

Chapter 8

Contemporary Dimensions of Econometrics of Green Energy: A Review of Literature



Naveed R. Khan, Arsalan Mujahid Ghouri, and Irum Gull

Abstract Green energy is the significant system of renewable energy that represents the sustainability dominion of energy. It comes under government limelight and is a convincing agenda to establish green economy structures and energy systems. Literature suggested industrial models for green and sustainable energy, using technological support and innovation for energy evolutions through extensive sustainable energy programs. Green and sustainable energy is efficient and demands least energy incorporation and decreasing disparities and impacts progressively towards green energy. Efficient energy usage establishes correspondent welfare for energy usage and the environment. Climate concerned regulation and green innovativeness focus on the regulations and the usage of renewable energy sources. Moreover, FDI boosts green energy and green innovation while condensed the usage of fossil energy in developed and developing countries. Moreover, countries need focus on energy bases; hence, they can divert towards green energy and ascents business towards green energy, while accepting the determining factors of energy strains and usage of clean or green energy, since it is vital for establishing improved energy guidelines for future. Continuous efforts are required to focus on modern green bases of energy to prevent harmful and damaging influence of conventional energy usage and energy production. Researches showed significant association between energy usage, industries production and economies progression. However, the growth of green energy bases provides a significant resolution to deal with concerns like energy safety and environmental variations. Where, the steady substituting of conventional energy means with green energy upholds the efforts on sustainability and climate protection since green energy usage shows a significant positioning in backing economies development and progression for many countries.

N. R. Khan
Bahria University, Karachi, Pakistan

A. M. Ghouri (✉)
University Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Tanjung Malim, Malaysia
e-mail: arsalan@fpe.upsi.edu.my

I. Gull
Balochistan University of Information Technology, Engineering, and Management Sciences,
Quetta, Pakistan
e-mail: arsalan@fpe.upsi.edu.my

Keywords Clean energy · Energy efficiency · Energy usage · Environmental quality · FDI · Governance · Green energy demand · Green energy production · Green energy usage · Green finance

8.1 Introduction

The continuously utilizing of the energy resources and its damaging impact on the environment repositioning discerning towards energy situations globally. Concerning this, the distress of hastened situation on “global warming” shaping the perspectives globally on immaculate and green energy (Hosseini and Wahid 2015; Hosseini et al. 2013). Green energy is the significant system of renewable energy that represents the sustainability dominion of energy, as it is acquired from the bases which are infinite (Mohan et al. 2018). The foundation for sustainable energy includes bases like airstream, solar, biomass, water, entirely ensues by nature continuously. This form of energy is immaculate and unpolluted. Most of the methods do not discharge greenhouse vapors or lethal leftovers while utilizing those energy sources. These methods represent the suitability of energy bases as human being can depend on them for a long time which are not costly and effective as well (Owusu and Asumadu-Sarkodie 2016).

Currently, the severe climate collapse concerning desiccation, intensifying temperature globally, floods and tornadoes are the visible and startling indicators of changing environmental concerns that increase the demand of attention by human (Chaubey 2013). The environ concerns are getting on the dangerous level, which demands the establishment of “green energy” stratagems for sustaining imminent change and avoiding any deleterious and damaging environ and communal sway (Oh and Kim 2018). Now, the opaque projections of environmental conversion are becoming the key challenge globally. In order to form ecological promising energy systems for upcoming generations, the crisis has to be focused on by promoting green energy (Agarwal et al. 2014). Many countries focusing on energy effectiveness and consider the advancement of sustainable energy as a significant form of facing climate defies (Dai et al. 2016). For example, East Asian states are concentrating on establishing green economy structures and green energy systems (Dent 2014; Yoshida and Mori 2015). Concerning this, China is amongst the largest perceptive states on this matter; the role and positioning of China in this regard is prominent that represents almost the leading one on “Worldwide green Focus” on sustainable energy resources where China is considered as the “sustainable energy giant” (Mathews 2017). Similarly, Canada is amongst the energy resources giant (Prentice 2012), focusing widely on sustainable and green solutions (Stroup et al. 2015), while the USA is also showing encouraging attitude on the issue of climate change and sustainability (Levi 2013). According to Western Climate Initiative, the USA and Canada are joining in grander scale agendas (NA2050), which represents low-carbon initiatives, generating employment opportunities and sustainable energy systems and most importantly helping environ well-being and climate governance

mechanisms (Stroup et al. 2015; White House 2013). Likewise, Germany functions as a global platform towards the evolution of the giant industrial economic system to a sustainable and below-carbon energy organism. Varied players, industrial models for green and sustainable energy, technological support and innovation have backed to the origination of German's energy evolutions via extensive sustainable energy programs (Rommel et al. 2018). Green energy philosophy is a concern with technological transformation, innovation, where also linking the economy's arrangements to environ concerned subjects, governing systems (Bauwens 2016).

8.2 Energy Efficiency and Innovations

As the concentration of countries is shifting towards the challenge of environmental changes and energy efficiency, the need for strategies and contrivances concerning energy efficiency are also increasing (Gillingham et al. 2018). From the perspective of environmental concerns, energy efficiency is being focused as a base for ascertaining possible progression and advancement for consuming energy resources with low or no carbon utilization or CO₂ reduction and cost-effectiveness by advancing the arrangements (Gillingham and Palmer 2014). According to Gerarden et al. (2017), strategy makers are concentrating on the arrangements dealing with the systems restricting the dispersion of energy effectual technological up-gradation and more concentrating on the strategies for technological support for ensuring energy efficiency.

Recently, sustainable economy arrangements are establishing a crucial focus of many countries. In order to attain this purpose of alleviating the greenhouse and decreasing the CO₂ emanations countries entails a changeover from the economies arrangements established on extremely contaminating energy bases towards sustainability-centric economies arrangements established on technological support, and consuming energy bases having a damaging effect on climate (Costa Campi et al. 2014). This is indispensable technology-focused transformations which empower a broad retort on environmental need without sacrificing economies progress. But the important question arises that how this objective will be achieved? One vital instrument to accomplish this objective of essential revolution is "innovation" (Sayegh et al. 2017). Schumpeter (1982) asserted that economic progress is determined through innovation, while the impact of technology-based growth on the progression of the association amongst the economies and climate is clarified by the "endogenous growth theory" that emphasized that production systems development with the help of substituting the contaminating energy bases with climate-responsive energy bases (Fernández et al. 2018). Currently, the discussion on sustainability has diverted attention to innovation that is connecting with the diverse players. Innovation practices involve produces and undertakings that are energy efficient and demand least energy incorporation (Sachs et al. 2016). The system of environmental adjustment also pressures the dogma for the sustenance of innovation and the expansion of less-carbon technological systems as a retort to alleviate the environmental changes

and green energy needs (Badi 2016). Energy efficiency processes contain distinctive processes for the purpose of plummeting energy usage or innovative and new production methods. However, embracing green and efficient technological systems offers a wide range of prosperity and assistances; still, espousal requires numeral circumstances like sectoral strategic slants and institutions that can seal the institutional and innovativeness breach (Ndichu et al. 2015).

Businesses have to implement climate-friendly objects because they put sway on innovation progressions nonstop (Jakobsen and Clausen 2016). This determines the level of following progress in energy efficiency as the focus of innovation. The businesses that are establishing innovation arrangements as the source of decreasing climate's influences are more into innovation to intensifying energy effectiveness (Costa Campi et al. 2014) where decreasing disparities amongst energy consumption is explained as energy effectiveness. Innovation-centric practices add ominously on lessening energy consumption in businesses (Mulder and De Groot 2012) which impacts progressively towards green energy (Fernández et al. 2018).

8.3 Technical Effect and Advances in Energy Usage

The states are allied via energy, economic structures, climate and sustainability connections. Usage of energy efficiently, especially in industrialized countries, is having importance for the economic structures and overall climate conditions for the coming generations. Whereas, the energy efficiency and its consumption level are dissimilar amongst states contingent with diverse energy usage models (Bilgen and Sarikaya 2016). Though, energy is amongst the fundamental need of the economic system and consider as the main constituent for production, yet, energy usage needs hefty monetary, ecological and safety costs. The efficient usage of energy can result in cost-effectiveness. Many states are focused on establishing efficient energy consumption strategies, covering together basic mechanism which is concentrated on different sectoral demands (Yan et al. 2016). The main apprehension is associated with its impact ecologically and environmental drastic alterations, greenhouse gasses, energy scarceness, sustainable approaches and divergence between states due to the locality of energy bases (Lundgren et al. 2016). All of them represent composite problems interrelated with one another. To grip these problems, the attention and efforts should be diverted towards improving energy efficiency, covering together with the method and tactics (innovatively) on energy generation and the way energy is consumed overall (Viholainen et al. 2016). The development of energy efficiency in consuming is having significance as rising the efficiency together in generating energy and consumption significantly reduces the energy concern emanations in a less costly way and at the same time concerned with the depletion of energy sources (Viholainen et al. 2016), whereas extreme usage of energy imposes large challenging aspects. Therefore, energy usage has haggard worldwide attention. Technology-based innovation offers a vital and substantial part in humanizing energy efficacy. It is accepted that technology and innovation support is one of the substantial tactics for resolving the

clashes amongst the supplying and demanding energy, so energy efficacy improves (Wang et al. 2017). Innovation is described as the establishment of unique and creative explanations to economies, environ-centric and collective complications (Jay and Gerand 2015). Moreover, the focus on improving energy efficiency also aids to lessen the producing price. Effectual energy usage offers corresponding welfare along with less natural possessions; it is probable to develop advance technological support for proposing viable and sustainable energy with instantaneous expansions in adeptness (Bilgen and Sarikaya 2016). The progression of economic structures, energy efficiency is getting policy makers' attention and therefore become top agenda for discussion worldwide, especially in developing states where energy depletion has also happening concurrently (Luo and Liang 2016). It is suggested that arrangement on energy does not solely emphasis on the energy efficacy but on other important influences of energy strategies as well (Yuan et al. 2017). Efficient energy usage establishes correspondent welfare for energy usage and the environment. It is probable to produce an innovative mechanism and technological support for promoting renewable energy arrangement along with instantaneous advances inefficiency. The energy usage efficiently has to be applied when probable, as this encompasses the lifecycle of energy bases and reduces climate damages and concerns (Filippini et al. 2014). Energy efficiency mechanisms have a substantial impact on developing states, along with the likelihood of preserving up to 65% of energy reserves from 2006 to 2026. In the coming twenty years, OECD states are anticipated to decrease energy usage from 25 to 30% and from 30 to 45% in developing states (Palm and Reindl 2016; Bilgen and Sarikaya 2016).

8.4 Globalization and Green Energy Dynamics

Climate sustainable domain is appearing as a global concern. UN conference on climate concerns 2015 or conference of parties (COP21) concluded in agreement of 195 states on lessening emanations in order to deal with the issues of climate change (in terms of pollution, ozone layer damage and dangerous weather situations) which results in scarcity, torrents and heat waves (Erzurumlu and Yu 2018). Industries are dependent on the consumption of energy for producing which as a result releases toxic fumes, gases and toxic leftovers which are directly impacting the climate conditions. To understand and look for solving these probes on climate situations and sustainability (Erzurumlu and Erzurumlu 2013) a prompt strategy for developing and establishing the "green" economic structures globally is required in concerned area of interest (Maria et al. 2015).

The current state of fossil-fuelled economic systems and extreme climate change has to divert attention towards inconsistent and hazardous instant for modern societies and collective environmental effects all over the world. An increasing agreement globally, on shifting towards the renewable energy systems, is the need of time since green energy often assumed as a tactic of future replacement and represents the significant stratagem to address the environmental concerns (Burke and Stephens 2017).

In the last period, though, renewable and green energy supporters, communal and environ associated advocates and campaigners are focusing on establishing for “energy democratic arrangements”. These energy democratic systems are linked with the modern manifestation of devolved popular key activities/movements of 1970, 1980 and earlier (Love and Isenhour 2016). These primary activities commonly pursued to associate anti-nuclear involvements and apprehensions about the uncertainty on fossil energy sources require attentiveness on regional actions and visions of tech-based arrangements on green energy globally, which substitute energy bases with renewable environmental-friendly domains (Peterson et al. 2015). These activities around the world are dedicated to evolving communal and climate-centric justice with the help of transition on renewable and green energy technologies. These determinations are associated with many prevalent activities on focusing on environmental emergencies by not solely on repelling fossil energy sources usage but also concentrated on green economic programs and communal founded green energy upcoming prospects (Tokar 2015; Love and Isenhour 2016).

A key to this revolution concerning sustainable systems is a crucial renewal of economies, technological supports and establishments. Economies are necessary to be intensely reconsidered and restructured to provide sustenance on anti-CO₂ emissions (Pegels et al. 2018). The energy bases were solely accountable for near 70% of emanation in 2012 worldwide (WRI 2016). As the only market is not successful for achieving these crucial environmental changes, states and establishments worldwide need to interfere as a driving agent of low CO₂ (green energy) revolutions (Lederer et al. 2018). A set of mechanisms are needed to be concentrated by the establishments to ensure these environmental alterations like “green energy stratagems” where these green energy stratagems incorporate strategies for supporting the arrangement of energy system while focusing on the essentials for sustainability (environmentally friendly and preservation of energy sources) (Lütkenhorst et al. 2014). These stratagems are precarious for attaining the objectives of green energy and green economic systems globally (Altenburg and Lütkenhorst 2015).

8.5 Green Economy and Clean Energy Technologies

Strategies and mechanisms for supporting green energy and sustainability based objectives and green economic arrangements, like focus on low fossil releases, energy efficiency and usage, and communal comprehensiveness are required at global level (Lederer et al. 2018; Altenburg and Lütkenhorst 2015). The available mechanism and technological innovations on green energy can be included with the range of stratagems to establish a green economic system (Altenburg and Lütkenhorst 2015; Pegels et al. 2018). Therefore, the UN recognized the prospective for green economic system slants to turn as perilous edges between economies associated and environ relevant problems to encourage sustainability and green energy (Bailey and Caprotti

2014). Mostly, policymakers using mechanisms for leading economic accomplishments concerning ecologically sustainable focus for introducing and expediting fundamental modifications (Chaudhary et al. 2014; Dai 2015). There are aggregate and crucial requisites for handling scarcity including nature-centric reserves like ecological and energy in the setting of the increasing populace and natural reserves over manipulation (Coccia 2014). Technology-centric innovation is evolving in diverse sectors to adjust the usage of energy bases as a communal growth and directing sustainability from the perspective of environmental and communal concerns. These technology-centric innovation and innovative mechanisms are the sources of effectual, uncontaminated and ideal usage of energy bases (Klewitz and Hansen 2014). The concentration was primarily focused on sustainability and progression in the UN “conference on the human environment” 1972, while the focus on innovation and sustainable systems got attention in Brundtland report 1987 (Eteokleous et al. 2016; Lukman et al. 2016). The emphases were diverted towards businesses for establishing, restructuring, acclimating and diffusion of climate-centric comprehensive technological support (Farahani et al. 2014) where in IAMOT 2015 and 2016 conferences, which stressed on the apprehensions like technological focus, innovation and creatively handling on sustainability (Cancino et al. 2016).

As the environmental change in last years like dilapidation, instigated by people trailing, the progress of economies, and increasing populace which desecrating the energy reserves, are the indication of environ concern (Coccia 2014). The environ impact instigated by the economies and practices involved, which devour energy reserves and imposing environmental damages in the twenty-first century was reported (Tsiliyannis 2014). Moreover, the focus on technology-centric innovation required for the sustainability prospects, emphasizing the well-being sustainability not solely by economies and progression and but also through technology and innovation, was increased (Lukman et al. 2016). Environ-centric prospects of sustainable systems and eco-innovation outlooks concerns arises as a reaction on the requisite of energy efficiencies, hence usage through the amalgamation of novel and unique technological mechanism was proposed (Eteokleous et al. 2016). Concerning communal and economy’s prospects of sustainable systems, businesses are reconsidering their connotation with associated stakeholders that directly or indirectly affecting by the climate where they functioning, which lead to the need to reestablishing the models, stratagems and restructuring the economic systems (Smart et al. 2017). Assimilating sustainable focused domain, within corporate models, require an efficient outlook which includes international viewpoints and diverse origins of the arrangements and their association (Cancino et al. 2016).

8.6 Green Energy Regulation and Environmental Quality

Extreme and rapid changing in the environmental revolution worldwide, resultant from greenhouse gas releases, remain a warning for survival and contending environmental damages (Twerefou et al. 2019). Worldwide concentration on environmental prospects is growing, which increases efforts for regulations to deal with the climate prospects (Gillingham et al. 2018). Climate concerned regulation and green innovativeness focus depict two vital aspects of the efforts of green energy. However, resources and climate are public possessions, which contain some restrictions on the usage of market contrivances to resolve climate concerned complications. Consequently, it is crucial for states to contrivance eco-friendly and green energy regulations (Feng and Chen 2018). Whereas at the same time, the combination of “innovation domain” and “green domain”, green innovativeness is considering an efficient source of dealing with environmental concerns. Hence, there is a significant association amongst the environmental regulating concerns, green innovativeness and green energy domain. According to OECD (2011), environ concerned regulations are the vital effort of promoting green energy.

Currently, the vital mechanism for shifting the efforts of economies towards climate and climate-focused sustainable approaches, like green energy regulatory systems and tax subsidies, regulations and policies are focusing on originating and enabling operational modifications. The emphasis of regulations is concerted towards two dimensions—(1) environ safety and (2) green modification (Dai 2015; Shen 2016). The regulations concerning environ and green energy should cover innovativeness counterpoises at businesses under regulation. The supervisory bodies have to exercise density, humanizing businesses environ associated cognizance, and assist them to establish vibrant objectives for environ protection, whereas at the same time allowing the businesses to adopt the innovativeness required indispensable to attain the set objects (Weiss and Anisimova 2019). The slant should consist of permitting businesses tryout phase in which businesses evaluating modern technological support that can be beneficial to achieve environ-friendly objectives (Bergquist et al. 2013).

However, for states to deal with the focus on green energy, environmental concerns is hardly possible; to get full of its essence and its impact on economic systems and societies widely, states have to harmonize their efforts with other players and establishments having supremacy to regulate widely (Schmitz 2017). This assembly of players or authorities (like UN, UNDP, Economic forums) can upkeep or restrict the change (Hess 2014). An extensive arrangement is essential amongst the stakeholders to achieve the objectives of environmental quality and green energy (Mazzucato 2013). Stout efforts on the mutual advantage of green energy and environmental quality are most vital to organizing substantial influences that can drive the environmental change agenda widely. For example, intergovernmental panel on climate change (IPCC) organizes the states into economy’s prospects “energy protection, employing concerns”, communal (energy approachability, well-being effects, and environ concern welfares (lessening polluting aspects) (Schmitz 2017; Pegels et al.

2018). While, the scope of mutual advantages dependent on the variety of influences like green technological innovation, prevailing technology capacity, states capability to incorporate, and apply stratagems on green energy hence need due consideration.

8.7 Oil Prices, Energy Shock and Green Energy

Prospects on global climate increasing, whereas, CO₂ releases topmost in 2020, and global warming will be still under 2 °C (IEA 2015) therefore, globally the investing essential to be on rise in renewable or green energy domain, estimated about \$130bn in the coming 15 years. It shows that investing requirement is growing, while the influences on growing investing needs due attention (Shah et al. 2018). The key influence on this aspect is associated with the prices of oil, as the oil prices ominously impact investing and producing the need for green energy (Zhu et al. 2014). Oil has the strongest effect on the energy domain and has the strongest impact on the performing capabilities of the industries worldwide; therefore, variation in prices of oil can pressure the economic systems globally (Pradhan et al. 2015).

Global agreements are continuously focusing on the necessity of reducing CO₂ emanations, for example, G8 is ambitious to reduce the emanations by 50% until 2050; the sources of attaining these numbers are becoming crucial. Amongst the regulations focused included the usage of renewable energy sources (Green energy) instead of fossils energy systems. The European Union (UN) stressing for lowering the CO₂ emanations (Apergis and Payne 2014). Therefore, states worldwide are focusing on the intensifying the producing of green energy bases by increasing the taxes on fossil energy (Bhattacharaya et al. 2016). However, the impact of oil prices on green energy is not similar amongst states, contingent with the aspect on whether the state is amongst the oil-exporting or oil-importing states, and its regulations on boosting green energy bases and its economic position (Mejdoub and Ghorbel 2018; Creti et al. 2014). As states have agreed and implemented a range of regulations towards their agenda on green energy and environmental prospects (Polzin et al. 2015). For example, Norway, oil is significantly impacting on the GDP of Norway (Brander et al. 2013). Therefore, Norway has by now a verge against oil price variations. If the prices of oil upsurges, but green energy rest persistent, then Norway is on the position to meet the targets on the usage of green energy instead of oil (Milner 2016). Similarly, the UK, amongst the oil-exporting states, UK is amongst the strongest supporter on green energy within the country, and globally, the bases on green energy is growing rapidly in the domain of electricity like airstream and solar systems (Chan 2016; Ward and Inderwildi 2013). Likely, in the USA, the concerns on oil pricing is different as an oil-importing state till 2013, USA then established the positioning on largest oil-producing, besides this USA start investing in green energy and its applications hence balance start improving (International Energy Agency 2014; Apergis and Payne 2015). However, the impact is dissimilar amongst the states and depends on the positioning of the state as an importer or exporter of oil (Shah et al. 2018). The experts suggest that an increase of oil pricing significantly influences the stocks

of green energy businesses as the raise of oil pricing results in green energy more economical contrary to oil (Lee and Baek 2018; Reboredo et al. 2017).

8.8 Green Energy Modeling and Forecasting

The exact viewpoint for fossil driven energy is progressively indeterminate globally. Instead, their industry esteemed by capacity constructed cricks, that are in deterioration and contributing to more decay, usage will require to move towards innovativeness, technological supports and models for subsistence and persistence (Green and Newman 2017). The businesses are concerned about occupied on groping the worldwide energy market base and improve predictions of upcoming scenarios regarding environ-centric policies, green energy usage (Green and Newman 2017).

The energy assortment is gradually shifting; however, this is indeterminate which trend is more reasonable and practical for current and future aspects. Green energy bases are considered as more effective source for producing electricity and other produce, yet the progression is dawdling and reliant on diverse influences (Furlan and Mortarino 2018). Fossil energy systems are on their final period of lifespan, the technology-centric innovation for green energy improving and widening the approachability, but still, it's slow, as it takes time for establishing the feet until innovation is contemplated as harmless and productive (Sharon 2015). For instance, shale gas was considered as the bases for the green energy system until the climate negative impact has been proved and effects were dangerous (Melikoglu 2014). However, shale gas is considering by China and India; however, the harmful impact can alter the investing aspects in the energy segment (Zhao and Yang 2015; Garg 2012). In response to counter vagueness, forecasting published in 2013 on the total usage of energy globally, and forecasted to escalate up to 56% from 2010 to 2040 (Today in Energy 2013) due to non-OECD states. In this sector, various energy bases act as competing agents (Guseo and Mortarino 2015). Previously, various bases for energy systems have been analyzed equally fossils and green energy systems, within the diffusion model, like oil (Guseo et al. 2015), gas (Darda et al. 2015) and wind (Panse and Kathuria 2015). Currently, for example, the competitive model is attracting attention by states; however, competitive measures are multifaceted, particularly within the diffusion modeling domain (Guseo and Mortarino 2015).

Fossils oil businesses like Exxon and Shell work on observing the international energy domain and established forecasting for upcoming years to understand their invulnerability from possible jeopardies like environmental or green energy regulations or competitiveness of green energy bases (Exxonmobile 2014; Shell 2014). These businesses are certain of international energy demand due to the industrial growth of states around the world. These green energy bases having no capability to encounter this need worldwide (Green and Newman 2017). Many establishments and institutes like IEA, work-energy council, EIA, Shell are working on forecasting the fossil energy necessities worldwide till 2050. BHP Billiton 2015 issues environmental change analysis and analyzes the influence of green energy technological bases on

the energy domain and established that though the environmental concern struggling to maintain temperature on the necessary level (Green and Newman 2017). However, the demand for fossil sources probable to increase as developing states shifts towards the industrial domain. Therefore, demand for fossils grows, whereas, at the same time green energy maintains their competitiveness in the market as it considered cost-effective (BNEF 2015). But the fact remains that this impact is fully dependent on the policies adopting and implementing by states and the growth overall (Polzin et al. 2015).

8.9 Foreign Direct Investment and Green Energy Demand

The influence of extreme worldwide environmental changes on human beings and over all natural environ are diverting the attention of regulators to control the damage and sustainable economic systems. This is especially crucial regarding regulation on encouraging the energy investing domain which significantly impacts the environmental changing (Wall et al. 2019). For example, agreement on (UNFCCC—United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change) developed states are bound to make available monetary assistance to developing states on this agenda. As per the agreement, they conjointly organize USD 100 billion yearly. Whereas, specialists are considering FDI as a resilient prospective to deal with recent issues on worldwide climate (Peake and Ekins 2016). The study conducted by Doytch and Narayan (2016) examined 74 sates (1985–2012) to understand the influence of FDI on green and fossil energy usage; the study concluded that FDI boosts green energy and green innovation while condensed the usage of fossil energy in developed and developing states, whereas if these states focus largely on energy bases, they can divert towards green energy and ascents business towards green energy. The impact of FDI in the developing nation is promising on shifting to energy consumption safely while decreasing the utilization of fossils bases. The influence of FDI significantly impacts in lowering the consumption of fossil energy systems (Khandker et al. 2018). The conception of green FDI is attracting the attention of environmentalists and states that include investing prospects worldwide on the green energy domain. GFDI is beneficial for both industrialized and developing states, as FDI has to contribute significantly towards the growth of environ friendly industrial setups, processes, technological green innovation and expertise which expedites green energy, sustainable domain and climate concerns (Buntaine and Pizer 2015). Moreover, FDI as a whole contributes towards economies and development by offering employment opportunities, the transference of wealth, technological know-how and up-gradation to the states and stimulating region-based competition (Abduli and Hammami 2017). Hence, this is crucial for the states that investing source and state device efficient regulations on boosting the interest of giant companies to invest in green ventures, exclusively in green energy domain (Yue et al. 2016),

FDI and development of explicit commercial segments like industrial and infrastructural setups may hit remarkable density on energy possessions and the environmental concerns of nations. Accepting the determining factor of energy strains and usage of clean or green energy is vital for establishing improved energy guidelines for upcoming scenarios. The enlarged economy-centric prominence of FDI raises different queries for the authorities concerning the finest dogmas and stratagems to embolden sustained economy persistence, lessening of carbon, effectual usage of energy and augmented usage of green energy (Lee 2013). The primacies of FDI in context of green energy and green economies amongst states, and especially of giant firm's verdict are deliberated to contain (1) regulatory framework, containing climate, energy, environ and overall regulations on this concern, (2) economies-centric, containing FDI determining agents, like market-centric, capitals focused, efficacy focused and stratagems, (3) commerce easing containing regional and local regulatory focus which enable green energy investing and (4) producing cost including, cost-effectiveness, energy usage effectiveness (Wall et al. 2019; Bisgaard et al. 2012).

8.10 Green Energy Usage and Economic Efficiency in Developed and Developing Economies

From the past few years, the vital part of energy towards the establishment of sound economies structures and progression has been the schema of regulatory bodies and scholars. The focus on the necessity of humanizing worldwide approachability to inexpensive and climate-friendly energy bases and reserves is increasing (Adam et al. 2018). Continuous efforts are made towards fossil to modern green energy bases of energy (Rodríguez-Monroy et al. 2018; Ntanos et al. 2015), whereas because of harmful and damaging influences of fossil energy-producing and using, the necessity for focus on green energy is increasing drastically (Papageorgiou et al. 2015). Therefore, distinctive regulations are establishing to auxiliary support the expansion of the green energy domain. An important climate-centric objective of EU is to meet twenty per cent of energy needs through green energy till 2020, whereas from the perspective of international market, near nineteen per cent of overall energy consumption is making from green energy bases, where the stratagem is focusing to upsurge the consumption of green energy by 50% till 2050 (Ntanos et al. 2018).

UN established the international agenda on attaining the “sustainability of energy” till 2030. The focus is to safeguard the global approach to up-to-date energy systems and to accelerate the position of green energy in the energy market worldwide (Ghouri and Haq 2018; UNEP 2016). According to the New Energy Outlook (2016), the investment prospects are increasing in green energy domain and statistics determined mostly by the latest investment opportunities in developing states. In the coming decades, the approximation is that fossil energy will draw up to \$2bn, whereas green energy bases will draw about \$8bn (Chachoua 2016). The green plan will offer a substitute base of energy for developing economies, increasing efficiency,

improving and achieving environment concerns worldwide and ensuring energy fairness (IEA 2015). African renewable energy domain is also compelling to increase the approachability to green energy from the perspective and agenda of decreasing energy scarcity and establishing significant monetary support from investing perspective, establishing financial associations for supporting this global agenda till 2020. This is stimulating on the prospects that green energy technological innovations establishing smart and climate-friendly technological assistance in Africa (Adam et al. 2018).

The study by Salim et al. (2014) concentrated on OECD states from (1980–2011), the assertion established the significant association between energy usage (green energy and fossil energy) and industries producing and economies progression overall. However, the growth of green energy bases provides a significant resolution to deal with concerns like energy safety and environmental variations where the steady substituting of fossil energy means with green energy upholds the efforts on sustainability and climate protection (Salim et al. 2014). Jebli and Youssef (2015) examined the green energy and usage and its significant impact with revenue in developing states; the conclusion demonstrates the positive impact of GDP on the usage of green energy bases in developing states. Similarly, Bolük and Mert (2014), Caraiani et al. (2015) examined the usage of fossil energy and green energy bases, CO₂ gases, and impact on economies' development amongst EU states, and concluded association amongst green energy and GDP. Subsequently, green energy usage shows a significant positioning in backing economies development and progression for many states (AL-Mulali et al. 2013).

8.11 Governance of Green Energy Consumption, Globalization and Financial Markets

Due to the risk of environmental variation and energy reserves scarceness, the environmental concerns are establishing as a crucial subject of regulatory bodies. As a result, industries meet with growing stresses from regulatory bodies to quantify, handling and reportage on fossil energy bases and usage (Amore and Bennesen 2016). However, many factors affect on sustainable energy domain and determine the connotation of the beginning of green financing arrangement as worldwide drive to enable the efficient usage of energy in sustainability investing arrangement and to observe their sustainable performing to defend and advance the climate concerns in the domain of economies progression (Berensmann et al. 2017). Similarly, defies and prospects for developing better-governing systems concerning green economies worldwide repose ineludible. Furthermore, systems are the basis of awareness and innovativeness (tech-based and establishment based) which can create green economic arrangements and improved governing plans (de Oliveira et al. 2013). The valuation of fossil energy usage or CO₂ emission is establishing a vital domain of global governance on environmental concerns (Oh and Kim 2018). In 2014, the USA

focused on green energy programs on priority bases in their planning and investment prospects in the energy domain (Paramati et al. 2016). Whereas because of accelerating in energy usage and greenhouse vapours, regulatory bodies and environment specialists prioritize their focus on green energy as a substitute for fossils bases (Xie et al. 2015). In the last decade, clean and green energy investing has to raise USD\$ 310bl in 2014, where both industrialized and developing states financed USD\$ 138.9bl and USD\$131.3bl in green energy ventures (Omri and Kahouli 2014).

According to IRENA, ventures of G20 represents 70% of overall worldwide investing in energy till 2030. FDI is considered as the crucial element in financing the green energy domain worldwide towards (1) permitting industries for cost-effective and modern green technological innovation ventures, (2) through FDI transmitting of modern technological innovation to state is possible, which assists in humanizing energy effectiveness and usage and (3) states can advance the economies structures and therefore, stimulates green energy investing prospects (Paramati et al. 2016).

In the last three decades, stock markets are occupying a vital part in obtaining supplementary capital in green energy ventures, energy bases and processes. Whereas, states also offer taxation enticements to investment firms in clean and green energy programs, and also making the funding processes relaxed. This enables the firms to obtain financing support easily for green ventures (Lee 2013). At the same time, regulatory authorities are raising the taxation and cost of fossil energy to discourage consumption. Many EU, G20 and OECD states are focusing on decreasing fossil energy consumption. Furthermore, many international institutions and financial institutions are raising the approachability of capital to encourage green schemes especially in developing states (Komal and Abbas 2015). It is crucial to understand that environmental concerns and climate changes are global agenda. The collaboration of diverse players like administrative supports by states, financial institutions, technological innovation and regional alliances can contribute ominously towards clean and green energy programs (Sbia et al. 2014).

8.12 Green Finance, Financial Crisis, Economy and Environment

To meet the objectives of climate prospects and climate agenda worldwide and to move forward towards the green operational modifications, substantial investments are needed in the energy segment due to higher resources need like constructions, industries transporting arrangements and processes (Monasterolo et al. 2017; WEF 2013). Moreover, since green energy effectiveness is recognizing, the approximation is that eight-time raise in yearly investing will be required in 2035; however, renewable energy structures will need 3 times to raise, towards the objectives and agendas of green arrangements and climate change (OECD 2014; IEA 2014). The indication for green financing/funding breach represents deficiency of monetary bases as needed to be concentrating concerning green investing (Buchner et al. 2017); these

insufficiencies of financing result in critical limitation for accomplishing the environmental objectives as agreed on COP-UNFCCC (COP 2015, 2016) and also towards technological green innovation (D’orazio and Valente 2019) whereas, the existing financial model towards needed funding is not effective (Mazzucato and Semieniuk 2018), and increasing the functional and financial risks in the subject of “green energy” (Gros et al. 2016). Currently, environmental concerned financial risks are vastly discussed due to the plausible impacts of these jeopardies for green financing structures and overall financial constancy of green economic arrangements (Battiston et al. 2017; Berensmann et al. 2017). These risks are (1) *Evolutions/transition-centric*, the risking factor because of unanticipated and unsystematic evolutions towards lower CO₂ or green bases (Carney 2015), (2) *Corporal*, the plausibility of risks because of collaboration of environmental threats with defenselessness of contact of human and nature (Batten et al. 2016) and (3) *Responsibility* risks containing of groups involved have distressed from the impact of environmental alterations looking for recompenses from the liable ones (Carney 2018). However, states central banks and governing and regulatory bodies, with some exemptions (Carney 2018; Dikau and Ryan-Collins 2017), oversee the environmental agendas practically (Monnin 2018). The clarification for casualness can be associated with the financial models adapting by banking systems, which is not ideal towards apprehending the impact of environ alterations or the complications of economies conversions (Sevillano and Gonzalez 2018). Therefore, new economic and financial frameworks are emerging to deal with the impacts of environmental concerns on financial and economies permanence (Dafermos et al. 2018; Lamperti et al. 2018). However, regardless of the growing cognizance of the adversarial bearing of environmental concerned hazards on financial firmness, the regulatory bodies are not focusing on governing arrangements to deal with these hazards and damages to the financial segment overall. To deal with the environ concern financial damages, academicians and environmental specialists are shifting their concern on the probable risks on the financial segment (Campiglio et al. 2017; Bovari et al. 2018). Regulations on subsidizing and CO₂ taxation systems demonstrating the dearth of responsiveness towards the financial risks connected with the environmental vagaries (WB 2014, 2016) for instance, damage of worth of financial resources (Delis et al. 2018; Caldecott 2017). The choice of integrating “green agendas” amongst the agendas of central banking systems or governing bodies, reliant on the state and associated and concerned official models, is widely debating subject now (DNB 2017; HLEG 2018).

8.13 Employment and Poverty Reduction (Income Inequality) Aspects of Green Energy Production

The key objective for current and upcoming sustainability and green energy defies globally is shaped in various targets implemented by UN “transforming our world” included in schema for 2030 sustainable green energy revolution, where supporting

states establish a strong intent of determining an association on three key levels of this sustainable green revolution agenda, namely (1) economy-centric, (2) communal and (3) climate concern, which represent overall 17 aims and 169 objects (UN 2015). These aspects are visibly combined towards the green energy and climate concerns and growth, focusing on agendas of corresponding economies progressions and climates prospects, but at the same time focusing on communal impacts (Emas 2015) which are crucial for vigorous and affluent life and society. Therefore, the objects on the 2030 schema of sustainability and environ concerns are profoundly manifest by human rights prospects and included amongst the 17 objectives (Filho et al. 2018).

Currently, the enlargement of “green economic structures” philosophy worldwide is significantly contributing to the opportunities of green employing prospects. Establishment of green economy structures changing the employment philosophy and is shaping the employment profile established on climate agendas and green technological innovation arrangements (Jones 2015). The conception of “green jobs” is emerging after the global concerns on climate sustainable approaches, where the employments are considered “green” when products and services included the objective of determining, anticipation, restriction and lessening of climate hazards on nature. These green employments add in resolving issues and concerns on fossil fuels and its critical impact on the environmental changes and the activities allowing green energy effectiveness and usage (Battaglia et al. 2018). The debate on the association of “green economic systems” and “green employments” is increasing. As per Yi (2013), the regulations on green economic systems in the USA are creating prospects of employment and having a substantial impact on the employment openings overall. Yi and Liu (2015) also asserted that the climate concerned policies and regulations in China are increasing the employing prospects positively Yi (2014), Yi and Liu (2015) examined the geographic exploration of states discrepancy on green employment because of green energy regulation, and concluded the rise in green employment. Yi (2014) also absorbed green industries’ development in the USA, especially the driven agents of green ventures, political prospects, economic standings and other influences, and found influence on green employment through green ventures development. Jung (2015) asserted significant regulatory aspects of South Korea and concluded that green employments have to be encouraged through wide stratagems. Connolly et al. (2016) concentrated on green employing prospects of Scotland and determined an impulsive growing on green agendas and green economic systems, where the governing bodies have to be emphasized on the categories of jobs offered and sustained. The study on Germany emphasized the significant influence of investing in energy segment growth, especially on green economic systems and green energy agendas. The green economic impacts are specified as positive encouraging towards creating employment opportunities (Lehr et al. 2012).

References

- Abduli, M., & Hammami, S. (2017). Exploring links between FDI inflows, energy consumption and economic growth: Further evidence from MENA Countries. *Journal of Economic Development*, 42(1), 95–117.
- Adam, S., Klobodu, E. K. M., & Apio, A. (2018). Renewable and non-renewable energy, regime type and economic growth. *Renewable Energy*, 125, 755–767.
- Agarwal, K. K., Jain, A. K., & Dahiya, S. (2014). Assessment of greenhouse gas emissions from coal and thermal gas thermal power plants using life cycle approach. *International Journal of Environmental Science Technology*, 11, 1157–1164.
- Al-Mulali, U., Fereidouni, H. G., Lee, J. Y., & Sab, C. N. (2013). Examining the bi-directional long run relationship between renewable energy consumption and GDP growth. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Review*, 22, 209–222.
- Altenburg, T., & Lütkenhorst, W. (2015). *Industrial policy in developing countries: Failing markets, weak states*. Cheltenham, England: Edward Elgar.
- Amore, M. D., & Bennesen, M. (2016). Corporate governance and green innovation. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 75(1), 54–72.
- Apergis, N., & Payne, J. E. (2014). The causal dynamics between renewable energy, real GDP, emissions and oil prices: Evidence from OECD countries. *Applied Economics*, 46, 4519–4525.
- Apergis, N., & Payne, J. E. (2015). Renewable energy, output, carbon dioxide emissions, and oil prices: Evidence from South America. *Energy Sources*, 15, 281–287.
- Badi, S. (2016). Public sustainable energy requirements and innovation in UK PFI School projects. *Construction Management and Economics*, pp. 1–21.
- Bailey, I., & Caprotti, F. (2014). The green economy: Functional domains and theoretical directions of enquiry. *Environment and Planning A*, 46(8), 1797–1813.
- Battaglia, M., Cerrini, E., & Annesi, N. (2018). Can environmental agreements represent an opportunity for green jobs? Evidence from two Italian experiences. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 175, 257–266.
- Batten, S., Sowerbutts, B., & Tanaka, M. (2016). *Let's talk about the weather: The impact of climate change on central banks*. Technical report, Bank of England.
- Battiston, S., Mandel, A., Monasterolo, I., Schütze, F., & Visentin, G. (2017). A climate stress-test of the financial system. *Nature Climate Change*, 7(4), 283–288.
- Bauwens, T. (2016). Explaining the diversity of motivations behind community renewable energy. *Energy Policy*, 93, 278–290.
- Berensmann, K., Volz, U., Alloisio, I., Bak, C., Bhattacharya, A., Leipold, G., Schindler, H., MacDonald, L., Huifang, T., & Yang, Q. (2017). Fostering sustainable global growth through green finance—What role for the G20? *G20 Insights*. T20 Task Force on Climate Policy and Finance. https://www.g20-insights.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/Climate_Green-Finance_V2.pdf.
- Bergquist, A. K., Söderholm, K., Kinneryd, H., Lindmark, M., & Söderholm, P. (2013). Command-and-control revisited: Environmental compliance and technological change in Swedish industry 1970–1990. *Ecological Economics*, 85, 6–19.
- Bhattacharaya, M., Paramati, S., Ozturk, J., & Bhattacharaya, S. (2016). The effect of renewable energy consumption on economic growth: Evidence from top 38 countries. *Applied Energy*, 162, 733–741.
- Bilgen, S., & Sankaya, I. (2016). Contribution of efficient energy use on economy, environment, and sustainability. *Energy Sources, Part B: Economics, Planning and Policy*, 11(12), 1166–1172.
- Bisgaard, T., Henriksen, K., & Bjerre, M. (2012). *Green business model innovation: Conceptualization, next practice and policy* (p. 12). Oslo: Nordic Innovation.
- Bloomberg New Energy Finance (BNEF). (2015). *New energy outlook 2015*. <https://www.bnef.com/dataview/new-energy-outlook/index.html>.
- Bolük, G., & Mert, M. (2014). Fossil and renewable energy consumption, GHGs (greenhouse gases) and economic growth: Evidence from a panel of EU (European Union) countries. *Energy*, 74, 439–446.

- Bovari, E., Giraud, G., & Isaac, F. M. (2018). Coping with collapse: A stock-flow consistent monetary macro dynamics of global warming. *Ecological Economics*, 147, 383–398.
- Brander, A. S., Brekke, H., & Naug, B. E. (2013). *The effect of a fall in oil prices on the turnover of Norwegian enterprises*. Norges Bank, Oslo: Norges Bank.
- Buchner, B. K., Oliver, P., Wang, X., Carswell, C., Meattle, C., & Mazza, F. (2017). *Global landscape of climate finance*. Climate Policy Initiative: Technical report.
- Buntaine, M. T., & Pizer, W. A. (2015). Encouraging clean energy investment in developing countries: What role for aid? *Climate Policy*, 15(5), 543–564.
- Burke, M. J., & Stephens, J. C. (2017). Energy democracy: Goals and policy instruments for sociotechnical transitions. *Energy research & social science*, 33, 35–48.
- Caldecott, B. (2017). Introduction to special issue: Stranded assets and the environment. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 7(1), 1–13.
- Campiglio, E., Godin, A., Kemp-Benedict, E., & Matikainen, S. (2017). The tightening links between financial systems and the low-carbon transition. In P. Arestis & M. Sawyer (Eds.), *Economic Policies since the Global Financial Crisis* (pp. 313–356). New York: Springer.
- Cancino, C. A., LaPac, A. I. L., Raapasad, A., & Syn, T. (2016). Technological innovation for sustainable growth: An ontological perspective. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 179, 31–41.
- Caraiani, C., Lungu, C., & Dascălu, C. (2015). Energy consumption and GDP causality: A three-step analysis for emerging European countries. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Review*, 44, 198–210.
- Carney, M. (2015). *Breaking the tragedy of the horizon—Climate change and financial stability (speech)*. Speech: Bank of England.
- Carney, M. (2018). *A transition in thinking and action*. Speech: Bank of England.
- Chachoua, E. (2016). *Delivering renewable energy in Africa*. The economist intelligence unit. <https://eiuperspectives.economist.com/sites/default/files/Power%20Up.pdf>.
- Chan, S. P. (2016). *IMF: Oil price collapse will cripple North Sea producers*. <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/oilprices/11535265/IMF-oil-price-collapse-will-cripple-North-Sea-producers.html>.
- Chaube, R. A. (2013). A review on development of industrial processes and emerging techniques for production of hydrogen from renewable and sustainable sources. *Renewable Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 23, 443–462.
- Chaudhary, A., Narain, A., Krishnan, C., & Sagar, A. (2014). *Who shapes climate action in India? Insights from the wind and solar energy sectors (IDS Evidence Report 46)*. Brighton, England: IDS.
- Coccia, M. (2014). Driving forces of technological change: The relation between population growth and technological innovation analysis of the optimal interaction across countries. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 82(1), 52–65.
- Conference of the Parties (COP). (2015). *Adoption of the Paris agreement*. UN-Framework Convention on Climate Change.
- Conference of the Parties (COP). (2016). *Marrakech action proclamation for our climate and sustainable development*. UN-Framework Convention on Climate Change.
- Connolly, K., Allan, G. J., & McIntyre, S. J. (2016). The evolution of green jobs in Scotland: A hybrid approach. *Energy Policies*, 88, 355–360.
- Costa Campi, M. T., Duch-Brown, N., & García-Quevedo, J. (2014). R&D drivers and obstacles to innovation in the energy industry. *Energy Economy*, 46, 20–30.
- Creti, A., Ftiti, Z., & Guesmi, K. (2014). Oil price and financial markets: Multivariate dynamic frequency analysis. *Energy Policy*, 73, 245–258.
- D’Orazio, P., & Valente, M. (2019). The role of finance in environmental innovation diffusion: An evolutionary modeling approach. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 162, 417–439.
- Dafermos, Y., Nikolaidi, M., & Galanis, G. (2018). Climate change, financial stability and monetary policy. *Ecological Economics*, 152, 219–234.
- Dai, Y. (2015). *Who drives climate-relevant policy implementation in China? (IDS Evidence Report 134)*. Brighton, England: IDS.

- Dai, H., Xie, X., Xie, Y., Liu, J., & Masui, T. (2016). Green growth: The economic impact of large scale renewable energy development in China. *Applied Energy*, 162(2016), 435–449.
- Darda, A. M. D., Guseo, R., & Mortarino, C. (2015). Nonlinear production path and an alternative reserves estimate for South Asian natural gas. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Review*, 47, 654–664.
- De Nederlandsche Bank (DNB). (2017). Waterproof? An exploration of climate-related risks for the Dutch financial sector. De Nederlandsche Bank. https://www.dnb.nl/en/binaries/Waterproof_tcm47-363851.pdf.
- Delis, M. D., Greiff, M. D., & Ongena, S. (2018). *Being stranded on the carbon bubble? Climate policy risk and the pricing of bank loans*. Swiss Finance Institute Research Paper No. 18–10.
- Dent, C. M. (2014). *Renewable energy in East Asia: Towards a new developmentalism*. Routledge.
- Dikau, S., & Ryan-Collins, J. (2017). *Green central banking in emerging market and developing country economies*, New Economic Foundation. <https://neweconomics.org/2017/10/green-central-banking-emerging-market-developing-country-economies>.
- Doytch, N., & Narayan, S. (2016). Does FDI influence renewable energy consumption? An analysis of sectoral FDI impact on renewable and non-renewable industrial energy consumption. *Energy Economics*, 54, 291–301.
- Emas, R. (2015). *The concept of sustainable development: Definition and defining principles*. Brief for GSDR 2015. https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/5839GSDR%202015_SD_concept_definition_rev.pdf.
- Erzurumlu, S. S., & Erzurumlu, Y. O. (2013). Development and deployment drivers of clean technology innovations. *The Journal of High Technology Management Research*, 24(2), 100–108.
- Erzurumlu, S., & Yu, W. (2018). Development and deployment dynamics of sustainability-driven innovations in the electric and energy utility industry. *The Journal of High Technology Management Research*, 29(1), 88–97.
- Eteokleous, P. P., Leonidou, L. C., & Katsikeas, C. S. (2016). Corporate social responsibility in international marketing: Review, assessment, and future research. *International Marketing Review*, 33(4), 580–624.
- ExxonMobile. (2014). *Energy and carbon—Managing the risks*. <http://cdn.exxonmobil.com/~media/Files/Other/2014/Report-EnergyandCarbon-ManagingtheRisks.pdf>.
- Farahani, R. Z., Rezapour, S., Drezner, T., & Fallah, S. (2014). Competitive supply chain network design: An overview of classifications, models, solution techniques and applications. *Omega*, 45, 92–118.
- Feng, Z., & Chen, W. (2018). Environmental regulation, green innovation, and industrial green development: An empirical analysis based on the spatial durbin model. *Sustainability*, 10, 1–22.
- Fernández, Y. F., López, M. F., & Blanco, B. O. (2018). Innovation for sustainability: The impact of R&D spending on CO₂ emissions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 172, 3459–3467.
- Filho, W. L., Tripathi, S. K., Andrade, Guerra J. B. S. O. D., Giné-Garriga, R., Lovren, V. O., & Willats, J. (2018). Using the sustainable development goals towards a better understanding of sustainability challenges. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and World Ecology*, 26(2), 179–190.
- Filippini, M., Hunt, L. C., & Zorić, J. (2014). Impact of energy policy instruments on the estimated level of underlying energy efficiency in the EU residential sector. *Energy Policy*, 69, 73–81.
- Furlan, C., & Mortarino, C. (2018). Forecasting the impact of renewable energies in competition with non-renewable sources. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 81, 1879–1886.
- Garg, P. (2012). Energy scenario and vision 2020 in India. *Journal of Sustainable Energy and Environment*, 3, 7–17.
- Gerarden, T., Newell, R., & Stavins, R. N. (2017). Assessing the energy-efficiency gap. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 55, 1–40.
- Ghouri, A. M., & Haq, M. (2018). Green purchase behavior and social practices approach. *Management Research Journal*, 8(1), 278–289.

- Gillingham, K., & Palmer, K. (2014). Bridging the energy efficiency gap: Policy insights from economic theory and empirical evidence. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 8, 8–38.
- Gillingham, K., Keyes, A., & Palmer, K. (2018). Advancing in evaluating energy efficiency policies and programs. *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, 10, 511–532.
- Green, J., & Newman, P. (2017). Disruptive innovation, stranded assets and forecasting: The rise and rise of renewable energy. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 7(2), 169–187.
- Gros, D., Lane, P., Langfield, S., Matikainen, S., Pagano, M., Schoenmaker, D., et al. (2016). *Too late, too sudden: Transition to a low-carbon economy and systemic risk*. European Systemic Risk Board: Technical report.
- Guseo, R., & Mortarino, C. (2015). Modeling competition between two pharmaceutical drugs using innovation diffusion models. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, 9(4), 2073–2089.
- Guseo, R., Mortarino, M., & Darda, A. M. D. (2015). Homogeneous and heterogeneous diffusion models: Algerian natural gas production. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 90, 366–378.
- Hess, D. J. (2014). Sustainability transitions: A political coalition perspective. *Research Policy*, 43(2), 278–283.
- High-Level Expert Group (HLEG). (2018). *Final report on financing a sustainable European economy*. Interim Report—High-Level Expert Group on Sustainable Finance.
- Hosseini, S. E., & Wahid, M. A. (2015). Hydrogen production from renewable and sustainable energy resources: Promising green energy carrier for clean development. *Reviewable Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 57, 850–866.
- Hosseini, S. E., Wahid, M. A., & Aghili, N. (2013). The scenario of greenhouse gas reduction in Malaysia. *Reviewable Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 28, 400–409.
- International Energy Agency (IEA). (2014). *Energy policies of IEA countries—The United States*. https://www.iea.org/publications/freepublications/publication006E/USA_2014.pdf.
- International Energy Agency (IEA). (2015). *World energy outlook 2015*. <http://www.worldenergyoutlook.org/weo2015/>.
- Jakobsen, S., & Clausen, T. H. (2016). Innovating for a greener future: The direct and indirect effects of firms' environmental objectives on the innovation process. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 128, 131–141.
- Jay, J., & Gerand, M. (2015). *Accelerating the theory and practice of sustainability-oriented innovation*. MIT Sloan Research Paper No. 5148-15.
- Jebli, M. B., & Youssef, S. B. (2015). The environmental Kuznets curve, economic growth, renewable and non-renewable energy, and trade in Tunisia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 47, 173–185.
- Jones, A. W. (2015). Perceived barriers and policy solutions in clean energy infrastructure investment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 104, 297–304.
- Jung, Y. M. (2015). Is South Korea's green job policy sustainable? *Sustainability*, 7, 8748–8767.
- Khandker, L. L., Amin, A. B., & Khan, F. (2018). Renewable energy consumption and foreign direct investment: Reports from Bangladesh. *Journal of Accounting, Finance and Economics*, 8(3), 72–87.
- Klewitz, J., & Hansen, E. G. (2014). Sustainability-oriented innovation of SMEs: A systematic review. *Journal of Clean Production*, 65, 57–75.
- Komal, R., & Abbas, F. (2015). Linking financial development, economic growth and energy consumption in Pakistan. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Review*, 44, 211–220.
- Lamperti, F., Dosi, G., Napoletano, M., Roventini, A., & Sapio, A. (2018). Faraway, so close: Coupled climate and economic dynamics in an agent-based integrated assessment model. *Ecological Economics*, 150, 315–339.
- Lederer, M., Wallbott, L., & Bauer, S. (2018). Tracing sustainability transformations and drivers of Green Economy approaches in the Global South. *Journal of Environment & Development*, 27(1), 3–25.

- Lee, J. W. (2013). The contribution of foreign direct investment to clean energy use, carbon emissions and economic growth. *Energy Policy*, 55, 483–489.
- Lee, D., & Baek, J. (2018). Stock prices of renewable energy firms: Are there asymmetric responses to oil price changes? *Economics*, 6(4), 59.
- Lehr, U., Lutz, C., & Edler, D. (2012). Green jobs? Economic impacts of renewable energy in Germany. *Energy Policy*, 47, 358–364.
- Levi, M. (2013). America's energy opportunity. *Foreign Affairs*, 92(3), 92–104.
- Love, T., & Isenhour, C. (2016). Energy and economy: Recognizing high-energy modernity as a historical period: Introduction to energy and economy. *Economic Anthropology*, 3(1), 6–16.
- Lukman, R. L., Glavic, P., Carpenter, A., & Virtic, P. (2016). Sustainable consumption and production—research, experience, and development: The Europe we want. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 138, 139–147.
- Lundgren, T., Marklund, P., & Zhang, S. (2016). Industrial energy demand and energy efficiency: Evidence from Sweden. *Resource and Energy Economics*, 43, 130–152.
- Luo, L. W., & Liang, S. R. (2016). Study on the efficiency and regional disparity of green technology innovation in China's industrial companies. *Chinese Journal of Population Resources and Environment*, 14, 262–270.
- Lütkenhorst, W., Altenburg, T., Pegels, A., & Vidican, G. (2014). Green industrial policy: Managing transformation under uncertainty. Deutsches Institut für Entwicklungspolitik Discussion Paper, p. 28.
- Maria, E., Marina, P., & Pavel, G. (2015). Global trends of «Green» economy development as a factor for improvement of economical and social prosperity. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 166, 194–198.
- Mathews, J. A. (2017). *Global green shift: When CERES meets Gaia*. Anthem Press.
- Mazzucato, M. (2013). *The entrepreneurial state: Debunking public versus private sector myths*. London, England: Anthem Press.
- Mazzucato, M., & Semieniuk, G. (2018). Financing renewable energy: Who is financing what and why it matters. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 127, 8–22.
- Mejdoub, H., & Ghorbel, A. (2018). Conditional dependence between oil price and stock prices of renewable energy: A vine copula approach. *Economic and Political Studies*, 6(2), 176–193.
- Melikoglu, M. (2014). Shale gas: Analysis of its role in the global energy market. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Review*, 37, 460–468.
- Milner, B. (2016). *Alberta and Norway: Two oil powers, worlds apart*. <https://www.theglobeandmail.com/report-on-business/international-business/european-business/norways-sovereign-wealth-fund/article25973060/>.
- Mohan, J. R., Rao, L. M., Rao, A. A., Rao, P. R., Narayana, K. R., & Singh, C. S. (2018). *The upcoming energy revolution-green energy*. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 1992, No. 1, p. 040001). AIP Publishing.
- Monasterolo, I., Battiston, S., Janetos, A. C., & Zheng, Z. (2017). Vulnerable yet relevant: The two dimensions of climate-related financial disclosure. *Climatic Change*, 145(3–4), 495–507.
- Monnin, P. (2018). *Central banks and the transition to a low-carbon economy*. Technical report, Discussion note 2018/1. Zurich: Council on Economic Policies.
- Mulder, P., & De Groot, H. L. (2012). Structural change and convergence of energy intensity across OECD countries, 1970–2005. *Energy Economics*, 34(6), 1910–1921.
- Ndichu, J., Blohmke, J., Kemp, R., Adeoti, J., & Obayelu, A. E. (2015). The adoption of energy efficiency measures by firms in Africa: Case studies of cassava processing in Nigeria and maize milling in Kenya. *Innovation and Development*, 5(2), 189–206.
- Ntanos, S., Ziatas, T., & Merkouri, A. (2015). Renewable energy consumption, carbon dioxide emissions and economic growth: Evidence from Europe and Greece. *Proceedings of the e-RA*, 10, 46–56.
- Ntanos, S., Skordoulis, M., Kyriakopoulos, G., Arabatzis, G., Chalikias, M., Galatsides, S., et al. (2018). Renewable energy and economic growth: Evidence from European countries. *Sustainability*, 10, 1–13.

- Oh, D., & Kim, S. H. (2018). Green finance in the Republic of Korea: Barriers and solutions (No. 897). ADBI Working Paper Series.
- de Oliveira, J. A. P., Doll, C. N., Kurniawan, T. A., Geng, Y., Kapshe, M., & Huisingh, D. (2013). Promoting win-win situations in climate change mitigation, local environmental quality and development in Asian cities through co-benefits. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 58, 1–6.
- Omri, A., & Kahouli, B. (2014). Causal relationships between energy consumption, foreign direct investment and economic growth: Fresh evidence from dynamic simultaneous-equations models. *Energy Policy*, 67, 913–922.
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). (2014). *World energy investment outlook*. Publishing Paris. <https://www.iea.org/publications/freepublications/publication/WEIO2014.pdf>.
- Owusu, P. A., & Asumadu-Sarkodie, S. (2016). A review of renewable energy sources, sustainability issues and climate change mitigation. *Cogent Engineering*, 3(1), 1167990.
- Palm, J., & Reindl, K. (2016). Understanding energy efficiency in Swedish residential building renovation: A practice theory approach. *Energy Research and Social Sciences*, 11, 247–255.
- Panse, R., & Kathuria, V. (2015). Modelling diffusion of wind power across countries. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 19(04), 1550037.
- Papageorgiou, A., Skordoulis, M., Trichias, C., Georgakellos, D., & Koniordos, M. (2015). Emissions trading scheme: Evidence from the European Union countries. In A. Alla Kravets, M. Shcherbakov, & O. Kultsova Shabalina (Eds.), *Creativity in intelligent technologies and data science* (pp. 222–233). Cham: Springer.
- Paramati, A. R., Appergis, N., & Ummalla, M. (2016). Financing clean projects through domestic and foreign capital: the role of political cooperation among the EU, G20, and OECD countries. *Energy economics*, pp. 1–39.
- Peake, S., & Ekins, P. (2016). Exploring the financial and investment implications of the Paris Agreement. *Climate Policy*, 17(7), 832–852.
- Pegels, A., Vidican-Auktor, G., Lutkenhorst, W., & Altenburg, T. (2018). Politics of green policy. *Journal of Environment and Development*, 27(1), 26–45.
- Peterson, T. R., Stephens, J. C., & Wilson, E. J. (2015). Public perception of and engagement with emerging low-carbon energy technologies: A literature review. *MRS energy and sustainability*, p. 2.
- Polzin, F., Migendt, M., Tauble, F. A., & Von Flotow, P. (2015). Public policy influence on renewable energy investments: A panel data study across OECD countries. *Energy Policy*, 80, 98–111.
- Pradhan, R. P., Arvin, M. B., & Ghoshray, A. (2015). The dynamics of economic growth, oil prices, stock market depth, and other macroeconomic variables: Evidence from the G-20 countries international. *Review of Financial Analysis*, 39, 84–95.
- Prentice, J. (2012). Energy for nation-building in the 21st century. *Policy*, 65.
- Reboredo, J. C., Miguel, A. R., & Andrea, U. (2017). Wavelet-based test of co-movement and causality between oil and renewable energy stock prices. *Energy Economics*, 61, 241–252.
- Rodríguez-Monroy, C., Mármol-Acitores, G., & Nilsson-Cifuentes, G. (2018). Electricity generation in Chile using non-conventional renewable energy sources—A focus on biomass. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Review*, 81, 937–945.
- Rommel, J., Radtke, J., von Jorck, G., Mey, F., & Yildiz, Ö. (2018). Community renewable energy at a crossroads: A think piece on degrowth, technology, and the democratization of the German energy system. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 197, 1746–1753.
- Sachs, J. D., Schmidt-Traub, G., Williams, J., Segafredo, L., Colombier, M., Ribera, T., & Waisman, H. (2016). Why climate policy needs long-term deep decarbonization pathways, *Sustainable development. Solutions network*. United Nations. <https://resources.unsdsn.org/why-climate-policy-needs-long-term-deep-decarbonization-pathways>.
- Salim, R. A., Hassan, K., & Shafiei, S. (2014). Renewable and non-renewable energy consumption and economic activities: Further evidence from OECD countries. *Energy Economy*, 44, 350–360.
- Sayegh, S., Sánchez, D. L., & Caldeira, K. (2017). Evaluating relative benefits of different types of R&D for clean energy technologies. *Energy Policy*, 107, 532–538.

- Sbia, R., Shahbaz, M., & Hamdi, H. (2014). A contribution of foreign direct investment, clean energy, trade openness, carbon emissions and economic growth to energy demand in UAE. *Economy Modelling*, 36, 191–197.
- Schmitz, H. (2017). Who drives climate-relevant policies in the rising powers? *New Political Economy*, 22(5), 521–540.
- Schumpeter, J. A. (1982). *The theory of economic development: An inquiry into profits, capital, credit, interest, and the business cycle (1912/1934)*. Transaction Publishers.
- Sevillano, J., & Gonzalez, L. (2018). *The risk of climate change for financial markets and institutions: Challenges, measures adopted and international initiatives* (p. 34). Financial Stability Review Issue: Bank of Spain.
- Shah, I. H., Hiles, C., & Morley, B. (2018). How do oil prices, macroeconomic factors and policies affect the market for renewable energy? *Applied Energy*, 215, 87–97.
- Sharon, K. J. W. (2015). Comparing the sustainability of US electricity options through multi criteria decision analysis. *Energy Policy*, 79, 127–149.
- Shell. (2014). *Shell stranded assets letter*. <http://s02.static-shell.com/content/dam/shell-new/local/corporate/corporate/downloads/pdf/investor/presentations/2014/sri-web-response-climate-change-may14.pdf>.
- Shen, W. (2016). *The role of business in driving and shaping renewable energy policies in China, IDS Evidence Report 166*. Brighton, England: IDS.
- Smart, P., Hemel, S., Lettice, F., Adams, R., & Evans, S. (2017). Pre-paradigmatic status of industrial sustainability: A systematic review. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 37(10), 1425–1450.
- Stroup, L., Kujawa, R., & Ayres, J. (2015). Envisioning a green energy future in Canada and the United States: Constructing a sustainable future in the context of new regionalisms? *American Review of Canadian Studies*, 45(3), 299–314.
- The Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2011). *Towards green growth, Monitoring Progress*, OECD: Paris, France. <https://www.oecd.org/greengrowth/48012345.pdf>.
- Today in Energy. (2013). *EIA projects world energy consumption will increase 56% by 2040. US Energy Information Administration*. <https://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.cfm?id=12251>.
- Tokar, B. (2015). Democracy, localism, and the future of the climate movement. *World Futures*, 71(3–4), 65–75.
- Tsiliyannis, C. A. (2014). Cyclic manufacturing: Necessary and sufficient conditions and minimum rate policy for environmental enhancement under growth uncertainty. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 81, 16–33.
- Twerefou, D. K., Akpalu, W., & Mensah, A. C. E. (2019). Trade induced environmental quality: The role of factor endowment and environmental regulation in Africa. *Climate and Development*, 1–13.
- United National Environment Program (UNEP). (2016). *Global trends in renewable energy investment*, Frankfurt school-UNEP center/BNEF.
- United Nations (UN). (2015). *Transforming our world: the 2030 agenda for sustainable development*. http://www.un.org/pga/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/2015/08/120815_outcome-document-of-Summit-for-adoption-of-the-post2015-development-agenda.pdf.
- Viholainen, J., Luoranan, M., Väisänen, S., Niskanen, A., Horttanainen, M., & Soukka, R. (2016). Regional level approach for increasing energy efficiency. *Applied Energy*, 163, 295–303.
- Wall, R., Grafakos, S., Gianoli, A., & Stavropoulos, S. (2019). Which policy instruments attract foreign direct investments in renewable energy? *Climate Policy*, 19(1), 59–72.
- Wang, Q., Gao, Z., Tang, H., Yuan, X., & Zuo, J. (2017). Exploring the direct rebound effect of energy consumption: A case study. *Sustainability*, 10, 1–21.
- Ward, D., & Inderwildi, O. (2013). Global and local impacts of UK renewable energy policy. *Energy Environmental Science*, 6, 18–24.
- Weiss, J. F., & Anisimova, T. (2019). The innovation and performance effects of well-designed environmental regulation: Evidence from Sweden. *Industry and Innovation*, 26(5), 534–567.

- White House (2013). Executive order: Preparing the United States for the impacts of climate change. <http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2013/11/01/executive-order-preparing-united-states-impacts-climate-change>.
- World Bank (WB). (2014). *State and trends of carbon pricing 2014*. World Bank Publications.
- World Bank (WB). (2016). *State and trends of carbon pricing 2016*. World Bank Publications.
- World Economic Forum (WEF). (2013). *The green investment report: The ways and means to unlock private finance for green growth*. www3.weforum.org/docs/WEFGreenInvestmentReport2013.pdf.
- World Resources Institute (WRI). (2016). *Climate Analysis Indicators Tool (CAIT)*. Washington, DC: Historical Emissions.
- Xie, W., Sheng, P., & Guo, X. (2015). Coal, oil, or clean energy: Which contributes most to the low energy efficiency in China? *Utilities Policy*, 35, 67–71.
- Yan, G., Bai, T., & Yu, J. (2016). Energy and exergy efficiency analysis of solar driven ejector-compressor heat pump cycle. *Solar Energy*, 125, 243–255.
- Yi, H. (2013). Clean energy policies and green jobs: An evaluation of green jobs in US metropolitan areas. *Energy Policies*, 56, 644–652.
- Yi, H. (2014). Green businesses in a clean energy economy: Analyzing drivers of green business growth in US states. *Energy*, 68, 922–929.
- Yi, H., & Liu, Y. (2015). Green economy in China: Regional variations and policy drivers. *Global Environmental Change*, 31, 11–19.
- Yoshida, F., & Mori, A. (Eds.). (2015). *Green growth and low carbon development in East Asia*. Routledge.
- Yuan, X. L., Zhang, M. F., Wang, Q. S., Wang, Y. T., & Zuo, J. (2017). Evolution analysis of environmental standards: Effectiveness on air pollutant emissions reduction. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 149, 511–520.
- Yue, S., Yang, Y., & Hu, Y. (2016). Does foreign direct investment affect green growth? Evidence from China's experience. *Sustainability*, 8, 158.
- Zhao, X. G., & Yang, Y. H. (2015). The current situation of shale gas in Sichuan, China. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Review*, 50, 653–664.
- Zhu, L. D., Hiltunen, E., Antila, E., Zhong, J. J., Yuan, Z. H., & Wang, Z. M. (2014). Microalgal biofuels: Flexible bioenergies for sustainable development. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 30, 1035–1046.