Study on Implementation of Neural Network Controlling Four Quadrants Direct Current Chopper

Part1: Using Single Neural Network Controller with Binary Data Output

S. Arof, N. H. Diyanah, P. A. Mawby and H. Arof

Abstract This paper describes the implementation of Artificial Neural Network (ANN) as chopper operation controller (COC) that is responsible for selecting the correct mode for a four quadrants direct current Chopper (FQDC) that drives a dc series motor. In turn, the direct curent motor propels an electric car (EC). The right mode of chopper operation is necessary for optimum performance and maximum battery power utility. The process starts with preparing the data for training the ANN controller. Then a MATLAB/Simulink model is established to test the performance of the trained ANN. The results show the ANN can perform the tasks of a COC successfully.

Keywords Direct current drive · Artificial neural network · Electric vehicle Electric car \cdot Series motor \cdot Four quadrants chopper

N. H. Diyanah e-mail: diyanahisham94@gmail.com

S. Arof · P. A. Mawby School of Engineering, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK e-mail: P.A.Mawby@warwick.ac.uk

H. Arof Engineering Department, Universiti Malaya, Jalan Universiti, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia e-mail: ahamzah@um.edu.my

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020 A. Ismail et al. (eds.), Advanced Engineering for Processes and Technologies, Advanced Structured Materials 102, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-05621-6_4

S. Arof $(\boxtimes) \cdot N$. H. Diyanah

Malaysian Spanish Institute, Universiti Kuala Lumpur, Kulim Hi-Tech Park, 09000 Kulim, Kedah, Malaysia e-mail: saharul@unikl.edu.my

1 Introduction and Review

The advancement of super capacitors as a power storage medium and the introduction of efficient low voltage direct current motors have motivated researchers to develop direct current drive suitable for electric vehicle or hybrid electric vehcle (HEV) application $[1-4]$ $[1-4]$ $[1-4]$ $[1-4]$.

1.1 Four Quadrants Drive DC Chopper and Chopper Operation Controller

The common half-bridge direct current chopper for direct current series motor is not suitable for electric vehicle or hybrid electric vehicle application as it cannot perform reverse driving, field weakening and resistive braking [[5](#page-19-0)–[8\]](#page-19-0). Therefore, a new four quadrants direct current drive chopper (FQDC) that offers a complete set of modes in forward and reverse driving, field weakening, parallel driving, generator, regenerative braking and resistive braking is needed. The FQDC is shown in Fig. 1 [\[9](#page-19-0)].

1.2 Chopper Operation Controller

The FQDC uses three controllers to select the right mode of operation, fire IGBTs, and delay the interchange of contactors. The controller that selects the mode of operation is called the chopper operation controller (COC). It contains an expert

chopper

system, fuzzy logic, self tuning fuzzy logic or neural network algorithm that processes the input signals and determines the best operating mode to execute $[10-12]$ $[10-12]$ $[10-12]$ $[10-12]$.

1.3 Neural Network as Chopper Operation Controller

A multilayer perceptron shown in Fig. 2 is a feedforward neural network with one or more hidden layers. It consists of input layer of source neurons, at least one middle or hidden layer and an output layer. The input layer accepts input signals and redistribute them to all neurons in the hidden layers. The output layer accepts output signals from hidden layer and establishes the output pattern. The weight of the neurons represent the features hidden in the input pattern. This multilayer feedforward neural network can be trained with back propagation algorithm such as Lavenberg–Marquardt (LM) technique [[13\]](#page-19-0).

2 Methodology

An electric car usually comes with some preset parameters such as maximum torque, speed and expected distance traversed when fully charged. In general, the performance of a particular EC is measured based on these parameters [[11,](#page-19-0) [12,](#page-19-0) [14\]](#page-20-0). However, there are other factors that influence its performance like load and the profile of the route such as climbing up a steep hill, negotiating traffic jam, going downhill, etc. If a fully loaded EC climbs up a steep hill its speed will drop and a lot of energy is expended.

Fig. 2 Multilayer perceptron

2.1 Proposed Control Strategy

The FQDC requires three controllers to support its operations and they are the chopper operation controller (COC), subsequent and delay controller (SAD) and IGBT firing controller (IFC) [[15](#page-20-0)–[17\]](#page-20-0). In this study, an ANN algorithm is implemented as the COC of the FQDC to choose the most suitable mode of operation in response to signals from accelerator pedal, brake pedal, speed, error, etc. Failure to choose the right mode is unacceptable and will be downright dangerous. Figure 3 shows the flow of determining the most suitable chopper operation for the EV by the ANN controller. When accelerator or brake pedal signal is received, relevant data such as speed, torque, error, rate of error, load and current mode are passed to the ANN controller and processed based on the inputs. The ANN operator generates an output value for each mode of operation. The output value indicates the fitness of each mode and the one with the highest output will be selected as the most suitable mode to be executed.

2.2 Neural Network Data Training Preparation Process

2.2.1 Neural Network Data Preparation

To train the ANN controller, sufficient data, which include the input and output signal must be available. In preparing the needed data, the first step is to choose the profile of the route also known as the earth profile. Figure [4](#page-4-0) shows examples of different earth profiles that can be used to test the EC. Each profile should comprise different driving conditions such as flat driving, going downhill and uphill, so that different modes of the controller are invoked.

2.2.2 Accelerator and Brakes Signals

In the second step, the expected accelerator and brake pedal signals that accompany each earth profile are prepared. The accelerator pedal and brake pedal signals for earth profile 1 in Fig. 4, are shown in Figs. 5 and [6](#page-5-0) respectively.

2.2.3 Predetermined Chopper Operation

The output data set is the sequence of the chopper operation modes. It must be made available for the ANN training together with the input data. So first, raw input data are estimated logically using simple physics rule based on the earth profile, accelerator and brake pedal signals. Then, using the raw input data, the earth profile and the accelerator and brake signals, the sequence of the operation modes is

determined. Figure 7 shows an example of the predetermined chopper mode sequence for a test earth profile. At any instance, only one mode is activated. In the figure, signal 9 is the accelerator pedal, signal 8 is the earth profile, signal 7 is the brake pedal and signals 1–6 are the chopper operation modes. During start up, the driving mode is selected. As the EC reaches a sufficient speed level, the mode switches to the field weakening as more speed is needed due to the high accelerator signal. When the EC attains the desired speed and the accelerator signal turns low, the generator mode is selected to recover the kinetic energy. When a normal brake command is detected at a high speed, the regenerative mode is activated. As the

vehicle speed drops to a certain value while the brake signal is still high, the resistive braking mode is triggered. However when brake command is replaced by a low acceleration command at low vehicle speed, the driving mode is activated again. When the vehicle moves downhill while the accelerator signal is low, the generator mode should be selected. However, if the accelerator signal is high, the field weakening mode should be engaged. As the vehicle climbs a steep hill, the speed is expected to drop. Hence, as more torque is required the parallel mode is selected. Finally, as the vehicle gains more speed with the accelerator signal still high, the field weakening mode is selected.

2.2.4 Matlab/Simulink Simulation Model

Once the raw data and the mode sequence are available, a simulation model of the FQDC [[12\]](#page-19-0) and the dynamic model of the EC are established using MATLAB/ Simulink. Details of the EC vehicle dynamic model are not in the scope of this paper. The simulation model is prepared to obtain more realistic input and output data signals and to correct the data used earlier. Figure 8 below shows the MATLAB/Simulink model for the data collection.

In this step, the data used for the ANN training are adjusted in case they appear illogical or they disregard the influence of intra-variables. As a result, an operation mode can be deleted, delayed or replaced in the mode sequence. Figure [9](#page-7-0) shows delay adjustments to a set of modes.

Fig. 8 Simulation model for four quadrants drive test

Fig. 9 Adjust the predetermined chopper operation modes

2.2.5 Gathering Data for NN Training

After the data adjustment step, the MATLAB/Simulink model is run again. This time, the generated data such as speed, error, rate of error, voltage, acceleration signal, brake signal, etc. are recorded. The data will be used as inputs while the chopper modes are used as outputs when training the ANN. Figures 10 and [11](#page-8-0) show examples of the signals (normalized) and three different chopper modes.

2.2.6 Target Output Signals for ANN Training

If a single ANN is used, the number of its output nodes can be one or equal to the number of modes. If the number of output node is one, it generates a real value. In this case, each mode is represented by a value within an interval as shown in Table [2](#page-9-0).

Note that the values representing the modes are equally separated. However, if the output nodes are as many as the modes, each output node can take a binary or real value as shown in Table 1.

Another approach is to use as many ANNs as the number of modes. Thus each ANN has a single output as shown in Fig. [12.](#page-9-0) In this study, only one ANN is used and it has 6 output nodes as shown in Fig. [13.](#page-10-0) Each output node has a binary output.

Neural network output data to represent chopper operation modes in binary						
Selected mode	DRV	FW	PAR	GEN	RGB	RSB
DRIVING	1	0	Ω	Ω	Ω	Ω
FW	Ω	1	Ω	Ω	Ω	Ω
PAR	Ω	Ω	1	Ω	Ω	Ω
GEN	Ω	Ω	Ω		Ω	Ω
RGB	Ω	Ω	Ω	Ω	1	Ω
RSB	Ω	Ω	Ω	Ω	Ω	1
Neural network output data to represent chopper operation modes in integer						
Selected mode	DRV	FW	PAR	GEN	RGB	RSB
DRIVING	0.15	0	0	Ω	Ω	Ω
FW	Ω	0.3	Ω	Ω	Ω	Ω
PAR	Ω	Ω	0.4	Ω	Ω	Ω
GEN	Ω	Ω	Ω	0.6	Ω	Ω
RGB	Ω	Ω	Ω	Ω	0.75	Ω
RSB	θ	Ω	Ω	Ω	Ω	0.9

Table 1 Target of NN controller

Fig. 12 Six NN controllers with single output

2.3 Neural Network Training

The input and output data sets previously collected, are used to train the ANN controller in a supervised learning. MATLAB/Simulink neural network tool is used for this purpose (Fig. [14](#page-11-0)).

The number of nodes in the hidden layer and the number of iteration are adjusted during training to get the lowest error rate. Figure [15](#page-11-0) shows the flow of training the ANN.

Fig. 13 One single NN controller with six output nodes

2.3.1 NN Training Results

The performance of the ANN controller is tabulated in confusion matrices of Figs. [16,](#page-12-0) [17,](#page-13-0) [18](#page-14-0) and [19.](#page-15-0) The training, validation, testing and overall confusion matrices are given in Fig. [16](#page-12-0). As seen, the number of incorrect responses for each mode is low, and thus the overall accuracy is high. In Fig. [17](#page-13-0), the error histogram indicates that the distribution of errors for training, validation and test phases is almost at −0.033 and this is considered acceptable. Figure [18](#page-14-0) shows the relationship between the outputs of the network and the targets. The training, validating and testing curves look similar indicating a good condition. In Fig. [19](#page-15-0), the regression result is as expected result due to binary output target and this is acceptable. In Fig. [20,](#page-15-0) the receiver operating characteristics (ROC) is shown. The colored lines in each axis represent the ROC curves. The ROC curve is a plot of the true positive rate (1—sensitivity) versus the false negative rate (1—specificity) as the threshold is varied. A perfect test is shown in the figure in the left upper corner, with 100% sensitivity and 100% specificity [[18\]](#page-20-0).

Fig. 14 Matlab/Simulink tool for NN training

Fig. 15 NN training process flow diagram

Fig. 16 Training confusion

2.4 Testing the ANN

The ANN controller is tested with a new set of input signals to test its response. Figure [21](#page-16-0) shows the simulation model and Fig. [22](#page-16-0) shows the chopper operation controller (COC) responses.

3 Neural Network Controller Final Testing and Results

3.1 Development of Complete Simulation Model

Computer simulation using MATLAB/Simulink as shown in Fig. [23](#page-16-0) is used to test the Neural Network chopper operation controller (COC) and to study its effectiveness in a complete system that represents an EC. Some parameters value that are required by the simulation software such as car weight, voltage supply, max power, etc. must be provided by the user.

Fig. 17 Error histogram

3.2 Performance Index (PI)

As the ANN controller for this study has six outputs, a performance index(PI) is needed to compare each output of the ANN. The rule to follow is that the output node with the highest value represents the mode to be executed. A PI with "if then rules" is used in this study and in Fig. [24,](#page-17-0) the associated ANN controller is shown.

Such "if then rules" example is If $Q1 > Q2$ & & $Q1 > Q3$ & & $Q1 > Q4$ & & $Q1$ & O5 & & O1 > O6, then selected mode is O1.

3.3 Results

The results of implementing the MATLAB/Simulink model are shown in Figs. [25](#page-17-0), [26,](#page-17-0) [27](#page-18-0), [28](#page-18-0) and [29.](#page-18-0) Figure [25](#page-17-0) shows a sequence of FQDC modes chosen by the ANN controller. Overall, the ANN picks the right mode of operation most of the time except where glitches occur at the end of the test. Figure [26](#page-17-0) shows an enlarged view of the part where the glitches are. This is due to a slight confusion when the ANN controller is not sure whether to choose the field weakening or parallel mode.

Fig. 18 NN performance

But eventually it picks the parallel mode, which is the correct decision. In Fig. [27](#page-18-0) the motor speed is shown. The maximum acceleration and speed are restricted by the state of charge (SOC) of the battery and the remaining distance traverse shown in Fig. [28.](#page-18-0) The SOC is shown in Fig. [29](#page-18-0).

Fig. 20 NN ROC

Fig. 21 NN COC testing simulation model

Fig. 23 MATLAB/Simulink model

Fig. 24 PI with NN controller

Fig. 26 NN controller output

0 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400

Time (x 10ms)

4 Conclusion

ANN has a high potential to be utilized as the chopper operation controller (COC) for electric vehcile. In summary, the performance of the ANN, which operates as a COC with six outputs, is satisfactory. The results vindicate that the technique, which starts with preparing the input-output data for training the ANN controller and establishing the MATLAB/Simulink model, is successfully implemented. Retraining the network, increasing the number of hidden neurons, training vectors, input-output data, or trying different training algorithms may improve the performance of the system. The SOC, DT and speed may also influence its performance. The effects of adjusting these parameters will be discussed in another paper.

References

- 1. Gao, Y., Ehsani, M.: Design and control methodology of plug-in hybrid electric vehicles. IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron. 57(2), 633–640 (2010)
- 2. Westbrook, M.H.: The Electric and Hybrid Electric Vehicle. SAE, USA (2001)
- 3. Husain, I.: Electric and Hybrid Electric Vehicles, design fundamentals. CRC Press, USA (2003)
- 4. Oak Ridge National Laboratory: Advanced Brush Technology for DC Motors (2009). Available: <http://peemrc.ornl.gov/projects/emdc3.jpg>
- 5. Heinrich, Walter Rentsch, Herbert Dr.-Ing, ABB Industry: "Guide to Variable Speed Drives," Technical Guide No. 41180 D-68619 LAMPERTHEIM, Germany, 3ADW 000 059 R0201 REV B (02.01), DCS 400/DCS 500/DCS 600: ABB (2003)
- 6. Bansal, R.C., Birla Institute of Technology and Science, Pilani, India.: Electric Vehicle, Handbook Of Automotive Power Electronics and Motor Drives. Taylor & Francis Group, CRC Press, USA (2005)
- 7. Rashid, M.H.: Power Electronics, Circuits, Devices and Applications, 3rd ed. Prentice Hall (2004)
- 8. Senthil Kumar, N., Sasasivam, V., Muruganandam, M.: A low cost four-quadrant chopper fed embedded DC drive using fuzzy controller. J. Electr. Power Compon. Syst. 35, 907–920 (2007)
- 9. Arof, S.,Yaakob, N.M. Jalil, J.A., Mawby, P.A., Arof, H.: Series motor four quadrants drive DC chopper, part 1: Overall. In: International Conference on Power Electronics (2014)
- 10. Arof, S., Muhd Khairulzaman, A. K., Jalil, J.A., Arof, H., Mawby, P.A.: Self tuning fuzzy logic controlling chopper operation of four quadrants drive DC chopper for low cost electric vehicle. In: 6th International Conference on Intelligent Systems, Modeling and Simulation, IEEE computer Society, pp. 40–24 (2015). [https://doi.org/10.1109/isms.2015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/isms.2015)
- 11. Arof, S., Muhd Khairulzaman, A.K., Jalil, J.A., H. Arof, Mawby, P.A.: Artificial intelligence controlling chopper operation of four quadrants drive DC chopper for low cost electric vehicle. Int. J. Simul. Sci. Technol. (2015). [https://doi.org/10.5013/ijssst.a.16.04.03,2015.ijsst](http://dx.doi.org/10.5013/ijssst.a.16.04.03,2015.ijsst)
- 12. Joshi, D., Bansal, R.C.: Performance evaluation of multiquadrant DC drive using fuzzy-genetic approach. Application of Genetic Algorithm for Optimization of Control Strategy in Parallel HEV
- 13. Michael, N.: Artificial Intelligence, a Guide to Intelligent System, 3rd edn. (2011)
- 14. Dazhi, W., Jie, Y., Qing, Y., Dongsheng, W., Hui, J.: Estimation and control of hybrid electric vehicle using artificial neural networks. In: 2nd IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications, pp. 35–40 (2007)
- 15. Arof, S., Hassan, H., Rosyidi, M., Mawby, P.A., Arof, H.: Implementation of series motor four quadrants drive dc chopper for dc drive electric car and LRT. J. Appl. Environ. Biol. Sci. 7(3S), 73–82 (2017)
- 16. Arof, S., Jalil, J.A., Kamaruddin, N.H., Yaakop, N.M., Mawby, P.A., Arof, H.: Series motor four quadrants drive dc chopper part 2: driving and reverse with direct current control. In: International Conference on Power Electronics, pp. 775–780. 978-1-5090-2547-3/16. [https://doi.org/10.1109/pecon2016.7951663](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/pecon2016.7951663)
- 17. Arof, S., Noor, N.M., Elias, F., Mawby, P.A., Arof, H.: Investigation of chopper operation of series motor four quadrants DC chopper. J. Appl. Environ. Biol. Sci. 7(3S), 49–56 (2017)
- 18. Mathworks: User guide to Neural Network using MATLAB/Simulink Toolbox. [http://www.](http://www.mathworks.com/help/nnet) [mathworks.com/help/nnet](http://www.mathworks.com/help/nnet)