



5

Investments in the Digital Silk Road

Vitaly Ambalov and Irina Heim

Introduction

In the past 25 years, Kazakhstan has succeeded in attracting direct investments, predominantly in the natural resource sector, worth about US \$149 billion (UNCTAD, 2018), and established effective cooperation with major transnational corporations, catalyzed through implementation of institutional reform and democratic transformation (see Baldakhov & Heim, 2020, this volume). Government initiatives have demonstrated commitment to structural reforms and are intended to improve the economy's resistance to external shocks, while digitalization is recognized as a critical factor in ensuring the sustainable development of the country.

The original version of this chapter was revised: Reference was corrected in the chapter. The erratum to this chapter is available at https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-37389-4_11

V. Ambalov

Republican Confederation of Entrepreneurship, Minsk, Belarus

I. Heim (✉)

Henley Business School, University of Reading, Reading, UK

e-mail: irina.heim@henley.ac.uk

© The Author(s) 2020, corrected publication 2020

I. Heim (ed.), *Kazakhstan's Diversification from the Natural Resources Sector*, Euro-Asian Studies, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-37389-4_5

Digital Kazakhstan, a state program ratified in 2017, forecasts that one-third of the GDP growth of 6% will be directly related to the development of information and communication technology (ICT). To implement the program *Digital Kazakhstan*, the state has planned for digitalization of centers for each state body or institution, including governmental agencies. The program is essential for the country as the world is entering the era of the new digital economy (NDE)¹ where co-creation of value² invades every process, from product design and engineering, over-manufacturing and logistics to services, and thus digital information is the top priority. This means that analysts now need to be more concerned with network effects while planning investment projects, in detail as well as in general, with transactions, modes and fixed nodes, acquisition, and greenfield investments (Buckley, 2016).

Despite the possibilities of modern ICT, it cannot solve all the problems associated with managing network interactions due to the eclectic behavior of value chain actors, which increasingly are not firms, but complex and unstable nodal structures. These chains become fragmented into specialized tasks, which are geographically dispersed across the nodes of global value chains.³ In Kazakhstan, there is an understanding of this problem and actions are being taken to integrate local enterprises into global value creation hubs. An example of such a node is the Karachaganak Petroleum Operating B.V. (KPO).⁴ Recently, the consortium created has implemented a long-term digitalization program (Karachaganak news, 2018). However, most companies in Kazakhstan are still on their path of realization that in NDE they will have to turn to business process re-engineering again so as not only to improve performance processes but also to re-establish an organizational interface between such activities (Bodrožić & Adler, 2018). With the growing popularity of business

¹The new digital economy (NDE) is emerging from a combination of technologies, mainly from the ICT space, that are becoming pervasive across mechanical systems, communications, infrastructure, and built environment (UNCTAD, 2017).

²Co-creation puts the spotlight squarely on consumer-company interaction as the locus of value creation (Prahalad & Ramaswamy, 2004).

³Dispersed business networks that are organized and coordinated by global firms as a common project of independent suppliers, which has its certain time frame and sequence of actions (Smorodinskaya & Katukov, 2017).

⁴The Karachaganak project brings expertise and knowledge from five oil & gas companies—ENI, Royal Dutch Shell, Chevron, Lukoil, and KazMunayGas.

process re-engineering in the 1990s, business actors grasped the role of intra-corporate networks and the necessity of reducing vertical (strong) links and enhancing horizontal (weak) ones, which has led to an emergency of matrix organizational structures. By the third decade of the twenty-first century, the global economy will have come to the need for such re-engineering throughout, at every level from an individual business process to the national and global economy. A feature of re-engineering in the NDE environment is the inclusion of all countries, firms, and processes in global value chains—a direct consequence of the widespread adoption of ICT, especially the internet and cloud technologies.

Digitalization is usually considered as a means of ensuring the growth and productivity of the economy and the well-being of citizens, but, like any other technology, it also exhibits features that limit the advantages and may even undermine developing countries' economic performance. New technologies can present a double negative impact to low-income countries: the benefits from the production of labor-intensive products are reduced and the ability to compensate for the technological disadvantages of an unskilled labor is reduced (Rodrik, 2018). The growth of investments, which is currently a driver of economic growth, contributes to the reduction of the impact of this technological shock on the Kazakhstani economy as new MNE market entries create new opportunities for local enterprises. In the settings of NDE the role of modern MNEs changes to become a “meta-integrator”, able to leverage knowledge within and between the different constituent affiliates of its international network, which requires efficient internal markets and well-structured cross-border hierarchies (Narula, 2016). This creates an indigenous labor force with a suitable level of training and skills, to be able to participate in this *meta-integration* with the least transaction costs and disruption to the lives of ordinary people (Dunning & Lundan, 2008). New role needs in management methods based on value co-creation and the appropriate country institutions (see Baldakhov & Heim, 2020, this volume). At the same time, the R&D community can advise on economic theory adaptation to the reality of a new digital service economy.

Kazakhstan eagerly explores opportunities of the NDE in parallel with other advantages embedded within the processes of globalization. WTO accession, expansion in cooperation with MNEs, and changes in the

regulatory and legal environment create incentives for foreign investors, while new local industry development institutes promote local companies to play a more important role in the infrastructure projects implemented in the country. Prompt incentives provided to improve the national education system, and the foundation of new universities (including private) like the public International Information Technology University established in Almaty in close cooperation with the Carnegie Mellon University (USA) or public Kazakh-British Technical University⁵ in Almaty, mitigated the shortage in ICT professionals. Nevertheless, the R&D environment is not yet developed enough to exploit the full potential of the educational system, which needs a flow of duly funded research projects. The level of R&D expenditure is still shallow, accounting for approximately 0.2% of GDP (see below), which might constrain implementation of economic development programs, including scientific programs of digitalization and the application of ICT.

From the theoretical perspective, the promotion of digital economy investments will require adaptation of management theories since studies so far have focused on the digital transformation of single industries (Kretschmer & Claussen, 2016). Business system architecture also needs to be compatible with ICT architecture, so that a respective computer cluster node reflects every business system component or every business cluster node is reflected in a respective ICT cluster node.

In this chapter, we use the model of NDE in resource-rich countries (Heim, 2019) to study diversification of the ICT cluster by linking it with the O&G cluster, integrating this poll with national clusters of other countries, thus making use of the mutually beneficial cooperation within the international cluster system. This approach can be used to interpret the BRI, an initiative in understanding what this means for frontier states as receivers of action, investment, and infrastructure. Countries-receivers of BRI are exposed to direct (physical, economic) and ancillary (social, environmental, political) benefits and costs (Sternberg, Ahearn, & McConnell, 2017). Assessment of such advantages and disadvantages in a cluster node model may be useful for BRI projects.

⁵An idea to establish KBTU belongs to the former President of the RK, Nursultan Nazarbayev, who had a number of meetings with official representatives of the UK. This activity resulted in the Memorandum of Understanding signed by the two states in November 2000. British Prime Minister Tony Blair and Nursultan Nazarbayev became patrons of the university.

New Digital Economy Institutions

As the overview of the literature on institutional theory has revealed, the targets of the institutional theory analysis are an organization and an economy, both approached from the point of view of utility maximization. Therefore, classical institutional theory does not consider networks of different actors and value as a purpose of economic exchange. Of particular interest in understanding the role of institutions in a multiple-stakeholders' environment is the implication of institutional theory for political science, particularly as seen in the works of Ostrom (1990, 2005) on the governance of common-pool resources. She studies the role of institutions in the complex and interrelated resource integration and service exchange collaborative management of natural ecosystems organized around shared purposes (as cited in Vargo & Lusch, 2016). This is a similar point of view on institutions to that which was adopted by the theory of value co-creation. The purpose of institutions from the value co-creation perspective is to enable cooperation and coordination in ecosystems, as well as to reconcile conflict in the increasingly complex and interrelated integration of resources (Vargo & Lusch, 2016). These institutional structures can be viewed at various levels of aggregation such as micro-, meso-, and macro-levels (Lusch & Vargo, 2014). In these structures value flows from one actor to another and is created through multiple levels of interactions which are not fixed and evolve over time (Vargo, Wieland & Akaka, 2015).

Institutional theory itself cannot explain all aspects of emergency of digital and tertiary sector of the economy, including effects of government policies in countries. Therefore, institutional theory needs to be linked to other theories in order to explain more recent trends in the global economy. In the next paragraphs of this chapter we will discuss the main trends in the economic development of Kazakhstan to make the reader familiar with institutional development in the country.

Information and communication technology advancement causes changes not only in production processes but also in the architecture of economic systems, affecting processes of internationalization, direct

foreign investments, and industrial policies.⁶ A critical issue here is the interoperability⁷ of economic actors that act within global networks, and distributed production systems that extensively use outsourcing within their national industrial clusters and readily cross borders in search of assets, markets, and efficiency. ICT has contributed significantly to creative destruction⁸ through the emergence of some new firms and industries and the decline of others. It impacts industrial organizational structures and has obvious implications for employment. Directly and indirectly, ICT can also reduce market friction and transaction costs and affect competitive positioning, with resulting impact on productivity improvement and economic growth (OECD, 2011). However, in the academic literature we do not find strong evidence that ICT helps reduce transaction costs. On the contrary, in the NDE era, due to the growth in volumes of data and information, transaction costs increase according to the amount of costs associated with cybersecurity, information costs, cost of digital transformations, and so on.

NDE holds considerable promise for businesses able to take advantage of new technology and mitigate risk. Large and small companies that rely on the new tools of the NDE, in both developed and developing countries alike, can make their organizations more efficient, as well as serve customers more effectively, and push through innovative business transformations. While large companies might have access to more comprehensive data than higher-level platform owners or end users, access to all the world's relevant data by the end user is not required to speed up innovation or carve new market space within NDE (UNCTAD, 2017).

Research suggests the structure of the digital economy in resource-rich countries (Heim, 2019). This model demonstrates the intersection of the

⁶Industrial policy can be defined as strategic efforts of a government to encourage structural changes and development leading to fostering competitiveness via growth in manufacturing and the related services sector (Aiginger, 2007; Altenburg, 2011).

⁷Interoperability is the ability of entities of an organization to work together that covers aspects ranging from the technical to the business level (Li & Liu, 2018).

⁸Creative destruction as a process of industrial change that increasingly revolutionizes the economic structure from within, destroying the old one and creating a new structure (Schumpeter, 1934)




Fig. 5.1 NDE in resource-rich countries. (Source: Heim, 2019)

three cluster nodes involved in the extraction of natural resources: the O&G industry, the oilfield service industry, and the ICT industry (see Fig. 5.1). Moreover, the activities of actors are carried out in the field of the NDE, where the behavior of actors is influenced by other digital institutions. Through the ICT industry, the data produced by the O&G industry and the oilfield service industry can be converted into information necessary for process control, which indicates the mutual interest of the parties in aligning the technological level of the collaborating cluster nodes.

ICT is relatively undeveloped in Kazakhstan, especially in SMEs, in which the level of adoption is very low. The main gaps are lack of financial resources for investments in ICT equipment and services, which leads to a lack of modern technologies, as well as skills gaps. The reason why SMEs often cannot access financial resources is their inability to provide evidence of long-term financial stability and to pay the loan back (Heim, Kalyuzhnova, Li & Liu, 2018). Kazakh companies are attempting to participate in the digital economy, but knowledge and financial resources are available to big players only, usually state-owned. That is

why digital technologies⁹ are now mainly developed with state support. For instance, KazMunayGas, a national oil and gas company (NOC KMG), started an ambitious project of transformation and digitization of business processes. A shared services center (SSC), one of the first in Kazakhstan, has recently been created. Dmitry Basisty, Deputy General Director, KMG Global Solutions B.V., in the interview with Irina Heim said:

The key point of such organizational structure is dedication of certain auxiliary business functions of the national oil and gas company to separate companies to have manufacturing facilities free to focus on the core activities—oil and gas exploration, production, transportation, refining, etc.

Some of the companies in the O&G industry are advanced from the ICT development standpoint; however, there are others in which ICT does not exist, companies with only basic technologies. In Kazakhstan, there is an intensive activity of *meta-integrators*, such as, for example, MNEs taking part in a joint KPO project. International O&G giants—ENI, Royal Dutch Shell plc, Chevron, Lukoil, and KazMunayGas (national oil company of Kazakhstan)—managed to put their efforts to invest in this project with \$22 bill. KPO, in cooperation with operator companies, identified several digitalization initiatives that are important for the project in Kazakhstan, as well as for parent companies. Digitalization is an important initiative for KPO, as well as for its employees and subcontractors but also for the development of local businesses and creation of conditions for new business.¹⁰

Institutional theory is a reliable tool for filling in this knowledge gap, since NDE, and any of its actors, is a complex system for which survival

⁹Digital technologies include (1) advanced production equipment, robotics, and factory automation, (2) new sources of data from mobile and ubiquitous Internet connectivity, (3) cloud computing, (4) big data analytics, and (5) artificial intelligence. These technologies and processes are based, in one way or another, on advanced ICT, so that the driver of the NDE is the continued improvement in ICT (UNCTAD, 2017).

¹⁰Karachaganak news (2018). Available at: http://www.kpo.kz/fileadmin/user_upload/karachaganak_news_2018/NOVOSTI_SENTJABR__2018.pdf

is the main goal, and in order to ensure their survival, organizations must comply with rationalized and institutionalized expectations of their environment and adopt the expected structures and management practices (Geppert, Matten, & Walgenbach, 2006). Since Chinese internet firms see the digital Silk Road as an opportunity to seek government support (Shen, 2018), this is one of the reasons why an institutional view is useful (Ramamurti & Hillemann, 2018).

Technological Digital Divide

NDE has its roots in microelectronics industry. In the mid-1960s Gordon Moore, a founder of Fairchild Semiconductor, observing evolution of semiconductor technology, predicted that the number of the components (transistors, resistors, diodes, or capacitors) in an integrated circuit would double approximately every two years, which turned out to be one of the most successful predictions in modern history (Mack, 2011). Over the last 50 years, the increase in the density of transistors and their number on a single chip has become a drive for the exponential growth of processor performance, and the use of economies of scale has made it possible to quickly reduce the cost associated with their performance. The demand for chips ensured steady growth of the sectors of the economy related to microelectronics: precision engineering, optical instrument production, production of ultrapure materials, and other aspects of it. The expanded capabilities of electronics promote R&D in new digital technologies. Simultaneously gross revenues of ICT-dedicated industries as well as a number of the ICT applications grow exponentially, and investing opportunities emerge respectively. It is worth noting that costs and energy consumption by the ongoing ICT race grow at a roughly equal pace. Microelectronics is becoming an important industry as NDE is based on the continued exponential improvement in the cost performance of ICT, mainly microelectronics (UNCTAD, 2017).

The growing complexity of the chips entails a growing digital divide between developing and developed countries in terms of participation in high value-added activities. The cost of the most advanced semiconductor factories now exceeds US \$10 billion, with individual tools

approaching \$100 million. This rocketing cost of entry means that now only four companies in the world have the capacity to make semiconductor chips based on leading-edge technology: Intel (USA), Samsung (Korea), TSMC (Taiwan), and USA-Singapore based GlobalFoundries (Jones, 2017). Developing and transition economies, including Kazakhstan, are not ICT importers for this reason; the digitalization paradigm makes the task to eliminate the so-called technological digital divide¹¹ more challenging and requires governments to amend economic policy with particular emphasis on intensified investments in digital infrastructure and R&D.

Peter Drucker stipulated that it is technology import-export balance, not the national trade balance, that determines the national welfare at a particular life stage of a nation (Drucker, 1992). In the NDE era, the conclusion can also be extended to the export-import balance of ICT. Countries unable to create and export assets with a significant ICT component will need to spend a notable part of their incomes on the imports. Historically uneven distribution of technology creation centers and under-developed R&D in developing and emerging countries are the main reasons for digital inequality. Research into the role of ICT in development (ICT4D) is often based on the concept of the “digital divide” which can be defined as “lack of technological access or ownership existing between individuals, households, companies and regions” (Dey & Ali, 2016; OECD, 2001). The digital divide has long been a significant concern of governments, the international community, and researchers (UNCTAD, 2010). Most of the research has focused on reasons for the digital divide, for example infrastructural bottleneck (Rao, 2005), gender (Cooper, 2006), socioeconomic development (Çılan, Bolat, & Coşkun, 2009), or skills and interests (Min, 2010). However, ICT can also help companies in emerging countries to better compete in the global economy (Salnikova, 2013). For instance, Rimmel and Diedrich (2000) claimed that in business-to-business operations, companies use internet technologies to integrate their value chains, where the largest impact can be achieved by SMEs. Levy and Powell (2003) studied

¹¹ Digital divide between technological levels of domestic and foreign enterprises.

internet adoption strategies of SMEs in the UK Midlands and found little evidence that SMEs do more than develop websites and adopt email. Ntwoku, Negash, and Meso (2017) studied personal computers and internet diffusion by SMEs in Cameroon and the environmental factors that negatively affect institutionalization of e-commerce in Tanzania (Kabanda & Brown, 2017). Previous research also included studies of the determinants of ICT adoption by SMEs within transition economies of Czech-Polish region (Hanclova, Rozehnal, Ministr, & Tvrdikova, 2015), business performance monitoring software for SMEs in Chile (Lind, Sepúlveda, & Nuñez, 2000), as well as impact of initiatives to pursue a higher degree of ICT and e-technology adaptation by SMEs (Milis, 2008).

According to Qureshi (2015), the effect of ICT on development can be studied at the individual, organization, country, region, and world level. Investments in R&D, including ICT-related, are important for overcoming the digital divide but also for economic development (Fraumeni & Okubo, 2005). Global statistics show that lack of R&D funding is specific not only to Kazakhstan but to most emerging and transition economies. Figures 5.2 and 5.3 show the dynamic diagrams of R&D expenditure measured in a percentage of GDP for the period from 2005 to 2015 and the current account balance measured in a percentage of GDP for the period from 2005 to 2017, respectively, for six countries with low, medium, and high R&D expenditures. The period selected for interpretation is remarkable for signs of the global financial crisis of 2008 in the middle, accompanied by the drop in prices of natural resources, and the high volatility of many currencies.

Research suggests that there is a positive and significant relationship between volatility, R&D intensity, and the various patent-related measures—especially when the innovation measures are filtered to distinguish the very innovative firms from the less innovate ones (Mazzucato & Tancioni, 2012). To reduce the technological digital divide through innovations and improve overall economic development, policy in Kazakhstan should be focused on diversification from the O&G sector, supporting domestic companies and research in ICT-related sectors, encouraging export-oriented projects, and pushing such companies to join international initiatives (see Han & Ghobadian, 2020 and Selmier, 2020, this volume). Without involvement in international R&D




Fig. 5.2 Research and development expenditures for selected countries, percentage of GDP. (Source: Authors, own processed data based on World Bank (2018))




Fig. 5.3 Current account balance for selected countries, percentage of GDP. (Source: Authors, own processed data based on World Bank (2018))




Fig. 5.4 Worldwide ICT spending forecast, Bln US dollars. (Source: Authors, own processed data based on Gartner)

projects, no emerging economy can implement the strategy to minimize the economic discontinuities between developed and developing economies due to the unavoidable increase of ICT expenditure which follows an upward trend (Fig. 5.4).

In previous decades companies and states were able to achieve positive growth with limited application of ICT components for the selective automation of key individual processes. During digital transformation, almost every nation and company needs to apply ICT technologies. For instance, in the O&G industry, critical to the economy of Kazakhstan, the next generation of ICT technologies applied by O&G companies could reduce cost by approximately 20%, at an oil price of about US \$70 per barrel (Choudhry, Mohammad, Tan & Ward, 2016). Provided this is the case, the forecast is that digitalization of the O&G industry could lead to the reduction of capital expenditures by approximately 20%. Taking into consideration the capital-intensive character of the O&G industry, there is a great motivation to make the most of the digital transformation (Verdu, 2017).




Fig. 5.5 Research and development expenditures and the sustainability of the economy for countries. (Source: Authors, own processed data based on World Bank (2018))

The sample of 49 countries, including Kazakhstan, with R&D expenditure over 0.5% of GDP, except for the USA, is provided in Fig. 5.5. The average R&D expenditure as a percentage of GDP, the median of a current account balance as a percentage of GDP, and the median and standard deviation of the current account balance were calculated for each country.

As illustrated by the diagrams above, the current account balance dynamic shows a correlation between total R&D expenditure and current account fluctuations. For countries with median R&D expenditure less than the world average (about 2% of GDP) in the period between 1996 and 2015, the average current account balance, usually, has greater volatility or was negative.

It can be concluded that Kazakhstan needs to increase investments in R&D, including ICT and especially its digital component, as digital technology is interesting not only as an information- and communication-related process but as an element of innovation activity cycle. This cycle requires a whole host of other technologies, as well as infrastructure, and physical and intellectual assets; it should be considered in terms of interaction among all concurrent technological, institutional, and social change (Grübler, 2003). Investment in new technologies with predominantly digital components will decrease the technological digital divide.

Since the emerging outline of the NDE is still unclear, digital inequality (being the difference between those involved in the digital world and those uninvolved) sharpens the inequality between countries that still stay behind the cutting edge of digital transformation. Although it is still uncertain what advantages NDE will give to average users, those who are capable of accumulating, accessing, and analyzing big data would accrue greater advantages (UNCTAD, 2017). Developing, transition and small countries, having no such capabilities, stand on very unfavorable grounds. Digital technology implementation inequality is evident even in Europe, which explores only 12% of its digital potential: the UK operates at 17% of its digital capacity, while Germany at just 10%. The recognized leader in digitalization, USA, explores about 18% of its potential illustrated as a digital threshold. The Netherlands and some other countries are European net digital service exporters,¹² while Italy is a net importer. In general, Europe's digital capability is far beyond that of the USA, while much of Europe relies on the import of the US technology for its own digital development (McKinsey, 2016).

Digital ICT invasion in every NDE aspect and influence on institutional structures and local content policy (LCP) in resource-rich countries such as Kazakhstan puts new requirements on economic cluster structure analysis methods and the examination of MNE performance as the principal FDI source. MNEs entering a market affect every business actor, through its technology, including ICT, and governmental bodies

¹²A net exporter is a sector that contributes to the growth of the economy via the trade balance and therefore should provide more employment and business opportunities (McKinsey, 2016).

and agencies in emerging markets have to take measures to enhance the competitiveness of local companies. For this reason, Kazakhstan was the first post-Soviet state to promote the competitiveness of local industry through the National Agency for Development of Local Content (NADLoC),¹³ an industrial subcontracting node and quality improvement accelerator for the local ICT-focused companies (see Sabirov & Shakulikova, 2020, this volume).

The Digital Silk Road

ICT is a broad area affecting every aspect of human activity. However, the NDE it provoked is far from a revolutionary form of economic structure, and digitalization is now not a fundamentally new phenomenon. Information had not changed its role principally since the age of the Great Silk Road when goods and knowledge moved alongside the routes crossing Central Asia and the territory of modern Kazakhstan. The information used within the exchange of goods was crucial for the trade itself and the key decision-making of governors. But only electronic computers “made us understand that information is a form of energy, like electricity, and a source of energy for intellectual labor” (Drucker, 1992). The Great Silk Road gave birth to the intensive use of digital information, too. Musa Al-Khwarizmi, a scholar from Central Asia who lived in the ninth century modified Indian digits and transformed them into Arabic numerals. Further, he invented algorithms and algebra and these inventions spread across Asia and to many other countries (Arger, 2015). A few centuries later the decimal number system spread across Europe also and achieved the current status.

The beginning of the Digital Era as we see it today can be identified as of July 1948, when the *Bell System Technical Journal* published *A Mathematical Theory of Communication* written by Claude Shannon (1948). In the article, Shannon presented the modern concept of information and showed how to measure the indefinite phenomenon of

¹³Since 2018—Qazaqstan Industry and Export Center JSC or QAZINDUSTRY.

information quantitatively, with absolute accuracy. He demonstrated the essential unity of all information media, pointing out that text, telephone signals, radio waves, pictures, film, and every other mode of communication could be encoded in the universal language of binary digits, presenting the idea that information can be transmitted without any error once it becomes digital.¹⁴ The proof of possibility to measure information and its entropy made it possible to combine advances of many sciences that resulted in digital information and communication systems. Therefore, speaking about digitalization, we should remember that digitized information always contains some entropy as understood by Shannon, one of those “who changed the world so that the old one after transformation is neglected” (Gleick, 2011).

Kazakhstan entered the Digital Age in the year of Shannon’s article publication (1948) when the Sector of Mathematics and Mechanics was established to join the development of mathematical methods of data processing and transmission. Later, the Sector matured into the Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Kazakhstan National Academy of Sciences, and made a fair contribution to the global science and IT progress. The work of world-famous institute members Amerbayev and Akushsky and many others laid the foundation for a computer that was the first to reach the speed of 1 million operations per second in the early 1960s (Malinovsky, 1998). Afterward, the academic and industry research institutes developed computer modeling in high-energy physics, geophysics, economic management, and so on, but the research activities had shrunk in the early 1990s from funding shortages. Consequently, imported ICT products conquered the emerging ICT market. Programs which provided necessary automation solutions with minimum adjustment became most popular in Kazakhstan (Pak, 2012).

Nonetheless, the Kazakhstan countrywide innovation system demonstrates its ability to create projects that meet international standards. An example of such a project is the development of digital technology used to model and optimize oil pumping practices in a pipeline section, by integrating the SmartTrans software and the SCADA system (see Table 5.1).

¹⁴Waldrop, M.M. (2001). Claude Shannon: Reluctant Father of the Digital Age. Available at: <https://www.technologyreview.com/s/401112/claude-shannon-reluctant-father-of-the-digital-age/>

Table 5.1 The convergence of technologies in the O&G industry

Technology	Current adoption
Communication: third-party data transfer devices, hard-wired connected equipment, radio, wireless, satellites	In the upstream sector, telecommunication infrastructure is extremely limited or absent In the downstream sector, communications are negatively impacted by large metal constructions
Central data and control systems: supervisory control and data acquisition systems (SCADA) Historian systems	Central data and control system at plants Old technology with many technical barriers still dominates Transfer cross-functional data into a single centralized location. Built on outdated databases, dependent on the quality of data from other outdated systems
Industrial internet	Companies are replacing older equipment by IoT-enabled smart sensors. This gives O&G companies, much like any other industry, the potential to perform greater analytics and obtain business insights
Security infrastructure and industrial control systems	As the industrial internet becomes more and more embedded in the O&G technology ecosystem, the demand for security infrastructure will grow Security concerns are related to possible impact scenarios in the interconnected ecosystem
Asset management systems	Nowadays, O&G companies have the opportunity to implement better asset management systems Limitations of these systems can include integration between procurement systems and asset maintenance data, still widely using Excel files stored on individual computers; no integration of this information is available With the installation of IoT-enabled sensors, analytics can help to improve asset performance

Source: Author, adapted from Industrial Internet Consortium (2015)

Case Study: Smart Oil Transportation Program

KazTransOil, an operator of the main pipelines in Kazakhstan in cooperation with leading Kazakh Universities research centers and Kazakhstan National Academy of Science, has used digital technology to model and optimize oil pumping practices in a pipeline section by integrating the SmartTrans software and the SCADA. This system will help the operator to digitize transportation systems in order to solve energy efficiency problems while transporting oil. The program uses real-time data to control and manage oil streams in the pipelines. It is integrated with SCADA and ACEM—another important ICT technology for the O&G industry. The program also uses real-time operational data (process equipment operation modes, oil pumping volumes and parameters, etc.) from SCADA. In addition to KazTransOil, the consumers of the technology are supposed to be the Caspian Pipeline Consortium, the Kazakhstan-China Oil Pipeline, the North-Western Pipeline Company Munaitas, and the Karachaganak Petroleum Operating B.V. (Astana Times, May 2018). Kazakhstani researchers developed digital technology to optimize “hot” oil pumping, that is the movement of heat transfer oils under high temperature conditions. They have constructed an algorithm for solving the problem of changing temperatures and throughput. For this purpose, the dynamic programming method has been used to find a minimum cost for energy consumed. The “hot” oil pumping process has been investigated by determining the optimal operating conditions for pumps and preheaters (Beysembetov, Bekibaev, Zhabbasbaev, Makhmotov, & Sayakhov, 2017).

Such initiatives between the research universities and O&G sectors can become an important part of the New Silk Road project, boosting development of the O&G infrastructure in the Central Asian region. Development of the energy infrastructure in Eurasia meets China’s interests in diversification of routes of energy supplies (IENE, n.d.).

Private initiatives in a framework of public action encourage restructuring, diversification, and technological dynamism beyond what market forces on their own would generate (Rodrik, 2007). Through appropriate industrial policy, Kazakhstan has made good progress in the development of communication and technologies related to data acquisition, storage, and application. The telecommunication services market has been growing on average 8% annually since 2010; the offer of special telecommunication services, like satellite tracking, satellite terminal control, and

internet access, has also been expanding. Gross investments peaking at US \$2 billion in 2012 had shrunk for four years thereafter but have been following an upward trend since 2016 due to growing demand for communication services and the need to replace outdated equipment (Shinkeeva, 2018). More than 82% of households have access to the internet. Yet, the technological gap between local and foreign companies is substantial. Figure 5.6 shows fluctuations of the Kazakh ICT market. However, large areas and potential capabilities of the country make us believe in the recovery of the market and renewed interest of investors in the future.

Global ICT giants are optimistic about the digital future of Kazakhstan which is illustrated by Inspur,¹⁵ China, intending to create a supercomputer and cloud cluster, as well as training and study centers and laboratories established by Hewlett Packard, Cisco, Intel, Konica Minolta, and Microsoft (KazNU, 2018).

Digitalization in the financial sector is the key trend worldwide. It will change the ways in which financial institutions operate and will give




Fig. 5.6 ICT expenditures in Kazakhstan, 2015–2017, million US dollars. (Source: Authors, own processed data based on ITK)

¹⁵ Inspur is a leading global data center and cloud computing solutions provider. Among the world's top three server providers, they deliver and deploy robust, performance-optimized, purpose-built solutions to major data centers around the globe to address important emerging fields and applications. Source: <https://www.inspursystems.com>

more opportunities for cross-border cooperation. Far beyond the internet application, fintech companies such as the big data technologies, artificial intelligence, and blockchain are increasingly used in bank, insurance, and other financial institutions. Some experts predict that Kazakhstan's e-commerce market will double to US \$2 billion by 2020. There are three

Case Study: Fintech and E-commerce

On April 24, 2018, China CITIC Bank¹⁶ Corporation Limited and Shuangwei Investment Co. Ltd.¹⁷ bought 60% of the share capital of Altyn Bank JSC from Halyk Bank¹⁸ of Kazakhstan JSC, CITIC Bank acquired 50.1% of the shares and Shuangwei had 9.9%. At the same time, the Kazakhstan shareholder continues to own 40% of Altyn Bank's shares and reserves certain rights in accordance with the shareholder agreement signed between the parties.

The China CITIC Bank ranks 7th in terms of assets in China and is represented in 130 countries. Altyn Bank, formerly known as subsidiary of HSBC Bank Kazakhstan, has been operating in the banking market of Kazakhstan since 1998, was acquired and has been fully owned by the Halyk Bank since November 2014. Altyn Bank, a licensed corporate and retail bank, has branches in Almaty, Astana, Atyrau, Aktau, and AIFC. It also ranks 13th in terms of assets and has the highest credit rating among commercial banks in Kazakhstan.

This transaction allows Halyk Bank to play a key role in implementing initiatives in the building of the Silk Road Economic Belt. It will promote financial cooperation as well as digitalization. The CITIC Bank paid particular attention to fintech area and launched a series of platforms, for exam-

(continued)

¹⁶CITIC Bank Corporation Ltd., founded in 1987, ranks 7th in terms of assets in China and is represented in 130 countries. It offers various banking products and services to large corporate clients and individuals, both in China and abroad. The Bank's total assets have reached US \$900 billion. The ratings of the bank: BBB from Fitch and Baa2 from Moody's.

¹⁷China Shuangwei Investment Co. Ltd. is a limited liability company wholly owned by China Tobacco Corporation. The company is responsible for managing and investing in a number of key strategic projects in the fields of energy, real estate, agriculture, education, medical services, logistics, infrastructure, culture, network information, financial products, energy saving, supporting projects in the tobacco industry, and related advisory business services.

¹⁸Halyk Bank of Kazakhstan is the leading financial group in Kazakhstan operating in various segments including retail, SMEs and corporate banking services, insurance, leasing, brokerage, and asset management. The bank has been listed in the Kazakhstan Stock Exchange since 1998 and in London Stock Exchange since 2006.

(continued)

ple, the digital bank and risk control system based on big data and cloud platform. The CITIC Bank has also built China's first blockchain-based Letter of Credit System online.

There are three important parts in Altyn Bank digital promotions. Firstly, the Altyn Bank launched the first full-scale digital bank, the Altyn-I, in Kazakhstan, with a full range of financial services for individuals. All products and processes are transferred online, from accounts and issuing a debit cards, to international currency transfers or receiving an unsecured loan. The Altyn-i MasterCard credit or debit card can be linked to the Apple Pay service using the preinstalled wallet application in iPhone or other Apple devices. Secondly, the strategic alliance between the largest banks of Kazakhstan and China will help the Altyn Bank to expand opportunities in banking technologies, cross-selling, trade finance, treasury operations, and payments in RMB and will also attract key Chinese clients working in Kazakhstan.

The Altyn Bank has joined the Chinese Cross-Border Interbank Payment System (CIPS) as an indirect participant. The system is designed for international settlements in Chinese yuan and allows foreign market participants to make payments in yuan directly to Chinese partners. Major shareholder of the bank, China CITIC Bank Corporation Ltd., being a direct participant of CIPS, provides the indirect participation for Altyn Bank.

Thirdly, combining with its own fintech framework, CITIC Bank will strengthen the sharing of matured technologies such as the payment tools, mobile banking, and big data application and will support the Altyn Bank to expand the business as well as to build the platform. These steps allow the bank to introduce to their customers in Kazakhstan the financial services similar to those offered by China CITIC Bank to its clients in China.

China and Kazakhstan signed the *Memorandum of Understanding on E-commerce Cooperation* between the Ministry of Commerce of the People's Republic of China and the Ministry of National Economy of the Republic of Kazakhstan in 2018. In line with this memorandum, China and Kazakhstan will build up an e-commerce cooperation mechanism in the innovative regulation of customers, preferential tax policy, and construction of the infrastructure. The two countries will also jointly boost the cooperation of Silk Road e-commerce, strengthen experience sharing, carry out personnel training, and promote the dialogue between government and enterprises. They will support further cooperation of enterprises between the two countries in the e-commerce area and expand cross-border trade of featured products by e-commerce in particular, so as to offer more development opportunities and spaces to SMEs of the two countries, as well as continuously improve trade facilitation and cooperation levels, and further

(continued)

(continued)

boost sustainable and steady development of bilateral economic and trade relationships. An example of cooperation in this area is a framework agreement on strategic cooperation signed between KTZ Express, a subsidiary of Kazakhstan Railways, and the Chinese companies Huawei and Ili Baitexing Commercial Trading (Sha, 2019). The pact is aimed at the development of cross-border e-commerce using the capabilities of Kazakhstan's logistics infrastructure. It will be based on using the capabilities of the logistics infrastructure of *KTZ Express*, dry port *Altynkol*, SEZ *Khorgos*, port Aktau, transport and logistics centers, and airports.

KTZ Express plans to serve the transit flows arising from China and the EU's courier services, which are expected to attract more than 1 million tons of cargo per year. The transport of commercial packages in transit will be carried out by *KTZ Express*. The two parties also discussed the organization of between four and ten container trains per month.

reasons for the high-speed development of e-commerce in Kazakhstan: the rise of internet penetration, convenient payment tool and a lower cost for the goods delivery infrastructure. The key point for cross-border e-commerce cooperation between China and Kazakhstan is the high demand for consumer goods in Kazakhstan which boosts demand for imports from China. The high-tech products, household goods, and light industrial products mostly come from the USA, Germany, Japan, Russia, China, and other countries. The related statistics show that clothing, footwear, sporting goods, and household goods from Russia account for 3-4% of the national e-commerce market, while Kazakhstan accounts for less than 1%.

Policies to improve digital connectivity between the countries in Central Asia have led to Digital Silk Road initiative, first announced in March 2015 in the news release issued by the National Development and Reform Commission and later in July 2015 at the China-EU Digital Cooperation Roundtable in Brussels. Among the proposed plans was the establishment of an internet community that would facilitate cross-border e-commerce and internet banking through the development of software infrastructure. However, this is one of the less-discussed aspects of the New Silk Road, perhaps because investments in the hardware infrastructure have so far dominated.

According to Ren Xianliang, Vice Minister of the Cyberspace Administration of China, the Digital Silk Road should be undertaken in addition to implementing the *Internet Plus* plan, whereby everything will be connected to a superfast broadband network (Huanxin, 2015). The Digital Silk Road is meant to give Chinese telecommunication companies access to new markets along the Silk Road. By improving the infrastructure in Central Asia, the initiative offers “mutual benefits” while showcasing Beijing’s green economic model (Wu, 2017). In addition to commercial motivations, the new fiber-optic Silk Road could also have geopolitical and strategic implications. For landlocked countries such as Kazakhstan, this could mean greater access to international data networks, at a cost averaging a tenth that of satellite communications and with a bandwidth significantly enhanced by fiber-optic technology (Rolland, 2015).

Initiatives of the New Silk Road, including the Digital Silk Road, will open new opportunities for international cooperation, including the development of digital technologies. BRI intends to promote global economic growth and has already fueled discussions on globalization and prospective aid in mitigating economic development inequality between emerging and mature economies (ACCA, 2017).

Digitalization of the O&G Industry

After the oil price plunge in 2014, the global O&G industry faced the tendency of a long-term low-price environment, with organizations looking beyond short-term tactics and taking a more proactive and strategic approach, for example digitalization. Now, organizations face a new disruption—new technologies disrupt the existing industry value chains and entirely change the way companies operate in many industries, including O&G. Technological innovation—including the adoption of NDE—along with macroeconomic trends and changing consumer behavior is transforming the way resources are consumed and produced (McKinsey, 2017). Harnessing new technologies is essential in enhancing the operational excellence of the companies in the new O&G market dynamics. They now have opportunities to achieve further efficiencies

through adopting new technologies. According to the Cisco report *A New Reality for Oil & Gas* (2015), “integration IT-OT technologies¹⁹ and business processes has become imperative to boost operational effectiveness and ensure survival”.

According to the Industrial Internet Consortium (2015), currently the O&G industry is beginning to experience the convergence of new emerging technologies such as big data, analytics, and intelligence systems, cloud computing and mobile technology, as well as social media (see Table 5.1). As a result, the industry is demonstrating higher levels of performance, and optimization that results in a higher return on investments for the O&G companies, leveraging these new technologies. Digitalization has been driven primarily by the emergence of NDE, latest data available for analysis that were previously not possible to capture real-time data. O&G companies created remote operation centers where transactions with primarily large investments are analyzed, but old technologies still dominate in the O&G ICT architecture.

According to an Accenture survey (2013), O&G companies struggled for complete and timely assessment of the impact of operational decisions on corporate performance. They have started to invest in the new technologies to achieve a higher return on investments, but still much more needs to be done to realize these outcomes. These technology trends are internet of things, mobility, cloud computing, and big data analytics. The examples where analytical technologies can potentially drive better business outcomes are summarized in Table 5.2.

To conclude, ICT is a tool that not only constitutes an industry in its own right but also permeates all sectors of the economy, where it acts to integrate and enable technologies. ICT has a profound impact on society, and its production and use have essential effects on the development of economic, social, and environmental areas (Caperna, 2010). The next section will give an overview of the ICT developmental programs in Kazakhstan.

¹⁹Operational technology (OT)—technology, which is used in specific operational processes, such as supply chain, manufacturing, and transportation. In the O&G, operational technology is also referred to as industrial control systems (Cisco, 2015).

Table 5.2 The points where analytics can potentially drive better business outcomes in the O&G value chain

Upstream operations	Downstream operations	Corporate operations
Forecast and production commitment	Optimize integrated value chain	Optimize cash flow to meet planned capital expenditure commitments effectively
Efficiently deliver unconventional plays	Configure the supply chain to enable cost reduction	Enable management of contingent labor
Improve working standards		Measure and manage market risk at a commercial level
Manage equipment supply chain	Measure and manage market risk at commercial and logistics levels	Improve working standards
Execute capital projects to time, budget, and scope	Improve working standards	Execute capital projects to time, budget, and scope

Source: Author, adapted from Accenture (2013)

Local ICT Industry Development

So, what are the limitations of local companies' development in Kazakhstan? According to the "Local content management framework" (2004)—an Agip Kazakhstan North Caspian Operating Company NV or Agip KCO (since January 2009—North Caspian Operating Company BV or NCOC) an internal document—managers of the company have seen a number of potential barriers related to local development that can be applied to both, the ICT and the O&G industries. First of all, weak domestic skills and/or supplier base—low domestic capacity levels—are insufficient to meet business needs.

The ICT sector in Kazakhstan is currently underdeveloped in comparison with some other emerging economies (see Table 5.3). As a result, the demand for ICT goods is mostly covered by imports, and the share of the LC is exceptionally low for IT equipment. As discussed above, this

Table 5.3 The share of ICT goods as percentage of total merchandise trade annual in 2012–2018

Country/year	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Czech Republic	14.53	13.11	13.42	13.54	12.72	13.33	15.10
Hungary	17.45	14.77	11.92	11.62	11.38	11.18	11.34
Kazakhstan	0.44	0.33	0.84	0.19	0.16	0.13	0.11
Russian Federation	0.31	0.42	0.80	0.81	0.60	0.63	0.47
Ukraine	1.10	0.93	0.96	0.82	0.95	0.93	0.98
China	27.06	27.42	25.94	26.56	26.50	27.07	27.31

Source: Authors, adapted from UNCTAD statistics

Table 5.4 Local goods share in ICT technologies in Kazakhstan

Indicators	2009	2014
Share of Kazakhstani content in overall IT market	7%	Not less than 32%
Share of Kazakhstani content in IT services	30%	80%

Source: Author, compiled from Government of Kazakhstan (2010), the Program for Development of Information and Communication Technologies in the Republic of Kazakhstan 2010–2014

means future comparative disadvantage for the country when the natural resources will be exhausted. For IT services, often considered to be more local, the share of LC was only 30% (Government of Kazakhstan, 2010). In the program, the government had set ambitious targets: to achieve a share of LC of 10% in equipment and 80% in services by 2014. Reaching these targets required developing the infrastructure, as well as a number of policy changes, including improving the business environment and fostering skills development.

This also assumes development of local ICT capabilities (see Table 5.4). The barriers for local industry development are listed below:

- Lack of appropriate technical skills base in labor force
- Inadequate or outdated equipment
- Weak technology base, that is limited IT infrastructure
- Lack of understanding of international tender processes
- Insufficient awareness or poor practice on health, safety, and environment (HSE)

- Inadequate knowledge of international commercial practices on HSE
- Inadequate knowledge of international commercial practices, for example financing
- Import laws

This demonstrates that lack of ICT can be a barrier not only in local IT companies development but also in the competitiveness of Kazakhstan's O&G companies and the whole energy sector. This raises the question as to how the level of ICT adoption in the energy sector can be effectively increased. The Government of Kazakhstan has recognized the importance of technological change disrupting the economy, launching a *Program for Development of Information and Communication Technologies in the Republic of Kazakhstan in 2010–2014* and a *Program Information Kazakhstan—2020 for 2013–2017 years*. At the end of year 2017 it also launched a *Program Digital Kazakhstan*, which aims to accelerate industrial development in Kazakhstan and, more specifically, the transition of the Republic of Kazakhstan to an information and innovative economy with the formation of a competitive export-oriented national ICT sector.

Calculations of LC in ICT procurement can be seen in Table 5.5.

To implement an integrated state policy in ICT and state management of information and communication infrastructure, a joint stock company called *National ICT Holding Zerde* was established in 2008. According to the information at *Holding Zerde* website, the implementation of the state *Program Digital Kazakhstan* will be in four key areas:

Table 5.5 Plan of ICT procurement for NOC KMG

Year	Goods, thousands tenge	LC, %	Services ^a , thousands tenge	LC, %	IT programs, thousands tenge	LC, %
2015	309,906	1	1,581,034	96	117,354	0
2014	2,189,805	0	1,904,774	93	575,960	0
2013	157,314	0	963,468	84	314,730	0
2012	103,679	1	1,668,169	83	2,260,453	12

Source: Heim (2019)

^aPrices are not available for all positions

- creating a *Digital Silk Road* including the development of reliable, affordable, high-speed, secure digital infrastructure;
- shaping a *creative society*, including the development of competencies and skills for the digital economy, an upgrading of digital literacy, and training of ICT specialists for industries;
- digital transformation in the economy assuming the widespread introduction of digital technology to enhance the competitiveness of various branches of the economy;
- the formation of *proactive digital government*, including improvement of electronic and mobile government platforms, with optimization of the public services supply sphere.

However, launching all these programs does not in any way guarantee the success of development; time has to pass for results to be visible.

The program requires enhancing skills through the public-private partnership in information technologies (OECD, 2013). As of December 2017, the list of investment programs of the Holding Zerde (2017b) accounted for eight projects including:

- central provision of the IT services for state bodies;
- data processing centers (data centers) in 14 regional centers and in Almaty to provide a set of information services for all government agencies;
- unified notary information system;
- unified service monitoring system;
- a consolidated computing platform for civil defense;
- an information system for technical inspection of motor vehicles;
- single contact center of state bodies of Kazakhstan;
- unified e-mail system of state bodies of Kazakhstan.

The list of public-private partnerships the Holding Zerde has taken part as of December 2017 (Zerde, 2017a) includes five projects:

- automation of crop production traceability;
- automation of monitoring of fish and fish products turnover;
- intelligent transport system;

- automation of traceability of farm animals and livestock products;
- smart city.

In September 2017 the Government of Kazakhstan presented the state-initiated program *Digital Kazakhstan*, and in December 2017 the government developed and presented a detailed plan of the program to the President of Kazakhstan (KazInform, 2017a, b).

ICT procurement also comprises a part of procurement in the O&G industry and therefore is the subject of local LC regulation in the O&G sector. However, these two policies are not synchronized, as LCP in the ICT industry is focused on this sector only and investments and public-private projects target mostly ICT in the public services domain. However, according to Adewuyi and Oyejide (2012), knowledge-intensive sub-sectors control systems and the ICT sector, feeding into the O&G industry, can also serve other sectors and neighboring countries, creating backward linkages and providing a potential for spillovers. Backward linkages between a company and its suppliers, including the ICT supplier, are generally relatively labor intensive and thus an attractive source of diversification for governments. These linkages may also increase GDP, and therefore governments may actively target linkages in their IP in the hope that complementary development of the national system of innovation may result in a competitive, diversified economy in the future (Morris, Kaplinsky & Kaplan, 2011).

Conclusion and Future Research

The New Silk Road concept was recently mentioned by the Chairman of the Security Council of Kazakhstan and former President Nazarbayev in his speech as one of the historical advancements of the country and a platform for the future development of the global exchange of goods and intellectual cooperation between nations (Nazarbayev, 2018, 2019). Kassym-Jomart Tokayev took office as President of Kazakhstan on March 19, 2019, succeeding Nursultan Nazarbayev, who resigned, after 29 years in office. The new president admits that the modern technologies play an important role in the world economy and development of Kazakhstan. In

September 2019 he met the founder of the Alibaba Group in China. According to Akorda (2019), the official site of the President of Kazakhstan, he said the following: “I appreciate your desire to support Kazakhstan. We have a strategic agreement that cooperation between China and Kazakhstan in the digital economy will be developing further, and we are interested in your engagement.”

The digital economy is a key driver of growth and development; it can boost competitiveness across all sectors, new opportunities for business and entrepreneurial activity, and new avenues for accessing overseas markets and participating in global e-value chains. NDE also provides new tools for tackling persistent development problems. Yet, NDE comes with a host of challenges for policymakers, including the need to bridge the digital divide, minimize potential negative social and development impacts, and deal with complex internet-specific regulatory issues (UNCTAD, 2017). Thus, the role of economic policies in information-based economies will by no means become less critical in terms of NDE. It is “even more urgently pressing scholars to study all aspects of the way and method people apply to handle information dedicated to improving information systems” (Li & Liu, 2018). For academia it raises the question: do current management conceptual frameworks hold true in the new economy and what needs to be researched? How the combined effects of digital technologies transform organizations, industries, and institutions? From the strategic perspective how do firms achieve and sustain a competitive advantage in new market conditions? How does the use of digital technology as strategy or complementary resources change the way value is created and extracted?

From the innovation perspective, will digital technologies depend more on open-sourced innovations? While innovations become more global, regulation and institutions still remain local. This also raises the question: how will different digital institutions affect new business models? What will be the role of institutions such as regulations, antitrust, and the local legal frameworks in a digital world? Do institutions face new challenges due to the spread of digital technologies? What are the consequences of digitalization for organizational knowledge and learning taking into consideration increasing connectivity and sharing of information and knowledge? How do employees adapt to the new digital

business processes? In relation to Central Asia, digitalization comes to the New Silk Road, and considering Kazakhstan's development rate and endowment factors, investments in *Digital Kazakhstan* have the potential to become as attractive as the development of the natural resources sector of the economy.

Bibliography

- ACCA. (2017). *The Belt and Road Initiative: Reshaping the global value chain*. Shanghai, China: Association of Chartered Certified Accountants.
- Accenture. (2013). *Is the energy industry drowning in data?*. Retrieved from https://www.accenture.com/in-en/-/media/Accenture/Conversion-Assets/DotCom/Documents/Global/PDF/Technology_8/Accenture-Digitizing-Energy-Industry-Drowning-Data.pdf
- Adewuyi, A. O. & Oyejide, T. A. (2012). Determinants of backward linkages of oil and gas industry in Nigerian economy. *Resource Policy*, 37(4), 452–460.
- Aiginger, K. (2007). Industrial policy: A dying breed or a re-emerging phoenix. *Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade*, 7(3–4), 297–323.
- Akorda (2019, September 12). Kassym-Jomart Tokayev held a meeting with the founder of Alibaba Group Jack Ma. Retrieved from <https://www.akorda.kz>.
- Altenburg, T. (2011). *Industrial policy in developing countries: Overview and lessons from seven country cases*. Bonn: DIE (Discussion paper 4/2011).
- Ambalov, V., & Heim, I. (2018). Cluster nodes as a unit for value co-creation: The role of information technologies in competitiveness of the oil and gas industry. In W. Liu, K. Nakata, W. Li, & C. Baranauskas (Eds.), *Proceedings of 18th IFIP WG 8.1 international conference on informatics and semiotics in Organisations, ICISO 2018* (pp. 155–163). Reading, UK: Springer.
- Amighini, A. (2017). *China's belt and road: A game changer?* Milan, Italy: ISPI.
- Arger, A. (2015). *The Silk Road and its impact on globalization*. Washington, DC: Washington State University.
- Astana Times. (2018). *Kazakhstan develops smart oil transportation programme*. Retrieved from <https://astanatimes.com/2018/05/kazakhstan-develops-smart-oil-transportation-programme/>
- Baldakhov, U., & Heim, I. (2020). Institutional reform in Kazakhstan. In I. Heim (Ed.), *Kazakhstan's diversification from the natural resources sector: Strategic and economic opportunities*. London, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.

- Beysembetov, I. K., Bekibaev, T. T., Zhapbasbaev, U. K., Makhmotov, E. S., & Sayakhov, B. K. (2017). The use of digital technology to optimize oil pipeline transportation. *Pipeline Science & Technology*, 1(3), 219–232.
- Bodrožić, Z., & Adler, P. S. (2018). The evolution of management models: A neo-Schumpeterian theory. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 63(1), 85–129.
- Buckley, N. (2017, April 12). *Financial Times*. Retrieved from <https://www.ft.com/content/900d96ee-1ade-11e7-a266-12672483791a>
- Buckley, P. J. (2016). The contribution of internalisation theory to international business: New realities and unanswered questions. *Journal of World Business*, 51(1), 74–82.
- Caperna, A. (2010). Integrating ICT into sustainable local policies. In Silva, C.N. (Ed.), *Handbook of research on E-Planning: ICTs for urban development and monitoring* (pp. 340–364). Hershey, PA: IGI Global.
- Choudhry, H., Mohammad, A., Tan, K. T, & Ward, R. (2016). *The next frontier for digital technologies in oil and gas*. Retrieved from <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/oil-and-gas/our-insights/the-next-frontier-for-digital-technologies-in-oil-and-gas>
- Çilan, Ç. A., Bolat, B. A., & Coşkun, E. (2009). Analyzing digital divide within and between member and candidate countries of European Union. *Government Information Quarterly*, 26(1), 98–105.
- Cisco. (2015). *A new reality for oil & gas*. Retrieved from <https://connectedfutures.cisco.com/report/a-new-reality-for-oil-gas/>
- Cooper, J. (2006). The digital divide: The special case of gender. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 22(5), 320–334.
- Dey, B., & Ali, F. (2016). A critical review of the ICT for development research. In B. Dey, K. Sorour, & R. Filiery (Eds.), *ICTs in developing countries* (pp. 3–23). London, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Drucker, P. F. (1992). *The age of discontinuity: Guidelines to our changing society*. New Brunswick, USA/London, UK: Transaction Publishers.
- Dunning, J. H. (1980). Toward an eclectic theory of international production: Some empirical tests. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 11(1), 9–31.
- Dunning, J. H. (1995). Reappraising the eclectic paradigm in an age of alliance capitalism. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 26(3), 461–491.
- Dunning, J. H., & Lundan, S. M. (2008). *Multinational enterprises and the global economy* (2nd ed.). Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.
- Fraumeni, B. M., & Okubo, S. (2005). R&D in the national income and product accounts: A first look at its effect on GDP. In C. Corrado, J. Haltiwanger, & D. Sichel (Eds.), *Measuring capital in the new economy* (pp. 275–322). Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

- Geppert, M., Matten, D., & Walgenbach, P. (2006). Transnational institution building and the multinational corporation: An emerging field of research. *Human Relations*, 59(11), 1451–1465.
- Gleick, J. (2011). *The information*. New York, NY: Panteon Books.
- Grübler, A. (2003). *Technology and global change*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Han, T. & Ghobadian, A. (2020). Chinese investments across the New Silk Road. In I. Heim (Ed.), *Kazakhstan's Diversification from the Natural Resource Sector: Strategic and Economic Opportunities*. London, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hanclova, J., Rozehnal, P., Ministr, J., & Tvrdivkova, M. (2015). The determinants of IT adoption in SMEs in the Czech-Polish border areas. *Information Technology for Development*, 21(3), 426–444.
- Heim, I. (2019). *Local content policy and value co-creation: Adopting information technologies in the oil and gas industry*. Unpublished PhD dissertation.
- Heim, I., Kalyuzhnova, Y., Li, W., & Liu, K. (2018). Value co-creation between foreign firms and indigenous SMEs in Kazakhstan's oil and gas industry: The role of information technology spillovers. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 61, 911. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tie.22067>
- Huanxin, Z. (2015). *Digital Silk Road linked to 'net plus'*. Retrieved from the website of the State Council of the People Republic of China http://english.www.gov.cn/news/top_news/2015/12/24/content_281475259901640.htm
- Industrial Internet Consortium (IIC). (2015). *Beyond digitalisation: The convergence of big data, analytics and intelligent systems in oil & gas*. Retrieved from https://futurerobotics.files.wordpress.com/2015/09/beyond_digitization_an_iic_energy_task_group_white_paper.pdf
- Institute of Energy for South-East Europe (IENE). (n.d.). *New Silk Road to Boost Asian oil and gas development*. Retrieved from <https://www.iene.eu/new-silk-road-to-boost-asian-oil-and-gas-development-p1759.html>
- Jones, R. (2017). *Economics after Moore's Law*. Retrieved from <http://www.soft-machines.org/wordpress/?p=2097>
- Kabanda, S., & Brown, I. (2017). Interrogating the effect of environmental factors on e-commerce institutionalization in Tanzania: A test and validation of small and medium enterprise claims. *Information Technology for Development*, 23(1), 59–85.
- Karachaganak News. (2018). Retrieved from <http://www.kpo.kz/en/news-room/company-news/company-news/article/kpo-held-an-international-digitalization-forum-in-uralsk.html>

- KazInform. (2017a). The Prime Minister announced priorities of Digital Kazakhstan program. Retrieved from http://lenta.inform.kz/en/pm-announced-priorities-of-digitalkazakhstan-program_a3064476.
- KazInform. (2017b). Digital Kazakhstan program to be submitted to Head of State for approval. Retrieved from http://www.inform.kz/en/digital-kazakhstan-program-to-besubmitted-to-head-of-state-for-approval_a3092695.
- KazNU (2018). *Vectors of digitalization*. Retrieved from <http://www.kaznu.kz/en/3/news/one/12390/>
- Kretschmer, T., & Claussen, J. (2016). Generational transitions in platform markets—The role of backward compatibility. *Strategy Science*, 1(2), 90–104.
- Levy, M., & Powell, P. (2003). Exploring SME internet adoption: Towards a contingent model. *Electronic Markets*, 13(2), 173–181.
- Li, Q., & Liu, K. (2018). An abductive process of developing interactive data visualization: A case study of market attractiveness analysis. In W. Liu, K. Nakata, W. Li, & C. Baranauskas (Eds.), *Proceedings of 18th IFIP WG 8.1 international conference on informatics and semiotics in organisations, ICISO 2018* (pp. 289–298). Reading, UK: Springer.
- Lind, P., Sepúlveda, E., & Nuñez, J. (2000). On the applicability of a computer model for business performance analysis in SMEs: A case study from Chile. *Information Technology for Development*, 9(1), 33–44.
- Lusch, R. F., & Vargo, S. L. (2014). *Service-dominant logic: premises, perspectives, possibilities*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Mack, C. A. (2011). Fifty years of Moore's law. *IEEE Transaction on Semiconduction Manufacturing*, 24(2), 202–206.
- Malinovsky, B. (1998). *Essays on history of computer science and technology in Ukraine*. Kyiv, Ukraine: Feniks.
- Mazzucato, M., & Tancioni, M. (2012). R&D, patents and stock return volatility. *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, 22(4), 811–832.
- McKinsey. (2016). *Digital Europe: Pushing the frontier, capturing the benefits*. McKinsey Global Institute. Retrieved from <https://www.mckinsey.com/-/media/McKinsey/Business%20Functions/McKinsey%20Digital/Our%20Insights/Digital%20Europe%20Pushing%20the%20frontier%20capturing%20the%20benefits/Digital-Europe-Full-report-June-2016.ashx>
- McKinsey. (2017). *Beyond the super-cycle: How technology is reshaping resources*. McKinsey Global Institute. Retrieved from <https://www.mckinsey.com/-/media/McKinsey/Business%20Functions/Sustainability/Our%20Insights/How%20technology%20is%20reshaping%20supply%20and%20demand%20for%20natural%20resources/MGI-Beyond-the-Supercycle-Executive-summary.ashx>

- Milis, K. (2008). Critical analysis of policy measures for the advancement of the level of computerization of SMEs. *Information Technology for Development*, 14(3), 253–258.
- Min, S.-J. (2010). From the digital divide to the democratic divide: Internet skills, political interest, and the second-level digital divide in political internet use. *Journal of Information Technology & Politics*, 7(1), 22–35.
- Morris, M., Kaplinsky, R., & Kaplan, D. (2011). Commodities and linkages: industrialisation in Sub Saharan Africa. MMCP discussion paper; no. 13.
- Narula, R. (2016). *The Modern MNE as an efficient meta-integrator: emerging market MNEs need to foster internal embeddedness to succeed*. Discussion paper N JHD-2016-02. Reading, UK: Henley Business School, University of Reading.
- National Bank of Kazakhstan. (2018). *Direct investments statistic according to the directional principle*. Astana: National Bank of Kazakhstan.
- National Development and Reform Commission (NDRC). (2015). *Vision and actions on jointly building silk road economic belt and 21st-century maritime silk road*. Retrieved from http://en.ndrc.gov.cn/newsrelease/201503/t20150330_669367.html
- Nazarbayev, N. (2018). *Seven facets of the Great Steppe*. Retrieved from <https://www.akorda.kz>
- Nazarbayev, N. (2019). Interview: BRI a great idea with perspective. *Xinhua Net*. Retrieved from: http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2019-04/27/c_138014919.htm
- Ntwoku, H., Negash, S., & Meso, P. (2017). ICT adoption in Cameroon SME: Application of bass diffusion model. *Information Technology for Development*, 23(2), 296–317.
- OECD. (2001). *Understanding the digital divide*. Paris, France: OECD Publishing.
- OECD. (2011). *OECD guide to measuring the information society*. Paris, France: OECD Publishing.
- OECD. (2013). Enhancing Skills through Public-Private Partnerships in Kazakhstan's Information Technology Sector. Retrieved from <https://www.oecd.org/countries/kazakhstan/Enhancing%20Skills%20through%20Public-Private%20Partnerships%20in%20Kazakhstan's%20Information%20Technology%20Sector.pdf>
- Ostrom, E. (1990). *Governing the commons*. New York, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Ostrom, E. (2005). *Understanding institutional diversity*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

- Pak, I. T. (2012). *From the history of the development of computer science in Kazakhstan*. Almaty, Kazakhstan: Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan.
- Prahalad, C. K., & Ramaswamy, V. (2004). Co-creation experiences: The next practice in value creation. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 18(3), 5–14.
- Qureshi, S. (2015). Are we making a better world with information and communication technology for development (ICT4D) research? Findings from the field and theory building. *Information Technology for Development*, 21(4), 511–522.
- Ramamurti, R., & Hillemann, J. (2018). What is “Chinese” about Chinese multinationals? *Journal of International Business Studies*, 49(1), 34–48.
- Rao, S. S. (2005). Bridging digital divide: Efforts in India. *Telematics and Informatics*, 22(4), 361–375.
- Rimmel, G., & Diedrich, A. (2000). Exploring the logic of E-business and the new economy. In *Proceedings of 2nd SNEE conference on economic integration in Europe*. Mölle, Sweden.
- Rodrik, D. (2007). *One economics, many recipes: Globalization, institutions, and economic*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Rodrik, D. (2018). *New technologies, global value chains, and developing economies*. No. w25164. National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Rolland, N. (2015). *A fiber-optic silk road*. Retrieved from <https://thediplomat.com/2015/04/a-fiber-optic-silk-road/>
- Sabirov, B., & Shakulikova, G. (2020). Diversification and local industry development. In I. Heim (Ed.), *Kazakhstan's diversification from the natural resources sector: Strategic and economic opportunities*. London, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Salnikova, O. V. (2013). Small business development based on the information and communication technologies. *American Journal of Economics and Control Systems Management*, 1, 10–14.
- Schumpeter, J. (1934). *The Theory of Economic Development*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Selmier, W. T., II. (2020). Kazakhstan as a logistic linchpin in the Belt and Road Initiative. In I. Heim. (Ed.), *Kazakhstan's Diversification from the Natural Resource Sector: Strategic and Economic Opportunities*. London, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Sha, N. (2019, July 12). *SF Airlines launches route links China, Kazakhstan*. Caspian Policy Center. Retrieved from <https://www.caspianpolicy.org/sf-airlines-launches-route-links-china-kazakhstan/>

- Shannon, C. E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27(3), 379–423.
- Shen, H. (2018). Building a digital silk road? Situating the internet in China's belt and road initiative. *International Journal of Communication*, 12, 2683–2701.
- Shinkeeva, G. (2018). *Telecommunication sector of Kazakhstan: Results of 2016*. Almaty, Kazakhstan: Rating Agency RFCA.
- SJTU. (2015) Federico Musto of Arduino: Our real boss is. *SJTU ParisTech Review*. Retrieved from <http://parisinnovationreview.cn/en/2015/11/11/federico-musto-arduino-community/>.
- Smorodinskaya, N. V., & Katukov, D. D. (2017). Dispersed model of production and smart agenda of National Economic Strategies. *Economic Policy*, 6, 72–101.
- Sternberg, T., Ahearn, A., & McConnell, F. (2017). Central Asian 'characteristics' on China's new silk road: The role of landscape and the politics of infrastructure. *Land*, 6(3), 1–16.
- UNCTAD. (2010). *Creating business linkages: A policy perspective*. New York, NY/Geneva, Switzerland: UN/UNCTAD.
- UNCTAD. (2017). *The 'new' digital economy and development*. New York, NY: UN/UNCTAD.
- UNCTAD. (2018). Statistics Data Center. Retrieved from: <https://unctad.org>.
- Van Alstyne, M. W., Parker, G. G., & Choudary, S. P. (2016). Pipelines, platforms, and the new rules of strategy. *Harvard Business Review*, 94(4), 54–62.
- Vargo, S. L. & Lusch, R. F. (2016). Institutions and axioms: an extension and update of service-dominant logic. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 44(1), 5–23.
- Vargo, S. L., Wieland, H., and Akaka, M. A. (2015). Innovation through institutionalization: A service ecosystems perspective. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 44(1), 63–72.
- Verdu, M. (2017). *The IoT will enable the gas and oil industry to cut production costs by UP to €30 per barrel*. Barcelona: IoT Solutions World Congress.
- World Bank. (2018). *Research and development expenditure (% of GDP)*. The World Bank. Retrieved from <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/GB.XPD.RSDV.GD.ZS>
- Wu, W. (2017). China's digital silk road: Pitfalls among high hopes. Retrieved from <https://thediplomat.com/2017/11/chinas-digital-silk-road-pitfalls-among-high-hopes/>

- Yensebayev, R. (2018, December). Digital Kazakhstan: Transforming through modern technology. *Astana Times*. Retrieved from <https://astanatimes.com/2018/02/digital-kazakhstan-transforming-through-modern-technology/>
- Zerde (2017a). “Digital Kazakhstan” State Program. Retrieved from <https://zerde.gov.kz/en/activity/program-control/digital-kazakhstan/#hcq=4K3MWCq>.
- Zerde (2017b). Holding activities. Investment projects. Retrieved from <https://zerde.gov.kz/en/activity/investment-projects/Common-notarial-informationalsystem-Project/>.