# 9 Alpine Orogeny: Deformation and Structure in the Northern Iberian Margin (Pyrenees s.l.)

Josep Anton Muñoz

#### **Abstract**

The Pyrenean Orogenic system formed by the contractional deformation of the North Iberian margin from late Santonian to Middle Miocene times as a result of the collision between the Iberian and European plates. The Iberian lithosphere subducted underneath the European one. The structural style of the Pyrenees has been mainly controlled by the reactivation of the segmented rift system that formed at Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous times connecting the Atlantic with the Alpine Tethys along the Bay of Biscay and Pyrenean domains, as well as by the distribution of the Triassic salt. Preserved syntectonic sediments in the adjacent foreland basins and piggy-back basins combined with thermochronological data allow constraining the evolution of the orogen.

# 9.1 Introduction

The Pyrenees is the orogenic system that runs along the boundary between the Iberian and European plates (Fig. [9.1\)](#page-1-0). The Pyrenean orogen can be followed beyond the present Pyrenees mountain range. It continues eastward in the Alps along Provence in southern France, and westward along the southern Bay of Biscay into the Atlantic (Fig. [9.1](#page-1-0)). The Pyrenean orogen formed as the result of the collision between the Iberian and European plates from Late Cretaceous to Miocene times (Roest and Srivastava [1991](#page-17-0); Rosenbaum et al. [2002](#page-17-0)). It is an asymmetric doubly vergent orogenic wedge that formed above the subduction of the Iberian lithospheric mantle and lower crust under the European plate (Fig. [9.2\)](#page-2-0) (Choukroune and ECORS Team [1989](#page-15-0); Muñoz [1992;](#page-16-0) Pedreira [2003](#page-16-0); Campanyà et al. [2012;](#page-14-0) Chevrot et al. [2015](#page-15-0)). The Pyrenean orogen resulted from the inversion of the rift system and related passive margin (Northern Iberian Margin) that developed during the Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous all along the northern Iberian plate connecting the Atlantic with the Alpine Tethys realms (Stampfli and Hochard [2009;](#page-17-0) Tugend et al. [2014](#page-18-0)). Before this extensional event, Triassic rifting, Stephano-Permian late Variscan tectonics, involving strike slip and extensional deformation, and Variscan and pre-Variscan tectonometamorphic events also affected the Pyrenean domain.

Structural style and related tectono-sedimentary evolution changes significantly along strike. These changes are mainly expressed by differences in: width, asymmetry of the double wedge, thrust kinematics, involvement of basement and topography, among others (Figs. [9.1](#page-1-0) and [9.2](#page-2-0)). Differences in basement involvement and topography are so strong that different physiographic units formed receiving distinct names such as Cantabrian and Pyrenean Ranges. Moreover, lower relief areas in between the ranges were not initially considered parts of the orogen and have been geologically referred as basins, regardless they are part of the orogenic system. As a result, different names have been proposed to refer to the entire system or parts of it and there is a confusing nomenclature with a mixture of geographical and geological names (see Barnolas and Pujalte [2004](#page-14-0) for a review). Herein, the term Pyrenean orogen (Pyrenees s.l.) will be used to refer to the entire system. Local names of parts of this orogen, such as the Cantabrian Mountains, will be preserved for historical reasons and avoid confusion.

The main factors controlling the Pyrenean structural style are the inversion of the inherited extensional structures and the distribution of the Triassic salt. Other factors, such as the weakness of the inherited Variscan crust and the lithospheric thermal state, have also contributed to the structural evolution (Jammes and Huismans [2012](#page-16-0); Jammes et al. [2014;](#page-16-0) Clerc and Lagabrielle [2014](#page-15-0)). The Triassic salt has resulted into decoupling of the Mezozoic cover succession and a thin-skinned style in the Pyrenean fold and thrust belt. Inversion of the Early Cretaceous extensional system and the



J. A. Muñoz  $(\boxtimes)$ 

Universitat de Barcelona: Institut de Recerca Geomodels and Departament de Dinàmica de la Terra i de l'Oceá, Martí i Franquès s/n, 08028 Barcelona, Spain e-mail: [jamunoz@ub.edu](mailto:jamunoz@ub.edu)

<sup>©</sup> Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

C. Quesada and J. T. Oliveira (eds.), The Geology of Iberia: A Geodynamic Approach,

Regional Geology Reviews, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11295-0\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11295-0_9)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Fig. 9.1 Structural map of the Pyrenean orogen. Modified from Carola et al. ([2013\)](#page-15-0)

weaker parts of the Variscan crust have promoted basement involvement and thick-skinned structural style. Areas with absence of Triassic salt and a relatively weak basement, such as the Cantabrian Mountains, are characterize by a thickskinned structural style (Alonso et al. [1996\)](#page-14-0), while areas with a thick Triassic salt, such as the Basque-Cantabrian Pyrenees and the central Pyrenees are characterize by thin-skinned geometries (Carola et al. [2015](#page-15-0); Muñoz [1992](#page-16-0)). In the thin-skinned areas, basement is involved in the retro-wedge and can be involved as well in the pro-wedge underneath the detached cover, depending on the inherited rift system (Fig. [9.2](#page-2-0)). Thus, the Pyrenean fold and thrust belt exhibits both thin- and thick-tectonic style in different segments of the orogenic system as many other fold and thrust belts in the planet (Lacombe and Bellahsen [2016](#page-16-0)) and cannot be assigned to a single structural style as recently proposed (Mouthereau et al. [2013\)](#page-16-0).

Constraints on the temporal and spatial evolution of the Pyrenees are provided by the preservation of syntectonic sediments since the early stages of the convergence.

Shortening estimates based on construction of crustal scale balanced cross-sections are reasonable provided the moderate amount of deformation and the absence of ductile deformation and related metamorphic processes during the convergence.

### 9.2 Geodynamic Setting

Structures and sedimentary record of the Mesozoic, Paleogene and Lower Neogene successions in the Pyrenees are related with the kinematics of the Iberian plate that in its turn is the result of the successive stages of the opening of the Atlantic Ocean. There is a strong debate about the evolution of Iberia during the Mesozoic and there are several published plate models (Olivet [1996](#page-16-0); Sibuet et al. [2004](#page-17-0); Vissers and Meijer [2012,](#page-18-0) among others). See Barnett-Moore et al. [\(2016](#page-14-0)) for a recent compilation and discussion. This current unresolved debate is an indication of the ambiguities associated with defining clear oceanic crust along the West Iberia and

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Fig. 9.2 Seriated crustal cross-sections of the Pyrenees depicting the main structural changes along the orogen. 1: ECORS–Pyrenees (from Muñoz [2002](#page-16-0)); 2: ECORS–Arzaq (modified from Teixell [1998\)](#page-18-0); 3:

Basque–Parentis (from Pedreira [2004](#page-17-0) and Ferrer et al. [2008\)](#page-15-0); 4: Cantabrian–Armorican Margin (modified from Pulgar et al. [1996](#page-17-0) and Álvarez-Marrón et al. [1997](#page-14-0))

Newfoundland margins as well as knowing the nature and origin of the magnetic anomalies used for the reconstruction of the Iberian plate motion (Bronner et al. [2011;](#page-14-0) Nirrengarten et al. [2016](#page-16-0)).

Opening of the Central Atlantic progressed from south to north. South of the Newfoundland-Azores-Gibraltar Fracture Zone (NAGFZ) oceanic crust formed at Middle Jurassic times connecting the Atlantic with the Ligurian-Tethys along the Gulf of Cádiz and Betics (Stampfli and Hochard [2009](#page-17-0)). North of the NAGFZ, plate separation between Iberia and Newfoundland and crustal thinning to exhume the mantle occurred at latest Jurassic to Early Cretaceous times. Magnetic anomalies older than M3 (ca. 127 Ma) that were considered before as recording oceanic crust (M3 to M20, 127-147 Ma) have been reinterpreted as exhumed continental mantle (Sibuet et al. [2007\)](#page-17-0). Oceanic crust formed during Aptian times (between 125 Ma and 112 Ma) west of Iberia from south to north (Sibuet et al. [2007](#page-17-0); Bronner et al. [2011;](#page-14-0) Tucholke and Sibuet [2012;](#page-18-0) Nirrengarten et al. [2016\)](#page-16-0) and, accordingly, it progressed into the western part of the Bay of Biscay most probably during Albian times. Anticlockwise rotation of the Iberian plate has been related with the opening of the Bay of Biscay and dated as Aptian in age from paleomagnetic data in Cretaceous Pyrenean basins (Dinarès-Turell and García-Senz [2000;](#page-15-0) Gong et al. [2008](#page-15-0)). Thus, this vertical axis rotation could be related with mantle exhumation during hyperextension between Iberia and Europe more than oceanic accretion. Nevertheless, the reliability of the available paleomagnetic data has been questioned (Neres et al. [2013\)](#page-16-0).

Following reconstruction of different magnetic anomalies, such as the J anomaly, two end-member plate models have been proposed: (i) reconstructions with an Early Cretaceous ocean all along the Pyrenean domain and a separation between Iberia and Europe of up to 600 km (Srivastava et al. [2000;](#page-17-0) Sibuet et al. [2004;](#page-17-0) Vissers and Meijer [2012](#page-18-0)); (ii) reconstructions with a separation between Iberia and Europe of no more of 200 km along a N-S direction and with oceanic crust restricted to the western Bay of Biscay (Klitgord and Schouten [1986](#page-16-0); Olivet [1996;](#page-16-0) Jammes et al. [2009,](#page-16-0) among others). The other difference between the proposed plate models for Iberia concerns about the magnitude and timing for the eastward movement of Iberia with respect to Europe. All the proposed models agree that Iberia experienced a left-lateral strike-slip motion along the Northern Iberian Margin, as the Northern Atlantic oceanic crust propagated northwards, although, the proposed amount of left-lateral strike-slip varies from 100 km to several hundreds. However, there is not agreement about the timing. In the seventies and until recently, it was believed that the left-lateral displacement of Iberia along the North Pyrenean Fault mainly occurred during the Albian and Cenomanian and subsequently this fault acted as the main plate boundary

during the Pyrenean orogeny (Le Pichon and Sibuet [1971;](#page-16-0) Choukroune and Mattauer [1978](#page-15-0); Olivet [1996\)](#page-16-0). In that case strike-slip motion would have been synchronous (transtensional motion) to younger than the main extensional event in the Northern Iberian Margin and the anticlockwise rotation of Iberia. On the contrary, recent reconstructions propose that the transtensional motion occurred until the Aptian and then it was followed by orthogonal extension between Iberia and Eurasia (Jammes et al. [2009](#page-16-0)).

The existence of an Early Cretaceous oceanic crust all along the Pyrenean domain, subsequently subducted during the Aptian and Albian (Vissers and Meijer [2012](#page-18-0); Vissers et al. [2016](#page-18-0)) contradicts with all the Pyrenean geological data and recently acquired high-resolution tomography models (Chevrot et al. [2014](#page-15-0), [2015;](#page-15-0) Barnett-Moore et al. [2016](#page-14-0)).

Discrepancies in the plate models are mainly related with the Jurassic-Cretaceous evolution, but it is widely accepted that by late Santonian times (ca. 83 Ma) a major shift in plate boundary forces between Africa, Iberia and Eurasia triggered a compressive regime in the Northern Iberian Margin, lasting until the Miocene and forming the present-day Pyrenees (Rosenbaum et al. [2002](#page-17-0)).

# 9.3 Geophysical Data and Lithospheric Structure

The crustal and lithospheric structure of the Pyrenees has been constrained by different geophysical techniques (deep reflection and refraction seismic profiles, gravity, magnetotellurics, magnetic anomalies, tomography, heat flow). There is not any other orogen with such an amount and quality of geophysical data as it is available in the Pyrenees. Moreover, recent progresses in deep imaging, such as new seismic tomographic techniques and magnetotelluric modelling have considerably improved our understanding of the lithospheric structure of the Pyrenees (Campanyà et al. [2012;](#page-14-0) Chevrot et al. [2015;](#page-15-0) Wang et al. [2016](#page-18-0)).

The available geophysical data provide clear and definite evidence for the subduction of the Iberian continental lithosphere underneath the European plate (Fig. [9.2\)](#page-2-0). This was already shown by the ECORS profile in the Central Pyrenees (Choukroune and ECORS Team [1989;](#page-15-0) Muñoz [1992](#page-16-0)) and has been confirmed also to exist in the Basque-Cantabrian Pyrenees by the ESCIN-2 reflection profile (Pulgar et al. [1996](#page-17-0)), the wide-angle refraction surveys (Fernández-Viejo et al. [2000\)](#page-15-0) and the seismic data acquired during the MARCONI experiment in the Bay of Biscay (Roca et al. [2011](#page-17-0); Ruiz et al. [2017](#page-17-0)). In the easternmost Pyrenees the subducted Iberian crust is not observed (Gallart et al. [2001](#page-15-0); Campanyà et al. [2017](#page-14-0)), as the crustal thinning related with the opening of the Western Mediterranean has been superimposed on the previously thickened lithosphere.

Westward, in the western Cantabrian Mountains an abrupt transition from the Pyrenean thickened crust to the Variscan crust with a 30–32 km thickness of the Iberian Massif is observed (Pérez-Estaún et al. [1994](#page-17-0); Fernández-Viejo et al. [2000\)](#page-15-0). This transition trends NW-SE and coincides with oblique faults at surface, such as the Ventaniella Fault (Muñoz [2002\)](#page-16-0). The subducted Iberian crust has reached a depth of up to 60–70 km in most of the studied Pyrenean transects. The subducted Iberian slab mostly corresponds with the lower part of the crust with a thickness varying between 15 km in the western Pyrenees to 25 km in the central Pyrenees (Fig. [9.2](#page-2-0), Muñoz [1992](#page-16-0); Gallastegui et al. [2002;](#page-15-0) Chevrot et al. [2015;](#page-15-0) Pedreira et al. [2015](#page-17-0); Wang et al. [2016\)](#page-18-0). A deeper depth for the subducted Iberian lower crust as suggested by crustal balanced cross-sections (Beaumont et al. [2000](#page-14-0)) would be also compatible with geophysical data (Pedreira et al. [2015\)](#page-17-0). The subducted lower crust could have been experienced either partial melting to account for the conductivity anomalies (Glover et al. [2000](#page-15-0)) or an eclogitic metamorphism with water release to better fit with the proposed 3D density models of the Pyrenean deep structure (Vacher and Souriau [2001\)](#page-18-0).

In recent years there is growing evidence that the Bay of Biscay-Pyrenean domains experienced hyperextension during the Early Cretaceous rifting that occurred between the Iberian and European plates (Jammes et al. [2009](#page-16-0); Lagabrielle et al. [2010](#page-16-0); Roca et al. [2011;](#page-17-0) Clerc et al. [2012;](#page-15-0) Masini et al. [2014;](#page-16-0) Tugend et al. [2014](#page-18-0)). In this rift system the oceanic domain was restricted to the western Bay of Biscay, west-ward of 6–8 W (Fig. [9.1](#page-1-0)) as evidenced by the ocean floor magnetic anomalies recorded symmetrically at both sides of the spreading centre (Srivastava et al. [1990;](#page-17-0) Sibuet et al. [2004\)](#page-17-0). There the youngest identified anomaly A33o dates the end of seafloor spreading at  $\sim 80$  Ma, which coincides with the onset of contractional deformation in the Pyrenees. East of this spreading centre, the abyssal plain of the Bay of Biscay is floored by a basement characterized by a high-velocity lower crust ( $\sim$ 7.20–7.30 km s<sup>-1</sup>) on top of a low-velocity upper mantle  $(\sim 7.7 - 7.9 \text{ km s}^{-1})$  (Fernández-Viejo et al. [1998;](#page-15-0) Gallart et al. [1997;](#page-15-0) Ruiz et al. [2017\)](#page-17-0). These anomalous velocities can be explained either by upper mantle hydration/serpentinization (Roca et al. [2011;](#page-17-0) Pedreira et al. [2015](#page-17-0)) and/or by transitional continental crust, highly stretched and thinned, lying on top of serpentinized mantle and intruded by gabbroic igneous rocks (Ruiz et al. [2017\)](#page-17-0). Exhumed mantle would have also rimmed the oceanic crust in the western Bay of Biscay although it would have been subducted in the Cantabrian margin (Tugend et al. [2014\)](#page-18-0). Eastwards, exhumed mantle along the Pyrenean rift axis continued as far as the eastern Pyrenees (Clerc and Lagabrielle [2014](#page-15-0)).

Apart from the geophysical characteristics of the Bay of Biscay lithosphere and the outcropping bodies of lherzolites

as well as sediments sourced by exhumed mantle along the Pyrenean chain, other evidences of mantle exhumation come from the presence of strong positive Bouguer anomalies along the core of the chain (Bilbao, Mauléon and Sant Gaudens anomalies, Casas et al. [1997](#page-15-0)). The strong positive Bouguer anomaly of the Mauléon basin has been recently interpreted as a continuous mantle wedge lying on top of the subducted Iberian plate reaching the bottom of the basin during the pre-compressional hyperextension (Wang et al. [2016](#page-18-0)). This mantle wedge would explain why the Pyrenees appear isostatically over-compensated, and why the deep crustal roots are shifted 50 km northward with respect to the topographic highs (Wang et al. [2016](#page-18-0)).

Several different interpretations of the crustal structure of the Pyrenees have been given on the basis of the combined geological and geophysical data (Roure et al. [1989](#page-17-0); Muñoz [1992](#page-16-0); Teixell [1998;](#page-18-0) Pedreira et al. [2015;](#page-17-0) Wang et al. [2016\)](#page-18-0). Recent geophysical data and modelling rule out the interpretation of a Pyrenean crustal structure driven by the North-Pyrenean Fault (Choukroune and Mattauer [1978;](#page-15-0) Mattauer [1990\)](#page-16-0). The crustal structure that best integrates all the available data in the Pyrenees ss. and the Basque Pyrenees is that of an asymmetric orogenic double-wedge involving only upper crustal rocks of the Northern Iberian margin south of the hyperextended rift domain (southern wedge). The extensional detachment system that thinned out the crust and exhumed the mantle would have been reactivated and the transition to the narrow northern wedge is located in its hangingwall (Roca et al. [2011\)](#page-17-0). Apparently, the Iberian crust was decoupled and the lower crust, below the upper crustal double-wedge, was subducted (together with the lithospheric mantle) into the mantle (Beaumont et al. [2000](#page-14-0)).

Thus, the Pyrenean lithospheric structure is strongly controlled by the inversion of the previous segmented hyperextended rift system (Roca et al. [2011](#page-17-0); Tugend et al. [2014](#page-18-0)). For a given realm of the Pyrenean system, previous rift domains controlled the localization of the deformation, the geometry and structural style of the orogenic double-wedge (Tugend et al. [2014](#page-18-0)), whereas along strike changes have been determined by the transfer zones that segmented the rift system (Roca et al. [2011](#page-17-0)).

A debate still exists about the crustal structure of the westernmost part of the Pyrenean orogenic system, the realm facing the oceanic crust of the Bay of Biscay (Fig. [9.1\)](#page-1-0). Boillot and Capdevila [\(1977](#page-14-0)) proposed the subduction of the oceanic crust southwards underneath the Iberian plate at the Cantabrian margin, as also suggested by the asymmetry of the magnetic anomalies recorded in the western Bay of Biscay (Sibuet et al. [2004\)](#page-17-0). The hypothesis of the southward subduction of the Bay of Biscay oceanic crust would imply a change in the polarity of subduction with respect to the central Pyrenees that was interpreted to occur across a flip

zone coinciding with the Pamplona transfer zone (Engeser and Shwentke [1986\)](#page-15-0). Nevertheless, several seismic profiles of the ESCIN and MARCONI programmes and related projects (wide-angle reflection seismic data and wide-angle phases recorded by the OBS and land seismic stations deployed at the MARCONI N-S profiles) showed that the Iberian continental crust is subducting to the north along the Cantabrian margin. Such configuration has been interpreted as a result of the southward displacement and underthrusting of the Bay of Biscay lower crust, indenting into the Iberian crust (Pulgar et al. [1996](#page-17-0); Fernández-Viejo et al. [1998,](#page-15-0) [2000](#page-15-0); Gallastegui et al. [2002](#page-15-0); Pedreira et al. [2015](#page-17-0)). These authors emphasize that there are not any geophysical evidences for an oceanic slab below the Cantabrian margin and consider that the Bay of Biscay oceanic crust has not been subducted southwards. Instead, it has been underthrust underneath the Cantabrian accretionary prism (Ruiz et al. [2017](#page-17-0)). Regardless the terminology used, the oceanic crust of the western Bay of Biscay together with the exhumed mantle or the extremely thinned continental crust that rimmed the oceanic one have been subducted/underthrust underneath the former distal Cantabrian rift domain (Fig. [9.1](#page-1-0), Tugend et al. [2014](#page-18-0)). A change of the polarity of the extensional system that exhumed the mantle across the Santander transfer zone would explain the differences of the crustal structure once the Pyrenean rift system was inverted (Roca et al. [2011](#page-17-0)). There was not any change in the polarity either of the extensional system or the subduction of the Iberian crust across the Pamplona transfer zone as previously suggested.

Factors controlling the deformation style of orogens involving crustal subduction, and particularly for the case of the Pyrenees, have been analysed by numerical modelling (Beaumont and Quinlan [1994](#page-14-0); Beaumont et al. [2000](#page-14-0); Jammes and Huismans [2012](#page-16-0); Jammes et al. [2014](#page-16-0)). These authors concluded that simple models involving lower crustal subduction together with the mantle best explain the observed features. The initial simple models have refined to include the geodynamic evolution as deduced from the geological record (Beaumont et al. [2000](#page-14-0)) as well as the inversion of the rift system (Jammes and Huismans [2012](#page-16-0)) and the inherited Variscan crustal features (Jammes et al. [2014\)](#page-16-0). The lateral variation in crustal strength attributed to inherited Variscan crustal composition accentuated during Mesozoic rifting explains the variation in structural style observed during Pyrenean mountain building (Jammes et al. [2014](#page-16-0)). Accordion models illustrate the localization of the contractional deformation in the centre of the rift system where the mantle was exhumed, the subsequent reactivation of the extensional system and the propagation of the deformation into the proximal rift domains or areas non previously stretched when the European crust was indented into the delaminated Iberian crust (Jammes and Huismans [2012](#page-16-0); Jammes et al. [2014](#page-16-0)).

## 9.4 Main Structural Features

Understanding the main structural features of the Pyrenees requires not only the knowledge of the structural evolution along well-constrained cross-sections but also knowing the along strike changes and the factors controlling them.

## 9.4.1 The ECORS Cross-Section of the Central Pyrenees

The most constrained section from geological and geophysical data is the central Pyrenees cross-section along the ECORS seismic profile (Choukroune et ECORS Team [1989;](#page-15-0) Muñoz [1992;](#page-16-0) Fitzgerald et al. [1999;](#page-15-0) Beaumont et al. [2000;](#page-14-0) Campanyà et al. [2012](#page-14-0); Mouthereau et al. [2014;](#page-16-0) Chevrot et al. [2015](#page-15-0)).

The ECORS cross-section shows the geometry of the Pyrenean asymmetric orogenic double-wedge dominated by a central antiformal stack of basement-involved thrust sheets, classically referred as the Axial Zone (Figs. [9.3](#page-6-0), [9.4](#page-6-0) and [9.5,](#page-7-0) Muñoz [1992,](#page-16-0) [2002\)](#page-16-0). These thrust sheets have been transported to the south and deformed progressively by underthrusting of the lower and younger units. They are mainly three thrust sheets, which from top to bottom are: Nogueres, Orri and Rialp (Fig. [9.5,](#page-7-0) Muñoz [1992](#page-16-0)). The northern limb of the antiformal stack occupies most the Axial Zone and is characterized by a moderately-dipping to steeply-dipping Variscan main foliation which was deformed by back-tilting. The southern limb is relatively narrow and is characterized by downward facing folds involving upper Paleozoic rocks and Permian to Lower Triassic red beds of the Nogueres Zone (Fig. [9.4](#page-6-0), Dalloni [1913](#page-15-0); Séguret [1972](#page-17-0)).

The basement has been decoupled from the cover South Pyrenean thrust sheets along the Triassic evaporites. These thrust sheets are from north to south: Bóixols, Montsec and Serres Marginals (Figs. [9.3](#page-6-0), [9.4](#page-6-0) and [9.5\)](#page-7-0). They have involved different parts of Mesozoic and Paleogene basins with distinct significances and they have initially developed following a forward propagating thrust sequence from Late Cretaceous to Oligocene.

The Bóixols thrust sheet resulted from the inversion of the Lower Cretaceous basins at the southern margin of the Pyrenean rift system (Berastegui et al. [1990\)](#page-14-0). Contractional deformation started at late Santonian and continued during the Late Cretaceous (MacClay et al. [2004;](#page-16-0) López-Mir et al. [2014\)](#page-16-0).

The Montsec thrust sheet involved the northern part of the Upper Cretaceous foreland basin characterised by a strong subsident turbiditic trough at the footwall of the Bóixols thrust. These turbidites grade southward into a carbonatic platform that constitutes the backbone of the Montsec Range. The Montsec thrust sheet developed from the

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Fig. 9.3 Structural map of the central and eastern Pyrenees showing the main structural units. See location in Fig. [9.1](#page-1-0)



Fig. 9.4 Cross-sections across the central and eastern Pyrenees. The upper cross-section follows the ECORS seismic profile and has been modified from Berástegui et al. ([1993](#page-14-0)). The lower section across the

Paleocene to the Early Eocene as recorded by continental to shallow marine sediments deposited in its footwall (Ager basin) as well as in the Tremp-Graus piggy-back basin (Fig. 9.4).

Pedraforca thrust sheets of the eastern Pyrenees has been modified from Vergés ([1993\)](#page-18-0) and Casas et al. ([2015\)](#page-15-0). See location in Fig. 9.3

The Serres Marginals thrust sheet is characterised by an incomplete and thin Mesozoic-Paleocene succession, which progressively reduces southwards. The synorogenic Upper Cretaceous carbonates are represented in all the Serres

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Fig. 9.5 ECORS balanced and restored cross-sections. The restored cross-section has been modified from Beaumont et al. ([2000\)](#page-14-0) and Muñoz [\(2002](#page-16-0)) to include the exhumation of the lithospheric mantle in

the central part of the Pyrenean rift system at the end of the Early Cretaceous rifting. See location in Fig. [9.3](#page-6-0)

Marginals imbricates with a thickness varying from few hundred meters in the northern ones to few tens of meters in the most frontal imbricates (Fig. [9.4\)](#page-6-0). These Upper Cretaceous sediments unconformably overlie the Jurassic carbonates that were tilted northwards and removed by erosion at the forebulge of the Upper Cretaceous foreland basin. The southern edge of the Triassic evaporites preserved by erosion at the northern limb of the forebulge controlled the location of the thrust front. Triassic evaporites also controlled the internal structure of the Serres Marginals thrust sheets. These evaporites were inflated by evacuation from the bottom of the Montsec thrust sheet and together with the thin Mesozoic succession favoured the development of detachment anticlines and diapirs (Santolaria [2015;](#page-17-0) Santolaria et al. [2015](#page-17-0)).

The Serres Marginals and Montsec thrust sheets are at present above and autochthonous succession of Paleocene and Eocene sediments in continuation with the Ebro foreland basin as evidenced by well and seismic data (Fig. [9.4\)](#page-6-0). The detachment is located in the Upper Eocene salts giving a minimum displacement for the South Pyrenean thrust sheets during the Late Eocene and Oligocene of more than 40 km. The northern cut-off of these autochthonous sediments, in the footwall of the sole thrust, is not constrained, but for the Upper Eocene sediments should be located close to the northern edge of the Montsec thrust sheet with an approximate E-W trend as clearly evidenced by seismic data tight to the Comiols and Isona wells, and contrary to recent interpretations which tend to minimize thrust displacement (Mouthereau et al. [2014](#page-16-0)). The South-Pyrenean thrust sheets were reactivated by a break back thrust sequence during the sedimentation of the Upper Eocene-Oligocene conglomerates once the Ebro basin became endorheic and filled by a thick succession of syntectonic sediments (Vergés and

Muñoz [1990;](#page-18-0) Burbank et al. [1992a](#page-14-0); Coney et al. [1996;](#page-15-0) Meigs and Burbank [1997;](#page-16-0) Muñoz et al. [1997](#page-16-0); Fillon et al. [2013](#page-15-0)). The break-back reactivation of the thrust system previously developed by a piggy-back sequence was coeval with both the displacement of all the cover thrust sheets above the Upper Eocene salt and the significant increase of the uplift rate and denudation of the basement thrust sheets of the Axial Zone (Fitzgerald et al. [1999;](#page-15-0) Beamud et al. [2011](#page-14-0)).

The Northern Pyrenees (North Pyrenean Zone, Choukroune [1976](#page-15-0)) are significantly narrower (25–35 km) than the Southern Pyrenees and constitute the retrowedge of the Pyrenean double-wedge (Fig. 9.5). The North Pyrenean thrust system inverted the Lower Cretaceous basins that developed in the hyperextended rift domain in the hangingwall (northwards) of the sole extensional detachment, which at present corresponds to the North Pyrenean Fault (Fig. 9.5, Mouthereau et al. [2014\)](#page-16-0). During the Early Cretaceous continental stretching crustal boudins surrounding the exhumed mantle rocks and the Lower Cretaceous basins developed in a hot-regime (Clerc and Lagabrielle [2014\)](#page-15-0). These crustal blocks form at present the North-Pyrenean massifs. Synrift Lower Cretaceous deep-water sediments and prerift Jurassic carbonates were affected by HT-LP metamorphism between 110 Ma (Albian) and 85 Ma (Santonian). Metamorphism occurred synchronously and afterwards the mantle exhumation but spatially and temporally related to the crustal attenuation predating the contractional deformation (Albarède and Michard-Vitrac [1978;](#page-14-0) Golberg et al. [1986;](#page-15-0) Montigny et al. [1986](#page-16-0)). The North Pyrenean frontal thrust resulted from the inversion of the extensional faults at the northern margin of the rift system (Baby et al. [1988;](#page-14-0) Déramond et al. [1990\)](#page-15-0). In its footwall the retroforeland basin is filled up by a thick (5 km) mainly clastic succession consisting in two main subsiding shallowing-up cycles: a first deep-water late Santonian-Paleocene succession and a second shallow marine to continental Paleocene-Oligocene one (Ford et al. [2016](#page-15-0)).

#### 9.4.2 The Eastern Pyrenees

The main structural units above explained for the Central Pyrenees continue along strike into the eastern Pyrenees, east of the Segre valley (Fig. [9.3](#page-6-0)). However, there are significant structural differences between the two realms of the orogen, mainly in the southern Pyrenees. The most obvious one is the thrust re-entrant geometry depicted by the main thrusts that connect with the central Pyrenees along an oblique thrust system (Fig. [9.3\)](#page-6-0). The Bóixols, Montsec and Serres Marginals thrust sheets are piled one on top of the other and constitute the Pedraforca thrust sheets (Figs. [9.3](#page-6-0) and [9.4,](#page-6-0) Solé-Sugrañes [1971;](#page-17-0) Séguret [1972;](#page-17-0) Vergés [1993](#page-18-0); Vergés et al. [1995](#page-18-0)). As a result, the Eastern Pyrenees shows the narrowest areal extension of the entire system. The Pedraforca thrust sheets lie on top of the Eocene syntectonic sediments of the Cadí thrust sheet and altogether form a synform in the hangingwall of the Vallfogona frontal thrust, southwards of the Axial Zone antiformal stack (Fig. [9.4](#page-6-0), Muñoz et al. [1986](#page-16-0); Vergés [1993](#page-18-0)). The Cadí thrust sheet involves Upper Paleozoic rocks underneath a thick succession, up to 6 km thick, of Paleocene and Eocene sediments. Mesozoic rocks are only restricted to a thin succession of Triassic red beds and carbonates and Upper Cretaceous carbonates and sandstones only represented in the western part of the Cadí trust sheet. The Cadi thrust sheet is the structural equivalent of the Nogueres thrust sheet in the Central Pyrenees (Muñoz et al. [1986](#page-16-0)). It supports the Ripoll piggy-back basin characterized by a Lower Eocene deep-water sedimentary trough that was deposited synchronously to the emplacement of the lower Pedraforca thrust sheets and a Middle Eocene-Oligocene shallow water to continental succession coeval with the emergence of the Vallfogona frontal thrust and the underthrusting of basement-involved thrust sheets (Figs. [9.3](#page-6-0) and [9.4](#page-6-0), Muñoz et al. [1986;](#page-16-0) Puigdefàbregas et al. [1986;](#page-17-0) Burbank et al. [1992b\)](#page-14-0). Above the turbiditic trough a salt unit was deposited (Beuda Fm). It has acted as a main detachment in the eastern Pyrenees and controlled the late stages of the structural evolution.

There is a regional westward plunge of the Pyrenean structures. As a result, the deepest structural levels are cropping out in the Eastern Pyrenees and allow us to complete the structural picture observed in the Central Pyrenees. The deep structural exposures show the antiformal stack geometry of the basement-involved thrust sheets, as revealed by vertically stacked tectonic windows (Muñoz et al. [1986\)](#page-16-0). The structural culmination of the southern Pyrenees is located in the easternmost Pyrenees. From there, an eastward structural plunge towards the Mediterranean coast allows us to observe upper thrust sheets involving Mesozoic sediments detached on Triassic evaporites (Fig. [9.3](#page-6-0), Pujadas et al. [1989](#page-17-0); Tassone et al. [1994\)](#page-18-0). The uppermost of these thrust sheets, the Figueres thrust sheet, involves a complete Mesozoic sequence, including synrift Lower Cretaceous carbonates (Fig. [9.3\)](#page-6-0). It developed out-of-sequence on top of Middle Eocene continental sediments and the amount of thrust displacement as well as its restored position is still unknown (Pujadas et al. [1989](#page-17-0)).

Another distinct feature of the Eastern Pyrenees with respect the Central Pyrenees is the width of the deformed Ebro foreland basin (Fig. [9.3\)](#page-6-0). South of the Vallfogona frontal thrust a wide area is characterized by different trends of detachment anticlines which have been detached above three distinct salt horizons, which from north to south are the Beuda, Cardona and Barbastro evaporitic units (Figs. [9.3](#page-6-0) and [9.4](#page-6-0), Vergés et al. [1992\)](#page-18-0).

# 9.4.3 The Central Pyrenees and the Transition to the Western Pyrenees

The Bóixols, Montsec and Serres Marginals thrust sheets form the most prominent thrust salient in the Pyrenees (Figs. [9.1,](#page-1-0) [9.3](#page-6-0) and [9.6](#page-10-0)). It was named South Pyrenean Central Unit and it was considered as a primary curvature inherited from the geometry of Mesozoic basins (Séguret [1972](#page-17-0); Soto et al. [2002](#page-17-0)). However, it has been demonstrated by the integration of structural, stratigraphic and paleomagnetic data that this thrust salient developed by a progressive curvature with a divergent thrust transport direction from Middle Eocene to Oligocene during the formation of the Serres Marginals thrust sheets and its western continuation into the Gavarnie thrust sheet (Sussman et al. [2004;](#page-17-0) Muñoz et al. [2013](#page-16-0)). The amount of clockwise vertical rotation, up to 70° in the Ainsa basin and related N-S trending folds, and the areal extension of the rotated area resulted from a thrust displacement gradient of about 50 km that relates with the uneven distribution of the Upper Triassic salt (Muñoz et al. [2013\)](#page-16-0). The amount of the displacement gradient is in agreement with the existence of an autochthonous Paleogene succession underneath the Serres Marginals and Montsec thrust sheets.

A major structural change does occur between the central and the west central southern Pyrenees (Fig. [9.2](#page-2-0)). In the west central Pyrenees the Upper Cretaceous carbonates unconformably overlie the Paleozoic rocks of the Axial Zone. The absence of Triassic evaporites has resulted in the coupling of the Mesozoic and Paleogene successions to the basement.

Basement thrust sheets are mostly imbricated (Cámara and Klimowitz [1985;](#page-14-0) Teixell [1996,](#page-18-0) [1998](#page-18-0); Labaume et al. [2016\)](#page-16-0) instead of piled one on top of the other as observed in the antiformal stack of the central Pyrenees (Muñoz [1992\)](#page-16-0). The change in thrust geometry in turn leads to a more distributed structural relief in the west central Pyrenees (Fig. [9.2](#page-2-0)). As basement thrust sheets are located further south in the west central than in the central Pyrenees, the cover thrust sheets are widely exhumed in the west. In addition, the floor thrusts of the Cotiella and Peña Montañesa thrust sheets climb up section westwards (Muñoz et al. [2013\)](#page-16-0). Thus, the western lateral equivalents of the Peña Montañesa-Montsec and Cotiella–Bóixols thrusts sheets have been uplifted and eroded, whereas the Gavarnie thrust sheet occupies a wide area (Fig. [9.6\)](#page-10-0). This change of the structural style was accompanied by an increase of the western plunge of the Axial Zone antiformal stack, the decrease of the structural relief in the central part of the orogen and the western termination of basement rocks at outcrop. All of them had a strong influence in the routing systems of the synorogenic sediments.

The Gavarnie thrust sheet is characterized by a wide, up to 200 km long synclinorium, which supports the Jaca Basin filled up by Eocene and Oligocene synorogenic sediments (Figs. [9.6](#page-10-0) and [9.7\)](#page-10-0). The southern limb of the synclinorium consists of several imbricates of Mesozoic and Paleogene rocks in the hangingwall of the emergent south Pyrenean frontal thrust. These imbricates have been detached on the Triassic evaporites and are referred as Sierras Exteriores (Almela and Ríos [1951;](#page-14-0) Puigdefàbregas [1975](#page-17-0); Millán et al. [1995,](#page-16-0) [2000](#page-16-0)). Similarly to the frontal imbricates of the Serres Marginals thrust sheet, the Sierras Exteriores imbricates involve a relatively thin (up to few hundred metres thick) Mesozoic succession of synorogenic Upper Cretaceous shallow marine limestones, unconformably overlying Triassic evaporites, and a thicker Paleogene sequence characterized by the Middle Eocene limestones of the Guara Fm (Puigdefàbregas [1975](#page-17-0); Millán et al. [1994](#page-16-0)). One of the peculiarities of the External Sierras is the presence of a set of irregularly spaced transverse NW-SE to N-S anticlines in continuation with the N-S trending anticlines of the Ainsa Basin at the eastern edge of the Gavarnie thrust sheet (Fig. [9.6\)](#page-10-0). These N-S anticlines become younger westward and their growth was synchronous with the deposition of the Middle-Upper Eocene sediments and the development of the Gavarnie thrust sheet (Fig. [9.7](#page-10-0), Millán et al. [1994;](#page-16-0) Poblet and Hardy [1995\)](#page-17-0). The oldest of this transverse system of folds are the Mediano and Añisclo anticlines at the eastern edge of the Ainsa Basin, which started to develop at early Lutetian times (Poblet et al. [1998;](#page-17-0) Fernández et al. [2012](#page-15-0); Muñoz et al. [2013\)](#page-16-0). These folds experienced a vertical axial clockwise rotation as they progressively developed (Pueyo et al. [2002](#page-17-0); Soto et al. [2006](#page-17-0); Muñoz et al. [2013\)](#page-16-0). Vertical axis rotations have been recorded all along the Sierras

Exteriores structures (Oliva-Urcia et al. [2012;](#page-16-0) Ramón et al. [2012](#page-17-0); Pueyo-Anchuela et al. [2012\)](#page-17-0). Further west, in the western Pyrenees, no significant vertical axis rotations have been recorded by paleomagnetic data (Larrasoaña et al. [2003](#page-16-0)), defining a western limit for vertical axis rotation at the termination of the Santo Domingo anticline (Pueyo et al. [2002](#page-17-0)). The amount of vertical axial rotation decreases westward as the age of the onset of folding does.

The northern limb of the Jaca Basin synclinorium (also referred as Guarga synclinorium) consists of a fold and thrust belt that affects the Upper Cretaceous to Paleogene succession (Fig. [9.7\)](#page-10-0). They are located above a tilted panel of basement that in detail constitutes an imbricated system of basement-involved thrust sheets merging upwards at the bottom of the Mesozoic succession (Cámara and Klimowitz [1985](#page-14-0); Teixell [1996](#page-18-0); Labaume et al. [2016](#page-16-0)). The southern tip of the lowermost of these thrust sheets (Guarga thrust sheet) defines the position and trend of the Guarga syncline along the axis of the Jaca synclinorium (Labaume et al. [2016\)](#page-16-0) and can be followed as far as the eastern edge of the Ainsa Basin (Fernández et al. [2012](#page-15-0)). In between the Guarga thrust and the Gavarnie one different thrusts involving the Variscan basement have been described by different authors from the interpretation of available seismic data (Fig. [9.7\)](#page-10-0). There is not a consensus about the number of thrusts and the given names for each individual thrust but it is widely accepted that they branch upwards into the detachment at the bottom of the Mesozoic succession of the Gavarnie thrust sheet (Cámara and Klimowitz [1985;](#page-14-0) Millán et al. [2006](#page-16-0); Muñoz et al. [2013;](#page-16-0) Labaume et al. [2016](#page-16-0)). Seismic data do not rule out that some of these thrusts may breach through this detachment and deform the overlying Mesozoic and Paleogene sediments.

South of the basement of the Gavarnie thrust sheet at the southern edge of the Axial Zone the Upper Cretaceous-Paleogene series are deformed by the Monte Perdido-Larra fold and thrust system, which constitutes the highest structural relief of the so called Sierras Interiores (Teixell [1996\)](#page-18-0). The Monte Perdido system is located in the footwall of the Peña Montañesa-Montsec thrust sheet and is tilted at the northern limb of the Añisclo anticline. So, these relationships constrain the uppermost Ypresian to lowermost Lutetian age for the Monte Perdido system (Muñoz et al. [2013](#page-16-0)). The Monte Perdido-Larra fold and thrust system continues around the western termination of the Axial Zone and branches with the Lakhora thrust (Teixell [1996,](#page-18-0) [1998\)](#page-18-0). Thus, this thrust has the same structural position as the Peña Montañesa-Montsec thrust and would have been most probably active during the Early Eocene. In the hangingwall of the Lakhora thrust another south-directed thrust system would be the result of the inversion of the Lower Cretaceous basins that developed in the hyperextended rift domain and above an exhumed mantle (Lagabrielle et al. [2010](#page-16-0); Masini

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Fig. 9.6 Structural map of the transition from the central to the western Pyrenees. This transition is located at the western edge of the Central Pyrenean thrust salient, which is characterized by N-S trending folds. Modified from Muñoz et al. ([2013\)](#page-16-0)



Fig. 9.7 Cross-sections across the Gavarnie thrust sheet and its relationships with the Montsec-Peña Montañesa and Cotiella thrust sheets. The upper S-N section has been modified from Labaume et al. ([2016\)](#page-16-0). The E-W section is from Muñoz et al. [\(2013](#page-16-0))

et al. [2014\)](#page-16-0). It would have developed during the Late Cretaceous, synchronously with the displacement on the North Pyrenean frontal thrust.

The Paleogene of the northern limb of the Jaca synclinorium is characterized by a 4 km thick succession of the Ypresian-Lutetian turbidites of the Hecho Group (Mutti et al. [1988\)](#page-16-0). These turbidites are in continuation with the slope turbiditic systems of the Ainsa Basin and were fed axially from the Tremp-Graus and Ager basins (Mutti et al. [1988](#page-16-0); Muñoz et al. [2013](#page-16-0)). The Ypresian lower part of the turbidites developed in a foreland trough in front of the Peña Montañesa-Montsec thrust sheet and most probably in the footwall of its western continuation into the Lakhora thrust, whereas the Lutetian upper part of the turbiditic succession were deposited piggyback above the Gavarnie thrust sheet as it developed westwards synchronously with fold growing of the at present N-S trending Ainsa-Sierras Exteriores fold system described above (Arbués et al. [2011](#page-14-0); Muñoz et al. [2013\)](#page-16-0). The turbidites onlap southward the coeval Lower-Middle Eocene platform limestones cropping out in the Sierras Exteriores (Fig. [9.7,](#page-10-0) Puigdefàbregas [1975](#page-17-0); Labaume et al. [1985](#page-16-0); Barnolas and Teixell [1994;](#page-14-0) Muñoz et al. [2013\)](#page-16-0). From Bartonian to early Priabonian times, the turbiditic basin was progressively filled by southward and westward prograding deltaic complexes (Puigdefábregas [1975;](#page-17-0) Dreyer et al. [1999\)](#page-15-0) and by a thick continental succession, Upper Eocene-Lower Miocene in age, once the Ebro foreland basin became endorheic. Their accumulation was associated with a southward migration of the Jaca Basin depocenter with respect to the turbiditic stage, synchronously to basement underthrusting below the northern limb of the Jaca synclinorium and emergence of the frontal thrust system at Sierras Exteriores (Hogan and Burbank [1996;](#page-15-0) Teixell [1996](#page-18-0); Labaume et al. [2016\)](#page-16-0).

#### 9.4.4 The Basque-Cantabrian Pyrenees

The Pyrenean orogenic system shows a significant structural change across the Pamplona transfer fault (Fig. [9.1](#page-1-0)). The Pamplona is an inherited transfer zone of the Early Cretaceous rift system. The overall extension of the hyperextended rift system was transferred across this fault from the Mauléon basin in the east to the Basque-Cantabrian basin in the west (Roca et al. [2011;](#page-17-0) Masini et al. [2014;](#page-16-0) Tugend et al. [2014\)](#page-18-0). The Pamplona fault was probably inherited from the Variscan orogeny and not only segmented the Early Cretaceous rift system but also affected the entire orogenic system during the contractional deformation. Nonetheless, it is revealed at surface as a diffuse zone of deformation with different structures. In the south it has been interpreted as a hangingwall drop fault resulting from accommodation of the different thickness of Mesozoic sequences during

southwards displacement above the south Pyrenean frontal thrust (Larrasoaña et al. [2003\)](#page-16-0). Diapirs aligned along the Pamplona transfer zone would have been developed during the Early Cretaceous and reactivated during the Cenozoic deformation. In the north, the Paleozoic Basque massifs crop out on both sides of the Pamplona transfer zone (Fig. [9.1\)](#page-1-0). The Cinco Villas massif is the westernmost and largest of the Basque massifs. It is involved in the northern directed thrust sheets of the Basque Pyrenees and shows a pop-up structure between inverted Lower Cretaceous basins. South of the Cinco Villas massif, the Leiza fault follows a narrow strip of lower crustal and mantle rocks as well as metamorphic Mesozoic rocks (Martínez-Torres [1989\)](#page-16-0). It was considered as the western continuation of the North Pyrenean fault into the Basque Pyrenees (Choukroune and Mattauer [1978](#page-15-0); Rat [1988](#page-17-0)) but, following the new ideas on the hyperextended non-volcanic margins, the Leiza fault has been recently interpreted as the inverted extensional detachment that produced the mantle exhumation in the Basque-Cantabrian basin (DeFelipe et al. [2017\)](#page-15-0).

There is not a consensus about the terminology used by different authors to refer to the realm of the Pyrenean orogenic system located westward of the Pamplona transfer fault. Recent papers on the area refer to such realm as the Basque-Cantabrian basin (Abalos [2016;](#page-14-0) DeFelipe et al. [2017](#page-15-0)) in order to respect this widely used term in the old literature (Rat [1988\)](#page-17-0) or to avoid the use of the adjective Pyrenean. This is a confusing terminology, as the area deformed by the Pyrenean structures involves a stratigraphic record from the Paleozoic to the Miocene with sedimentary units that were deposited in different basins with different aerial distribution (some of them exceeding its limits and others being more reduced) and having distinct tectonic significance. Herein, the term Basque-Cantabrian basin is used only to refer to the Lower Cretaceous extensional basin that formed as a result of the Bay of Biscay-Pyrenean rifting at the North Iberian margin.

The structure of the Basque Pyrenees is dominated by the north-verging Bilbao anticlinorium, a more than 100 km long structure located in the central part of the orogenic double wedge, above the Iberian subducted slab (Figs. [9.8](#page-12-0) and [9.9](#page-13-0), Pedreira [2004](#page-17-0); Ferrer et al. [2008;](#page-15-0) Quintana et al. [2015](#page-17-0)). The anticline resulted from the inversion of the extensional faults that bounded to the north the depocentre of the deepest Lower Cretaceous basin of the Basque-Cantabrian basin. The southern limb of the Bilbao anticline is a southward tilted panel involving a thick succession of Cretaceous sediments, up to 15 km thick, that connects with a flat lying panel and the northern limb of the Miranda–Urbasa syncline and its westward continuation in the Villarcayo syncline (Figs. [9.8](#page-12-0) and [9.9\)](#page-13-0). This syncline is another main structural feature of the Basque-Cantabrian Pyrenees. It has a structural continuity with the Jaca <span id="page-12-0"></span>synclinorium across the Pamplona transfer fault and it is cored by Oligocene-Lower Miocene fluvial sediments showing growth geometries at its both limbs (Riba and Jurado [1992\)](#page-17-0)

The southern limb of the Miranda-Urbasa syncline consists of several imbricates in the hangingwall of the Sierra de Cantabria frontal thrust, characterized by a relatively thin Mesozoic succession that reduces southwards, as observed in the western and central Pyrenees along the Sierras Exteriores and Serres Marginals thrust sheets. The frontal imbricates involve Upper Cretaceous carbonates, and Upper Albian sandstones (Utrillas Fm) at the bottom, unconformably overlying the Triassic evaporites. Thus, a succession characteristic of the North Iberian margin south of the Pyrenean rift system. These imbricates as well as the Miranda-Urbasa syncline lie on top of an autochthonous Paleogene and Upper Cretaceous succession of the Ebro basin as evidenced by seismic data (Carola et al. [2013](#page-15-0)).

North of the Bilbao anticlinorium a north directed thrust system involves the Mesozoic and Paleogene rocks (Fig. [9.9\)](#page-13-0). This thrust system and related structures of the Basque Pyrenees are referred as the Basque Arc (Feuillée and Rat [1971](#page-15-0)) because of their concave geometry in map view (Fig. 9.8). This arc is delineated by different structures, which from south to north and apart from the Bilbao anticline include: the Biscay Synclinorium, the North-Biscay Anticlinorium and the Guipúzcoa Monocline (Abalos [2016](#page-14-0)). The northernmost Basque thrust sheets are thrust on top of the Tertiary rocks of the Landes High, which was an uplift area during the Early Cretaceous between the Parentis basin in the north and the Basque-Cantabrian basin southwards (Ferrer et al. [2008\)](#page-15-0).

The transition to the Cantabrian part of the Pyrenean orogenic system occurs across the Santander transfer fault

system and related accommodation zone that was inherited from the Early Cretaceous rift system (Roca et al. [2011;](#page-17-0) Carola et al. [2015](#page-15-0)). Offshore, this transfer zone is aligned with the western edge of the Landes High and the Parentis basin and their transition to the Bay of Biscay abyssal basin (Fig. [9.1](#page-1-0)). The transfer zone follows distinct N-S trending topographic features in the Cantabrian slope (Torrelavega and Santander canyons) and produces a shift on the location of the North Pyrenean frontal thrust of more than 100 km. In the Basque Pyrenees it follows the slope close to the coast line, whereas in the Cantabrian Pyrenees, west of the Santander transfer zone, it is located further to the north in the abyssal plain (Fig. [9.1\)](#page-1-0). Onshore, the N-S trending Ramales fault represents the boundary between the north-vergent structures of the Bilbao anticlinorium and the south-vergent structures of the Cantabrian Pyrenees at Santander (Cámara [1997](#page-14-0)).

Along the coast, between Santander and Oviedo, southward–directed thrusts involve basement and cover rocks and result from the reactivation of extensional Early Cretaceous faults. These thrusts are bracketed between the NW-SE trending Ventaniella fault westwards and the N-S trending Ramales fault eastwards (Fig. 9.8, Cámara [1989](#page-14-0)). This south directed thrust system continues into the Cantabrian platform where it incorporates the Tertiary Ribadesella basin (Sánchez [1991\)](#page-17-0). The edge of the Cantabrian platform is characterized by north vergent thrusts and folds affecting the Mesozoic succession of the Danois basin and the basement rocks at the slope (Boillot et al. [1979](#page-14-0); Álvarez-Marrón et al. [1995](#page-14-0)).

The Cantabrian Pyrenees are characterized by an accretionary prism deforming Tertiary and Mesozoic sediments of the Bay of Biscay oceanic basin in front of the Cantabrian slope (Fig. [9.2,](#page-2-0) Roca et al. [2011](#page-17-0)). The accretionary prism is



Fig. 9.8 Structural map of the Basque-Cantabrian Pyrenees

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

Fig. 9.9 Crustal cross-section across the Basque-Cantabrian Pyrenees. Surface geology based on Quintana et al. ([2015](#page-17-0)) and Carola et al. [\(2015](#page-15-0)). See Fig. [9.8](#page-12-0) for location

60 km wide in the east (Fig. [9.1\)](#page-1-0) but reduces in width westwards (20 km) changing also its internal structure and morphology (Álvarez-Marrón et al. [1997\)](#page-14-0).

The asymmetry of the Cantabrian realm of the Pyrenean orogenic double-wedge changes again with respect the Basque Pyrenees. Southward directed structures predominate onshore, whereas northward directed ones are mainly restricted to the accretionary prism in the Bay of Biscay abyssal plain.

The Cantabrian Mountains are characterized by a thick-skinned structural style. Upper Albian-Upper Cretaceous sediments unconformably overlie Paleozoic rocks and the absence of Triassic evaporites has determined the coupling of the basement with the cover succession. Pyrenean contractional deformation caused the reactivation of the Variscan faults and the tightening and steepening of previously developed folds (Pérez-Estaún et al. [1988](#page-17-0); Alonso et al. [1996](#page-14-0); Pulgar et al. [1999;](#page-17-0) Alonso et al. [2009\)](#page-14-0). These structures have been interpreted to lay in the hangingwall of a shallow north-dipping intracrustal sole thrust, which at surface corresponds to the fold propagation fold and related frontal thrust at the northern boundary of the Duero basin (Fig. [9.2,](#page-2-0) Alonso et al. [1996;](#page-14-0) Pulgar et al. [1999;](#page-17-0) Gallastegui et al. [2002](#page-15-0); Martín-González and Heredia [2011](#page-16-0)). The thick-skinned style of the Cantabrian Mountains is also evidenced by the syncline geometry of the Duero basin which contrasts with the northward tilted floor of the Ebro foreland basin in front and underneath the Pyrenean thrust front. The Paleozoic rocks of the Cantabrian Mountains show an eastern termination at surface as a result of the regional easterly plunge of the structures. Thus, the map gives us a cross-sectional view of the transition of the Cantabrian Mountains to the Basque-Cantabrian Pyrenees (Tavani et al. [2013\)](#page-18-0). There, a system of WNW-ESE faults

(i.e. Cabuérniga, Rumaceo and Golobar faults) involve the basement and the cover and have a right-lateral strike-slip component (Carola et al. [2013;](#page-15-0) Tavani et al. [2013](#page-18-0); Tavani and Granado [2014](#page-17-0)). They formed during the Permo-Triassic and were reactivated during the Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous rift event before the contractional deformation (Espina et al. [2004;](#page-15-0) Tavani and Muñoz [2012](#page-18-0)).

The high-angle faults with a reverse and right-lateral kinematics produced an eastward lateral extrusion of the basement-involved structural units (Tavani et al. [2013\)](#page-18-0). However, there is not a consensus about the structural style at the transition between the Cantabrian Mountains and the Basque Pyrenees. Different proposed models differ about the involvement of the basement of the frontal structures and consequently the degree of coupling between the basement rocks and the Mesozoic succession and the fault kinematics (See Carola et al. [2015](#page-15-0) for a summary of different models). A thick-skinned structural model, either with dominant strike-slip kinematics (Tavani and Granado [2014](#page-17-0)) or with dip-slip kinematics (Quintana et al. [2015\)](#page-17-0), has been interpreted to occur along the Plataforma Burgalesa as far east as the Sierra de Cantabria thrust front (Fig. [9.8](#page-12-0)). Nonetheless, seismic data demonstrate that the Mesozoic succession was decoupled from the basement in the areas were the Triassic salt layer was thick enough, both during the Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous extensional deformation and the subsequent Pyrenean tectonic inversion. This is revealed by the onlap attitude of the synrift sediments above the Pre-rift Jurassic carbonates.

Thus, the transitional area between thick- and thin skinned tectonics and from a strike-slip to a dip-slip framework, and the region accommodating the lateral extrusion of the Cantabrian area, coincides with the southern prolongation of the Santander Mesozoic Transfer Zone

<span id="page-14-0"></span>(Figs. [9.1](#page-1-0) and [9.8](#page-12-0)). This transitional area is a first order discontinuity in terms of the style of the deformation in the Pyrenean Orogen at the western limit of the Triassic evaporites.

The Basque-Cantabrian Pyrenees are characterized by salt structures involving Triassic salt (Cámara 2017). These structures are nicely preserved in the southern fold and thrust belt. There, diapirs have been moderately reactivated and even have not squeezed, suggesting the decoupling of the Mesozoic succession from the basement during the contractional deformation. On the contrary, in the northern wedge along the Basque Arc, salt structures have been significantly squeezed during the reactivation of the basement-involved faults (Fig. [9.9\)](#page-13-0).

#### References

- Abalos B (2016) Geologic map of the Basque-Cantabrian Basin and a new tectonic interpretation of the Basque Arc. International Journal of Earth Sciences 105:2327–2354. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00531-016-1291-6) [016-1291-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00531-016-1291-6)
- Albarède F, Michard-Vitrac A (1978) Age and significance of the North Pyrenean metamorphism. Earth Planet Sci Lett 40(3): 327–332. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x\(78\)90157-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x(78)90157-7)
- Almela A, Ríos JM (1951) Estudio geológico de la zona surpirenaica aragonesa y de sus sierras marginales. I Congreso Internacional de Estudios Pirenaicos, San Sebastian 2 (1): 327–360
- Alonso JL, Pulgar JA, García-Ramos JC, Barba P (1996) Tertiary basins and Alpine tectonics in the Cantabrian Mountains (NW Spain). In: Friend PF, Dabrio CJ (eds) Tertiary Basins of Spain: The Stratigraphic Record of Crustal Kinematics, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, p 214–227
- Alonso JL, Marcos A, Suárez A (2009) Paleogeographic inversion resulting from large out of sequence breaching thrusts: The León Fault (Cantabrian Zone, NW Iberia). A new picture of the external Variscan Thrust Belt in the Ibero-Armorican Arc. Geologica Acta 7:451–473
- Álvarez-Marrón J, Pulgar JA, Dañobeitia JJ et al (1995) Results from the ESCI-N4 marine deep seismic profile in the northern Iberian Margin. Revista de la Sociedad Geológica de España 8 (4):355–363
- Álvarez-Marrón J, Rubio E, Torné M (1997) Subduction-related structures in the North Iberian Margin. Journal of Geophysical Research 102:22497–22511
- Arbués P, Butillé M, López-Blanco M et al (2011) Exploring the relationships between deepwater and shallow-marine deposits in the Aínsa piggy-back basin fill (Eocene, South- Pyrenean Foreland Basin). In: Arenas C, Pomar L Colombo F (eds) Post-Meeting Field Trips Guidebook 8, 28th IAS Meeting, Zaragoza, Soc Geol de España, Geo-guias Zaragoza, Spain p 199–240
- Baby P, Crouzet P, Specht M, Déramond J (1988) Rôle des paléostructures albo-Cénomaniennes dans la géométrie des chevauchements frontaux nord- pyrénéens. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Série II, 306: 307–313
- Barnett-Moore N, Hosseinpour M, Maus S (2016) Assessing discrepancies between previous plate kinematic models of Mesozoic Iberia and their constraints. Tectonics 35:1–20. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(issn)1944-9194) [\(issn\)1944-9194](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(issn)1944-9194)
- Barnolas A, Pujalte V (2004) La Cordillera Pirenaica. In: Vera JA (ed), Geología de España, SGE-IGME, p 233–241
- Barnolas A, Teixell A (1994) Platform sedimentation and collapse in a carbonate-dominated margin of a foreland basin (Jaca Basin, Eocene, southern Pyrenees). Geology 22:1107–1110
- Beamud E, Muñoz JA, Fitzgerald PG et al (2011) Magnetostratigraphy and detrital apatite fission track thermochronology in syntectonic conglomerates: constraints on the exhumation of the South‐Central Pyrenees. Basin Research 23(3):309–331
- Beaumont C, Quinlan G (1994) A geodynamic framework for interpreting crustal-scale seismic-reflectivity patterns in compressional orogens. Geophys J Int 116(3):754–783. [https://doi.org/10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x) [1111/j.1365-246x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x). 1994.tb03295.x
- Beaumont C, Munoz JA, Hamilton J, Fullsack P (2000) Factors controlling the Alpine evolution of central Pyrenees inferred from a comparison of observations and geodynamical models. J Geophys Res 105(B4):8121–8145. [https://doi.org/10.1029/1999jb900390](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/1999jb900390)
- Berástegui X, Garcia JM, Losantos M (1990) Structure and sedimentary evolution of the Organyà basin (Central South Pyrenean Unit, Spain) during the Lower Cretaceous. Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France 8:251–264
- Berástegui X, Losantos M, Muñoz JA, Puigdefàbregas C (1993) Tall geologic del Pirineu Central 1:200.000. Servei Geològic de Catalunya-Institut Cartogràfic de Catalunya, Barcelona
- Boillot G, Capdevila R (1977) The Pyrenees: Subduction and collision?. Earth Planet Sci Lett 121(5):151–160
- Boillot G, Depeuble PA, Malod J (1979) Subduction and tectonics on the continental margin of Northern Spain. Marine Geology 32: 53– 70
- Bronner A, Sauter D, Manatschal G et al (2011) Magmatic breakup as an explanation for magnetic anomalies at magma-poor rifted margins. Nature Geoscience 4 (8):549-553. [https://doi.org/10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1201) [1038/nphys1201](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1201)
- Burbank DW, Vergés J, Muñoz JA, Bentham P (1992a) Coeval hindward- and forward-imbricating thrusting in the south-central Pyrenees, Spain: Timing and rates of shortening and deposition. Geological Society of America Bulletin 104:3–17
- Burbank DW, Puigdefàbregas C, Muñoz JA (1992b) The chronology of the Eocene tectonic and stratigraphic development of the eastern Pyrenean foreland basin, northeast Spain. Geological Society of America Bulletin 104 (9):1101–1120. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1992)104%3c1101:tcotet%3e2.3.co;2) [7606\(1992\)104%3c1101:tcotet%3e2.3.co;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1992)104%3c1101:tcotet%3e2.3.co;2)
- Cámara P (1989) La terminación estructural occidental de la Cuenca Vasco-Cantábrica. In: AGGEP (ed) Libro Homenaje a Rafael Soler. Asociación de Geólogos y Geofísicos Españoles del Petróleo, p 27–35.
- Cámara P (1997) The Basque–Cantabrian basin's Mesozoic tectono-sedimentary evolution. Mémoires de la Societé Géologique de France. 171:187–191
- Cámara P (2017) Salt and Strike-Slip Tectonics as Main Drivers in the Structural Evolution of the Basque-Cantabrian Basin, Spain. In: Soto JI, Flinch JF, Tari G (eds), Permo-Triassic Salt Provinces of Europe, North Africa and the Atlantic Margins Tectonics and Hydrocarbon Potential, Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands, p 371– 393
- Cámara P, Klimowitz J (1985) Interpretación geodinámica de la vertiente centro-occidental surpirenaica (Cuencas de Jaca-Tremp). Estud Geol 41:391–404
- Campanyà J, Ledo J, Queralt P et al (2012) New geoelectrical characterisation of a continental collision zone in the West-Central Pyrenees Constraints from long period and broadband magnetotellurics. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 333–334(C):112–121. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2012.04.018](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2012.04.018)
- Campanyà J, Ledo J, Queralt P et al (2017) Imaging along-strike variations in a continental collision zone: New constraints of the Central - Eastern Pyrenean lithosphere from 3-D joint inversion of electromagnetic responses. Tectonophysics, in press
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Carola E, Tavani S, Ferrer O, et al (2013) Along-strike extrusion at the transition between thin-and thick-skinned domains in the Pyrenean Orogen (northern Spain). Geological Society, London, Special Publications 377:119–140
- Carola E, Munoz JA, Roca E (2015) The transition from thick-skinned to thin-skinned tectonics in the Basque-Cantabrian Pyrenees: the Burgalesa Platform and surroundings. Int J Earth Sci (Geol Rundsch) 104(8):2215–2239. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-015-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00531-015-1177-z) [1177-z](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00531-015-1177-z)
- Casas A, Kearey P, Rivero L, Adam CR (1997) Gravity anomaly map of the Pyrenean region and a comparison of the deep geological structure of the western and eastern Pyrenees. Earth and Planet Sci Lett 150:65–78
- Casas JM, Cirés J, Domingo F et al (2015) Evolución estructural paleozoica de los materiales de la Cerdanya y del Alt Urgell. Geotemas 6(3):259–262
- Chevrot S, Villaseñor A, Sylvander M et al (2014) High-resolution imaging of the Pyrenees and Massif Central from the data of the PYROPE and IBERARRAY portable array deployments. J Geophys Res Solid Earth 119:6399–6420. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2014jb010953) [2014jb010953](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2014jb010953)
- Chevrot S, Sylvander M, Díaz J et al (2015) The Pyrenean architecture as revealed by teleseismic P-to-S converted waves recorded along two dense transects. Geophysical Journal International 200 (2):1094–1105. [https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggu400](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggu400)
- Choukroune P (1976) Structure et évolution tectonique de la Zone Nord Pyrénéenne. Analyse de la déformation dans una partie de chaîne à schistosité sub-verticale. Mem Soc Géol Fr, LV:127 p
- Choukroune P, Mattauer M (1978) Tectonique des plaques et Pyrénées; sur le fonctionnement de la faille transformante nordpyrénéenne; comparaisons avec des modèles actuels. Bull Soc Géol Fr 5: 689– 700.
- Choukroune P, ECORS Team (1989) The ECORS Pyrenean deep seismic profile reflection data and the overall structure of an orogenic belt. Tectonics 8(1):23–39. [https://doi.org/10.1029/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/tc008i001p00023) [tc008i001p00023](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/tc008i001p00023)
- Clerc C, Lagabrielle Y (2014) Thermal control on the modes of crustal thinning leading to mantle exhumation: Insights from the Cretaceous Pyrenean hot paleomargins. Tectonics 33/7:1340–1359. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(issn\)1944-9194](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(issn)1944-9194)
- Clerc C, Lagabrielle Y, Neumaier M et al (2012) Exhumation of subcontinental mantle rocks: evidence from ultramafic-bearing clastic deposits nearby the Lherz peridotite body, French Pyrenees. Bulletin de la Société géologique de France 183(5):443–459
- Coney P, Muñoz J A, McClay K R, Evenchick C A (1996) Syntectonic burial and post-tectonic exhumation of the southern Pyrenees foreland fold–thrust belt. Journal of the Geological Society 153  $(1):9-16$
- Dalloni M (1913) Stratigraphie et tectonique de la région des Nogueras (Pyréneés centrales). Bulletin de la Societé Géologique de France 4:243–263
- DeFelipe I, Pedreira D, Pulgar JA, et al (2017) Mantle exhumation and metamorphism in the Basque-Cantabrian Basin (N Spain): Stable and clumped isotope analysis in carbonates and comparison with ophicalcites in the North-Pyrenean Zone (Urdach and Lherz). Geochem Geophys Geosyst 18:631–652. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2016gc006690) [2016gc006690](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2016gc006690)
- Déramond J, Baby P, Specht M, Crouzet G (1990) Géométrie des chevauchements dans la Zone nord-pyrénéenne ariégeoise precisé par le profil ECORS. Bulletin de la Societé Géologique de France, Serie 8, VI:287–294
- Dinarès-Turell J, García-Senz J (2000) Remagnetization of Lower Cretaceous lime- stones from the southern Pyrenees and relation to the Iberian plate geodynamic evolution. Journal of Geophysical Research 105(B8):19405–19418
- Dreyer T, Corregidor J, Arbues P, Puigdefàbregas C (1999) Architecture of the tectonically influenced Sobrabe deltaic complex in the Ainsa Basin, northern Spain. Sediment Geol 127:127–169
- Engeser T, Schwentke W  $(1986)$  Towards a new concept of the tectonogenesis of the Pyrenees. Tectonophysics 129:233–242
- Espina R, Alonso JL Pulgar JA (2004) Extensión Triásica en la Cuenca Vasco-Cantábrica. In: Vera JA (ed) Geología de España, SGE-IGME, Madrid, p 338–33
- Fernández-Viejo G, Gallart J, Pulgar JA et al (1998) Crustal transition between contiental and oceanic domains along the North Iberian margin from wide angle seismic and gravity data. Geophys Res Lett 25(23):4249–4252
- Fernández-Viejo G, Gallart J, Pulgar JA et al (2000) Seismic signature of Variscan and Alpine tectonics in NW Ibèria: Crustal structure of the Cantàbrian Mountains and Duero basin. J Geophs Res 105 (B2):3001–3018
- Fernández O, Muñoz JA, Arbués P, Falivene O (2012) 3D structure and evolution of an oblique system of relaying folds: the Ainsa basin (Spanish Pyrenees). Journal of the Geological Society 169(5):545– 559
- Ferrer O, Roca E, Benjumea B et al (2008) The deep seismic reflection MARCONI-3 profile: Role of extensional Mesozoic structure during the Pyrenean contractional deformation at the eastern part of the Bay of Biscay. Marine and Petroleum Geology 25:714–730
- Feuillée P, Rat P (1971) Structures et páleogéographies pyrénéo-cantabriques. In: Debyser J, Le Pichon X, Montardet L (ed) Histoire structurale du Golge de Gascogne, 2 Ed Technip, p 1–48
- Fillon C, Huismans RS, van der Beek P, Muñoz JA (2013) Syntectonic sedimentation controls on the evolution of the southern Pyrenean fold-and-thrust belt: Inferences from coupled tectonic-surface processes models. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 118(10):5665–5680. [https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrb.50368](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jgrb.50368)
- Fitzgerald PG, Muñoz JA, Coney PJ, Baldwin SL (1999) Asymmetric exhumation across the Pyrenean orogen: implications for the tectonic evolution of a collisional orogeny. Earth Planet Sci Lett 173:157–170. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x\(99\)00225-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x(99)00225-3)
- Ford ML, Hemmer A, Vacherat K et al (2016) Retro-wedge foreland basin evolution along the ECORS line, eastern Pyrenees, France. Journal of the Geological Society 173(3):419–437. [https://doi.org/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1144/jgs2015-129) [10.1144/jgs2015-129](http://dx.doi.org/10.1144/jgs2015-129)
- Gallart J, Fernández-Viejo G, Díaz J et al (1997) Deep structure of the transition between the Cantabrian mountains and the North Iberian Margin from wide- angle ESCI-N data. Rev Soc Geol España 8 (4):1995, 365–382
- Gallart J, Díaz J, Nercessian A et al (2001) The eastern end of the Pyrenees: Seismic features at the transition to the NW Mediterranean. Geophysical research Letters, 28(11):2277–2280
- Gallastegui J, Pulgar JA, Gallart J (2002) Initiation of an active margin at the North Iberian continent-ocean transition. Tectonics 21(15):  $1 - 14$
- Glover P, Pous J, Queralt P et al (2000) Integrated Two Dimensional Lithospheric Conductivity Modelling in the Pyrenees using Field-scale and Laboratory Measurements. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 178:59–72
- Golberg JM, Maluski H, Leyreloup AF (1986) Petrological and age relationship between emplacement of magmatic breccia, alkaline magmatism, and static metamorphism in the North Pyrenean Zone. Tectonophysics 129(1–4):275–290. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(86)90256-8) [1951\(86\)90256-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(86)90256-8)
- Gong ZC, Langereis G, Mullender TAT (2008) The rotation of Iberia during the Aptian and the opening of the Bay of Biscay. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 273(1–2):80–93. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.06.016) [epsl.2008.06.016](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.06.016)
- Hogan P J, Burbank KDW (1996) Evolution of the Jaca piggy-back basin and emergence of the External Sierras, southern Pyrenees. In:

<span id="page-16-0"></span>Friend PF, Dabrio C J (ed) Tertiary Basins of Spain, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, p. 153–160

- Jammes S, Huismans RS (2012) Structural styles of mountain building: Controls of lithospheric rheologic stratification and extensional inheritance. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 117 (B10). [https://doi.org/10.1029/2012jb009376](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2012jb009376)
- Jammes S, Manatschal G, Lavier L, Masini E (2009) Tectonosedimentary evolution related to extreme crustal thinning ahead of a propagating ocean: Example of the western Pyrenees. Tectonics 28 (4). [https://doi.org/10.1029/2008tc002406](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008tc002406)
- Jammes S, Huismans RS, Muñoz JA (2014) Lateral variation in structural style of mountain building: controls of rheological and rift inheritance. Terra Nova 26(3):201–207. [https://doi.org/10.1111/ter.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ter.12087) [12087](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ter.12087)
- Klitgord K, Schouten H (1986) Plate kinematics of the central Atlantic. Geol North Am 1000:351–378
- Labaume P, Séguret M, Seyve C (1985) Evolution of a turbiditic foreland basin and analogy with an accretionary prism: Example of the Eocene South-Pyrenean basin. Tectonics 4(7): 661–685. doi:10.1029/ TC004i007p00661
- Labaume P, Meresse F, Jolivet M et al (2016) Tectonothermal history of an exhumed thrust‐sheet‐top basin: An example from the south Pyrenean thrust belt. Tectonics 26. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(issn\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(issn)1944-9194) [1944-9194](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(issn)1944-9194)
- Lacombe O, Bellahsen N (2016) Thick-skinned tectonics and basement-involved fold–thrust belts: insights from selected Cenozoic orogens. Geological Magazine 153(5–6):763–810. [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/s0016756816000078) [org/10.1017/s0016756816000078](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/s0016756816000078)
- Lagabrielle Y, Labaume P, De Saint Blanquat M (2010) Mantle exhumation, crustal denudation, and gravity tectonics during Cretaceous rifting in the Pyrenean realm (SW Europe): Insights from the geological setting of the lherzolite bodies. Tectonics 29 (4):1–26. [https://doi.org/10.1029/2009tc002588](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009tc002588)
- Larrasoaña JC, Parés JM, Millán H et al (2003) Paleomagnetic, structural, and stratigraphic constraints on the traverse fault kinematics during basin inversion: The Pamplona Fault (Pyrenees, north Spain). Tectonics 22(6):1071, [https://doi.org/10.1029/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2002tc001446) [2002tc001446](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2002tc001446)
- Le Pichon X, Sibuet JC (1971) Western extension of boundary between European and Iberian plates during the Pyrenean orogeny. Earth Planet Sci Lett 12:83–88
- López-Mir B, Muñoz JA, García-Senz J (2014) Extensional salt tectonics in the partially inverted Cotiella post-rift basin (south-central Pyrenees): structure and evolution. Int J Earth Sci (Geol Rundsch) 104(2):419–434. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00531-014-1091-9) [014-1091-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00531-014-1091-9)
- Martín-González F, Heredia N (2011) Complex tectonic and tectonostratigraphic evolution of an Alpine foreland basin: The western Duero Basin and the related Tertiary depressions of the NW Iberian Peninsula. Tectonophysics 502:75–89. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2010.03.002) [tecto.2010.03.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2010.03.002)
- Martínez-Torres L M (1989) El Manto de los Mármoles (Pirineo Occidental): Geología estructural y evolución geodinámica, PhD thesis, Univ of Pais Vasco, San Sebastián, Spain
- Masini E, Manatschal G, Tugend J et al (2014) The tectonosedimentary evolution of a hyper-extended rift basin: the example of the Arzacq–Mauléon rift system (Western Pyrenees, SW France). Int J Earth Sci (Geol Rundsch) 103:1569–1596. [https://doi.org/10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00531-014-1023-8) [1007/s00531-014-1023-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00531-014-1023-8)
- Mattauer M (1990) Une autre interprétation du perfil ECORS Pyrénées. Bull Soc Géol France, Série 8 6(2):307–311
- McClay K, Muñoz JA, García-Senz J (2004) Extensional salt tectonics in a contractional orogen: A newly identified tectonic event in the Spanish Pyrenees. Geology 32(9):737–740
- Meigs A, Burbank D (1997) Growth of the Sooth Pyrenean orogenic wedge. Tectonics 16(2):239–258
- Millán H, Aurell M, Melendez M (1994) Synchronous detachment folds and coeval sedimentation in the Pyrenean External Sierras (Spain). A case study for a tectonic origin of sequences and system tracts. Sedimentology 41:1001–1024
- Millán H, Pocoví A, Casas A (1995) El frente de cabalgamiento surpirenaico en el extremo occidental de las Sierras Exteriores. Rev Soc Geol España 8(1–2):73–90
- Millán-Garrido H, Pueyo E, Aurell C et al (2000) Actividad tectónica registrada en los depósitos terciarios del frente meridional del Pirineo central. Rev Soc Geol España 13(2):279–300
- Millán-Garrido H, Oliva-Urcia B, Pocoví Juan A (2006) La transversal de Gavarnie-Guara. Estructura y edad de los mantos de Gavarnie, Guara-Gèdre y Guarga (Pirineo centro-occidental). Geogaceta 40:35–38
- Montigny R, Azambre B, Rossy M, Thuizat R (1986) K-Ar study of Cretaceous magmatism and metamorphism in the Pyrenees: Age and length of rotation of the Iberian Peninsula. Tectonophysics 129 (1–4):257–273. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(86\)90255-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(86)90255-6)
- Mouthereau F, Watts AB, Burov E (2013) Structure of orogenic belts controlled by lithosphere age. Nature Geoscience 6(9):785–789. [https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1902](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1902)
- Mouthereau F, Filleaudeau PY, Vacherat A et al (2014) Placing limits to shortening evolution in the Pyrenees: Role of margin architecture and implications for the Iberia/Europe convergence. Tectonics. [https://doi.org/10.1002/2014tc003663](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2014tc003663)
- Muñoz JA (1992). Evolution of a continental collision belt: ECORS-Pyrenees crustal balanced cross-section. In: McClay, K.R. (ed) Thrust Tectonics, Chapman and Hall, London, p 235–246
- Muñoz JA (2002) The Pyrenees. In: Gibbons W, Moreno T (ed) The Geology of Spain, Geological Society of London, London, p 370– 385
- Muñoz JA, Martínez A, Vergés J (1986) Thrust sequences in the eastern Spanish Pyrenees. J Struct Geol 8:399–405
- Muñoz JA, Coney PJ, McClay KR, Evenchick CA (1997) Discussion on syntectonic burial and post-tectonic exhumation of the southern Pyrenees foreland fold-thrust belt. J Geol Soc London 154:361–365
- Muñoz JA, Beamud E, Fernández O et al (2013) The Ainsa fold and thrust oblique zone of the central pyrenees: Kinematics of a curved contractional system from paleomagnetic and structural data. Tectonics. [https://doi.org/10.1002/tect.20070](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tect.20070)
- Mutti E, Séguret M, Sgavetti M (1988) Sedimentation and deformation in the Tertiary sequences of the Southern Pyrenees. Field Trip 7 guidebook AAPG Mediterranean Basins Conference, Nice, France, Special Publication of the Institute of Geology of the Univ. of Parma, Parma, Italy.
- Neres M, Miranda JM, Font E (2013) Testing Iberian kinematics at Jurassic-Cretaceous times. Tectonics 32(5):1312–1319. [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tect.20074) [org/10.1002/tect.20074](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tect.20074)
- Nirrengarten M, Manatschal G, Tugend J et al (2016) Nature and origin of the J-magnetic anomaly offshore Iberia-Newfoundland: implications for plate reconstructions. Terra Nova 29:20–28. [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ter.12240) [org/10.1111/ter.12240](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ter.12240)
- Oliva-Urcia B, Casas AM, Pueyo EL, Pocoví A (2012) Structural and paleomagnetic evidence for non-rotational kinematics in the western termination of the External Sierras (southwestern central Pyrenees). Geol Acta 10(2):125–144. [https://doi.org/10.1344/105.000001704](http://dx.doi.org/10.1344/105.000001704)
- Olivet JL (1996) La cinématique de la plaque Ibérie. Bull Cent Rech Explor-Prod Elf Aquitaine 20:131–195
- Pedreira D (2003) Seismic evidence of Alpine crustal thickening and wedging from the western Pyrenees to the Cantabrian Mountains (north Iberia). Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 108 (B4), 2204. [https://doi.org/10.1029/2001jb001667](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2001jb001667)
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>Pedreira D (2004) Estructura cortical de la zona de transición entre los Pirineos y la Cordillera Cantábrica. PhD thesis, Universidad de Oviedo
- Pedreira D, Afonso JC, Pulgar JA et al (2015) Geophysical-petrological modeling of the lithosphere beneath the Cantabrian Mountains and the North-Iberian margin: geodynamic implications. LITHOS 230 (C):46–68. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2015.04.018](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2015.04.018)
- Pérez-Estaún A, Bastida F, Alonso JL et al (1988) A thin-skinned tectonics model for an arcuate fold and thrust belt: the Cantabrian Zone (Variscan Ibero-Armorican Arc). Tectonics 7:517–537
- Pérez-Estaún A, Pulgar JA, Banda E et al (1994). Crustal structure of the external Variscides in northwest Spain from deep seismic reflection profiling. Tectonophysics 232:91–118
- Poblet J. Hardy S (1995) Reverse modelling of detachment folds: Applications to the Pico del Aguila anticline in the South Central Pyrenees (Spain). Jour Struct Geol 17(12):1707–1724
- Poblet J, Muñoz JA, Travé A, Serra-Kiel J (1998) Quantifying the kinematics of detachment folds using three-dimensional geometry: Application to the Mediano anticline (Pyrenees, Spain). Geological Society of America Bulletin 110(1):111–125
- Pueyo EL, Millán H, Pocovi A (2002) Rotation velocity of a thrust: a paleomagnetic study in the External Sierras (Southern Pyrenees). Sedimentary Geology 146:191–208, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0037-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0037-0738(01)00%5C72-5) [0738\(01\)00%5C72-5.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0037-0738(01)00%5C72-5)
- Pueyo-Anchuela O, Pueyo EL, Pocoví A, Gil-Imaz A (2012) Vertical axis rotations in fold and thrust belts: Comparison of AMS and paleomagnetic data in the Western External Sierras (Southern Pyrenees). Tectonophysics 532–535:119–133). [https://doi.org/10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2012.01.023) [1016/j.tecto.2012.01.023](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2012.01.023)
- Puigdefàbregas C (1975) La sedimentación molásica en la cuenca de Jaca. Pirineos 104:1–188
- Puigdefàbregas C, Muñoz JA, Marzo M (1986) Thrust belt development in the Eastern Pyrenees and related depositional sequences in the southern foreland basin. In: Allen PA, Homewood P (ed) Foreland Basins. Spec Publ Int Assoc Sedimentol 8, p 229–245
- Pujadas J, Casas JM, Muñoz JA, Sàbat F (1989) Thrust tectonics and Paleogene syntectonic sedimentation in the Empordà area (Southeastern Pyrenees). Geodinamica Acta 3:195–206
- Pulgar JA, Gallart J, Fernández-Viejo G et al (1996) Seismic image of the Cantabrian Mountains in the western extension of the Pyrenees from integrated ESCIN reflection and refraction data. Tectonophysics 264:1–19
- Pulgar JA, Alonso JL, Espina RG, Marín JA (1999) La deformación alpina en el basamento varisco de la Zona Cantábrica. Trabajos de Geología, Univ Oviedo 21:283–295. [https://doi.org/10.17811/tdg.](http://dx.doi.org/10.17811/tdg.21.1999.283-295) [21.1999.283-295](http://dx.doi.org/10.17811/tdg.21.1999.283-295)
- Quintana L, Pulgar JA, Alonso JL (2015) Displacement transfer from borders to interior of a plate: A crustal transect of Iberia. Tectonophysics 663:1–21. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.08.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.08.046) [046](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.08.046)
- Ramón MJ, Pueyo EL, Briz JL, Pocoví A, Ciria JC (2012) Flexural unfolding in 3D using paleomagnetic vectors, J Struct Geol 35:28– 39, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2011.11.015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2011.11.015).
- Rat P (1988) The Basque-Cantabrian Basin between the Iberian and European plates: some facts but still many problems. Revista de la Sociedad Geológica de España 1:327–348
- Riba O, Jurado MJ (1992) Reflexiones sobre la geologia de la parte occidental de la Depresión del Ebro. Acta Geológica Hispánica, Libro Homenaje a Oriol Riba Arderiu, 27(1/2):177–193
- Roca E, Muñoz JA, Ferrer O, Ellouz N (2011) The Role of the Bay of Biscay Mesozoic extensional structure in the configuration of the Pyrenean orogeny: Constraints from the MARCONI deep seismic reflection survey. Tectonics 30, TC2001. [https://doi.org/10.1029/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010tc002735) [2010tc002735](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010tc002735)
- Roest WR, Srivastava SP (1991) Kinematics of the plate boundaries between Eurasia, Iberia and Africa in the North Atlantic from the Late Cretaceous to the present. Geology 19:613–616
- Rosenbaum G, Lister GS, Duboz C (2002) Relative motions of Africa, Iberia and Europe during Alpine orogeny. Tectonophysics 359:117– 129
- Roure F, Choukroune P, Berastegui X, Muñoz JA, Villien A, Matheron P, Bareyt M, Séguret M, Cámara P, Déramond J (1989) ECORS deep seismic data and balanced cross-sections: Geometric constraints to trace the evolution of the Pyrenees. Tectonics 8:41–50
- Ruiz M, Díaz J, Pedreira D et al (2017) Crustal structure of the North Iberian continental margin from seismic refraction/wide-angle reflection profiles. Tectonophysics, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2017.07.008) [2017.07.008](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2017.07.008)
- Sánchez F (1991) Evolución estructural post-kimmérica de la plataforma continental vasco-cantábrica. PhD thesis, Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros de Minas, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid
- Santolaria P (2015) Salt and thrust tectonics in the South Central Pyrenees. Diss PhD thesis, University of Zaragoza
- Santolaria P, Vendeville BC, Graveleau F et al (2015) Double evaporitic décollements: Influence of pinch-out overlapping in experimental thrust wedges. Journal of Structural Geology 76:35– 51. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2015.04.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2015.04.002)
- Séguret M (1972) Étude tectonique des nappes et séries decollées de la partie centrale du versant sud des Pyrénées. Publications de I 'Université de Sciences et Techniques du Languedoc, série Géologie Structurale. n. 2, Montpellier
- Sibuet JC, Srivastava SP, Spakman W (2004) Pyrenean orogeny and plate kinematics. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 109 (B8), B08104. [https://doi.org/10.1029/2003jb002514](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2003jb002514)
- Sibuet JC, Srivastava S, Manatschal G (2007) Exhumed mantle-forming transitional crust in the Newfoundland-Iberia rift and associated magnetic anomalies: Journal of Geophysical Research. Solid Earth 112(B6), B06105. [https://doi.org/10.1029/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005jb003856) [2005jb003856](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005jb003856)
- Solé Sugrañes L (1971) Estudio geológico del Prepirineo español entre los ríos Segre y Llobregat. Acta Geol Hispànica 6(1):8–12
- Soto R, Casas AM, Storti F, Faccenna C (2002) Role of lateral thickness variations on the development of oblique structures at the western end of the South Pyrenean Central Unit. Tectonophysics 350:215–235
- Soto R, Casas-Sainz AM, Pueyo EL (2006) Along-strike variation of orogenic wedges associated with vertical axis rotations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 111(B10), B10402. [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005jb004201) [org/10.1029/2005jb004201](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005jb004201)
- Srivastava SP, Schouten H, Roest WR et al (1990) Iberian plate kinematics: A jumping boundary between Eurasia and Africa. Nature 344:756–759
- Srivastava S, Sibuet JC, Cande S et al (2000), Magnetic evidence for slow seafloor spreading during the formation of the Newfoundland and Iberian margins. Earth Planet Sci Lett 182:61–76
- Stampfli GM, Hochard C (2009) Plate tectonics of the Alpine realm: Geological Society, London, Special Publications, v. 327(1):89– 111. [https://doi.org/10.1144/sp327.6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1144/sp327.6)
- Sussman AJ, Butler RF, Dinarès-Turell J, Vergés J (2004) Vertical-axis rotation of a foreland fold and implications for orogenic curvature: an example from the Southern Pyrenees, Spain. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 218:435–449. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x(03)00644-7) [\(03\)00644-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x(03)00644-7)
- Tavani S, Granado P (2014) Along-strike evolution of folding, stretching and breaching of supra-salt strata in the Plataforma Burgalesa extensional forced fold system (northern Spain). Basin Research 27:573–585. [https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12089](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bre.12089)
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>Tavani S, Muñoz J (2012) Mesozoic rifting in the Basque–Cantabrian Basin (Spain): Inherited faults, transversal structures and stress perturbation. Terra Nova 24:70–76.
- Tavani S, Carola E, Granado P et al (2013) Transpressive inversion of a Mesozoic extensional forced fold system with an intermediate décollement level in the Basque-Cantabrian Basin (Spain). Tectonics 32:146–158. [https://doi.org/10.1002/tect.20019](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tect.20019)
- Tassone A, Roca E, Muñoz JA et al (1994) Evolución del sector septentrional del margen continental catalán durante el Cenozoico. Acta geológica hispánica 29(2):3–37
- Teixell A (1996) The Ansó transect of the southern Pyrenees: Basement and cover thrust geometries. J Geol Soc London 153:301–310
- Teixell A (1998) Crustal structure and orogenic material budget in the west central Pyrenees. Tectonics, 17(3):395–406
- Tucholke BE, Sibuet JC (2012) Problematic plate reconstruction. Nature Geoscience 5(10):676–677. [https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1596](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1596)
- Tugend J, Manatschal G, Kusznir NJ et al (2014) Formation and deformation of hyperextended rift systems: Insights from rift domain mapping in the Bay of Biscay-Pyrenees. Tectonics 33 (7):1239–1276. [https://doi.org/10.1002/2014tc003529](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2014tc003529)
- Vacher P, Souriau A (2001) A three-dimensional model of the Pyrenean deep structure based on gravity modelling, seismic images and petrological constraints. Geophysical Journal Int 145:460–470
- Vergés J (1993) Estudi geològic del vessant sud del Pirineu oriental i central. Evolució cinemàtica en 3D. PhD thesis, Univ Barcelona
- Vergés J, Muñoz JA (1990) Thrust sequences in the southern central Pyrenee. Bull Soc Géol Fr 2:265–271
- Vergés J, Muñoz JA, Martínez A (1992) South Pyrenean fold-and-thrust belt: role of foreland evaporitic levels in thrust geometry. In: McClay K (ed) Thrust Tectonics. Chapman, Hall, London, p 255–264
- Vergés J, Millán H, Roca E et al (1995) Eastern Pyrenees and related foreland basins: pre-, syn- and post-collisional crustal-scale cross-sections. Mar Petrol Geol 12:893–915
- Vissers RLM, Meijer PT (2012) Mesozoic rotation of Iberia: Subduction in the Pyrenees?. Earth-Science Reviews 110(1–4):93–110. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2011.11.001.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2011.11.001)
- Vissers RLM, van Hinsbergen DJJ, van der Meer DG, Spakman W (2016) Cretaceous slab break-off in the Pyrenees: Iberian plate-kinematics in paleomagnetic and mantle reference frames. Gondwana Research 34:1–51. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2016.03.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2016.03.006) [006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2016.03.006)
- Wang Y, Chevrot S, Monteiller V et al (2016) The deep roots of the western Pyrenees revealed by full waveform inversion of teleseismic P waves. Geology 44:475–478. [https://doi.org/10.1130/g37812.1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/g37812.1)