *Oncologist*. 2016;21:188–95.
83. Huisman MG, Van Leeuwen BL, Ugolini G, Montroni I, Spiliotis J, Stabellini C, de'Liguori Carino N, Farinella E, de Bock GH, Audisio RA. "Timed up & go": a screening tool for predicting 30-day morbidity in onco-geriatric surgical patients? a multicenter cohort study. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e0086863.
84. Jones TS, Dunn CL, Wu DS, Cleveland JC, Kile D, Robinson TN. Relationship between asking an older adult about falls and surgical outcomes. *JAMA Surg*. 2013;148:1132–8.
85. Robinson TN, Wu DS, Pointer LF, Dunn CL, Moss M. Preoperative cognitive dysfunction is related to adverse postoperative outcomes in the elderly. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2012;215:12–7.
86. Feng MA, McMillan DT, Crowell K, Muss H, Nielsen ME, Smith AB. Geriatric assessment in surgical oncology: a systematic review. *J Surg Res*. 2015;193:265–72.
87. Montroni I, Ugolini G, Saur NM, Spinelli A, Rostoft S, Millan M, Wolthuis A, Daniels IR, Hompes R, Penna MM. Personalized management of elderly patients with rectal cancer: expert recommendations of the European Society of Surgical Oncology, European Society of Coloproctology, International Society of Geriatric Oncology, and American College of Surgeons Commission. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. 2018;44:1685–702.
88. Katlic MR, Coleman J, Khan K, Wozniak SE, Abraham JH. Sinai abbreviated geriatric evaluation: development and validation of a practical test. *Ann Surg*. 2019;269:177–83.
89. Afilalo J, Lauck S, Kim DH, Lefèvre T, Piazza N, Lachapelle K, Martucci G, Lamy A, Labinaz M, Peterson MD. Frailty in older adults undergoing aortic valve replacement: the FRAILTY-AVR study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;70:689–700.
90. Mohile SG, Velarde C, Hurria A, Magnuson A, Lowenstein L, Pandya C, O'Donovan A, Gorawara-Bhat R, Dale W. Geriatric assessment-guided care processes for older adults: a Delphi consensus of geriatric oncology experts. *J Natl Compr Cancer Netw*. 2015;13:1120–30.
91. Gewandter JS, Fan L, Magnuson A, Mustian K, Peppone L, Heckler C, Hopkins J, Tejani M, Morrow GR, Mohile SG. Falls and functional impairments in cancer survivors with chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN): a University of Rochester CCOP study. *Support Care Cancer*. 2013;21:2059–66.

92. Cesari M, Kritchevsky SB, Newman AB, Simonsick EM, Harris TB, Penninx BW, Brach JS, Tylavsky FA, Satterfield S, Bauer DC. Added value of physical performance measures in predicting adverse health-related events: results from the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2009;57:251–9.
93. Studenski S, Perera S, Patel K, Rosano C, Faulkner K, Inzitari M, Brach J, Chandler J, Cawthon P, Connor EB. Gait speed and survival in older adults. *JAMA.* 2011;305:50–8.
94. Wildes TM, Dua P, Fowler SA, Miller JP, Carpenter CR, Avidan MS, Stark S. Systematic review of falls in older adults with cancer. *J Geriatr Oncol.* 2015;6:70–83.
95. Williams GR, Mackenzie A, Magnuson A, Olin R, Chapman A, Mohile S, Allore H, Somerfield MR, Targia V, Extermann M. Comorbidity in older adults with cancer. *J Geriatr Oncol.* 2016;7:249–57.
96. Mislang AR, Di Donato S, Hubbard J, Krishna L, Mottino G, Bozzetti F, Biganzoli L. Nutritional management of older adults with gastrointestinal cancers: an International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG) review paper. *J Geriatr Oncol.* 2018;9:382–92.
97. Prithviraj GK, Koroukian S, Margevicius S, Berger NA, Bagai R, Owusu C. Patient characteristics associated with polypharmacy and inappropriate prescribing of medications among older adults with cancer. *J Geriatr Oncol.* 2012;3:228–37.
98. Gallagher P, Ryan C, Byrne S, Kennedy J, O’Mahony D. STOPP (screening tool of older person’s prescriptions) and START (screening tool to alert doctors to right treatment). Consensus validation. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2008;46:72–83.
99. Panel AGS, BCUE, Fick DM, Semla TP, Beizer J, Brandt N, Dombrowski R, CE DB, Eisenberg W, Epplin JJ, Flanagan N, et al. American Geriatrics Society 2015 updated beers criteria for potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2015;63:2227–46.
100. Storey JE, Rowland JTJ, Conforti DA, Dickson HG. The Rowland universal dementia assessment scale (RUDAS): a multicultural cognitive assessment scale. *Int Psychogeriatr.* 2004;16:13–31.
101. Samuel M. Postoperative delirium in older adults: best practice statement from the American Geriatrics Society. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2015;220:136.
102. Oh ES, Fong TG, Hshieh TT, Inouye SK. Delirium in older persons: advances in diagnosis and treatment. *JAMA.* 2017;318:1161–74.
103. Weiss Wiesel TR, Nelson CJ, Tew WP, Hardt M, Mohile SG, Owusu C, Klepin HD, Gross CP, Gajra A, Lichtman SM. The relationship between age, anxiety, and depression in older adults with cancer. *Psycho-Oncology.* 2015;24:712–7.
104. Canoui-Poitrine F, Reinald N, Laurent M, Guery E, Caillet P, David J, Tournigand C, Lagrange J, Bastuji-Garin S, Lemogne C. Geriatric assessment findings independently associated with clinical depression in 1092 older patients with cancer: the ELCAPA Cohort Study. *Psycho-Oncology.* 2016;25:104–11.
105. Caillet P, Laurent M, Bastuji-Garin S, Liuu E, Culine S, Lagrange J-L, Canoui-Poitrine F, Paillaud E. Optimal management of elderly cancer patients: usefulness of the Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment. *Clin Interv Aging.* 2014;9:1645.
106. Hamaker ME, Te Molder M, Thielen N, van Munster BC, Schiphorst AH, van Huis LH. The effect of a geriatric evaluation on treatment decisions and outcome for older cancer patients – a systematic review. *J Geriatr Oncol.* 2018;9:430–40.
107. Kim K, Park K-H, Koo K-H, Han H-S, Kim C-H. Comprehensive geriatric assessment can predict postoperative morbidity and mortality in elderly patients undergoing elective surgery. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2013;56:507–12.
108. Pallis AG, Ring A, Fortpied C, Penninckx B, Van Nes MC, Wedding U, Vonminckwitz G, Johnson CD, Wyld L, Timmer-Bonte A. EORTC workshop on clinical trial methodology in older individuals with a diagnosis of solid tumors. *Ann Oncol.* 2011;22:1922–6.
109. Pallis AG, Fortpied C, Wedding U, Van Nes MC, Penninckx B, Ring A, Lacombe D, Monfardini S, Scalliet P, Wildiers H. EORTC elderly task force position paper: approach to the older cancer patient. *Eur J Cancer.* 2010;46:1502–13.

110. Shahrokni A, Tin A, Downey RJ, Strong V, Mahmoudzadeh S, Boparai MK, McMillan S, Vickers A, Korc-Grodzicki B. Electronic rapid fitness assessment: a novel tool for preoperative evaluation of the geriatric oncology patient. *J Natl Compr Cancer Netw*. 2017;15:172–9.
111. Hurria A, Akiba C, Kim J, Mitani D, Loscalzo M, Katheria V, Koczywas M, Pal S, Chung V, Forman S. Reliability, validity, and feasibility of a computer-based geriatric assessment for older adults with cancer. *J Oncol Pract*. 2016;12:e1025–34.
112. Moons KGM, Altman DG, Reitsma JB, Ioannidis JPA, Macaskill P, Steyerberg EW, Vickers AJ, Ransohoff DF, Collins GS. Transparent reporting of a multivariable prediction model for Individual Prognosis or Diagnosis (TRIPOD): explanation and elaboration. *Ann Intern Med*. 2015;162:W1–W73.
113. Buettner S, Wagner D, Kim Y, Margonis GA, Makary MA, Wilson A, Sasaki K, Amini N, Gani F, Pawlik TM. Inclusion of sarcopenia outperforms the modified frailty index in predicting 1-year mortality among 1,326 patients undergoing gastrointestinal surgery for a malignant indication. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2016;222:397–407.
114. Olufajo OA, Reznor G, Lipsitz SR, Cooper ZR, Haider AH, Salim A, Rangel EL. Preoperative assessment of surgical risk: creation of a scoring tool to estimate 1-year mortality after emergency abdominal surgery in the elderly patient. *Am J Surg*. 2017;213:771–7.
115. Min L, Hall K, Finlayson E, Englesbe M, Palazzolo W, Chan C-L, Hou H, Miller A, Diehl KM. Estimating risk of postsurgical general and geriatric complications using the VESPA preoperative tool. *JAMA Surg*. 2017;152:1126–33.
116. Selby D, Cascella A, Gardiner K, Do R, Moravan V, Myers J, Chow E. A single set of numerical cutpoints to define moderate and severe symptoms for the Edmonton Symptom Assessment System. *J Pain Symptom Manag*. 2010;39:241–9.
117. Sherman SK, Poli EC, Kapadia MR, Turaga KK. Estimating surgical risk for patients with severe comorbidities. *JAMA Surg*. 2018;153:778–80.
118. Fenton JJ, Duberstein PR, Kravitz RL, Xing G, Tancredi DJ, Fiscella K, Mohile S, Epstein RM. Impact of prognostic discussions on the patient-physician relationship: prospective cohort study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2017;36:225–30.
119. Smith AK, Williams BA, Lo B. Discussing overall prognosis with the very elderly. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365:2149.
120. Lee SJ, Boscardin WJ, Kirby KA, Covinsky KE. Individualizing life expectancy estimates for older adults using the Gompertz Law of Human Mortality. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e108540.
121. Schonberg MA, Li V, Marcantonio ER, Davis RB, McCarthy EP. Predicting mortality up to 14 years among community-dwelling adults aged 65 and older. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2017;65:1310–5.
122. Manuel DG, Perez R, Sanmartin C, Taljaard M, Hennessy D, Wilson K, Tanuseputro P, Manson H, Bennett C, Tuna M. Measuring burden of unhealthy behaviours using a multivariable predictive approach: life expectancy lost in Canada attributable to smoking, alcohol, physical inactivity, and diet. *PLoS Med*. 2016;13:e1002082.
123. Cheon S, Agarwal A, Popovic M, Milakovic M, Lam M, Fu W, DiGiovanni J, Lam H, Lechner B, Pulezas N. The accuracy of clinicians' predictions of survival in advanced cancer: a review. *Ann Palliat Med*. 2015;5:22–9.
124. Lamont EB, Christakis NA. Complexities in prognostication in advanced cancer: to help them live their lives the way they want to. *JAMA*. 2003;290:98–104.
125. Downar J, Goldman R, Pinto R, Englesakis M, Adhikari NKJ. The “surprise question” for predicting death in seriously ill patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Can Med Assoc J*. 2017;189:E484–93.
126. Lee S, Smith A, Widera E, Yourman L, Schonberg M, Ahalt C. ePrognosis. <https://eprognosis.ucsf.edu/index.php>. Accessed 6 Jan 2018.
127. Manuel DG, Perez R, Sanmartin C, Taljaard M, Hennessy D, Wilson K, Tanuseputro P, Manson H, Bennett C, Tuna M. Project big life. <https://www.projectbiglife.ca/>. Accessed 6 Jan 2018.

128. Cooper Z, Courtwright A, Karlage A, Gawande A, Block S. Pitfalls in communication that lead to nonbeneficial emergency surgery in elderly patients with serious illness: description of the problem and elements of a solution. *Ann Surg.* 2014;260:949–57.
129. Kwok AC, Semel ME, Lipsitz SR, Bader AM, Barnato AE, Gawande AA, Jha AK. The intensity and variation of surgical care at the end of life: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet.* 2011;378:1408–13.
130. Morris RS, Ruck JM, Conca-Cheng AM, Smith TJ, Carver TW, Johnston FM. Shared decision-making in acute surgical illness: the surgeon's perspective. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2018;226:784–95.
131. Raiten JM, Neuman MD. “If I had only known”—on choice and uncertainty in the ICU. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367:1779–81.
132. Fried TR, Bradley EH, O'leary J. Prognosis communication in serious illness: perceptions of older patients, caregivers, and clinicians. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2003;51:1398–403.
133. Wilson A, Ronnekleiv-Kelly SM, Pawlik TM. Regret in surgical decision making: a systematic review of patient and physician perspectives. *World J Surg.* 2017;41:1454–65.
134. Kruser JM, Pecanac KE, Brasel KJ, Cooper Z, Steffens N, McKneally M, Schwarze ML. “And I think that we can fix it”: mental models used in high-risk surgical decision making. *Ann Surg.* 2015;261:678–84.
135. Pecanac KE, Kehler JM, Brasel KJ, Cooper Z, Steffens NM, McKneally MF, Schwarze ML. “It's big surgery”: preoperative expressions of risk, responsibility and commitment to treatment after high-risk operations. *Ann Surg.* 2014;259:458–63.
136. Nabozny MJ, Kruser JM, Steffens NM, Pecanac KE, Brasel KJ, Chittenden EH, Cooper Z, McKneally MF, Schwarze ML. Patient-reported limitations to surgical buy-in: a qualitative study of patients facing high-risk surgery. *Ann Surg.* 2017;265:97–102.
137. Taylor LJ, Nabozny MJ, Steffens NM, Tucholka JL, Brasel KJ, Johnson SK, Zelenski A, Rathouz PJ, Zhao Q, Kwekkeboom KL. A framework to improve surgeon communication in high-stakes surgical decisions: best case/worst case. *JAMA Surg.* 2017;152:531–8.
138. Schwarze ML, Campbell TC, Cunningham TV, White DB, Arnold RM. You can't get what you want: innovation for end-of-life communication in the intensive care unit. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2016;193:14–6.
139. Quill TE, Holloway R. Time-limited trials near the end of life. *JAMA.* 2011;306:1483–4.
140. Cooper Z, Koritsanszky LA, Cauley CE, Frydman JL, Bernacki RE, Mosenthal AC, Gawande AA, Block SD. Recommendations for best communication practices to facilitate goal-concordant care for seriously ill older patients with emergency surgical conditions. *Ann Surg.* 2016;263:1–6.
141. Case SM, Fried TR, O'Leary J. How to ask: older adults' preferred tools in health outcome prioritization. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2013;91:29–36.
142. van Summeren JJGT, Haaijer-Ruskamp FM, Schuling J. Eliciting preferences of multimorbid elderly adults in family practice using an outcome prioritization tool. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2016;64:e143–8.
143. Taylor LJ, Rathouz PJ, Berlin A, Brasel KJ, Mosenthal AC, Finlayson E, Cooper Z, Steffens NM, Jacobson N, Buffington A. Navigating high-risk surgery: protocol for a multisite, stepped wedge, cluster-randomised trial of a question prompt list intervention to empower older adults to ask questions that inform treatment decisions. *BMJ Open.* 2017;7:e014002.
144. Ismail H, Cormie P, Burbury K, Waterland J, Denehy L, Riedel B. Prehabilitation prior to major cancer surgery: training for surgery to optimize physiologic reserve to reduce postoperative complications. *Curr Anesthesiol Rep.* 2018;8:1–11.
145. Barberan-Garcia A, Ubré M, Roca J, Lacy AM, Burgos F, Risco R, Momblán D, Balust J, Blanco I, Martínez-Pallí G. Personalised prehabilitation in high-risk patients undergoing elective major abdominal surgery: a randomized blinded controlled trial. *Ann Surg.* 2018;267:50–6.

146. Luther A, Gabriel J, Watson RP, Francis NK. The impact of total body prehabilitation on post-operative outcomes after major abdominal surgery: a systematic review. *World J Surg*. 2018;42:1–11.
147. Gillis C, Buhler K, Bresee L, Carli F, Gramlich L, Culos-Reed N, Sajobi TT, Fenton TR. Effects of nutritional prehabilitation, with and without exercise, on outcomes of patients who undergo colorectal surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology*. 2018;155:391–410.
148. Stephensen D, Hashem F, Corbett K, Bates A, George M, Hobbs RP, Hopkins M, Hutchins I, Lowery DP, Pellatt-Higgins T. Effects of preoperative and postoperative resistance exercise interventions on recovery of physical function in patients undergoing abdominal surgery for cancer: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med*. 2018;4:e000331.
149. Vermillion SA, James A, Dorrell RD, Brubaker P, Mihalko SL, Hill AR, Clark CJ. Preoperative exercise therapy for gastrointestinal cancer patients: a systematic review. *Syst Rev*. 2018;7:103.
150. Huisman MG, Veronese G, Audisio RA, Ugolini G, Montroni I, de Bock GH, van Leeuwen BL, Vigano A, Gilbert L, Spiliotis J. Poor nutritional status is associated with other geriatric domain impairments and adverse postoperative outcomes in onco-geriatric surgical patients—a multicentre cohort study. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. 2016;42:1009–17.
151. Rubenstein LZ, Harker JO, Salvà A, Guigoz Y, Vellas B. Screening for undernutrition in geriatric practice: developing the short-form mini-nutritional assessment (MNA-SF). *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2001;56:M366–72.
152. Vigano A, Kasvis P, Di Tomasso J, Gillis C, Kilgour R, Carli F. Pearls of optimizing nutrition and physical performance of older adults undergoing cancer therapy. *J Geriatr Oncol*. 2017;8:428–36.
153. Moore JE, Mascarenhas A, Marquez C, Almaawiy U, Chan W-H, D'Souza J, Liu B, Straus SE. Mapping barriers and intervention activities to behaviour change theory for Mobilization of Vulnerable Elders in Ontario (MOVE ON), a multi-site implementation intervention in acute care hospitals. *Implement Sci*. 2014;9:160.
154. Van Grootven B, Flamaing J, Dierckx de Casterlé B, Dubois C, Fagard K, Herregods M-C, Hornikx M, Laenen A, Meuris B, Rex S. Effectiveness of in-hospital geriatric co-management: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Age Ageing*. 2017;46:903–10.
155. Walke LM, Rosenthal RA, Trentalange M, Perkal MF, Maiaroto M, Jeffery SM, Marottoli RA. Restructuring care for older adults undergoing surgery: preliminary data from the Co-Management of Older Operative Patients En Route Across Treatment Environments (CO-OPERATE) model of care. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2014;62:2185–90.
156. Shipway D, Koizia L, Winterkorn N, Fertleman M, Ziprin P, Moorthy K. Embedded geriatric surgical liaison is associated with reduced inpatient length of stay in older patients admitted for gastrointestinal surgery. *Futur Hosp J*. 2018;5:108–16.
157. Creditor MC. Hazards of hospitalization of the elderly. *Ann Intern Med*. 1993;118:219–23.
158. Biganzoli L, Wildiers H, Oakman C, Marotti L, Loibl S, Kunkler I, Reed M, Ciatto S, Voogd AC, Brain E. Management of elderly patients with breast cancer: updated recommendations of the International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG) and European Society of Breast Cancer Specialists (EUSOMA). *Lancet Oncol*. 2012;13:e148–60.
159. Papamichael D, Audisio RA, Glimelius B, De Gramont A, Glynne-Jones R, Haller D, Köhne C-H, Rostoft S, Lemmens V, Mitry E. Treatment of colorectal cancer in older patients: International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG) consensus recommendations 2013. *Ann Oncol*. 2014;26:463–76.