



Bibliometric-Enhanced Information Retrieval 10th Anniversary Workshop Edition

Guillaume Cabanac^{1(✉)}, Ingo Frommholz^{2(✉)}, and Philipp Mayr^{3(✉)}

¹ Computer Science Department, University of Toulouse,
IRIT UMR 5505, Toulouse, France
guillaume.cabanac@univ-tlse3.fr

² Institute for Research in Applicable Computing, University of Bedfordshire,
Luton, UK
ifrommholz@acm.org

³ GESIS – Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences, Cologne, Germany
philipp.mayr@gesis.org

Abstract. The Bibliometric-enhanced Information Retrieval workshop series (BIR) was launched at ECIR in 2014 [19] and it was held at ECIR each year since then. This year we organize the 10th iteration of BIR. The workshop series at ECIR and JCDL/SIGIR tackles issues related to academic search, at the crossroads between Information Retrieval, Natural Language Processing and Bibliometrics. In this overview paper, we summarize the past workshops, present the workshop topics for 2020 and reflect on some future steps for this workshop series.

Keywords: Academic search · Information retrieval · Digital libraries · Bibliometrics · Scientometrics · Multidisciplinary

1 Motivation and Relevance to ECIR

Searching for scientific information is a long-lived user need. In the early 1960s, Salton was already striving to enhance information retrieval by including clues inferred from bibliographic citations [23]. The development of citation indexes pioneered by Garfield [11] proved determinant for such a research endeavour at the crossroads between the nascent fields of Bibliometrics¹ and Information Retrieval (IR) — BIR. The pioneers who established these fields in Information Science — such as Salton and Garfield — were followed by scientists who specialised in one of these [30], leading to the two loosely connected fields we know of today.

The purpose of the BIR workshop series founded in 2014 is to tighten up the link between IR and Bibliometrics [20]. We strive to get the ‘retrievalists’

¹ Bibliometrics refers to the statistical analysis of the academic literature [21] and plays a key role in scientometrics: the quantitative analysis of science and innovation [16].

Table 1. Overview of the BIR workshop series

Year	Conference	Venue	Papers	Proceedings
2014	ECIR	Amsterdam, NL	6	Vol-1143
2015	ECIR	Vienna, AT	6	Vol-1344
2016	ECIR	Padua, IT	8	Vol-1567
2016	JCDL	Newark, US	10 + 10 ^a	Vol-1610
2017	ECIR	Aberdeen, UK	12	Vol-1823
2017	SIGIR	Tokyo, JP	11	Vol-1888
2018	ECIR	Grenoble, FR	9	Vol-2080
2019	ECIR	Cologne, DE	14	Vol-2345
2019	SIGIR	Paris, FR	16 + 10 ^b	Vol-2414
2020	ECIR	Lisbon, PT	TBA	TBA

^awith CL-SciSumm 2016 Shared Task; ^bwith CL-SciSumm 2019 Shared Task

and ‘citationists’ [30] active in both academia and the industry together, who are developing search engines and recommender systems such as ArnetMiner, Dimensions, Google Scholar, Microsoft Academic Search, and Semantic Scholar, just to name a few.

These bibliometric-enhanced IR systems must deal with the multifaceted nature of scientific information by searching for or recommending academic papers, patents, venues (i.e., conferences or journals), authors, experts (e.g., peer reviewers), references (to be cited to support an argument), and datasets. The underlying models harness relevance signals from keywords provided by authors, topics extracted from the full-texts, co-authorship networks, citation networks, and various classifications schemes of science.

BIR is a hot topic with growing recognition in the community in recent years: see for instance the Initiative for Open Citations [25], the Google Dataset Search [5], the Indian JNU initiative for indexing the world’s literature in full-text [22], the increasing number of retractions [4], and massive studies of self-citations [13,27]. We believe that BIR@ECIR is a much needed scientific event for the ‘retrievalists’, ‘citationists’ and others to meet and join forces pushing the knowledge boundaries of IR applied to literature search and recommendation.

2 Summarizing the Past BIR Workshops

The BIR workshop series was launched at ECIR in 2014 [19] and it was held at ECIR each year since then. As our workshop lies at the crossroads between IR and NLP, we also ran BIR as a joint workshop called BIRNDL (Bibliometric-enhanced IR and NLP for Digital Libraries) at the JCDL [7] and SIGIR [9] conferences. All past workshops had a large number of participants (between ~30 and ~60), demonstrating the relevance of the workshop’s topics.

In the following, we present an overview of the past BIR workshops and keynotes at BIR (Tables 1 and 2). All pointers to the workshops and proceedings are hosted at sites.google.com/view/bir-ws. Many of the presented workshop papers appeared in extended form in one of our four BIR-related special issues (2015 [20], 2018 [8, 18], 2019 [2]).

3 Workshop Topics

The call for papers for the 2020 workshop (the 10th BIR edition) addressed current research issues regarding 3 aspects of the search/recommendation process:

1. User needs and behaviour regarding scientific information, such as:
 - Finding relevant papers/authors for a literature review.
 - Measuring the degree of plagiarism in a paper.
 - Identifying expert reviewers for a given submission.
 - Flagging predatory conferences and journals.
 - Information seeking behaviour and HCI in academic search.
2. Mining the scientific literature, such as:
 - Information extraction, text mining and parsing of scholarly literature.
 - Natural language processing (e.g., citation contexts).
 - Discourse modelling and argument mining.
3. Academic search/recommendation systems:
 - Modelling the multifaceted nature of scientific information.
 - Building test collections for reproducible BIR.
 - System support for literature search and recommendation.

4 Target Audience

The target audience of the BIR workshops are researchers and practitioners, junior and senior, from Scientometrics as well as Information Retrieval and Natural Language Processing. These could be IR/NLP researchers interested in potential new application areas for their work as well as researchers and practitioners working with, for instance, bibliometric data and interested in how IR/NLP methods can make use of such data.

5 Peer Review Process and Workshop Format

Our peer review process is supported by [EasyChair](https://www.easychair.org). Each submission is assigned to 2 to 3 reviewers, preferably at least one expert in IR and one expert in Bibliometrics or NLP. The accepted papers are either long papers (15-min talks) or short papers (5-min talks). Two interactive sessions close the morning and afternoon sessions with posters and demos, allowing attendees to discuss the latest developments in the field and opportunities (e.g., shared tasks such as the CL-SciSumm [12] at the BIRNDL joint workshop, see Sect. 2). These interactive sessions serve as ice-breakers, sparking interesting discussions that usually continue during lunch and the cocktail party. The sessions are also an opportunity for our speakers to further discuss their work.

Table 2. Keynotes at BIR

Year	Area ^a	Title of the keynote presentation	Presenter
2015	SCIM	In Praise of Interdisciplinary Research through Scientometrics	Cabanac [6]
2016	IR	Bibliometrics in Online Book Discussions: Lessons for Complex Search Tasks	Koolen [14]
2016	SCIM	Bibliometrics, Information Retrieval and Natural Language Processing: Natural Synergies to Support Digital Library Research	Wolfram [31]
2017	IR	Real-World Recommender Systems for Academia: The Pain and Gain in Building, Operating, and Researching them	Beel [3]
2017	NLP	Do “Future Work” sections have a purpose? Citation links and entailment for global scientometric questions	Teufel [26]
2018	NLP	Trends in Gaming Indicators: On Failed Attempts at Deception and their Computerised Detection	Labbé [15]
2018	IR	Integrating and Exploiting Public Metadata Sources in a Bibliographic Information System	Schenkel [24]
2019	NLP	Beyond Metadata: the New Challenges in Mining Scientific Papers	Atanassova [1]
2019	IR	Personalized Feed/Query-formulation, Predictive Impact, and Ranking	Wade [28]
2019	NLP	Discourse Processing for Text Analysis: Recent Successes, Current Challenges	Webber [29]
2020	SCIM	Metrics and trends in assessing the scientific impact	Tsatsaronis

^aSCIM: Scientometrics; NLP: Natural Language Processing; IR: Information Retrieval

6 Next Steps

Research on scholarly document processing has for many years been scattered across multiple venues like ACL, SIGIR, JCDL, CIKM, LREC, NAACL, KDD, and others. Our next strategic step is the First Workshop on Scholarly Document Processing (SDP)² will be held in November 2020 in conjunction with the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing. This workshop and initiative will be organized by a diverse group of researchers (organizers from BIR, BIRNDL, Workshop on Mining Scientific Publications/WOSP

² <https://ornlcda.github.io/SDProc/>.

and Big Scholar) which have expertise in NLP, ML, Text Summarization/Mining, Computational Linguistics, Discourse Processing, IR, and others.

Acknowledgement. We organizers wish to thank all those who contributed to this workshop series: The researchers who contributed papers, the many reviewers who generously offered their time and expertise, and the participants of the BIR and BIRNDL workshops. Since 2016, we maintain the [Bibliometric-enhanced-IR Bibliography](#) that collects scientific papers which appear in collaboration with the BIR/BIRNDL organizers.

References

1. Atanassova, I.: Beyond metadata: the new challenges in mining scientific papers. In: Cabanac, G., Frommholz, I., Mayr, P. (eds.) Proceedings of the 8th International Workshop on Bibliometric-Enhanced Information Retrieval (BIR 2019) Co-located with the 41st European Conference on Information Retrieval (ECIR 2019), Cologne, Germany, 14 April 2019. CEUR Workshop Proceedings, vol. 2345, pp. 8–13. CEUR-WS.org (2019). <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2345/paper1.pdf>
2. Atanassova, I., Bertin, M., Mayr, P.: Editorial: mining scientific papers: NLP-enhanced bibliometrics. *Front. Res. Metrics Anal.* (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3389/frma.2019.00002>
3. Beel, J., Dinesh, S.: Real-world recommender systems for academia: the pain and gain in building, operating, and researching them. In: Mayr, P., Frommholz, I., Cabanac, G. (eds.) Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Bibliometric-enhanced Information Retrieval (BIR) Co-located with the 39th European Conference on Information Retrieval (ECIR 2017), Aberdeen, UK, 9th April 2017. CEUR Workshop Proceedings, vol. 1823, pp. 6–17. CEUR-WS.org (2017). <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-1823/paper1.pdf>
4. Brainard, J., You, J.: What a massive database of retracted papers reveals about science publishing’s “death penalty”. *Science* (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav8384>
5. Brickley, D., Burgess, M., Noy, N.: Google dataset search: building a search engine for datasets in an open Web ecosystem. In: The World Wide Web Conference on - WWW 2019, pp. 1365–1375. ACM Press (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3308558.3313685>
6. Cabanac, G.: In praise of interdisciplinary research through scientometrics. In: Mayr, P., Frommholz, I., Mutschke, P. (eds.) Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Bibliometric-Enhanced Information Retrieval Co-located with the 37th European Conference on Information Retrieval (ECIR 2015), Vienna, Austria, 29th March 2015. CEUR Workshop Proceedings, vol. 1344, pp. 5–13. CEUR-WS.org (2015). <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-1344/paper1.pdf>
7. Cabanac, G., et al. (eds.): BIRNDL 2016: Proceedings of the Joint Workshop on Bibliometric-enhanced Information Retrieval and Natural Language Processing for Digital Libraries co-located with the Joint Conference on Digital Libraries, vol. 1610. CEUR-WS, Aachen (2016)
8. Cabanac, G., Frommholz, I., Mayr, P.: Bibliometric-enhanced information retrieval: preface. *Scientometrics* **116**(2), 1225–1227 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-018-2861-0>

9. Chandrasekaran, M.K., Mayr, P. (eds.): BIRNDL 2019: Proceedings of the 4th Joint Workshop on Bibliometric-enhanced Information Retrieval and Natural Language Processing for Digital Libraries co-located with the Joint Conference on Digital Libraries, vol. 2414. CEUR-WS, Aachen (2019)
10. Chandrasekaran, M.K., Mayr, P. (eds.): Proceedings of the 4th Joint Workshop on Bibliometric-enhanced Information Retrieval and Natural Language Processing for Digital Libraries (BIRNDL 2019) co-located with the 42nd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval (SIGIR 2019), Paris, France, 25 July 2019, CEUR Workshop Proceedings, vol. 2414. CEUR-WS.org (2019). <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2414>
11. Garfield, E.: Citation indexes for science: a new dimension in documentation through association of ideas. *Science* **122**(3159), 108–111 (1955). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.122.3159.108>
12. Jaidka, K., Chandrasekaran, M.K., Rustagi, S., Kan, M.Y.: Insights from CL-SciSumm 2016: the faceted scientific document summarization shared task. *Int. J. Dig. Lib.* **19**(2–3), 163–171 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00799-017-0221-y>
13. Kacem, A., Flatt, J.W., Mayr, P.: Tracking self-citations in academic publishing. *Scientometrics* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-020-03413-9>
14. Koolen, M.: Bibliometrics in online book discussions: lessons for complex search tasks. In: Mayr, P., Frommholz, I., Cabanac, G. (eds.) Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Bibliometric-enhanced Information Retrieval co-located with the 38th European Conference on Information Retrieval (ECIR 2016), Padova, Italy, 20 March 2016. CEUR Workshop Proceedings, vol. 1567, pp. 5–13. CEUR-WS.org (2016). <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-1567/paper1.pdf>
15. Labbé, C.: Trends in gaming indicators: on failed attempts at deception and their computerised detection. In: Mayr, P., et al. [17], pp. 6–15. <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2080/paper1.pdf>
16. Leydesdorff, L., Milojević, S.: Scientometrics. In: Wright, J.D. (ed.) *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 21, 2nd edn, pp. 322–327. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2015)
17. Mayr, P., Frommholz, I., Cabanac, G. (eds.): Proceedings of the 7th International Workshop on Bibliometric-enhanced Information Retrieval (BIR 2018) Co-located with the 40th European Conference on Information Retrieval (ECIR 2018), Grenoble, France, 26 March 2018, CEUR Workshop Proceedings, vol. 2080. CEUR-WS.org (2018). <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2080>
18. Mayr, P., et al.: Special issue on bibliometric-enhanced information retrieval and natural language processing for digital libraries. *Int. J. Dig. Lib.* **19**(2–3), 107–111 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00799-017-0230-x>
19. Mayr, P., Schaer, P., Scharnhorst, A., Larsen, B., Mutschke, P. (eds.): BIR 2016 Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on Bibliometric-enhanced Information Retrieval co-located with the 36th European Conference on Information Retrieval, vol. 1143. CEUR-WS, Aachen (2014)
20. Mayr, P., Scharnhorst, A.: Scientometrics and information retrieval: weak-links revitalized. *Scientometrics* **102**(3), 2193–2199 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-014-1484-3>
21. Pritchard, A.: Statistical bibliography or bibliometrics? [Documentation notes]. *J. Document.* **25**(4), 348–349 (1969). <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb026482>
22. Pulla, P.: The plan to mine the world's research papers. *Nature* **571**, 316–318 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-019-02142-1>
23. Salton, G.: Associative document retrieval techniques using bibliographic information. *J. ACM* **10**(4), 440–457 (1963). <https://doi.org/10.1145/321186.321188>

24. Schenkel, R.: Integrating and exploiting public metadata sources in a bibliographic information system. In: Mayr, P., et al. [17], pp. 16–21. <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2080/paper2.pdf>
25. Shotton, D.: Funders should mandate open citations. *Nature* **553**(7687), 129 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-018-00104-7>
26. Teufel, S.: Do “future work” sections have a purpose? citation links and entailment for global scientometric questions. In: Mayr, P., Chandrasekaran, M.K., Jaidka, K. (eds.) *Proceedings of the 2nd Joint Workshop on Bibliometric-enhanced Information Retrieval and Natural Language Processing for Digital Libraries (BIRNDL 2017) Co-located with the 40th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval (SIGIR 2017)*, Tokyo, Japan, 11 August 2017. *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, vol. 1888, pp. 7–13. CEUR-WS.org (2017). <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-1888/paper1.pdf>
27. Van Noorden, R., Singh Chawla, D.: Hundreds of extreme self-citing scientists revealed in new database. *Nature* **572**(7771), 578–579 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-019-02479-7>
28. Wade, A.D., Williams, I.: Personalized feed/query-formulation, predictive impact, and ranking. In: Chandrasekaran, M.K., Mayr, P., [10], pp. 6–7. <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2414/paper1.pdf>
29. Webber, B.: Discourse processing for text analysis: recent successes, current challenges. In: Chandrasekaran, M.K., Mayr, P., [10], pp. 8–14. <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2414/paper2.pdf>
30. White, H.D., McCain, K.W.: Visualizing a discipline: an author co-citation analysis of Information science, 1972–1995. *J. Am. Soc. Inf. Sci.* **49**(4), 327–355 (1998). b57vc7
31. Wolfram, D.: Bibliometrics, information retrieval and natural language processing: natural synergies to support digital library research. In: Cabanac, G., et al. (eds.) *Proceedings of the Joint Workshop on Bibliometric-enhanced Information Retrieval and Natural Language Processing for Digital Libraries (BIRNDL) co-located with the Joint Conference on Digital Libraries 2016 (JCDL 2016)*, Newark, NJ, USA, 23 June 2016. *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, vol. 1610, pp. 6–13. CEUR-WS.org (2016). <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-1610/paper1.pdf>