# **Chapter 9 Solutions and Stability of Some Functional Equations on Semigroups**



**Keltouma Belfakih, Elhoucien Elqorachi, and Themistocles M. Rassias**

**Abstract** In this paper we investigate the solutions and the Hyers-Ulam stability of the  $\mu$ -Jensen functional equation

 $f(xy) + \mu(y) f(x\sigma(y)) = 2f(x), x, y \in S,$ 

a variant of the  $\mu$ -Jensen functional equation

$$
f(xy) + \mu(y)f(\sigma(y)x) = 2f(x), x, y \in S,
$$

and the  $\mu$ -quadratic functional equation

$$
f(xy) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)) = 2f(x) + 2f(y), \ x, y \in S,
$$

where S is a semigroup,  $\sigma$  is a morphism of S and  $\mu: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a multiplicative function such that  $\mu(x\sigma(x)) = 1$  for all  $x \in S$ .

**Keywords** Functional equation  $\cdot$  Hyers-Ulam stability  $\cdot$   $\mu$ -Jensen functional equation  $\cdot \mu$ -Quadratic functional equation

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010)** Primary 49B82; Secondary 39C52, 39C62

K. Belfakih · E. Elqorachi

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University Ibn Zohr, Agadir, Morocco

T. M. Rassias  $(\boxtimes)$ 

Department of Mathematics, National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece e-mail: [trassias@math.ntua.gr](mailto:trassias@math.ntua.gr)

<sup>©</sup> Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

J. Brzde˛k et al. (eds.), *Ulam Type Stability*, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-28972-0\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-28972-0_9)

#### **9.1 Introduction**

In 1940, Ulam [\[31\]](#page-31-0) delivered a wide ranging talk before the Mathematics Club of the University of Wisconsin in which he posed a number of important unsolved problems. Among those was the question concerning the stability of group homomorphisms: Given a group  $G_1$ , a metric group  $(G_2, d)$ , a number  $\epsilon > 0$  and a mapping  $f : G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$  which satisfies  $d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \epsilon$  for all  $x, y \in G_1$ , does there exist a homomorphism  $g : G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$  and a constant  $k > 0$ , depending only on  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  such that  $d(f(x), g(x)) < k\epsilon$  for all  $x \in G_1$ ?

In the case of a positive answer to this problem, we say that the Cauchy functional equation  $f(xy) = f(x) f(y)$  is stable for the pair  $(G_1, G_2)$ .

The first affirmative partial answer was given in 1941 by Hyers  $[16]$  where  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  are Banach spaces.

In 1950 Aoki [\[2\]](#page-30-1) provided a generalization of Hyers' theorem for additive mappings and in 1978 Rassias [\[22\]](#page-31-1) generalized Hyers' theorem for linear mappings by allowing the Cauchy difference to be unbounded.

Beginning around the year 1980, several results for Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of many functional equations have been proved by several mathematicians. For more details, we can refer for example to [\[3,](#page-30-2) [8](#page-30-3)[–10,](#page-30-4) [12](#page-30-5)[–14,](#page-30-6) [17,](#page-30-7) [19,](#page-30-8) [23–](#page-31-2)[26\]](#page-31-3).

Let S be a semigroup with identity element e. Let  $\sigma$  be an involutive morphism of S. That is  $\sigma$  is an involutive homomorphism:

$$
\sigma(xy) = \sigma(x)\sigma(y)
$$
 and  $\sigma(\sigma(x)) = x$  for all  $x, y \in S$ ,

or  $\sigma$  is an involutive anti-homomorphism:

$$
\sigma(xy) = \sigma(y)\sigma(x)
$$
 and  $\sigma(\sigma(x)) = x$  for all  $x, y \in S$ .

We say that  $f : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies the Jensen functional equation if

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
f(xy) + f(x\sigma(y)) = 2f(x),
$$
\n(9.1)

for all  $x, y \in S$ .

A complex valued function  $f$  defined on a semigroup  $S$  is a solution of a variant of the Jensen functional equation if

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
f(xy) + f(\sigma(y)x) = 2f(x),\tag{9.2}
$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Equations [\(9.1\)](#page-1-0) and [\(9.2\)](#page-1-1) coincide if f is central, and the central solutions are the maps of the form  $f = a + c$ , where  $a : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an additive map such that  $a(\sigma(x)) = -a(x)$  and where  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  is a constant.

The Jensen functional equation  $(9.1)$  takes the form

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
f(xy) + f(xy^{-1}) = 2f(x)
$$
\n(9.3)

for all x,  $y \in S$  when  $\sigma(x) = x^{-1}$  and S is a group. The new equation [\(9.2\)](#page-1-1) is much simpler than  $(9.1)$ . For a more general study we refer the reader to Ng's paper [\[21\]](#page-30-9) and Stetkær's book [\[26\]](#page-31-3).

The stability in the sense of Hyers-Ulam of the Jensen equations [\(9.1\)](#page-1-0) and [\(9.3\)](#page-1-2) has been studied by various authors for the case when S is an abelian group or a vector space. The interested reader is referred to the papers of Jung [\[18\]](#page-30-10) and Kim [\[20\]](#page-30-11).

In 2010, Faiziev and Sahoo [\[11\]](#page-30-12) proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of Eq. [\(9.3\)](#page-1-2) on some non-commutative groups such as metabelian groups and  $T(2, K)$ , where K is an arbitrary commutative field with characteristic different from two. They have shown as well that every semigroup can be embedded into a semigroup in which the Jensen equation is stable.

The quadratic functional equation

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y), \ x, y \in S
$$
 (9.4)

has been extensively studied (see for example [\[1,](#page-30-13) [17,](#page-30-7) [26\]](#page-31-3)). It was generalized by Stetkær [\[25\]](#page-31-4) to the more general equation

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
f(x + y) + f(x + \sigma(y)) = 2f(x) + 2f(y), \ x, y \in S.
$$
 (9.5)

A stability result for the quadratic functional equation [\(9.4\)](#page-2-0) was derived by Cholewa [\[5\]](#page-30-14) and by Czerwik [\[6\]](#page-30-15). Bouikhalene et al. [\[3\]](#page-30-2) stated the stability theorem of Eq. [\(9.5\)](#page-2-1). In [\[7\]](#page-30-16) the stability of the quadratic functional equation

$$
f(xy) + f(xy^{-1}) = 2f(x) + 2f(y), \ x, y \in S
$$
 (9.6)

was obtained on amenable groups.

Bouikhalene et al. [\[4\]](#page-30-17) obtained the stability of the quadratic functional equation

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
f(xy) + f(x\sigma(y)) = 2f(x) + 2f(y), \ x, y \in S \tag{9.7}
$$

on amenable semigroups.

In this paper we consider the following functional equations:

The  $\mu$ -Jensen functional equation

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
f(xy) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)) = 2f(x), \ x, y \in S,
$$
 (9.8)

a variant of the  $\mu$ -Jensen functional equation

<span id="page-2-5"></span>
$$
f(xy) + \mu(y)f(\sigma(y)x) = 2f(x), \ x, y \in S,
$$
 (9.9)

and the  $\mu$ -quadratic functional equation

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
f(xy) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)) = 2f(x) + 2f(y), \ x, y \in S,
$$
\n(9.10)

where  $\mu: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a multiplicative function such that  $\mu(x\sigma(x)) = 1$  for all  $x \in S$ .

Our results are organized as follows. In Sects. [9.2](#page-3-0) and [9.3](#page-8-0) we give a proof of the Hyers-Ulam stability of the Jensen functional equation [\(9.1\)](#page-1-0) and a variant of the Jensen functional equation [\(9.2\)](#page-1-1) on an amenable semigroup. As an application (Sect. [9.4\)](#page-13-0), we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the symmetric functional equation

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
f(xy) + f(yx) = 2f(x) + 2f(y), \ x, y \in G,
$$
\n(9.11)

where  $G$  is an amenable group.

In Sects. [9.5](#page-14-0) and [9.6](#page-19-0) we prove that the  $\mu$ -Jensen equation [\(9.8\)](#page-2-2), respectively, the  $\mu$ -quadratic functional equation [\(9.10\)](#page-2-3) possesses the same solutions as Jensen's functional equation  $(9.1)$ , respectively, the quadratic functional equation  $(9.7)$ . Furthermore, we prove the equivalence of their stability theorems on semigroups.

Throughout this paper m denotes a linear functional on the space  $B(S, \mathbb{C})$ , namely the space of all bounded functions on S.

The linear functional  $m$  is called a left, respectively, right invariant mean if and only if

$$
\inf_{x \in S} f(x) \le m(f) \le \sup_{x \in S} f(x); \ m(a, f) = m(f); \ \text{respectively, } m(f_a) = m(f)
$$

for all  $f \in B(S, \mathbb{R})$  and  $a \in S$ , where  $\int_a f$  and  $f_a$  are the left and right translates of f defined by  $_{a} f(x) = f(ax); f_{a}(x) = f(xa), x \in S.$ 

A semigroup S which admits a left, respectively, right invariant mean on  $B(S, \mathbb{C})$ will be called left, respectively, right amenable. If on the space  $B(S, \mathbb{C})$  there exists a real linear functional which is simultaneously a left and right invariant mean, then we say that S is two-sided amenable or just amenable. We refer to  $[15]$  for the definition and properties of invariant means.

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>**9.2 Stability of a Variant of the Jensen Functional Equation**

In this section we investigate the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation [\(9.2\)](#page-1-1) on amenable semigroups.

<span id="page-3-2"></span>**Theorem 9.1** *Let* S *be an amenable semigroup with identity element* e*. Let* σ *be an involutive anti-homomorphism, and let*  $f : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  *be a function. Assume that there exists*  $\delta \geq 0$  *such that* 

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
|f(xy) + f(\sigma(y)x) - 2f(x)| \le \delta \tag{9.12}
$$

*for all*  $x, y \in S$ *. Then, there exists a unique solution*  $J : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  *of the functional equation* [\(9.2\)](#page-1-1) *such that*  $J(\sigma(x)) = -J(x)$  *and* 

$$
|f(x) - J(x) - f(e)| \le \delta \tag{9.13}
$$

*for all*  $x \in S$ *. Furthermore if* S *is a group and*  $\sigma(x) = x^{-1}$  *then there exists a unique additive map*  $a : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  *such that* 

$$
|f(x) - a(x) - f(e)| \le \delta \tag{9.14}
$$

*for all*  $x \in S$ *.* 

*Proof* Let x, y be in S. Replacing x by  $\sigma(x)$  in [\(9.12\)](#page-3-1) we get

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
|f(\sigma(x)y) + f(\sigma(y)\sigma(x)) - 2f(\sigma(x))| \le \delta \tag{9.15}
$$

Adding  $(9.12)$  to  $(9.15)$ , and using the triangle inequality we obtain that

$$
| [f(xy) + f(\sigma(y)\sigma(x))] + [f(\sigma(y)x) + f(\sigma(x)y)] - 2[f(x) + f(\sigma(x))] | \leq 2\delta.
$$
\n(9.16)

Hence

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
|f^{e}(xy) + f^{e}(\sigma(y)x) - 2f^{e}(x)| \le \delta,
$$
\n(9.17)

where

$$
f^{e}(x) = \frac{f(x) + f(\sigma(x))}{2}
$$
 for all  $x \in S$ .

Subtracting [\(9.15\)](#page-4-0) from [\(9.12\)](#page-3-1), and using the triangle inequality we derive that

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
|f^{o}(xy) + f^{o}(\sigma(y)x) - 2f^{o}(x)| \le \delta
$$
\n(9.18)

for all  $x, y \in S$ , where

$$
f^{o}(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(\sigma(x))}{2}
$$
 for all  $x \in S$ .

Setting  $x = e$  in [\(9.17\)](#page-4-1) we obtain

$$
|f^{e}(y) - f^{e}(e)| \le \frac{\delta}{2} \text{ for all } x, y \in S. \tag{9.19}
$$

By replacing x by y in [\(9.18\)](#page-4-2) and by the fact that  $f^{\circ}$  is odd we get

$$
|f^{o}(yx) - f^{o}(\sigma(y)x) - 2f^{o}(y)| \le \delta.
$$
 (9.20)

This implies that for each y fixed in S, the function  $x \rightarrow f^o(yx) - f^o(\sigma(y)x)$  is bounded. Since S is amenable, then there exists an invariant mean  $m$  on the space of complex bounded functions on S and we can define the new mapping on S by

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
\psi(y) = m\{y f^{\circ} - \sigma(y) f^{\circ}\}, \text{ for all } y \in S. \tag{9.21}
$$

Using  $(9.21)$  and the fact that *m* is an invariant mean we get

$$
\psi(yz) + \psi(\sigma(z)y) = m\{y_z f^{\circ} - \sigma(z)\sigma(y) f^{\circ}\} + m\{\sigma(z)y f^{\circ} - \sigma(y)z f^{\circ}\}\
$$
  
\n
$$
= m\{y_z f^{\circ} - \sigma(y)z f^{\circ}\} + m\{\sigma(z)y f^{\circ} - \sigma(z)\sigma(y) f^{\circ}\}\
$$
  
\n
$$
= m\{z[y f^{\circ} - \sigma(y) f^{\circ}]\} + m\{[y f^{\circ} - \sigma(y) f^{\circ}] \sigma(z)\}\
$$
  
\n
$$
= m\{y f^{\circ} - \sigma(y) f^{\circ}\} + m\{y f^{\circ} - \sigma(y) f^{\circ}\}\
$$
  
\n
$$
= \psi(y) + \psi(y) = 2\psi(y)
$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . The function

$$
J(y) = \frac{\psi(y)}{2}
$$

satisfies the variant of the Jensen functional equation [\(9.2\)](#page-1-1),  $J(\sigma(y)) = -J(y)$  for all  $y \in S$ , and we have the following inequality

$$
|J(y) - f^o(y)| = |\frac{1}{2}m\{y f^o - \sigma(y) f^o - 2f(y)\}|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{x \in S} |f^o(yx) - f^o(\sigma(y)x) - 2f^o(y)| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}.
$$
\n(9.22)

Finally, we obtain

$$
|f(y) - J(y) - f(e)| = |f^{e}(y) + f^{o}(y) - J(y) - f(e)|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq |f^{e}(y) - f(e)| + |f^{o}(y) - J(y)| \leq \delta
$$

for all  $y \in S$ . This proves the first part of Theorem [9.1.](#page-3-2)

If S is a group and  $\sigma(x) = x^{-1}$ , then from [\[26,](#page-31-3) Proposition 12.29] we have  $J = a$ , where  $a : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an additive map.

Now suppose that there exist two odd functions  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  satisfying the variant of the Jensen functional equation  $(9.2)$ , and the following inequality

$$
|f(y) - J_i(y) - f(e)| \le \delta, \text{ with } i = 1, 2. \tag{9.23}
$$

The function  $J := J_1 - J_2$  is also a solution of the functional equation [\(9.2\)](#page-1-1), that is

$$
J(xy) + J(\sigma(y)x) = 2J(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in S. \tag{9.24}
$$

By using the triangle inequality we get  $|J(x)| \le 2\delta$  for all  $x \in S$ .

Replacing y by x in [\(9.24\)](#page-5-0) and using that  $J(\sigma(x)) = -J(x)$  we get

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
J(x^2) = 2J(x)
$$
 (9.25)

and consequently, we get  $J(x^{2^n}) = 2^n J(x)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since J is a bounded map then  $J(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in S$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

The stability of Eq. [\(9.2\)](#page-1-1) has been obtained in [\[4,](#page-30-17) Lemma 3.2], on amenable semigroups with identity element and under the condition that  $\sigma$  is an involutive homomorphism. In the following theorem we investigate the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation  $(9.2)$  on amenable semigroups without identity element, and where  $\sigma$  is a homomorphism.

**Theorem 9.2** *Let* S *be an amenable semigroup. Let* σ *be an involutive homomorphism of* S *and let*  $f : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  *be a function. Assume that there exists*  $\delta > 0$  *such that*

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
|f(xy) + f(\sigma(y)x) - 2f(x)| \le \delta \tag{9.26}
$$

*for all*  $x, y \in S$ *. Then there exists a unique additive function*  $a : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  *and*  $x_0 \in S$  *such that* 

$$
|f(x) - a(x) + f(x_0) - f(\sigma(x_0)) - f(x_0^2)| \le 4\delta
$$
 (9.27)

*for all*  $x \in S$ .

*Proof* In the proof we use some ideas from Stetkær [\[28\]](#page-31-5).

Let x, y, z be in S. If we replace x by xy and y by z in  $(9.26)$  we get

<span id="page-6-6"></span><span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
|f(xyz) + f(\sigma(z)xy) - 2f(xy)| \le \delta. \tag{9.28}
$$

By replacing x by  $\sigma(z)x$  in [\(9.26\)](#page-6-0) we get

$$
|f(\sigma(z)xy) + f(\sigma(y)\sigma(z)x) - 2f(\sigma(z)x)| \le \delta.
$$
 (9.29)

Replacing y by z in  $(9.26)$  and multiplying the result by 2 we get

<span id="page-6-3"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
|2f(xz) + 2f(\sigma(z)x) - 4f(x)| \le 2\delta.
$$
 (9.30)

If we replace y by  $yz$  in [\(9.26\)](#page-6-0) we get

<span id="page-6-5"></span><span id="page-6-4"></span>
$$
|f(xyz) + f(\sigma(y)\sigma(z)x) - 2f(x)| \le \delta.
$$
 (9.31)

Subtracting  $(9.31)$  from  $(9.29)$  and using the triangle inequality we get

$$
|f(\sigma(z)xy) - 2f(\sigma(z)x) - f(xyz) + 2f(x)| \le 2\delta.
$$
 (9.32)

Adding [\(9.30\)](#page-6-3) and [\(9.32\)](#page-6-4) and using the triangle inequality we obtain

$$
|2f(xz) - 2f(x) + f(\sigma(z)xy) - f(xyz)| \le 4\delta.
$$
 (9.33)

Subtracting [\(9.33\)](#page-6-5) from [\(9.28\)](#page-6-6) and applying the triangle inequality we get

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
|2f(xyz) - 2f(xy) - 2f(xz) + 2f(x)| \le 5\delta,
$$
\n(9.34)

which can be written as follows

$$
|[2f(xyz) - 2f(x)] - [2f(xy) - 2f(x)] - [2f(xz) - 2f(x)]| \le 5\delta.
$$
 (9.35)

Now, for each fixed  $x_0$  in S we define on S the function  $A_{x_0}(t) = 2f(x_0t) - 2f(x_0)$ . Therefore, the inequality [\(9.35\)](#page-7-0) can be written as follows

$$
|A_{x_0}(yz) - A_{x_0}(y) - A_{x_0}(z)| \le 5\delta \text{ for all } y, z \in S.
$$
 (9.36)

Since S is an amenable semigroup then by Szekelyhidi  $[30]$  there exists a unique additive mapping  $b : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

<span id="page-7-5"></span><span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
|A_{x_0}(x) - b(x)| \le 5\delta \text{ for all } x \in S. \tag{9.37}
$$

Replacing y in  $(9.26)$  by yz we get

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
|f(xyz) + f(\sigma(yz)x) - 2f(x)| \le \delta.
$$
 (9.38)

If we replace x by  $\sigma(y)$  and y by  $\sigma(z)x$  in [\(9.26\)](#page-6-0) we derive

$$
|f(\sigma(y)\sigma(z)x) + f(z\sigma(xy)) - 2f(\sigma(y))| \le \delta.
$$
 (9.39)

Replacing x by z and y by  $\sigma(xy)$  in [\(9.26\)](#page-6-0) we get

<span id="page-7-4"></span><span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
|f(z\sigma(xy)) + f(xyz) - 2f(z)| \le \delta. \tag{9.40}
$$

Subtracting  $(9.39)$  from the sum of  $(9.38)$  and  $(9.40)$  and applying the triangle inequality we get

$$
|2f(xyz) - 2f(x) - 2f(z) + 2f(\sigma(y))| \le 3\delta.
$$
 (9.41)

By replacing x and y by  $x_0$ , and z by x in [\(9.41\)](#page-7-4) we get

<span id="page-7-6"></span>
$$
|2f(x_0^2x) - 2f(x_0) - 2f(x) + 2f(\sigma(x_0))| \le 3\delta,
$$
\n(9.42)

which can be expressed as follows

$$
|2f(x_0^2x) - 2f(x_0^2) - 2f(x) - 2f(x_0) + 2f(\sigma(x_0)) + 2f(x_0^2)| \le 3\delta. \tag{9.43}
$$

Since  $A_{x_0^2}(x) = 2f(x_0^2x) - 2f(x_0^2)$ , then we have

$$
|A_{x_0^2}(x) - 2f(x) - 2f(x_0) + 2f(\sigma(x_0)) + 2f(x_0^2)| \le 3\delta.
$$
 (9.44)

Subtracting [\(9.37\)](#page-7-5) from [\(9.44\)](#page-7-6) and using the triangle inequality we get

$$
|f(x) - a(x) + f(x_0) - f(\sigma(x_0)) - f(x_0^2)| \le 4\delta,
$$
\n(9.45)

where  $a = \frac{1}{2}b$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

# <span id="page-8-0"></span>**9.3 Hyers-Ulam Stability of Eq. [\(9.1\)](#page-1-0) on Amenable Semigroups**

In this section, we investigate the Hyers-Ulam stability of Eq. [\(9.1\)](#page-1-0) on an amenable semigroup, where  $\sigma$  is an involutive anti-homomorphism.

<span id="page-8-3"></span>**Theorem 9.3** *Let* S *be an amenable semigroup with identity element* e*. Let* σ *be an involutive anti-homomorphism of* S. Let  $f : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a function which satisfies *the following inequality*

$$
|f(xy) + f(x\sigma(y)) - 2f(x)| \le \delta \tag{9.46}
$$

*for all* x, y ∈ S *and for some nonnegative* δ*. Then there exists a unique solution* j *of the Jensen equation* [\(9.1\)](#page-1-0) *such that*  $j(\sigma(x)) = -j(x)$  *and* 

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
|f(x) - j(x) - f(e)| \le 3\delta \tag{9.47}
$$

*for all*  $x \in S$ *.* 

<span id="page-8-2"></span>First, we prove the following useful lemma.

**Lemma 9.1** *Let* S *be a semigroup. Let* σ *be an involutive anti-homomorphism of* S. Let  $f : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a function such that  $f(\sigma(x)) = -f(x)$  for all  $x \in S$  and for *which there exists a solution* g *of the Drygas functional equation*

$$
g(yx) + g(\sigma(y)x) = 2g(x) + g(y) + g(\sigma(y)), \ x, y \in S
$$
 (9.48)

*such that*  $|f(x) - g(x)| \leq M$ , for all  $x \in S$  *and for some non negative* M. Then

$$
g(x) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} 2^{-n} f(x^{2^n}) \text{ for all } x \in S. \tag{9.49}
$$

*Furthermore*  $g(\sigma(x)) = -g(x)$  *for all*  $x \in S$  *and* g *satisfies the Jensen functional equation*

$$
g(xy) + g(x\sigma(y)) = 2g(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in S.
$$

<span id="page-8-4"></span>

*Proof* Replacing y by  $x\sigma(x)$  in [\(9.48\)](#page-8-1) we obtain

$$
g((x\sigma(x))^2) + g((x\sigma(x))^2) = 2g(x\sigma(x)) + g(x\sigma(x)) + g(x\sigma(x)),
$$
 (9.50)

which implies that  $g((x\sigma(x))^2) = 2g(x\sigma(x))$  for all  $x \in S$ .

By applying the induction assumption we get

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
2^{n}g(x\sigma(x)) = g((x\sigma(x))^{2^{n}})
$$
\n(9.51)

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $x \in S$ .

Now, by the hypothesis,  $f = g + b$  where b is a bounded function. Since f is odd we have  $f = g^{\circ} + b^{\circ}$  and  $g^e + b^e = 0$ . Using [\(9.51\)](#page-9-0) and the fact that

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
g((x\sigma(x))^{2^n}) = g^e((x\sigma(x))^{2^n})
$$

we get

$$
|g(x\sigma(x))| = 2^{-n} |g^{e}((x\sigma(x))^{2^{n}})| \le 2^{-n} |b^{e}(x\sigma(x))^{2^{n}}|.
$$
 (9.52)

Letting  $n \to +\infty$  in the formula [\(9.52\)](#page-9-1), we obtain that  $g(x\sigma(x)) = 0$  and hence  $g(\sigma(x)x) = 0$  for all  $x \in S$ .

Setting  $y = x$  in [\(9.48\)](#page-8-1) we get

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
g(x2) = 2g(x) + g(x) + g(\sigma(x)).
$$
 (9.53)

If we replace x by  $\sigma(x)$  in [\(9.53\)](#page-9-2) we have

$$
g(\sigma(x)^{2}) = 2g(\sigma(x)) + g(x) + g(\sigma(x)).
$$
\n(9.54)

By adding [\(9.53\)](#page-9-2) and [\(9.54\)](#page-9-3) we get that  $g^{e}(x^2) = 4g^{e}(x)$ , and by induction it follows that

<span id="page-9-4"></span><span id="page-9-3"></span>
$$
g^{e}(x^{2^{n}}) = 2^{2^{n}} g^{e}(x)
$$
\n(9.55)

for all  $x \in S$  and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Using [\(9.55\)](#page-9-4) and the fact that  $g^e + b^e = 0$  we have

$$
g^{e}(x) = 2^{-2^{n}} g^{e}(x^{2^{n}}) = -2^{-2^{n}} b^{e}(x^{2^{n}}).
$$
 (9.56)

Therefore, we get

$$
|g^{e}(x)| = |2^{-2^{n}} g^{e}(x^{2^{n}})| \le 2^{-2^{n}} |b^{e}(x^{2^{n}})|.
$$

So by letting  $n \to +\infty$  we obtain that  $g^e(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in S$ , which proves that  $g(\sigma(x)) = -g(x)$  for all  $x \in S$ .

Using [\(9.53\)](#page-9-2) and that g is odd we get that  $g(x^2) = 2g(x)$ , and by induction we deduce that

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
g(x^{2^n}) = 2^n g(x) \tag{9.57}
$$

for all  $x \in S$ , and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Using  $(9.57)$  we get

$$
2^{-n} f(x^{2^n}) = 2^{-n} [g(x^{2^n}) + b^o(x^{2^n})] = g(x) + 2^{-n} b^o(x^{2^n}).
$$

Thus

$$
|g(x) - 2^{-n} f(x^{2^n})| \le 2^{-n} |b^o(x^{2^n})|.
$$
 (9.58)

By letting  $n \to +\infty$  we obtain

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
g(x) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} 2^{-n} f(x^{2^n}).
$$

We will prove that g satisfies the Jensen functional equation  $(9.1)$ .

Since  $g(\sigma(x)) = -g(x)$  for all  $x \in S$ , the Drygas functional equation [\(9.48\)](#page-8-1) can be written as follows

$$
g(yx) + g(\sigma(y)x) = 2g(x), \ x, y \in S. \tag{9.59}
$$

Replacing x by  $\sigma(x)$  in [\(9.59\)](#page-10-1) we get

$$
g(y\sigma(x)) + g(\sigma(y)\sigma(x)) = 2g(\sigma(x)).
$$

Using that  $g(\sigma(x)) = -g(x)$  for all  $x \in S$  we obtain

$$
g(x\sigma(y)) + g(xy) = 2g(x), x, y \in S,
$$

which means that g satisfies the Jensen functional equation  $(9.1)$ . This completes the proof of Lemma [9.1.](#page-8-2) Now, we are ready to prove Theorem [9.3.](#page-8-3) Setting  $x = e$ in [\(9.46\)](#page-8-4) we get

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
|f^{e}(y) - f(e)| \le \frac{\delta}{2} \tag{9.60}
$$

for all  $y \in S$ .

<span id="page-11-0"></span>The inequalities [\(9.46\)](#page-8-4), [\(9.60\)](#page-10-2) and the triangle inequality yield

$$
|f(xy) + f(yx) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) + 2f(e)| \le |f(xy) + f(x\sigma(y)) - 2f(x)|
$$
  
+ |f(yx) + f(y\sigma(x) - 2f(y)| + |2f(e) - f(x\sigma(y)) - f(y\sigma(x))| \le 3\delta. (9.61)

Hence, from  $(9.46)$ ,  $(9.60)$  and  $(9.61)$  we get

$$
|f(yx) + f(\sigma(y)x) - 2f(x)| \le |f(yx) + f(xy) - 2f(y) - 2f(x) + 2f(e)|
$$
  
+ |f(\sigma(y)x) + f(x\sigma(y)) - 2f(\sigma(y)) - 2f(x) + 2f(e)|  
+ |- f(xy) - f(x\sigma(y)) + 2f(x)| + |2f(y) + 2f(\sigma(y)) - 4f(e)| \le 9\delta. (9.62)

From  $(9.46)$  and  $(9.62)$  we obtain

$$
2|f^o(yx) + f^o(y\sigma(x)) - 2f^o(y)|
$$
\n(9.63)  
\n
$$
= |f(yx) - f(\sigma(x)\sigma(y)) + f(y\sigma(x)) - f(x\sigma(y)) - 2f(y) + 2f(\sigma(y))|
$$
\n
$$
\leq |f(yx) + f(y\sigma(x)) - 2f(y)| + |f(x\sigma(y)) + f(\sigma(x)\sigma(y)) - 2f(\sigma(y))|
$$
\n
$$
\leq 10\delta.
$$

Consequently we have

<span id="page-11-2"></span><span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
|f^{o}(yx) + f^{o}(y\sigma(x)) - 2f^{o}(y)| \le 5\delta
$$
 (9.64)

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Thus for fixed  $y \in S$ , the functions  $x \longrightarrow f^o(yx) - f^o(x\sigma(y))$ and  $x \longrightarrow f^o(xy) + f^o(x\sigma(y)) - 2f^o(x)$  are bounded on S.

Furthermore,

$$
m\{f_{\sigma(y)\sigma(z)}^o + f_{\sigma(y)z}^o - 2f_{\sigma(y)}^o\} = m\{(f_{\sigma(z)}^o + f_z^o - 2f^o)_{\sigma(y)}\}
$$
(9.65)  
=  $m\{f_{\sigma(z)}^o + f_z^o - 2f^o\},$ 

where  $m$  is an invariant mean on  $S$ .

By using [\(9.62\)](#page-11-1) we get that, for every fixed  $y \in S$ , the function

<span id="page-11-3"></span>
$$
x \longrightarrow f^{o}(yx) + f^{o}(\sigma(y)x) - 2f^{o}
$$

is bounded and

$$
m\{z y f^o +_{\sigma(z)y} f^o - 2y f^o\} = m\{y (z f^o +_{\sigma(z)} f^o - 2f^o)\}
$$
(9.66)  
= 
$$
m\{z f^o +_{\sigma(z)} f^o - 2f^o\}.
$$

Now we define the new mapping

$$
\phi(y) := m\{y f^o - f^o_{\sigma(y)}\}, \ y \in S. \tag{9.67}
$$

By using the definition of  $\phi$  and m, the equalities [\(9.65\)](#page-11-2) and [\(9.66\)](#page-11-3), we obtain that

$$
\phi(zy) + \phi(\sigma(z)y) = m\{_{zy}f^o - f^o_{\sigma(y)\sigma(z)}\} + m\{\sigma(z)yf^o - f^o_{\sigma(y)z}\}\
$$
(9.68)  
\n
$$
= m\{_{zy}f^o + \sigma(z)yf^o - 2yf^o\} - m\{f^o_{\sigma(y)\sigma(z)} + f^o_{\sigma(y)z} - 2f^o_{\sigma(y)}\}\
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 2m\{_{y}f^o - f^o_{\sigma(y)}\}\
$$
  
\n
$$
= m\{_{z}f^o + \sigma(z)f^o - 2f^o\} - m\{f^o_{\sigma(z)} + f^o_{z} - 2f^o\} + 2m\{_{y}f^o - f^o_{\sigma(y)}\}\
$$
  
\n
$$
= m\{_{z}f^o - f^o_{\sigma(z)}\} + m\{\sigma(z)f^o - f^o_{z}\} + 2m\{_{y}f^o - f^o_{\sigma(y)}\}\
$$
  
\n
$$
= 2\phi(y) + \phi(z) + \phi(\sigma(z)),
$$
(9.68)

which implies that  $\phi$  is a solution of the Drygas functional equation [\(9.48\)](#page-8-1). Furthermore, we have

$$
|\frac{\phi}{2}(y) - f^o(y)| = \frac{1}{2} |\phi(y) - 2f^o(y)| = \frac{1}{2} |m\{y f^o - f^o_{\sigma(y)} - 2f^o(y)\}|
$$
(9.69)  

$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{x \in S} |f^o(yx) - f^o(x\sigma(y)) - 2f^o(y)|
$$
  

$$
= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{x \in S} |f^o(yx) + f^o(y\sigma(x)) - 2f^o(y)|
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{5}{2}\delta.
$$

By Lemma [9.1,](#page-8-2) it follows that the function  $\frac{\phi}{2}$  is a solution of the Drygas functional equation [\(9.48\)](#page-8-1) and  $\frac{\phi}{2} - f^{\circ}$  is a bounded mapping, thus we have

$$
\frac{\phi}{2} = \lim_{n \to +\infty} 2^{-n} f^o(x^{2^n}),\tag{9.70}
$$

which implies that  $\frac{\phi}{2}(\sigma(x)) = -\frac{\phi}{2}(x)$  for all  $x \in S$ , consequently  $\frac{\phi}{2}$  is a solution of the Jensen functional equation  $(9.1)$ . On the other hand, we have

$$
|f(x) - \frac{\phi}{2} - f(e)| = |f^{e}(x) + f^{o}(x) - \frac{\phi}{2} - f(e)|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq |f^{e}(x) - f(e)| + |f^{o}(x) - \frac{\phi}{2}|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{5\delta}{2} + 3\delta.
$$
\n(9.71)

We can use the same method as in Theorem [9.1](#page-3-2) to prove the uniqueness of the derived solution. This completes the proof of Theorem [9.3.](#page-8-3)

# <span id="page-13-0"></span>**9.4 Application: Stability of the Symmetric Functional Equation [\(9.11\)](#page-3-3)**

In this section we use the result obtained in Sect. [9.3](#page-8-0) to prove the stability of the symmetric functional equation  $(9.11)$ .

**Theorem 9.4** *Let* G *be an amenable group, and*  $f : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  *a function. Assume that there exists a non-negative* M *such that*

$$
|f(xy) + f(yx) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)| \le M \tag{9.72}
$$

*for all*  $x, y \in G$ *. Then, there exists a unique solution*  $J : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  *of the symmetric functional equation [\(9.11\)](#page-3-3) such that*

$$
|f(x) - J(x) - f(e)| \le 12M \text{ for all } x \in G. \tag{9.73}
$$

*Proof* In the proof we use some ideas from Stetkær [\[26,](#page-31-3) Proposition 2.17].

Setting  $x = y = e$  in [\(9.72\)](#page-13-1) we get

<span id="page-13-3"></span><span id="page-13-2"></span><span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
|f(e)| \le \frac{M}{2}.\tag{9.74}
$$

If we replace y by  $x^{-1}$  in [\(9.72\)](#page-13-1) we get

$$
|f(e) - f(x) - f(x^{-1})| \le \frac{M}{2}.\tag{9.75}
$$

Subtracting  $(9.75)$  from  $(9.74)$  and using the triangle inequality we obtain

<span id="page-13-7"></span><span id="page-13-6"></span><span id="page-13-5"></span><span id="page-13-4"></span>
$$
|f(x) + f(x^{-1})| \le M. \tag{9.76}
$$

Replacing x by xy and y by  $x^{-1}$  in [\(9.72\)](#page-13-1) we derive

$$
|f(xyx^{-1}) + f(y) - 2f(xy) - 2f(x^{-1})| \le M.
$$
 (9.77)

Using [\(9.76\)](#page-13-4), [\(9.77\)](#page-13-5) and the triangle inequality we deduce that

$$
|f(xyx^{-1}) + f(y) - 2f(xy) + 2f(x)| \le 3M.
$$
 (9.78)

By replacing y by  $y^{-1}$  in [\(9.78\)](#page-13-6) we get that

$$
|f(xy^{-1}x^{-1}) + f(y^{-1}) - 2f(xy^{-1}) + 2f(x)| \le 3M.
$$
 (9.79)

Adding [\(9.78\)](#page-13-6) to [\(9.79\)](#page-13-7) and using the triangle inequality we have that

$$
| [f(xyx^{-1}) + f((xyx^{-1})^{-1})] + [f(y) + f(y^{-1})] - 2f(xy)
$$
(9.80)  

$$
-2f(xy^{-1}) + 4f(x)| \le 6M.
$$

Using [\(9.76\)](#page-13-4), [\(9.80\)](#page-14-1) and the triangle inequality we obtain

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
|f(xy) + f(xy^{-1}) - 2f(x)| \le 4M. \tag{9.81}
$$

By applying Theorem [9.3](#page-8-3) there exists  $J: G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , unique solution of the Jensen functional equation  $(9.3)$ , that is

<span id="page-14-2"></span>
$$
J(xy) + J(xy^{-1}) = 2J(x),
$$
\n(9.82)

such that  $J(x^{-1}) = -J(x)$  and

$$
|f(x) - J(x) - f(e)| \le 12M \tag{9.83}
$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Interchanging x and y in [\(9.82\)](#page-14-2) we obtain

<span id="page-14-3"></span>
$$
J(yx) + J(yx^{-1}) = 2J(y).
$$
 (9.84)

Adding  $(9.82)$  to  $(9.84)$  we get

$$
J(xy) + J(yx) + J(xy^{-1}) + J(yx^{-1}) = 2J(x) + 2J(y).
$$
 (9.85)

Since  $J(x^{-1}) = -J(x)$  for all  $x \in G$ , then we deduce that

<span id="page-14-4"></span>
$$
J(xy) + J(yx) = 2J(x) + 2J(y)
$$
\n(9.86)

for all  $x, y \in G$ , which means that *J* satisfies the symmetric functional equation [\(9.11\)](#page-3-3).

For the uniqueness of the solution J we use that if J is a solution of  $(9.86)$  then  $J(x^{2^n}) = 2^n J(x)$  for every integer *n* and for all  $x \in G$ , and by similar computations to those used above we deduce the rest of the proof.

## <span id="page-14-0"></span>**9.5** *µ***-Jensen Functional Equation**

The trigonometric functional equations having a multiplicative function  $\mu$  in front of terms like  $f(x\sigma(y))$  or  $f(\sigma(y)x)$  have been studied in many papers. The  $\mu$ d'Alembert's functional equation

<span id="page-14-5"></span>
$$
f(xy) + \mu(y)f(xy^{-1}) = 2f(x)f(y), \ x, y \in S \tag{9.87}
$$

which is an extension of d'Alembert's functional equation

$$
f(xy) + f(xy^{-1}) = 2f(x)f(y), \ x, y \in S
$$

has been treated systematically by Stetkær [\[27\]](#page-31-7) on groups. The non-zero solutions of [\(9.87\)](#page-14-5) on groups with involution are the normalized traces of certain representation of S on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . On abelian groups the solutions of [\(9.87\)](#page-14-5) are

$$
f(x) = \frac{\gamma(x) + \mu(x)\gamma(x^{-1})}{2}
$$
, where  $\gamma : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ 

is a multiplicative function (see [\[27\]](#page-31-7)).

On abelian groups the solutions of  $\mu$ -Wilson's functional equation

$$
f(xy) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)) = 2f(x)g(y), \ x, y \in S
$$

are studied in [\[9\]](#page-30-19) and [\[29\]](#page-31-8). We refer also the interested reader to [\[8\]](#page-30-3) and [\[10\]](#page-30-4).

In the present section we prove that the  $\mu$ -Jensen functional equations [\(9.8\)](#page-2-2), [\(9.9\)](#page-2-5) have a non-zero solution only if  $\mu = 1$ . We note that in this case  $\sigma$  is an arbitrary surjective homomorphism which is not necessary involutive.

<span id="page-15-5"></span>**Theorem 9.5** *Let* S *be a semigroup,*  $\sigma : S \longrightarrow S$  *be a homomorphism, and*  $\mu$  *be a multiplicative function such that*  $\mu(x\sigma(x)) = 1$  *for all*  $x \in S$ *. If the functional equation*

<span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
f(xy) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)) = 2f(x), \ x, y \in S \tag{9.88}
$$

*has a non-zero solution then*  $\mu = 1$ *. That is, the*  $\mu$ *-Jensen functional equation* [\(9.88\)](#page-15-0) *possesses the same solutions to those of the Jensen functional equation (1.2).*

*Proof* Making the substitutions  $(xy, z)$ ,  $(x\sigma(y), z)$  in [\(9.88\)](#page-15-0) we get respectively

<span id="page-15-4"></span><span id="page-15-3"></span><span id="page-15-2"></span><span id="page-15-1"></span>
$$
f(xyz) + \mu(z) f(xy\sigma(z)) = 2f(xy),
$$
\n(9.89)

$$
f(x\sigma(y)z) + \mu(z)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) = 2f(x\sigma(y)).
$$
\n(9.90)

Multiplying [\(9.90\)](#page-15-1) by  $\mu(y)$  we obtain

$$
\mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)z) + \mu(yz)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) = 2\mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)).
$$
\n(9.91)

Adding  $(9.89)$  and  $(9.91)$  and applying  $(9.88)$  we obtain

$$
f(xyz) + \mu(z)f(xy\sigma(z)) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)z) + \mu(yz)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) = 4f(x).
$$
\n(9.92)

By using  $(9.88)$ , Eq.  $(9.92)$  can be written as follows

$$
2f(x) + \mu(z)[f(x)\sigma(z)) + \mu(y\sigma(z))f(x\sigma(y)z) = 4f(x). \tag{9.93}
$$

Multiplying [\(9.93\)](#page-16-0) by  $\mu(\sigma(z))$  and using the fact that  $\mu(z\sigma(z)) = 1$  we get after some simplification that

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
f(xy\sigma(z)) + \mu(y\sigma(z))f(x\sigma(y)z) = 2\mu(\sigma(z))f(x).
$$
 (9.94)

By replacing y in [\(9.88\)](#page-15-0) by  $y\sigma(z)$  we get

<span id="page-16-2"></span><span id="page-16-1"></span>
$$
f(xy\sigma(z)) + \mu(y\sigma(z))f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^{2}(z)) = 2f(x).
$$
 (9.95)

Subtracting [\(9.95\)](#page-16-1) from [\(9.94\)](#page-16-2) we deduce that

$$
\mu(y\sigma(z))[f(x\sigma(y)z) - f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^2(z))] = 2[\mu(\sigma(z)) - 1]f(x). \tag{9.96}
$$

Multiplying the last identity by  $\mu(\sigma(y)z)$  and using the fact that  $\mu(z\sigma(z)) = 1$  we obtain that

$$
f(x\sigma(y)z) - f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^{2}(z)) = 2\mu(\sigma(y))[1 - \mu(z)]f(x).
$$
 (9.97)

On the other hand, if we make the substitutions  $(x\sigma(y), z)$  and  $(x\sigma(y), \sigma(z))$ in [\(9.88\)](#page-15-0) we deduce respectively

<span id="page-16-7"></span><span id="page-16-6"></span><span id="page-16-5"></span><span id="page-16-4"></span><span id="page-16-3"></span>
$$
f(x\sigma(y)z) + \mu(z)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) = 2f(x\sigma(y)).
$$
\n(9.98)

$$
f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) + \mu(\sigma(z))f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^{2}(z)) = 2f(x\sigma(y)).
$$
\n(9.99)

Multiplying [\(9.99\)](#page-16-3) by  $\mu(z)$  and using the fact that  $\mu(z\sigma(z)) = 1$  we derive that

$$
\mu(z)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) + f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^{2}(z)) = 2\mu(z)f(x\sigma(y)).
$$
\n(9.100)

Subtracting [\(9.100\)](#page-16-4) from [\(9.98\)](#page-16-5) we obtain

$$
f(x\sigma(y)z) - f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^{2}(z)) = 2[1 - \mu(z)]f(x\sigma(y)).
$$
\n(9.101)

By comparing [\(9.101\)](#page-16-6) and [\(9.97\)](#page-16-7) we deduce that

$$
2\mu(\sigma(y))[1 - \mu(z)]f(x) = 2[1 - \mu(z)]f(x\sigma(y)), \qquad (9.102)
$$

from which we get

<span id="page-16-8"></span>
$$
[1 - \mu(z)][\mu(\sigma(y))f(x) - f(x\sigma(y))] = 0.
$$
\n(9.103)

If we suppose that  $\mu \neq 1$ , then from [\(9.103\)](#page-16-8) we deduce that

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
f(x\sigma(y)) = \mu(\sigma(y))f(x)
$$
\n(9.104)

for all  $x, y \in S$ . If we combine Eqs. [\(9.104\)](#page-17-0) and [\(9.88\)](#page-15-0) we get

$$
f(xy) + \mu(y)\mu(\sigma(y))f(x) = 2f(x).
$$
 (9.105)

Since  $\mu(y\sigma(y)) = 1$  we deduce that  $f(xy) = f(x)$  for all  $y \in S$ . Therefore [\(9.88\)](#page-15-0) becomes

<span id="page-17-1"></span>
$$
(\mu(y) - 1)f(x) = 0
$$

which means that either  $f = 0$  or  $\mu = 1$ . Since  $\mu \neq 1$ , then we get  $f = 0$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $f \neq 0$ . contradicts the assumption that  $f \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 9.6** *Let* S *be a semigroup, let*  $\sigma : S \longrightarrow S$  *be a homomorphism, and*  $\mu$ *be a multiplicative function such that*  $\mu(x\sigma(x)) = 1$  *for all*  $x \in S$ *. If the variant of the* μ*-Jensen functional equation*

$$
f(xy) + \mu(y)f(\sigma(y)x) = 2f(x), \ x, y \in S \tag{9.106}
$$

*has a non-zero solution, then*  $\mu = 1$ .

*Proof* The computations used in [\[10\]](#page-30-4) for  $g = 1$  show that for all fixed a in S, the mapping  $x \rightarrow f(ax) - f(a)$  is additive.

On the other hand, by replacing y by  $yz$  in  $(9.106)$  we get

<span id="page-17-4"></span><span id="page-17-3"></span><span id="page-17-2"></span>
$$
f(xyz) + \mu(yz) f(\sigma(yz)x) = 2f(x).
$$
 (9.107)

If we replace x by  $\sigma(y)$  and y by  $\sigma(z)x$  in [\(9.106\)](#page-17-1) and multiply the result obtained by  $\mu(yz)$  we deduce that

$$
\mu(yz)f(\sigma(yz)x) + \mu(xy)f(z\sigma(xy)) = 2\mu(yz)f(\sigma(y)).\tag{9.108}
$$

By replacing x by z and y by  $\sigma(xy)$  in [\(9.106\)](#page-17-1) and multiplying the result obtained by  $\mu(xy)$  we get

$$
\mu(xy)f(z\sigma(xy)) + f(xyz) = 2\mu(xy)f(z). \tag{9.109}
$$

By subtracting the sum of  $(9.107)$  and  $(9.109)$  from  $(9.108)$  we obtain

$$
f(xyz) = f(x) + \mu(xy)f(z) - \mu(yz)f(\sigma(y)).
$$
\n(9.110)

Since for each fixed a in S the function  $x \rightarrow f(a^2x) - f(a^2)$  is additive then the new function

$$
x \longrightarrow \mu(a^2) f(x) - \mu(a)\mu(x) f(\sigma(a)) + 2f(a) - 2f(a^2)
$$
  
=  $\mu(a)[\mu(a) f(x) - \mu(x) f(\sigma(a))] + 2f(a) - 2f(a^2)$ 

is additive. Since  $\mu \neq 0$ , then we deduce that f is central. That is  $f(xy) = f(yx)$  for all x,  $y \in S$ . For the rest of the proof we use Theorem 9.5. for all  $x, y \in S$ . For the rest of the proof we use Theorem [9.5.](#page-15-5)

**Theorem 9.7** *Let* S *be a semigroup,*  $\sigma : S \longrightarrow S$  *be an anti-homomorphism which is surjective and*  $\mu : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  *be a multiplicative function such that*  $\mu(x\sigma(x)) = 1$ *for all* x ∈ S*. If the* μ*-Jensen functional equation*

$$
f(xy) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)) = 2f(x), \ x, y \in S \tag{9.111}
$$

*has a non-zero solution, then*  $\mu = 1$ *.* 

*Proof* Making the substitutions  $(xy, z)$ ,  $(x\sigma(y), z)$  in [\(9.111\)](#page-18-0) and multiplying the second result by  $\mu(y)$  we get respectively

<span id="page-18-2"></span><span id="page-18-1"></span><span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
f(xyz) + \mu(z) f(xy\sigma(z)) = 2f(xy),
$$
\n(9.112)

$$
\mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)z) + \mu(yz)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) = 2\mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)).\tag{9.113}
$$

Adding  $(9.112)$  to  $(9.113)$  and using  $(9.111)$  we obtain

$$
f(xyz) + \mu(z)f(xy\sigma(z)) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)z) + \mu(yz)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) = 4f(x).
$$
\n(9.114)

If we replace  $y$  in [\(9.111\)](#page-18-0) by  $yz$  we get

<span id="page-18-4"></span><span id="page-18-3"></span>
$$
f(xyz) + \mu(yz) f(x\sigma(z)\sigma(y)) = 2f(x). \tag{9.115}
$$

Subtracting  $(9.115)$  from  $(9.114)$  we obtain

$$
\mu(yz)[f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) - f(x\sigma(z)\sigma(y))] + \mu(z)f(x\sigma(z)) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)z) = 2f(x).
$$
\n(9.116)

Taking  $y = z$  in the last identity we find

<span id="page-18-5"></span>
$$
\mu(y)[f(xy\sigma(y)) + f(x\sigma(y)y)] = 2f(x). \tag{9.117}
$$

On the other hand, if we subtract  $(9.112)$  from  $(9.115)$  and multiply the result by  $\mu(\sigma(z))$  and use the fact that  $\mu(z\sigma(z)) = 1$  we get

$$
\mu(y)f(x\sigma(z)\sigma(y)) - f(xy\sigma(z)) = 2\mu(\sigma(z))f(x) - 2\mu(\sigma(z))f(xy).
$$
\n(9.118)

Replacing x in [\(9.111\)](#page-18-0) by  $x\sigma(z)$  implies

<span id="page-19-3"></span><span id="page-19-2"></span><span id="page-19-1"></span>
$$
f(x\sigma(z)y) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(z)\sigma(y)) = 2f(x\sigma(z)).
$$
\n(9.119)

The subtraction of  $(9.118)$  from  $(9.119)$  yields

$$
f(x\sigma(z)y) + f(xy\sigma(z)) = 2f(x\sigma(z)) - 2\mu(\sigma(z))f(x) + 2\mu(\sigma(z))f(xy).
$$
\n(9.120)

Since  $\sigma$  is surjective, then by taking  $t = \sigma(z)$  in [\(9.120\)](#page-19-3) we obtain

$$
f(xty) + f(xyt) = 2f(xt) + 2\mu(t)f(xy) - 2\mu(t)f(x)
$$
\n(9.12)

for all x, t,  $y \in S$ . Replacing t in [\(9.121\)](#page-19-4) by y, and y by  $\sigma(y)$  and multiplying the resulting formulas obtained by  $\mu(y)$  and using the fact that  $\mu(y\sigma(y)) = 1$  we get

$$
\mu(y)[f(xy\sigma(y)) + f(x\sigma(y)y)]
$$
\n
$$
= 2\mu(y)f(xy) + 2\mu^{2}(y)f(x\sigma(y)) - 2\mu^{2}(y)f(x).
$$
\n(9.122)

If we subtract  $(9.122)$  from  $(9.117)$  we deduce

$$
2\mu(y)[f(xy) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y))] - 2\mu^{2}(y)f(x) = 2f(x).
$$
 (9.123)

Using  $(9.111)$  we get

<span id="page-19-5"></span><span id="page-19-4"></span>
$$
[\mu(y) - 1]^2 f(x) = 0 \tag{9.124}
$$

for all x and y in S. This means that if f is a non-zero solution of  $(9.121)$  then  $\mu = 1.$ 

## <span id="page-19-0"></span>**9.6 Solutions of** *µ***-Quadratic Functional Equation**

In this section we consider the  $\mu$ -quadratic functional equation (1.10), and we prove a similar result as in the precedent section for the  $\mu$ -quadratic functional equation  $(9.10)$ .

**Theorem 9.8** Let S be a semigroup,  $\sigma : S \longrightarrow S$  be a homomorphism, and  $\mu$  be *a multiplicative function such that*  $\mu(x\sigma(x)) = 1$  *for all*  $x \in S$ *. If the*  $\mu$ *-quadratic functional equation*

<span id="page-20-8"></span><span id="page-20-0"></span>
$$
f(xy) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)) = 2f(x) + 2f(y), \ x, y \in S \tag{9.125}
$$

*has a non-zero solution, then*  $\mu = 1$ *. That is, the*  $\mu$ *-quadratic functional equation [\(9.125\)](#page-20-0) possesses the same solutions to those of the quadratic functional equation* (1.4)

*Proof* Making the substitutions  $(xy, z)$ ,  $(x\sigma(y), z)$  in [\(9.125\)](#page-20-0) we get respectively

<span id="page-20-3"></span><span id="page-20-2"></span><span id="page-20-1"></span>
$$
f(xyz) + \mu(z)f(xy\sigma(z)) = 2f(xy) + 2f(z).
$$
 (9.126)

$$
f(x\sigma(y)z) + \mu(z)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) = 2f(x\sigma(y)) + 2f(z).
$$
 (9.127)

Multiplying [\(9.127\)](#page-20-1) by  $\mu(y)$  we get

$$
\mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)z) + \mu(yz)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) = 2\mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)) + 2\mu(y)f(z).
$$
\n(9.128)

Adding  $(9.126)$  to  $(9.128)$  we obtain

$$
[f(xyz) + \mu(yz) f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z))] + [\mu(z) f(xy\sigma(z)) + \mu(y) f(x\sigma(y)z)]
$$
  
= 2[f(xy) + \mu(y) f(x\sigma(y))] + 2[1 + \mu(y)]f(z). (9.129)

Replacing y by  $yz$  in  $(9.125)$  we get

<span id="page-20-5"></span>
$$
f(xyz) + \mu(yz) f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) = 2f(x) + 2f(yz).
$$
 (9.130)

Multiplying [\(9.125\)](#page-20-0) by 2 we derive

<span id="page-20-6"></span><span id="page-20-4"></span>
$$
2[f(xy) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y))] = 4f(x) + 4f(y).
$$
\n(9.131)

If we subtract  $(9.130)$  from the sum of  $(9.129)$  and  $(9.131)$  we obtain

<span id="page-20-7"></span>
$$
\mu(z)f(xy\sigma(z)) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)z) + 2f(yz)
$$
\n
$$
= 2f(x) + 4f(y) + 2[1 + \mu(y)]f(z).
$$
\n(9.132)

On the other hand, if we replace y by  $y\sigma(z)$  in [\(9.125\)](#page-20-0) we get

$$
f(xy\sigma(z)) + \mu(y\sigma(z))f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^{2}(z)) = 2f(x) + 2f(y\sigma(z)).
$$
 (9.133)

Multiplying the last equality by  $\mu(z)$  and using the fact that  $\mu(z\sigma(z)) = 1$  we get

$$
\mu(z)f(xy\sigma(z)) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^{2}(z)) = 2\mu(z)f(x) + 2\mu(z)f(y\sigma(z)).
$$
\n(9.134)

Subtracting  $(9.134)$  from  $(9.132)$  we deduce that

$$
\mu(y)[f(x\sigma(y)z) - f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^2(z))] + 2[f(yz) + \mu(z)f(y\sigma(z))] \qquad (9.135)
$$
  
= 2[1 - \mu(z)]f(x) + 4f(y) + 2(1 + \mu(y))f(z).

If we make the substitution  $(y, z)$  in  $(9.125)$  and multiply the result obtained by 2 we derive

<span id="page-21-7"></span><span id="page-21-2"></span><span id="page-21-1"></span><span id="page-21-0"></span>
$$
2[f(yz) + \mu(z)f(y\sigma(z))] = 4[f(y) + f(z)].
$$
\n(9.136)

The subtraction of [\(9.136\)](#page-21-1) from [\(9.135\)](#page-21-2) implies after some simplification

$$
\mu(y)[f(x\sigma(y)z) - f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^{2}(z))] = 2[1 - \mu(z)]f(x) + 2(\mu(y) - 1)f(z).
$$
\n(9.137)

On the other hand, if we make the substitutions  $(x\sigma(y), z)$  and  $(x\sigma(y), \sigma(z))$ in [\(9.125\)](#page-20-0) we get respectively

<span id="page-21-5"></span><span id="page-21-3"></span>
$$
f(x\sigma(y)z) + \mu(z)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) = 2f(x\sigma(y)) + 2f(z).
$$
 (9.138)

$$
f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) + \mu(\sigma(z))f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^{2}(z)) = 2f(x\sigma(y)) + 2f(\sigma(z)).
$$
 (9.139)

Multiplying [\(9.139\)](#page-21-3) by  $\mu(z)$  and using the fact that  $\mu(z\sigma(z)) = 1$  we get

$$
\mu(z)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) + f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^2(z)) = 2\mu(z)f(x\sigma(y)) + 2\mu(z)f(\sigma(z)).
$$
\n(9.140)

Subtracting  $(9.140)$  from  $(9.138)$  we obtain

<span id="page-21-6"></span><span id="page-21-4"></span>
$$
f(x\sigma(y)z) - f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^{2}(z))
$$
\n
$$
= 2f(x\sigma(y))[1 - \mu(z)] + 2f(z) - 2\mu(z)f(\sigma(z)).
$$
\n(9.141)

Multiplying the last equation by  $\mu(y)$  we obtain

$$
\mu(y)[f(x\sigma(y)z) - f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^{2}(z))] = 2\mu(y)[1 - \mu(z)]f(x\sigma(y)) \qquad (9.142) + 2\mu(y)f(z) - 2\mu(yz)f(\sigma(z)).
$$

Now, if we subtract  $(9.142)$  from  $(9.137)$  we deduce that

$$
2[1 - \mu(z)]f(x) - 2f(z) = 2\mu(y)[1 - \mu(z)]f(x\sigma(y)) - 2\mu(yz)f(\sigma(z)),
$$
\n(9.143)

from which we get

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
[1 - \mu(z)][f(x) - \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y))] = f(z) - \mu(yz)f(\sigma(z)).
$$
 (9.144)

Taking  $y = z$  in [\(9.144\)](#page-22-0) we obtain

<span id="page-22-1"></span>
$$
[1 - \mu(y)][f(x) - \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y))] = f(y) - \mu(y^2)f(\sigma(y))
$$
\n(9.145)

for all  $x, y \in S$ .

Setting  $\beta(y) = 1 - \mu(y)$  and multiplying [\(9.125\)](#page-20-0) by  $\beta(y)$  and adding the result obtained to [\(9.145\)](#page-22-1) we derive that

<span id="page-22-2"></span>
$$
\beta(y)[f(xy) - f(x) - 2f(y)] = f(y) - \mu(y^2)f(\sigma(y)).
$$
\n(9.146)

The last equation can be written as follows

$$
\beta(y)f(xy) = \beta(y)f(x) + [2\beta(y) + 1]f(y) - \mu(y^2)f(\sigma(y)).
$$
\n(9.147)

Replacing y in [\(9.147\)](#page-22-2) by  $\sigma(y)$ , and multiplying the result obtained by  $\mu(y^2)$  and using the fact that  $\mu(z\sigma(z)) = 1$  we find

$$
\mu(y^2)\beta(\sigma(y))f(x\sigma(y))) = \mu(y^2)\beta(\sigma(y))f(x)
$$
\n
$$
+ \mu(y^2)[2\beta(\sigma(y)) + 1]f(\sigma(y)) - f(\sigma^2(y)).
$$
\n(9.148)

Since  $\mu(\nu\sigma(\nu)) = 1$  we get that

<span id="page-22-4"></span><span id="page-22-3"></span>
$$
\mu(y)\beta(\sigma(y)) = \mu(y)[1 - \mu(\sigma(y))] = \mu(y) - 1 = -\beta(y),
$$

and thus Eq. [\(9.148\)](#page-22-3) can be written in the form

$$
\mu(y)\beta(y)f(x\sigma(y)) = \mu(y)\beta(y)f(x) \tag{9.149}
$$
  
+ 
$$
[2\mu(y)\beta(y) - \mu(y^2)]f(\sigma(y)) + f(\sigma^2(y)).
$$

Adding  $(9.149)$  and  $(9.147)$  and using  $(9.125)$  we get

$$
\beta(y)[2f(x) + 2f(y)] = [\beta(y) + \mu(y)\beta(y)]f(x) + [2\beta(y) + 1]f(y)
$$
(9.150)  
+ 
$$
[2\mu(y)\beta(y) - 2\mu(y^2)]f(\sigma(y)) + f(\sigma^2(y)).
$$

Thus

$$
\beta(y)f(x) = f(y) + 2\mu(y)[\beta(y) - \mu(y)]f(\sigma(y)) + f(\sigma^{2}(y))
$$
\n(9.151)

for all  $x$ ,  $y$  in  $S$ .

If  $\mu \neq 1$  then there exists  $y_0 \in S$  such that  $\beta(y_0) \neq 0$  and from [\(9.151\)](#page-23-0) we deduce that  $f(x) = c$ , for all  $x \in S$ , where

$$
c = \frac{1}{\beta(y_0)} [f(y_0) + 2\mu(y_0)[\beta(y_0) - \mu(y_0)] f(\sigma(y_0)) + f(\sigma^2(y_0))],
$$

which means that f is a constant. From [\(9.125\)](#page-20-0) we deduce that  $f = 0$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $f \neq 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem [9.8.](#page-20-8)

<span id="page-23-1"></span><span id="page-23-0"></span> $\Box$ 

#### **9.7 Stability of the** *µ***-Jensen Functional Equation**

In this section we study the stability of  $\mu$ -Jensen functional equation [\(9.8\)](#page-2-2), where  $\sigma$ is a surjective homomorphism, and  $\mu$  is a bounded multiplicative function such that  $\mu(x\sigma(x)) = 1$  for all  $x \in S$ .

<span id="page-23-5"></span>**Theorem 9.9** Let S be a semigroup,  $\sigma : S \longrightarrow S$  be a homomorphism, and  $\mu$  be *a bounded multiplicative function such that*  $\mu(x\sigma(x)) = 1$  *for all*  $x \in S$ *. If there exists a non-negative scalar* δ *such that*

$$
|f(xy) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)) - 2f(x)| \le \delta
$$
 (9.152)

*for all*  $x, y \in S$ *, then either f is unbounded or*  $\mu = 1$ *.* 

*Furthermore, the* μ*-Jensen functional equation [\(9.8\)](#page-2-2) is stable if and only if the Jensen functional equation (1.1) is stable.*

*Proof* Making the substitutions  $(xy, z)$ ,  $(x\sigma(y), z)$  in [\(9.152\)](#page-23-1) we get respectively

<span id="page-23-4"></span><span id="page-23-3"></span><span id="page-23-2"></span>
$$
|f(xyz) + \mu(z)f(xy\sigma(z)) - 2f(xy)| \le \delta,
$$
\n(9.153)

$$
|f(x\sigma(y)z) + \mu(z)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) - 2f(x\sigma(y))| \le \delta. \tag{9.154}
$$

The multiplicative mapping  $\mu$  is bounded, thus there exists a nonnegative real M such that  $|\mu(x)| \leq M$  for all  $x \in S$ . Multiplying [\(9.154\)](#page-23-2) by  $\mu(y)$  we get

$$
|\mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)z) + \mu(yz)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) - 2\mu(y)f(x\sigma(y))| \le M\delta. \tag{9.155}
$$

Adding  $(9.153)$  and  $(9.155)$  and using the triangle inequality we obtain

$$
| [f(xyz) + \mu(yz)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z))] + [\mu(z)f(xy\sigma(z)) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)z)]
$$
  
-2[f(xy) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y))] \le (1 + M)\delta. (9.156)

Replacing y by  $yz$  in  $(9.152)$  we obtain

<span id="page-24-1"></span><span id="page-24-0"></span>
$$
|f(xyz) + \mu(yz)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) - 2f(x)| \le \delta.
$$
 (9.157)

Multiplying [\(9.152\)](#page-23-1) by 2 we get

<span id="page-24-2"></span>
$$
|2[f(xy) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y))] - 4f(x)| \le 2\delta.
$$
 (9.158)

If we subtract  $(9.157)$  from the sum of  $(9.156)$  and  $(9.158)$  and use the triangle inequality we obtain

$$
|\mu(z)[f(xy\sigma(z)) + \mu(y\sigma(z))f(x\sigma(y)z) - 2f(x)| \le (4+M)\delta. \tag{9.159}
$$

Multiplying the last inequality by  $\mu(\sigma(z))$  and using the fact that  $\mu(z\sigma(z)) = 1$  we get after some simplification

$$
|f(xy\sigma(z)) + \mu(y\sigma(z))f(x\sigma(y)z) - 2\mu(\sigma(z))f(x)| \le (4M + M^2)\delta.
$$
\n(9.160)

On the other hand, if we replace y in  $(9.152)$  by  $y\sigma(z)$  we get

<span id="page-24-4"></span><span id="page-24-3"></span>
$$
|f(xy\sigma(z)) + \mu(y\sigma(z))f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^2(z)) - 2f(x)| \le \delta.
$$
 (9.161)

Subtracting  $(9.161)$  from  $(9.160)$  we deduce that

$$
|\mu(y\sigma(z))[f(x\sigma(y)z) - f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^2(z)) - 2[\mu(\sigma(z)) - 1]f(x)| \qquad (9.162)
$$
  

$$
\leq (1 + 4M + M^2)\delta.
$$

Multiplying the last identity by  $\mu(\sigma(y)z)$  and using the fact that  $\mu(z\sigma(z)) = 1$  we obtain

<span id="page-24-6"></span>
$$
|f(x\sigma(y)z) - f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^{2}(z)) - 2\mu(\sigma(y))[1 - \mu(z)]f(x)| \qquad (9.163)
$$
  

$$
\leq (M + 4M^{2} + M^{3})\delta.
$$

On the other hand, if we make the substitutions  $(x\sigma(y), z)$  and  $(x\sigma(y), \sigma(z))$ in [\(9.152\)](#page-23-1) we get respectively

<span id="page-24-5"></span>
$$
|f(x\sigma(y)z) + \mu(z)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) - 2f(x\sigma(y))| \le \delta,
$$
\n(9.164)

192 K. Belfakih et al.

<span id="page-25-0"></span>
$$
|f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) + \mu(\sigma(z))f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^{2}(z)) - 2f(x\sigma(y))| \le \delta.
$$
 (9.165)

Multiplying [\(9.165\)](#page-25-0) by  $\mu(z)$  and using  $\mu(z\sigma(z)) = 1$  we derive that

$$
|\mu(z)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) + f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^2(z)) - 2\mu(z)f(x\sigma(y))| \le M\delta. \tag{9.166}
$$

Subtracting [\(9.166\)](#page-25-1) from [\(9.164\)](#page-24-5) and using the triangle inequality we obtain

$$
|f(x\sigma(y)z) - f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^{2}(z)) - 2f(x\sigma(y))[1 - \mu(z)]| \le (1 + M)\delta. \tag{9.167}
$$

If we subtract  $(9.167)$  from  $(9.163)$  we deduce that

<span id="page-25-2"></span><span id="page-25-1"></span>
$$
|2[\mu(\sigma(y))[1 - \mu(z)]f(x) - 2f(x\sigma(y))][1 - \mu(z)]|
$$
\n
$$
\leq (1 + 2M + 4M^2 + M^3)\delta,
$$
\n(9.168)

from which we get

$$
| [1 - \mu(z)] [\mu(\sigma(y)) f(x) - f(x\sigma(y))] | \le (1 + 2M + 4M^2 + M^3) \frac{\delta}{2}.
$$
 (9.169)

If we suppose that  $\mu \neq 1$ , then there exists  $z_0 \in S$  such that  $\mu(z_0) \neq 1$ . From [\(9.169\)](#page-25-3) we deduce that

<span id="page-25-4"></span><span id="page-25-3"></span>
$$
|f(x\sigma(y)) - \mu(\sigma(y))f(x)| \le \phi\delta \tag{9.170}
$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ , where

$$
\phi = \frac{1}{2(1 - \mu(z_0))} (1 + 2M + 4M^2 + M^3).
$$

If we multiply [\(9.170\)](#page-25-4) by  $\mu(y)$  and use the fact that  $\mu(x\sigma(x)) = 1$ , we obtain

<span id="page-25-5"></span>
$$
|\mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)) - f(x)| \le M\phi\delta. \tag{9.171}
$$

Subtracting [\(9.152\)](#page-23-1) from [\(9.171\)](#page-25-5) and using the triangle inequality we get

<span id="page-25-7"></span><span id="page-25-6"></span>
$$
|f(xy) - f(x)| \le M(\phi + 1)\delta \tag{9.172}
$$

for all  $y \in S$ . Replacing y by  $\sigma(y)$  in [\(9.172\)](#page-25-6) and multiplying the result by  $\sigma(y)$  we obtain

$$
|\mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)) - \mu(y)f(x)| \le M^2(\phi + 1)\delta.
$$
 (9.173)

Subtracting  $(9.152)$  from the sum of  $(9.172)$  and  $(9.173)$  and using the triangle inequality we deduce

$$
|[1 - \mu(y)]f(x)| \le (M^2 + M)(\phi + 1)\delta + \delta. \tag{9.174}
$$

Since  $\mu \neq 1$  we deduce that f is a bounded function. This completes the proof of Theorem 9.9. Theorem [9.9.](#page-23-5)

## **9.8 Stability of the** *µ***-Quadratic Functional Equation**

In this section we investigate the stability of the  $\mu$ -quadratic functional equation (1.10).

**Theorem 9.10** *Let* S *be a semigroup, let*  $\sigma : S \longrightarrow S$  *be a homomorphism, and*  $μ$  *be a bounded multiplicative function such that*  $μ(xσ(x)) = 1$ *. If there exists a non-negative scalar* δ *such that*

<span id="page-26-5"></span><span id="page-26-0"></span>
$$
|f(xy) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)| \le \delta, \ x, y \in S,
$$
 (9.175)

*then either f is unbounded or*  $\mu = 1$ *.* 

*Furthermore, the* μ*-quadratic functional equation* (1.10) *is stable if and only if the quadratic functional equation [\(9.7\)](#page-2-4) is stable.*

*Proof* Making the substitutions  $(xy, z)$ ,  $(x\sigma(y), z)$  in [\(9.175\)](#page-26-0) we get respectively

<span id="page-26-3"></span><span id="page-26-2"></span><span id="page-26-1"></span>
$$
|f(xyz) + \mu(z)f(xy\sigma(z)) - 2f(xy) - 2f(z)| \le \delta.
$$
 (9.176)

$$
|f(x\sigma(y)z) + \mu(z)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) - 2f(x\sigma(y)) - 2f(z)| \le \delta. \tag{9.177}
$$

Multiplying [\(9.177\)](#page-26-1) by  $\mu(y)$  we get

$$
|\mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)z) + \mu(yz)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) - 2\mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)) - 2\mu(y)f(z)|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq M\delta.
$$
\n(9.178)

Adding [\(9.176\)](#page-26-2) and [\(9.178\)](#page-26-3) and using the triangle inequality we obtain

<span id="page-26-4"></span>
$$
| [f(xyz) + \mu(yz) f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z))] + [\mu(z) f(xy\sigma(z)) + \mu(y) f(x\sigma(y)z)]
$$
  
-2[f(xy) + \mu(y) f(x\sigma(y))] - 2[1 + \mu(y)]f(z)| \le (1 + M)\delta. (9.179)

Replacing y by  $yz$  in  $(9.175)$  we get

$$
|f(xyz) + \mu(yz)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) - 2f(x) - 2f(yz)| \le \delta.
$$
 (9.180)

Multiplying [\(9.175\)](#page-26-0) by 2 we get

<span id="page-27-3"></span><span id="page-27-1"></span><span id="page-27-0"></span>
$$
|2[f(xy) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y))] - 4f(x) - 4f(y)| \le 2\delta.
$$
 (9.181)

If we subtract  $(9.180)$  from the sum of  $(9.179)$  and  $(9.181)$  and use the triangle inequality we obtain

$$
|\mu(z)f(xy\sigma(z)) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)z) + 2f(yz) - 2f(x) - 4f(y)
$$
(9.182)  
-2[1 +  $\mu(y)$ ]  $f(z)$  |  $\leq$  (4 + M) $\delta$ .

On the other hand, if we replace y in  $(9.175)$  by  $y\sigma(z)$  we deduce that

$$
|f(xy\sigma(z)) + \mu(y\sigma(z))f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^{2}(z)) - 2f(x) - 2f(y\sigma(z))| \le \delta. \tag{9.183}
$$

Multiplying the last inequality by  $\mu(z)$  and using the fact that  $\mu(z\sigma(z)) = 1$  we get

<span id="page-27-2"></span>
$$
|\mu(z)f(xy\sigma(z)) + \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^{2}(z)) - 2\mu(z)f(x) - 2\mu(z)f(y\sigma(z))|
$$
  
\$\leq M\delta\$. (9.184)

Subtracting [\(9.184\)](#page-27-2) from [\(9.182\)](#page-27-3) and using the triangle inequality we obtain that

$$
|\mu(y)[f(x\sigma(y)z) - f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^2(z))] + 2[f(yz) + \mu(z)f(y\sigma(z))]
$$
(9.185)  
- 2[1 -  $\mu(z)]f(x) - 4f(y) - 2(1 + \mu(y))f(z) \le (4 + 2M)\delta$ .

If we make the substitution  $(y, z)$  in  $(9.175)$  and multiply the result by 2 we obtain

<span id="page-27-7"></span><span id="page-27-5"></span><span id="page-27-4"></span>
$$
|2[f(yz) + \mu(z)f(y\sigma(z))] - 4[f(y) + f(z)] \le 2\delta.
$$
 (9.186)

The subtraction of [\(9.186\)](#page-27-4) from [\(9.185\)](#page-27-5) and the triangle inequality provide after some simplification that

<span id="page-27-6"></span>
$$
|\mu(y)[f(x\sigma(y)z) - f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^2(z))] + 2[\mu(z) - 1]f(x)
$$
(9.187)  
+2(1 - \mu(y))f(z)| \le (6 + 2M)\delta.

On the other hand, if we make the substitutions  $(x\sigma(y), z)$  and  $(x\sigma(y), \sigma(z))$ in [\(9.175\)](#page-26-0) we get respectively

$$
|f(x\sigma(y)z) + \mu(z)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) - 2f(x\sigma(y)) - 2f(z)| \le \delta. \tag{9.188}
$$

<span id="page-28-0"></span>
$$
|f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) + \mu(\sigma(z))f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^{2}(z)) - 2f(x\sigma(y)) - 2f(\sigma(z))| \le \delta.
$$
\n(9.189)

Multiplying [\(9.189\)](#page-28-0) by  $\mu(z)$  and using the fact that  $\mu(z\sigma(z)) = 1$  we get that

<span id="page-28-1"></span>
$$
|\mu(z)f(x\sigma(y)\sigma(z)) + f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^2(z)) - 2\mu(z)f(x\sigma(y)) - 2\mu(z)f(\sigma(z))|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq M\delta.
$$
\n(9.190)

Subtracting [\(9.190\)](#page-28-1) from [\(9.188\)](#page-27-6) and using the triangle inequality we obtain

$$
|f(x\sigma(y)z) - f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^{2}(z)) - 2f(x\sigma(y))[1 - \mu(z)] - 2f(z)
$$
(9.191)  
+2\mu(z)f(\sigma(z))| \le (1 + M)\delta.

Multiplying the last identity by  $\mu(y)$  we obtain

<span id="page-28-2"></span>
$$
|\mu(y)[f(x\sigma(y)z) - f(x\sigma(y)\sigma^2(z))] - 2\mu(y)[1 - \mu(z)]f(x\sigma(y)) \qquad (9.192)
$$
  
-2 $\mu(y)f(z) + 2\mu(yz)f(\sigma(z))| \le (M + M^2)\delta.$ 

If we subtract  $(9.192)$  from  $(9.187)$  and use the triangle inequality we obtain that

$$
|2[\mu(z) - 1]f(x) + 2(1 - \mu(y))f(z) + 2\mu(y)[1 - \mu(z)]f(x\sigma(y)) \qquad (9.193)
$$

$$
+ 2\mu(y)f(z) - 2\mu(yz)f(\sigma(z))| \le (6 + 3M + M^2)\delta,
$$

from which we get

$$
|[\mu(z) - 1][f(x) - \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y))] + f(z) - \mu(yz)f(\sigma(z))|
$$
\n
$$
\leq (6 + 3M + M^{2})\frac{\delta}{2}.
$$
\n(9.194)

Setting  $y = z$  in [\(9.194\)](#page-28-3) we obtain

$$
|\beta(y)[f(x) - \mu(y)f(x\sigma(y))] + f(y) - \mu(y^2)f(\sigma(y))| \le \alpha
$$
 (9.195)

where  $\beta(y) = \mu(y) - 1$  for all  $y \in S$ , and

<span id="page-28-4"></span><span id="page-28-3"></span>
$$
\alpha = (6 + 3M + M^2)\frac{\delta}{2}.
$$

Adding [\(9.195\)](#page-28-4) to [\(9.175\)](#page-26-0) multiplied by  $\beta(y)$  and using the triangle inequality we obtain

$$
|\beta(y)[f(xy) - f(x) - 2f(y)] + f(y) - \mu(y^2)f(\sigma(y))| \le \alpha + (M+1)\delta.
$$
\n(9.196)

The last inequality can be written in the form

<span id="page-29-0"></span>
$$
|\beta(y)f(xy) - \beta(y)f(x) - [2\beta(y) - 1]f(y) - \mu(y^2)f(\sigma(y))|
$$
 (9.197)  
\n
$$
\leq \alpha + (M+1)\delta.
$$

Replacing y in [\(9.197\)](#page-29-0) by  $\sigma(y)$ , and multiplying the result by  $\mu(y^2)$  and using the fact that  $\mu(z\sigma(z)) = 1$  we derive

$$
|\mu(y^2) \beta(\sigma(y)) f(x\sigma(y)) - \mu(y^2) \beta(\sigma(y)) f(x)
$$
\n
$$
- \mu(y^2) [2\beta(\sigma(y)) - 1] f(\sigma(y)) - f(\sigma^2(y)) | \le M^2 \alpha + (M^2 + M^3) \delta.
$$
\n(9.198)

Since  $\mu(y\sigma(y)) = 1$  we get that

<span id="page-29-1"></span>
$$
\mu(y)\beta(\sigma(y)) = \mu(y)[\mu(\sigma(y)) - 1] = 1 - \mu(y) = -\beta(y),
$$

and thus inequality [\(9.197\)](#page-29-0) can be expressed as follows

$$
|\mu(y)\beta(y)f(x\sigma(y)) - \mu(y)\beta(y)f(x) - [2\mu(y)\beta(y) + \mu(y^2)]f(\sigma(y)) + f(\sigma^2(y))| \le M^2\alpha + (M^2 + M^3)\delta.
$$
\n(9.199)

Subtracting [\(9.175\)](#page-26-0) multiplied by  $\beta(y)$  from the sum of [\(9.199\)](#page-29-1) and [\(9.197\)](#page-29-0) and using the triangle inequality we get

$$
|\beta(y)[2f(x) + 2f(y)] - [\beta(y) + \mu(y)\beta(y)]f(x) - [2\beta(y) - 1]f(y)
$$

$$
- [2\mu(y)\beta(y) + 2\mu(y^2)]f(\sigma(y)) + f(\sigma^2(y))|
$$

$$
\leq (1 + M^2)\alpha + (M^3 + M^2 + M + 2)\delta. \tag{9.200}
$$

Simplifying the last inequality we obtain

$$
|\beta^{2}(y)f(x) - f(y) - 2\mu(y)f(\sigma(y)) - f(\sigma^{2}(y))|
$$
\n
$$
\leq (1 + M^{2})\alpha + (M^{3} + M^{2} + M + 2)\delta.
$$
\n(9.201)

Using the triangle inequality we deduce that

<span id="page-29-2"></span>
$$
|\beta^{2}(y)f(x)| \le |f(y) + 2\mu(y)f(\sigma(y)) + f(\sigma^{2}(y))|
$$
\n
$$
+ (M^{2} + 1)\alpha + (M^{3} + M^{2} + M + 2)\delta
$$
\n(9.202)

for all  $x$ ,  $y$  in  $S$ .

If  $\mu \neq 1$  then there exists  $y_0 \in S$  such that  $\beta(y_0) \neq 0$ . From [\(9.202\)](#page-29-2) we deduce if f is bounded. This completes the proof of Theorem 9.10. that f is bounded. This completes the proof of Theorem [9.10.](#page-26-5)

#### **References**

- <span id="page-30-13"></span>1. Aczél, J., Dhombres, J.: Functional Equations in Several Variables. With Applications to Mathematics, Information Theory and to the Natural and Social Sciences. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 31. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1989)
- <span id="page-30-1"></span>2. Aoki, T.: On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces. J. Math. Soc. Japan **2**, 64–66 (1950)
- <span id="page-30-2"></span>3. Bouikhalene, B., Elqorachi, E., Rassias, Th.M.: On the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation with a general involution. Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. **12**(2), 247–262 (2007)
- <span id="page-30-17"></span>4. Bouikhalene, B., Elqorachi, E., Redouani, A.: Hyers-Ulam stability of the generalierd quadratic functional equation in Amenables semigroups. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. (JIPAM) **8**(2), 18 pp. (2007). Article 56
- <span id="page-30-14"></span>5. Cholewa, P.W.: Remarks on the stability of functional equations. Aequationes Math. **27**, 76–86 (1984)
- <span id="page-30-15"></span>6. Czerwik, S.: On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **62**, 59–64 (1992)
- <span id="page-30-16"></span>7. Dilian, Y.: Contributions to the theory of functional equations. PhD Thesis, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada (2006)
- <span id="page-30-3"></span>8. Elqorachi, E., Rassias M.Th.: Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of trigonometric functional equations. Mathematics **6**(5), 11 pp. (2018)
- <span id="page-30-19"></span>9. Elqorachi, E., Manar, Y., Rassias, Th.M.: Hyers-Ulam stability of Wilson's functional equation. In: Pardalos, P.M., Rassias, Th.M. (eds.) Contributions in Mathematics and Engineering: Honor of Constantin Carathéodory. Springer, New York (2016)
- <span id="page-30-4"></span>10. Elqorachi, E., Redouani, A.: Solutions and stability of a variant of Wilson's functional equation. Proyecciones J. Math. **37**(2), 317–344 (2018)
- <span id="page-30-12"></span>11. Faiziev, V.A., Sahoo. P.K.: On the stability of Jensen's functional equation on groups. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) **117**, 31–48 (2007)
- <span id="page-30-5"></span>12. Forti, G.L.: Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations in several variables. Aequationes Math. **50**, 143–190 (1995)
- 13. Gadja, Z.: On stability of additive mapping. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **14**, 431–434 (1991)
- <span id="page-30-6"></span>14. Gavruta, P.: A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184**, 431–436 (1994)
- <span id="page-30-18"></span>15. Greenleaf, F.P.: Invariant Means on topological Groups and their Applications. Van Nostrand, New York (1969)
- <span id="page-30-0"></span>16. Hyers, D.H.: On the stability of the linear functional equation. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **27**, 222–224 (1941)
- <span id="page-30-7"></span>17. Hyers, D.H., Isac, G.I., Rassias, Th.M.: Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables. Birkhäuser, Basel (1998)
- <span id="page-30-10"></span>18. Jung, S-M.: Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jensen's equation and its application. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126**, 3137–3134 (1998)
- <span id="page-30-8"></span>19. Jung, S.-M.: Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 48. Springer, New York (2011)
- <span id="page-30-11"></span>20. Kim, J.H., The stability of d'Alembert and Jensen type functional equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **325**, 237–248 (2007)
- <span id="page-30-9"></span>21. Ng, C.T.: Jensen's functional equation on groups, III. Aequationes Math. **62**(1–2), 143–159 (2001)
- <span id="page-31-1"></span>22. Rassias, Th.M.: On the stability of linear mapping in Banach spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72**, 297–300 (1978)
- <span id="page-31-2"></span>23. Rassias, J.M.: On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings. J. Funct. Anal. **46**, 126–120 (1982)
- 24. Rassias, M.Th.: Solution of a functional equation problem of Steven Butler. Octogon Math. Mag. **12**, 152–153 (2004)
- <span id="page-31-4"></span>25. Stetkær, H.: Functional equations on abelian groups with involution. Aequationes Math. **54**, 144–172 (1997)
- <span id="page-31-3"></span>26. Stetkær, H.: Functional Equations on Groups. World Scientific Publishing, New Jersey (2013)
- <span id="page-31-7"></span>27. Stetkær, H.: D'Alember's functional equations on groups. Banach Cent. Publ. **99**, 173–191 (2013)
- <span id="page-31-5"></span>28. Stetkær, H.: A variant of d'Alembert's functional equation. Aequationes Math. **89**(3), 657–662 (2015)
- <span id="page-31-8"></span>29. Stetkær, H.: A note on Wilson's functional equation. Aequationes Math. **91**(5), 945–947 (2017)
- <span id="page-31-6"></span>30. Szekelyhidi, L.: Note on a stability theorem. Can. Math. Bull. **25**(4), 500–501 (1982)
- <span id="page-31-0"></span>31. Ulam, S.M.: A Collection of Mathematical Problems. Interscience Publications, New york (1961). Problems in Modern Mathematics (Wiley, New York, 1964)