# **Chapter 3 Two-Sided Estimates for Order Statistics of Log-Concave Random Vectors**



65

**Rafał Latała and Marta Strzelecka**

**Abstract** We establish two-sided bounds for expectations of order statistics (*k*-th maxima) of moduli of coordinates of centered log-concave random vectors with uncorrelated coordinates. Our bounds are exact up to multiplicative universal constants in the unconditional case for all *k* and in the isotropic case for  $k \leq n - cn^{5/6}$ . We also derive two-sided estimates for expectations of sums of *k* largest moduli of coordinates for some classes of random vectors.

## **3.1 Introduction and Main Results**

For a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  let  $k$ -max  $x_i$  (or  $k$ -min  $x_i$ ) denote its  $k$ -th *maximum* (respectively its *k*-th *minimum*), i.e. its *k*-th maximal (respectively *k*-th minimal) coordinate. For a random vector  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ ,  $k$ - min  $X_i$  is also called the *k*-th order statistic of *X*.

Let  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  be a random vector with finite first moment. In this note we try to estimate  $\mathbb{E}k$ - max<sub>i</sub>  $|X_i|$  and

$$
\mathbb{E}\max_{|I|=k}\sum_{i\in I}|X_i|=\mathbb{E}\sum_{l=1}^k l\cdot \max_i|X_i|.
$$

Order statistics play an important role in various statistical applications and there is an extensive literature on this subject (cf.  $[2, 5]$  $[2, 5]$  $[2, 5]$  and references therein).

We put special emphasis on the case of log-concave vectors, i.e. random vectors *X* satisfying the property  $\mathbb{P}(X \in \lambda K + (1 - \lambda)L) > \mathbb{P}(X \in K)$ <sup> $\lambda \mathbb{P}(X \in L)^{1-\lambda}$  for</sup> any  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and any nonempty compact sets *K* and *L*. By the result of Borell [\[3\]](#page-28-2) a vector *X* with full dimensional support is log-concave if and only if it has

R. Latała (⊠) · M. Strzelecka

Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland e-mail: [rlatala@mimuw.edu.pl;](mailto:rlatala@mimuw.edu.pl) [martast@mimuw.edu.pl](mailto:martast@mimuw.edu.pl)

<sup>©</sup> Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

B. Klartag, E. Milman (eds.), *Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2266, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-46762-3\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-46762-3_3)

a log-concave density, i.e. the density of a form  $e^{-h(x)}$  where *h* is convex with values in *(*−∞*,*∞]. A typical example of a log-concave vector is a vector uniformly distributed over a convex body. In recent years the study of log-concave vectors attracted attention of many researchers, cf. monographs [\[1,](#page-28-3) [4\]](#page-28-4).

To bound the sum of *k* largest coordinates of *X* we define

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
t(k, X) := \inf \left\{ t > 0 : \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \ge t\}} \le k \right\}.
$$
 (3.1)

and start with an easy upper bound.

**Proposition 3.1** *For any random vector X with finite first moment we have*

<span id="page-1-4"></span><span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\max_{|I|=k}\sum_{i\in I}|X_i|\leq 2kt(k,X).
$$
\n(3.2)

*Proof* For any *t >* 0 we have

$$
\max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i\in I} |X_i| \le tk + \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i|\ge t\}}.
$$

It turns out that this bound may be reversed for vectors with independent coordinates or, more generally, vectors satisfying the following condition

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge s, |X_j| \ge t) \le \alpha \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge s) \mathbb{P}(|X_j| \ge t) \quad \text{for all } i \ne j \text{ and all } s, t > 0.
$$
\n(3.3)

If  $\alpha = 1$  this means that moduli of coordinates of *X* are negatively correlated.

**Theorem 3.2** *Suppose that a random vector X satisfies condition* [\(3.3\)](#page-1-0) *with some*  $\alpha \geq 1$ *. Then there exists a constant*  $c(\alpha) > 0$  *which depends only on*  $\alpha$  *such that for any*  $1 \leq k \leq n$ *,* 

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
c(\alpha)kt(k, X) \leq \mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| \leq 2kt(k, X).
$$

*We may take*  $c(\alpha) = (288(5 + 4\alpha)(1 + 2\alpha))^{-1}$ .

In the case of i.i.d. coordinates two-sided bounds for  $\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |a_i X_i|$  in terms of an Orlicz norm (related to the distribution of  $X_i$ ) of a vector  $(a_i)_{i\leq n}$  where known before, see [\[7\]](#page-28-5).

Log-concave vectors with diagonal covariance matrices behave in many aspects like vectors with independent coordinates. This is true also in our case.

**Theorem 3.3** *Let X be a log-concave random vector with uncorrelated coordinates*  $(i.e. Cov(X_i, X_j) = 0$  *for*  $i \neq j$ *). Then for any*  $1 \leq k \leq n$ *,* 

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
ckt(k, X) \leq \mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| \leq 2kt(k, X).
$$

In the above statement and in the sequel  $c$  and  $C$  denote positive universal constants.

The next two examples show that the lower bound cannot hold if  $n \gg k$  and only marginal distributions of  $X_i$  are log-concave or the coordinates of  $X$  are highly correlated.

*Example 3.1* Let  $X = (\varepsilon_1 g, \varepsilon_2 g, \dots, \varepsilon_n g)$ , where  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, g$  are independent,  $\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon_i = \pm 1) = 1/2$  and *g* has the normal  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  distribution. Then Cov*X* = Id and it is not hard to check that  $\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| = k \sqrt{2/\pi}$  and  $t(k, X) \sim$  $\ln^{1/2}(n/k)$  if  $k \leq n/2$ .

*Example 3.2* Let  $X = (g, \ldots, g)$ , where  $g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . Then, as in the previous example,  $\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| = k \sqrt{2/\pi}$  and  $t(k, X) \sim \ln^{1/2}(n/k)$ .

*Question 3.1* Let  $X' = (X'_1, X'_2, \ldots, X'_n)$  be a decoupled version of *X*, i.e.  $X'_i$  are independent and  $X'_i$  has the same distribution as  $X_i$ . Due to Theorem [3.2](#page-1-1) (applied to  $X'$ ), the assertion of Theorem  $3.3$  may be stated equivalently as

$$
\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| \sim \mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X'_i|.
$$

Is the more general fact true that for any symmetric norm and any log-concave vector *X* with uncorrelated coordinates

$$
\mathbb{E}\|X\| \sim \mathbb{E}\|X'\|?
$$

Maybe such an estimate holds at least in the case of unconditional log-concave vectors?

We turn our attention to bounding  $k$ -maxima of  $|X_i|$ . This was investigated in [\[8\]](#page-28-6) (under some strong assumptions on the function  $t \mapsto \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t)$ ) and in the weighted i.i.d. setting in [\[7,](#page-28-5) [9,](#page-28-7) [15\]](#page-29-0). We will give different bounds valid for logconcave vectors, in which we do not have to assume independence, nor any special conditions on the growth of the distribution function of the coordinates of *X*. To this end we need to define another quantity:

$$
t^*(p, X) := \inf \left\{ t > 0 : \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t) \le p \right\} \text{ for } 0 < p < n.
$$

**Theorem 3.4** *Let X be a mean zero log-concave n-dimensional random vector with uncorrelated coordinates and*  $1 \leq k \leq n$ *. Then* 

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}k \cdot \max_{i \leq n} |X_i| \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{Med}\Big(k \cdot \max_{i \leq n} |X_i|\Big) \geq ct^* \bigg(k - \frac{1}{2}, X\bigg).
$$

*Moreover, if X is additionally unconditional then*

$$
\mathbb{E}k \cdot \max_{i \le n} |X_i| \le Ct^* \bigg(k - \frac{1}{2}, X\bigg).
$$

The next theorem provides an upper bound in the general log-concave case.

**Theorem 3.5** *Let X be a mean zero log-concave n-dimensional random vector with uncorrelated coordinates and* 1 ≤ *k* ≤ *n. Then*

<span id="page-3-2"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}\bigg(k \cdot \max_{i \le n} |X_i| \ge Ct^* \bigg(k - \frac{1}{2}, X\bigg)\bigg) \le 1 - c \tag{3.4}
$$

*and*

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}k \cdot \max_{i \le n} |X_i| \le C t^* \left( k - \frac{1}{2} k^{5/6}, X \right). \tag{3.5}
$$

In the isotropic case (i.e.  $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$ , Cov $X = \text{Id}$ ) one may show that  $t^*(k/2, X) \sim$ *t*<sup>\*</sup>(*k, X*) ∼ *t*(*k, X*) for *k* ≤ *n*/2 and *t*<sup>\*</sup>(*p, X*) ∼  $\frac{n-p}{n}$  for *p* ≥ *n*/4 (see Lemma [3.24](#page-27-0) **below).** In particular  $t^*(n-k+1-(n-k+1)^{5/6}/2, X) \sim k/n+n^{-1/6}$  for  $k ≤ n/2$ . This together with the two previous theorems implies the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.6** *Let X be an isotropic log-concave n-dimensional random vector and*  $1 < k < n/2$ . Then

<span id="page-3-4"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}k\text{-max}_{i\leq n}|X_i|\sim t^*(k,X)\sim t(k,X)
$$

*and*

$$
c\frac{k}{n} \leq \mathbb{E}k \cdot \min_{i \leq n} |X_i| = \mathbb{E}(n-k+1) \cdot \max_{i \leq n} |X_i| \leq C\left(\frac{k}{n} + n^{-1/6}\right).
$$

*If X is additionally unconditional then*

$$
\mathbb{E}k \cdot \min_{i \le n} |X_i| = \mathbb{E}(n - k + 1) \cdot \max_{i \le n} |X_i| \sim \frac{k}{n}.
$$

*Question 3.2* Does the second part of Theorem [3.4](#page-3-0) hold without the unconditionality assumptions? In particular, is it true that in the isotropic log-concave case <sup>E</sup>*k*- min*i*≤*<sup>n</sup>* <sup>|</sup>*Xi*| ∼ *k/n* for 1 <sup>≤</sup> *<sup>k</sup>* <sup>≤</sup> *n/*2?

**Notation** Throughout this paper by letters *C, c* we denote universal positive constants and by  $C(\alpha)$ ,  $c(\alpha)$  constants depending only on the parameter  $\alpha$ . The values of constants *C, c, C(* $\alpha$ *), c(* $\alpha$ *)* may differ at each occurrence. If we need to fix a value of constant, we use letters  $C_0, C_1, \ldots$  or  $c_0, c_1, \ldots$ . We write  $f \sim g$  if  $cf \le g \le Cg$ . For a random variable *Z* we denote  $||Z||_p = (E|Z|^p)^{1/p}$ . Recall that a random vector *X* is called isotropic, if  $\mathbb{E}X = 0$  and  $CovX = Id$ .

This note is organised as follows. In Sect. [3.2](#page-4-0) we provide a lower bound for the sum of *k* largest coordinates, which involves the Poincaré constant of a vector. In Sect. [3.3](#page-8-0) we use this result to obtain Theorem [3.3.](#page-2-0) In Sect. [3.4](#page-12-0) we prove Theorem [3.2](#page-1-1) and provide its application to comparison of weak and strong moments. In Sect. [3.5](#page-16-0) we prove the first part of Theorem [3.4](#page-3-0) and in Sect. [3.6](#page-21-0) we prove the second part of Theorems [3.4,](#page-3-0) [3.5,](#page-3-1) and Lemma [3.24.](#page-27-0)

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>**3.2 Exponential Concentration**

A probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies *exponential concentration with constant*  $\alpha$  > 0 if for any Borel set *A* with  $\mu(A)$  > 1/2,

$$
1 - \mu(A + u B_2^n) \le e^{-u/\alpha} \quad \text{ for all } u > 0.
$$

We say that a random *n*-dimensional vector satisfies exponential concentration if its distribution has such a property.

It is well known that exponential concentration is implied by the Poincaré inequality

$$
\text{Var}_{\mu} f \le \beta \int |\nabla f|^2 d\mu \quad \text{ for all bounded smooth functions } f: \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}
$$

and  $\alpha \leq 3\sqrt{\beta}$  (cf. [\[12,](#page-29-1) Corollary 3.2]).

Obviously, the constant in the exponential concentration is not linearly invariant. Typically one assumes that the vector is isotropic. For our purposes a more natural normalization will be that all coordinates have *L*1-norm equal to 1.

The next proposition states that bound  $(3.2)$  may be reversed under the assumption that *X* satisfies the exponential concentration.

**Proposition 3.7** Assume that  $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$  satisfies the exponential concentra*tion with constant*  $\alpha > 0$  *and*  $\mathbb{E}|Y_i| \ge 1$  *for all i. Then for any sequence*  $a = (a_i)_{i=1}^n$ *of real numbers and*  $X_i := a_i Y_i$  *we have* 

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\max_{|I|=k}\sum_{i\in I}|X_i|\geq \left(8+64\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{k}}\right)^{-1}kt(k, X),
$$

*where*  $t(k, X)$  *is given by*  $(3.1)$ *.* 

We begin the proof with a few simple observations.

**Lemma 3.8** *For any real numbers*  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  *and*  $1 \leq k \leq n$  *we have* 

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\max_{|I|=k}\sum_{i\in I}|z_i|=\int_0^\infty\min\bigg\{k,\sum_{i=1}^n\mathbf{1}_{\{|z_i|\geq s\}}\bigg\}ds.
$$

*Proof* Without loss of generality we may assume that  $z_1 \ge z_2 \ge \ldots \ge z_n \ge 0$ . Then

$$
\int_0^\infty \min\left\{k, \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{|z_i| \ge s\}}\right\} ds = \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \int_{z_{l+1}}^{z_l} l ds + \int_0^{z_k} k ds = \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} l(z_l - z_{l+1}) + k z_k
$$

$$
= z_1 + \ldots + z_k = \max_{|I| = k} \sum_{i \in I} |z_i|.
$$

Fix a sequence  $(X_i)_{i \le n}$  and define for  $s \ge 0$ ,

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
N(s) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \ge s\}}.
$$
\n(3.6)

**Corollary 3.9** *For any*  $k = 1, \ldots, n$ *,* 

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i\in I} |X_i| = \int_0^\infty \sum_{l=1}^k \mathbb{P}(N(s) \ge l) ds,
$$

*and for any*  $t > 0$ *,* 

$$
\mathbb{E}\sum_{i=1}^n|X_i|\mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i|\geq t\}}=t\mathbb{E}N(t)+\int_t^\infty\sum_{l=1}^\infty\mathbb{P}(N(s)\geq l)ds.
$$

*In particular*

$$
\mathbb{E}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|X_{i}|1_{\{|X_{i}|\geq t\}}\leq \mathbb{E}\max_{|I|=k}\sum_{i\in I}|X_{i}|+\sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty}\left(t\mathbb{P}(N(t)\geq l)+\int_{t}^{\infty}\mathbb{P}(N(s)\geq l)ds\right).
$$

*Proof* We have

$$
\int_0^\infty \sum_{l=1}^k \mathbb{P}(N(s) \ge l) ds = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E} \min\{k, N(s)\} ds = \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty \min\{k, N(s)\} ds
$$

$$
= \mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i|,
$$

where the last equality follows by Lemma [3.8.](#page-5-0)

Moreover,

$$
t \mathbb{E}N(t) + \int_{t}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(N(s) \ge l) ds = t \mathbb{E}N(t) + \int_{t}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}N(s) ds
$$
  

$$
= \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( t \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_{i}| \ge t\}} + \int_{t}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_{i}| \ge s\}} ds \right)
$$
  

$$
= \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |X_{i}| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_{i}| \ge t\}}.
$$

The last part of the assertion easily follows, since

$$
t \mathbb{E} N(t) = t \sum_{l=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(N(t) \ge l) \le \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathbb{P}(N(s) \ge l) ds + \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} t \mathbb{P}(N(t) \ge l).
$$

*Proof of Proposition* [3.7](#page-4-1) To shorten the notation put  $t_k := t(k, X)$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \ldots \ge a_n \ge 0$  and  $a_{\lceil k/4 \rceil} = 1$ . Observe first that

$$
\mathbb{E}\max_{|I|=k}\sum_{i\in I}|X_i|\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\lceil k/4\rceil}a_i\mathbb{E}|Y_i|\geq k/4,
$$

so we may assume that  $t_k \geq 16\alpha/\sqrt{k}$ .

Let  $\mu$  be the law of *Y* and

$$
A := \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{|a_i y_i| \ge \frac{1}{2}t_k\}} < \frac{k}{2} \right\}.
$$

We have

$$
\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| \geq \frac{k}{4} t_k \mathbb{P} \bigg( \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{\{|a_i Y_i| \geq \frac{1}{2} t_k\}} \geq \frac{k}{2} \bigg) = \frac{k}{4} t_k (1 - \mu(A)),
$$

so we may assume that  $\mu(A) \geq 1/2$ .

Observe that if  $y \in A$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{\{|a_i z_i| \ge s\}} \ge l > k$  for some  $s \ge t_k$  then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i - y_i)^2 \ge \sum_{i=\lceil k/4 \rceil}^{n} (a_i z_i - a_i y_i)^2 \ge (l - 3k/4)(s - t_k/2)^2 > \frac{l s^2}{16}.
$$

Thus we have

$$
\mathbb{P}(N(s) \geq l) \leq 1 - \mu \left( A + \frac{s\sqrt{l}}{4} B_2^n \right) \leq e^{-\frac{s\sqrt{l}}{4\alpha}} \quad \text{for } l > k, \ s \geq t_k.
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{t_k}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(N(s) \geq l) ds \leq \int_{t_k}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{s\sqrt{l}}{4\alpha}} ds = \frac{4\alpha}{\sqrt{l}} e^{-\frac{t_k\sqrt{l}}{4\alpha}} \quad \text{for } l > k,
$$

and

$$
\sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} \left( t_k \mathbb{P}(N(t_k) \ge l) + \int_{t_k}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(N(s) \ge l) ds \right) \le \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} \left( t_k + \frac{4\alpha}{\sqrt{l}} \right) e^{-\frac{t_k\sqrt{l}}{4\alpha}}
$$
  

$$
\le \left( t_k + \frac{4\alpha}{\sqrt{k+1}} \right) \int_{k}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{t_k\sqrt{u}}{4\alpha}} du \le \left( t_k + \frac{4\alpha}{\sqrt{k+1}} \right) e^{-\frac{t_k\sqrt{k}}{4\sqrt{2\alpha}}} \int_{k}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{t_k\sqrt{u-k}}{4\sqrt{2\alpha}}} du
$$
  

$$
= \left( t_k + \frac{4\alpha}{\sqrt{k+1}} \right) \frac{64\alpha^2}{t_k^2} e^{-\frac{t_k\sqrt{k}}{4\sqrt{2\alpha}}} \le \left( t_k + \frac{1}{4}t_k \right) \frac{k}{4} \le \frac{1}{2}kt_k,
$$

where to get the next-to-last inequality we used the fact that  $t_k \geq 16\alpha/\sqrt{k}$ .

Hence Corollary  $3.9$  and the definition of  $t_k$  yields

$$
kt_k \leq \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \geq t_k\}}\n\leq \mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| + \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} \left( t_k \mathbb{P}(N(t_k) \geq l) + \int_{t_k}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(N(s) \geq l) ds \right)\n\leq \mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| + \frac{1}{2} kt_k,
$$

so  $\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| \geq \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}kt_k$ .

We finish this section with a simple fact that will be used in the sequel.

**Lemma 3.10** *Suppose that a measure μ satisfies exponential concentration with constant*  $\alpha$ *. Then for any*  $c \in (0, 1)$  *and any Borel set A with*  $\mu(A) > c$  *we have* 

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
1 - \mu(A + uB_2^n) \le \exp\left(-\left(\frac{u}{\alpha} + \ln c\right)_+\right) \quad \text{for } u \ge 0.
$$

*Proof* Let  $D := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus (A + rB_2^n)$ . Observe that  $D + rB_2^n$  has an empty intersection with *A* so if  $\mu(D) \geq 1/2$  then

$$
c < \mu(A) \leq 1 - \mu(D + rB_2^n) \leq e^{-r/\alpha},
$$

and  $r < \alpha \ln(1/c)$ . Hence  $\mu(A + \alpha \ln(1/c)B_2^n) \ge 1/2$ , therefore for  $s \ge 0$ ,

$$
1 - \mu(A + (s + \alpha \ln(1/c))B_2^n) = 1 - \mu((A + \alpha \ln(1/c)B_2^n) + sB_2^n) \le e^{-s/\alpha},
$$

and the assertion easily follows.

#### <span id="page-8-0"></span>**3.3 Sums of Largest Coordinates of Log-Concave Vectors**

We will use the regular growth of moments of norms of log-concave vectors multiple times. By [\[4,](#page-28-4) Theorem 2.4.6], if  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is a seminorm, and *X* is log-concave, then

<span id="page-8-5"></span>
$$
(\mathbb{E}f(X)^p)^{1/p} \le C_1 \frac{p}{q} (\mathbb{E}f(X)^q)^{1/q} \quad \text{for } p \ge q \ge 1,
$$
 (3.7)

where  $C_1$  is a universal constant.

We will also apply a few times the functional version of the Grünbaum inequality (see [\[14,](#page-29-2) Lemma 5.4]) which states that

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}(Z \ge 0) \ge \frac{1}{e}
$$
 for any mean-zero log-concave random variable Z. (3.8)

Let us start with a few technical lemmas. The first one will be used to reduce proofs of Theorem [3.3](#page-2-0) and lower bound in Theorem [3.4](#page-3-0) to the symmetric case.

**Lemma 3.11** *Let X be a log-concave n-dimensional vector and X be an independent copy of X. Then for any*  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,

<span id="page-8-4"></span><span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i - X'_i| \le 2 \mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i|,
$$
  

$$
t(k, X) \le et(k, X - X') + \frac{2}{k} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{E}|X_i|,
$$
 (3.9)

*and*

<span id="page-8-3"></span>
$$
t^*(2k, X - X') \le 2t^*(k, X). \tag{3.10}
$$

*Proof* The first estimate follows by the easy bound

$$
\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i - X'_i| \leq \mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| + \mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X'_i| = 2 \mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i|.
$$

To get the second bound we may and will assume that  $\mathbb{E}|X_1| \ge \mathbb{E}|X_2| \ge \ldots \ge$  $\mathbb{E}|X_n|$ . Let us define  $Y := X - \mathbb{E}X$ ,  $Y' := X' - \mathbb{E}X$  and  $M := \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}|X_i| \ge$  $\max_{i \geq k} \mathbb{E}[X_i]$ . Obviously

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \ge t\}} \le kM \quad \text{for } t \ge 0.
$$
 (3.11)

We have  $\mathbb{E}Y_i = 0$ , thus  $\mathbb{P}(Y_i \leq 0) \geq 1/e$  by [\(3.8\)](#page-8-1). Hence

$$
\mathbb{E}Y_i\mathbf{1}_{\{Y_i>t\}} \leq e\mathbb{E}Y_i\mathbf{1}_{\{Y_i>t\}}\leq e\mathbb{E}|Y_i-Y_i'|\mathbf{1}_{\{Y_i-Y_i'>t\}}=e\mathbb{E}|X_i-X_i'|\mathbf{1}_{\{X_i-X_i'>t\}}
$$

for  $t \geq 0$ . In the same way we show that

$$
\mathbb{E}|Y_i|\mathbf{1}_{\{Y_i < -t\}} \leq e \mathbb{E}|Y_i|\mathbf{1}_{\{Y_i < -t, Y_i' \geq 0\}} \leq e \mathbb{E}|X_i - X_i'|\mathbf{1}_{\{X_i' - X_i > t\}}
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathbb{E}|Y_i|1_{\{|Y_i|>t\}} \leq e \mathbb{E}|X_i-X_i'|1_{\{|X_i-X_i'|>t\}}.
$$

We have

$$
\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \mathbb{E}|X_{i}|\mathbf{1}_{\{|X_{i}|>et(k,X-X')+M\}} \leq \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \mathbb{E}|X_{i}|\mathbf{1}_{\{|Y_{i}|>et(k,X-X')\}}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \mathbb{E}|Y_{i}|\mathbf{1}_{\{|Y_{i}|>t(k,X-X')\}} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} |\mathbb{E}X_{i}|\mathbb{P}(|Y_{i}| > et(k,X-X'))
$$
\n
$$
\leq e \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}|X_{i} - X'_{i}|\mathbf{1}_{\{|X_{i}-X'_{i}|>t(k,X-X')\}} + M \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(|Y_{i}| > et(k,X-X'))
$$
\n
$$
\leq ekt(k,X-X') + M \sum_{i=1}^{n} (et(k,X-X'))^{-1} \mathbb{E}|Y_{i}|\mathbf{1}_{\{|Y_{i}|>et(k,X-X')\}}
$$
\n
$$
\leq ekt(k,X-X') + Mt(k,X-X')^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}|X_{i} - X'_{i}|\mathbf{1}_{\{|X_{i}-X'_{i}|>t(k,X-X')\}} \leq ekt(k,X-X') + kM.
$$

Together with  $(3.11)$  we get

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| > et(k, X-X')+M\}} \leq k (et(k, X-X')+2M)
$$

and [\(3.9\)](#page-8-2) easily follows.

In order to prove  $(3.10)$ , note that for  $u > 0$ ,

$$
\mathbb{P}(|X_i - X'_i| \ge 2u) \le \mathbb{P}\big(\max\{|X_i|, |X'_i|\} \ge u\big) \le 2\mathbb{P}\big(|X_i| \ge u\big),
$$

thus the last part of the assertion follows by the definition of parameters *t* <sup>∗</sup>.

**Lemma 3.12** *Suppose that V is a real symmetric log-concave random variable. Then for any*  $t > 0$  *and*  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ *,* 

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}|V|\mathbf{1}_{\{|V|\geq t\}} \leq \frac{4}{\lambda} \mathbb{P}(|V| \geq t)^{1-\lambda} \mathbb{E}|V|\mathbf{1}_{\{|V|\geq \lambda t\}}.
$$

*Moreover, if*  $\mathbb{P}(|V| \ge t) \le 1/4$ *, then*  $\mathbb{E}|V|\mathbf{1}_{\{|V| > t\}} \le 4t \mathbb{P}(|V| \ge t)$ *.* 

*Proof* Without loss of generality we may assume that  $P(|V| \ge t) \le 1/4$  (otherwise the first estimate is trivial).

Observe that  $\mathbb{P}(|V| \ge s) = \exp(-N(s))$  where  $N: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$  is convex and  $N(0) = 0$ . In particular

$$
\mathbb{P}(|V| \ge \gamma t) \le \mathbb{P}(|V| \ge t)^\gamma \quad \text{for } \gamma > 1
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{P}(|V| \ge \gamma t) \ge \mathbb{P}(|V| \ge t)^\gamma \quad \text{for } \gamma \in [0, 1].
$$

We have

$$
\mathbb{E}|V|1_{\{|V|\geq t\}} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k+1} t \mathbb{P}(|V| \geq 2^k t) \leq 2t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \mathbb{P}(|V| \geq t)^{2^k}
$$
  

$$
\leq 2t \mathbb{P}(|V| \geq t) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k 4^{1-2^k} \leq 4t \mathbb{P}(|V| \geq t).
$$

This implies the second part of the lemma.

To conclude the proof of the first bound it is enough to observe that

$$
\mathbb{E}|V|\mathbf{1}_{\{|V|\geq \lambda t\}} \geq \lambda t \mathbb{P}(|V| \geq \lambda t) \geq \lambda t \mathbb{P}(|V| \geq t)^{\lambda}.
$$

*Proof of Theorem [3.3](#page-2-0)* By Proposition [3.1](#page-1-4) it is enough to show the lower bound. By Lemma [3.11](#page-8-4) we may assume that *X* is symmetric. We may also obviously assume that  $||X_i||_2^2 = \mathbb{E}X_i^2 > 0$  for all *i*.

Let  $Z = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$ , where  $Z_i = X_i / ||X_i||_2$ . Then *Z* is log-concave, isotropic and, by [\(3.7\)](#page-8-5),  $\mathbb{E}[Z_i] \ge 1/(2C_1)$  for all *i*. Set  $Y := 2C_1Z$ . Then  $X_i = a_iY_i$  and  $\mathbb{E}|Y_i| \geq 1$ . Moreover, since any *m*-dimensional projection of *Z* is a log-concave, isotropic *m*-dimensional vector, we know by the result of Lee and Vempala [\[13\]](#page-29-3), that it satisfies the exponential concentration with a constants  $Cm^{1/4}$ . (In fact an easy modification of the proof below shows that for our purposes it would be enough to have exponential concentration with a constant  $Cm^{\gamma}$  for some  $\gamma < 1/2$ , so one may also use Eldan's result [\[6\]](#page-28-8) which gives such estimates for any  $\gamma > 1/3$ ). So any *m*-dimensional projection of *Y* satisfies exponential concentration with constant  $C_2m^{1/4}$ .

Let us fix *k* and set  $t := t(k, X)$ , then (since  $X_i$  has no atoms)

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \ge t\}} = kt.
$$
 (3.12)

For  $l = 1, 2, \ldots$  define

$$
I_l := \{ i \in [n] \colon \beta^{l-1} \ge \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t) \ge \beta^l \},
$$

where  $\beta = 2^{-8}$ . By [\(3.12\)](#page-11-0) there exists *l* such that

$$
\sum_{i\in I_l} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i|\geq t\}} \geq kt2^{-l}.
$$

Let us consider three cases.

(1)  $l = 1$  and  $|I_1| \leq k$ . Then

$$
\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| \geq \sum_{i \in I_1} \mathbb{E} |X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \geq t\}} \geq \frac{1}{2} kt.
$$

(2)  $l = 1$  and  $|I_1| > k$ . Choose  $J \subset I_1$  of cardinality k. Then

$$
\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| \geq \sum_{i \in J} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \geq \sum_{i \in J} t \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \geq t) \geq \beta kt.
$$

(3)  $l > 1$ . By Lemma [3.12](#page-10-0) (applied with  $\lambda = 1/8$ ) we have

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
\sum_{i \in I_l} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \ge t/8\}} \ge \frac{1}{32} \beta^{-7(l-1)/8} \sum_{i \in I_l} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \ge t\}} \ge \frac{1}{32} \beta^{-7(l-1)/8} 2^{-l} kt. \tag{3.13}
$$

Moreover for  $i \in I_l$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(|X_i| \geq t) \leq \beta^{l-1} \leq 1/4$ , so the second part of Lemma [3.12](#page-10-0) yields

$$
4t|I_{l}|\beta^{l-1} \geq \sum_{i \in I_{l}} \mathbb{E}|X_{i}|1_{\{|X_{i}| \geq t\}} \geq kt2^{-l}
$$

and  $|I_l| \ge \beta^{1-l} 2^{-l-2} k = 2^{7l-10} k \ge k$ .

#### 3 Order Statistics of Log-Concave Vectors 77

Set  $k' := \beta^{-7l/8} 2^{-l} k = 2^{6l} k$ . If  $k' \ge |I_l|$  then, using [\(3.13\)](#page-11-1), we estimate

$$
\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| \ge \frac{k}{|I_l|} \sum_{i \in I_l} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \ge \beta^{7l/8} 2^l \sum_{i \in I_l} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \ge t/8\}} \ge \frac{1}{32} \beta^{7/8} kt
$$
  
=  $2^{-12} kt$ .

Otherwise set  $X' = (X_i)_{i \in I_i}$  and  $Y' = (Y_i)_{i \in I_i}$ . By [\(3.12\)](#page-11-0) we have

$$
kt \geq \sum_{i \in I_l} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \geq t\}} \geq |I_l| t \beta^l,
$$

so  $|I_l| \leq k\beta^{-l}$  and *Y'* satisfies exponential concentration with constant  $\alpha' =$  $C_2 k^{1/4} \beta^{-l/4}$ . Estimate [\(3.13\)](#page-11-1) yields

$$
\sum_{i\in I_l} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i|\geq 2^{-12}t\}} \geq \sum_{i\in I_l} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i|\geq t/8\}} \geq 2^{-12}k't,
$$

so  $t(k', X') \geq 2^{-12}t$ . Moreover, by Proposition [3.7](#page-4-1) we have (since  $k' \leq |I_l|$ )

$$
\mathbb{E} \max_{I \subset I_l, |I|=k'} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| \ge \frac{1}{8 + 64\alpha' / \sqrt{k'}} k' t(k', X').
$$

To conclude observe that

$$
\frac{\alpha'}{\sqrt{k'}} = C_2 2^{-l} k^{-1/4} \le \frac{C_2}{4}
$$

and since  $k' \geq k$ ,

$$
\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| \geq \frac{k}{k'} \mathbb{E} \max_{I \subset I_l, |I|=k'} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| \geq \frac{1}{8 + 16C_2} 2^{-12} t k.
$$

### <span id="page-12-0"></span>**3.4 Vectors Satisfying Condition [\(3.3\)](#page-1-0)**

*Proof of Theorem [3.2](#page-1-1)* By Proposition [3.1](#page-1-4) we need to show only the lower bound. Assume first that variables  $X_i$  have no atoms and  $k \geq 4(1 + \alpha)$ .

Let  $t_k = t(k, X)$ . Then  $\mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \ge t_k\}} = kt_k$ . Note, that [\(3.3\)](#page-1-0) implies that for all  $i \neq j$  we have

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}|X_i X_j| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \ge t_k, |X_j| \ge t_k\}} \le \alpha \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \ge t_k\}} \mathbb{E}|X_j| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_j| \ge t_k\}}.
$$
\n(3.14)

 $\Box$ 

We may assume that  $\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| \leq \frac{1}{6} k t_k$ , because otherwise the lower bound holds trivially.

Let us define

$$
Y := \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{kt_k \ge |X_i| \ge t_k\}} \quad \text{and} \quad A := (\mathbb{E}Y^2)^{1/2}.
$$

Since

$$
\mathbb{E}\max_{|I|=k}\sum_{i\in I}|X_i|\geq \mathbb{E}\bigg[\frac{1}{2}kt_k\mathbf{1}_{\{Y\geq kt_k/2\}}\bigg]=\frac{1}{2}kt_k\mathbb{P}\bigg(Y\geq \frac{kt_k}{2}\bigg),
$$

it suffices to bound below the probability that  $Y \geq kt_k/2$  by a constant depending only on *α*.

We have

$$
A^{2} = \mathbb{E}Y^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}X_{i}^{2}\mathbf{1}_{\{kt_{k}\geq|X_{i}|\geq t_{k}\}} + \sum_{i\neq j} \mathbb{E}|X_{i}X_{j}|\mathbf{1}_{\{|X_{i}|\geq t_{k},|X_{j}|\geq t_{k}\}}\n\stackrel{(3.14)}{\leq} kt_{k}\mathbb{E}Y + \alpha \sum_{i\neq j} \mathbb{E}|X_{i}|\mathbf{1}_{\{|X_{i}|\geq t_{k}\}}\mathbb{E}|X_{j}|\mathbf{1}_{\{|X_{j}|\geq t_{k}\}}\n\leq kt_{k}A + \alpha \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}|X_{i}|\mathbf{1}_{\{|X_{i}|\geq t_{k}\}}\Big)^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}(k^{2}t_{k}^{2} + A^{2}) + \alpha k^{2}t_{k}^{2}.
$$

Therefore  $A^2 \le (1 + 2\alpha)k^2 t_k^2$  and for any  $l \ge k/2$  we have

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}Y\mathbf{1}_{\{Y \ge kt_k/2\}} \le lt_k \mathbb{P}(Y \ge kt_k/2) + \frac{1}{lt_k} \mathbb{E}Y^2
$$
  
 
$$
\le lt_k \mathbb{P}(Y \ge kt_k/2) + (1 + 2\alpha)k^2 l^{-1} t_k.
$$
 (3.15)

By Corollary [3.9](#page-5-1) we have (recall definition [\(3.6\)](#page-5-2))

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}|X_{i}|1_{\{|X_{i}|\geq kt_{k}\}} \leq \mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i\in I} |X_{i}|
$$
  
+ 
$$
\sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} \left(kt_{k}\mathbb{P}(N(kt_{k}) \geq l) + \int_{kt_{k}}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(N(s) \geq l) ds\right)
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{1}{6}kt_{k} + \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} \left(kt_{k}\mathbb{E}N(kt_{k})^{2}l^{-2} + \int_{kt_{k}}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}N(s)^{2}l^{-2} ds\right)
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{1}{6}kt_{k} + \frac{1}{k}\left(kt_{k}\mathbb{E}N(kt_{k})^{2} + \int_{kt_{k}}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}N(s)^{2} ds\right).
$$
 (3.16)

### 3 Order Statistics of Log-Concave Vectors 79

Assumption [\(3.3\)](#page-1-0) implies that

$$
\mathbb{E}N(s)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge s) + \sum_{i \ne j} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge s, |X_j| \ge s)
$$
  

$$
\le \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge s) + \alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge s)\right)^2.
$$

Moreover for  $s \geq kt_k$  we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \geq s) \leq \frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \geq s\}} \leq \frac{kt_k}{s} \leq 1,
$$

so

$$
\mathbb{E}N(s)^2 \le (1+\alpha)\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge s) \quad \text{ for } s \ge kt_k.
$$

Thus

$$
kt_k \mathbb{E} N(kt_k)^2 \leq kt_k(1+\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \geq kt_k) \leq (1+\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} |X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \geq kt_k\}},
$$

and

$$
\int_{kt_k}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}N(s)^2 ds \leq (1+\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{kt_k}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \geq s) ds \leq (1+\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \geq kt_k\}}.
$$

This together with [\(3.16\)](#page-13-0) and the assumption that  $k \ge 4(1 + \alpha)$  implies

$$
\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \geq k t_k\}} \leq \frac{1}{3} k t_k
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E}Y = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \ge t_k\}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \ge k t_k\}} \ge \frac{2}{3} k t_k.
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathbb{E}Y\mathbf{1}_{\{Y\geq kt_k/2\}} \geq \mathbb{E}Y - \frac{1}{2}kt_k \geq \frac{1}{6}kt_k.
$$

This applied to [\(3.15\)](#page-13-1) with  $l = (12 + 24\alpha)k$  gives us  $P(Y > kt_k/2) > (144 + 12\alpha)k$  $288\alpha$ <sup>-1</sup> and in consequence

$$
\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| \ge \frac{1}{288(1+2\alpha)} k t(k, X).
$$

Since  $k \mapsto kt(k, X)$  is non-decreasing, in the case  $k \leq [4(1 + \alpha)] =: k_0$  we have

$$
\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} |X_i| \ge \frac{k}{k_0} \mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k_0} |X_i| \ge \frac{k}{5+4\alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{288(1+2\alpha)} k_0 t (k_0, X)
$$
  

$$
\ge \frac{1}{288(5+4\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} k t (k, X).
$$

The last step is to loose the assumption that  $X_i$  has no atoms. Note that both assumption [\(3.3\)](#page-1-0) and the lower bound depend only on  $(|X_i|)_{i=1}^n$ , so we may assume that  $X_i$  are nonnegative almost surely. Consider  $X^{\varepsilon} := (X_i + \varepsilon Y_i)_{i=1}^n$ , where *Y*<sub>1</sub>*,..., Y<sub>n</sub>* are i.i.d. nonnegative r.v's with  $\mathbb{E}Y_i < \infty$  and a density *g*, independent of *X*. Then for every  $s, t > 0$  we have (observe that  $(3.3)$  holds also for  $s < 0$  or  $t < 0$ ).

$$
\mathbb{P}(X_i^{\varepsilon} \ge s, X_j^{\varepsilon} \ge t)
$$
\n
$$
= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_i + \varepsilon y_i \ge s, X_j + \varepsilon y_j \ge t) g(y_i) g(y_j) dy_i dy_j
$$
\n
$$
\stackrel{(3.3)}{\le} \alpha \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_i \ge s - \varepsilon y_i) \mathbb{P}(X_j \ge t - \varepsilon y_j) g(y_i) g(y_j) dy_i dy_j
$$
\n
$$
= \alpha \mathbb{P}(X_i^{\varepsilon} \ge s) \mathbb{P}(X_j^{\varepsilon} \ge t).
$$

Thus  $X^{\varepsilon}$  satisfies assumption [\(3.3\)](#page-1-0) and has the density function for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Therefore for all natural *k* we have

$$
\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^{\varepsilon} \ge c(\alpha) k t(k, X^{\varepsilon}) \ge c(\alpha) k t(k, X).
$$

Clearly,  $\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^{\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , so the lower bound holds in the case of arbitrary *X* satisfying [\(3.3\)](#page-1-0).

We may use Theorem [3.2](#page-1-1) to obtain a comparison of weak and strong moments for the supremum norm:

**Corollary 3.13** *Let X be an n-dimensional centered random vector satisfying condition* [\(3.3\)](#page-1-0)*. Assume that*

<span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
||X_i||_{2p} \le \beta ||X_i||_p \qquad \text{for every } p \ge 2 \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{3.17}
$$

*Then the following comparison of weak and strong moments for the supremum norm holds: for all*  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  *and all*  $p > 1$ *,* 

$$
\left(\mathbb{E}\max_{i\leq n}|a_iX_i|^p\right)^{1/p}\leq C(\alpha,\beta)\bigg[\mathbb{E}\max_{i\leq n}|a_iX_i|+\max_{i\leq n}\left(\mathbb{E}|a_iX_i|^p\right)^{1/p}\bigg],
$$

*where*  $C(\alpha, \beta)$  *is a constant depending only on*  $\alpha$  *and*  $\beta$ *.* 

*Proof* Let  $X' = (X'_i)_{i \leq n}$  be a decoupled version of *X*. For any  $p > 0$  a random vector  $(|a_i X_i|^p)_{i \leq n}$  satisfies condition [\(3.3\)](#page-1-0), so by Theorem [3.2](#page-1-1)

$$
\left(\mathbb{E}\max_{i\leq n}|a_iX_i|^p\right)^{1/p}\sim\left(\mathbb{E}\max_{i\leq n}|a_iX_i'|^p\right)^{1/p}
$$

for all  $p > 0$ , up to a constant depending only on  $\alpha$ . The coordinates of X' are independent and satisfy condition  $(3.17)$ , so due to  $[11,$  Theorem 1.1] the comparison of weak and strong moments of  $X'$  holds, i.e. for  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$
\left(\mathbb{E}\max_{i\leq n}|a_iX'_i|^p\right)^{1/p}\leq C(\beta)\bigg[\mathbb{E}\max_{i\leq n}|a_iX'_i|+\max_{i\leq n}\left(\mathbb{E}|a_iX'_i|^p\right)^{1/p}\bigg],
$$

where  $C(\beta)$  depends only on  $\beta$ . These two observations yield the assertion.  $\square$ 

#### <span id="page-16-0"></span>**3.5 Lower Estimates for Order Statistics**

The next lemma shows the relation between  $t(k, X)$  and  $t^*(k, X)$  for log-concave vectors *X*.

<span id="page-16-1"></span>**Lemma 3.14** Let *X* be a symmetric log-concave random vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For any  $1 \leq k \leq n$  *we have* 

$$
\frac{1}{3}\left(t^*(k, X) + \frac{1}{k} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{E}|X_i|\right) \le t(k, X) \le 4\left(t^*(k, X) + \frac{1}{k} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{E}|X_i|\right).
$$

*Proof* Let  $t_k := t(k, X)$  and  $t_k^* := t^*(k, X)$ . We may assume that any  $X_i$  is not identically equal to 0. Then  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t_k^*) = k$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \ge t_k\}} = kt_k$ .

Obviously  $t_k^* \leq t_k$ . Also for any  $|I| = k$  we have

$$
\sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{E}|X_i|\leq \sum_{i\in I}\big(t_k+\mathbb{E}|X_i|\mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i|\geq t_k\}}\big)\leq |I|t_k+kt_k=2kt_k.
$$

To prove the upper bound set

$$
I_1 := \{ i \in [n]: \ \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t_k^*) \ge 1/4 \}.
$$

We have

$$
k \ge \sum_{i \in |I_1|} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t_k^*) \ge \frac{1}{4}|I_1|,
$$

so  $|I_1| \leq 4k$ . Hence

$$
\sum_{i \in I_1} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \ge t_k^* \}} \le \sum_{i \in I_1} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \le 4 \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{E}|X_i|.
$$

Moreover by the second part of Lemma [3.12](#page-10-0) we get

$$
\mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \ge t_k^*\}} \le 4 t_k^* \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t_k^*) \text{ for } i \notin I_1,
$$

so

$$
\sum_{i \notin I_1} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \ge t_k^*\}} \le 4 t_k^* \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t_k^*) \le 4 k t_k^*.
$$

Hence if  $s = 4t_k^* + \frac{4}{k} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{E}|X_i|$  then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i|\geq s\}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i|\geq t_k^*\}} \leq 4 \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{E}|X_i| + 4kt_k^* = ks,
$$

that is  $t_k \leq s$ .

To derive bounds for order statistics we will also need a few facts about logconcave vectors.

<span id="page-17-0"></span>**Lemma 3.15** *Assume that Z is an isotropic one- or two-dimensional log-concave random vector with a density g. Then*  $g(t) \leq C$  *for all t. If Z is one-dimensional, then also*  $g(t) \ge c$  *for all*  $|t| \le t_0$ *, where*  $t_0 > 0$  *is an absolute constant.* 

*Proof* We will use a classical result (see [\[4,](#page-28-4) Theorem 2.2.2, Proposition 3.3.1, Proposition 3.3.2, and Proposition 2.5.9]):  $||g||_{\text{sup}} \sim g(0) \sim 1$  (note that here we use the assumption that *Z* is isotropic, in particular that  $\mathbb{E}Z = 0$ , and that the dimension of *Z* is 1 or 2). This implies the upper bound on *g*.

In order to get the lower bound in the one-dimensional case, it suffices to prove that  $g(u) \ge c$  for  $|u| = \varepsilon \mathbb{E}|Z| \ge (2C_1)^{-1}\varepsilon$ , where  $1/4 > \varepsilon > 0$  is fixed and its value will be chosen later (then by the log-concavity we get  $g(u)^{s}g(0)^{1-s} \leq g(su)$ for all  $s \in (0, 1)$ ). Since  $-Z$  is again isotropic we may assume that  $u \ge 0$ .

If  $g(u) \ge g(0)/e$ , then we are done. Otherwise by log-concavity of g we get

$$
\mathbb{P}(Z \ge u) = \int_u^\infty g(s)ds \le \int_u^\infty g(u)^{s/u} g(0)^{-s/u+1} ds \le g(0) \int_u^\infty e^{-s/u} ds \le C_0 u \le C_0 \varepsilon.
$$

On the other hand, *Z* has mean zero, so  $\mathbb{E}|Z| = 2\mathbb{E}Z_+$  and by the Paley–Zygmund inequality and  $(3.7)$  we have

$$
\mathbb{P}(Z \ge u) = \mathbb{P}(Z_+ \ge 2\varepsilon \mathbb{E} Z_+) \ge (1 - 2\varepsilon)^2 \frac{(\mathbb{E} Z_+)^2}{\mathbb{E} Z_+^2} \ge \frac{1}{16} \frac{(\mathbb{E} |Z|)^2}{\mathbb{E} Z^2} \ge c_0.
$$

For  $\varepsilon < c_0/C_0$  we get a contradiction.

<span id="page-18-0"></span>**Lemma 3.16** *Let Y be a mean zero log-concave random variable and let*  $\mathbb{P}(|Y| \ge t) \le p$  *for some*  $p > 0$ *. Then* 

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(|Y| \geq \frac{t}{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{ep}} \mathbb{P}(|Y| \geq t).
$$

*Proof* By the Grünbaum inequality [\(3.8\)](#page-8-1) we have  $P(Y > 0) > 1/e$ , hence

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(Y \geq \frac{t}{2}\right) \geq \sqrt{\mathbb{P}(Y \geq t)\mathbb{P}(Y \geq 0)} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}}\sqrt{\mathbb{P}(Y \geq t)} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{ep}}\mathbb{P}(Y \geq t).
$$

Since −*Y* satisfies the same assumptions as *Y* we also have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(-Y \geq \frac{t}{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{ep}} \mathbb{P}(-Y \geq t).
$$

**Lemma 3.17** *Let Y be a mean zero log-concave random variable and let*  $\mathbb{P}(|Y| \ge t) \ge p$  *for some*  $p > 0$ *. Then there exists a universal constant* C *such that*

<span id="page-18-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}(|Y| \leq \lambda t) \leq \frac{C\lambda}{\sqrt{p}} \mathbb{P}(|Y| \leq t) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in [0, 1].
$$

*Proof* Without loss of generality we may assume that  $EY^2 = 1$ . Then by Chebyshev's inequality  $t \leq p^{-1/2}$ . Let *g* be the density of *Y*. By Lemma [3.15](#page-17-0) we know that  $\|g\|_{\infty} \leq C$  and  $g(t) \geq c$  on  $[-t_0, t_0]$ , where *c*, *C* and  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$  are universal constants. Thus

$$
\mathbb{P}(|Y| \leq t) \geq \mathbb{P}(|Y| \leq t_0\sqrt{p}t) \geq 2ct_0\sqrt{p}t,
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{P}(|Y| \leq \lambda t) \leq 2\|g\|_{\infty} \lambda t \leq 2C\lambda t \leq \frac{C\lambda}{ct_0\sqrt{p}}\mathbb{P}(|Y| \leq t).
$$

Now we are ready to give a proof of the lower bound in Theorem [3.4.](#page-3-0) The next proposition is a key part of it.

 $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.18** *Let X be a mean zero log-concave n-dimensional random vector with uncorrelated coordinates and let α >* 1*/*4*. Suppose that*

<span id="page-19-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}\big(|X_i| \geq t^*(\alpha, X)\big) \leq \frac{1}{C_3} \quad \text{for all } i.
$$

*Then*

$$
\mathbb{P}\Big(\lfloor 4\alpha\rfloor \cdot \max_i |X_i| \ge \frac{1}{C_4} t^*(\alpha, X)\Big) \ge \frac{3}{4}.
$$

*Proof* Let  $t^* = t^*(\alpha, X)$ ,  $k := \lfloor 4\alpha \rfloor$  and  $L = \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{C_3}}{4\sqrt{e}} \rfloor$  $\frac{\sqrt{63}}{4\sqrt{e}}$ . We will choose  $C_3$  in such a way that *L* is large, in particular we may assume that  $L \ge 2$ . Observe also that  $\alpha =$  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t^*(\alpha, X)) \le nC_3^{-1}$ , thus  $Lk \le C_3^{1/2} e^{-1/2} \alpha \le e^{-1/2} C_3^{-1/2} n \le n$  if  $C_3 \geq 1 > \frac{1}{e}$ . Hence

$$
k - \max_{i} |X_{i}| \ge \frac{1}{k(L-1)} \sum_{l=k+1}^{Lk} l - \max_{i} |X_{i}|
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{k(L-1)} \left( \max_{|I|=Lk} \sum_{i \in I} |X_{i}| - \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_{i}| \right).
$$
 (3.18)

Lemma [3.16](#page-18-0) and the definition of *t* ∗*(α, X)* yield

<span id="page-19-0"></span>
$$
\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}\left(|X_i| \geq \frac{1}{2}t^*\right) \geq \frac{\sqrt{C_3}}{\sqrt{e}} \alpha \geq Lk.
$$

This yields  $t(Lk, X) \ge t^*(Lk, X) \ge \frac{t^*}{2}$  and by Theorem [3.3](#page-2-0) we have

$$
\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=Lk} \sum_{i\in I} |X_i| \geq c_1 Lk \frac{t^*}{2}.
$$

Since for any norm  $\mathbb{P}(\|X\| \le t \mathbb{E} \|X\|) \le Ct$  for  $t > 0$  (see [\[10,](#page-29-5) Corollary 1]) we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{|I|=L k} \sum_{i\in I} |X_i| \ge c_2 Lkt^*\right) \ge \frac{7}{8}.\tag{3.19}
$$

Let  $X'$  be an independent copy of  $X$ . By the Paley-Zygmund inequality and  $(3.7)$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(|X_i| \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}|X_i|) \geq \frac{(\mathbb{E}|X_i|)^2}{4 \mathbb{E}|X_i|^2} > \frac{1}{C_3}$  if  $C_3 > 16C_1^2$ , so  $\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \leq t^*$ . Moreover it

#### 3 Order Statistics of Log-Concave Vectors 85

is easy to verify that  $k = \lfloor 4\alpha \rfloor > \alpha$  for  $\alpha > 1/4$ , thus  $t^*(k, X) \le t^*(\alpha, X) = t^*$ . Hence Proposition [3.1,](#page-1-4) Lemma [3.14,](#page-16-1) and inequality [\(3.10\)](#page-8-3) yield

$$
\mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| = \mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i - \mathbb{E}X'_i| \le \mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i - X'_i|
$$
  
\n
$$
\le \mathbb{E} \max_{|I|=2k} \sum_{i \in I} |X_i - X'_i|
$$
  
\n
$$
\le 4kt(2k, X - X') \le 16k(t^*(2k, X - X') + \max_{i} \mathbb{E}|X_i - X'_i|)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le 16k(2t^*(k, X) + 2 \max_{i} \mathbb{E}|X_i|) \le 96kt^*.
$$

Therefore

<span id="page-20-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{|I|=k}\sum_{i\in I}|X_i| \ge 800kt^*\right) \le \frac{1}{8}.\tag{3.20}
$$

Estimates  $(3.18)$ – $(3.20)$  yield

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(k \text{-} \max_{i} |X_{i}| \geq \frac{1}{L-1}(c_{2}L - 800)t^{*}\right) \geq \frac{3}{4},
$$

so it is enough to choose  $C_3$  in such a way that  $L \geq 1600/c_2$ .

*Proof of the First Part of Theorem [3.4](#page-3-0)* Let  $t^* = t^*(k - 1/2, X)$  and  $C_3$  be as in Proposition [3.18.](#page-19-1) It is enough to consider the case when  $t^* > 0$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(|X_i| =$  $t^*$ ) = 0 for all *i* and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t^*) = k - 1/2$ . Define

$$
I_1 := \left\{ i \le n : \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t^*) \le \frac{1}{C_3} \right\}, \quad \alpha := \sum_{i \in I_1} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t^*),
$$
  

$$
I_2 := \left\{ i \le n : \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t^*) > \frac{1}{C_3} \right\}, \quad \beta := \sum_{i \in I_2} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t^*).
$$

If  $\beta = 0$  then  $\alpha = k - 1/2$ ,  $|I_1| = \{1, ..., n\}$ , and the assertion immediately follows by Proposition [3.18](#page-19-1) since  $4\alpha \geq k$ .

Otherwise define

$$
\tilde{N}(t) := \sum_{i \in I_2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \leq t\}}.
$$

We have by Lemma [3.17](#page-18-1) applied with  $p = 1/C_3$ 

$$
\mathbb{E}\tilde{N}(\lambda t^*) = \sum_{i\in I_2} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \leq \lambda t^*) \leq C_5 \lambda \sum_{i\in I_2} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \leq t^*) = C_5 \lambda (|I_2| - \beta).
$$

$$
\Box
$$

Thus

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\lceil \beta \rceil \cdot \max_{i \in I_2} |X_i| \le \lambda t^* \right) = \mathbb{P}(\tilde{N}(\lambda t^*) \ge |I_2| + 1 - \lceil \beta \rceil)
$$
  

$$
\le \frac{1}{|I_2| + 1 - \lceil \beta \rceil} \mathbb{E}\tilde{N}(\lambda t^*) \le C_5 \lambda.
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathbb{P}\Big( \lceil \beta \rceil \cdot \max_{i \in I_2} |X_i| \ge \frac{1}{4C_5} t^* \Big) \ge \frac{3}{4}.
$$

If  $\alpha$  < 1/2 then  $\lceil \beta \rceil = k$  and the assertion easily follows. Otherwise Proposition [3.18](#page-19-1) yields

$$
\mathbb{P}\Big(\lfloor 4\alpha\rfloor\cdot \max_{i\in I_1}|X_i|\geq \frac{1}{C_4}t^*\Big)\geq \frac{3}{4}.
$$

Observe that for  $\alpha \ge 1/2$  we have  $|4\alpha| + \lceil \beta \rceil \ge 4\alpha - 1 + \beta \ge \alpha + 1/2 + \beta = k$ , so

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(k - \max_{i} |X_{i}| \geq \min\left\{\frac{t^{*}}{C_{4}}, \frac{t^{*}}{4C_{5}}\right\}\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \mathbb{P}\left(\lfloor 4\alpha \rfloor \cdot \max_{i \in I_{1}} |X_{i}| \geq \frac{1}{C_{4}}t^{*}, \lceil \beta \rceil \cdot \max_{i \in I_{2}} |X_{i}| \geq \frac{1}{4C_{5}}t^{*}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}.
$$

*Remark 3.19* A modification of the proof above shows that under the assumptions of Theorem [3.4](#page-3-0) for any  $p < 1$  there exists  $c(p) > 0$  such that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(k\text{-}\max_{i\leq n}|X_i|\geq c(p)t^*(k-1/2,X)\right)\geq p.
$$

### <span id="page-21-0"></span>**3.6 Upper Estimates for Order Statistics**

We will need a few more facts concerning log-concave vectors.

**Lemma 3.20** *Suppose that X is a mean zero log-concave random vector with uncorrelated coordinates. Then for any*  $i \neq j$  *and*  $s > 0$ *,* 

<span id="page-21-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}(|X_i| \leq s, |X_j| \leq s) \leq C_6 \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \leq s) \mathbb{P}(|X_j| \leq s).
$$

*Proof* Let  $C_7$ ,  $c_3$  and  $t_0$  be the constants from Lemma [3.15.](#page-17-0) If  $s > t_0 ||X_i||_2$  then, by Lemma [3.15,](#page-17-0)  $\mathbb{P}(|X_i| \leq s) \geq 2c_3t_0$  and the assertion is obvious (with any  $C_6 \geq$  $(2c_3t_0)^{-1}$ ). Thus we will assume that  $s \le t_0 \min\{\|X_i\|_2, \|X_i\|_2\}.$ 

Let  $\widetilde{X}_i = X_i / \|X_i\|_2$  and let  $g_{ij}$  be the density of  $(\widetilde{X}_i, \widetilde{X}_j)$ . By Lemma [3.15](#page-17-0) we know that  $||g_{i,j}||_{\infty} \leq C_7$ , so

$$
\mathbb{P}(|X_i| \leq s, |X_j| \leq s) = \mathbb{P}(|\widetilde{X}_i| \leq s/\|X_i\|_2, |\widetilde{X}_j| \leq s/\|X_j\|_2) \leq C_7 \frac{s^2}{\|X_i\|_2 \|X_j\|_2}.
$$

On the other hand the second part of Lemma [3.15](#page-17-0) yields

$$
\mathbb{P}(|X_i| \leq s) \mathbb{P}(|X_j| \leq s) \geq \frac{4c_3^2 s^2}{\|X_i\|_2 \|X_j\|_2}.
$$

**Lemma 3.21** *Let Y be a log-concave random variable. Then*

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}(|Y| \ge ut) \le \mathbb{P}(|Y| \ge t)^{(u-1)/2} \quad \text{for } u \ge 1, t \ge 0.
$$

*Proof* We may assume that *Y* is non-degenerate (otherwise the statement is obvious), in particular *Y* has no atoms. Log-concavity of *Y* yields

$$
\mathbb{P}(Y \geq t) \geq \mathbb{P}(Y \geq -t)^{\frac{u-1}{u+1}} \mathbb{P}(Y \geq ut)^{\frac{2}{u+1}}.
$$

Hence

$$
\mathbb{P}(Y \ge ut) \le \left(\frac{\mathbb{P}(Y \ge t)}{\mathbb{P}(Y \ge -t)}\right)^{\frac{u+1}{2}} \mathbb{P}(Y \ge -t) = \left(1 - \frac{\mathbb{P}(|Y| \le t)}{\mathbb{P}(Y \ge -t)}\right)^{\frac{u+1}{2}} \mathbb{P}(Y \ge -t)
$$
  

$$
\le (1 - \mathbb{P}(|Y| \le t))^{\frac{u+1}{2}} \mathbb{P}(Y \ge -t) = \mathbb{P}(|Y| \ge t)^{\frac{u+1}{2}} \mathbb{P}(Y \ge -t).
$$

Since −*Y* satisfies the same assumptions as *Y* , we also have

$$
\mathbb{P}(Y \le -ut) \le \mathbb{P}(|Y| \ge t)^{\frac{u+1}{2}} \mathbb{P}(Y \le t).
$$

Adding both estimates we get

$$
\mathbb{P}(|Y| \geq ut) \leq \mathbb{P}(|Y| \geq t)^{\frac{u+1}{2}}(1 + \mathbb{P}(|Y| \leq t)) = \mathbb{P}(|Y| \geq t)^{\frac{u-1}{2}}(1 - \mathbb{P}(|Y| \leq t)^2).
$$

<span id="page-22-1"></span>**Lemma 3.22** *Suppose that Y is a log-concave random variable and*  $\mathbb{P}(|Y| \le t) \le$  $\frac{1}{10}$ *. Then*  $\mathbb{P}(|Y| \le 21$ *t*)  $\ge 5\mathbb{P}(|Y| \le t)$ *.* 

*Proof* Let  $\mathbb{P}(|Y| \le t) = p$  then by Lemma [3.21](#page-22-0)

$$
\mathbb{P}(|Y| \le 21t) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(|Y| > 21t) \ge 1 - \mathbb{P}(|Y| > t)^{10} = 1 - (1 - p)^{10}
$$
  
\n
$$
\ge 10p - 45p^2 \ge 5p.
$$

 $\Box$ 

Let us now prove  $(3.4)$  and see how it implies the second part of Theorem [3.4.](#page-3-0) Then we give a proof of  $(3.5)$ .

*Proof of* [\(3.4\)](#page-3-2) Fix *k* and set  $t^* := t^*(k - 1/2, X)$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t^*) =$ *k* − 1*/*2. Define

<span id="page-23-2"></span>
$$
I_1 := \left\{ i \le n : \ \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t^*) \le \frac{9}{10} \right\}, \quad \alpha := \sum_{i \in I_1} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t^*), \tag{3.21}
$$

$$
I_2 := \left\{ i \le n : \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t^*) > \frac{9}{10} \right\}, \quad \beta := \sum_{i \in I_2} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t^*). \tag{3.22}
$$

Observe that for  $u > 3$  and  $1 \le l \le |I_1|$  we have by Lemma [3.21](#page-22-0)

$$
\mathbb{P}(l\text{-} \max_{i \in I_1} |X_i| \ge ut^*) \le \mathbb{E}\frac{1}{l} \sum_{i \in I_1} \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \ge ut^* \}} = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i \in I_1} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge ut^*)
$$
(3.23)  

$$
\le \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i \in I_1} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t^*)^{(u-1)/2} \le \frac{\alpha}{l} \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^{(u-3)/2}.
$$

Consider two cases.

**Case 1** *β* >  $|I_2|$  − 1/2. Then  $|I_2|$  < *β* + 1/2 ≤ *k*, so *k* −  $|I_2|$  ≥ 1 and

<span id="page-23-3"></span><span id="page-23-0"></span>
$$
\alpha = k - \frac{1}{2} - \beta \leq k - |I_2|.
$$

Therefore by [\(3.23\)](#page-23-0)

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(k \text{-} \max |X_i| \geq 5t^*\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left((k - |I_2|) \text{-} \max_{i \in I_1} |X_i| \geq 5t^*\right) \leq \frac{9}{10}.
$$

**Case 2**  $\beta \leq |I_2| - 1/2$ . Observe that for any disjoint sets  $J_1$ ,  $J_2$  and integers *l, m* such that  $l \leq |J_1|, m \leq |J_2|$  we have

$$
(l + m - 1) \cdot \max_{i \in J_1 \cup J_2} |x_i| \le \max \left\{ l \cdot \max_{i \in J_1} |x_i|, m \cdot \max_{i \in J_2} |x_i| \right\}
$$
  

$$
\le l \cdot \max_{i \in J_1} |x_i| + m \cdot \max_{i \in J_2} |x_i|.
$$
 (3.24)

Since

<span id="page-23-1"></span>
$$
\lceil \alpha \rceil + \lceil \beta \rceil \le \alpha + \beta + 2 < k + 2
$$

we have  $\lceil \alpha \rceil + \lceil \beta \rceil \leq k + 1$  and, by [\(3.24\)](#page-23-1),

$$
k\text{-}\max_{i}|X_i| \leq \lceil \alpha \rceil \text{-}\max_{i \in I_1} |X_i| + \lceil \beta \rceil \text{-}\max_{i \in I_2} |X_i|.
$$

#### 3 Order Statistics of Log-Concave Vectors 89

Estimate [\(3.23\)](#page-23-0) yields

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\lceil \alpha \rceil \cdot \max_{i \in I_1} |X_i| \geq ut^*\right) \leq \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^{(u-3)/2} \quad \text{for } u \geq 3.
$$

To estimate  $\lceil \beta \rceil$ - max<sub>*i*∈*I*<sub>2</sub></sub> |  $X_i$ | =  $(\lceil I_2 \rceil + 1 - \lceil \beta \rceil)$ - min<sub>*i*∈*I*<sub>2</sub></sub> |  $X_i$ | observe that by Lemma [3.22,](#page-22-1) the definition of  $I_2$  and assumptions on  $\beta$ ,

$$
\sum_{i\in I_2} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \le 21t^*) \ge 5 \sum_{i\in I_2} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \le t^*) = 5(|I_2| - \beta) \ge 2(|I_2| + 1 - \lceil \beta \rceil).
$$

Set  $l := (|I_2| + 1 - \lceil \beta \rceil)$  and

$$
\tilde{N}(t) := \sum_{i \in I_2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \leq t\}}.
$$

Note that we know already that  $\mathbb{E}\tilde{N}(21t^*) \geq 2l$ . Thus the Paley-Zygmund inequality implies

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\lceil \beta \rceil\text{-}\max_{i \in I_2} |X_i| \le 21t^*\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(l\text{-}\min_{i \in I_2} |X_i| \le 21t^*\right) \ge \mathbb{P}(\tilde{N}(21t^*) \ge l)
$$

$$
\ge \mathbb{P}\left(\tilde{N}(21t^*) \ge \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\tilde{N}(21t^*)\right) \ge \frac{1}{4}\frac{(\mathbb{E}\tilde{N}(21t^*))^2}{\mathbb{E}\tilde{N}(21t^*)^2}.
$$

However Lemma [3.20](#page-21-1) yields

$$
\mathbb{E}\tilde{N}(21t^*)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}\tilde{N}(21t^*) + C_6(\mathbb{E}\tilde{N}(21t^*)))^2 \leq (C_6 + 1)(\mathbb{E}\tilde{N}(21t^*))^2.
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(k - \max_{i} |X_{i}| > (21 + u)t^{*}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left((\alpha - \max_{i \in I_{1}} |X_{i}| \geq ut^{*}) + \mathbb{P}\left((\beta - \max_{i \in I_{2}} |X_{i}| > 21t^{*})\right) \right)
$$

$$
\leq \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^{(u-3)/2} + 1 - \frac{1}{4(C_{6} + 1)} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{5(C_{6} + 1)}
$$

for sufficiently large *u*.

The unconditionality assumption plays a crucial role in the proof of the next lemma, which allows to derive the second part of Theorem [3.4](#page-3-0) from estimate [\(3.4\)](#page-3-2).

**Lemma 3.23** *Let X be an unconditional log-concave n-dimensional random vector. Then for any*  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,

<span id="page-25-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}\left(k \cdot \max_{i \leq n} |X_i| \geq ut\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(k \cdot \max_{i \leq n} |X_i| \geq t\right)^u \quad \text{for } u > 1, t > 0.
$$

*Proof* Let *ν* be the law of  $(|X_1|, \ldots, |X_n|)$ . Then *ν* is log-concave on  $\mathbb{R}_n^+$ . Define for  $t > 0$ ,

$$
A_t := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+ : k \text{-} \max_{i \leq n} |x_i| \geq t \right\}.
$$

It is easy to check that  $\frac{1}{u}A_{ut} + (1 - \frac{1}{u})\mathbb{R}^n_+ \subset A_t$ , hence

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(k - \max_{i \le n} |X_i| \ge t\right) = \nu(A_t) \ge \nu(A_{ut})^{1/u} \nu(\mathbb{R}^n_+)^{1-1/u} = \mathbb{P}\left(k - \max_{i \le n} |X_i| \ge ut\right)^{1/u}.
$$

*Proof of the Second Part of Theorem [3.4](#page-3-0)* Estimate [\(3.4\)](#page-3-2) together with Lemma [3.23](#page-25-0) yields

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(k \text{-} \max_{i \leq n} |X_i| \geq Cut^*(k-1/2, X)\right) \leq (1-c)^u \quad \text{for } u \geq 1,
$$

and the assertion follows by integration by parts.

*Proof of* [\(3.5\)](#page-3-3) Define  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  by [\(3.21\)](#page-23-2) and [\(3.22\)](#page-23-3), where this time  $t^* =$  $t^*(k - k^{5/6}/2, X)$ . Estimate [\(3.23\)](#page-23-0) is still valid so integration by parts yields

$$
\mathbb{E} l \text{-} \max_{i \in I_1} |X_i| \leq \left(3 + 20 \frac{\alpha}{l}\right) t^*.
$$

Set

$$
k_{\beta} := \left\lceil \beta + \frac{1}{2} k^{5/6} \right\rceil.
$$

Observe that

$$
\lceil \alpha \rceil + k_{\beta} < \alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2} k^{5/6} + 2 = k + 2.
$$

Hence  $\lceil \alpha \rceil + k_\beta \leq k + 1$ .

If 
$$
k_{\beta} > |I_2|
$$
, then  $k - |I_2| \geq \lceil \alpha \rceil + k_{\beta} - 1 - |I_2| \geq \lceil \alpha \rceil$ , so

$$
\mathbb{E}k - \max_{i}|X_i| \leq \mathbb{E}(k - |I_2|) - \max_{i \in I_1} |X_i| \leq \mathbb{E}[\alpha] - \max_{i \in I_1} |X_i| \leq 23t^*.
$$

Therefore it suffices to consider case  $k_\beta \leq |I_2|$  only.

Since  $\lceil \alpha \rceil + k_{\beta} - 1 \le k$  and  $k_{\beta} \le |I_2|$ , we have by [\(3.24\)](#page-23-1),

$$
\mathbb{E}k - \max_i |X_i| \leq \mathbb{E}[\alpha] - \max_{i \in I_1} |X_i| + \mathbb{E}k_{\beta} - \max_{i \in I_2} |X_i| \leq 23t^* + \mathbb{E}k_{\beta} - \max_{i \in I_2} |X_i|.
$$

Since  $\beta \le k - \frac{1}{2}k^{5/6}$  and  $x \to x - \frac{1}{2}x^{5/6}$  is increasing for  $x \ge 1/2$  we have

$$
\beta \le \beta + \frac{1}{2}k^{5/6} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\beta + \frac{1}{2}k^{5/6}\right)^{5/6} \le k_{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}k_{\beta}^{5/6}.
$$

Therefore, considering  $(X_i)_{i \in I_2}$  instead of *X* and  $k_\beta$  instead of *k* it is enough to show the following claim:

Let  $s > 0$ ,  $n \geq k$  and let *X* be an *n*-dimensional log-concave vector with uncorrelated coordinates. Suppose that

$$
\sum_{i \le n} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge s) \le k - \frac{1}{2} k^{5/6} \quad \text{and} \quad \min_{i \le n} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge s) \ge 9/10
$$

then

$$
\mathbb{E}k \text{-} \max_{i \leq n} |X_i| \leq C_8s.
$$

We will show the claim by induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 1$  the statement is obvious (since the assumptions are contradictory). Suppose now that  $k \geq 2$  and the assertion holds for  $k - 1$ .

**Case 1**  $\mathbb{P}(|X_{i_0}| \ge s) \ge 1 - \frac{5}{12}k^{-1/6}$  for some  $1 \le i_0 \le n$ . Then

$$
\sum_{i \neq i_0} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge s) \le k - \frac{1}{2} k^{5/6} - \left(1 - \frac{5}{12} k^{-1/6}\right) \le k - 1 - \frac{1}{2}(k - 1)^{5/6},
$$

where to get the last inequality we used that  $x^{5/6}$  is concave on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , so  $(1-t)^{5/6} \le$  $1 - \frac{5}{6}t$  for  $t = 1/k$ . Therefore by the induction assumption applied to  $(X_i)_{i \neq i_0}$ ,

$$
\mathbb{E}k \text{-} \max_{i} |X_i| \leq \mathbb{E}(k-1) \text{-} \max_{i \neq i_0} |X_i| \leq C_8s.
$$

**Case 2**  $\mathbb{P}(|X_i| \le s) \ge \frac{5}{12}k^{-1/6}$  for all *i*. Applying Lemma [3.15](#page-17-0) we get

$$
\frac{5}{12}k^{-1/6} \le \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{|X_i|}{\|X_i\|_2} \le \frac{s}{\|X_i\|_2}\right) \le C\frac{s}{\|X_i\|_2},
$$

so max<sub>i</sub>  $||X_i||_2 \leq C k^{1/6} s$ . Moreover  $n \leq \frac{10}{9} k$ . Therefore by the result of Lee and Vempala [\[13\]](#page-29-3) *X* satisfies the exponential concentration with  $\alpha \leq C_9 k^{5/12} s$ .

Let  $l = \lceil k - \frac{1}{2}(k^{5/6} - 1) \rceil$  then  $s \ge t_*(l - 1/2, X)$  and  $k - l + 1 \ge \frac{1}{2}(k^{5/6} - 1) \ge$  $\frac{1}{9}k^{5/6}$ . Let

$$
A := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : l \text{-} \max_i |x_i| \leq C_{10} s \right\}.
$$

By [\(3.4\)](#page-3-2) (applied with *l* instead of *k*) we have  $\mathbb{P}(X \in A) \ge c_4$ . Observe that

$$
k - \max_{i} |x_i| \ge C_{10} s + u \Rightarrow \text{dist}(x, A) \ge \sqrt{k - l + 1} u \ge \frac{1}{3} k^{5/12} u.
$$

Therefore by Lemma [3.10](#page-7-0) we get

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(k \text{-} \max_{i}|X_i| \geq C_{10}s + 3C_9us\right) \leq \exp\left(-(u + \ln c_4)_+\right).
$$

Integration by parts yields

$$
\mathbb{E}k \text{-} \max_{i} |X_i| \le (C_{10} + 3C_9(1 - \ln c_4)) s
$$

and the induction step is shown in this case provided that  $C_8 \ge C_{10} + 3C_9(1 - \ln c_4)$ .  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-27-0"></span>To obtain Corollary [3.6](#page-3-4) we used the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.24** Assume that *X* is a symmetric isotropic log-concave vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . *Then*

<span id="page-27-2"></span>
$$
t^*(p, X) \sim \frac{n-p}{n}
$$
 for  $n > p \ge n/4$ . (3.25)

*and*

<span id="page-27-1"></span>
$$
t^*(k/2, X) \sim t^*(k, X) \sim t(k, X) \quad \text{for } k \le n/2. \tag{3.26}
$$

*Proof* Observe that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \le t^*(p, X)) = n - p.
$$

Thus Lemma [3.15](#page-17-0) implies that for  $p \ge c_5 n$  (with  $c_5 \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ ) we have  $t^*(p, X) \sim \frac{n-p}{p}$  Moreover by the Markov inequality  $\frac{n-p}{n}$ . Moreover, by the Markov inequality

$$
\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \geq 4) \leq \frac{n}{16},
$$

so  $t^*(n/4, X) \leq 4$ . Since  $p \mapsto t^*(p, X)$  is non-increasing, we know that *t*<sup>\*</sup>(*p*, *X*) ∼ 1 for *n*/4 ≤ *p* ≤ *c*<sub>5</sub>*n*.

Now we will prove [\(3.26\)](#page-27-1). We have

$$
t^*(k, X) \le t^*(k/2, X) \le t(k/2, X) \le 2t(k, X),
$$

so it suffices to show that  $t^*(k, X) \ge ct(k, X)$ . To this end we fix  $k \le n/2$ . By [\(3.25\)](#page-27-2) we know that  $t := C_{11}t^*(k, X) \ge C_{11}t^*(n/2, X) \ge e$ , so the isotropicity of *X* and Markov's inequality yield  $\mathbb{P}(|X_i| > t) < e^{-2}$  for all *i*. We may also assume that  $t \geq t^*(k, X)$ . Integration by parts and Lemma [3.21](#page-22-0) yield

$$
\mathbb{E}|X_i|1_{\{|X_i|\geq t\}} \leq 3t \mathbb{P}(|X_i|\geq t) + t \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(|X_i|\geq (s+3)t)ds
$$
  

$$
\leq 3t \mathbb{P}(|X_i|\geq t) + t \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(|X_i|\geq t)e^{-s}ds \leq 4t \mathbb{P}(|X_i|\geq t).
$$

Therefore

$$
\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| \ge t\}} \le 4t \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t) \le 4t \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|X_i| \ge t^*(k, X)) \le 4kt,
$$

so  $t(k, X) \leq 4C_{11}t^*(k, X)$ . <sup>∗</sup>*(k, X)*.

**Acknowledgements** The research of RL was supported by the National Science Centre, Poland grant 2015/18/A/ST1/00553 and of MS by the National Science Centre, Poland grants 2015/19/N/ST1/02661 and 2018/28/T/ST1/00001.

### **References**

- <span id="page-28-3"></span>1. S. Artstein-Avidan, A. Giannopoulos, V.D. Milman, *Asymptotic Geometric Analysis. Part I* (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2015)
- <span id="page-28-0"></span>2. N. Balakrishnan, A.C. Cohen, *Order Statistics and Inference* (Academic Press, New York, 1991)
- <span id="page-28-2"></span>3. C. Borell, Convex measures on locally convex spaces. Ark. Math. **12**, 239–252 (1974)
- <span id="page-28-4"></span>4. S. Brazitikos, A. Giannopoulos, P. Valettas, B.H. Vritsiou, *Geometry of Isotropic Convex Bodies* (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2014)
- <span id="page-28-1"></span>5. H.A. David, H.N. Nagaraja, *Order Statistics*, 3rd edn. (Wiley-Interscience, Hoboken, 2003)
- <span id="page-28-8"></span>6. R. Eldan, Thin shell implies spectral gap up to polylog via a stochastic localization scheme. Geom. Funct. Anal. **23**, 532–569 (2013)
- <span id="page-28-5"></span>7. Y. Gordon, A. Litvak, C. Schütt, E. Werner, Orlicz norms of sequences of random variables. Ann. Probab. **30**(4), 1833–1853 (2002)
- <span id="page-28-6"></span>8. Y. Gordon, A. Litvak, C. Schütt, E. Werner, On the minimum of several random variables. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134**(12), 3665–3675 (2006)
- <span id="page-28-7"></span>9. Y. Gordon, A. Litvak, C. Schütt, E. Werner, Uniform estimates for order statistics and Orlicz functions. Positivity **16**(1), 1–28 (2012)
- <span id="page-29-5"></span>10. R. Latała, On the equivalence between geometric and arithmetic means for log-concave measures, in *Convex Geometric Analysis* (Berkeley, 1996). Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, vol. 34 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999), pp. 123–127
- <span id="page-29-4"></span>11. R. Latała, M. Strzelecka, Comparison of weak and strong moments for vectors with independent coordinates. Mathematika **64**(1), 211–229 (2018)
- <span id="page-29-1"></span>12. M. Ledoux, *The Concentration of Measure Phenomenon* (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2001)
- <span id="page-29-3"></span>13. Y.T. Lee, S. Vempala, Eldan's stochastic localization and the KLS hyperplane conjecture: an improved lower bound for expansion, in *58th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science – FOCS 2017* (IEEE Computer Society, Los Alamitos, 2017), pp. 998–1007
- <span id="page-29-2"></span>14. L. Lovász, S. Vempala, The geometry of logconcave functions and sampling algorithms. Random Struct. Algoritm. **30**(3), 307–358 (2007)
- <span id="page-29-0"></span>15. J. Prochno, S. Riemer, On the maximum of random variables on product spaces. Houston J. Math. **39**(4), 1301–1311 (2013)