# **Chapter 6 Soil Microbes and Plant Health**



Farheen Nazli, Najm-ul-Seher, Muhammad Yahya Khan, Moazzam Jamil, Sajid Mahmood Nadeem, and Maqshoof Ahmad

**Abstract** Soil microbial community is crucial for plant health. They all represent a second much larger genome associated to plants. Microbes vary in their number and diversity which is in order of tens of thousands species in fertile agricultural soils. In general, soil microbial communities include bacteria, fungi, algae, protozoa, nematodes, and microarthropods. Most of them are neutral in relation to their effects on plants. They are important players of the food web as they utilize most of the carbon released by roots as rhizodeposits. Less than ten percent of the total rhizosphere microbes exert beneficial or harmful effects on plants. Pathogenic microorganisms in soil include fungi, oomycetes, bacteria, and nematodes while the beneficial microbial community consists of symbiotic, associative symbiotic and free-living plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPB), arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and algae. Recent research in plant-microbe interactions showed that host specific microbial species are associated with different plant species in the same soil. The number and diversity of beneficial and deleterious microorganisms depend on the quality and quantity of root exudates which, along with soil physico-chemical properties, give shape to the rhizosphere microbial community structure. This chapter highlights the importance of rhizosphere microbial communities in relation to plant growth. Recent advances on soil-plant-microbe interactions in a balanced and optimized manner are discussed.

**Keywords** Biocontrol · Mycorrhizal inoculation · Plant microbe interaction · Microbial diversity · Soil microbes

F. Nazli

Najm-ul-Seher · M. Jamil · M. Ahmad (⊠)

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

Department of Soil Science, University College of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Bahawalpur, Pakistan

Pesticide Quality Control Laboratory Bahawalpur, Agriculture Department, Government of the Punjab, Bahawalpur, Pakistan

Department of Soil Science, University College of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Bahawalpur, Pakistan

M. Y. Khan · S. M. Nadeem Sub Campus Burewala, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Burewala, Pakistan

I. Ul Haq, S. Ijaz (eds.), *Plant Disease Management Strategies for Sustainable Agriculture through Traditional and Modern Approaches*, Sustainability in Plant and Crop Protection 13, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35955-3\_6

# 6.1 Introduction

To meet the food requirement of rapidly growing world population it is very difficult to develop new high yielding crop varieties, having resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses. Our crops still require fertilizers because nutrients may become inaccessible or may be insufficient in soil. Recent work clearly revealed that microorganisms reduce the use of agricultural inputs regarding inorganic fertilizers. Microorganisms, due to their excessive gene pool, are very useful for soil reactions, i.e. by recycling nutrients needed for plant growth (Li et al. 2017).

Microbial communities of diverse groups are important for agricultural productivity (Sharma et al. 2008). Microorganisms from different genera such as *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Rhizobium*, *Azospirillum*, have been reported for their potential in enhancing both above and belowground plant biomass, being useful tools for sustainable agriculture (Igiehon and Babalola 2018).

The rhizosphere is the region of the soil environment that is maintained by border cells and exudates released by roots (Moe 2013; Igiehon and Babalola 2017). Plants produced mucilages, rhizodeposits, nutrients, and exudates which attract and serve as food sources for microorganisms living in the rhizosphere. Plants different developmental stages control and shape the structure of rhizosphere communities (Hou and Babalola 2013; Chaparro et al. 2013). The difference in rhizosphere microbial community structure is induced by the changes in nature and chemistry of metabolites exuded by roots, at different growth stages.

Plants release exudates that have an effect on the diversity of microorganisms and invertebrates living in the rhizosphere. Root exudates are responsible for increasing their populations in the rhizophere by increasing availability of C as a source of food and energy (Aira et al. 2010) These microorganisms in turn can also affect plants by releasing growth regulatory substances. In this view, the rhizosphere organisms are considered as an external environment for plants (Philippot et al. 2013; Spence et al. 2014).

The physical, chemical and biological properties of rhizospheric soil differ with those of the surrounding soil (Kim et al. 2010), as the number of microorganisms and invertebrates found in the rhizosphere are greater compared to bulk soil. The rhizosphere communities are the second set of genome present in plants and perform several roles for growth and development (Nihorimbere et al. 2011). Soil and rhizosphere microorganisms are affected by many factors such as type of soil, climate, plant species and management practices (Jeffrey et al. 2010).

#### 6.2 Rhizosphere and Root Exudates

Soil is sometimes considered just as a source of nutrients. However, it is a complex ecosystem holding bacteria, protists, fungi, and animals (Muller et al. 2016). The rhizosphere area varies with plant species and soil. The term rhizosphere was first

used by Lorentz Hiltner (Hartmann et al. 2008) for the immediate area of soil influenced by the plant roots. It generally covers a 2 mm distance from the root surface, known as the rhizoplane. However, its influence can be found up to 10 mm (Hartmann et al. 2008; Niu et al. 2012). The microbial population in the rhizosphere may vary from thousands to million cells (Nihorimbere et al. 2011). The plant nutrients and exudates are the principle component that changes the microclimate of the rhizosphere (Shukla et al. 2013). Soil microorganisms are C dependent, so they grow well in soil having high amounts of amino acids, organic acids, sugars that are exuded by the plants (Bais et al. 2006).

The presence of microbes in the rhizosphere depends on number of factors such as: (1) plant genotype (Bulgarelli et al. 2012), (2) plant developmental stage (Chaparro et al. 2013), (3) plant hormones (Carvalhais et al. 2013), (4) composition of root exudates (Badri et al. 2013), (5) exposure to disease-suppressive soils (Mendes et al. 2011).

Plant roots release in the rhizosphere a wide variety of chemical compounds that attract soil microorganisms (Huang et al. 2014), that are known as exudates. Root exudates are the products of photosynthesis (Hayat et al. 2017), and include amino acids, organic acids, sugars, enzymes, hormones, mucilage, root cells and C (Dennis et al. 2010). Uren described that about 50% of C fixed by plant is devoted to the root, with 15% respired by the plant and 10% released by the roots as debris (exudates) including border cells (Jones et al. 2009). The compounds released by roots are not organic in nature and may be classified according to their functions such as excretions (H<sup>+</sup>, CO<sub>2</sub>, HCO<sub>3</sub>, ethylene) involved in internal metabolism and secretions (siderophores, enzymes, mucilage, H<sup>+</sup>, electrons) required for external processes (Uren 2007).

The rhizosphere is an area of high microbial activity. Several studies reported the effects of roots on microbial process (Kaiser et al. 2010). The roots release higher organic compounds in the rhizosphere due to the microbial biomass whose activities are higher in the rhizosphere than in bulk soil (Jones et al. 2009).

Studies on the microbiome of different plant species revealed that specific exudates perform specific functions, shaping the plant-microbe interaction (Hartmann et al. 2009). They include flavonoids involved in the process of symbiosis between rhizobia and legumes (Abdel-Lateif et al. 2012), sugars and amino acids acting as chemoattractants for microorganisms (Somers et al. 2004) and strigolactones, that enhance branching of mycorrhizal hyphae (Akiyama et al. 2005). Plants attract nematodes, which carry rhizobia toward roots thus contributing to the nodulation process (Horiuchi et al. 2005). The exudates have both positive and negative effects on plants, however, depending on which type of microorganisms are attracted (Hayat et al. 2017).

# 6.3 Microbial Number and Diversity

In the rhizosphere, there is more cycling of nutrients as well as availability. The solubility of toxic metals also makes it a different microenvironment from bulk soil (Neumann et al. 2009). The type and composition of organic compounds released by roots, i.e. sugars, carbohydrates, nucleotides, vitamins, flavonoids, and stimulators, strongly affect the diversity of species proliferating in the rhizosphere (Dotaniya et al. 2013; Ueno et al. 2007).

The rhizosphere higher amount of nutrients as compared to bulk soil, confer biological and chemical properties due to a large number of macro- and micro- organisms which develop a variety of interactions among each other and with roots (Kapoor and Sachdeva 2013). The relationship between plant and microorganisms may be classified as mutualistic, commensalistic or parasitic (Aira et al. 2010; Schirawski and Perlin 2018).

The microbial diversity decreases as the distance from the rhizoplane increases (Chowdhury et al. 2009). It also decreases after long term exposure to older roots, as compared to bulk soil. In general, the bacterial diversity follows the following trend: bulk soil < apical region < basal region (Dennis et al. 2008). According to Hartmann et al. (2009), the root secretions exert both stimulatory as well as inhibitory effects on the microbial community structure and composition. Exudates such as amino acids, organic acids, and carbohydrates have positive effects on chemotactic responses of bacteria. On the other hand roots also release secondary metabolites that inhibit the growth of bacterial and fungal pathogens such as chitosans, jasmonic and salicylic acids (Walker et al. 2003). Plants also release different antibacterial compounds such as ellagic acid, chebulagic acid and norwogonin, that decrease the bacterial number (Miyasaki et al. 2013).

# 6.4 Plant Microbe Interactions

As agricultural production needs to be doubled in coming year to feed the increasing population of the world, there is also need to reduce the use of chemical fertilizers. To achieve this goal, it is necessary to explore the interaction between plants and microbes, so that microorganisms can be used as biofertilizers, biopesticides as well as bioherbicides (Igiehon and Babalola 2017).

#### 6.4.1 Beneficial Interactions

Plant-associated microorganisms use different mechanisms for influencing and modulating the plant health (Huang et al. 2014). The PGPB *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* can successfully be used to enhance drought resistance of plants (Su et al.

2017). PGPB isolated from plants growing in metal contaminated soil have the ability to survive at high concentration of zinc and cadmium and can be used for phytoremediation of contaminated sites (Montalbán et al. 2017). Some PGPB protect plants from soil borne diseases by producing toxic compounds (Muller et al. 2013).

Under water deficient conditions, arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi change the plant water relationship and improve their resistance to water stress (Birhane et al. 2012). AM fungi are well known for their potential to facilitate nutrient uptake, particularly phosphorus, by crops growing in phosphorus deficient soils (Mohammadi et al. 2011), i.e. *Phragmites australis* (Liang et al. 2018) or the AM *Rhizophagus irregularis* that improves plant growth enhancing Verticillium wilt resistance in cotton (Zhang et al. 2018).

Algae enhance soil fertility and promote plant growth by supplying growth promoting substances. The application of algal extract *Oscillatoria* sp. and *Spirogyra* sp. increased seed germination, seedling growth, and number of leaves, and height of *Medicago sativa* (Brahmbhatt and Kalasariya 2015). In another study, Shariatmadari et al. (2013) reported that improvement in plant growth parameters by the application of algal extract was due to the presence of growth promoting substances such as phytohormones.

Actinomycetes are also important soil microorganism contributing a higher proportion of soil biomass. They are capable of producing large quantities of antibiotics, extracellular enzymes, organic acids, bioactive compounds, phytohormones and other secondary metabolites. Several isolates of actinomycetes have been found to promote plant growth via direct and indirect mechanisms (Singh et al. 2018). Sreevidya et al. (2016) reported that four isolates of actinomycetes significantly increased the yield of chickpea. The PGPB *Streptomyces* spp. can be used as biofertilizers, as they help to release nutrients from the complex organic compounds (Vurukonda et al. 2018).

Soil protozoa also affect plant growth by influencing the beneficial potential of PGPB (Weidner et al. 2017).

#### 6.4.2 Negative Interactions

Microorganisms regulate host populations everywhere in the soil environment. They may be pathogenic and may cause diseases in plants. In such interactions, pathogens grow and multiply inside the plant by causing various kind of diseases, and can also move from diseased to healthy plants (Abdul-Kareem 2012).

The pathogenic fungi feed on plant tissues and weaken their defense systems. One of the mechanisms exploited to survive in the host plant is the secretion of effector proteins which interact with plant proteins thereby disturbing their normal function. Recently, effector proteins were identified in fungal pathogens important for Indian agriculture, such as *Microbotryum lychnidis-dioicae* (Kuppireddy et al. 2017) and *Fusarium proliferatum* (Gao et al. 2018). These effector proteins cause destructive tomato diseases by producing dark brown necrotic spots leading to death

of the entire plant. The infection on wheat during different growth stages by *Fusarium culmorum* has also been reported by Spanic et al. (2018). Fungal phytopathogens reduce the plant yield although their abundance may decrease in suppressive soils (Lobmann et al. 2016).

Viral infections in plants have also been reported in species of *Chenopodium* and *Nicotiana* grown in common gardens. Viral infections develop necrotic spots and cause reduction in above ground biomass (Kollmann et al. 2007). Changes in the growth and physiological parameters of pepper as affected by *Tobacco mosaic virus* have also been studied. The viral infection causes stunting, necrosis, deformation and defoliation in plants which resulted in reduction of fruit production (Pazarlar et al. 2013). In another study, incidence of Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus, Squash mosaic virus and melon necrotic spot virus was observed which resulted in 10–15% yield reduction (Ling et al. 2014). Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus is a virus disease of cucurbits which can be transmitted via pollen to healthy plants (Liu et al. 2013). Viral diseases in cotton are major cause of yield reduction. According to an estimate, about 30% reduction in cotton is due to cotton leaf curl virus (Hassan et al. 2016).

Yellow vein mosaic disease (YVMD) caused by begomoviruses is the most devastating disease of okra affecting both quality and yield of crops (Sanwal et al. 2016; Venkataravanappa et al. 2017). Another important problem in tomato production caused by a virus is the tomato leaf curl, whose causal agent also belongs to the begomovirus group (Moriones et al. 2017). Microorganisms responsible for food spoilage such are yeasts, moulds and bacteria (Rawat 2015).

#### 6.4.3 Plants as Habitat for Microbial Population

The rhizosphere contains beneficial microorganisms, i.e. rhizobia and AM fungi, which establish associations with the roots, provide fixed nitrogen and nutrients and get in exchange carbon-based compounds. On the other hand, the plants exposed to a wide range of pathogens, such as bacteria, fungi and viruses, evolved several defense mechanisms (Biswas et al. 2016). The roots are covered by layers of microbes in the rhizosphere, and even seed may also contain microorganisms. These complex communities modulate the plant growth, health and development, seed germination, plant ecology and productivity (Chen et al. 2018).

The microorganisms found in association with medicinal plants might be involved in release of secondary metabolite from medicinal plant (Chen et al. 2018). In another study Sanchez-Lopez et al. (2018) investigated whether microorganisms can be transmitted from one generation to another. They isolated *Methylobacterium* sp. from seeds of pioneer *Crotalaria pumila* growing in metal contaminated soil. The seeds associated microbial isolates were capable to colonize the plant up to three generations, including root cortical cells as well as xylem. In another study,

the bacterial diversity, plant exudates and physico-chemical properties of rhizosphere soil from young and old tea plants were compared. Although the physico-chemical properties remained similar, changes were observed in catechin and microbial distribution (Arafat et al. 2017).

#### 6.5 Microbes and Plants Growth

Plants affect microbial diversity as the microbiome lives in close association with roots, xylem, stems and leaves. On the other hand, these microorganisms also influence plant health and productivity (Bogino et al. 2013). Microorganisms have been used to enhance crop productivity and soil health as well as for bioremediation of contaminated soils, wastewater treatment and recycling of industrial waste (Ahmad et al. 2011). They play a vital role in nutrients mobility and uptake by plants, promoting growth and protecting plants from diseases (Table 6.1). Fundamental processes include phosphate and sulphate solubilization, nitrogen fixation, denitrification, siderophore production, signal transduction, immune modulation, pathogens control (Prakash et al. 2015). Microorganisms also decompose organic matter and get C and energy for their own growth (Rillig et al. 2007). In anaerobic conditions, microbes immobilize 20–40% of C in the substrate, the remaining being released into the atmosphere (Zak et al. 2000; Rajendiran et al. 2012). Studies recommended the use of microbial-based fertilizers for sustainable agriculture and environment safety, instead of chemical fertilizers alone (Prakash et al. 2015).

Microorganisms-based fertilizers, along with compost, decrease the negative effect of chemical fertilizers and further enhance the quality of crops, as suggested by the enhancement of  $\beta$ -carotene, Brix degrees and vitamin C content reported in tomatoes (El Kiyum 2017). The PGPB colonize roots influencing the plant growth and development (Hayat et al. 2017). The interaction of PGPB with their rhizobial counterparts could result in the enhancement of nodulation efficiency (Guiñazú et al. 2010). Plants release amino acids, carbohydrates, vitamins and lipids, through roots thus enhancing microbial activities in soil. PGPB enhance geochemical cycling of essential nutrients, particularly nitrogen, phosphorus and micronutrients such as copper, zinc, iron, manganese in soil for plant growth and development (Dotaniya and Vasudev 2015). Plant growth promotion by addition of microorganisms in soil i.e., *Pseudomonads* as well as phytohormones productions have been documented (Nassal et al. 2018).

PGPB promote plant growth and crop yields by producing growth hormones, increasing plant nutrient availability, and of microbes that can act as biocontrol agent against pathogens (Dotaniya and Vasudev 2015; Jacoby et al. 2017). Inoculation of plants with AM increased efficiency of water use, plant biomass and chlorophyll content, even under metal stress (Andrade et al. 2015).

| Growth condition                            | Crop                              | Response  | Reference               |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| (a) Impact of ba                            | cterial inoculation on cr         | -   | 1                       |
| Lab studies                                 | Rice (Oryza sativa)               | Inoculation significantly<br>increased germination, root and<br>shoot length, and plant vigor | Vandana et al. (2018)   |
| Lab studies                                 |                                   | Inoculation suppressed<br>economically important crop<br>pathogens                            | Shakeel et al. (2015)   |
| Field<br>experiment                         |                                   | Improved all quality parameters   | Choudhary et al. (2013) |
| Pot study                                   | Maize (Zea mays)                  | Enhanced plant growth and yield<br>parameters by suppressing fungal<br>pathogens              | Akhtar et al. (2018)    |
| Lab and field conditions                    |                                   | Significantly affected root-system architecture   | Vacheron et al. (2018)  |
| Greenhouse<br>and field<br>conditions       | -                                 | Inoculation increased plant height<br>and dry weight  | Jarak et al. (2012)     |
| Field and pot<br>experiment                 |                                   | Improved shoot and root length,<br>root dry weight, yield cob weight<br>and P uptake          | Baig et al. (2014)      |
| Field<br>experiments                        | Wheat (Triticum<br>aestivum)      | significantly increased macro and<br>micro nutrients and grain total<br>biomass yields        | Turan et al. (2018)     |
| Greenhouse conditions                       |                                   | Inoculation significantly<br>increased plant biomass, at all<br>stages                        | Nguyen et al. (2019)    |
| Field<br>experiment                         |                                   | Improved plant height, number of spikelets per spike and grain yield                          | Sial et al. (2018)      |
| Lab conditions                              | Tomato (Solanum<br>lycopersicum)  | Significantly increased stem<br>height and root mass  | Cendales et al. (2017)  |
| Growth chamber                              |                                   | Suppressed soil-borne diseases and promoted plant growth                                      | Qiano et al. (2017)     |
| Pot<br>experiments                          |                                   | Improved fruit quality by<br>increasing carbohydrates, sugar<br>and ascorbic acid             | Pishchik et al. (2018)  |
| Field<br>experiment<br>under salt<br>stress | Cotton (Gossypium<br>hirsutum L.) | Increased seed germination, total<br>shoot, root dry weight and yield<br>under salt stress    | Pulatov et al. (2016)   |
| Field<br>experiment                         |                                   | Enhanced yield  | Alavo et al. (2015)     |
| Field<br>experiment                         |                                   | Improved plant growth by increasing phytohormone  | Pindi et al. (2014)     |

 Table 6.1 Impact of microbial inoculation on crop growth

| Growth condition                    | Crop  | Response   | Reference                              |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Pot study                           | Chickpea (Cicer<br>arietinum L.)  | Enhanced plant height, leaf area<br>leaf and stem weight, pod number<br>and weight   | Gopalakrishnan<br>et al. (2015)        |
| Pot study                           |   | Increased fresh and dry biomass  | Goswami et al. (2013)                  |
| Field study                         | Hungarian vetch (Vicia<br>pannonica)  | Increased dry matter, crude<br>protein ADF, NDF macro and<br>micro nutrients   | Yolcu et al. (2012)                    |
| Greenhouse conditions               | Radish ( <i>Raphanus</i> sativus)   | Increased photosynthetic<br>pigments, free amino acids,<br>proline, phytohormones and N, P,<br>K <sup>+</sup> content                      | Mohamed and<br>Gomaa (2012)            |
| Culture media                       | Banana (Musa<br>acuminate)  | Enhanced fresh and dry weight,<br>plant height, stem thickness and<br>modified roots architecture  | Martin et al. (2015)                   |
| Field study                         | Strawberry (Fragaria<br>ananassa)   | Increased yield per plant  | Pirlak and Murat (2009)                |
| Glass house<br>conditions           | Sorghum (Sorghum<br>bicolor)  | Bacterial strains showed<br>enhanced plant growth<br>parameters, chlorophyll,<br>carbohydrates, phosphorus<br>nitrogen and other nutrients | Kumar et al. (2012)                    |
| Control conditions                  | Thale cress<br>(Arabidopsis thaliana)                                       | Improved shoot length and rosette diameter   | Schwachtje et al. (2012)               |
| Pots study                          | Poinsettia (Euphorbia<br>pulcherrima)                                       | Increased number of leaves, leaf area and volume of roots  | Zulueta-<br>Rodriguez et al.<br>(2014) |
| Field study                         | Castor ( <i>Ricinus</i> communis L.)  | Significantly increased leaf<br>biomass, number of leaves, root<br>and shoot length, stem base<br>diameter and leaf moisture<br>content    | Sandilya et al. (2017)                 |
| (b) Impact of m                     | ycorrhizal inoculation on   | crop growth.   |  |
| Metal stress<br>(Pot<br>experiment) | Rice (Oryza sativa)   | Decreased metal uptake   | Zhang et al. (2011)                    |
| Pot experiment                      | Carrot (Daucus carota)<br>sorghum (Sorghum<br>bicolor)                      | Improved plant growth  | Kim et al. (2017)                      |
| Field conditions                    | Artichoke (Cynara cardunculus)  | Improved yield   | Colonna et al. (2015)                  |
| Pot study                           | Red amaranth<br>(Amaranthus cruentus)<br>and spinach (Spinacia<br>oleracea) | Improved growth and yield  | Ghosh et al.<br>(2017a, b)             |

Table 6.1 (continued)

| Growth condition                      | Сгор   | Response  | Reference                 |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|
| Poly bags                             | Chilli ( <i>Capsicum</i> annuum)   | Increased plant growth and yield<br>parameters, chlorophyll, and<br>nutrient uptake             | Elahi et al. (2012)       |
| Field<br>experiments                  | Melon ( <i>Cucumis melo</i> )<br>watermelon ( <i>Citrullus</i><br><i>lanatus</i> ) | Significantly increased plant<br>growth with higher nutrient<br>content                         | Ortas (2012)              |
| Green house                           | Finger millet ( <i>Eleusine coracana</i> )   | Highest growth parameters were observed.  | Patil et al. (2013)       |
| In vitro<br>experiments               | Banana (Musa<br>acuminata)   | Superior biocontrol potential for disease management  | Ganesan et al. (2009)     |
| In vivo<br>experiment                 | Mango (Mangifera<br>indica)  | Inoculation significantly control stem end rot disease  | Suhanna et al. (2013)     |
| Field conditions                      | Brazilian fern tree<br>(Schizolobium<br>parahyba)                                  | Increased wood yield  | Cely et al. (2016)        |
| Greenhouse<br>and field<br>conditions | Red sage (Salvia<br>miltiorrhiza)  | Improved root growth and boosts the secondary metabolism  | Zhou et al. (2018)        |
| Field study                           | Gum trees ( <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp.)   | Inoculation positively affected<br>stem diameter, stem length, and<br>the fresh and dry biomass | Vitorino et al.<br>(2016) |
| Pot experiment                        | Cucumber ( <i>Cucumis</i> sativus)   | increased plant height, stem<br>diameter, dry weight, and macro<br>and micro nutrient           | Chen et al. (2017)        |

Table 6.1 (continued)

# 6.6 Soil Microbes as Biocontrol Agent

Soil microorganisms act as biopesticides and protect plants from pathogens by producing a range of different metabolites (Table 6.2). They possess several mode of actions such as the production of antibiotics, biosurfactants, cell wall degrading enzymes, chitinase, glucanase, toxins, siderophores and induction of systemic resistance in plants (Perez-Montano et al. 2014; Kumar and Singh 2015). Diseasecausing organisms including bacteria, fungi, and nematodes causing severe soil borne diseases negatively affect all crops. Among pathogenic microbes, fungi are responsible for huge losses in economically important crops (Perez-Montano et al. 2014).

In these conditions (PGPB) and fungi interact in a complex system. Bacterial strains often encountered in the rhizosphere that can act as biocontrol agents belong to different genera such as *Acetobacter*, *Azotobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Paenibacillus*, *Streptomyces* (Berg and Smalla 2009; Kumar and Singh 2015). Some strains such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Ochrobactrum lupine*, *Novosphingobium* and *Pentaromativorans* spp. have shown a disease management capacity vs Tomato Cucumber mosaic and bacterial spot (Dashti et al. 2012; Hahm et al. 2012).

| Species  | Biocontrol potential                      | Reference                        |  |
|--|---|----------------------------------|--|
| Bacteria   |   |                                  |  |
| Acinetobacter  | Chitinase                                 | Krithika and<br>Chellaram (2016) |  |
| Achromobacter sp.<br>Achromobacter xylosoxidans,<br>Achromobacter xylosoxidans | HCN production                            | Ngoma et al. (2013)              |  |
| Alcaligenes sp.  | Siderophores                              | Patel et al. (2018)              |  |
| Alcaligenes sp.  | Siderophores                              | Sayyed and Patel (2011)          |  |
| Pseudomonas strains  | HCN, siderophores                         | Sandilya et al. (2017)           |  |
| Pseudomonas sp.  | Siderophores, HCN, lipase, protease       | Ghodsalavi et al.<br>(2013)      |  |
| Pseudomonas sp.  | Antibiotics 2,4 DAPG                      | Asadhi et al. (2013)             |  |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa   | Antibiotic, siderophores, HCN production  | Uzair et al. (2018)              |  |
| Pseudomonas putida   | HCN production, siderophores              | Vandana et al. (2018)            |  |
| Bacillus cereus  | production                                |                                  |  |
| Pseudomonas brassicacearum   | Secondary metabolites                     | Andersson (2012)                 |  |
| Pseudomonas fluorescens  | Protease, chitinase, glucanases           | Ruchi et al. (2012)              |  |
| Pseudomonas fluorescens  | Antibiotics<br>2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol | Weller et al. (2012)             |  |
| Pseudomonas aureofaciens   | Siderophores                              | Chaiharn et al. (2009)           |  |
| Bacillus firmus  |   |                                  |  |
| Bacillus subtilis  | Chitinase and HCN                         | Shakeela et al. (2017)           |  |
| Bacillus cereus  | Chitinase                                 | Ajayi et al. (2016)              |  |
| Bacillus anthracis   | Siderophores, pectinase, chitinase        | Pandya et al. (2015)             |  |
| Paenibacillus taichungensis  |   |                                  |  |
| Paenibacillus xylanilyticus  |   |                                  |  |
| Bacillus thuringiensis   | Siderophores, phenols, HCN                | Ahmed et al. (2014)              |  |
| Pseudomonas fluorescens  | production chitinase activity             |                                  |  |
| Pseudomonas poae   |   |                                  |  |
| Bacillus sp.   | Chitinase activity                        | Han et al. (2014)                |  |
| Paenibacillus sp.  |   |                                  |  |
| Bacillus cereus  | Surfactin type lipopeptide                | Jourdan et al. (2009)            |  |
| Pseudomonas, Bacillus,   | Siderophores production, protease,        | Etminani and Harighi             |  |
| Pantoea and Serratia   | HCN production                            | (2018)                           |  |
| Pseudomonas, Bacillus,<br>Brevundimonas, Azotobacter,<br>Enterobacter          | HCN, Lipase, protease                     | Patel and Desai (2015)           |  |
| Pseudomonas, Bacillus subtilis<br>sp.<br>Klebsiella sp.                        | lipase, amylase, chitinase, HCN           | Bhatt and Vyas (2014)            |  |
| 1  | -   |                                  |  |

 Table 6.2 Metabolites used in biocontrol produced by microbial strains

| Species  | Biocontrol potential   | Reference  |
|--|--|--|
| Rhizobium nepotum  | Siderophore  | Ghorpade and Gupta (2016)  |
| Rhizobium  | Siderophore  | Datta and Chakrabartt<br>(2013)                                  |
| Herbaspirillum seropedicae   | Siderophore, chitinase   | Trovero et al. (2018),<br>Rosconi et al. (2015)                  |
| Streptomyces sp.   | Antibiotics, volatile organic<br>Compounds   | Vurukonda et al. (2018)  |
| Streptomyces sp.   | Secondary metabolite.  | Singh et al. (2016)  |
| Streptomyces spp.  | Siderophore, cellulase, lipase,<br>protease, chitinase, hydrocyanic acid<br>and $\beta$ -1,3-glucanase | Sreevidya et al. (2016)<br>Gopalakrishnan et al.<br>(2014, 2015) |
| Streptomyces, Bordetella,<br>Achromobacter   | Antibiotics  | Abbas et al. (2014)  |
| Streptomyces spp.  | Siderophores   | Franco-Correa et al.<br>(2010); Lee et al.<br>(2012)             |
| Klebsiella, Enterobacter,<br>Pantoea   | HCN, chitinase, ammonia, cellulose, pectinase  | Rodrigues et al. (2016)  |
| Fungi  | ·  |  |
| Trichoderma sp.  | Siderophore  | Srivastava et al. (2018)   |
| Trichoderma sp.  | Activated salicylic acid (SA) and jasmonic acid  | Martínez-Medina et al.<br>(2016)                                 |
| Trichoderma sp.  | Harzianic acid   | Vinale et al. (2013)   |
| Trichoderma spp.   | Siderophore  | Ghosh et al. (2017a, b)  |
| Beauveria spp.   |  |  |
| Metarhizium spp.   |  |  |
| Trichoderma harzianum  | Activated salicylic and jasmonic acids   | Alkooranee et al. (2017)   |
| Trichoderma brevicompactum<br>Trichoderma virens                                     | $\beta$ -1,3-glucanase and $\beta$ -1,4- glucanase   | Ayoubi et al. (2014)   |
| Trichoderma asperellum   | Siderophore  | Weizhen and Lei<br>(2013)  |
| Trichoderma harzianum,<br>T. reesei  | Siderophore  | Lehner et al. (2013)   |
| Trichoderma atroviride   | Regulates salicylic and jasmonic acids, and ethylene   | Salas-Marina et al. (2011)                                       |
| Trichoderma virens,<br>Trichoderma atroviride  | Activate salicylic and jasmonic acids defense signalling pathway                                       | Contreras-Cornejo<br>et al. (2011)                               |
| Trichoderma viride, Aspergillus<br>flavus, Curvularia lunata,<br>Rhizopus stolonifer | Antibiotics  | Makut and Owolewa (2011)   |
| Penicillium sp.  | Endoglucanase, β-glucosidase,  | Santa-Rosa et al. (2017)   |

 Table 6.2 (continued)

| Species  | Biocontrol potential   | Reference                            |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| Penicillium echinulatum  | Endoglucanases, xylanases, and β-glucosidases  | Schneider et al. (2014)              |
| Penicillium echinulatum  | Cellulases, xylanases  | Ritter et al. (2013)                 |
| Penicillium simplicisssum,<br>Acremonium sp.                       | Chitinase, β-1, 3-glucanase, amylase,<br>Siderophore                                     | Potshangbam et al. (2017)            |
| Aspergillus terreus  | Chitinase  | Krishnaveni and<br>Ragunathan (2014) |
| Phoma sp.  | Volatile compounds   | Naznin et al. (2014)                 |
| Cladosporium sp.   |  |                                      |
| Ampelomyces sp.  |  |                                      |
| Phoma sp.  | Volatile compounds   | Naznin et al. (2013)                 |
| Ralstonia solanacearum   | Volatile compounds   | Tahir et al. (2017)                  |
| Pseudozyma aphidis   | Extracellular metabolites  | Barda et al. (2015)                  |
| Beauveria Bassiana,<br>Metarhizium anisopliae,<br>Paecilomyces sp. | Amylases, cellulases, esterases,<br>lipases, proteases, gelatin, caseinase,<br>pectinase | Fernandes et al. (2012)              |
| Talaromyces wortmannii FS2   | Volatile compounds   | Yamagiwa et al. (2011)               |

Table 6.2 (continued)

*Pseudomonas* spp. have been reported for their potential against *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Phytophthora capsici* (Arora et al. 2008). *Trichoderma* and *Sebacinales* spp. are well known to control foliar, fruit and root pathogens, or even invertebrates such as nematodes (Shoresh et al. 2010). Endophytic fungi also have antimicrobial activities and play an important role in the regulation, or even suppression, of plant diseases, i.e. powdery mildew in wheat (Xiang et al. 2016).

PGPB release different lytic enzymes to compete with pathogens such as chitinases, glucanases, proteases (Viterbo et al. 2002). They produce chitinases and glucanases that degrade the fungal cell wall (Kumar et al. 2010). Fungi from several groups, including *Acremonium* sp. *Hansfordia pulvinata*, *Sarocladium implicatum*, *Simplicillium lanosoniveum* and *Lecanicillium lecanii* are efficient in controlling other phytopathogenic fungi by producing enzymes, proteases, lipases and antifungal metabolites that inhibit the germination of pathogens' propagules.

Soil microbes also produce antibiotics such as guanidylfungin A, nigericin, geldanamycin, controlling other disease-causing species (Trejo-Estrada et al. 1998). They can also produce alkaloids which are highly reactive and active, including alkaloids, festuklavine and elimoklavine (Bekemakhanova and Shemshura 2001) Antibiotics are low molecular weight organic compounds produced by specific groups of microorganisms. Beneficial *Pseudomonas* spp. can inhibit disease development by producing DAPG (diacetyl-phloroglucinol) and HCN (Hydrogen cayanide) (Junaid et al. 2013). Microbes can even produce hydrogen cyanide to protect plants from pathogens, as reported by Martinez-Viveros et al. (2010). Various antibiotics produced by microbes have been reported, such as amphisin A, kanosamine, A, zwittermicin, oomycin A, oligomycin, cyclic lipopeptides, 2,4-diacetyl phloroglucinol, hydrogen cyanide, pyoluteorin, phenazine, pyrrolnitrin, and xanthobaccin (Hitendra et al. 2017).

Siderophores are iron chelating compounds produced by microorganisms in iron deficient conditions. These siderophores chelate iron by converting it into complexed forms that cannot be used by other microorganisms in Fe deficient conditions. This is an important mechanisms in biological control by microorganisms, as it deprives other competing plant pathogenic fungi and bacteria. There are three different groups of siderophores which have been reported, namely catecholate siderophores, hydroxamate siderophores, and mixed siderophores (Vellasamy et al. 2015).

PGPB also trigger the plant defense mechanisms prior to infection, and in this way reduce the disease incidence. The induction of a systematic resistance results by the modulation of salicylic acid, jasmonic acid and ethylene pathways in the plants. PGPB from several genera including *Pseudomonas*, *B. amyloliquifaciens*, *B. subtilis*, *B. pasteurii*, *B. cereus*, *B. pumilus*, *B. mycoides*, and *B. sphaericus* reduce the severity of various diseases on many plants (Choudhary et al. 2007).

Some cyanobacteria and algal extracts were also found as efficient biocontrol agents because they produce antibacterial and antifungal metabolites. They can be applied to activate plant resistance mechanisms such as induced systematic resistance (Shunmugam et al. 2015).

Finally, also beneficial fungi may improve plant growth and contribute in controlling their diseases. Species from genera *Aspergillus, Penicillium, Phoma, Piriformospora, Fusarium*, and *Trichoderma* have been reported for inducing systematic resistance in plants (Hossain et al. 2017), and systematic resistance and suppression of anthracnose in cucumber (Elsharkawy et al. 2015).

#### References

- Abbas, S., Senthilkumar, R., & Arjunan, S. (2014). Isolation and molecular characterization of microorganisms producing novel antibiotics from soil sample. *European Journal of Experimental Biology*, 4, 149–155.
- Abdel-Lateif, K., Bogusz, D., & Hocher, V. (2012). The role of flavonoids in the establishment of plant roots endosymbioses with Arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi, rhizobia and Frankia bacteria. *Plant Signaling & Behavior*, 7, 636–641.
- Abdul-Kareem. (2012). *Parasitism and disease development* (1st ed.). Peshawar: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Agricultural University.
- Ahmad, I., Ahmad, F., & John, P. (2011). Microbes and microbial technology: Agricultural and environmental applications. New York: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-7931-5-1.
- Ahmed, E. A., Enas, A. H., Tobgy, K. M. K. E., & Ramadan, E. M. (2014). Evaluation of rhizobacteria of some medicinal plants for plant growth promotion and biological control. *Annals of Agricultural Sciences*, 5, 273–280.
- Aira, M., Gómez-Brandón, M., Lazcano, C., Bååth, E., & Domínguez, J. (2010). Plant genotype strongly modifies the structure and growth of maize rhizosphere microbial communities. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42, 2276–2281.

- Ajayi, A. A., Onibokun, E. A., George, F. O. A., & Atolagbe, O. M. (2016). Isolation and characterization of chitinolytic bacteria for chitinase production from the African catfish, *Clarias* gariepinus. Research Journal of Microbiology, 11, 119–125.
- Akhtar, N., Naveed, M., Khalid, M., Nisar, A., Rizwan, M., & Siddique, S. (2018). Effect of bacterial consortia on growth and yield of maize grown in *Fusarium* infested soil. *Soil and Environment*, 37, 35–44.
- Akiyama, K., Matsuzaki, K., & Hayashi, H. (2005). Plant sesquiterpenes induce hyphal branching in Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Nature*, 435, 824–827.
- Alavo, T. B. C., Boukari, S., Fayalo, D. G., & Bochow, H. (2015). Cotton fertilization using PGPR Bacillus amyloliquefaciens FZB42 and compost: Impact on insect density and cotton yield in North Benin. Cogent Food & Agriculture, 1, 1–8.
- Alkooranee, J. T., Aledan, T. R., Ali, K. A., Lu, G., Zhang, X., Wu, J., et al. (2017). Detecting the hormonal pathways in oilseed rape behind induced systemic resistance by *Trichoderma harzianum* TH12 to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *PLoS One*. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0168850.
- Andersson, P. F. (2012). Secondary metabolites associated with plant disease, plant defense and biocontrol (Doctoral Thesis Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences).
- Andrade, S. A. L., Domingues, A. P., & Mazzafera, J. P. (2015). Photosynthesis is induced in rice plants that associate with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and are grown under arsenate and arsenite stress. *Chemosphere*, 134, 141–149.
- Arafat, Y., Wei, X., Jiang, Y., Chen, T., Saqib, H. S. A., Lin, S., & Lin, W. (2017). Spatial distribution patterns of root-associated bacterial communities mediated by root exudates in different aged ratooning tea monoculture systems. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijms18081727.
- Arora, N. K., Khare, E., & Oh, J. H. (2008). Diverse mechanisms adopted by *Pseudomonas fluorescent* PGC2 during the inhibition of Rhizoctonia solani and Phytophthora capsici. *World Journal of Microbiology & Biotechnology*, 24, 581–585.
- Asadhi, S., Reddy, B. V. B., Sivaprasad, Y., Prathyusha, M., Krishna, T. M., & Kumar, K. V. K. (2013). Characterization, genetic diversity and antagonistic potential of 2, 4-diacetylphloroglucinol producing Pseudomonas fluorescens isolates in groundnut-based cropping systems of Andhra Pradesh, India. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection. https://doi.org/10.1080/032 35408.2013.782223.
- Ayoubi, N., Doustmorad, Z., & Mirabolfathy, M. (2014). Evaluation of β-1,3-glucanase and β-1,4glucanase enzymes production in some *Trichoderma* species. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection*. https://doi.org/10.1080/03235408.2013.862457.
- Badri, D. V., Zolla, G., Bakker, M. G., Manter, D. K., & Vivanco, J. M. (2013). Potential impact of soil microbiomes on the leaf metabolome and on herbivore feeding behavior. *New Phytologist*, 198, 264–273.
- Baig, K. S., Arshad, M., Khalid, A., Hussain, S., Abbas, M. N., & Imran, M. (2014). Improving growth and yield of maize through bioinoculants carrying auxin production and phosphate solubilizing activity. *Soil and Environment*, 33, 159–168.
- Bais, H. P., Weir, T. L., Perry, L. G., Gilroy, S., & Vivanco, J. M. (2006). The role of root exudates in rhizosphere interactions with plants and other organisms. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 57, 233–266.
- Barda, O., Shalev, O., Alster, S., Buxdorf, K., Gafni, A., & Levy, M. (2015). *Pseudozyma aphidis* induces salicylic-acid-independent resistance to clavibacter michiganensis in tomato plants. *The American Phytopathological Society*, 99, 621–626.
- Bekemakhanova, N. E., & Shemshura, O. N. (2001). Alkaloids of microscopic fungi for plant protection. Bioactive fungal metabolites. Impact and exploitation. In: International Symposium by British Mycological Society.
- Berg, G., & Smalla, K. (2009). Plant species and soil type cooperatively shape the structure and function of microbial communities in the rhizosphere. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 68, 1–13.

- Bhatt, P. V., & Vyas, B. R. M. (2014). Screening and characterization of plant growth and health promoting rhizobacteria. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 3, 139–155.
- Birhane, E., Sterck, F. J., Fetene, M., & Bongers, F. (2012). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi enhance photosynthesis, water use efficiency, and growth of frankincense seedlings under pulsed water availability conditions. *Oecology*, 169, 895–904.
- Biswas, K., Kumar, R., Prakash, V., Tarafdar, A., & Kumar, S. (2016). Soil microbes and their interaction with plants. In Rituparna & A. B. Mitra (Eds.), *Plant pathogen interaction: Recent trends* (1st ed.). New York: Springer.
- Bogino, P. C., Oliva, M. L. M., Sorroche, F. G., & Giordano, W. (2013). The role of bacterial biofilms and surface components in plant-bacterial associations. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 14, 15838–15859.
- Brahmbhatt, D. N. H., & Kalasariya, H. S. (2015). Effect of algae on seedling growth of "Queen of Forages". International Journal of Engineering Research and General Science, 3, 827–833.
- Bulgarelli, D., Rott, M., Schlaeppi, K., van Themaat, V. L. E., Ahmadinejad, N., Assenza, F., et al. (2012). Revealing structure and assembly cues for *Arabidopsis* root inhabiting bacterial microbiota. *Nature*, 488, 91–95.
- Carvalhais, L. C., Dennis, P. G., Badri, D. V., Tyson, G. W., Vivanco, J. M., & Schenk, P. M. (2013). Activation of the jasmonic acid plant defence pathway alters the composition of rhizosphere bacterial communities. *PloS ONE*, 8. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056457.
- Cely, M. V., Siviero, M. A., Emiliano, J., Spago, F. R., Freitas, V. F., Barazetti, A. R., et al. (2016). Inoculation of *Schizolobium parahyba* with mycorrhizal fungi and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria increases wood yield under field conditions. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01708.
- Cendales, C. T., Rodriguez González, C. A., Villota Cuásquer, C. P., Tapasco Alzate, O. A., & Hernández, R. A. (2017). *Bacillus* effect on the germination and growth of tomato seedlings (*Solanum lycopersicum L*). *Acta Biológica Colombiana*, 22, 37–44.
- Chaiharn, M., Chunhaleuchanon, S., & Lumyong, S. (2009). Screening siderophore producing bacteria as potential biological control agent for fungal rice pathogens in Thailand. World Journal of Microbiology & Biotechnology, 25, 1919–1928.
- Chaparro, J. M., Badri, D. V., & Vivanco, J. M. (2013). Rhizosphere microbiome assemblage is affected by plant development. *ISME Journal*, 8, 790–803.
- Chen, S., Zhao, H., Zou, C., Li, Y., Chen, Y., Wang, Z., et al. (2017). Combined inoculation with multiple Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi improves growth, nutrient uptake and photosynthesis in cucumber seedlings. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02516.
- Chen, H., Wu, H., Yan, B., Zhao, H., Liu, F., Zhang, H., et al. (2018). Core microbiome of medicinal plant Salvia miltiorrhiza seed: A rich reservoir of beneficial microbes for secondary metabolism. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19030672.
- Choudhary, D. K., Anil, P., & Johri, B. N. (2007). Induced systemic resistance (ISR) in plants: Mechanism of action. *Indian Journal of Microbiology*, 47, 289–297.
- Choudhary, R. L., Kumar, D., Shivay, Y. S., Anand, A., & Nain, L. (2013). Yield and quality of rice (*Oryza sativa*) hybrids grown by SRI method with and without plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 58, 430–433.
- Chowdhury, S. P., Schmid, M., Hartmann, A., & Tripathi, A. K. (2009). Diversity of 16S-rRNA and nifH genes derived from rhizosphere soil and roots of an endemic drought tolerant grass. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 45, 114–122.
- Colonna, E., Rouphael, Y., De Pascale, S., & Barbieri, G. (2015). Effects of mycorrhiza and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on yield and quality of artichoke. *Acta Horticulturae*, 1147, 43–50.
- Contreras-Cornejo, H. A., Macías-Rodríguez, L., Beltrán-Peña, E., Herrera-Estrella, A., & López-Bucio, J. (2011). Trichoderma-induced plant immunity likely involves both hormonal- and camalexin-dependent mechanisms in Arabidopsis thaliana and confers resistance against necrotrophic fungus Botrytis cinerea. *Plant Signaling & Behavior*, 6, 1554–1563.

- Dashti, N. H., Ali, N. Y., Cherian, V. M., & Montasser, M. S. (2012). Application of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) in combination with a mild strain of Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV) associated with viral satellite RNAs to enhance growth and protection against a virulent strain of CMV in tomato. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology*, 34, 177–186.
- Datta, B., & Chakrabartty, P. K. (2013). Siderophore biosynthesis genes of *Rhizobium* sp. isolated from *Cicer arietinum* L. *Biotechnology*, 4, 391–401.
- Dennis, P. G., Miller, A. J., Clark, I. M., Taylor, R. G., Valsami-Jones, E., & Hirsch, P. R. (2008). A novel method for sampling bacteria on plant root and soil surfaces at the microhabitat scale. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 75, 12–18.
- Dennis, P. G., Miller, A. J., & Hirsch, P. R. (2010). Are root exudates more important than other sources of rhizodeposits in structuring rhizosphere bacterial communities? *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 72, 313–327.
- Dotaniya, M., & Vasudev, M. (2015). Rhizosphere effect on nutrient availability in soil and its uptake by plants: A Review. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India Section B: Biological Sciences*, 85. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40011-013-0297-0.
- Dotaniya, M. L., Meena, H. M., Lata, M., & Kumar, K. (2013). Role of phytosiderophores in iron uptake by plants. Agricultural Science Digest, 33, 73–76.
- El Kiyum, S. S. S. (2017). Influence of effective microorganisms on qualities of tomatoes (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) grown on tropical loam soil. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research*, 7, 53–56.
- Elahi, I. F. E., Mridha, M. A. U., & Aminuzzman, F. M. (2012). Role of AMF on plant growth, nutrient uptake arsenic toxicity and chlorophyll content of chili grown in arsenic amended soil. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, 37, 635–644.
- Elsharkawy, M., Shivanna, M., Meera, M., & Mitsuro, H. (2015). Mechanism of induced systemic resistance against anthracnose disease in cucumber by plant growth-promoting fungi. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B — Soil & Plant Science, 65, 1–13.
- Etminani, F., & Harighi, B. (2018). Isolation and identification of endophytic bacteria with plant growth promoting activity and biocontrol potential from wild pistachio trees. *Plant Pathology Journal*, 34, 208–217.
- Fernandes, E. G., Valerio, H. M., Feltrin, T., & Van Der Sand, S. T. (2012). Variability in the production of extracellular enzymes by entomopathogenic fungi grown on different substrates. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 43, 827–833.
- Franco-Correa, M., Quintana, A., Duque, C., Suarez, C., Rodriguez, M. X., & Barea, J. M. (2010). Evaluation of actinomycete strains for key traits related with plant growth-promotion and mycorrhiza helping activities. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 45, 209–217.
- Ganesan, S., Swaminathan, U., & Muthukumar, A. (2009). Biocontrol with *Trichoderma* species for the management of postharvest crown rot of banana. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea*, 48, 214–225.
- Gao, M., Yao, S., Liu, Y., Yu, H., Xu, P., Sun, W., Pu, Z., Hou, H., & Bao, Y. (2018). Transcriptome analysis of tomato leaf spot pathogen fusarium proliferatum: De novo assembly, expression profiling, and identification of candidate effectors. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 9(1), 31.
- Ghodsalavi, B., Ahmadzadeh, M., Soleimani, M., Brokanloui, P. M., & Taghizad-Farid, R. (2013). Isolation and characterization of rhizobacteria and their effects on root extracts of *Valeriana officinalis*. AJCS, 7, 338–344.
- Ghorpade, V. M., & Gupta, S. G. (2016). Siderophore production by *Rhizobium nepotum* isolated from "Stem nodule of *Aeschynomene indica*". *International Journal of Advanced Research in Biological Sciences*, 3, 105–108.
- Ghosh, A., Arif, T. U., Chamely, S. G., Rezwanul, H. M., Rahman, M., & Bhuiyan, A. H. (2017a). Comparative effect of arbuscular mycorrhiza, cowdung and phosphorus on growth and yield contributing characters of red amaranth (*Amaranthus tricolor* L.) and Indian spinach (*Basella alba* L.). *Tropical Plant Research*, 4, 254–263.

- Ghosh, K. S., Banerjee, S., & Sengupta, C. (2017b). Bioassay, characterization and estimation of siderophores from some important antagonistic fungi. *Journal of Biopesticides*, 10, 105–112.
- Gopalakrishnan, S., Vadlamudi, S., Bandikinda, P., Sathya, A., Vijayabharathi, R., Rupela, O., et al. (2014). Evaluation of *Streptomyces* strains isolated from herbal vermicompost for their plant growth-promotion traits in rice. *Microbiological Research*, 169, 40–48.
- Gopalakrishnan, S., Srinivas, V., Alekhya, G., Prakash, B., Kudapa, H., & Varshney, R. K. (2015). Evaluation of broad-spectrum *Streptomyces* sp. for plant growth promotion traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Philippine Agricultural Scientist*, 98, 270–278.
- Goswami, D., Vaghela, H., Parmar, S., Dhandhukia, P., & Thakker, J. N. (2013). Plant growth promoting potentials of *Pseudomonas* spp. strain OG isolated from marine water. *Journal of Plant Interactions*, 8, 281–290.
- Guiñazú, L. B., Andres, J. A., DelPapa, M. F., Pistorio, M., & Rosas, S. B. (2010). Response of alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) to single and mixed inoculation with phosphate-solubilising bacteria and Sinorhizobium meliloti. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 46, 185–190.
- Hahm, M. S., Sumayo, M., Hwang, Y. J., Jeon, S. A., Park, S. J., Lee, J. Y., et al. (2012). Biological control and plant growth promoting capacity of rhizobacteria on pepper under greenhouse and field conditions. *Journal of Microbiology*, *50*, 380–385.
- Han, K. I., Patnaik, B. B., Kim, Y. H., Kwon, H. J., Han, Y. S., & Han, M. D. (2014). Isolation and characterization of chitinase-producing *Bacillus* and *Paenibacillus* strains from salted and fermented shrimp. *Journal of Food Science*. https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.12387.
- Hartmann, A., Rothballer, M., Schmid, M., & Hiltner, L. (2008). A pioneer in rhizosphere microbial ecology and soil bacteriology research. *Plant and Soil*, 312, 7–14.
- Hartmann, A., Schmid, M., Van Tuinen, D., & Berg, G. (2009). Plant-driven selection of microbes. *Plant and Soil*, 321, 235–257.
- Hassan, F., Qayyum, A., Malik, W., Maqbool, A., Hassan, M., Rehman, M. A., Shoaib, M., et al. (2016). Cotton leaf curl virus (CLCuV) disease in Pakistan: A critical review. *Applied Sciences and Business Economics*, 3, 8–14.
- Hayat, S., Faraz, A., & Faizan, M. (2017). Root exudates: Composition and impact on plantmicrobe interaction. In I. Ahmad & F. M. Husain (Eds.), *Biofilms in plant and soil health*. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119246329.ch10.
- Hitendra, J., Sohel, S., & Riyaz, S. (2017). Role of hydrolytic enzymes of rhizoflora in biocontrol of fungal phytopathogens. An overview. In S. Mehnaz (Ed.), *Rhizotrophs: Plant growth promotion to bioremediation* (Microorganisms for sustainability) (Vol. 2, pp. 183–203). Singapore: Springer.
- Horiuchi, J., Prithiviraj, B., Bais, H., Kimball, B., & Vivanco, J. (2005). Soil nematodes mediate positive interactions between legume plants and rhizobium bacteria. *Planta*, 222, 848–857.
- Hossain, M. M., Sultana, F., & Islam, S. (2017). Plant growth-promoting fungi (PGPF): Èhytostimulation and induced systemic resistance. In D. Singh, H. Singh, & R. Prabha (Eds.), *Plant-microbe interactions in agro-ecological perspectives* (pp. 135–191). Singapore: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-6593-4-6.
- Hou, M. P., & Babalola, O. O. (2013). Evaluation of plant growth promoting potential of four rhizobacterial species for indigenous system. *Journal of Central South University*, 20, 164–171.
- Huang, X. F., Chaparro, J., Reardon, K., Zhang, R., Shen, Q., & Jorge, M. V. (2014). Rhizosphere interactions: Root exudates, microbes, and microbial communities. *Botany*, 92. https://doi. org/10.1139/cjb-2013-0225.
- Igiehon, N. O., & Babalola, O. O. (2017). Biofertilizers and sustainable agriculture: Exploring arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 101, 4871–4881.
- Igiehon, N. O., & Babalola, O. O. (2018). Rhizosphere microbiome modulators: Contributions of nitrogen fixing bacteria towards sustainable agriculture. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15040574.
- Jacoby, R., Peukert, M., Succurro, A., Koprivova, A., & Kopriva, S. (2017). The role of soil microorganisms in plant mineral nutrition-current knowledge and future directions. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls2017.01617.

- Jarak, M., Nastasija, M., Dragana, B., Dragana, J., Timea, H. J., & Dragana, S. (2012). Effects of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on maize in greenhouse and field trial. *African Journal* of Microbiology Research, 6, 5683–5690.
- Jeffrey, B., John, R. T., Daniel, R., Inga, A. Z., & Jude, L. (2010). Factors affecting soil microbial community structure in tomato cropping systems. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 42, 831–841.
- Jones, D. L., Nguyen, C., & Finlay, R. D. (2009). Carbon flow in the rhizosphere: Carbon trading at the soil-root interface. *Plant and Soil*, 321, 5–33.
- Jourdan, E., Henry, G., Duby, F., Dommes, J., Barthelemy, J. P., Thonart, P., & Ongena, M. (2009). Insights into the defense-related events occurring in plant cells following perception of surfactin-type lipopeptide from *Bacillus subtilis*. MPMI, 22, 456–468.
- Junaid, J. M., Dar, N. A., Bhat, T. A., Bhat, A. H., & Bhat, M. A. (2013). Commercial biocontrol agents and their mechanism of action in the management of plant pathogens. *International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences*, 1, 39–57.
- Kaiser, C., Koranda, M., Kitzler, B., Fuchslueger, L., Schnecker, J., Schweiger, P., et al. (2010). Belowground carbon allocation by trees drives seasonal patterns of extracellular enzyme activities by altering microbial community composition in a beech forest soil. *New Phytologist*, 187, 843–858.
- Kapoor, N., & Sachdeva, S. (2013). Rhizosphere: Its structure, bacterial diversity and significance. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology*, 13, 63–77.
- Kim, K. R., Owens, G., Naidu, R., & Kwon, S. L. (2010). Influence of plant roots on rhizosphere soil solution composition of long-term contaminated soils. *Geoderma*, 155, 86–92.
- Kim, S. J., Eo, J. K., Lee, E. H., Park, H., & Eom, A. H. (2017). Effects of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungi and soil conditions on crop plant growth. *Mycobiology*, 45, 20–24.
- Kollmann, J., Banuelos, M. J., & Nielsen, S. L. (2007). Effects of virus infection on growth of the invasive alien *Impatiens glandulifera*. Preslia, 79, 33–44.
- Krishnaveni, B., & Ragunathan, R. (2014). Chitinase production from marine wastes by Aspergillus terreus and its application in degradation studies. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 3, 76–82.
- Krithika, S., & Chellaram, C. (2016). Isolation, screening, and characterization of chitinase producing bacteria from marine wastes. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 8, 34–36.
- Kumar, A., & Singh, V. (2015). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR). A promising approach for disease management. In S. Singh & D. P. Singh (Eds.), *Microbes and environmental management* (pp. 195–209). New Delhi: Studium Press.
- Kumar, H., Bajpai, V. K., & Dubey, R. C. (2010). Wilt disease management and enhancement of growth and yield of *Cajanus cajan* (L) var. Manak by bacterial combinations amended with chemical fertilizer. *Crop Protection*, 29, 591–598.
- Kumar, G. P., Desai, S., Leo, D. A. E., Hask, M., & Reddy, G. (2012). Plant growth promoting *Pseudomonas* spp. from diverse agro-ecosystems of India for *Sorghum bicolor L. Journal of Biofertilizers and Biopesticides*, S7, 001. https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-6202.S7-001.
- Kuppireddy, V., Uversky, V., Toh, S., Tsai, M. C., Beckerson, W., Cahill, C., et al. (2017). Identification and initial characterization of the effectors of an anther smut fungus and potential host target proteins. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 8(11), 2489. https://doi. org/10.3390/ijms18112489.
- Lee, J., Postmaster, A., Soon, H. P., Keast, D., & Carson, K. C. (2012). Siderophore production by Actinomycetes isolates from two soil sites in Western Australia. Biometals, 25, 285–296.
- Lehner, S. M., Atanasova, L., Neumann, N. K. N., Krska, R., Lemmens, M., Druzhinina, I. S., & Schuhmachera, R. (2013). Isotope-assisted screening for iron-containing metabolites reveals a high degree of diversity among known and unknown siderophores produced by *Trichoderma* spp. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 79, 18–31.
- Li, S., Peng, M., Liu, Z., & Shah, S. S. (2017). The role of soil microbes in promoting plant growth. *Molecular Microbiology Research*, 7, 30–37.

- Liang, J. F., An, J., Gao, J. Q., Zhang, X. Y., & Yu, F. H. (2018). Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and soil nutrient addition on the growth of *Phragmites australis* under different drying rewetting cycles. *PLoS ONE*, 13(1). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0191999.
- Ling, S. K., Rugang, L., & Zhang, W. (2014). First report of cucumber green mottle mosaic virus infecting greenhouse cucumber in Canada. *Plant Disease*, 98, 701–701.
- Liu, H. W., Luo, L. X., Li, J. Q., Liu, P. F., Chen, X. Y., & Hao, J. J. (2013). Pollen and seed transmission of Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus in cucumber. *Plant Pathology*, 63, 72–77.
- Lobmann, M. T., Vetukuri, R. R., Zinger, L. D., Alsanius, B. W., Grenville-Briggs, L. J., & Walter, A. J. (2016). The occurrence of pathogen suppressive soils in Sweden in relation to soil biota, soil properties, and farming practices. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 107, 57–65.
- Makut, M., & Owolewa, O. A. (2011). Antibiotic-producing fungi present in the soil environment of Keffi metropolis, Nasarawa state, Nigeria. *Trakia Journal of Sciences*, 9, 33–39.
- Martin, C. M., Eilyn, M., García, S. C., Berkis, R., SA, M., Tatiana, P., Mora, L. M., & Yelenys, A. C. (2015). The effects of plant growth promoting Bacillus pumilus CCIBP- C5 on 'Grande naine' (Musa AAA) plants in acclimatization stage. *Biotecnología Vegetal*, 15, 151–156.
- Martínez-Medina, A., Fernandez, I., Lok, G. B., Pozo, M. J., Pieterse, C. M. J., Saskia, C., & Van Wees, S. C. (2016). Shifting from priming of salicylic acid to jasmonic acid regulated defences by *Trichoderma* protects tomato against the root knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita*. New Phytologist, 3(3), 1363–1377.
- Martinez-Viveros, O., Jorquera, M. A., Crowley, D. E., Gajardo, G., & Mora, M. L. (2010). Mechanisms and practical considerations involved in plant growth promotion by rhizobacteria. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 10, 293–319.
- Mendes, R., Kruijt, M., de Bruijn, I., Dekkers, E., van der Voort, M., Schneider, J. H., et al. (2011). Deciphering the rhizosphere microbiome for disease suppressive bacteria. *Science*, 332, 1097–1100.
- Miyasaki, Y., Rabenstein, J. D., Rhea, J., Crouch, M. L., Mocek, U. M., Kittell, P. E., et al. (2013). Isolation and characterization of antimicrobial compounds in plant extracts against multidrugresistant Acinetobacter baumannii. PLoS ONE, 8, e61594.
- Moe, L. A. (2013). Amino acids in the rhizosphere: From plants to microbes. American Journal of Botany, 100, 1692–1705.
- Mohamed, H. I., & Gomaa, E. Z. (2012). Effect of plant growth promoting *Bacillus subtilis* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on growth and pigment composition of radish plants (*Raphanus sati*vus) under NaCl stress. *Photosynthetica*, 50, 263–272.
- Mohammadi, K., Khalesro, S., Sohrabi, Y., & Heidari, G. (2011). A review: Beneficial effects of the mycorrhizal fungi for plant growth. *Journal of Applied Environmental and Biological Sciences*, 1, 310–319.
- Montalbán, B., Thijs, S., Lobo, M. C., Weyens, N., Ameloot, M., Vangronsveld, J., & Pérez-Sanz, A. (2017). Cultivar and metal-specific effects of endophytic bacteria in Helianthus tuberosus exposed to Cd and Zn. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 18, E2026. https://doi. org/10.3390/ijms18102026.
- Moriones, E., Praveen, S., & Chakraborty, S. (2017). Tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus: An emerging virus complex threatening vegetable and fiber crops. *Viruses*, *9*, E264. https://doi.org/10.3390/v9100264.
- Muller, M. S., Scheu, S., & Jousset, A. (2013). Protozoa drive the dynamics of culturable biocontrol bacterial communities. *PLoS One*, 8, 1–6.
- Muller, D. B., Vogel, C., Bai, Y., & Vorholt, J. A. (2016). The plant microbiota: Systems-level insights and perspectives. In N. M. Bonini (Ed.), *Annual review of genetics* (Vol. 50, pp. 211– 234). Palo Alto: Annual Reviews.
- Nassal, D., Spohn, M., Eltlbany, N., Jacquiod, S., Smalla, K., Marhan, S., & Kandeler, E. (2018). Effects of phosphorus-mobilizing bacteria on tomato growth and soil microbial activity. *Plant and Soil*, 427, 17–37.

- Naznin, H. A., Kimura, M., Miyazawa, M., & Hyakumachi, M. (2013). Analysis of volatile organic compounds emitted by plant growth-promoting fungus *Phoma* sp. GS8-3 for growth promotion effects on tobacco. *Microbes and Environments*, 28, 42–49.
- Naznin, H. A., Kiyohara, D., Kimura, M., Miyazawa, M., Shimizu, M., & Hyakumachi, M. (2014). Systemic resistance induced by volatile organic compounds emitted by plant growth-promoting fungi in Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLoS One*, 9. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0086882.
- Neumann, G., George, T. S., & Plassard, C. (2009). Strategies and methods for studying the rhizosphere-the plant science toolbox. *Plant and Soil*, 321, 43–456.
- Ngoma, L., Esau, B., & Babalola, O. O. (2013). Isolation and characterization of beneficial indigenous endophytic bacteria for plant growth promoting activity in Molelwane Farm, Mafikeng, South Africa. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, *12*, 4105–4114.
- Nguyen, M. L., Spaepen, S., Jardin, P. D., & Delaplace, P. (2019). Biostimulant effects of rhizobacteria on wheat growth and nutrient uptake depend on nitrogen application and plant development. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science, 65, 58–73.
- Nihorimbere, V., Ongena, M., Smargiassi, M., & Thonart, P. (2011). Beneficial effect of the rhizosphere microbial community for plant growth and health. *Biotechnology, Agronomy, Society* and Environment, 15, 327–337.
- Niu, Y. F., Chai, R. S., Jin, G. L., Wang, H., Tang, C. X., & Zhang, Y. S. (2012). Responses of root architecture development to low phosphorus availability: A review. *Annals of Botany*, 112, 391–408.
- Ortas, I. (2012). The effect of mycorrhizal fungal inoculation on plant yield, nutrient uptake and inoculation effectiveness under long-term field conditions. *Field Crops Research*, 125, 35–48.
- Pandya, M., Rajput, M., Rajkumar, S., Najmeh, A., Doustmorad, Z., & Mansoureh, M. (2015). Exploring plant growth promoting potential of non rhizobial root nodules endophytes of Vigna radiate. *Microbiology*, 84, 80–89.
- Patel, T. S., & Desai, P. B. (2015). Isolation and screening of PGPR from rhizospheric and non rhizospheric soil of Bt-cotton. *Indo-American Journal of Agricultural and Veterinary Sciences*, 3, B1110–B1120.
- Patel, P. R., Shaikh, S. S., & Sayyed, R. Z. (2018). Modified chrome azurol S method for detection and estimation of siderophores having affinity for metal ions other than iron. *Environmental Sustainability*, 1, 81–87.
- Patil, G. B., Lakshman, L. H., Romana, M., & Agadi, B. S. (2013). Effect of co-inoculation of AM fungi and two beneficial microorganisms on growth and nutrient uptake of *Eleusine coracana Gaertn. (Finger millet). Asian Journal of Plant Science & Research, 3*, 26–30.
- Pazarlar, S., Gümüş, M., & Öztekin, G. B. (2013). The effects of tobacco mosaic virus infection on growth and physiological parameters in some pepper varieties (*Capsicum annuum L.*). *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca*, 41, 427–443.
- Perez-Montano, F., Alias-Villegas, C., Bellogín, R. A., del Cerro, P., Espuny, M. R., Jiménez-Guerrero, I., et al. (2014). Plant growth promotion in cereal and leguminous agricultural important plants from microorganism capacities to crop production. *Microbiological Research*, 169, 325–336.
- Philippot, L., Raaijmakers, J. M., Lemanceau, P., & van der Putten, W. H. (2013). Going back to the roots: The microbial ecology of the rhizosphere. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 11, 789–799.
- Pindi, P. K., Sultana, T., & Vootla, P. K. (2014). Plant growth regulation of Bt-cotton through Bacillus species. *Biotechnology*, 4, 305–315.
- Pirlak, L., & Murat, K. (2009). Effects of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on yield and some fruit properties of strawberry. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 32, 1173–1184.
- Pishchik, V., Vorobyev, N., Ostankova, Y., Semenov, A., Areg, A., Popov, A., et al. (2018). Impact of *Bacillus subtilis* on tomato plants growth and some biochemical characteristics under combined application with humic fertilizer. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 22, 1–12.
- Potshangbam, M., Devi, S. I., Sahoo, D., & Strobel, G. A. (2017). Functional characterization of endophytic fungal community associated with *Oryza sativa* L. and *Zea mays* L. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00325.

- Prakash, O. M., Sharma, R., Sharma, P., & Kerthikeyan, N. (2015). Role of microorganisms in plant nutrition and health. In A. Rakshit, H. B. Singh, & A. Sen (Eds.), *Nutrient use efficiency: From basics to advances*. New Delhi: Springer.
- Pulatov, A. A. S., Khudayberdi, N., Maksud, A., & Botir, K. (2016). Effect of biofertilizers on growth and yield of cotton in different soil conditions. *Cotton Genomics and Genetics*, 7, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.5376/cgg.2016.07.0001.
- Qiano, J., Xiang, Y., Liang, X., Liu, Y., Borriss, R., & Liu, Y. (2017). Addition of plant growth promoting *Bacillus subtilis* PTS-394 on tomato rhizosphere has no durable impact on composition of root microbiome. *Microbiology*, 117, 131. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-017-1039-x.
- Rajendiran, S., Coumar, M. V., Kundu, S., Dotaniya, M. L., & Rao, A. S. (2012). Role of phytolith occluded carbon of crop plants for enhancing soil carbon sequestration in agroecosystems. *Current Science*, 103, 911–920.
- Rawat, S. (2015). Food spoilage: Microorganisms and their prevention. Asian Journal of Plant Science & Research, 5, 47–56.
- Rillig, M. C., Caldwell, B. A., Wosten, H. A. B., & Sollins, P. (2007). Role of proteins in soil carbon and nitrogen storage: Control of persistence. *Biogeochemistry*, 85, 25–44.
- Ritter, C., Camassola, M., Zampieri, D., Silveira, M. M., & Dillon, A. J. P. (2013). Cellulase and xylanase production by *Penicillium echinulatum* in submerged media containing cellulose amended with sorbitol. *Enzyme Research*, 2013, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/240219.
- Rodrigues, A. A., Forzani, M. V., Soares, R. D. S., Sibov, S. T., & Vieira, J. D. G. (2016). Isolation and selection of plant growth-promoting bacteria associated with sugarcane. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Tropical*, 46(2), 149–158.
- Rosconi, F., Trovero, M. M., Souza, E., & Fabiano, E. (2015). Serobactins mediated iron acquisition systems optimize competitive fitness of Herbaspirillum seropedicae inside rice plants. *Environmental Microbiology*, 18, 2523–2533. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13202.
- Ruchi, R. K., Kumar, A., Kumar, A., Patil, S., Thapa, S., & Kaur, M. (2012). Evaluation of plant growth promoting attributes and lytic enzyme production by *Fluorescent Pseudomonas* diversity associated with Apple and Pear. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 2, 1–8.
- Salas-Marina, M. A., Silva-Flores, M. A., Uresti-Rivera, E. E., Castro-Longoria, E., Herrera-Estrella, A., & Casas-Flores, S. (2011). Colonization of Arabidopsis roots by *Trichoderma atroviride* promotes growth and enhances systemic disease resistance through jasmonate and salicylate pathways. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 131, 15–26.
- Sanchez-Lopez, A., Pintelon, I., Stevens, V., Imperato, V., Timmermans, J. P., Gonzalez-Chavez, C., et al. (2018). Seed endophyte microbiome of *Crotalaria pumila* unpeeled: Identification of plant-beneficial methylobacteria. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 19, E291. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19010291.
- Sandilya, S. R. S. P., Bhuyan, P. M., Nageshappa, V., Gogoi, D. K., & Kardong, D. (2017). Impact of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* MAJ PIA03 affecting the growth and phytonutrient production of castor, a primary host-plant. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 17, 499–5150.
- Santa-Rosa, P., Souza, A. L., Roque, R. A., Andrade, V. E., Filho, S. A., Adolfo, M., & Silva, N. (2017). Production of β-glucosidase and CMCase thermostables by *Penicillium Sp.* LMI01 isolated in the Amazon region. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology*, 31, 84–92. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ejbt.2017.11.005.
- Sanwal, S. K., Venkataravanappa, V., & Singh, B. (2016). Resistance to bhendi yellow vein mosaic disease: A review. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 86, 835–843.
- Sayyed, R. Z., & Patel, P. R. (2011). Biocontrol potential of siderophore producing heavy metal resistant Alcaligenes sp. and *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa rzs3 vis-à-vis organophosphorus fungicide. *Indian Journal of Microbiology*, 51, 266–272.
- Schirawski, J., & Perlin, M. H. (2018). Plant microbe interaction 2017-The good, the bad and the diverse. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 9, 1374. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms19051374.

- Schneider, W. D. H., dos Reis, L., Camassola, M., & Dillon, A. J. P. (2014). Morphogenesis and production of enzymes by *Penicillium echinulatum* in response to different carbon sources. *BioMed Research International*, 2014, 254863. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/254863.
- Schwachtje, J., Karojet, S., Kunz, S., Brouwe, S., & van Dongen, J. T. (2012). Plant-growth promoting effect of newly isolated rhizobacteria varies between two *Arabidopsis* ecotypes. *Plant Signaling & Behavior*, 7, 623–627.
- Shakeel, M., Afroz, R., Muhammad, H., & Fauzia, H. (2015). Root associated *Bacillus* sp. improves growth, yield and zinc translocation for basmati Rice (*Oryza sativa*) varieties. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6, 780. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01286.
- Shakeela, S., Padder, S. A., & Bhat, Z. A. (2017). Isolation and characterization of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria associated with medicinal plant *Picrorhiza Kurroa*. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 6, 157–168.
- Shariatmadari, Z., Hossein, R., Seyed, M., Hashtroudi, S., Ghassempour, M., & Aghashariatmadary, A. (2013). Plant growth promoting cyanobacteria and their distribution in terrestrial habitats of Iran. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 59, 535–547.
- Sharma, N., Sudarshan, Y., Sharma, R., & Singh, G. (2008). RAPD analysis of soil microbial diversity in western Rajasthan. *Current Science*, 94, 1058–1061.
- Shoresh, M., Harman, G. E., & Mastouri, F. (2010). Induced systemic resistance and plant responses to fungal biocontrol agents. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 48, 1–23.
- Shukla, M., Patel, R. H., Verma, R., Deewan, P., & Dotaniya, M. L. (2013). Effect of bio-organics and chemical fertilizers on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under middle Gujarat conditions. *Vegetos*, 26, 183–187.
- Shunmugam, S., Muralitharan, G., & Thajuddin, N. (2015). Cyanobacteria and algae: Potential sources of biological control agents used against phytopathogenic bacteria. In S. Shunmugam, G. Muralitharan, & N. Thajuddin (Eds.), *Sustainable approaches to controlling plant pathogenic bacteria*. New York: CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/b18892-13.
- Sial, N. L., Ahmed, A. S., Abbas, M., Irfan, M., & Depar, N. (2018). Growth and yield of wheat as affected by phosphate solubilizing bacteria and phosphate fertilizer. *Pakistan Journal of Biotechnology*, 15, 475–479.
- Singh, A. A., Gupta, R., Srivastava, M., Gupta, M. M., & Pandey, R. (2016). Microbial secondary metabolites ameliorate growth, in planta contents and lignification in *Withania somnifera* (L.) Dunal. *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants*, 22, 253–260.
- Singh, D. P., Patil, J. H., Prabha, R., & Yandigeri, M. S. (2018). Actinomycetes as potential plant growth-promoting microbial communities. In Prasad et al. (Eds.), New and future developments in microbial biotechnology and bioengineering: Crop improvement through microbial biotechnology (pp. 27–38). New York: Elsevier.
- Somers, E., Vanderleyden, J., & Srinivasan, M. (2004). Rhizosphere bacterial signalling: A love parade beneath our feet. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*, 304, 205–240.
- Spanic, V., Marcek, T., Abicic, I., & Sarkanj, B. (2018). Effects of fusarium head blight on wheat grain and malt infected by *Fusarium culmorum* toxins. *Toxins (Basel)*, 10, 17. https://doi. org/10.3390/toxins10010017.
- Spence, C., Alff, E., Johnson, C., Ramos, C., Donofrio, N., Sundaresan, V., & Bais, H. (2014). Natural rice rhizospheric microbes suppress rice blast infections. *BMC Plant Biology*, 14, 130. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-14-130.
- Sreevidya, M., Gopalakrishnan, S., Kudapa, H., & Varshney, R. K. (2016). Exploring plant growthpromotion actinomycetes from vermicompost and rhizosphere soil for yield enhancement in chickpea. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 47, 85–95.
- Srivastava, M. P., Gupta, S., & Sharm, Y. K. (2018). Detection of siderophore production from different cultural variables by CAS-agar plate assay. *Asian Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, 4, 66–69.
- Su, A. Y., Niu, S. Q., Liu, Y. Z., He, A. L., Zhao, Q., Pare, P., et al. (2017). Synergistic effects of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens (GB03) and water retaining agent on drought tolerance of perennial

ryegrass. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 18, 2651. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms1812265.

- Suhanna, H. A., Norhanis, Y., & Hartinee, A. (2013). Application of Trichoderma spp. to control stem end rot disease of mango var. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture and Food Science*, 41, 159–168.
- Tahir, A. S., Qin, G., Huijun, W. U., Waseem, R., Safdar, A., Huang, Z., et al. (2017). Effect of volatile compounds produced by *Ralstonia solanacearum* on plant growth promoting and systemic resistance inducing potential of *Bacillus volatiles*. *BMC Plant Biology*, 17, 133. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12870-017-1083-6.
- Trejo-Estrada, R., Paszczynski, S. A., & Crawford, D. (1998). Antibiotics and enzymes produced by the biocontrol agent *Streptomyces violaceusniger* YCED-9. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 21, 81–90.
- Trovero, M. F., Scavone, P., Platero, R., de Souza, E. M., Fabiano, E., & Rosconi, F. (2018). *Herbaspirillum seropedicae* differentially expressed genes in response to iron availability. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9, 1430. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01430.
- Turan, M., Medine, G., Cakmakci, R., Taskin, O., & Fikrettin, S. (2018). The effect of PGPR strain on wheat yield and quality parameters. In: World Congress of Soil Science, pp. 140–143.
- Ueno, D., Rombola, A. D., Iwashita, T., Nomoto, K., & Ma, J. F. (2007). Identification of two novel phytosiderophores secreted by perennial grasses. *New Phytologist*, 174, 304–310.
- Uren, N. C. (2007). Types, amounts and possible functions of compounds released into the rhizosphere by soil-grown plants. In R. Pinton, Z. Varanini, & P. Nannipieri (Eds.), *The rhizosphere: Biochemistry and organic substances at the soil-plant interface* (2nd ed., pp. 1–21). Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- Uzair, B., Kausar, R., & Bano, S. A. (2018). Isolation and molecular characterization of a model antagonistic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* divulging in vitro plant growth promoting characteristics. *BioMed Research International*, 2018, 6147380. https://doi.org/10.1080/03235408.2013.
- Vacheron, J., Desbrosses, G., Renoud, S., Padilla, R., Walker, V., Muller, D., & Prigent-Combaret, C. (2018). Differential contribution of plant-beneficial functions from *Pseudomonas kilonensis* F113 to root system architecture alterations in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Zea mays. MPMI*, 31, 212–223.
- Vandana, U. K., Chopra, A., Choudhury, A., Adapa, D., & Mazumder, P. B. (2018). Genetic diversity and antagonistic activity of plant growth promoting bacteria, isolated from tea-rhizosphere: A culture dependent study. *Biomedical Research*, 29, 853–864.
- Vellasamy, S., Nithya, K., Hariharan, H., Jayaprakashvel, M., & Balasubramanian, N. (2015). Biocontrol Mechanisms of siderophores against bacterial plant pathogens. pp. 167–189. In V. R. Kannan & K. K. Bastas (Eds.), Sustainable approaches to controlling plant pathogenic bacteria (pp. 167–186). Boca Raton: CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/b18892-9.
- Venkataravanappa, V., Kodandaram, M., Lakshminarayana, N., Reddy, C., Shankarappa, K. S., & Reddy, M. K. (2017). Comparative transmission of Bhendi yellow vein mosaic virus by two cryptic species of the whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). *Biotechnology*, 7, 331. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-017-0970-8.
- Vinale, F., Nigro, M., Sivasithamparam, K., & Flematti, G. (2013). Harzianic acid: A novel siderophore from *Trichoderma harzianum*. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 347, 123–129.
- Viterbo, A., Ofir, R., Leonid, C., & Ilan, C. (2002). Significance of lytic enzymes from *Trichoderma* spp. in the biocontrol of fungal plant pathogens. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*, 81, 549–556.
- Vitorino, L. C., Bessa, L. A., Carvalho, L. G., & Silva, F. G. (2016). Growth promotion mediated by endophytic fungi in cloned seedlings of *Eucalyptus grandis* × *Eucalyptus urophylla* hybrids. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 15, 2729–2738.
- Vurukonda, S. S. K. P., Giovanardi, D., & Stefani, E. (2018). Plant growth promoting and biocontrol activity of *Streptomyces* spp. as endophytes. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 19, E952. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19040952.
- Walker, T. S., Bais, H. P., Grotewold, E., & Vivanco, J. M. (2003). Root exudation and rhizosphere biology. *Plant Physiology*, 132, 44–51.

- Weidner, S., Latz, E., Agaras, B., Valverde, C., & Jousset, A. (2017). Protozoa stimulate the plant beneficial activity of rhizospheric *pseudomonads*. *Plant and Soil*, 410, 509–515.
- Weizhen, Q., & Lei, Z. (2013). Study of the siderophore-producing *Trichoderma asperellum* Q1 on cucumber growth promotion under salt stress. *Journal of Basic Microbiology*, 53, 355–364. https://doi.org/10.1002/jobm.201200031.
- Weller, D. M., Mavrodi, D. V., van Pelt, J. A., Pieterse, C. M., van Loon, L. C., & Bakker, P. A. (2012). Induced systemic resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* against *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato by 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol-producing *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. *Phytopathology*, 102, 403–412.
- Xiang, L., Gong, S., Yang, L., Hao, J., Xue, M. F., Zeng, F. S., et al. (2016). Biocontrol potential of endophytic fungi in medicinal plants from Wuhan Botanical Garden in China. *Biological Control*, 94, 47–55.
- Yamagiwa, Y., Inagaki, Y., Ichinose, Y., Toyoda, K., & Hyakumachi, M. (2011). *Talaromyces wortmannii* FS2 emits β-caryophyllene, which promotes plant growth and induces resistance. *Journal of General Plant Pathology*, 77, 336–341.
- Yolcu, H., Gunes, A., Gullap, M. K., & Cakmakci, R. (2012). Effects of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on some morphologic characteristics, yield and quality contents of Hungarian vetch. *Turkish Journal of Field Crops*, 17, 208–214.
- Zak, D. R., Pregitzer, K. S., King, J. S., & Holmes, W. E. (2000). Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> fine roots and the response of soil microorganism: A review and hypothesis. *New Phytologist*, 147, 201–222.
- Zhang, X. H., Wang, Y. S., & Lin, A. J. (2011). Effects of Arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization on the growth of upland rice (*Oryzal Sativa* L.) in soil experimentally contaminated with Cu and Pb. *Journal of Clinical Toxicology*, *S3*, 003. https://doi.org/10.4172/2161-0495.S3-00.
- Zhang, Q., Gao, X., Ren, Y., Ding, X., Qiu, J., Li, N., et al. (2018). Improvement of Verticillium wilt resistance by applying arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to a cotton variety with high symbiotic efficiency under field conditions. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 19, E241. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19010241.
- Zhou, L. S., Tang, K., & Guo, S. X. (2018). The plant growth-promoting fungus (PGPF) Alternaria sp. A13 markedly enhances Salvia miltiorrhiza root growth and active ingredient accumulation under greenhouse and field conditions. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 19, E270. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19010270.
- Zulueta-Rodriguez, R., Cordoba-Matson, M. V., Hernandez-Montiel, L. G., Murillo-Amador, B., Rueda-Puente, E., & Lara, L. (2014). Effect of *Pseudomonas putida* on growth and anthocyanin pigment in two poinsettia (*Euphorbia pulcherrima*) cultivars. *The Scientific World Journal*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/810192.