

# **Molecular Mechanisms for Resistance** to Biotic Stresses

Vittoria Catara, Dai Suming and Panagiotis F. Sarris

### **Abstract**

Diverse pathogens including viruses, viroids, fungi, and bacteria are responsible of diseases on Citrus. Some of them in addition represent a treath to Citrus industry in some specific areas, others are either worldwide spread or have a restricted distribution. Breeding program searching for resistance to a given pathogen must take into consideration the nature of the interaction being studied. In addition a large number of data generated by sequencing projects will contribute to the identification of individual genes or groups of genes potentially associated with resistance to biotic and abiotic factors. This chapter introduce the molecular basis of plant resistance to innate

D. Suming Hunan Agricultural University, Changsha, China

P. F. Sarris Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, FORTH, Heraklion, Greece e-mail: [p.sarris@imbb.forth.gr](mailto:p.sarris@imbb.forth.gr)

School of Biosciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

Department of Biology, University of Crete, 714 09 Crete, Greece

immune response elicited by non-specific elicitors and how successful pathogens have evolved to evade them or trigger them later in the infection so that they become infective. The other paragraphs are dedicated to illustrating three important disease model studies caused by a fungus (Alternaria brown rot), an oomycete (Phythophthora root rot) and a virus (Citrus Tristeza).

## 16.1 Plant Diseases that Pose a Threat to Citrus Industry

Cultivated citrus species are susceptible to many diverse pathogens including viruses, viroids, fungi, and bacteria. Some of them are responsible of diseases considered the most limiting factors for the development of citrus industry in some specific areas, others are either worldwide spread or have a restricted distribution. Historically, Phytophthora and 'tristeza' diseases, are known since long time and have changed the citrus production systems to budding on rootstocks by the beginning of the nineteen-century (Moreno et al. [2008\)](#page-12-0). Since then many diseases and pests exclusively associated with the canopy (scion) or the root system (rootstock) have developed, along with those resulting from the interaction between them.

The progressive specialization of cultivation on a regional base and the use of monoclonal types of citrus were favorable conditions for the

V. Catara  $(\boxtimes)$ 

Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Catania, Catania, Italy e-mail: [vcatara@unict.it](mailto:vcatara@unict.it)

pathogens in different areas, and their spread increased by the huge increase of transportation and globalization. Nowadays climate changes are contributing in a great measure to further expand the world list of economically important and destructive citrus diseases. Amongst the most threaten are: Citrus variegated chlorosis (CVC); Citrus leprosis (CiL); Huanglonbing (HLB, previously known as greening); Citrus sudden death (CSD); Citrus bacterial canker (CBC); Citrus black spot and Alternaria brown spot (Timmer et al. [2000](#page-13-0)).

The narrow genetic base used by the citrus industry, the high genetic plasticity of citrus that allow them to adapt to different conditions, and vegetative propagation by buds and use of rootstocks from nucellar embryos are among the factors associated to severity of pests and diseases (Machado et al. [2011](#page-12-0)). Therefore, any breeding program searching for resistance to a given pathogen must take into consideration the nature of the interaction being studied. Several of them are of relevance due to the productivity losses they cause and the high cost of their control. The work on breeding for resistance and genetic mapping in different countries has been focused on different target according to regional relevance of citrus and specific problems. The largest interest has been devoted to destructive pest and pathogens, frequently included in the quarantine list of the Regional Plant Protection Services worldwide. The large number of data generated by sequencing projects has stimulated the use genotyping arrays to study the expression of thousands of genes, contributing to the identification of individual genes or groups of genes potentially associated with several metabolic pathways, resistance biotic and abiotic factors as well expression of QTL (Quantitative Trait Loci) at different environmental conditions. The integration of the database with the construction of arrays for gene expression studies is helpful to understand host-pathogen interactions and other traits of interest (Machado et al. [2011](#page-12-0); Gmitter et al. [2012](#page-11-0)).

This chapter first introduces the molecular basis of plant resistance to innate immune response elicited by non-specific elicitors and how successful pathogens have evolved to evade them or trigger them later in the infection so that they become infective. The other paragraphs are dedicated to illustrating three important disease model studies caused by a fungus (Alternaria brown rot), an oomycete (Phythophthora root rot) and a virus (Citrus Tristeza). An extensive literature review on the pathogen- citrus interaction was recently published by Dalio et al. ([2017\)](#page-10-0). Two important disease model studies caused by bacteria, namely Huanglongbing and CBC, will be deepen in separate chapters (Chaps. [14](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-15308-3_14) and [15\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-15308-3_15).

#### 16.2 Plant Resistance

## 16.2.1 Plant Innate Immunity—PRRs and PTI

The perception of environmental signals and the ability to respond accordingly are essential for all organisms in nature to survive. The innate immune system provides such abilities and protects organisms like plants and animals. Mammals have evolved a sophisticated adaptive immune system that relies on creation and selection of somatic diversity for recognition of pathogen-derived molecules. In contrast, plants rely on cell-autonomous innate immunity, activated upon pathogen detection by either cell surface or intracellular receptors. Plasma membrane located Pattern Recognition Receptors (PRRs) have evolved to detect specific molecular patterns that are interpreted by the plant cell as danger signals. These danger signals can be either infectious non-self determinants, such as microbe- or Pathogen Associated Molecular Patterns (PAMPs/MAMPs), or self-molecules, Damage Associated Molecular Patterns (DAMPs) that are released upon pathogen perception or pathogen-induced cell damage, and activate PAMP Triggered Immunity (PTI) (Macho and Zipfel [2014;](#page-12-0) Choi and Klessig [2016;](#page-10-0) Duxbury et al. [2016\)](#page-11-0). PTI regulates a wide array of responses, including regulation at a cell to organism level, aimed at hampering pathogen growth and disease progression. Early PTI events include the rapid generation of Reactive Oxygen

Species (ROS), the activation of Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinases (MAPKs), and the expression of immune-related genes (Macho and Zipfel [2014\)](#page-12-0). While plants defective in PTI signaling appear to be more susceptible to adapted but also non-adapted pathogens. This indicates that PTI is sufficient against the majority of the plant pathogenic microbes, while the best demonstration regarding its biological relevance is the necessity for adapted successful pathogens to interfere by the active suppression of this first layer of plant defence in order to cause disease (Macho and Zipfel [2014\)](#page-12-0). These are the so called Successful pathogens.

## 16.2.2 Plant Innate Immunity—NLRs and ETI

The successful pathogens have developed pathogenicity components (largely known as effector proteins) to block PTI. Plants from their side, carry a repertoire of intracellular Nucleotide Binding-Leucine Rich Repeat (NB-LRR or NBS-LRR or NLRs) receptor proteins, which structurally and functionally resemble mammalian Nod-like Receptors (NLRs) to recognise pathogen effectors (Jones and Dangl [2006](#page-11-0)). Plant genes that code NLR receptors are generally known as disease resistance  $(R)$  genes and their products directly or indirectly intracellularly detect pathogen effector proteins (Jones and Dangl [2006;](#page-11-0) Duxbury et al. [2016;](#page-11-0) Jones et al. [2016\)](#page-11-0). The importance of NLRs to plant defence is illustrated by the expanded complement of NLRs in plants compared to NLRs in most animals. For example, Arabidopsis thaliana carries  $\sim$  120 full length NLRs, rice carries  $\sim$  595 NLRs and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*)  $\sim$  1224 NLRs, while most mammals have  $\sim$  20 NLRs (Jacob et al. [2013](#page-11-0); Sarris et al. [2016\)](#page-12-0). NLRmediated defence is termed Effector-Triggered Immunity (ETI) and often concludes to the induction of the hypersensitive response (HR), a form of programmed cell death that restricts pathogen's spreading to neighbouring host tissues and biotrophic pathogen growth. For historical reasons, recognized effectors that trigger ETI are often referred to as avirulence (Avr) proteins. The main difference between plant NLRs and mammalian NLRs is that plant NLRs detect pathogen effector proteins, while mammalian NLRs recognize DAMPs or relatively conserved pathogen molecules such as flagellin or peptidoglycan (Eitas and Dangl [2010;](#page-11-0) Maekawa et al. [2012;](#page-12-0) Duxbury et al. [2016](#page-11-0); Mermigka et al. [2020](#page-12-0); Mermigka and Sarris, [2019\)](#page-12-0). The activation of plant and animal NLRs relies on a signal transduction ATPase with numerous domains (STAND) domain function, which is under strict control to prevent auto-activation (Leipe et al. [2004](#page-12-0); Duxbury et al. [2016](#page-11-0)). The NB domain of plant NLRs belongs to nucleotidebinding, Apaf-1, R-protein and CED-4 (NB-ARC) class (Williams et al. [2014\)](#page-13-0), and in the auto-inhibited or 'inactive' state, is proposed to be ADP-bound, while the exchange of ADP to ATP allows the NB-ARC domain to adopt an activated or 'active' state (Williams et al. [2014\)](#page-13-0). A strict regulation mechanism, which usually includes intra-molecular interaction with the LRR domain and other domains, keeps the NB-ARC domain in the 'inactive' state in the absence of microbial ligands, preventing auto-immunity (Maekawa et al. [2012](#page-12-0); Duxbury et al. [2016](#page-11-0)).

In plants there have been characterized two main groups of NLRs based on the N-terminal part of the protein. There are NLRs that either carry a Toll/Interleukin-1 receptor/Resistance protein domain (TIR) or a coiled-coil (CC) protein domain in their amino-terminal end. In mammalian NLRs the amino-terminal domain usually harbours a caspase-activation and recruitment domain (CARD), a pyrin domain (Pyr) or baculovirus inhibitor-of-apoptosis repeats (BIRs) (Maekawa et al. [2012;](#page-12-0) Duxbury et al. [2016](#page-11-0)). Both groups of plant NLRs are involved in pathogen recognition, but the two subfamilies have distinct genetic requirements and cluster separately in phylogenetic comparisons of their NB-ARC domains (Jones and Dangl [2006](#page-11-0); McHale et al. [2006;](#page-12-0) Andolfo et al. [2014](#page-10-0); Sarris et al. [2016\)](#page-12-0). It is noteworthy that TIR-NLR-triggered immunity is often attenuated or abolished at or above 28 °C (Dinesh-Kumar et al. [1995;](#page-10-0) Zhu et al. [2010;](#page-13-0) Heidrich et al. [2013\)](#page-11-0).

## 16.2.3 Plant Innate Immunity— Effectors Recognition 'Ligand and Guard/Decoy Models'

Although many NLR/effector protein pairs have been studied, the molecular mechanisms of effector perception by plant NLRs, such as precise knowledge of the interactions of protein domains, and mechanisms linking NLR/effector interaction with the activation of downstream resistance signalling pathways, remain unclear. In some cases, plant and animal NLRs function in pairs to mediate immune recognition (Narusaka et al. [2009](#page-12-0); Eitas and Dangl [2010;](#page-11-0) Williams et al. [2014;](#page-13-0) Sarris et al. [2015](#page-13-0); Saucet et al. [2015\)](#page-13-0). Activation of ETI can occur via direct physical interaction of an effector with a plant NLR receptor, ('the ligand-receptor model'), followed by defence activation, resulting in transcriptional re-programing (Keen [1990;](#page-11-0) Ravensdale et al. [2012](#page-12-0)). Few cases of direct NLR/effector interactions have been reported so far (Jia et al. [2000](#page-11-0); Dodds et al. [2006](#page-10-0); Krasileva et al. [2010;](#page-12-0) Catanzariti et al. [2010](#page-10-0); Cesari et al. [2013\)](#page-10-0). The 'guard' and 'decoy' models provide plausible explanations for recognition of multiple effectors. According to those models, some plant NLRs monitor the integrity of host proteins with which they associate. These NLRs 'guard' host proteins that are targets of effectors, or host proteins that resemble those targets (Duxbury et al. [2016](#page-11-0); Ntoukakis et al. [2014\)](#page-12-0).

# 16.2.4 Plant Innate Immunity— Effectors Recognition 'the Integrated Decoy Model'

Interestingly, several plant NLRs reveal fusions with unusual protein domains (e.g. the WRKY domain of RRS1 in Arabidopsis, the heavy-metal associated domain of RGA5 in rice, mitogenactivation protein kinase domain of AT4G12020 and Lim domain of CHS3 in Arabidopsis, and many more), while the roles of these domains in defence activation remain largely unknown (Meyers et al. [2003](#page-12-0); Nishimura and Dangl [2014;](#page-12-0) Sarris et al. [2016](#page-12-0)). The rice CC-NLR pair RGA4/RGA5 carries out recognition of two microbial effectors, AVR-Pia and AVR1-CO39, by direct interaction with RGA5 through a small unusual protein domain located at the C-terminal region, related to heavy metal–associated domains (Cesari et al. [2013\)](#page-10-0). However, the exact mechanism of perception and defence activation remains unknown. Notably, some of these extraneous protein domains have evolved by duplication of pathogen effector target proteins and have been incorporated into plant NLR immune receptors (Sarris et al. [2016](#page-12-0)). Such an NLR is the Arabidopsis RRS1 that contains a WRKY domain fused to its C-terminus (Narusaka et al. [2009;](#page-12-0) Sarris et al. [2015](#page-13-0); Saucet et al. [2015\)](#page-13-0). An early hypothesis relating to RRS1 is that it represents a protein that has the capability to recognize, signal and activate defense through the binding of its WRKY domain to DNA (Narusaka et al. [2009](#page-12-0)). PopP2, an acetyltransferase effector from Ralstonia solanacearum, interacts with this C-terminal domain (Deslandes et al. [2003\)](#page-10-0). RRS1 is encoded in the genome in a head-to-head orientation with RPS4, which encodes another phylogenetically distinct TIR-NLR required for RRS1-dependent effectors' recognition. This raises the question of whether RRS1 acquired the WRKY domain exclusively as a decoy against interference with other WRKY transcription factors that are involved in defence (Eulgem and Somssich [2007\)](#page-11-0). The gene pair RPS4/RRS1 also confers recognition of the Pseudomonas effector AvrRps4 and an unknown factor from Colletotrichum higginsianum (Birker et al. [2009\)](#page-10-0). This is consistent with the theory that limited number of host components is differentially targeted by different pathogens (Sarris et al. [2016\)](#page-12-0).

Two breakthrough studies concerning NLRs with integrated domains have led to new conjecture regarding the role of these domains (Sarris et al.  $2015$ ; Le Roux et al.  $2015$ ). These works together show that PopP2 can bind and acetylate the WRKY domain of RRS1, reducing its DNA binding activity and triggering HR. Furthermore, Sarris et al. ([2015\)](#page-13-0) show that the P. syringae effector AvrRps4 can also bind to RRS1's WRKY domain and trigger HR. These studies also show how these effector proteins can associate with multiple WRKY transcription factors. A remaining puzzle in the field of plant NLRs with integrated domains (NLR-IDs) is whether or not the IDs are true decoys or participates as functional domains (e.g. real WRKY transcription factor in RRS1) in the plant physiology that occurs subsequent to activation. However, there are scientific opinions suggesting that this must be considered the endgame of the guard model whereby the guard and guardee become linked genetically to ensure adaptive coevolution and eventually fuse to become one unit.

## 16.2.5 Plant Innate Immunity—Citrus spp NLRsomes

Citrus species are amongst the most important fruit trees and have been cultivated for more than 4000 years (Barrett and Rhodes [1976](#page-10-0); Scora [1975;](#page-13-0) Wang et al. [2015](#page-13-0)). Molecular markers analysis and phylogeny showed that cultivated Citrus species (sweet orange, grapefruit, and lemon) are derived from three original cultivated Citrus species: C. medica (citron), C. reticulata (mandarin) and C. maxima (pummelo) (Wang et al. [2015\)](#page-13-0). A recent work deals with the identification and comparison of the NB-ARC domain-containing genes from three Citrus genomes: C. clementina, C. sinensis from USA and C. sinensis from China. The authors describe the identification of similar numbers of NBS domain-containing genes amongst these three genomes. The authors describe the identification of 618, 650 and 508 NLR genes from C. clementina, C. sinensis China and C. sinensis USA genomes respectively (Wang et al. [2015\)](#page-13-0). While, a recent and yet unpublished genome analysis performed by this chapter's author (Sarris P. F. and Pavlidis P. et al. unpublished data) based on the NCBI deposited Citrus genomes, reveal the presence of 623 NLRs in Citrus clementina cv Clemenules (NCBI BioProjects: PRJNA232045, PRJNA223006); 813 NLRs in Valencia sweet orange (NCBI BioProjects: PRJNA225998, PRJNA86123) and 491 NLRs in Miyagawa wase satsuma (NCBI BioProject: PRJDB5882). Further studies are on-going regarding the identification and the phylogenetic analysis of Citrus NLRs that carry integrated domains (Citrus NLR-IDs).

## 16.3 Disease Case Studies

#### 16.3.1 Phythophthora Diseases

Phythophthora spp. are responsible of serious soil borne diseases of citrus, including damping off in the seedbed, root and crown rot in nurseries, foot rot and brown rot of fruits, causing significant economic losses to citrus industry. After the severe outbreak of the nineteen-century citrus propagation system moved to the use of the resistant rootstock sour orange. The most prevalent species include: P. boehmeriae, P. cactorum, P. capsici, P. cinnamomi, P. citrophthora, P. drechsleri, P. hibernalis, P. megasperma, P. palmivora, P. nicotianae (Panabieres et al. [2016](#page-12-0)).

P. nicotianae and P. citrophthora cause severe damage in citrus nurseries and orchards worldwide. P. citrophthora is mostly associated to trunk gummosis, whereas P. nicotianae is associated to root rot (Panabieres et al. [2016\)](#page-12-0). Root rot and crown rot are critical for the grove survival (Graham and Menge [2000](#page-11-0)). Citrus rootstocks show a different level of sensitivity to Phytophthora spp. Almost all varieties are susceptible to gummosis, from highly susceptible (C. sunki) to resistant (P. trifoliata). Some hybrids of C. sinensis x P. trifoliata are resistant to P. citrophthora and less resistant to P. nicotianae.

Several studies investigated the hemibiotroph behavior of P. nicotianae that establishes itself in host tissues as a biotroph and once inside switch to a necrotrophic phase of growth. Recently reviewed by Dalio et al. [\(2018](#page-10-0)) there are old and new evidences of the mechanisms that are involved in the resistance to Phytophthora susceptible. A correlation was found between phytoalexin production by the pathogen and the ability of P. trifoliata and Swingle citrumelo rootstocks to regenerate roots from the tip of the infected roots themselves. The phytoalexins escoparone and esculin have been detected in the bark and roots of citrus in response to infection by P. citrophthora and P. nicotianae associated to the inhibition of the pathogens. Escoparone accumulation was faster in the resistant cultivars.

Studies of P. nicotianae transcriptome in order to understand the basis of citrus gummosis, have identified genes that encode cell wall degrading proteins, such as phospholipases, glucanases and endopolygalacturonases, elicitins (ELI), effectors that induce necrosis in plants, such as crinkling and necrosis-inducers (CRN) and necrosis inducing proteins (NIP) (Rosa et al. [2007\)](#page-12-0). Ten different elicitin classes of parasiticein, differently expressed, have been identified in vitro in P. parasitica (Panabières et al. [2005\)](#page-12-0). In P. parasitica—citrus interaction in susceptible cultivars elicitins are up-regulated at the later stages of infection, associated to tissue necrosis (Boava et al. [2011a,](#page-10-0) [b](#page-10-0)).

An increase in expression of effectors was detected in the late stage of infection in the susceptible *C. sunki* rootstock (susceptible) (Dalio et al. [2018\)](#page-10-0). Phytophthora species are able to secrete two types of effectors related to their localization in plant tissues: the apoplastic or extracellular effectors, such as elicitins and NPPlike effectors; and cytoplasmic effectors, such RxRL and Crinkler effectors (CRNs), which possess special amino acid motifs in their structure enabling their entry inside cells independent of the presence of the pathogen (Hogenhout et al. [2009;](#page-11-0) Kamoun [2009\)](#page-11-0).

Researches involving the model P. trifoliata (resistant) and C. sunki (susceptible) rootstocks, showed that defense genes, such as pathogenicityrelated genes with anti-oomycete properties, and

genes that act in plant water conductivity, are activated in the host in response to infection by the pathogen through signal transduction chains. These genes are also regulated by plant hormones, including salicylic acid (SA) and abscisic acid  $(ABA)$  (Boava et al. [2011a](#page-10-0), [b\)](#page-10-0). In deep, the studies have reported the changes in global gene expression profiles and have shown differentially expressed genes involved in several processes, such as cell defense, photosynthesis and carbohydrate metabolism (Boava et al. [2011a](#page-10-0), [b](#page-10-0)). An increase in expression of above-mentioned effectors was observed in late stages of infection by Phytophthora spp., when pathogens enter the necrotrophic stage and promote hypersensitive response (HR) and necrosis in tissues of susceptible plant varieties, including citrus (Boava et al. [2011a](#page-10-0); Oßwald et al. [2014](#page-12-0)) and more specifically C. sunki rootstock (susceptible) (Dalio et al. [2018\)](#page-10-0).

Pathogenesis-related proteins (PRs) PR1, PR2 and PR5, which are responsive to salicylic acid (SA), have anti-oomycete properties, contributing directly to the defense against Phytophthora spp. (Dalio et al. [2017\)](#page-10-0). The evaluation of the response to P. nicotianae infection in the susceptible  $(C. \text{ sunk})$  and resistant  $(P. \text{trifoliata})$ genotypes of citrus showed that PR genes such as PR1, PR2, PR3 and PR5 were more up-regulated in P. trifoliata than in Sunki tangerine. This result suggests the involvement of these transcripts in mechanisms of resistance to citrus gummosis (Boava et al. [2011b\)](#page-10-0). In addition, Boava et al. ([2011b\)](#page-10-0) showed that the expression of POX genes, others related to PR, and lipoxygenase (LOX), a gene that has been widely associated with plant defense against pathogens and both were over expressed in the resistant rootstock at later stages of infection, compared to the susceptible ones. ESTs from citrus species such as C. sinensis, C. clementina and P. trifoliata under various conditions, including biotic stresses in the CitEST project (Guidetti-Gonzalez and Carrer [2007\)](#page-11-0) helped to identify a gene of the type TIRNBS-LRR, named RPS protein 4 (RPS4), and another gene named late embryogenesis abundant 5 (LEA5), both responsive to abscisic acid (ABA), had an increase in gene expression in resistant hybrids of P. trifoliata (resistant parent) and C. sunki (susceptible parent) after infection by the hemibiotrophic pathogen P. nicotianae and that are differentially expressed between the resistant and susceptible parent (Boava et al. [2011a\)](#page-10-0).

## 16.3.2 Alternaria Brown Spot of Tangerines

Two different pathotypes of fungus Alternaria alternata, the 'tangerine pathotype' and the 'rough lemon pathotype' are causal agents of Alternaria Brown Spot (ABS) an important disease of tangerines and their hybrids and of a similar disease that affects only rough lemon and Rangpur lime, respectively (Timmer et al. [2000](#page-13-0), [2003\)](#page-13-0). ABS affecting leaves, twigs and fruit have a large economic impact on tangerines and hybrids cultivation. Symptoms on young leaves and young shoots appear as brown to black spots and could be surrounded in the leaves by a yellow halo; affected leaves often abscise. Brown to black lesions tiny to large crater-like lesions develop on fruits.

The disease cycle is simple since there is no teleomorph known for A. alternata (Timmer et al. [2003](#page-13-0)). Conidia are produced primarily on the surface of lesions on mature or senescent leaves and on blighted twigs when lightly moistened or at high humidity and also wind dispersed.

The host specificity of the tangerine and rough lemon pathotypes of A. alternata depends upon the production of host-specific toxins (HSTs) that are also responsible for necrotrophic colonization and that possess the same selectivity as the pathogens (reviewed by Tsuge et al. [2013\)](#page-13-0). Most HSTs are considered to be pathogenicity factors, required to invade tissue and induce disease and determines the host range of toxin-producing pathogens. Alternaria pathotypes produce HSTs which are diverse ranged chemical compounds, from low molecular weighted peptides to cyclic peptides. The toxin from the rough lemon pathotype was named ACR or ACRL-toxin, and that from the tangerine pathotype was named ACT-toxin (Miyamomto et al. [2010\)](#page-12-0). The toxin

is released during the germination of conidia, and rapidly affects the plasma membrane integrity of susceptible host cells. ACT-toxin causes veinal necrosis and electrolyte leakage from susceptible leaves but not on resistant leaves (Kohmoto et al. [1993\)](#page-11-0). The mode of action of ACT toxin is still uncertain, but a rapid loss of electrolytes from leaf tissues and ultrastructural changes of cells treated with the toxin indicated that the primary action site of ACT-toxin was likely the plasmamembrane.

Although HSTs are responsible for pathogenicity a number of studies have investigated the role of other potential virulence factors. A mutant of Citrus Alternaria depleted in endopolygalacturonase (endoPG) production, important for fungal penetration, endopolygalacturonase showed reduced ABS symptoms (Isshiki et al. [2001](#page-11-0)). Fruits of Fortune mandarin, Citrus limon and Citrus paradisi, inoculated with A. alternata showed a degradative metabolism of flavonoids (flavanones, flavones and polymethoxyflavones) and *de novo* synthesis of the phytoalexin caused by an extracellular fungus laccase. Study of the substrate specificity of this enzyme revealed that flavonoids are substrates of A. alternata laccase suggesting its role in pathogenesis.

Citrus genotypes have been tested in order to evaluate the resistance to the fungus. In the overall more studies defined that clementine, Willowleaf and satsuma mandarins are resistant whereas genotypes Dancy and Fortune mandarins, Orlando, Minneola and Nova tangelos and the Murcott tangor are susceptible. Diploid progeny analysis suggested that inheritance of ABS resistance in citrus is controlled by a single recessive allele. Therefore, resistant cultivars are considered to be recessive homozygous for this locus, whereas susceptible cultivars could be heterozygous or homozygous dominant. Since the single locus inheritance of resistance, segregation is expected therefore markers for assisted selection were investigated.

In a recent study, a region containing the socalled ABSr locus, near the centromere on chromosome III using bulked-segregant and halftetrad analyses from triploid populations was located (Cuenca et al. [2013](#page-10-0)). This region was flanked by a Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) marker (TTC8) and a Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) marker (CiC3248-06), found at 3.77 and 1.71 cM from the ABSr locus, respectively, delimiting a 3.3 Mb genome region. Moreover, no recombination was observed between another SSR marker (AT21) and the ABSr locus. This locus seemed to be included in a genomic region very rich in disease resistance homologous genes.

In a further study Cuenca et al. [\(2016](#page-10-0)) fine mapped the ABSr locus on LG III of the clementine's genetic map, using a 268-diploid progeny arising from a heterozygous susceptible  $\times$  resistant hybridization, and identified candidate genes for resistance. This study also allowed to develop SNP molecular markers for efficient Marker Assisted Selection in citrus breeding programs.

Cuenca et al. [\(2016](#page-10-0)) limited the candidate region containing the ABSr locus to 1.5 cM flanked by two SNP markers at 1.1 and 0.4 cM, corresponding to 366 kb in the clementine reference genome (between positions 25.496.094 and 25.862.085 in the chromosome III). This region contained eight genes harboring NBS-LRR repeats and, candidate as genes for ABS resistance. Among the identified resistance genes, Ciclev10018637 and Ciclev10023511 encode for a Leucine-Rich Repeat (LRR) receptor-like protein with serine/threonine kinase domain. LRR receptor-like kinases (LRR-RLK) have a central role in signaling during pathogen recognition and activation of plant defense mechanisms, and developmental control. Both genes were mapped very close to the most significant SNP related to ABS resistance (SNP08). Another strong candidate for ABS resistance found within the region of interest and close to the SNP08 was the gene Ciclev10024361, encoding for an S-adenosyl-L-methionine dependent methyltransferases superfamily protein, with thiopurine S-methyltransferase superfamily protein. This gene is a good target for achieving resistance against necrotrophic pathogens, and therefore, for resistance to ABS.

Numerous genes involved in resistance to necrotrophs studied in other pathosystems were blasted against the citrus genome identifying many putative hortologues many of which located in chromosome III, although outside the region of interest, which deserve further attention (Cuenca et al. [2016\)](#page-10-0). However, the study of the strongest candidate genes for ABS resistance in Citrus, Ciclev10018637, Ciclev10023511, and Ciclev10024361 could allow a good starting point to determine whether these genes are really involved in the Alternaria-citrus interaction.

In the same study it was determined that a single SNP marker, SNP08 flanking the ABSr locus, could discriminate the susceptible from the resistant genotypes. The SNP marker linked with the dominant susceptible allele of the ABSr locus is G.

#### 16.3.3 Citrus Tristeza

Citrus tristeza is caused by the Citrus Tristeza Virus (CTV, Closteroviridae): a pathogen that changed the course of the citrus industry (Moreno et al. [2008\)](#page-12-0). CTV can cause any of four distinct syndromes in citrus plants, depending on the virus isolate and the scion/rootstock combination. Decline (D-CTV) is a bud union disease that develops only in susceptible scion/rootstock combinations, when grafted on sour orange rootstock. The observed decline can be extremely rapid ('quick decline'), with wilting and death of trees occurring within a few days or weeks, or it can be a slower process, occurring over months or even years. Stem pitting (SP-CTV) is induced by an aberrant cambium development, resulting in pits in the wood, which reduces the plant growth, the size of the fruit and productivity, irrespective of the rootstock, and can affect both rootstock and grafted varieties. Seedling yellows (CTV-SY), characterized by stunting and leaf chlorosis, affects only sour orange, lemon and grapefruit. A fourth form of disease is associated to a complete lack of symptoms on most hosts, except for vein clearing and stem pitting, when the virus multiplies to high titers (Dawson et al. [2013\)](#page-10-0). CTV decline is kept under control by

using resistant rootstocks, while stem pitting is mitigated by cross protection using weak strains of the virus.

CTV is a positive-sense single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) virus, member of the genus Closterovirus, with a complex genome (19.3 kb RNA divided into 12 ORFs and two UTRs), causing serious economic losses to citrus industry worldwide. CTV is a phloem-associated virus. It replicates in the cytoplasm of companion or phloem parenchyma cells of its hosts. It is grafttransmitted through the vegetative multiplication of infected host plants and by aphids in a semipersistent manner (Moreno et al. [2008](#page-12-0)). Following the most recent review of current knowledge on CTV, the large number of isolates reported have been grouped into strains (Harper [2013\)](#page-11-0). Their characterization is a prerequisite for a reliable control, breeding and surveillance program. Sequencing and biological assays demonstrates that CTV isolates assigned to a strain can differ remarkably in their phenotypes and infected plants may contain a pool of sequence variants from a single strain or several strains, resulting in isolates with mixed virus populations (Harper [2013\)](#page-11-0). As stated by EFSA Opinion on CTV (EFSA PLH Panel [2017\)](#page-11-0) 'a combination of biological, molecular and, possibly, serological data are needed for a conclusive characterization of the genetic and pathogenic features of a CTV isolate'.

#### Natural resistance

Host interference in CTV infection mechanisms is dependent on the citrus genotype. The virus systemically infects its hosts using only the longdistance movement from source-to-sink, while cell-to-cell movement is absent or limited to only small clusters of adjacent cells (Folimonova et al. [2008\)](#page-11-0), likely related to the interaction of virus gene products with specific hosts (Dawson et al. [2013\)](#page-10-0). Citrus species counteract the attack of CTV by RNA silencing, a central host defense reducing viral degradation to contain replication and restrict the virus to phloem cells. The p20 and CP proteins overwhelm intercellular silencing while p20 and p23 suppress intracellular silencing (Lu et al. [2004\)](#page-12-0).

The constitutive expression of p23 appears to be responsible of the CTV titer in sour orange, allowing the virus to escape from the phloem in sour orange and sweet orange (Fagoaga et al. [2011\)](#page-11-0). Nevertheless, it has been observed that viral replication and infection are not completely blocked, and the virus and its hosts reach a balance so that the virus remains in the host without causing severe symptoms or plant death. Other non-conserved genes-p33, p18 and p13-are necessary in different combinations for movement and overcoming host resistance (Dawson et al. [2013\)](#page-10-0). The balance between expression of the effectors induces substantial changes in the severity of symptoms (Tatineni and Dawson [2012\)](#page-13-0), or in the accumulation of miRNAs (Ruiz-Ruiz et al. [2011](#page-12-0)) and alters the plant small RNA regulatory pathway, resulting in symptom expression.

In a broad sense most Citrus species as seedlings are tolerant to the disease, despite some low symptoms. A variable degree of natural CTV resistance had been found in some citrus and related genotypes, including C. maxima, C. aurantium, Atlantia ceylanica, Fortunella crassifolia, Poncirus trifoliata, Severinia buxifo-lia, and Swinglea glutinosa (Garnsey et al. [1987](#page-11-0), [1997;](#page-11-0) Ghosh et al. [2014;](#page-11-0) Bernet et al. [2004](#page-10-0), [2008;](#page-10-0) Yoshida et al. [1983](#page-13-0); Mestre et al. [1997](#page-12-0)). However, their resistance is not absolute, and depend on the CTV isolates tested (Garnsey et al. [1997](#page-11-0); Dawson and Mooney [2000\)](#page-10-0). P. trifoliata is resistant to most CTV isolates, and used as rootstock to effectively control the damage caused by seeding-yellow and decline-inducing CTV strains, but is susceptible to stem-pitting and resistant breaking isolates that can overcome this resistance, and are able to replicate and systemically invade resistant plants (Harper [2013\)](#page-11-0). In citrus production area with P. trifoliata as rootstock, it is necessary to be on guard against occurrence of stem-pitting strain.

Being only P. trifoliata sexually compatible with citrus, many  $Citrus \times Poncirus$  hybrids have been developed by conventional breeding. Some hybrids showing resistance to CTV have been widely used as rootstocks for citrus tree, such as Swingle citrumelo (C. paradisi  $\times$  P. trifoliata) (Castle et al. [1993](#page-10-0)), Carrizo citrange (C. sinensis  $\times$  *P. trifoliata*) (Castle and Tucker [1998\)](#page-10-0), US-812 (C. reticulata  $\times$  P. trifoliata) (Bowman and Rouse [2006](#page-10-0)). While have no way to be used as scion cultivar because the coincident introgression of some undesirable traits from *P. trifoliata*. Moreover, conventional breeding of citrus has many problems including inbreeding depression, polyembryony, and long juvenility period, indicating its application in scion improvement for CTV resistance seems practically impossible.

The upsurge of genetic transformation of resistant gene has raised hope to overcome the shortcomings of conventional breeding in scion improvement. Since the resistance of P. trifoliata was conferred by a single dominant Mendelian gene designated Ctv (Gmitter et al. [1996](#page-11-0); Fang et al. [1998](#page-11-0)), which induces broad-spectrum resistance to most CTV isolates. Apparently, it works by confining the movement of the virus to the root cells. Sequence analysis of the Ctv genomic region show CTV resistance is in a 121 kb region comprising ten genes (Rai [2006](#page-12-0)) and another different linkage group (Mestre et al. [1997\)](#page-12-0). Grapefruit plants transformed with some of these ten candidate Ctv-R genes showed different levels of resistance: lack of infection, slow spread or initial infection followed by its abortion (Rai [2006\)](#page-12-0). Despite a CC-NB-LRR R protein has been characterized the corresponding Avr CTV gene is still unknown (Rai [2006](#page-12-0)) and some of the viral proteins recognized by NB-LRR are not VSRs (de Ronde et al. [2014\)](#page-10-0). Recently, the researches of Sahin-Cevik et al. ([2014\)](#page-12-0) and Gomez-Munoz et al. ([2017\)](#page-11-0) revealed WRKY transcription factors, the RNA silencing and salicylic acid defense pathways may play role in CTV resistance of citrus, which provide reference for identification of the key resistance gene in future.

#### Virus-driven resistance

Citrus plants can obtain virus resistance by the infection of a mild strain of the same virus in advance. This virus-derived resistance is called cross protection, which has been applied in CTV control. Several citrus producer countries have successfully isolated mild strains protective against severe SP-CTV isolates, including Brazil, South Africa, Australia, Japan and China (Costa and Muller [1980](#page-10-0); Van Vuuren et al. [1993;](#page-13-0) Broadbent et al. [1991](#page-10-0); Zhou et al. [2008](#page-13-0)). In Brazil, the protective CTV isolates developed by Muller and Costa have proven highly effective for over 40 years, and have been established in more than 80 million Pera trees as preimmunization (Zanutto et al. [2013\)](#page-13-0). Zhou et al. [\(2002](#page-13-0)) found CTV cross protection is caused by post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS), and its efficiency depend on the similarity between mild and severe virus strains in genetic background. A super infection exclusion mechanism has been shown in homologous genotypes inside the strain T36 (Folimonova [2013\)](#page-11-0). It is possible that cross protection could be influenced and even broken by the change of host and field environment (Powell et al. [1992](#page-12-0); Scott et al. [2013\)](#page-13-0), which limit the development of this control strategy.

Another virus-driven resistance just like a simplified cross protection, is conferred by viral genes or sequences which are transformed into plant genome by genetic engineering. Some transgenic citrus lines with CTV major coat protein gene (p25), exhibited protection against CTV, but some others were susceptible (Dominguez et al. [2002\)](#page-10-0). Similar results were also observed in transgenic citrus lines with other CTV genes. This resistance difference among transgenic lines have relationship with the accumulation of transgene-derived siRNA (Fagoaga et al. [2006;](#page-11-0) Lopez et al. [2010\)](#page-12-0), indicating the second virus-derived resistance is also caused by PTGS. To directly utilize PTGS as means to impart CTV resistance into citrus plants, transformed vectors with stem-loop structure were designed to attenuate or block virus gene expression. Soler et al.  $(2012)$  $(2012)$  found the stemloop structure targeting simultaneously the three viral silencing suppressors (p20, p25 and p23) may achieve full resistance to CTV in citrus.

## <span id="page-10-0"></span>References

- Andolfo G, Jupe F, Witek K, Etherington GJ, Ercolano MR, Jones JDG (2014) Defining the full tomato NB-LRR resistance gene repertoire using genomic and cDNA RenSeq. BMC Plant Biol 14:1–12
- Barrett HC, Rhodes AM (1976) A numerical taxonomic study of affinity relationships in cultivated citrus and its close relatives. Syst Bot 1:105–136
- Bernet GP, Breto MP, Asins MJ (2004) Expressed sequence enrichment for candidate gene analysis of Citrus Tristeza Virus resistance. Theor Appl Genet 108:592–602
- Bernet GP, Gorris MT, Carbonell EA, Cambra M, Asins MJ (2008) Citrus tristeza virus resistance in a core collection of sour orange based on a diversity study of three germplasm collections using QTLlinked markers. Plant Breed 127:398–406
- Birker DHK, Takahara H, Narusaka M, Deslandes L, Narusaka Y, Reymond M, Parker JE, O'Connell R (2009, Nov) A locus conferring resistance to Colletotrichum higginsianum is shared by four geographically distinct Arabidopsis accessions. Plant J 60 (4):602–613
- Boava LP, Cristofani-Yaly M, Mafra VS et al (2011a) Global gene expression of Poncirus trifoliata, Citrus sunki and their hybrids under infection of Phytophthora parasitica. BMC Genom 12:39
- Boava LP, Cristofani-Yaly M, Stuart RM, Machado MA (2011b) Expression of defense-related genes in response to mechanical wounding and Phytophthora parasitica infection in Poncirus trifoliata and Citrus sunki. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 76:119–125
- Bowman KD, Rouse RE (2006) US-812 citrus rootstock. HortScience 41:832–836
- Broadbent, P, Bevington, KB, Coote BG (1991) Control of stem pitting of grapefruit in Australia by mild strain protection. In: Proceedings of the 11th conference of the international organization of citrus virologists, pp 64–70
- Castle WS, Tucker DPA (1998) Florida citrus rootstocks selection guide. In University of Florida Corporation Extension. University of Florida, Gainesville, FL
- Castle WS, Tucker DPH, Krezdorn AH, Youtsey CO (1993) Rootstocks for Florida citrus. University of Florida, IFAS, Gainesville, FL
- Catanzariti AM, Dodds PN, Ve T, Kobe B, Ellis JG, Staskawicz BJ (2010) The AvrM effector from flax rust has a structured C-terminal domain and interacts directly with the M resistance protein. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 23:49–57
- Cesari S, Thilliez G, Ribot C, Chalvon V, Michel C, Jauneau A, Rivas S, Alaux L, Kanzaki H, Okuyama Y et al (2013) The rice resistance protein pair RGA4/RGA5 recognizes the Magnaporthe oryzae effectors AVR-Pia and AVR1-CO39 by direct binding. Plant Cell 25:1463–1481
- Choi HW, Klessig DF (2016) DAMPs, MAMPs, and NAMPs in plant innate immunity. BMC Plant Biol 16:232
- Costa AS, Muller GW (1980) Tristeza control by cross protection. Plant Dis 64:538–541
- Cuenca J, Aleza P, Vicent A, Brunel D, Ollitrault P, and Navarro L (2013) Genetically based location from triploid populations and gene ontology of a 3.3-Mb genome region linked to Alternaria brown spot resistance in citrus reveal clusters of resistance genes. PLoS ONE 8:e76755. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0076755) [pone.0076755](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0076755)
- Cuenca J, Aleza P, Garcia-Lor A, Ollitrault P, Navarro L (2016) Fine mapping for identification of citrus alternaria brown spot candidate resistance genes and development of new SNP markers for marker-assisted selection. Front Plant Sci 7:1948. [https://doi.org/10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01948) [3389/fpls.2016.01948](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01948)
- Dalio RJD, Magalhães DM, Rodrigues CM, Arena GD, Oliveira TS, Souza-Neto RR et al (2017) PAMPs, PRRs, effectors and R-genes associated with citrus– pathogen interactions. Ann Bot 119:749–774. PMID: 28065920
- Dalio RJD, Máximo HJ, Oliveira TS, Azevedo TM, Felizatti HL, Campos MA, Machado MA (2018) Molecular basis of Citrus sunki susceptibility and Poncirus trifoliata resistance upon Phytophthora parasitica attack. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 31:386–398
- Dawson TE, Mooney PA (2000) Evidence for trifoliate resistance breaking isolates of citrus tristeza virus in New Zealand. In: Fourteenth IOCV conference, pp 69–76
- Dawson WO, Garnsey SM, Tatineni S, Folimonova SY, Harper SJ, Gowda S (2013, May 14) Citrus tristeza virus-host interactions. Front Microbiol. 4:88. [https://](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00088) [doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00088.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00088) PMID: 23717303; PMCID: PMC3653117
- de Ronde D, Butterbach P, Kormelink R (2014) Dominant resistance against plant viruses. Front Plant Sci 5:30. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00307](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00307)
- Deslandes L, Olivier J, Peeters N, Feng DX, Khounlotham M, Boucher C et al (2003) Physical interaction between RRS1-R, a protein conferring resistance to bacterial wilt, and PopP2, a type III effector targeted to the plant nucleus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:8024– 8029
- Dinesh-Kumar SP, Whitham S, Choi D, Hehl R, Corr C, Baker B (1995) Transposon tagging of tobacco mosaic virus resistance gene N: its possible role in the TMV-N-mediated signal transduction pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92(10):4175–4180
- Dodds PN, Lawrence GJ, Catanzariti AM, Teh T, Wang C-I, Ayliffe MA et al (2006) Direct protein interaction underlies gene-for-gene specificity and coevolution of the flax resistance genes and flax rust avirulence genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:8888-8893
- Dominguez A, Hermoso de Mendoza A, Guerri J, Cambra M, Navarro L, Moreno P, Pena L (2002)

<span id="page-11-0"></span>Pathogen derived resistance to citrus tristeza virus (CTV) in transgenic Mexican lime (Citrus aurantifolia (Christ. Swing.) plants expressing its p25 coat protein gene. Mol Breeding 10:1–10

- Duxbury Z, Ma Y, Furzer OJ, Huh SU, Cevik V, Jones JD, Sarris PF (2016) Pathogen perception by NLRs in plants and animals: parallel worlds. BioEssays 38(8):769–781
- EFSA PLH (EFSA Panel on Plant Health), Jeger M, Bragard C, Caffier D, Dehnen-Schmutz K, Gilioli G, Gregoire J-C, Ja ques Miret JA, MacLeod A, Navajas Navarro M, Niere B, Parnell S, Potting R, Rafoss T, Rossi V, Urek G, Van Bruggen A, Van der Werf W, West J, Chatzivassiliou E, Winter S, Catara A, Duran-Vila N, Hollo G, Candresse T (2017) Scientific opinion on the pest categorisation of Citrus tristeza virus (non-European isolates). EFSA J 15(10):5031, 29. [https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.5031](http://dx.doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.5031)
- Eitas TK, Dangl JL (2010) NB-LRR proteins: pairs, pieces, perception, partners, and pathways. Curr Opin Plant Biol 13(4):472–477
- Eulgem T, Somssich IE (2007) Networks of WRKY transcription factors in defense signaling. Curr Opin Plant Biol 10(4):366–371
- Fagoaga C, Lopez C, de Mendoza AH, Moreno P, Navarro L, Flores R, Pena L (2006) Posttranscriptional gene silencing of the p23 silencing suppressor of Citrus tristeza virus confers resistance to the virus in transgenic Mexican lime. Plant Mol Biol 60:153–165
- Fagoaga C, Pensabene-Bellavia G, Moreno P, Navarro L, Flores R, and Peña L (2011) Ectopic expression of the p23 protein of Citrus tristeza virus differentially modifies viral accumulation and tropism in two transgenic woody hosts. Mol Plant Pathol 12:898–910
- Folimonova SY (2013) Developing an understanding of cross-protection by Citrus tristeza virus. Front Microbiol. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00076](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00076)
- Folimonova SY, Folomonov AS, Satyanarayana T, Dawson T (2008) Citrus tristeza virus: survival at the edge of the movement continuum. J Virol 82:6546–6556
- Fang DQ, Federici CT, Roose ML (1998) A highresolution linkage map of the citrus tristeza virus resistance gene region in Poncirus trifoliata (L.) Raf. Genetics 150:883–890
- Garnsey SM, Barrett HC, Hutchison DJ (1987) Identification of citrus tristeza virus resistance in citrus relatives and potential applications. Phytophylactica 19:187–191
- Garnsey SM, Su H, Tsai M (1997) Differential susceptibility of pummelo and Swingle citrumelo to isolates of citrus tristeza virus. In: Da Graca J, Moreno P, Yokomi R (eds) Proceedings of the 3rd conference of the international organization of citrus virologists (IOCV), Riverside, CA, pp 38–146
- Ghosh A, Das A, Meena R, Baranwal VK (2014) Evidence for resistance to Citrus tristeza virus in pomelo (Citrus maxima Merr.) grown in Darjeeling and Sikkim hillsof India. Phytoparasitica 42: 503–508
- Gmitter FG Jr, Chen C, Machado MA, Alves de Souza A, Ollitrault P, Froehlicher Y, Shimizu T (2012) Citrus genomics. Tree Genet Genomes 8:611–626
- Gmitter FG, Xiao SY, Huang S, Hu XL, Garnsey SM, Deng Z (1996) A localized linkage map of the citrus tristeza virus resistance gene region. Theor Appl Genet 92:688–695
- Gomez-Munoz N, Velazquez K, Vives MC, Guerri J (2017) The resistance of sour orange to Citrus tristeza virus is mediated by both the salycilic acid and the RNA silencing defense pathways. Mol Plant Pathol 18:1253–1266
- Graham JH, Menge JA (2000) Phytophthora-induced diseases. In: Compendium of Citrus diseases, (eds. Timmer, L.W., Garnsey, S.M. and Graham, J.H.) American Phytopathlogical Society, St. Paul, MN, pp 12–15
- Guidetti-Gonzalez S, Carrer H (2007) Putative resistance genes in the CITEST database. Genet Mol Biol 30:931–942
- Harper SJ (2013, April 23) Citrus tristeza virus: evolution of complex and varied genotypic groups. Front Microbiol 4:93. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00093) [00093](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00093)
- Heidrich K, Tsuda K, Blanvillain-Baufume S, Wirthmueller L, Bautor J, Parker JE (2013) Arabidopsis TNL-WRKY domain receptor RRS1 contributes to temperature-conditioned RPS4 auto-immunity. Front Plant Sci 4:403
- Hogenhout SA, Van der Hoorn RL, Terauchi R, Kamoun S (2009) Emerging concepts in effector biology of plant-associated organisms. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 22:115–122
- Isshiki A, Akimitsu K, Yamamoto M, Yamamoto H (2001) Endopolygalacturonase is essential for citrus black rot caused by Alternaria citri but not brown spot caused by Alternaria alternata. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 14:749–757
- Jacob F, Vernaldi S, Maekawa T (2013) Evolution and conservation of plant NLR functions. Front Immunol 4:297
- Jia Y, McAdams SA, Bryan GT, Hershey HP, Valent B (2000) Direct interaction of resistance gene and avirulence gene products confers rice blast resistance. EMBO J 19:4004–4014
- Jones JD, Dangl JL (2006) The plant immune system. Nature 444(7117):323–329
- Jones JD, Vance RE, Dangl JL (2016) Intracellular innate immune surveillance devices in plants and animals. Science 354(6316)
- Kohmoto K, Itoh Y, Shimomura N, Kondoh Y, Otani H, Kodama M, Nishimura S, Nakatsuka S (1993) Isolation and biological activities of two host-specific toxins from the tangerine pathotype of Alternaria alternata. Phytopathology 83:495–502
- Kamoun S (2009) The secretome of plant-associated fungi and oomycetes. In: Deising HB (ed) The Mycota. Springer, Berlin, pp 173–180
- Keen NT (1990) Gene-for-gene complementarity in plantpathogen interactions. Annu Rev Genet 24:447–463
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Krasileva KV, Dahlbeck D, Staskawicz BJ (2010) Activation of an Arabidopsis resistance protein is specified by the in planta association of its leucine-rich repeat domain with the cognate oomycete effector. Plant Cell 22:2444–2458
- Le Roux C, Huet G, Jauneau A, Camborde L, Trémousaygue D, Kraut A et al (2015) A receptor pair with an integrated decoy converts pathogen disabling of transcription factors to immunity. Cell 161(5):1074– 1088
- Leipe DD, Koonin EV, Aravind L (2004) STAND, a class of P-loop NTPases including animal and plant regulators of programmed cell death: multiple, complex domain architectures, unusual phyletic patterns, and evolution by horizontal gene transfer. J Mol Biol 343  $(1):1-28$
- Lopez C, Cervera M, Fagoaga C, Moreno P, Navarro L, Flores R, Pena L (2010) Accumulation of transgenederived siRNAs is not sufficient for RNAi-mediated protection against Citrus tristeza virus in transgenic Mexican lime. Mol Plant Pathol 11:33–41
- Lu R, Folimonov A, Shintaku M, Li WX, Falk BW, Dawson WO et al (2004) Three distinct suppressors of RNA silencing encoded by a 20-kb viral RNA genome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:15742–15747
- Machado MA, Cristofani-Yaly M, Bastianel M (2011) Breeding, genetic and genomic of citrus for disease resistance. Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura 33 (spe1):158–172
- Macho AP, Zipfel C (2014) Plant PRRs and the activation of innate immune signaling. Mol Cell 54(2):263–272
- Maekawa T, Kracher B, Vernaldi S, Loren Ver, van Themaat E, Schulze-Lefert P (2012) Conservation of NLR-triggered immunity across plant lineages. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109(49):20119–20123
- McHale L, Tan X, Koehl P, Michelmore R (2006) Plant NBS-LRR proteins: adaptable guards. Genome Biol 7:212
- Mermigka G, Sarris PF (2019) The rise of plant resistosomes. Trends Immunol 40(8):670–673
- Mermigka G, Amprazi M, Mentzelopoulou A, Amartolou A, Sarris PF (2020) Plant and animal innate immunity complexes: fighting different enemies with similar weapons. Trends Plant Sci 25(1):80–91
- Mestre PF, Asins MJ, Pina JA, Navarro L (1997) Efficient search for new resistant genotypes to the citrus tristeza closterovirus in the orange subfamily Aurantioideae. Theor Appl Genet 95:1282–1288
- Meyers BC, Kozik A, Griego A, Kuang H, Michelmore RW (2003) Genome-wide analysis of NBS-LRR-encoding genes in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 15 (4):809–834
- Miyamoto Y, Masunaka A, Tsuge T, Yamamoto M, Ohtani K, Fukumoto T et al (2010) ACTTS3 encoding a polyketide synthase is essential for the biosynthesis of act-toxin and pathogenicity in the tangerine pathotype of Alternaria alternata. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 23:406–414. [https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-23-4-0406)[23-4-0406](http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-23-4-0406)
- Moreno P, Ambrós S, Albiach-Martí MR, Guerri J, Peña L (2008) Citrus tristeza virus: a pathogen that changed the course of the citrus industry. Mol Plant Pathol 9:251–268
- Narusaka M, Shirasu K, Noutoshi Y, Kubo Y, Shiraishi T, Iwabuchi M et al (2009) RRS1 and RPS4 provide a dual Resistance-gene system against fungal and bacterial pathogens. Plant J. 60:218–226
- Nishimura MT, Dangl JL (2014) Paired plant immune receptors. Science 344:267–268
- Ntoukakis V, Saur IM, Conlan B, Rathjen JP (2014) The changing of the guard: the Pto/Prf receptor complex of tomato and pathogen recognition. Curr Opin Plant Biol 20:69–74
- Oßwald W, Fleischmann F, Rigling D, Coelho AC, Cravador A, Diez R, Dalio RJ, Horta Jung M, Pfanz H, Robin C, Sipos G, Solla A, Cech T, Chambery A, Diamandis S, Hansen E, Jung T, Orlikowski LB, Parke J, Prospero S, Werres S (2014) Strategies of attack and defence in woody plant-Phytophthora interactions. Forest Pathol 44:169–190
- Panabières F, Amselem J, Galiana E, Le Berre J-Y (2005) Gene identification in the oomycete pathogen Phytophthora parasitica during in vitro vegetative growth through expressed sequence tags. Fungal Genet Biol 42:611–623
- Panabieres F, Ali GS, Allagui MB et al (2016) Phytophthora nicotianae diseases worldwide: new knowledge of a long-recognised pathogen. Phytopathologia Mediterranea 55:20–40
- Powell CA, Pelosi RR, Cohen M (1992) Superinfection of orange trees containing mild isolates of citrus tristeza virus and severe Florida isolates of citrus tristeza virus. Plant Dis 76:141–144
- Rai M (2006) Refinement of the Citrus tristeza virus resistance gene  $(Ctv)$  positional map in *Poncirus* trifoliata and generation of transgenic grapefruit (Citrus paradisi) plant lines with candidate resistance genes in this region. Plant Mol Biol 61:399–414
- Ravensdale M, Bernoux M, Ve T, Kobe B, Thrall PH et al (2012) Intramolecular interaction influences binding of the flax L5 and L6 resistance proteins to their AvrL567 ligands. PLoS Pathog 8:e1003004
- Rosa DD, Campos MA, Targon MLPN, Souza AA (2007) Phytophthora parasitica transcriptome, a new concept in the understanding of the citrus gummosis. Genetics Mol Biol 30:997–1008
- Ruiz-Ruiz S, Navarro B, Gisel A, Peña L, Navarro L, Moreno P, et al. (2011) Citrus tristeza virus infection induces the accumulation of viral small RNAs (21- 24 nt) mapping preferentially at the 3'-terminal region of the genomic RNA and affects the host small RNA profile. Plant Mol Biol 75:607–619
- Sahin-Cevik M, Cevik B, Karaca G (2014) Expression analysis of WRKY genes from Poncirus trifoliata in response to pathogen infection. J Plant Interact 9: 182–193
- Sarris PF, Cevik V, Dagdas G, Jones JD, Krasileva KV (2016) Comparative analysis of plant immune receptor

<span id="page-13-0"></span>architectures uncovers host proteins likely targeted by pathogens. BMC Biol 14:8

- Sarris PF, Duxbury Z, Huh SU, Ma Y, Segonzac C, Sklenar J, Derbyshire P, Cevik V, Rallapalli G, Saucet SB et al (2015) A plant immune receptor detects pathogen effectors that target WRKY transcription factors. Cell 161:1089–1100
- Saucet SB, Ma Y, Sarris PF, Furzer OJ, Sohn KH, Jones JD (2015) Two linked pairs of Arabidopsis TNL resistance genes independently confer recognition of bacterial effector AvrRps4. Nat Commun 6:6338
- Scora RW (1975) On the history and origin of Citrus. Bull Torrey Bot Club 102(6):369–375
- Scott KA, Hlela Q, Zablocki O, Read D, van Vuuren S, Pietersen G (2013) Genotype composition of populations of grapefruit cross protecting Citrus tristeza virus strain GFMS12 in different host plants and aphidtransmitted sub-isolates. Adv Virol 158:27–37
- Soler N, Plomer M, Fagoaga C, Moreno P, Navarro L, Flores R, Pena L (2012) Transformation of Mexican lime with an intron-hairpin construct expressing untranslatable versions of the genes coding for the three silencing suppressors of Citrus tristeza virus confers complete resistance to the virus. Plant Biotechnol J 10:597–608
- Tatineni S, Dawson WO (2012) Enhancement or attenuation of disease by deletion of genes from Citrus tristeza virus. J Virol 86:7850–7857
- Timmer LW, Solel Z, Orozco-Santos M (2000) Alternaria brown spot of mandarins. In Timmer LW, Garnsey SM, Graham JH (eds) Compendium of citrus diseases. The American Phytopathological Society Press, St. Paul, MN, pp 19–21
- Timmer LW, Peever TL, Solel Z, Akimitsu K (2003) Alternaria diseases of citrus-novel Pathosystems. Phytopathol Mediterr 42:99–112
- Tsuge T, Harimoto Y, Akimitsu K, Ohtani K, Kodama M, Akagi Y et al (2013) Host-selective toxins produced by the plant pathogenic fungus Alternaria alternata.

FEMS Microbiol Rev 37:44–66. [https://doi.org/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2012.00350.x) [10.1111/j.1574-6976.2012.00350.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2012.00350.x)

- Van Vuuren SP, Collins RP, da Graca JV (1993) Growth and production of lime trees pre-immunized with mild Citrus tristeza virus isolates. Phytophylactica 25: 39–42
- Wang Y, Zhou L, Li D, Dai L, Lawton-Rauh A, Srimani PK, Duan Y, Luo F (2015) Genome-wide comparative analysis reveals similar types of NBS genes in hybrid Citrus sinensis genome and original Citrus clementine genome and provides new insights into non-TIR NBS genes. PLoS ONE 10(3):e0121893
- Williams SJ, Sohn KH, Wan L, Bernoux M, Sarris PF et al (2014) Structural basis for assembly and function of a heterodimeric plant immune receptor. Science 344 (6181):299–303
- Yoshida T, Schichijo T, Ueno I, Kihara T, Yamada T, Hirai M, Yamada S, Ieki H, Kuramoto T (1983) Survey for resistance of citrus cultivars and hybrid seedlings to Citrus tristeza virus (CTV). Bull Fruit Trees Res Stn Ser 10:51–68
- Zanutto CA, Corazza MJ, Carvalho Nunes WM (2013) Evaluation of the protective capacity of new mild Citrus tristeza virus (CTV) isolates selected for a preimmunization program. Scientia Agricola 70: 116–124
- Zhou CY, Hailstones D, Broadbent P, Connor R, Bowyer J (2002) Studies on mild strain cross protection against stem-pitting Citrus tristeza virus. In: Proceedings of the 15th conference of the international organization of citrus virologists, pp 125–157
- Zhou Y, Zhou CY, Li ZA, Wang XF, Liu KH (2008) Mild strains cross protection against stem-pitting tristeza of sweet orange. Scientia Agricultura Sinica 41:4085–4091
- Zhu Y, Qian W, Hua J (2010) Temperature modulates plant defense responses through NB-LRR proteins. PLoS Pathog 6:e1000844