

Chapter 14

Biomass-Based Absorbents for Heavy Metal Removal



Swarup Biswas  and Soma Nag 

Contents

14.1	Introduction.....	352
14.2	Sources of Heavy Metals and Their Hazardous Effect.....	353
14.3	Conventional Methods for Hazardous Heavy Metal Eradication.....	353
14.3.1	Chemical Precipitation.....	353
14.3.2	Ion-Exchange Process.....	353
14.3.3	Membrane Filtration.....	355
14.3.4	Coagulation and Flocculation.....	356
14.3.5	Flotation.....	356
14.3.6	Electrochemical Treatment.....	357
14.4	Biosorption.....	357
14.4.1	Agricultural Biosorbents.....	358
14.4.2	Carbonaceous Biosorbents.....	358
14.4.3	Bacterial Biomass Biosorbents.....	358
14.4.4	Fungal Biomass Biosorption.....	361
14.4.5	Algal Biomass Biosorbents.....	362
14.4.6	Chitosan Composite Biosorbents.....	362
14.5	Chemical Modification of the Biosorbents.....	363
14.6	Responsible Functional Groups.....	363
14.7	Regeneration of Biosorbents.....	365
14.8	Conclusion.....	366
	References.....	367

Abstract With the increasing industrialization, the heavy metal contamination has become a serious environmental issue. Different activities, such as fertilizer, pharmaceutical, chemical, automobile, petroleum, and textile units, discharge a large amount of heavy metals laden effluent that contaminates the water streams. Traditional processes such as precipitation, ion exchange, membrane technology, and advance oxidation have been utilized for the remediation of heavy metal contamination. These processes could not be attractive for commercial applications

S. Biswas · S. Nag (✉)
Department of Chemical Engineering, National Institute of Technology Agartala,
Agartala, Tripura, India

because of their poor removal rate, problem of disposal, and high operating cost. Biosorption is now becoming a good alternative and environmentally friendly option. Biosorption of heavy metals is a metal uptake process by using ion exchange, precipitation, surface complexation, etc.. Various sources of waste biomaterials are utilized for the treatment of metal-contaminated wastewater stream. As natural biosorbents are not giving higher efficiency, modifications are done by physical and chemical activation of the biomaterials. Scientific developments were done for improving the efficiency, but limited research was conducted for the regeneration or reuse of the biosorbents. In recent years, researchers are paying attention on the reuse of the spent biosorbents by using eluent solutions such as acids, bases, chelating agents, etc. and getting the potential results. This makes the biosorption process more efficient and economic than the other conventional methods for the treatment of metal-tainted effluent. This chapter highlights the toxic metals and the various sources of biomaterials which are utilized for heavy metal removal.

Keywords Biosorption · Heavy metal ions · Wastewater · Agricultural biosorbents · Bacterial biomass · Fungal biomass · Algal biomass · Regeneration

14.1 Introduction

Heavy metal contamination with the surface and groundwater becomes a serious problem nowadays. The toxic metals which have higher specific gravity (>5) are known as heavy metals. The metals such as Hg, Pb, Cu, Co, Cd, As, Fe, Se, V, Ni, Cr, and Zn make various toxic effects to the human health. The toxic metals coming out from various industries like mining operations, refining process, fertilizer processing, tanneries, battery manufacturing, paper mill, and pesticides are contaminating water bodies (Celik and Demirbas 2005; Kjellstrom et al. 1977; Pastircakova 2004). To avoid such problems, various national and international regulatory bodies have fixed some standard limit for heavy metals before discharging [Table 14.1].

Table 14.1 Permissible discharge limits of hazardous heavy metals

Metal contaminant	By Indian standards (mg/l)		By international organizations ($\mu\text{g/l}$)	
	Into inland surface waters Indian standards: 2490(1974)	Into public sewers Indian standards: 3306(1974)	WHO	USEPA
Arsenic	0.20	0.20	10	50
Mercury	0.01	0.01	01	02
Cadmium	2.00	1.00	03	05
Lead	0.10	1.00	10	05
Chromium	0.10	2.00	50	100
Nickel	3.0	3.0	–	–
Zinc	5.00	15.00	–	–
Copper	3.00	3.00	–	1300

Conventional methods for heavy metal elimination from water stream are precipitation, advance oxidation, ion-exchange process, membrane separation, biosorption, etc. Among these processes, biosorption is an effective process due to its economical operation, abundant availability of biomaterials, easy operating process, and high competence of metal eradication. This section focuses on the effect of toxic metals, the metal removal options available in literature, and the adsorbents which are utilized for metal removal.

14.2 Sources of Heavy Metals and Their Hazardous Effect

Heavy metals such as Cr, Pb, Cu, Co, Hg, Cd, As, Fe, Se, V, Ni, and Zn have great hazardous effects on living beings. The metals are mainly discharged from various industries like mining, fertilizer, pesticides, and chemical manufacturing which are responsible directly or indirectly for the contamination of surface and groundwater. Major sources of discharging various hazardous heavy metals and their health effects are presented in Table 14.2.

14.3 Conventional Methods for Hazardous Heavy Metal Eradication

14.3.1 Chemical Precipitation

It has simple operating procedure, higher efficiency, and low operating cost, so it is considered as the good process for metal removal (Ku and Jung 2001). The coagulant is added to the metal-contaminated wastewater, and then the metal gets precipitated which is separated by using sedimentation or filtration process. Coagulants have long-chained polymers consisting of cationic and anionic charge which react with metal ion and bind the molecules together. There are mainly two types of chemical precipitation processes where one is hydroxide precipitation and the other is sulfide precipitation. Various chemical precipitation processes found in the literature are illustrated in Table 14.3.

14.3.2 Ion-Exchange Process

An ion-exchange process is an important treatment process which has great removal capacity, and it is used commercially for large-scale treatment processes (Kang et al. 2004). Several types of resins are utilized in treatment processes. In metal

Table 14.2 Common sources and health problems due to hazardous heavy metals

Metal	Common source of contamination	Health hazards
Pb	Industries such as fertilizer, petrochemical, automobile industries, and oil refineries	Lead is responsible for damaging the liver, kidney, neuron, brain, etc.
Cu	Pesticides, electroplating, and paper industry are main source of copper discharge in the water stream	Though copper is useful for human, excessive amount of copper in living beings may cause serious health problems
Cr	Chromium ion comes in wastewater from electroplating, leather, paper, chromate preparation, manufacturing of dye, petrochemical, etc.	Chromium causes diseases like cancer, lung problem, irritation of skin, etc.
Hg	Main sources of the contamination of the water are thermal power plants, pharmaceutical waste, power plants, and electronics material	Mercury makes problem in the heart, kidneys, brain, and lungs
As	Arsenic discharging sources are mining, thermal power plants, fuel, electronic material, mining industries, metallurgy, and pharmaceuticals industries	Arsenic causes serious health problems such as skin disease, respiratory problem, gastrological, and nervous systems
Ni	Nickel contaminates the water stream from industries such as electroplating, textiles, thermal power plants, ceramics, battery, etc.	Nickel has the cacogenic effect in the human body. It causes neurological, cardio, and pulmonary problems
Cd	Cadmium contaminates the water from waste batteries, electronics wastes, and paints industries	Cadmium may create different health problems such as lung disease, chest pain, bone defects, and high blood pressure
Zn	Electroplating industries are the major sources of zinc contamination in wastewater	Due to zinc contamination, health diseases like vomiting and damages of the kidney and liver may arise

Table 14.3 Chemical precipitation process

Heavy metals	Metal concentration (mg/L)	pH	Precipitant	Percent removal	References
Zn ²⁺	32	9–10	Calcium oxide	99–99.3	Ghosh et al. (2011)
Pb ²⁺ , Cu ²⁺ , Cr ³⁺ , Zn ²⁺	100	7–11	Calcium oxide	99.37–99.6	Chen et al. (2009)
Pb ²⁺ Cu ²⁺ , Zn ²⁺	2.3 0, 018, 1.34	3.0	Hydrogen sulfide	92–100	Alvarez et al. (2007)
Cr ³⁺	5363	8.0	Calcium oxide and magnesium oxide	>99	Guo et al. (2006)
Hg ²⁺	65.6, 188	4.7, 6.4	1,3-benzenediamidoethanethiolate	>99.9	Blue et al. (2008)

Table 14.4 Metal eradication by ion-exchange process

Species	Conc. (mg/L)	pH	Adsorption capacity (meq/g)	Removal (%)	References
Pb ²⁺	2072	4.0	0.21–1	–	Inglezakis and Grigoropoulou (2003)
Pb ²⁺	1036	4.0	NA	55	Inglezakis et al. (2007)
Ni ²⁺	2900	NA	0.5–1.77	–	Rodriguez-Iznaga et al. (2002)
Ni ²⁺	25	7.0	0.11	93.6	Argun (2008)
Zn ²⁺	65.4–654	5.0	2.237 ± 0.15	100	Athanasiadis and Helmreich (2005)
Pb ²⁺	162.65–400	4.0	1.361, 1.372	–	Berber-Mendoza et al. (2006)

removal process, exchange between the cation of the resin and metal ion is the reason of removal. In literature, various ion-exchange processes are reported as described in Table 14.4. In this process, synthetic resins get the preference for the large-scale application because of their higher efficiency (Alyuz and Veli 2009). Solution pH, temperature, and the concentration of the metal ion play an important role for the removal of metal ion (Gode and Pehlivan 2006). Another important factor that affects ion-exchange process is ionic charge. The effect of ionic charge was evaluated by some researchers (Abo-Farha et al. 2009). They utilized Ce⁴⁺, Fe³⁺, and Pb²⁺, and the adsorption sequence was found as Ce⁴⁺ > Fe³⁺ > Pb²⁺. Similar type of results was also observed by Kang and his group (Kang et al. 2004) where they utilized Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, and Cr³⁺. Utilization of the zeolites was also reported in literature which gave the efficient results (Motsi et al. 2009). Many researchers used iron oxide with clinoptilolite to improve the process (Doula 2009).

14.3.3 Membrane Filtration

Though membrane filtration is a costly process, it is very efficient for the removal of heavy metals. Ultrafiltration technique is operated at low pressure while removing the contaminants from wastewater. It is somewhere inefficient for removing small particle as the pore size is large. In order to improve the efficiency of this process, two types of techniques are used. The first one is micellar-enhanced ultrafiltration, and the second one is polymer-enhanced ultrafiltration. In this process, various complex agents were utilized as reported in literature which includes polyacrylic acid, poly (acrylic acid) sodium, polyethyleneimine, poly-ammonium acrylate, and humic acid. It is an efficient process and also requires low energy. Reverse osmosis process is another important membrane separation process where semipermeable membranes are utilized. The process is very efficient and capable to remove the dissolved specie from aqueous solution. Drinking water manufacturing companies are using the reverse osmosis techniques commercially. The system requires

high power as pump is utilized for the operation which makes the process uneconomical for wastewater treatment.

Nanofiltration is considered as one of the most efficient processes among the membrane filtration processes. It was found as the efficient process for removal of Cr (VI) (Muthukrishnan and Guha 2008), Ni(II) (Murthy and Chaudhari 2008), and Cu(II) (Csefalvay et al. 2009; Ahmad and Ooi 2010). The literature review suggests it was utilized for rejection of smaller particles of arsenic also (Nguyen et al. 2009; Figoli et al. 2010). The nanofiltration is nowadays a very effective method because of its higher efficiency and low energy requirement (Erikson 1988). Researchers utilized NF90 and N30F resins in this process for the treatment of arsenic-loaded water (Figoli et al. 2010). Others (Murthy and Chaudhari 2008) used composite polyamide membrane, and 98% Ni(II) was removed. Other group of researchers (Murthy and Chaudhari 2009) utilized nanofiltration for binary mixture of cadmium and nickel at a concentration of 5 mg/L, and the removal percentages were 98.94% and 82.69%, respectively. Nanofiltration and reverse osmosis were also effective for copper removal (Csefalvay et al. 2009). Treatment of metal-contaminated effluent coming out from metallurgical industry was done using this method by Liu and his group (Feini et al. 2008). The literature study suggests that for the recovery of the precious metal like silver, nanofiltration or reverse osmosis was utilized (Koseoglu and Kitis 2009).

14.3.4 Coagulation and Flocculation

It is another useful choice for heavy metal remediation. In this process, various coagulants such as ferrous sulfate and aluminum sulfate are utilized. Researchers used poly-aluminum chloride to remove the toxic heavy metals (El Samrani et al. 2008). In this process, doses of coagulants are optimized on the basis of the concentration of the impurities. Chang and Wang utilized polyethyleneimine for this purpose (Chang and Wang 2007). In flocculation process, the impurities are separated by filtration or flotation process. The recent research trend utilizes polyacrylamide and polyferric sulfate in flocculation process. Various flocculants were reported in literature where these were utilized for the removal of metal ion.

14.3.5 Flotation

Flotation is an important treatment method and is widely used in large-scale application. In this process, the metal is removed through bubble which is floated over the solution. For the flotation, sometimes air is introduced to the solution, and sometimes precipitation and flotation are used. Here, metals are attached with the micro-bubbles, and due to lower density, it floats. The floating bubbles bearing metal ion are separated as sludge (Lundh et al. 2000). The researchers were using the flotation

Table 14.5 Electrochemical method

Method	Metal ions	pH	Removal efficiency (%)	References
Electrochemical	Mn ²⁺	7.0	78.2	Shafaei et al. (2010)
	Ni ²⁺ , Zn ²⁺	6.0	100	Kabdasli et al. (2009)
	As(III), As(V)	8.30	>99	Parga et al. (2005)
	Cr(VI)	1.84	100	Olmez (2009)
	Zn ²⁺	7.0	96	Casqueira et al. (2006)
	Ni ²⁺ , Cu ²⁺	6	98–99	Khelifa et al. (2005)

process for the long time, and efficient results were observed (Tassel et al. 1997, 1998). Yuan and his team (Yuan et al. 2008) utilized bio-surfactant for the separation of Cd, Pd, and Cu where the removal percentages were 71.17%, 89.95%, and 81.13%. Medina et al. tested the process for removal of trivalent chromium where 96.2% removal was observed (Medina et al. 2005). Though the process is good, the process alone is not capable to give the higher removal efficiency in many times.

14.3.6 Electrochemical Treatment

Electrochemical treatment is another efficient treatment process which is widely used in recent research. The method is very useful to maintain the discharge limit of the metal ions as instructed by the various regulatory bodies (Wang et al. 2007). As electrocoagulation is the part of the electrochemical treatment process, the researchers utilized aluminum or iron electrodes in this treatment process (Chen 2004). In this process, hydrogen gas is generated which helps the impurities to float. Researchers (Heidmann and Calmano 2008) applied this process by using aluminum electrodes to remove the zinc, copper, nickel, and chromium. Zn and Ni were removed by utilizing electrochemical treatment, and 100% removal was found (Kabdasli et al. 2009). Nanseu-Njiki et al. utilized the electrochemical process for the removal of mercury where 99.9% removal was observed (Nanseu-Njiki et al. 2009). The applications of the EC process for the removal of various metal ions are shown in Table 14.5.

14.4 Biosorption

From economical point of view, adsorption is now becoming a sustainable option for the treatment of wastewater. A large number of adsorbents were utilized for treatment of metal-contaminated wastewater as reported in literature. Biosorption is an important process where the efficiencies are good and regeneration processes are also easier. Nonliving plant biomass such as wheat shell, cork biomass, food waste, coconut shell, different leaves, rice husk, sago waste, wood sawdust, rice straw,

rubber wood, papaya wood, and many more were used as biosorbents. Biomasses such as bacteria, algae, and fungi play a vital role for the treatment of tainted water. Different chitosan-based materials also show remarkable efficiency for heavy metal remediation. Different categories of the biosorbents are utilized for finding an efficient and economical option of the remediation of heavy metals in literature.

14.4.1 Agricultural Biosorbents

Various low-cost adsorbents were tested to know their metal adsorption capacity [Table 14.6]. Many reviews were done where agricultural adsorbents were utilized for elimination of hazardous heavy metals. Sud et al. reported employment of agricultural waste materials for the remediation of metal ions (Sud et al. 2008). Rice bran was tested for the treatment of copper, zinc, lead, and cadmium, and the removal percentages were above 80% (Montanher et al. 2005). Rubber wood sawdust was applied for removal of hexavalent chromium and found an efficient removal of 60–70% (Karthikeyan et al. 2005).

14.4.2 Carbonaceous Biosorbents

Activated carbon is commercially used for drinking water production. It has high surface area which makes the adsorbent efficient for the removal of the contaminants. Many researchers utilized the activated carbon for the removal of metal ions and got the promising results (Kang et al. 2008). Various activation processes are available in the literature where waste materials were utilized for the preparation of the carbonaceous biosorbents (Dias et al. 2007). It was found that eucalyptus bark was utilized to remove the Cu and Pb (Kongsuwan et al. 2009). Carbon nanotube was utilized for the removal of Pb by researchers (Wang et al. 2007; Kabbashi et al. 2009). Others removed the Cd and Cr by carbon nanotube (Kuo and Lin 2009; Pillay et al. 2009). It was also used for the removal of Cu as reported by Li et al. (2010). Various activated carbons which were utilized to remove the heavy metal ions are illustrated in Table 14.7.

14.4.3 Bacterial Biomass Biosorbents

Bacterial biomass is now becoming an effective biosorbents for treatment of heavy metal contamination. In case of bacterial biomass, researchers used *Bacillus cereus* and *Escherichia coli* for the treatment of metal-contaminated wastewater (Pan et al. 2007). Metal biosorption capacity of various bacterial biomasses is presented in Table 14.8. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Bacillus* sp., *Pseudomonas putida*, and *Corynebacterium glutamicum* have been tested for lead ions where they display good adsorption

Table 14.6 Remediation by agricultural biosorbents

Raw agricultural waste	Metal	Removal	References	
Oat biomass	Chromium	>80%	Gardea-Torresdey et al. (2000)	
Beech sawdust		100%	Acar and Malkoc (2004)	
Bagasse fly ash		96–98%	Gupta and Ali (2004)	
Wheat bran		>82%	Farajzadeh and Monji (2004)	
Coconut shell fibers		>80%	Mohan et al. (2006)	
Eucalyptus bark		Almost 100%	Sarin and Pant (2006)	
Neem leaf powder		>96%	Venkateswarlu et al. (2007)	
Rubber wood sawdust		60–70%	Karthikeyan et al. (2005)	
Modified bagasse fly ash		67%	Gupta et al. (1999)	
Sugarcane bagasse		Up to 97%	Garg et al. (2007)	
Raw rice bran		40–50%	Oliveira et al. (2005)	
<i>Oryza sativa</i> husk		Lead	98%	Zulkali et al. (2006)
Agricultural by product <i>Humulus lupulus</i>			75%	Gardea-Torresdey et al. (2002)
Agro waste of black gram husk	Up to 93%		Saeed et al. (2005a, b)	
Febrifuga bark	100%		Bankar and Dara (1985)	
Rice bran	>80.0%		Montanher et al. (2005)	
Sawdust of <i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	96%,		Taty-Costode et al. (2003)	
Maple sawdust	80–90%		Yu et al. (2001)	
Water hyacinth	70–80%		Kamble and Patil (2001)	
Waste tea leaves	92%,		Ahluwalia and Goyal (2005)	
Peels of peas, fig leaves	Cadmium		70–80%	Benaissa (2006)
Wheat bran		87.15%	Singh et al. (2005)	
Three kinds treated rice husk		80–97%	Kumar and Bandyopadhyay (2006)	
Rice polish		>90%	Singh et al. (2005)	
Base-treated juniper fiber		–	Min et al. (2004)	
Husk of black gram		99%	Saeed and Iqbal (2003)	
Straw, sawdust, dates nut		>70%		
Dried parthenium powder		>99%	Ajmal et al. (2006)	
Bagasse fly ash		65%	Srivastava et al. (2007)	
Bagasse		90%	Mohan and Singh (2002)	
Bagasse fly ash		90.0%	Gupta et al. (2003)	
Rice bran		>80.0%	Montanher et al. (2005)	
Papaya wood		98, 95, 67%	Saeed et al. (2005a, b)	
Rice straw, soybean hulls		Pb > Cd	Johns et al. (1998)	
Poplar wood sawdust		Cu > Cd	Sciban et al. (2007)	
Powder of green coconut shell		98%	Pino et al. (2006)	
Bark of <i>Abies sachalinensis</i> and <i>Picea glehnii</i>		Up to 63%	Seki et al. (1997)	
Cassia fistula biomass		Nickel	100%	Hanif et al. (2007)
Maple sawdust			75%	Shukla and Pai (2005a, b)
Tea waste			86%	Malkoc and Nuhoglu (2005)
Waste tea leaves			92%, 84%, 73%	Ahluwalia and Goyal (2005)

Table 14.7 Removal of heavy metal ion by carbonaceous adsorbents

Activated carbons	Metal ion	Results	References
Coconut char-based activated carbon	Pb ²⁺	100%	Gajghate et al. (1991)
Activated carbon from coir pith	Hg ²⁺	100%	Kadirvelu et al. (2001)
Activated carbon of peanut shells	Cu ²⁺	Up to 75%	Wilson et al. (2006)
Activated sulfurized carbon (bagasse pith)	Cd ²⁺	98.8%	Krishnan and Anirudhan (2003)
Carbonized corn pith	Cu ²⁺	90%	Basci et al. (2003)
Carbon nanotubes	Cd ²⁺	25.7 mg/g	Vukovi et al. (2010)
Carbon nanotube immobilized	Cu ²⁺	67.9 mg/g	Li et al. (2010)
Carbon nanotube	Pb ²⁺	102.04	Kabbashi et al. (2009)

Table 14.8 Removal of metal ions by bacterial biomass

Bacteria species	Metal ions	Adsorption capacity (mg/g)	References
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Pb	79.5	Chang et al. (1997)
<i>Pseudomonas putida</i>	Pb	270.4	Uslu and Tanyol (2006)
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	Pb	92.3	Tunali et al. (2006)
<i>Pseudomonas putida</i>	Pb	56.2	Pardo et al. (2003)
<i>Corynebacterium glutamicum</i>	Pb	567.7	Choi and Yun (2004)
<i>Streptomyces rimosus</i> 30	Zn		Mameri et al. (1999)
<i>Thiobacillus ferrooxidans</i>	Zn	82.6	Celaya et al. (2000)
<i>Pseudomonas putida</i>	Zn	17.7	Chen et al. (2005)
<i>Pseudomonas putida</i>	Zn	6.9	Pardo et al. (2003)
<i>Thiobacillus ferrooxidans</i>	Zn	172.4	Liu et al. (2004)
<i>Pseudomonas putida</i>	Cu	96.9	Uslu and Tanyol (2006)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Cu	23.1	Chang et al. (1997)
<i>Sphaerotilus natans</i>	Cu	60	Beolchini et al. (2006)
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Cu	20.8	Nakajima et al. (2001)
<i>Pseudomonas putida</i>	Cu	6.6	Pardo et al. (2003)
<i>Pseudomonas putida</i>	Cd	8.0	Pardo et al. (2003)
<i>Aeromonas caviae</i>	Cr(IV)	284.4	Loukidou et al. (2004)
<i>Bacillus coagulans</i>	Cr(IV)	39.9	Srinath et al. (2002)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Cd	42.4	Chang et al. (1997)
<i>Streptomyces pimprina</i>	Cd	30.4	Puranik and Paknikar (1997)
<i>Pseudomonas</i> sp.	Cr(IV)	95.0	Ziagova et al. (2007)
<i>Bacillus megaterium</i>	Cr(IV)	30.7	Srinath et al. (2002)
<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i>	Ni	45.9	Ozturk (2007)
<i>Streptomyces rimosus</i>	Fe(III)	122.0	Selatnia et al. (2004)

capacity. Other species of the bacteria such as *Pseudomonas putida*, *Thiobacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Aeromonas caviae* were also tested by other researchers. In case of low metal ion concentration, the bacterial biomasses show the higher efficiency.

14.4.4 Fungal Biomass Biosorption

Biosorption by using fungal biomass is a noble and economical process for the treatment of metal-contaminated water source. Various studies were reported in literature as summarized in Table 14.9 where fungal biomass shows the higher metal

Table 14.9 Removal of metal ions by fungal biomass

Fungal biomass	Metal ions	Adsorption capacity (mg/g)	References
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i>	Cd	11	Niu et al. (1993)
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i>	Cd	56	Holan and Volesky (1995)
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i>	Cr(VI)	–	Park et al. (2005)
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i> (modified)	Cd	210.2	Deng and Ting (2005)
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i>	Cd	39	Fourest et al. (1994)
<i>Penicillium canescens</i>	Pb	213.2	Say et al. (2003)
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i>	Pb	116	Kapoor and Viraraghavan (1995)
<i>Penicillium purpurogenum</i>	Cd	110.4	Say et al. (2003)
<i>Penicillium digitatum</i>	Cd	3.5	Veglio and Beolchini (1997)
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i>	Pb	96	Skowronski et al. (2001)
<i>Penicillium notatum</i>	Cd	5.0	Kapoor and Viraraghavan (1995)
<i>Aspergillus niger</i> (live)	Pb	2.25	Kapoor et al. (1999)
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i>	Pb	116	Niu et al. (1993)
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i> (raw)	Cr(III)	18.6	Tan and Cheng (2003)
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	U, Th		Hafez et al. (1997)
<i>Aspergillus niger</i> (growing)	Cu	15.6	Dursun et al. (2003)
<i>Aspergillus carbonarius</i>	Cu, Cr		Alasheh and Duvnjak (1995)
<i>Aspergillus oryzae</i>	Cu, Cd, Zn		Vianna et al. (2000)
<i>Aspergillus awamori</i>	Cu		Tsekova et al. (2000)
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	Cu(II)	9.53	Dursun et al. (2003)
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>	Au, Ag, Cu		Gomes and Linardi (1996)
<i>Aspergillus terreus</i> (immobilized in polyurethane foam)	Fe	164.5	Dias et al. (2002)
<i>Aspergillus terreus</i>	Cu	224	Gulati et al. (2002)
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	Ag	–	Akthar et al. (1995)

adsorption capacity. In these studies, many species of fungal biomasses, such as *Penicillium chrysogenum*, *Penicillium* spp., *Aspergillus niger* (live), *Penicillium chrysogenum*, and *Aspergillus terreus* (immobilized in polyurethane foam) were tested. Various fungal biomasses such as *Aspergillus niger* (Dursun 2006) and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Cojocar et al. 2009) were used for the removal of metal ion. Metabolic activities of fungi depend on the presence of metal ion. There are many instances where fungal biomass shows the higher metal binding efficiencies.

14.4.5 Algal Biomass Biosorbents

Many algae show potential capacity of metal ion adsorption. As it is available in large quantities in nature, it has been used as an economical biosorbent. The researchers used dried marine green algae for the elimination of copper and zinc (Ajjabi and Chouba 2009). According to Brinza et al. 2007, brown algae show the higher metal adsorption capacity than the other forms (Brinza et al. 2007). Various studies from literature are reported in Table 14.10. Adsorption of lead by algal biomass was reported by Deng et al. (2007).

14.4.6 Chitosan Composite Biosorbents

Chitosan is basically a biopolymer and capable to remove the metal ions effectively from the wastewater. For the increasing of the adsorption capacity, various modifications of the chitosan were done and reported in literature. Various chitosan composites which are reported in literature are shown in Table 14.11. Some researchers utilized the chitosan for the treatment of copper, chromium, lead, and

Table 14.10 Removal of metal ions by algal biomass

Algal biomass	Metal ions	Adsorption capacity (mg/g)	References
<i>Aphanothece halophytica</i>	Zn	133	Incharoensakdi and Kitjajarn (2002)
<i>Cladophora crispata</i>	Cr	3	Nourbakhsh et al. (1994)
<i>Ascophyllum nodosum</i>	Ni, Pb	30, 270–360	Holan and Volesky (1995)
<i>Ascophyllum nodosum</i>	Cd	215	Holan et al. (1993)
<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	Cr	3.5	Nourbakhsh et al. (1994)
<i>Sargassum fluitans</i>	Cu	51	Kratochvil et al. (1997)
<i>Pachymeniopsis</i> sp.	Cr(VI)	225	Lee et al. (2000)
<i>Sargassum natans</i>	Cd	135	Holan et al. (1993)
<i>Sargassum</i> sp.	Cd	120	Cruz et al. (2004)
<i>Fucus spiralis</i>	Cd	64	Cordeo et al. (2004)

Table 14.11 Removal of metal ions by chitosan composite biosorbents

Adsorbent	Metal ions	Adsorption capacity (mg/g)	References
Magnetic chitosan	Cr ⁺⁶	69.40	Huang et al. (2009)
Chitosan/cellulose	Cu ⁺²	26.50	Sun et al. (2009)
Chitosan/perlite	Cu ⁺²	196.07	Kalyani et al. (2005)
Chitosan/alginate	Cu ⁺²	67.66	Ngah and Fatinathan (2008)
Chitosan/calcium alginate	Ni ⁺²	222.2	Vijaya et al. (2008)
Chitosan/clinoptilolite	Cu ⁺²	574.49	Dragan et al. (2010)
Chitosan/clinoptilolite	Cu ⁺²	719.39	Dinu and Dragan (2010)
Chitosan/clinoptilolite	Co ⁺²	467.90	Dinu and Dragan (2010)
Chitosan/cotton fibers	Cu ⁺²	24.78	Zhang et al. (2008)

zinc (Sun et al. 2009). The utilization of the mixture of sand and chitosan was found for the removal of copper (Kalyani et al. 2005). As the surface areas of the chitosan composites are large, the adsorbents are capable to give higher adsorption capacity.

14.5 Chemical Modification of the Biosorbents

Many instances are reported in literature where biosorption capacities of natural biosorbents were increased by various chemical treatments. As reported in the literature, modification of the adsorbents was done by utilizing acids such as nitric acid, hydrochloric acid, sulfuric acid, citric acid, etc. Chemical modifications of the adsorbents were also made by using calcium hydroxide, sodium hydroxide, sodium carbonate, etc. Some organics such as formaldehyde, ethylenediamine, etc. were involved in the modification of the adsorbents. Hydrogen peroxide was also used for modification of the raw adsorbents. Chemically modified adsorbents which were utilized to remove the metal ions are presented in Table 14.12. Hydrochloric acid was utilized for the modification of oak tree sawdust (Argun et al. 2007). Peanut husk was modified by using sulfuric acid and utilized for the treatment of copper, chromium, and lead (Li et al. 2007). Some researchers modified banana pith by the treatment with nitric acid (Low et al. 1995).

14.6 Responsible Functional Groups

Biosorption of metal ion depends on the active groups. Various components such as cellulose, chitin, and glycol present in the biosorbents bind the metal ion. Some functional groups such as hydroxyl, amino, ester, sulfhydryl, carbonyl, and

Table 14.12 Removal of metal ions by chemically modified biosorbents

Adsorbent	Chemicals for modification	Metal ion	Adsorption capacity (mg/g)	References
Rice husk	Tartaric acid	Cu ⁺²	31.85	Wong et al. (2003)
Sawdust (cedrus deodar wood)	NaOH	Cd ⁺²	73.62	Memon et al. (2007)
Sawdust (poplar tree)	H ₂ SO ₄	Cu ⁺²	13.95	Acar and Eren (2006)
Sawdust (oak tree)	HCl	Cu ⁺²	3.60	Argun et al. (2007)
Sawdust	Reactive Orange 13	Cu ⁺²	8.07	Shukla and Pai (2005a, b)
Sawdust (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>)	Formaldehyde in sulfuric acid	Pb ⁺²	9.78	Taty-Costode et al. (2003)
Cassava tuber bark waste	Thioglycolic acid	Cd ⁺²	26.3	Horsfall Jr. et al. (2006)
Jute fibers	Reactive orange 13	Cu ⁺²	8.40	Shukla and Pai (2005a, b)
Banana pith	HNO ₃	Cu ⁺²	13.46	Low et al. (1995)
Cork powder	CaCl ₂	Cu ⁺²	15.6	Chubar et al. (2004)
Peanut husk	H ₂ SO ₄	Pb ⁺²	29.14	Li et al. (2007)
Sugarbeet pulp	HCl	Cu ⁺²	0.15	Pehlivan et al. (2006)
Spent grain	HCl	Cd ⁺²	17.3	Low et al. (2000)
Bagasse fly ash	H ₂ O ₂	Pb ⁺²	2.50	Gupta and Ali (2004)
Corn cob	HNO ₃	Cd ⁺²	19.3	Leyva-Ramos et al. (2005)
<i>Terminalia arjuna</i> nuts	ZnCl ₂	Cr ⁺⁶	28.43	Mohanty et al. (2005)
Sugarcane bagasse	NaHCO ₃	Cu ⁺²	114	Junior et al. (2006)
Banana stem	Formaldehyde	Pb ⁺²	91.74	Noeline et al. (2005)
Alfalfa biomass	NaOH	Pb ⁺²	89.2	Tiemann et al. (2002)
<i>Imperata cylindrica</i> leaf powder	NaOH	Pb ⁺²	13.50	Hanafiah et al. (2006)

carboxyl group participate for the binding of metal ions as described in Table 14.13. For the determination of the metal uptake by the active sites of the biosorbent, various instruments such as Infrared spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction analysis, electron dispersive spectroscopy, nuclear magnetic resonance, etc. are utilized.

Table 14.13 Functional groups involved in biosorption (R stands for residue and others are elements)

Functional group	Name
$R-O-H$	Hydroxyl
$R-C \begin{matrix} // O \\ \backslash OH \end{matrix}$	Carboxyl
$R-C \begin{matrix} H \\ NH_2 \\ H \end{matrix}$	Amino
$R-C \begin{matrix} // O \\ \backslash O-R \end{matrix}$	Ester
$R-C \begin{matrix} H \\ SH \\ H \end{matrix}$	Sulfhydryl
$R-C \begin{matrix} // O \\ \backslash H \end{matrix}$	Carbonyl, terminal end
$R-O-P \begin{matrix} // O \\ OH \end{matrix}$	Phosphate

14.7 Regeneration of Biosorbents

Desorption of metal ions from adsorbent has an important significance for the reuse of the adsorbent. Regeneration study is required to check the economical feasibility of the biosorbent. Now, in recent trend, regeneration of the adsorbents is carried out by elution processes where acids, bases, and other chemicals are utilized. Eluent is an important factor in regeneration study, and the selection of the eluent is done based on its high efficiency, low cost, and environmentally friendly nature. Regeneration efficiencies of different biosorbents were investigated by various researchers as described in Table 14.14. Scientists (Bai and Abraham 2003) reported the regeneration of immobilized fungal biomass by using 0.01 N NaOH and Na_2CO_3 where 78% and $91.91 \pm 3.9\%$ regeneration were achieved. Others (Saeed et al. 2005a, b) utilized 0.1 N HCl for desorption of copper, cadmium, and zinc ions from the papaya wood and obtained the efficiency of 99.4%, 98.5%, and 99.3% after fifth cycle. Some researchers (Gupta and Nayak 2012) utilized nitric acid for the regeneration of orange peel powder with Fe_3O_4 and got the regeneration efficiency of 98.28% even after fifth cycle. Furthermore, it can be concluded that regeneration of the biosorbent makes biosorption process more effective and efficient.

Table 14.14 Regeneration efficiency of the different biosorbents

Adsorbent	Metal	Elutant	Regeneration efficiency	Number of cycles	References
Immobilized fungal biomass (<i>Rhizopus nigricans</i>)	Cr(VI)	0.01 N NaOH, Na ₂ CO ₃	78%	25	Bai and Abraham (2003)
			91.91 ± 3.9%		
Papaya wood	Cu(II)	0.1 N HCl	99.4%	5	Saeed et al. (2005a, b)
	Cd(II)		98.5%		
	Zn(II)		99.3%		
Coconut coir pith	As(V)	0.1 M HCl	(96.0%)	1	Anirudhan and Unnithan (2007)
			(95.7%)	2	
			(95.3%)	3	
			(93.8%)	4	
Marine algal biomass (<i>Cladophora fascicularis</i>)	Cd(II)	EDTA	83%		Deng et al. (2008)
Nonviable cyanobacterium (c) biomass	Cr(VI)	0.1 M HNO ₃ and EDTA	90%		Gupta and Rastogi (2008)
Orange peel powder with Fe ₃ O ₄	Cd(II)	0.1 M HNO ₃	98.19%	1	Gupta and Nayak (2012)
			98.66%	2	
			98.58%	3	
			98.82%	4	
			98.28%	5	

14.8 Conclusion

To combat the environmental degradation in a sustainable way, many technologies have been developed. Among them, biosorption is considered as an efficient and economical process for its easy operation, local availability, and low cost. Some of the waste biomasses which are locally available in abundant quantities are utilized as biosorbents. Natural biosorbents such as activated carbon, wood sawdust, leaf powder, bacterial biomass, algal biomass, and fungal biomass were utilized for treatment of metal-tainted effluent. As most of the natural biosorbents have low adsorption capacity, researchers attempted to increase the adsorption capacity by a number of pretreatment techniques. These pretreatment includes physical and chemical activation where in physical treatment, the adsorbents are heated at high temperature with controlled rate of heating. In chemical treatment, various acids, bases, and other chemicals are utilized. Chemical modification increases the binding sites and modifies the functional groups of the adsorbent resulting enhancement of the adsorption capacity as well as their mechanical strength. Literature review suggests utilization of various biosorbents for the removal of heavy metals and their adsorption capacity were investigated at laboratory scale. The results are promising, but the real application of those bioadsorbent is very limited. So to increase the industrial applications of the biosorbents, more pilot-scale studies are required.

References

- Abo-Farha SA, Abdel-Aal AY, Ashourb IA, Garamon SE (2009) Removal of some heavy metal cations by synthetic resin purolite C100. *J Hazard Mater* 169:190–194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.03.086>
- Acar FN, Eren Z (2006) Removal of Cu (II) ions by activated poplar sawdust (Samsun clone) from aqueous solutions. *J Hazard Mater B* 137:909–914. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.03.014>
- Acar FN, Malkoc E (2004) The removal of chromium (VI) from aqueous solution by *Fagus orientalis*. *Bioresour Technol* 94:13–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2003.10.032>
- Ahluwalia SS, Goyal D (2005) Removal of heavy metals from waste tea leaves from aqueous solution. *Eng Life Sci* 5:158–162. <https://doi.org/10.1002/elsc.200420066>
- Ahmad AL, Ooi BS (2010) A study on acid reclamation and copper recovery using low pressure nanofiltration membrane. *Chem Eng J* 56:257–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2009.10.014>
- Ajjabi LC, Chouba L (2009) Biosorption of Cu⁺² and Zn⁺² from aqueous solutions by dried marine green macroalga *Chaetomorpha linum*. *J Environ Manag* 90:3485–3489. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2009.06.001>
- Ajmal M, Rao RAK, Ahmad R, Khan MA (2006) Adsorption studies on parthenium hysterophorus weed: removal and recovery of Cd (II) from wastewater. *J Hazard Mater B* 135:242–248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.11.054>
- Akthar N, Sastry S, Mohan M (1995) Biosorption of silver ions by processed *Aspergillus Niger* biomass. *Biotechnol Lett* 17:551–556. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00132027>
- Alasheh S, Duvnjak Z (1995) Adsorption of copper and chromium by *Aspergillus carbonarius*. *Biotechnol Prog* 11:638–642. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bp00036a006>
- Alvarez MT, Crespo C, Mattiasson B (2007) Precipitation of Zn (II), Cu (II) and Pb (II) at bench-scale using biogenic hydrogen sulfide from the utilization of volatile fatty acids. *Chemosphere* 66:1677–1683. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.07.065>
- Alyuz B, Veli S (2009) Kinetics and equilibrium studies for the removal of nickel and zinc from aqueous solutions by ion exchange resins. *J Hazard Mater* 167:482–488. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.01.006>
- Anirudhan TS, Unnithan MR (2007) Arsenic (V) removal from aqueous solutions using an anion exchanger derived from coconut coir pith and its recovery. *Chemosphere* 66:60–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.05.031>
- Argun ME (2008) Use of clinoptilolite for the removal of nickel ions from water: kinetics and thermodynamics. *J Hazard Mater* 150:587–595. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.05.008>
- Argun ME, Dursun S, Ozdemir C, Karatas M (2007) Heavy metal adsorption by modified oak sawdust: thermodynamics and kinetics. *J Hazard Mater B* 141:77–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.06.095>
- Athanasiadis K, Helmreich B (2005) Influence of chemical conditioning on the ion exchange capacity and on kinetic of zinc uptake by clinoptilolite. *Water Res* 39:1527–1532. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2005.01.024>
- Bai RS, Abraham TE (2003) Studies on chromium(VI) adsorption-desorption using immobilized fungal biomass. *Bioresour Technol* 87:17–26. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(02\)00222-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(02)00222-5)
- Bankar DB, Dara SS (1985) Effectiveness of *Soymida febrifuga* bark for scavenging lead ions. *Proc Nation Semin Pollut Cont Environ Manage* 1:121
- Basci N, Kocadagistan E, Kocadagistan B (2003) Biosorption of Cu II from aqueous solutions by wheat shells. *Desalination* 164:135–140. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0011-9164\(04\)00172-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0011-9164(04)00172-9)
- Benaissa H (2006) Screening of new sorbent materials for cadmium removal from aqueous solutions. *J Hazard Mater* 132:189–195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.07.085>
- Beolchini F, Pagnanelli R, Toro L, Veglio F (2006) Ionic strength effect on copper biosorption by *Sphaerotilus natans* equilibrium study and dynamic modeling in membrane reactor. *Water Res* 40:144–152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2005.10.031>

- Berber-Mendoza MS, Leyva-Ramos R, Alonso-Davila P, Fuentes-Rubio L, Guerrero-Coronado RM (2006) Comparison of isotherms for the ion exchange of Pb (II) from aqueous solution onto homoionic clinoptilolite. *J Colloid Interface Sci* 301:40–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2006.04.037>
- Blue LY, Van Aelstyn MA, Matlock M, Atwood DA (2008) Low-level mercury removal from groundwater using a synthetic chelating ligand. *Water Res* 42:2025–2028. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.12.010>
- Brinza L, Dring MJ, Gavrilesco M (2007) Marine micro- and macro-algal species as biosorbents for heavy metals. *Environ Eng Manag J* 6:237–251. <https://doi.org/10.30638/eemj.2007.029>
- Casqueira RG, Torem ML, Kohler HM (2006) The removal of zinc from liquid streams by electroflotation. *Miner Eng* 19:1388–1392. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2006.02.001>
- Celaya RJ, Noriega JA, Yeomans JH, Ortega LJ, Ruiz-Manriquez A (2000) Biosorption of Zn (II) by *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*. *Bioprocess Eng* 22:539–542. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s004499900106>
- Celik A, Demirbas A (2005) Removal of heavy metal ions from aqueous solutions via adsorption onto modified lignin from pulping wastes. *Energy Sources* 27:1167–1177. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00908310490479583>
- Chang Q, Wang G (2007) Study on the macromolecular coagulant PEX which traps heavy metals. *Chem Eng Sci* 62(17):4636–4643. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2007.05.002>
- Chang JS, Law R, Chang CC (1997) Biosorption of lead, copper and cadmium by biomass of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PU21. *Water Res* 31:1651–1658. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(97\)00008-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(97)00008-0)
- Chen GH (2004) Electrochemical technologies in wastewater treatment. *Sep Purif Technol* 38:11–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2003.10.006>
- Chen XC, Wang YP, Lin Q, Shi JY, Wu WX, Chen YX (2005) Biosorption of copper (II) and zinc (II) from aqueous solution by *Pseudomonas putida* CZ1. *Colloids Surf B-Biointerfaces* 46:101–107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2005.10.003>
- Chen QY, Luo Z, Hills C, Xue G, Tyrer M (2009) Precipitation of heavy metals from wastewater using simulated flue gas: sequent additions of fly ash, lime and carbon dioxide. *Water Res* 43:2605–2614. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2009.03.007>
- Choi SB, Yun YS (2004) Lead biosorption by waste biomass of *Corynebacterium glutamicum* generated from lysine fermentation process. *Biotechnol Lett* 26:331–336. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/B:BILE.0000015453.20708.fc>
- Chubar N, Calvalho JR, Correia MJN (2004) Heavy metals biosorption on cork biomass: effect of the pre-treatment. *Colloids Surf A Physicochem Eng Asp* 238:51–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2004.01.039>
- Cojocar C, Diaconu M, Cretescu I, Savi J, Vasi V (2009) Biosorption of copper (II) ions from aqua solutions using dried yeast biomass. *Colloids Surf A Physicochem Eng Asp* 335:181–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2008.11.003>
- Cordeo B, Lodeiro P, Herrero R, Sastre De Vicente ME (2004) Biosorption of cadmium by *Fucus spiralis*. *Environ Chem* 1(3):180–187. <https://doi.org/10.1071/EN04039>
- Cruz CCV, da Costa ACA, Henriques CAV, Luna AS (2004) Kinetic modeling and equilibrium studies during cadmium biosorption by dead *Sargassum* sp. biomass. *Bioresour Technol* 91:249–257. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(03\)00194-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(03)00194-9)
- Csefalvay E, Pauer V, Mizsey P (2009) Recovery of copper from process waters by nanofiltration and reverse osmosis. *Desalination* 240:132–142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2007.11.070>
- Deng SB, Ting YP (2005) Fungal biomass with grafted poly(acrylic acid) for enhancement of Cu (II) and Cd (II) biosorption. *Langmuir* 21:5940–5948. <https://doi.org/10.1021/la047349a>
- Deng LP, Su YY, Su H, Wang XT, Zhu XB (2007) Sorption and desorption of lead (II) from wastewater by green algae *Cladophora fascicularis*. *J Hazard Mater* 143:220–225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.09.009>

- Deng L, Zhu X, Su Y, Su H, Wang X (2008) Biosorption and desorption of Cd^{2+} from wastewater by dehydrated shreds of *Cladophora fascicularis*. *Chin J Oceanol Limnol* 26:45–49. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00343-008-0045-0>
- Dias MA, Lacerda ICA, Pimentel PF, de Castro HF, Rosa CA (2002) Removal of heavy metals by an *Aspergillus terreus* strain immobilized in a polyurethane matrix. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 34:46–50. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-765x.2002.01040.x>
- Dias JM, Alvim-Ferraz MCM, Almeida MF, Rivera-Utrilla J, Sanchez-Polob M (2007) Waste materials for activated carbon preparation and its use in aqueous phase treatment: a review. *J Environ Manag* 85:833–846. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2007.07.031>
- Dinu MV, Dragan ES (2010) Evaluation of Cu^{2+} , Co^{2+} , and Ni^{2+} ions removal from aqueous solution using a novel chitosan/clinoptilolite composites: kinetics and isotherms. *Chem Eng J* 160:157–163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2010.03.029>
- Doula MK (2009) Simultaneous removal of Cu, Mn and Zn from drinking water with the use of clinoptilolite and its Fe-modified form. *Water Res* 43:3659–3672. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2009.05.037>
- Dragan ES, Dinu MV, Timpu D (2010) Preparation and characterization of novel composites based on chitosan and clinoptilolite with enhanced adsorption properties for Cu^{2+} . *Bioresour Technol* 101:812–817. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.08.077>
- Dursun AY (2006) A comparative study on determination of the equilibrium, kinetic and thermodynamic parameters of biosorption of copper (II) and lead (II) ions onto pretreated *Aspergillus niger*. *Biochem Eng J* 28:187–195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2005.11.003>
- Dursun AY, Uslu G, Cuci Y, Aksu Z (2003) Bioaccumulation of copper (II), lead (II) and chromium (VI) by growing *Aspergillus niger*. *Process Biochem* 38:1647–1651. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592\(02\)00075-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592(02)00075-4)
- El Samrani AG, Lartiges BS, Villieras F (2008) Chemical coagulation of combined sewer overflow: heavy metal removal and treatment optimization. *Water Res* 42:951–960. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.09.009>
- Erikson P (1988) Nanofiltration extends the range of membrane filtration. *Environ Prog* 7:58–61. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ep.3300070116>
- Farajzadeh MA, Monji AB (2004) Adsorption characteristics of wheat bran towards heavy metal cations. *Sep Purif Technol* 38:197–207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2003.11.005>
- Feini LIU, Zhang G, Qin M, Zhang H (2008) Performance of nanofiltration and reverse osmosis membranes in metal effluent treatment. *Chin J Chem Eng* 16(3):441–445
- Figoli A, Cassano A, Criscuoli A, Mozumder MSI, Uddin MT, Islam MA, Drioli E (2010) Influence of operating parameters on the arsenic removal by nanofiltration. *Water Res* 44:97–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2009.09.007>
- Fourest E, Canal C, Roux JC (1994) Improvement of heavy metal biosorption by mycelial dead biomasses (*Rhizopus arrhizus*, *Mucor miehei* and *Penicillium chrysogenum*) – pH control and cationic activation. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 14:325–332. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0168644594900507>
- Gajghate DG, Saxena ER, Vittal M (1991) Removal of lead from aqueous solution by activated carbon. *Indian J Environ Health* 33:374–379. <http://neeri.csircentral.net/id/eprint/226>
- Gardea-Torresdey JL, Tiemann KJ, Armendariz V, Bess-Oberto L, Chianelli RR, Rios J, Parsons JG, Gamez G (2000) Characterization of chromium (VI) binding and reduction to chromium (III) by the agricultural byproduct of *Avena monida* (oat) biomass. *J Hazard Mater B80*:175–188. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3894\(00\)00301-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3894(00)00301-0)
- Gardea-Torresdey JL, Hejazi M, Tiemann KJ, Parsons JG, Duarte-Gardea M, Henning J (2002) Use of Hop (*Humulus lupulus*) agricultural by-products for the reduction of aqueous lead (II) environmental health hazards. *J Hazard Mater* 91:95–112. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3894\(01\)00363-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3894(01)00363-6)
- Garg UK, Kaur MP, Garg VK, Sud D (2007) Removal of hexavalent Cr from aqueous solutions by agricultural waste biomass. *J Hazard Mater* 140:60–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.06.056>

- Ghosh P, Samanta AN, Ray S (2011) Reduction of COD and removal of Zn^{2+} , from rayon industry wastewater by combined electro-Fenton treatment and chemical precipitation. *Desalination* 266(1–3):213–217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2010.08.029>
- Gode F, Pehlivan E (2006) Removal of chromium (III) from aqueous solutions using Lewatit S 100: the effect of pH, time. *J Hazard Mater* 136:330–337. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.12.021>
- Gomes NCM, Linardi VR (1996) Removal of gold, silver and copper by living and nonliving fungi from leach liquor obtained from the gold mining industry. *Rev Microbiol* 27:218–222. <http://pesquisa.bvsalud.org/portal/resource/pt/lil-213032?lang=en>
- Gulati R, Saxena RK, Gupta R (2002) Fermentation waste of *Aspergillus terreus*: a promising copper bio-indicator. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 18(5):397–401. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1015540921432>
- Guo ZR, Zhang GM, Fang JD, Dou XD (2006) Enhanced chromium recovery from tanning wastewater. *J Clean Prod* 14:75–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2005.01.005>
- Gupta VK, Ali I (2004) Removal of lead and chromium from wastewater using bagasse fly ash – a sugar industry waste. *J Colloid Interface Sci* 271:321–328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2003.11.007>
- Gupta VK, Nayak A (2012) Cadmium removal and recovery from aqueous solutions by novel adsorbents prepared from orange peel and Fe_2O_3 nanoparticles. *Chem Eng* 180:81–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2011.11.006>
- Gupta VK, Rastogi A (2008) Sorption and desorption studies of chromium (VI) from nonviable cyanobacterium *Nostoc muscorum* biomass. *J Hazard Mater* 154:347–354. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.10.032>
- Gupta VK, Mohan D, Sharma S, Park KT (1999) Removal of Cr VI from electroplating industry wastewater using bagasse fly ash. *Environmentalist* 19:129–136. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1006693017711>
- Gupta VK, Jain CK, Ali I, Sharma M, Saini VK (2003) Removal of cadmium and nickel from wastewater using bagasse fly ash- a sugar industry waste. *Water SA* 37:4038–4044. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(03\)00292-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(03)00292-6)
- Hafez N, Abdel Razek AS, Hafez MB (1997) Accumulation of some heavy metals on *Aspergillus flavus*. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* 68:19–22. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-4660\(199701\)68:1<19::AID-JCTB508>3.0.CO;2-K](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4660(199701)68:1<19::AID-JCTB508>3.0.CO;2-K)
- Hanafiah MAK, Ibrahim SC, Yahya MZA (2006) Equilibrium adsorption study of lead ions onto sodium hydroxide modified Lalang (*Imperata cylindrica*) leaf powder. *J Appl Sci Res* 2:1169–1174
- Hanif MA, Nadeem R, Zafar MN, Akhtar K, Bhatti HN (2007) Nickel (II) biosorption by *Casia fistula* biomass. *J Hazard Mater B* 139:345–355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.06.040>
- Heidmann I, Calmano W (2008) Removal of Zn (II), Cu (II), Ni (II), Ag (I) and Cr (VI) present in aqueous solutions by aluminium electrocoagulation. *J Hazard Mater* 152:934–941. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.07.068>
- Holan ZR, Volesky B (1995) Accumulation of cadmium, lead and nickel by fungal and wood biosorbents. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 53:133–146. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02788603>
- Holan ZR, Volesky B, Prasetyo I (1993) Biosorption of cadmium by biomass of marine algae. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 41:819–825. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.260410808>
- Horsfall M Jr, Abia AA, Spiff AI (2006) Kinetic studies on the adsorption of Cd^{2+} , Cu^{2+} and Zn^{2+} ions from aqueous solutions by cassava (*Manihot sculenta* Cranz) tuber bark waste. *Bioresour Technol* 97:283–291. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2005.02.016>
- Huang GL, Zhang HY, Jeffrey XS, Tim AGL (2009) Adsorption of chromium (VI) from aqueous solutions using cross-linked magnetic chitosan beads. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 48:2646–2651. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ie800814h>

- Incharoensakdi A, Kitjahn P (2002) Zinc biosorption from aqueous solution by a halotolerant cyanobacterium *Aphanothece halophytica*. *Curr Microbiol* 45:261–264. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00284-002-3747-0>
- Inglezakis VJ, Grigoropoulou HP (2003) Modeling of ion exchange of Pb^{2+} in fixed beds of clinoptilolite. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater* 61:273–282. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1387-1811\(03\)00374-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1387-1811(03)00374-3)
- Inglezakis VJ, Stylianou MA, Gkantzou D, Loizidou MD (2007) Removal of Pb (II) from aqueous solutions by using clinoptilolite and bentonite as adsorbents. *Desalination* 210:248–256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2006.05.049>
- Johns MM, Marshall WE, Toles CA (1998) Agricultural byproducts as granular activated carbons for adsorbing dissolved metals and organics. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* 71:131–140. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-4660\(199802\)71:2<131::AID-JCTB821>3.0.CO;2-K](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4660(199802)71:2<131::AID-JCTB821>3.0.CO;2-K)
- Junior OK, Gurgel LVA, de Melo JCP, Botaro VR, Melo TMS, de Freitas GRP, Gil LF (2006) Adsorption of heavy metal ion from aqueous single metal solution by chemically modified sugarcane bagasse. *Bioresour Technol* 98:1291–1297. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2006.05.013>
- Kabbashi NA, Atieh MA, Al-Mamun A, Mirghami MES, Alam MDZ, Yahya N (2009) Kinetic adsorption of application of carbon nanotubes for Pb (II) removal from aqueous solution. *J Environ Sci* 21:539–544. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742\(08\)62305-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(08)62305-0)
- Kabdasli I, Arslan T, Olmez-Hanci T, Arslan-Alaton I, Tunay O (2009) Complexing agent and heavy metal removals from metal plating effluent by electrocoagulation with stainless steel electrodes. *J Hazard Mater* 165:838–845. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.10.065>
- Kadirvelu K, Namasivayam C, Thamaraiselva K (2001) Removal of heavy metal from industrial wastewaters by adsorption on to activated carbon prepared from an agricultural solid waste. *Bioresour Technol* 76:63–65. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(00\)00072-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(00)00072-9)
- Kalyani S, Ajitha PJ, Srinivasa RP, Krishnaiah A (2005) Removal of copper and nickel from aqueous solutions using chitosan coated on perlite as biosorbent. *Sep Sci Technol* 40:1483–1495. <https://doi.org/10.1081/SS-200055940>
- Kamble SK, Patil MR (2001) Removal of heavy metals from waste water of thermal power station by water-hyacinths. *Indian J Environ Prot* 21:623–626. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/279553193_Removal_of_heavy_metals_from_wastewater_of_thermal_power_station_by_water_-hyacinths
- Kang SY, Lee JU, Moon SH, Kim KW (2004) Competitive adsorption characteristics of Co^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , and Cr^{3+} by IRN-77 cation exchange resin in synthesized wastewater. *Chemosphere* 56:141–147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.02.004>
- Kang KC, Kim SS, Choi JW, Kwon SH (2008) Sorption of Cu^{2+} and Cd^{2+} onto acid- and base-pretreated granular activated carbon and activated carbon fiber samples. *J Ind Eng Chem* 14:131–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2007.08.007>
- Kapoor A, Viraraghavan T (1995) Fungal biosorption – an alternative treatment option for heavy metal bearing wastewaters: a review. *Bioresour Technol* 53:195–206. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-8524\(95\)00072-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-8524(95)00072-M)
- Kapoor A, Viraraghavan T, Cullimore RD (1999) Removal of heavy metals using the fungus *Aspergillus Niger*. *Bioresour Technol* 70(1):95–104. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(98\)00192-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(98)00192-8)
- Karthikeyan T, Rajgopal S, Miranda LR (2005) Cr (VI) adsorption from aqueous solution by *Hevea brasiliensis* saw dust activated carbon. *J Hazard Mater* 124:192–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.05.003>
- Khelifa A, Moulay S, Naceur AW (2005) Treatment of metal finishing effluents by the electroflotation technique. *Desalination* 181(1):27–33
- Kjellstrom T, Shiroishi K, Erwin PE (1977) Urinary β_2 -microglobulin excretion among people exposed to cadmium in the general environment: an epidemiological study in cooperation between Japan and Sweden. *Environ Res* 13:318–344. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-9351\(77\)90107-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-9351(77)90107-4)

- Kongsuwan A, Patnukao P, Pavasant P (2009) Binary component sorption of Cu (II) and Pb (II) with activated carbon from Eucalyptus camaldulensis Dehn bark. *J Ind Eng Chem* 15:465–470. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2009.02.002>
- Koseoglu H, Kitis M (2009) The recovery of silver from mining wastewaters using hybrid cyanidation and high-pressure membrane process. *Miner Eng* 22:440–444. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2008.11.006>
- Kratochvil D, Volesky B, Demopoulos G (1997) Optimizing Cu removal/recovery in biosorption column. *Water Res* 31:2327–2339. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(97\)00071-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(97)00071-7)
- Krishnan KA, Anirudhan TS (2003) Removal of cadmium (II) from aqueous solutions by steam-activated sulphurised carbon prepared from sugar cane bagasse pith: kinetics and equilibrium studies. *Water SA* 29:147–156. <https://doi.org/10.4314/wsa.v29i2.4849>
- Ku Y, Jung IL (2001) Photocatalytic reduction of Cr (VI) in aqueous solutions by UV irradiation with the presence of titanium dioxide. *Water Res* 35:135–142. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(00\)00098-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00098-1)
- Kumar U, Bandyopadhyay M (2006) Sorption of Cd from aqueous solution using pretreated rice husk. *Bioresour Technol* 97:104–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2005.02.027>
- Kuo CY, Lin HY (2009) Adsorption of aqueous cadmium (II) onto modified multiwalled carbon nanotubes following microwave/chemical treatment. *Desalination* 249:792–796. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2008.11.023>
- Lee DC, Park CJ, Yang JE, Jeong YH, Rhee HI (2000) Screening of hexavalent chromium biosorbent from marine algae. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 54:597–600. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s002530000387>
- Leyva-Ramos R, Bernal-Jacome LA, Acosta-Rodriguez I (2005) Adsorption of cadmium (II) from aqueous solution on natural and oxidized corncob. *Sep Purif Technol* 45:41–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2005.02.005>
- Li Q, Zhai J, Zhang W, Wang M, Zhou J (2007) Kinetic studies of adsorption of Pb (II), Cr (III) and Cu (II) from aqueous solution by sawdust and modified peanut husk. *J Hazard Mater B* 141:163–167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.06.109>
- Li Q, Yue QY, Sun HJ, Su Y, Gao BY (2010) A comparative study on the properties, mechanism and process designs for the adsorption of non-ionic or anionic dyes onto cationic-polymer/bentonite. *J Environ Manag* 91:1601–1611. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.03.001>
- Liu HL, Chen BY, Lan YW, Cheng YC (2004) Biosorption of Zn (II) and Cu (II) by the indigenous *Thiobacillus thiooxidans*. *Chem Eng J* 97:195–201. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1385-8947\(03\)00210-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1385-8947(03)00210-9)
- Loukidou MX, Karapantsios TD, Zouboulis AI, Matis KA (2004) Diffusion kinetic study of cadmium (II) biosorption by *Aeromonas caviae*. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* 79:711–719. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.1043>
- Low KS, Lee CK, Leo AC (1995) Removal of metals from electroplating wastes using banana pith. *Bioresour Technol* 51:227–231. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-8524\(94\)00123-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-8524(94)00123-1)
- Low KS, Lee CK, Liew SC (2000) Sorption of cadmium and lead from aqueous solutions by spent grain. *Process Biochem* 36:59–64. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592\(00\)00177-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592(00)00177-1)
- Lundh M, Jonsson L, Dahlquist J (2000) Experimental studies of the fluid dynamics in the separation zone in dissolved air flotation. *Water Res* 34:21–30. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(99\)00136-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(99)00136-0)
- Malkoc E, Nuhoglu Y (2005) Investigation of Ni II removal from aqueous solutions using tea factory waste. *J Hazard Mater B* 127:120–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.06.030>
- Mameri N, Boudries N, Addour L, Belhocine D, Lounici H, Grib H (1999) Batch zinc biosorption by a bacterial nonliving *Streptomyces rimosus* biomass. *Water Res* 33:1347–1354. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(98\)00349-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(98)00349-2)
- Medina BY, Torem ML, de Mesquita LMS (2005) On the kinetics of precipitate flotation of Cr III using sodium dodecylsulfate and ethanol. *Miner Eng* 18:225–231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2004.08.018>

- Memon SQ, Memon N, Shah SW, Khuhawar MY, Bhangar MI (2007) Sawdust – a green and economical sorbent for the removal of cadmium (II) ions. *J Hazard Mater B* 139:116–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.06.013>
- Min SH, Han JS, Shin EW, Park JK (2004) Improvement of cadmium ion removal by base treated juniper fiber. *Water Res* 38:1289–1295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2003.11.016>
- Mohan D, Singh KP (2002) Single- and multi-component adsorption of cadmium and zinc using activated carbon derived from bagasse—an agricultural waste. *Water Res* 36:2304–2318. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(01\)00447-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(01)00447-X)
- Mohan D, Singh KP, Singh VK (2006) Trivalent Cr removal from wastewater using low cost activated carbon derived from agricultural waste material and activated carbon fabric cloth. *J Hazard Mater B* 135:280–295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.11.075>
- Mohanty K, Jha M, Meikap BC, Biswas MN (2005) Removal of chromium (VI) from dilute aqueous solutions by activated carbon developed from Terminalia arjuna nuts activated with zinc chloride. *Chem Eng Sci* 60:3049–3059. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2004.12.049>
- Montanher SF, Oliveira EA, Rollemberg MC (2005) Removal of metal ions from aqueous solutions by sorption onto rice bran. *J Hazard Mater B* 117:207–211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2004.09.015>
- Motsi T, Rowson NA, Simmons MJH (2009) Adsorption of heavy metals from acid mine drainage by natural zeolite. *Int J Miner Process* 92:42–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.minpro.2009.02.005>
- Murthy ZVP, Chaudhari LB (2008) Application of nanofiltration for the rejection of nickel ions from aqueous solutions and estimation of membrane transport parameters. *J Hazard Mater* 160:70–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.02.085>
- Murthy ZVP, Chaudhari LB (2009) Separation of binary heavy metals from aqueous solutions by nanofiltration and characterization of the membrane using Spiegler-Kedem model. *Chem Eng J* 150:181–187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2008.12.023>
- Muthukrishnan M, Guha BK (2008) Effect of pH on rejection of hexavalent chromium by nanofiltration. *Desalination* 219:171–178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2007.04.054>
- Nakajima A, Yasuda M, Yokoyama H, Ohya-Nishiguchi H, Kamada H (2001) Copper biosorption by chemically treated *Micrococcus luteus* cells. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 17:343–347. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1016638230043>
- Nanseu-Njiki CP, Tchamango SR, Ngom PC, Darchen A, Ngameni E (2009) Mercury (II) removal from water by electrocoagulation using aluminium and iron electrodes. *J Hazard Mater* 168:1430–1436. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.03.042>
- Ngah WSW, Fatinathan S (2008) Adsorption of Cu (II) ions in aqueous solution using chitosan beads, chitosan-GLA beads and chitosan-alginate beads. *Chem Eng J* 143:62–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2007.12.006>
- Nguyen CM, Bang S, Cho J, Kim KW (2009) Performance and mechanism of arsenic removal from water by a nanofiltration membrane. *Desalination* 245:82–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2008.04.047>
- Niu H, Xu XS, Wang JH, Volesky B (1993) Removal of lead from aqueous solutions by *Penicillium* biomass. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 42:785–787. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/bit.260420615>
- Noeline BF, Manohar DM, Anirudhan TS (2005) Kinetic and equilibrium modeling of lead (II) sorption from water and wastewater by polymerized banana stem in a batch reactor. *Sep Purif Technol* 45:131–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2005.03.004>
- Nourbakhsh M, Sag Y, Ozer D, Aksu Z, Kutsal T, Caglar A (1994) A comparative study of various biosorbents for removal of chromium (VI) ions from industrial wastewaters. *Process Biochem* 29:1–5. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0032-9592\(94\)80052-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0032-9592(94)80052-9)
- Oliveira EA, Montanher SF, Andrade AD, Nobrega JA, Rollemberg MC (2005) Equilibrium studies for the sorption of chromium and nickel from aqueous solutions using raw rice bran. *Process Biochem* 40:3485–3490. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2005.02.026>

- Olmez T (2009) The optimization of Cr (VI) reduction and removal by electrocoagulation using response surface methodology. *J Hazard Mater* 162:1371–1378. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.06.017>
- Ozturk A (2007) Removal of nickel from aqueous solution by the bacterium *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *J Hazard Mater* 147:518–523. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.01.047>
- Pan JH, Liu RX, Tang HX (2007) Surface reaction of *Bacillus cereus* biomass and its bio-sorption for lead and copper ions. *J Environ Sci* 19:403–408. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742\(07\)60067-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(07)60067-9)
- Pardo R, Herguedas M, Barrado E, Vega M (2003) Biosorption of cadmium, copper, lead and zinc by inactive biomass of *Pseudomonas putida*. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 376:26–32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-003-1843-z>
- Parga JR, Cocke DL, Valenzuela JL, Gomes JA, Kesmez M, Irwin G, Moreno H, Weir M (2005) Arsenic removal via electrocoagulation from heavy metal contaminated groundwater in La Comarca Lagunera México. *J Hazard Mater* 124:247–254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.05.017>
- Park D, Yun YS, Park JM (2005) Use of dead fungal biomass for the detoxification of hexavalent chromium: screening and kinetics. *Process Biochem* 40:2559–2565. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2004.12.002>
- Pastircakova K (2004) Determination of trace metal concentrations in ashes from various biomass materials. *Energy Educ Sci Technol* 13:97–104
- Pehlivan E, Cetin S, Yanik BH (2006) Equilibrium studies for the sorption of zinc and copper from aqueous solutions using sugar beet pulp and fly ash. *J Hazard Mater B* 135:193–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.11.049>
- Pillay K, Cukrowska EM, Coville NJ (2009) Multi-walled carbon nanotubes as adsorbents for the removal of parts per billion levels of hexavalent chromium from aqueous solution. *J Hazard Mater* 166:1067–1075. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.12.011>
- Pino G, de Mesquita L, Torem M, Pinto G (2006) Biosorption of heavy metals by powder of green coconut shell. *Sep Sci Technol* 41:3141–3153. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01496390600851640>
- Puranik PR, Paknikar KM (1997) Biosorption of lead and zinc from solutions using *Streptovorticillium cinnamomeum* waste biomass. *J Biotechnol* 55:113–124. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1656\(97\)00067-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1656(97)00067-9)
- Rodriguez-Iznaga I, Gomez A, Rodriguez-Fuentes G, Benitez-Aguilar A, Serrano-Ballan J (2002) Natural clinoptilolite as exchanger of Ni^{+2} and NH_4^+ ions under hydrothermal conditions and high ammonia concentration. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater* 53:71–80. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1387-1811\(02\)00325-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1387-1811(02)00325-6)
- Saeed A, Iqbal M (2003) Bioremoval of Cd from aqueous solution by black gram husk (*Cicer arietinum*). *Water Res* 37:3472–3480. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(03\)00175-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(03)00175-1)
- Saeed A, Akhtar MW, Iqbal M (2005a) Removal and recovery of heavy metals from aqueous solution using papaya wood as a new biosorbent. *Sep Purif Technol* 45(1):25–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2005.02.004>
- Saeed A, Iqbal M, Akhtar MW (2005b) Removal and recovery of lead (II) from single and multiple, (Cd, Ni, Cu, Zn) solutions by crop milling waste (black gram husk). *J Hazard Mater* 117:65–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2004.09.008>
- Sarin V, Pant KK (2006) Removal of chromium from industrial waste by using eucalyptus bark. *Bioresour Technol* 97:15–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2005.02.010>
- Say R, Yilmaz N, Denizli A (2003) Biosorption of cadmium, lead, mercury, and arsenic ions by the fungus *Penicillium purpurogenum*. *Sep Sci Technol* 38:2039–2053
- Sciban M, Radetic B, Kevresan Z, Klasnja M (2007) Adsorption of heavy metals from electroplating waste water by wood saw dust. *Bioresour Technol* 98:402–409. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2005.12.014>
- Seki K, Saito N, Aoyama M (1997) Removal of heavy metal ions from solutions by coniferous barks. *Wood Sci Technol* 31:441–447. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00702566>

- Selatnia A, Boukazoula A, Kechid N, Bakhti MZ, Chergui A (2004) Biosorption of Fe^{3+} from aqueous solution by a bacterial dead *Streptomyces rimosus* biomass. *Process Biochem* 39:1643–1651. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592\(03\)00305-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592(03)00305-4)
- Shafaei A, Rezayee M, Arami M, Nikazar M (2010) Removal of Mn^{+2} ions from synthetic wastewater by electrocoagulation process. *Desalination* 260:23–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2010.05.006>
- Shukla SR, Pai RS (2005a) Adsorption of Cu (II), Ni (II) and Zn (II) on modified jute fibres. *Bioresour Technol* 96:1430–1438. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2004.12.010>
- Shukla SR, Pai RS (2005b) Adsorption of Cu (II), Ni (II) and Zn (II) on dye loaded groundnut shells and sawdust. *Sep Purif Technol* 43:1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2004.09.003>
- Singh KK, Rastogi R, Hasan SH (2005) Removal of cadmium from waste water using agricultural waste using rice polish. *J Hazard Mater A* 121:51–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2004.11.002>
- Skowronski T, Pirszel J, Pawlik-Skowronska B (2001) Heavy metal removal by the waste biomass of *Penicillium chrysogenum*. *Water Qual Res J Can* 36:793–803. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wqrj.2001.042>
- Srinath T, Verma T, Ramteke PW, Garg SK (2002) Chromium (VI) biosorption and bioaccumulation by chromate resistant bacteria. *Chemosphere* 48:427–435. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535\(02\)00089-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(02)00089-9)
- Srivastava S, Ahmed AH, Thakur IS (2007) Removal of chromium and pentachlorophenol from tannery effluent. *Bioresour Technol* 98:1128–1132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2006.04.011>
- Sud D, Mahajan G, Kaur MP (2008) Agricultural waste material as potential adsorbent for sequestering heavy metal ions from aqueous solutions e a review. *Bioresour Technol* 99:6017–6027. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.11.064>
- Sun XQ, Peng B, Jing Y, Chen J, Li DQ (2009) Chitosan (chitin)/cellulose composite biosorbents prepared using ionic liquid for heavy metal ions adsorption. *AICHE J* 55:2062–2069. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.11797>
- Tan TW, Cheng P (2003) Biosorption of metal ions with *Penicillium chrysogenum*. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 104:119–128. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1385/ABAB:104:2:119>
- Tassel F, Rubio J, Misra M, Jena BC (1997) Removal of mercury from gold cyanide solution by dissolved air flotation. *Miner Eng* 10:803–811. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0892-6875\(97\)00058-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0892-6875(97)00058-7)
- Taty-Costode VC, Fauduet H, Porte C, Delacroix A (2003) Removal of Cd (II) and Pb (II) ions from aqueous solutions by adsorption onto sawdust of *Pinus sylvestris*. *J Hazard Mater B* 105:121–142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2003.07.009>
- Tessele F, Misra M, Rubio J (1998) Removal of Hg, As and Se ions from gold cyanide leach solutions by dissolved air flotation. *Miner Eng* 11:535–543. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0892-6875\(98\)00035-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0892-6875(98)00035-1)
- Tiemann KJ, Gamez G, Dokken K, Parsons JG, Gardea-Torresdey JL (2002) Chemical modification and X-ray absorption studies for lead (II) binding by *Medicago sativa* (alfalfa) biomass. *Microchem J* 71:287–293. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0026-265X\(02\)00021-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0026-265X(02)00021-8)
- Tsekova KV, Marinov PG, Tsekova AN (2000) Copper accumulation by *Aspergillus awamori*. *Folia Microbiol* 45:217–220. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02908947>
- Tunali S, Cabuk A, Akar T (2006) Removal of lead and copper ions from aqueous solutions by bacterial strain isolated from soil. *Chem Eng J* 115:203–211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2005.09.023>
- Uslu G, Tanyol M (2006) Equilibrium and thermodynamic parameters of single and binary mixture biosorption of lead (II) and copper (II) ions onto *Pseudomonas putida*, effect of temperature. *J Hazard Mater* 135:87–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.11.029>
- Veglio F, Beolchini F (1997) Removal of metals by biosorption: a review. *Hydrometallurgy* 44:301–316. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-386X\(96\)00059-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-386X(96)00059-X)
- Venkateswarlu P, Ratnam MV, Rao DS, Rao MV (2007) Removal of chromium from aqueous solution using *Azadirachta indica* (neem) leaf powder as an adsorbent. *Int J Phys Sci* 2:188–195. <https://academicjournals.org/journal/IJPS/article-full-text-pdf/882889513231>

- Vianna LNL, Andrade MC, Nicoli JR (2000) Screening of waste biomass from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Aspergillus oryzae* and *Bacillus lentus* fermentations for removal of Cu, Zn and Cd by biosorption. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 16:437–440. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1008953922144>
- Vijaya Y, Srinivasa RP, Veera MB, Krishnaiah A (2008) Modified chitosan and calcium alginate biopolymer sorbents for removal of nickel (II) through adsorption. *Carbohydr Polym* 72:261–271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2007.08.010>
- Vukovi GD, Marinkovi AD, Coli M, Risti MD, Aleksi R, Peri Gruji AA, Uskokovi PS (2010) Removal of cadmium from aqueous solutions by oxidized and ethylenediamine-functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotubes. *Chem Eng J* 157:238–248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2009.11.026>
- Wang HJ, Zhou AL, Peng F, Yu H, Yang J (2007) Mechanism study on adsorption of acidified multiwalled carbon nanotubes to Pb (II). *J Colloid Interface Sci* 316:277–283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2007.07.075>
- Wilson W, Yang H, Seo CW, Marshall WE (2006) Select metal adsorption by activated carbon made from peanut shells. *Bioresour Technol* 97:2266–2270. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2005.10.043>
- Wong KK, Lee CK, Low KS, Haron MJ (2003) Removal of Cu and Pb from electroplating wastewater using tartaric acid modified rice husk. *Process Biochem* 39:437–445. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592\(03\)00094-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592(03)00094-3)
- Yu B, Zhang Y, Shukla A, Shukla S, Dorris KL (2001) The removal of heavy metals from aqueous solutions by sawdust adsorption—removal of lead and comparison of its adsorption with copper. *J Hazard Mater* 84:83–94. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3894\(01\)00198-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3894(01)00198-4)
- Yuan XZ, Meng YT, Zeng GM, Fang YY, Shi JG (2008) Evaluation of tea-derived biosurfactant on removing heavy metal ions from dilute wastewater by ion flotation. *Colloids Surf A Physicochem Eng Asp* 317:256–261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2007.10.024>
- Zhang GY, Qu RJ, Sun CM, Ji CN, Chen H, Wang CH et al (2008) Adsorption for metal ions of chitosan coated cotton fiber. *J Appl Polym Sci* 110:2321–2327. <https://doi.org/10.1002/app.27515>
- Ziagova M, Dimitriadis G, Aslanidou D, Papaioannou X, Tzannetaki EL, Liakopoulou-Kyriakides M (2007) Comparative study of Cd (II) and Cr (VI) biosorption on *Staphylococcus xylosus* and *Pseudomonas spin single* and binary mixtures. *Bioresour Technol* 98:2859–2865. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2006.09.043>
- Zulkali MMD, Ahmed AC, Norulakmal NH (2006) *Oriza sativa* husk as heavy metal adsorbent: optimization with lead as model solution. *Bioresour Technol* 97:21–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2005.02.007>