Chapter 14 Impact of Nanomaterials on Plant Physiology and Functions



Rubbel Singla, Avnesh Kumari, and Sudesh Kumar Yadav

14.1 Introduction

Nanotechnology has generated a variety of nanoparticles (NPs) with differences in size, shape, surface charge, and surface chemistry (Albanese et al. 2012). Among the various types of nanomaterials synthesized and released in the environment, metallic NPs are found in greater amount. The metal-based NPs have been extensively used in different applications (Husen and Siddigi 2014a; Siddigi et al. 2016, 2018; Husen 2017) which are directly or indirectly related to humans (Zhu and Njuguna 2014) and the environment (Remédios et al. 2012). The systematic design and engineering of NPs pose risks through inhalation, dermal penetration, and environmental persistence to humans as well as environment (Maurer-Jones et al. 2013). Small size and large surface area of NPs make them more prone for causing toxicity in the ecosystem (Ostiguy and IRSST (Québec) 2006). Plants, the most abundant species in the ecosystem, possess the highest likelihood to experience adverse or positive effects of NPs (Maiti et al. 2015). The presence of NPs in the air, water, and soil shows inevitable effects on plants. NPs are absorbed directly or indirectly by rootless or rooted surface by any of the physical or chemical processes. NPs are transported to leaves and other parts of plants through stem and may get accumulated in seeds (Maiti et al. 2015). Plants originating from seeds having NP concentration above bioconcentration may develop toxicity. NPs also play vital role in the protection of plants against different abiotic stresses as these can mimic the role of antioxidant enzymes (Rico et al. 2013a, b; Wei and Wang 2013; Siddiqi and Husen 2016, 2017). They may also enhance the photosynthesis rate by suppressing the

R. Singla · A. Kumari

Biotechnology Division, CSIR-Institute of Himalayan Bioresource Technology, Palampur, HP, India

S. K. Yadav (🖂) Center of Innovative and Applied Bioprocessing (CIAB), Mohali, PB, India

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

A. Husen, M. Iqbal (eds.), *Nanomaterials and Plant Potential*, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-05569-1_14 osmotic and oxidative stresses (Haghighi and Pessarakli 2013; Qi et al. 2013). On the other hand, plants also show toxic effects depending upon the plant species and the type and concentration of NPs used (Begum et al. 2011; Slomberg and Schoenfisch 2012). For instance, the presence of NPs in growth media inhibits the seed germination rate, root and shoot length, plant biomass, and even the level of nutrients (Barhoumi et al. 2015; Da Costa and Sharma 2016; Wang et al. 2015). Exposure of plants to NPs has detrimental effects on cell biosynthesis, cell organization, electron transport, and energy pathways of the plant system (Landa et al. 2012; Van Aken 2015). Extensive studies have been carried out to investigate the interaction of NPs with plants, but the role of NPs in plant physiology and functions still remains ambiguous and unclear.

This chapter addresses the role of metallic NPs in plant physiology affecting the various functions of plant systems. The mechanism of NPs uptake, transport, and accumulation in the plant and plant parts and the role of NPs associated factors in relation to major physiological processes such as photosynthesis, water and nutrient uptake, respiration, transpiration, and seed germination have been highlighted. Both the negative and positive effects of metallic NPs on the activation of plant growth and development have been discussed with special focus on the NP-mediated gene delivery to plants as well as the phytotoxicity of NPs.

14.2 NPs Interactions with Plants

As already mentioned, the wide applicability and use of metal NPs in our daily life are directly proportional to the release of NPs in the environment (Maurer-Jones et al. 2013). NPs discharged into the environment possess a great tendency to interact with the terrestrial or aquatic plants through the atmosphere, soil, or water (Rico et al. 2011). The mechanism of NPs interactions with plants covers mainly three phases: uptake, translocation, and accumulation. The interactions of NPs with plants depends upon the type of plant species, type of NPs, the chemical composition, surface functionality, properties, shape and size of NPs, and many more factors (Rico et al. 2011). The uptake of nanomaterials by plants is a current topic of research in the scientific world, as most of the data available till date provides a view merely in the formative stage. This is because the protocols followed to measure the quantity of NPs entering in the plant tissues are not yet well-defined (Remédios et al. 2012). The lack of proper information regarding how the NPs enter the plant tissues, their transport, and then accumulation in various food chains leads to a defective understanding of the phenomena. The metal-based nanomaterials include Ag, Au, Al₂O₃, Cu, Zn, ZnO, TiO₂, CeO₂, SiO₂, Fe, Fe₂O₃, CdS, ZnSe, etc. Several reports are available on the uptake of these different kinds of NP by the plant systems and their effect on the physiology and growth of plants.

14.3 Uptake, Translocation, and Bio-distribution of Metallic NPs in Plants

In general, uptake of NPs occurs when a particle penetrates the cell walls of plants. The plant cell wall acts as a semipermeable barrier that regulates the trafficking of materials across the membrane through various pores to the plant cells. Several routes have been proposed in the literature describing the uptake of NPs by the plants. Pore sizes of the plant cell wall vary depending upon the plant species but generally range from 5 to 20 nm (Miralles et al. 2012; Fleischer et al. 1999). To pass through these pores, the size, orientation, and properties of NPs play a critical role. NPs or their aggregates having a size smaller than the diameter of the cell wall pores could easily penetrate the cell wall and reach the plasma membrane (Navarro et al. 2008). NPs may enter into plant cells by binding to carrier proteins and ion channels, through endocytosis, by binding to organic acids present in the culture media, or by forming complexes with the transporters of the plasma membrane (Kurepa et al. 2010; Rico et al. 2013a, b). Once the NPs reach the cell cytoplasm, these may combine with various cellular organelles and obstruct the metabolic reactions at that particular site (Jia et al. 2005). Although in vitro studies have been performed using isolated plant cells to determine the NPs uptake and interactions, these studies did not prove enough to explain the interactions of NPs with the whole plant. Most of the studies have suggested that NPs uptake by plants is associated with the absorption of nutrients from the culture media in which plants are grown (Lin et al. 2009). The uptake of NPs by the plant mainly occurs through seed coat, roots, and leaves. It takes place through the root tips in many species, though NPs can also enter the plant tissues through the stomatal openings present on the leaf surface. The mucilage and exudates excreted by root caps and root hairs also assist in the NP uptake in various plant species (Chichiriccò and Poma 2015; Schwab et al. 2016). Details of the uptake through different routes are described below.

Metallic NPs are being rapidly discharged into the environment and used extensively in agriculture system over the past few years. Studies on the uptake, translocation, and accumulation of iron oxide (Fe₂O₃) NPs in pumpkin plant grown in the aqueous media showed that NPs were taken up by the roots and then transported to leaves through the stem of the plant (Zhu et al. 2008). In wheat plant (Triticum aestivum) grown in the hydroponic culture, uptake of the citric acid-coated Fe₂O₃ NPs $(20 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ took place by roots through the apoplastic pathway (Iannone et al. 2016). Leaves and roots of arugula (Eruca sativa) and escarole (Cichorium endivia) were exposed to Pt NPs to study root-to-leaf and leaf-to-root translocation. Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry results showed that for both plants, the proportion of Pt translocated from roots to leaves (99% for arugula and 28% for escarole) was higher than that from leaves to roots (<1% for both plants) (Kranjc et al. 2018). It was depicted that foliar surface free energy affects Pt NPs adhesion, uptake, and translocation from leaves to roots in arugula and escarole. Similarly, the fluorescence and transmission electron microscopy images revealed that the magnetic Fe₂O₃ NPs penetrated the corn (Zea mays) root epidermis and migrated from the epidermal

layer to endodermal cells through the apoplastic route (Li et al. 2016a, b). It was hypothesized that the macromolecular exudates excreted by root cells might be responsible for the NPs accumulation in the root epidermis (Li et al. 2016a, b). A different study regarding the uptake and accumulation of the anatase titanium dioxide TiO₂ NPs (12 nm) has shown the uptake of these NPs by wheat plant roots from the hydroponics media. After 7 days of exposure, TiO₂ NPs were observed in the parenchyma cells of the root and also in the vascular cylinder, indicating the transfer of NPs from media to vegetal tissues of the wheat (Larue et al. 2011). In another study, the uptake and transport of TiO₂ NPs were studied in two crops (*Phaseolus vulgaris* and *T. aestivum*), a wetland species (*Rumex crispus*), and a floating aquatic plant (*Elodea canadensis*). All the rooted plants showed higher concentrations of Ti in roots. Ti from these NPs was translocated from roots to shoots in *R. crispus*. It was proposed that this species might increase the availability of metal NPs by producing siderophores (which have the tendency to bind metals) or by altering the rhizosphere pH (Jacob et al. 2013).

The uptake and transport of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) of 20 nm size in Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings grown in gel media have been studied by Geisler-Lee et al. (2014), who noticed the presence of AgNPs on root surface and root tips and also in the root hair cells 14 days after planting. By the 17th day, AgNPs reached the vascular tissues, viz., xylem and phloem, and got transported through them to the whole plant. The AgNPs accumulated in cotyledons quite early, entered the stomatal pores at day 14, and then heavily accumulated in stomata and pavement cells by the 17th day of planting. The study thus showed the accumulation and a long-distance transport of AgNPs in A. thaliana (Geisler-Lee et al. 2014). Another study revealed the uptake of tannate and citrate-coated gold (Au) NPs of 10, 30, and 50 nm size in tobacco Nicotiana and Triticum aestivum plants. The uptake behavior of Au NPs was different in the two species. Au NPs were found in the leaf midrib of tobacco plant in detectable concentrations, whereas the wheat leaf showed no evidence of Au NPs accumulation. The authors suggested that there is no requirement of passive movement through the pores of the cell wall for NPs uptake inside the plants (Judy et al. 2012). Similarly, polyvinylpyrrollidone (PVP)-coated Au NPs of diameter 40 nm were applied to tomato seeds at concentrations of 0.2 mg L^{-1} and 5 mg L⁻¹, and the tomato plants obtained were capable of absorbing the 40 nm-sized Au NPs and transporting them as intact NPs to the plant shoots (Dan et al. 2015).

A recent study (Antisari et al. 2015) described the uptake and translocation of metal oxides CeO₂, Fe₃O₄, SnO₂, and TiO₂ and metallic Ag, Co, and Ni NPs in tomato plants grown in a soil and peat mixture. Fe₃O₄ and TiO₂ did not show any uptake by plant organs. Ag, Co, and Ni accumulated in leaves, and Fe, Ce, and Sn were accumulated in roots, while Ni was found in stems. Ag and Co were translocated from roots to the aboveground organs, whereas no translocation was observed in the case of Ce, Sn, and Ti. The translocation of metal NPs could be associated with the absorption behavior of water and nutrients in plant roots (Antisari et al. 2015). Similarly, CuO NPs exposed to *Z. mays* were studied for their transport and bio-distribution in the plant. The results demonstrated that CuO NPs were taken up by the plant roots. The evidence of translocation of NPs was provided by the

presence of NPs in the xylem saps which might be responsible for the transport of particles from roots to shoots (Wang et al. 2012). NPs could also be translocated back to roots from shoots by the phloem. In general, CuO NPs existed in the cell wall of epidermal cells, intracellular spaces, and the cytoplasm of cortical cells. Their presence was also observed in the nucleus at 100 mg L⁻¹ concentration. The study suggested that NPs may pass through epidermis and cortex to enter the intracellular space via the apoplastic pathway; further, the presence of endosome confirmed that CuO NPs entered the plant cells by endocytosis (Wang et al. 2012). Another study of zinc oxide (ZnO) NPs (30 nm) in relation to maize plants has shown that ZnO NPs were present in the epidermal cells, cortex, and root tips of the plant. Few NPs also entered the vascular cylinder of tap roots through the primary root-lateral root junction. No NPs were observed in shoots, thus indicating a lack of NPs translocation to the aerial plant parts (Lv et al. 2015) (Table 14.1).

Type of nanoparticles (NPs)	Plant	Concentration of NPs used	Effect on plants	Reference
AgNPs	A. thaliana	300 mg L ⁻¹	Inhibition of root elongation and leaf expansion, decreased photosynthetic efficiency	Sosan et al. (2016)
AgNPs	Wheat, cowpea, and <i>Brassica</i>	50 and 75 ppm	Improvement in growth, root nodulation and shoot parameters	Mehta et al. (2016)
AgNPs	Lycopersicon esculentum		Drastic decrease in germination index, root and shoot length	Mehrian et al. (2016)
AgNPs	Maize	100 mg kg ⁻¹	Increase in biomass	Sillen et al. (2015)
Cu NPs and AgNPs	Cucurbita pepo	-	Decrease in biomass and transpiration	Musante and White (2012)
AgNPs	Raphanus	500 mg L ⁻¹	Decrease in root and shoot length, decrease in water content	Zuverza-Mena et al. (2016)
AgNPs	Brassica	50 ppm	Positive effect on root and shoot length and seedling index	Sharma et al. (2012)
AgNPs	Rice	10 or 100 mg L ⁻¹	Decrease in growth and germination of seedlings	Thuesombat et al. (2014)
CeO ₂	Arabidopsis thaliana	250 ppm	Increase in biomass	Ma et al. (2013)
Fe NPs	Barley	300 mg L ⁻¹	Reduction in germination rate	El-Temsah and Joner (2012)
Alumina NPs	Lemna minor	0.3 g L ⁻¹	Increase in root length and accumulation of biomass	Juhel et al. (2011)

Table 14.1 Role of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles in plant growth and development

(continued)

Type of nanoparticles (NPs)	Plant	Concentration of NPs used	Effect on plants	Reference
ZnO	Arachis hypogea	1000 ppm	Enhancement in stem and root growth, high yield	Prasad et al. (2012)
ZnO	Soybean	500 mg L ⁻¹	Increase in root growth of the plant	Lopez-Moreno et al. (2010)
Fe, Co, and, Cu NPs	Soybean	<300 mg hectare ⁻¹	Increase in yield of the crop plant	Ngo et al. (2014)
Zerovalent Fe	Peanut	40 and 80 μmol L ⁻¹	Stimulation of seedling development and growth	Li et al. (2015a, b)
ZnO, FeO, and ZnCuFe-oxide NPs	Vigna radiata	-	Improvement in shoot growth of seedlings	Dhoke et al. (2013)
Cu NPs	Lettuce	0.013% (w/w)	Improvement in shoot/ root ratio	Shah and Belozerova (2009)
CuO	Triticum aestivum	500 mg kg ⁻¹	Increase in plant biomass	Dimkpa et al. (2012)
TiO ₂	Wheat	2 and 10 ppm	Promotion of seed germination	Feizi et al. (2012)
Au NPs	Gloriosa superba	1000 µM	Enhancement in seed yield	Gopinath et al. (2014)
TiO ₂	Fennel	60 ppm	Positive effect on seed germination and shoot dry weight	Feizi et al. (2013)
ZnO	Vigna radiata	20, 40, 60 and 100 mg	Increase in germination rate, fresh and dry weights of roots and shoots	Jayarambabu et al. (2014)
Au NPs	Brassica	10–25 ppm	Increase in seed yield as well as increase in total sugar content	Arora et al. (2012)
Al NPs	Raddish, rape	2000 mg L ⁻¹	Improvement in growth of plant roots	Lin and Xing (2007)

Quantum dots (QDs) are another important kind of metal-based NPs used in various applications. The impact of these NPs after their release in the environment is necessary to understand. Thereby, few recent studies have explored the uptake, translocation, and bioaccumulation of CdSe/CdZnS QDs in plants. In a study, *A. thaliana* leaf petiole was exposed to three types of CdSe/CdZnS coated with anionic, cationic, and neutral coatings. It was found that the anionic NPs were taken up by the leaf petiole and roots and distributed uniformly in the plant leaves. The cationic qDs failed to translocate readily to the distal parts of plant leaves possibly due to their aggregation. Confocal microscopic studies demonstrated that

the anionic and neutral charged QDs get accumulated within cells of the main root, root hairs, and leaf veins (Koo et al. 2014). In another study of *A. thaliana* exposed to CdSe/CdZnS QDs, intact QDs were not internalized by the plants. Analysis of Cd and Se in the roots and leaves showed that QDs were mainly present on the external surfaces of roots and were not transported to the plant leaves (Navarro et al. 2012). The strong adsorption of QDs on the root surface was supposed to be due possibly to the mechanism of van der Waals interactions as well as the cross-linking of CO_2 groups on the plant roots and QDs. The uptake of QDs occurs when QDs are conjugated to nitrogenous organic compounds like arginine, glycine, and chitosan (Silver and Ou 2005).

14.4 Effect of NPs Uptake on Plant Physiology and Functions

The main processes that constitute plant physiology are water uptake, transpiration, photosynthesis, plant nutrition, respiration, seed dormancy and germination, and plant growth and movements. Since, the NPs are widely used in various products that are released into the environment, it is necessary to study the impact of these NPs on plant physiology. Studies have shown both the positive and negative effects of NPs on the physiological parameters of plants.

14.4.1 Seed Germination

Water is important for seed germination, a process by which a plant grows from the seed through the emergence of radical and plumule. NPs present in the plant growth media highly regulate the water imbibitions by the seed coat and thus affect seed germination. In a recent study (Andersen et al. 2016), TiO₂ NPs and CeO₂ NPs were found to affect seed germination as well as the timing of cotyledon development in ten different plant species. Exposure of TiO₂ NPs significantly altered the germination rate in five species, although the germination was enhanced in some species and suppressed in some others. CeO₂ NPs did not show alteration of germination in any of the ten species (Andersen et al. 2016). The mechanism behind alteration in germination rate may be related to the photocatalytic activity of these NPs (Ma et al. 2012). Another study investigated the influence of ZrO_2 , SiO_2 , Al_2O_3 , and TiO_2 NPs on maize seed germination. Al₂O₃ and TiO₂ reduced the germination percentage, while SiO₂ has enhanced it under all the growth conditions. The uptake of metal NPs by seeds was the maximum for SiO_2 , followed by TiO_2 , Al_2O_3 , and then ZrO_2 (Karunakaran et al. 2016). Corn seedlings exposed to 400 mg L⁻¹ concentration of ZnO NPs $(24 \pm 3 \text{ nm})$ for 15 days showed a significant reduction of 40 and 53% in seed germination at exposure temperature of 20 and 25 °C, respectively (López-Moreno et al. 2017). The effect of AgNPs on the germination (%) of pea, rice, and maize plants varied with the size of the NPs. AgNPs of all sizes (77.5, 111.7, 68.6, and 98.9 nm) showed a 100% higher germination in peanut, whereas AgNPs of 77.5 and 111.7 nm showed lesser germination in maize and rice, as compared to controls (Prasad et al. 2016). Seed germination was greatly influenced upon the application of metallic NPs like Ag, Au, and Cu. The seed germination was 98.6% for Ag, 69.6% for Cu, and 56.5% for Au NPs suspensions, compared to the control, after 35 days of inoculation (Hussain et al. 2017). Similarly, effect of AgNPs at concentration (0, 10, 20, 30, and 40 μ g mL⁻¹) was investigated on the rate of seed germination in lentils. At low concentrations (10 µg mL⁻¹), AgNPs promoted seed germination, while adverse effects were seen at higher concentrations (Hojjat and Hojjat 2016). Influence of metal NPs (Si, Pd, Cu, and Au) has also been studied on seed germination in lettuce plants; the Pd and Au NPs at low concentrations whereas Cu and Si NPs at high concentrations could enhance seed germination after a 15-day exposure and ultimately affected the root and shoot growth of the plants (Shah and Belozerova 2009). Another study focused on the germination of lettuce seeds exposed to low concentrations of four metal NPs (CuO, Fe₂O₃, ZnO, and MnO₂) showed that CuO NPs inhibited seed germination and the MnO2 and Fe2O3 NPs enhanced the growth of seedlings, whereas no alteration was caused by ZnO NPs, as compared to their respective controls (Liu et al. 2016). Spinach seeds treated with 50 µg mL⁻¹ solutions of graphene oxide NPs prompted early and extensive seed germination and increased the mass of spinach, compared to seeds treated with 0 as well as 200 μ g mL⁻¹ NP concentration (He et al. 2018).

14.4.2 Water Absorption

Water uptake by plant roots is an important process to carry out the various metabolic functions in the plant. Plants absorb water from the media (e.g., the soil) in which they are grown. The nanomaterials present in the plant growth media or soil greatly alter the water absorption capacity of plant roots by regulating the gene expression of water channel proteins involved in uptake process. In a recent study, effect of a short-term exposure of the nano zerovalent iron and Fe₂O₃ NPs on the water uptake capacity and root water content of tomato plants was investigated (Martinez-Fernandez and Komárek 2016). Fe₃O₃ NPs at a concentration of 100 mg L⁻¹ have significantly reduced water uptake by plant roots (40% less water content than in the control), whereas at the same concentration, the nanoscale zerovalent iron did not show any effect on the water uptake. Thus, the potential adherence of Fe₂O₃ NPs to the root surface could be responsible for the observed effects. Hence the difference in relative effect of two types of NPs could be due to their degree of aggregation on the surface of roots, as well as their oxidation products. It was presumed that at this concentration, Fe₂O₃ NPs got accumulated in the epithelial root cell wall causing blockage of water uptake (Martinez-Fernandez and Komárek 2016). Similarly, TiO₂ NPs also decreased the water transport of maize roots because the aggregates of NPs formed in the cell wall of roots blocked the water uptake (Asli and Neumann 2009). Also, TiO_2 NPs could interfere with the pores of the root cell wall leading to a reduction in the water uptake and ultimately inhibited the growth of tobacco roots. Similarly, cobalt oxide NPs affected the water uptake by blocking the water channels through adsorption, whereas ZnO NPs permeated the onion root cells and damaged their morphology (Ghodake et al. 2011).

14.4.3 Mineral Uptake

Since the NPs are released frequently in the soil, it is a prerequisite to study the impact of NPs on the nutrient uptake by the plants. Micro- and macronutrients absorbed by the plant roots from the soil have a great role in various physiological processes such as photosynthesis, nitrogen fixation, and nitrogen metabolism. The Raphanus sativus sprouts were exposed to AgNPs at a concentration of 500 mg L⁻¹ to study the impact of NPs on the nutrient uptake. The Ca and Mg uptake was found to decline by 33% and 19%, respectively. Even the uptake of micronutrients (B, Zn, Mn, and Cu) was found impaired at this concentration of AgNPs. It was believed that the accumulation of AgNPs at high concentration might block the diffusion pathways or Ca and Mg channels, affecting their active absorption by the plants (Zuverza-Mena et al. 2016). Similarly, the pumpkin plants exposed to the neodymium oxide (Nd₂O₃) NPs at 100 mg L^{-1} showed significantly reduced levels of S, Ca, K, and Mg. On the other hand, when tannic acid was used in addition to Nd_2O_3 NPs, plants restored the levels of these nutrients, because the tannic acid aided in altering the surface charge of Nd₂O₃ NPs, which reduced the likelihood of NPs binding onto the roots of the plants (Chen et al. 2016).

Another study (Peralta-Videa et al. 2014) conducted on the soil-cultivated sovbean has shown that CeO_2 (1000 mg kg⁻¹) exposure increased the P and Cu contents but decreased the Ca content of pods, as compared to controls. However, plant exposure to ZnO NPs resulted in a greater Zn, Mn, and Cu accumulation. Both these NPs altered the nutritional uptake in soybean to a different extent. It was suggested that CeO₂ interferes with the uptake of those nutrients which play a role in nitrogen metabolism and photosynthesis of plants (Peralta-Videa et al. 2014). In contrast, application of TiO₂ NPs (500 mg kg⁻¹) to cucumber plants grown in sandy loam soil caused accumulation of about 35% more K and 34% more P than in the control plants (Servin et al. 2013). The authors reported that TiO₂ NPs have a similar positive effect as do the plant hormones cytokinins and gibberellins that favor K and P uptake by plants. Likewise, exposure to three types (unmodified, hydrophilic, and hydrophobic) of TiO₂ NPs has been found to affect the homeostasis of essential elements in Ocimum basilicum plant. At 500 mg kg-1 concentration, the unmodified NPs increased the Cu (104%) and Fe (90%) contents, and hydrophilic NPs increased Fe content (90%), while hydrophobic ones increased Mn (339%) but reduced Ca (71%), Cu (58%), and P (40%) contents, with reference to the control (Tan et al. 2017). Another study described the effect of Cu/CuO NPs (10 and 20 mg L^{-1}) on nutrient uptake in lettuce plants showing that these NPs significantly increased the uptake of Al, Zn, S, and Fe whereas decreased that of Mg, Mn, and P (Trujillo-Reves et al. 2014). Lettuce plants exposed to the other kind of core/shell Fe/Fe_2O_3 NPs (10 mg L^{-1}) were found to increase the uptake of Al. The uptake was less affected by the Fe/Fe₂O₃ NPs than by the Cu/CuO NPs, which might be due to less damage of the cellular membrane by the former NPs and consequently a lesser reduction in selectivity for the nutrient uptake (Trujillo-Reyes et al. 2014). Leaf of Capsicum annum plants exposed to 125 mg kg⁻¹ of CuO NPs was found to show lower concentration of P by 42% than in plants exposed to the bulk CuO. In fruit samples upon 500 mg kg⁻¹ CuO NPs exposure, the P concentration was significantly lower than the bulk CuO at 62.5 and 125 mg kg⁻¹ exposure. CuO NPs at 500 mg kg⁻¹ also reduced the amount of Zn in leaves compared to bulk CuO treatment (Rawat et al. 2018). The effect of citrate-coated Au NPs has also been studied on the uptake of micro- and macronutrients by the barley plants. Au NPs slightly increased the uptake of Ca when at 10 mg L^{-1} concentration and significantly increased the uptake of K when at 5 mgL⁻¹ concentration. The uptake of micronutrients Zn and Fe increased, whereas that of Mn decreased after the plant's exposure to Au NPs (Feichtmeier et al. 2015).

14.4.4 Root Water Transport and Transpiration

Maintenance of the water transport capacity of roots is essential to meet the water utilization for evaporation and leaf growth in plants. Reduction in water supply by the roots may cause xylem tension, leaf growth inhibition, stomatal closure, reduced transpiration, and, ultimately, plant wilting (Neumann 2008). Imbalance in the root water transport may occur as a result of environmental stress. Any material present in the external water sources has to pass through the cell wall pores of the epidermal layer of roots to reach the root xylem through the parallel transport of molecules via both the symplastic and apoplastic pathways. Plant cell walls act as semipermeable membranes to allow the passage of water or only the small molecules through them and block the movement of the larger ones (Steudle and Peterson 1988). Therefore, the presence of NPs in the soil water sources may affect the root hydraulic conductivity and ultimately the transpiration. In a study, TiO₂ NPs applied to Z. mays caused a concentration-dependent inhibitory effect on the root hydraulics in a progressive manner and ultimately decreased the transpiration rate. Physical interaction between the NPs and the root cell wall, buildup of the interfacial viscosity, and further decrease in the pore diameter were considered to be reasons behind the inhibition of the root hydraulic conductivity (Asli and Neumann 2009). AgNPs and Cu NPs have reduced the transpiration rate of *Cucurbita pepo* by 66–84% and 60–70%, respectively, compared to untreated controls (Musante and White 2012). In other study, treatments of 500 and 100 mg L⁻¹ of AgNPs decreased the transpiration rate of Cucurbita pepo by 41% (Stampoulis et al. 2009).

14.4.5 Stomatal Conductance and Gas Exchange

In a study, exposure of A. thaliana plants to ZnO NPs (300 mg L^{-1}) reduced the stomatal conductance and intracellular CO₂ as compared to controls (Wang et al. 2015). CeO₂ and TiO₂ NPs applied to soil-grown *Clarkia unguiculata* plants inhibited CO₂ assimilation in plants (Conway et al. 2015). Similarly, application of CeO₂ and ZnO NPs (at 0, 400 and 800 mg kg⁻¹ dosage) on maize (Zea mays) plants revealed that CeO₂ NPs did not affect the stomatal conductance and gaseous exchange parameters, while ZnO NPs (at 800 mg kg⁻¹) reduced the stomatal conductance by 15%, compared to controls, 20 days after exposure (Zhang et al. 2015). In contrast, Cucurbita pepo plants grown under saline (NaCl) stress showed a decreased gas exchange and stomatal conductance due to excessive accumulation of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions. However, addition of SiO₂ NPs alleviated the adverse effects of NaCl treatment by enhancing the gas exchange parameters in plants (Siddiqui et al. 2014). A recent study has described the effect of positive-charged and negative-charged CeO₂ NPs on the physiology of soybean. At 100% field capacity, both types of NPs significantly increased the stomatal conductance to a similar extent (Cao et al. 2018).

14.4.6 Photosynthetic Parameters

The effect of metal and metal oxide NPs on the chlorophyll content and photosynthesis rate of plants has been widely studied. Of late, the effect of mesoporous SiO_2 NPs on the chlorophyll and photosynthetic activity of treated wheat and lupin plants was investigated, showing an increase in chlorophyll (both a and b pigments) and the maximum photosynthetic activity when plants were exposed to 500 mg L^{-1} of NPs (Sun et al. 2016). It was believed that the application of Si increased the expression of genes (*HemD* and *PsbY*) that might be related to chlorophyll biosynthesis. Enhanced expression of these genes might result in greater activity of photosystem II and the electron transfer rate, which led to enhanced chlorophyll content (Song et al. 2014; Li et al. 2015a, b). Application of 0.1, 0.2 and 0.4% anatase TiO₂ NPs on *Ulmus elongata* seedlings lowered the net photosynthetic activity, as compared to control plants. It also led to reduction and blockage of electron flow from Q_a to Q_b (Gao et al. 2013). At 60 mg kg⁻¹ concentration, TiO₂ NPs of less than 20 nm increased the chlorophyll content in wheat (Triticum aestivum) plants by 32.3% in comparison to the control. However, there was a decrease of 11.1% at 100 mg kg⁻¹ concentration, as the plants were not able to tolerate NPs at concentrations beyond 60 mg kg^{-1} (Rafique et al. 2018). In contrast, TiO₂ NPs increased the regulated photosystem II (PS II) energy dissipation and decreased the nonregulated PS II energy dissipation during heat stress in tomato plants and then promoted photosynthesis (Qi et al. 2013).

In a similar way, application of ZnO NPs (300 and 400 mg L⁻¹) on A. thaliana showed that ZnO NPs significantly lowered the chlorophyll a and b content by 50%, as compared to controls. The net photosynthetic activity was also reduced by more than 50% at 300 mg L⁻¹ concentration of these NPs. Even the expression of chlorophyll synthesis genes and photosystem structure genes was reduced fivefold (Wang et al. 2015). CeO₂ NPs at a concentration of 400 or 800 mg kg⁻¹ showed no effect on the net photosynthetic activity after 10, 15, and 20 days of germination in maize (Zhao et al. 2012a, b). In a similar manner, CeO₂ and ZnO NPs did not affect the chlorophyll content and net photosynthetic rate of cucumber plants (Zhao et al. 2013). On the other hand, CuO NPs of <50 nm size when applied to barley leaves at concentrations of 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 mM showed no noticeable change in chlorophyll contents on the 10th day of growth. A sudden loss of chlorophyll contents was, however, observed on the 20th day of growth (Shaw et al. 2014). In another study, exposure of the aquatic plant Lemna gibba L. to CuO NPs for 48 h inhibited the photosynthetic rate due to inactivation of reaction centers of PS II and a decline in electron transport (Perreault et al. 2014). AgNPs showed a concentration-dependent fluorescence quenching of chlorophyll in soybean plants and also reduced the photosynthetic pigments. The fluorescence quenching of chlorophyll may be attributed to the process of electron transport from excited chlorophyll molecules to AgNPs (Falco et al. 2015). A recent study reveals that at 100% field capacity, the content of chlorophyll a was increased by 18 and 20% in soybean exposed to uncoated CeO₂ NPs and PVP-coated CeO₂ NPs, respectively. However, the uncoated CeO₂ NPs reduced chlorophyll b content by 12% and 21% at 55% and 100% field capacity, respectively, whereas the reduction caused by PVP-coated CeO₂ NPs at 55 and 100% field capacity was up to 15 and 12%, respectively. The net photosynthetic rate was significantly higher in the CeO₂ NP-treated plants than in their respective controls (Cao et al. 2018).

14.5 Factors Affecting Behavior of NPs

It is clear from the above discussion that the use of metal-based NPs has a direct impact on physiology and growth of plants. Let us now examine the important factors that decide the behavior of NPs toward plant physiology.

14.5.1 Size, Shape, and Type of NPs

Size and shape of NPs are the most important factors which have noticeable impact on plant performance (Siddiqi and Husen 2016, 2017). NPs of the same metal having different sizes and shapes may have different behaviors toward the plant physiological processes. In a study of rice plants exposed to AgNPs of different sizes (20, 30–60, 70–120, and 150 nm), the uptake was increased when the seeds were treated with small (20 nm)-sized AgNPs. Treatment with AgNPs of 150 nm diameter resulted in leaf cell deformation (Thuesombat et al. 2014). Nicotiana tabacum L. cv Xanthi (tobacco) and Triticum aestivum (wheat) have been exposed to tannate (T-MNPs)- or citrate (C-MNPs)-coated Au NPs of 10, 30, and 50 nm sizes. All these MNPs bioaccumulated in tobacco, but no bioaccumulation of MNPs was observed in wheat (Judy et al. 2012). In another study, ZnO and CeO₂ NPs were exposed to soybean plants. Presence of CeO₂ NPs was observed in plant roots, but no ZnO NPs were present in roots, demonstrating the differential effect of different types of metal NPs exposed to plants (Lopez-Moreno et al. 2010). Similarly, the effect of four different metallic NPs (Al₂O₃, SiO₂, Fe₃O₄, and ZnO) was different on the development of Arobidopsis thaliana; ZnO NPs were most phytotoxic, followed by Fe₃O₄, SiO₂, and Al₂O₃. ZnO NPs inhibited the germination of seeds, and the effect was size dependent (Lee et al. 2010). A similar study evaluated the effect of anatase and rutile TiO₂ on flax seeds. The anatase TiO₂ were more toxic than the rutile TiO_2 due to differences in their crystalline behavior (Clement et al. 2013). Different types of carbon nanomaterials, namely, fullerenes, single-walled carbon nanotube (CNT), multi-walled CNT, and graphene oxide NPs, showed different effects on the physiological parameters, viz., concentration of phytohormones, activity of antioxidant enzymes, and extension growth of plant axis in rice (Oryza sativa) grown in loamy soil (Hao et al. 2018).

14.5.2 Surface Coating or Surface Functionality of NPs

Surface characteristics of NPs are important with reference to phytotoxicity of NPs. Five plant species, Zea mays (corn), Cucumis sativus (cucumber), Glycine max (soybean), Brassica oleracea (cabbage), and Daucus carota (carrot), treated with monomolecular layers of alumina NPs, showed that phytotoxicity of alumina NPs was reduced appreciably by the loading of 10% monomolecular layers of phenanthrene. Alumina NPs loaded with phenanthrene were less toxic than the nonloaded NPs (Yang and Watts 2005). Likewise, corn plants treated with bare and alginate-coated cerium oxide (CeO_2) NPs in soil exhibited that alginate coating on NPs surface increased the uptake of Ce in plants (Zhao et al. 2012a, b). In another study, the surface of ultra-small TiO₂ NPs was modified with alizarin red S and sucrose and the effect was seen in the intact Arabidopsis thaliana. The nano-conjugates traversed the cell walls to enter plant cells and accumulated in specific subcellular locations (Kurepa et al. 2010). One more study demonstrated the effect of surface ligands, i.e., cysteamine, cysteine, and thioglycolic acid, on the uptake of Au NPs in tomato and rice. The negatively charged cysteine-coated Au NPs were more easily internalized by roots and then transferred to plant shoots as compared to the thioglycolic acid-coated Au NPs of similar size and having similar charge. It was noted that the uptake and translocation of NPs depend more upon the type of surface ligand rather than the surface charge (Li et al. 2016a, b). Similarly, citric acid coated and uncoated CeO₂ NPs could increase the shoot length and total chlorophyll content in tomato plants, whereas the same were reduced after incubation of plants with the bulk cerium oxide and cerium acetate. The ligand coating on the surface of NPs reduced the Ce uptake by plants and did not have any effect on the translocation of NPs (Barrios et al. 2016).

14.5.3 Surface Charge of NPs

Surface charge (cationic, anionic, or neutral) of NPs is a major deciding factor for their uptake by the plant parts. The positively charged Au NPs were most readily taken up by plant roots, while the negatively charged NPs were most efficiently translocated from roots to shoots (Zhu et al. 2012). CeO₂ NPs functionalized with positive-charged, negative-charged, and neutral dextran coatings were assessed for their uptake by wheat seedlings. A 15–20% reduction from Ce(IV) to Ce(III) was found in both roots and leaves. Due to their high affinity with the negative-charged cell walls, CeO₂(+) NPs adhered in a strongest way to the plant roots. After 34 h, plants exposed to CeO₂(-) and CeO₂(0) NPs showed higher leaf Ce concentrations than the plants exposed to CeO₂(+) NPs (Spielman-Sun et al. 2017).

14.5.4 Concentration/Dose of NPs

Concentration of NPs has a significant effect on the growth and development of plants (Siddiqi and Husen 2016, 2017). Exposure to 24 nm-sized green synthesized Au NPs at concentrations of 10 and 80 µg mL⁻¹ enhanced the shoot length of A. thaliana seedlings by 1.42- and 1.64-folds in comparison to the control (Kumar et al. 2013). Cucumber plants exposed to CeO₂ or ZnO NPs at concentrations of 0, 400, and 800 mg kg⁻¹ have indicated that remained unaffected with reference to plant growth, gas exchange, and chlorophyll content. However, at 800 mg kg⁻¹ concentration, CeO₂ NPs reduced the yield, compared to the control (Zhao et al. 2013). The hydroponically grown lettuce treated with core-shell (Fe/ Fe₃O₄, Cu/CuO NPs) at 10 and 20 mg L⁻¹ and FeSO₄·7H₂O and CuSO₄·5H₂O at 10 mg L^{-1} indicated no effect of iron ions/NPs on the physiological parameters. Conversely, Cu ions/NPs decreased the water content, root length, and dry biomass of the lettuce plants (Trujillo-Reyes et al. 2014). Treatment with 2000 mg L⁻¹ and 4000 mg L⁻¹ CeO₂ NPs has induced genotoxic effects in soybean plants (Lopez-Moreno et al. 2010). Lemna minor exposed to media with various concentrations of TiO₂ NPs showed that superoxide dismutase activity was decreased when TiO₂ NPs concentration was higher than 200 mg L⁻¹ and the plant cell membrane experienced serious damage at 500 mg L^{-1} TiO₂ NP concentration (Song et al. 2012). Similarly, TiO₂ NPs have a significant effect on seed germination, plant growth, and development of switchgrass (Panicum virgatum) in a dose-dependent manner (Boykov et al. 2018).

The well-known model plant, A. thaliana, when exposed to different concentrations of CeO₂ and indium oxide (In₂O₃) NPs, indicated that CeO₂ NPs at 250 ppm can significantly increase the plant biomass, but at 500–2000 ppm the plant growth was reduced by 85%. CeO₂ NPs at 1000 and 2000 ppm reduced the chlorophyll production by nearly 60% and 85%, respectively, whereas anthocyanin production was enhanced three- to fivefold. Malondialdehyde (MDA) production was unaffected by the exposure to 250–500 ppm CeO₂ NPs, but it increased by 2.5-fold at 1000 ppm (Ma et al. 2013). Application of CeO₂ NPs at 0-1000 mg kg⁻¹ and ZnO NPs at $0-500 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ reduced the nutritional value of soybean plants. Compared to the control, CeO₂ NPs at 1000 mg kg⁻¹ could cause significantly less accumulation of Ca and more of P and Cu in pods, while 100 mg kg⁻¹ ZnO NPs led to a higher accumulation of Zn, Mn, and Cu (Peralta-Videa et al. 2014). The higher concentration of NPs had a more negative effect on seedling growth (Thuesombat et al. 2014). Growth of roots of buckwheat treated with ZnO NPs and CuO NPs at 2000 and 4000 mg L^{-1} , respectively, was reduced considerably in comparison to the control (Lee et al. 2013).

14.5.5 Type of Plant Species Exposed

NPs of the same type often have different impact on different plant species (Husen and Siddiqi 2014b, c; Siddiqi and Husen 2016, 2017). A study revealed that radish and ryegrass roots generally accumulated more amounts of Au NPs (14–900 ng mg⁻¹) than rice and pumpkin roots (7–59 ng mg⁻¹). Accumulation was statistically significant in rice shoots (1.1–2.9 ng mg⁻¹), while none of the Au NPs accumulated in the shoots of radish and pumpkins (Zhu et al. 2012). NPs bioaccumulation has also been reported to be plant species-dependent possibly due to interspecific differences in the nature of chemical exudations from roots (Judy et al. 2012). In another study, effect of Cu NPs on the growth of *Polyboroides radiatus* and *Triticum aestivum* was studied and found that *P. radiatus* was more sensitive to Cu NPs than *T. aestivum* (Lee et al. 2008).

14.5.6 Nature of Growth Media

The medium used for plant cultivation also has an effect on the phytotoxicity of NPs. The toxicity and bioavailability of AgNPs to *Polyboroides radiatus* and *Sorghum bicolor* were measured in the agar and soil media. Growth of *S. bicolor* was reduced in a concentration-dependent manner in the agar medium. In the case of soil, growth of *P. radiatus* was not significantly affected, but *S. bicolor* showed a slightly reduced growth rate (Lee et al. 2012). In another study, it was shown that the soil organic matter plays a vital role in the mobility and bioavailability of CeO₂ NPs in the soil solution (Zhao et al. 2012a, b). The pumpkin and wheat plants were

exposed to CeO_2 NPs dissolved in media containing gum arabic or fulvic acid. None of the plants showed a reduced growth or any toxic response. CeO_2 NPs were found to be translocated shoots in pumpkin but not in wheat plants. The presence of fulvic acid and gum arabic acid also affected the amount of NPs associated with roots (Schwabe et al. 2013).

14.6 Effect of NPs-Mediated Gene Delivery on Plant Growth

Metallic NPs, nanofibers, and nanocapsules offer a new set of tools to manipulate the genes. Appropriately functionalized NPs serve as a platform to transport a large number of genes as well as chemicals that trigger gene expression in plants (McKnight et al. 2003; Radu et al. 2004; Roy et al. 2005; Torney et al. 2007; Xia et al. 2009). Fluorescent-labeled starch NPs were used for transport of genes across the plant cell wall. Integration of different genes on NPs and, at the same time, imaging of the fluorescent NPs were possible with fluorescence microscope so as to monitor the movement of exterior genes along with the expression of genes (Liu et al. 2008). SiO₂ NPs capped with Au NPs have been used for particle bombardment in plant cells. The results have demonstrated that the plasmid DNA transferred by the gene gun method, using the gold-capped SiO₂ NPs, was successfully expressed in the intact tobacco and maize tissues. The main benefit was the simultaneous delivery of both DNA and effector molecules to the specific sites that resulted in the site-targeted delivery and expression of chemicals and genes, respectively (Torney et al. 2007). In another study, plasmids were covalently bound to magnetic NPs and successfully delivered to canola cells. The expression of plasmid delivery after 48 h in suspension culture was confirmed by the appearance of blue-color expression of GUS gene due to staining with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-d-glucuronic acid (X-Gluc) in the plant protoplasts (Hao et al. 2013). Likewise, SiO₂ NPs served as a vehicle for the delivery of foreign DNA into the roots of Arabidopsis thaliana. The expression of DNA was noticed in the epidermal layer and also in the inner most cortical and endodermal regions of plant roots (Chang et al. 2013). Another study has described the role of gold-coated SiO₂ NPs for co-delivery of protein and DNA into the onion plant cells by the particle bombardment method. The expression of marker gene (enhanced green fluorescent protein) and fluorescently labeled BSA protein was detected in the onion epidermal cells (Martin-Ortigosa et al. 2012). Thus, the metallic NPs serve as candidates suitable for the plasmid/DNA delivery to plant cells.

14.7 Phytotoxicity of NPs

NPs are used in a wide variety of consumer products such as cosmetics, wound dressings, textiles, and so on. After the end usage of NPs, these are discharged into the environment inevitably, leading ultimately to the pollution of water

bodies and soil. Plants also get exposed to NPs in the aquatic and terrestrial environments (Choudhury et al. 2016). Airborne NPs possess the tendency to attach to leaves and other aerial parts of plants, whereas roots interact with NPs through the waterborne or soil materials. Once present on the leaf surface, NPs penetrate the plants via the bases of trichomes or through stomata and then get translocated to tissues of different plant systems. The main damage to the ecosystem due to NP deposition is related to the alteration of competition pattern among the species, which results in a drastic effect on plant biodiversity (Choudhury et al. 2016). More sensitive species may be eliminated, while the growth, flowering, and fructification of other species may be favored. This situation has brought the phytotoxicity issues of NPs to the fore.

Higher plants strongly interact with their atmospheric and terrestrial environments. These interactions are expected to be affected by their exposure to NPs (Navarro et al. 2008). Most of the studies undertaken on the effects of NPs on higher plants have been confined to seed germination and root elongation test (Lin and Xing 2007; Yang et al. 2006; Zheng et al. 2005). TiO₂ NPs have shown positive effects on germination of aged spinach seeds as well as on the growth of seedlings (Zheng et al. 2005). Similarly, TiO₂ NPs significantly promoted the growth of spinach and accelerated the nitrogen assimilation (Yang et al. 2006). TiO₂ NPs were not much toxic to willow trees, and the toxic effects did not follow a clear dosedependent relationship, probably due to the formation of aggregates and their subsequent sedimentation (Seeger et al. 2009). Similarly, effects of TiO₂ NPs on the photochemical reaction of chloroplasts of Spinacia oleracea were analyzed (Hong et al. 2005), which showed that the nano-TiO₂ treatment could enhance the Hill reaction and the activity of chloroplasts, which further accelerated the FeCy reduction and oxygen evolution. Moreover, noncyclic photophosphorylation activity was higher than the cyclic photophosphorylation activity during the TiO₂ treatment, which also increased the germination rate and vigor indexes (Zheng et al. 2005). The plant dry weight also increased due to increase in the chlorophyll formation and the ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activity and, consequently, in the photosynthetic rate. Gao et al. (2006) have shown that Rubisco carboxylase activity was 2.67 times higher in the nano-anatase TiO₂-treated Spinacia oleracea than that in the control. The molecular mechanism of the carbon reaction promoted by nano-anatase TiO₂ during photosynthesis is still not clearly understood. Reverse transcription PCR and northern blotting experiments have evidenced that mRNAs encoding the small and large subunit of Rubisco were promoted in the NPs-treated plants (Xuming et al. 2008). Accordingly, the protein expression of Rubisco from the nano-anatase-treated spinach was increased by 40%, as compared to the control.

The phytotoxicity of AgNPs was investigated in crop plants *Phaseolus radiatus* and *Sorghum bicolor* grown in the agar and soil media. AgNPs showed a concentration-dependent growth inhibition of *P. radiatus* and *S. bicolor* seeds in agar media and also caused browning and necrosis of root tips. The phytotoxicity was relatively less in soil media due to the reduced bioavailability of AgNPs in soil than in agar (Lee et al. 2012). In a similar study, exposure of glucoxylan-mediated

green synthesized AgNPs (40 nm) and Au NPs (6 nm) did not show any significant effect on germination of radish seeds. These two types of NPs behaved differently toward the root growth of radish seedlings, where AgNPs induced a stimulatory effect on root length in a concentration-dependent manner, while Au NP exposure caused no significant change in the root length (Iram et al. 2014). Zn and ZnO NPs have shown effect on the root and shoot growth in radish, rape, and ryegrass. The phytotoxicity of ZnO NPs was not directly related with their limited dissolution in the bulk nutrient solution or rhizosphere (Lin and Xing 2007). In the aquatic plant Hydrilla verticillata, growth in early stages was inhibited after exposure to ZnO NPs at a high concentration of 1000 mg mL⁻¹, whereas *Phragmites australis* indicated a decline in growth rate after few weeks of exposure. It was concluded that high dosage of ZnO NPs caused significant phytotoxicity in these aquatic plants (Song and Lee 2016). In another study to determine the toxicity of ZnO NPs in the corn and cucumber plants, root length was found to reduce by 17% and 21%, respectively, while seed germination remained unaffected by the ZnO NPs at a concentration of 1000 mg mL⁻¹ (Zhang et al. 2015).

In a study of the phytotoxicity and bioavailability of Cu NPs to Phaseolus radiatus and Triticum aestivum, growth rate of both the crop plants was found to drop as a result of exposure to NPs. Bioaccumulation was concentration-dependent, and the contents of NPs in plant tissues increased with increasing NPs concentration in the growth media. T. aestivum showed a relatively greater accumulation of Cu NPs in roots due to its peculiar root morphology (Lee et al. 2008). Of late, toxicity of Cu NPs was evaluated in 10-15 days old alfalfa and lettuce seedlings grown in hydroponics. Plant size and the nutrient content of tissues got reduced, whereas enzyme activity was altered in both the plants (Hong et al. 2015). In A. thaliana grown in agar media, CeO₂ NPs caused stimulatory effect at a low dose, while high NP concentrations led to adverse effects on antioxidant system, photosystem, and the overall plant growth (Yang et al. 2016). The toxicity effects of Al_2O_3 NPs (13 nm) were investigated in a time- and dose-dependent manner on the root growth and development of T. aestivum. There was a decrease in root elongation with increase in the concentration of NPs applied. Moreover, a decrease in the total protein content, an increase in peroxidase activity and accumulation of lignin, and a damage to root cortex were also recorded (Yanik and Vardar 2015).

The magnetic NPs coated with tetramethylammonium hydroxide had a magnetic influence on the enzyme structures implied at different stages of photosynthesis during the early growth of *Zea mays* plants. Small concentrations of aqueous ferrofluid solution added to the culture medium showed a stimulating effect on growth, while the larger amounts induced an inhibitory effect (Racuciu and Creanga 2007). Toxic effects of semiconductor L-cysteine capped CdS NPs (15–20 nm) were examined on the aquatic plant *Spirodela polyrrhiza*, which experienced significant reduction in plant growth as well as changes in the activity of antioxidant enzymes (peroxidase and superoxide dismutase), demonstrating the phytotoxicity of the synthesized NPs (Khataee et al. 2014). Earlier, phytotoxicity and biotransformation of La_2O_3 NPs were assessed in cucumber roots. The NPs over a concentration of 200 mg L⁻¹ affected the root elongation and growth rate. The organic acids

secreted from the root cells could possibly cause dissolution of the NPs applied. Moreover, the biotransformation of La_2O_3 NPs from spherical shape to needlelike form was observed in the intercellular spaces and middle lamella of the root tissues (Ma et al. 2011).

14.8 NPs Exposure Causes Oxidative Stress in Plants

There are limited reports in the literature regarding the oxidative stress caused or altered by NPs in the plant tissues. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are free radicals which contain atoms of oxygen and are generally formed inside several plant structures due to various metabolic pathways (Anjum et al. 2015a, b; Aref et al. 2016). Various environmental factors are responsible for increasing the ROS in the cellular milieu of plants, leading to stressful conditions. Increase in ROS inside plant cell membranes may cause several damages and disturb normal activity of plants (Gill and Tuteja 2010; Anjum et al. 2012). Heavy metal ions present in soil or water may affect the plant metabolism and cause oxidative stress (Lopez-Moreno et al. 2010). Excessive increase in ROS levels may elicit detoxification mechanisms involving both enzymatic and nonenzymatic systems that tend to prevent oxidation of biological molecules like proteins or lipids (Gill and Tuteja 2010). A difference in balance of both the ROS production and detoxification leads to oxidative stress. Oxidative stress caused in plants by metal NPs has been mentioned in several studies (Anjum et al. 2015a, b).

In a study, wheat (*T. aestivum*) plants exposed to Fe_2O_3 NPs were evaluated for any oxidative damage by monitoring the activity of antioxidant enzymes. It came out that the activity of enzymes such as ascorbate peroxidase, superoxide dismutase (SOD), guaiacol peroxidase, and catalase (CAT) was increased significantly in the roots and aerial parts of NPs-treated plants (Iannone et al. 2016). Similarly, R. sativus exposed to Fe_2O_3 NPs (1 mg mL⁻¹ concentration) showed a 219.5% increase in the ROS inside the cells. Consequently, activities of CAT, SOD, and the glutathione content also increased, showing that Fe₂O₃ NPs generated heavy oxidative stress which was countered by the antioxidant enzymes in order to develop the defense system (Saquib et al. 2016). AgNPs of size 20 nm (20 and 50 mg mL⁻¹) applied to Vigna radiata L. (mung bean) for 21 days produced ROS and increased the formation of hydrogen peroxide and lipid peroxidation, which caused cellular damage (Nair and Chung 2015). In contrast, AgNPs synthesized by synthetic route caused a decrease in SOD activity in E. crassipes at all the doses used, whereas activity of CAT was increased. In water hyacinth plant, the activity of catalase (CAT) and peroxidase (POD) was decreased with increase in the concentration of biosynthesized AgNPs applied. The study suggested that synthetic AgNPs could produce more oxidative stress in comparison to the biosynthesized AgNPs, which was combated by modulation of antioxidant enzymes accordingly (Rani et al. 2016).

CuO and ZnO NPs in *Cucumis sativus* significantly increased the activity of SOD, CAT, and POD enzymes. The activity of SOD and POD in root cells was

increased by more than 50% than in the control (Kim et al. 2012). Similarly, oxidative stress in the sand-grown wheat plants treated with CuO and ZnO NPs was evidenced by increased lipid peroxidation and oxidized glutathione in roots, leading to increased ROS production (Dimkpa et al. 2012). A significant increase in the levels of SOD and POD was found in response to ROS generation in cotton plants caused by the low dose of ZnO NPs carrying phycomolecule ligands present in the extract of algae Halimeda tuna (Venkatachalam et al. 2017). On the other hand, ZnO NPs at higher concentration induced the oxidative stress in S. lycopersicum through the generation of ROS. The activity of SOD was increased at lower concentrations of ZnO NPs, whereas lipid peroxidation measured in terms of malondialdehyde increased with increase in the ZnO NP concentration (Singh et al. 2016). In rice plants, H₂O₂ content increased by 162% over the control on exposure to 500 mg L⁻¹ CeO_2 . At 125 mg L⁻¹, the activity of SOD, glutathione reductase, and dehydroascorbate reductase was significantly reduced, causing damage to cellular membranes (Rico et al. 2013a, b). Similarly, radish plants grown with 125 mg of CeO₂ NPs showed enhanced CAT and ascorbate peroxidase activity in root tubers but a reduced one in leaves (Corral-Diaz et al. 2014). Medicago sativa cells exposed to MPA-CdSe/ ZnS ODs at 10, 50, and 100 nM showed increased activity of SOD, CAT, and glutathione reductase enzymes, possibly due to the release of Cd from the degradation of CdSe/ZnS QDs. The ROS generated in the plants after the treatment with QDs was thus overcome by mobilizing the ROS-scavenging mechanisms through enzymatic actions (Santos et al. 2013).

14.9 Conclusions

A variety of metallic NPs released in our environment have a great impact on the living beings such as humans, plants, and animals. Uptake, translocation, and accumulation of metallic NPs occur in plant roots, stem, leaves, and other plant parts. Uptake of NPs affects plant physiological processes such as photosynthesis, respiration, mineral and water uptake, and transpiration. This leads to variations in seed germination rate, plant growth, and biomass. Plants' exposure to NPs has both positive and negative effects on plant growth and development, depending on several factors such as size and shape of NPs, plant species exposed, concentration of NPs, and duration of exposure. Delivery of plasmids/DNA to plant cells mediated by NPs carriers and also the phytotoxicity and oxidative stress caused in plants by application of NPs are of utmost importance. It is a prerequisite to decide the various parameters related to NPs (size, shape, concentration of use, exposure duration, etc.) before their applicability in the plant systems so as to avoid toxicity effects and get the beneficial response.

Acknowledgments RS is thankful to UGC for awarding a Senior Research Fellowship. Financial support from the Department of Biotechnology (DBT), Government of India, and the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi, is also acknowledged.

References

- Albanese A, Tang PS, Chan WC (2012) The effect of nanoparticle size, shape, and surface chemistry on biological systems. Annu Rev Biomed Eng 14:1–16
- Andersen CP, King G, Plocher M, Storm M, Pokhrel LR, Johnson MG, Rygiewicz PT (2016) Germination and early plant development of ten plant species exposed to TiO₂ and CeO₂ nanoparticles. Environ Toxicol Chem 35:2223–2229
- Anjum NA, Ahmad I, Mohmood I, Pacheco M, Duarte AC, Pereira E, Umar S, Ahmad A, Khan NA, Iqbal M, Prasad MNV (2012) Modulation of glutathione and its related enzymes in plants' responses to toxic metals and metalloids: a review. Environ Exp Bot 75:307–324
- Anjum NA, Adam V, Kizek R, Duarte AC, Pereira E, Iqbal M, Lukatkin AS, Ahmad I (2015a) Nanoscale copper in the soil-plant system: toxicity and underlying potential mechanisms. Environ Res 138:306–325
- Anjum NA, Sofo A, Scopam A, Roychoudhury A, Gill SS, Iqbal M, Lukatkin AS, Pereira E, Duarte AC, Ahmad I (2015b) Lipids and proteins – major targets of oxidative modifications in abiotic stressed plants. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22:4099–4121
- Antisari LV, Carbone S, Gatti A, Vianello G, Nannipieri P (2015) Uptake and translocation of metals and nutrients in tomato grown in soil polluted with metal oxide (CeO₂, Fe₃O₄, SnO₂, TiO₂) or metallic (Ag, Co, Ni) engineered nanoparticles. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22:1841–1853
- Aref IM, Khan PR, Khan S, El-Atta H, Ahmed AI, Iqbal M (2016) Modulation of antioxidant enzymes in *Juniperus procera* needles in relation to habitat environment and dieback incidence. Trees Struct Funct 30:1669–1681
- Arora S, Sharma P, Kumar S (2012) Gold-nanoparticle induced enhancement in growth and seed yield of *Brassica juncea*. Plant Growth Regul 66:303–310
- Asli S, Neumann PM (2009) Colloidal suspensions of clay or titanium dioxide nanoparticles can inhibit leaf growth and transpiration via physical effects on root water transport. Plant Cell Environ 32:577–584
- Barhoumi L, Oukarroum A, Taher LB, Smiri LS, Abdelmelek H, Dewez D (2015) Effects of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles on photosynthesis and growth of the aquatic plant *Lemna gibba*. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 68(3):510–520
- Barrios AC, Rico CM, Trujillo-Reyes J, Medina-Velo IA, Peralta-Videa JR, Gardea-Torresdey JL (2016) Effects of uncoated and citric acid coated cerium oxide nanoparticles, bulk cerium oxide, cerium acetate, and citric acid on tomato plants. Sci Total Environ 563:956–964
- Begum P, Ikhtiari R, Fugetsu B (2011) Graphene phytotoxicity in the seedling stage of cabbage, tomato, red spinach, and lettuce. Carbon 49:3907–3919
- Boykov IN, Shuford E, Zhang B (2018) Nanoparticle titanium dioxide affects the growth and microRNA expression of switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*). Genomics. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ygeno.2018.03.002
- Cao Z, Rossi L, Stowers C, Zhang W, Lombardini L, Ma X (2018) The impact of cerium oxide nanoparticles on the physiology of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] under different soil moisture conditions. Environ Sci Pollut Res 25:930–939
- Chang FP, Kuang LY, Huang CA, Jane WN, Hung Y, Yue-ie CH, Mou CY (2013) A simple plant gene delivery system using mesoporous silica nanoparticles as carriers. J Mater Chem B 1:5279–5287
- Chen G, Ma C, Mukherjee A, Musante C, Zhang J, White JC, Dhankher OP, Xing B (2016) Tannic acid alleviates bulk and nanoparticle Nd₂O₃ toxicity in pumpkin: a physiological and molecular response. Nanotoxicology 10:1–11
- Chichiriccò G, Poma A (2015) Penetration and toxicity of nanomaterials in higher plants. Nanomater 5(2):851–873
- Choudhury R, Majumder M, Roy DN, Basumallick S, Misra TK (2016) Phytotoxicity of Ag nanoparticles prepared by biogenic and chemical methods. Int Nano Lett 6:153–159
- Clement L, Hurel C, Marmier N (2013) Toxicity of TiO₂ nanoparticles to cladocerans, algae, rotifers and plants–effects of size and crystalline structure. Chemosphere 90:1083–1090

- Conway JR, Beaulieu AL, Beaulieu NL, Mazer SJ, Keller AA (2015) Environmental stresses increase photosynthetic disruption by metal oxide nanomaterials in a soil-grown plant. ACS Nano 9(12):11737–11749
- Corral-Diaz B, Peralta-Videa JR, Alvarez-Parrilla E, Rodrigo-García J, Morales MI, Osuna-Avila P, Niu G, Hernandez-Viezcas JA, Gardea-Torresdey JL (2014) Cerium oxide nanoparticles alter the antioxidant capacity but do not impact tuber ionome in *Raphanus sativus* (L). Plant Physiol Biochem 84:277–285
- Da Costa MVJ, Sharma PK (2016) Effect of copper oxide nanoparticles on growth, morphology, photosynthesis, and antioxidant response in *Oryza sativa*. Photosynthetica 54(1):110–119
- Dan Y, Zhang W, Xue R, Ma X, Stephan C, Shi H (2015) Characterization of gold nanoparticle uptake by tomato plants using enzymatic extraction followed by single-particle inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry analysis. Environ Sci Technol 49:3007–3014
- Dhoke SK, Mahajan P, Kamble R, Khanna A (2013) Effect of nanoparticles suspension on the growth of mung (*Vigna radiata*) seedlings by foliar spray method. Nanotechnol Dev 3:1
- Dimkpa CO, McLean JE, Latta DE, Manangon E, Britt DW, Johnson WP, Boyanov MI, Anderson AJ (2012) CuO and ZnO nanoparticles: phytotoxicity, metal speciation, and induction of oxidative stress in sand-grown wheat. J Nanopart Res 14:1–15
- El-Temsah YS, Joner EJ (2012) Impact of Fe and Ag nanoparticles on seed germination and differences in bioavailability during exposure in aqueous suspension and soil. Environ Toxicol 27:42–49
- Falco WF, Queiroz AM, Fernandes J, Botero ER, Falcão EA, Guimarães FE, M'Peko JC, Oliveira SL, Colbeck I, Caires AR (2015) Interaction between chlorophyll and silver nanoparticles: a close analysis of chlorophyll fluorescence quenching. J Photochem Photobiol A Chem 299:203–209
- Feichtmeier NS, Walther P, Leopold K (2015) Uptake, effects, and regeneration of barley plants exposed to gold nanoparticles. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22:8549–8558
- Feizi H, Moghaddam PR, Shahtahmassebi N, Fotovat A (2012) Impact of bulk and nanosized titanium dioxide (TiO₂) on wheat seed germination and seedling growth. Biol Trace Elem Res 146:101–106
- Feizi H, Kamali M, Jafari L, Moghaddam PR (2013) Phytotoxicity and stimulatory impacts of nanosized and bulk titanium dioxide on fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill). Chemosphere 91:506–511
- Fleischer A, O'Neill MA, Ehwald R (1999) The pore size of non-graminaceous plant cell walls is rapidly decreased by borate ester cross-linking of the pectic polysaccharide rhamnogalacturonan II. Plant Physiol 121:829–838
- Gao F, Hong F, Liu C, Zheng L, Su M, Wu X, Yang F, Wu C, Yang P (2006) Mechanism of nanoanatase TiO₂ on promoting photosynthetic carbon reaction of spinach. Biol Trace Elem Res 111:239–253
- Gao J, Xu G, Qian H, Liu P, Zhao P, Hu Y (2013) Effects of nano-TiO₂ on photosynthetic characteristics of *Ulmus elongata* seedlings. Environ Pollut 176:63–70
- Geisler-Lee J, Brooks M, Gerfen JR, Wang Q, Fotis C, Sparer A, Ma X, Berg RH, Geisler M (2014) Reproductive toxicity and life history study of silver nanoparticle effect, uptake and transport in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Nanomaterials 4:301–318
- Ghodake G, Seo YD, Lee DS (2011) Hazardous phytotoxic nature of cobalt and zinc oxide nanoparticles assessed using *Allium cepa*. J Hazard Mater 186:952–955
- Gill SS, Tuteja N (2010) Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. Plant Physiol Biochem 48:909–930
- Gopinath K, Gowri S, Karthika V, Arumugam A (2014) Green synthesis of gold nanoparticles from fruit extract of *Terminalia arjuna* for the enhanced seed germination activity of *Gloriosa superba*. J Nanostruct Chem 4:1–11
- Haghighi M, Pessarakli M (2013) Influence of silicon and nano-silicon on salinity tolerance of cherry tomatoes (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) at early growth stage. Sci Hortic 161:111–117
- Hao Y, Yang X, Shi Y, Song S, Xing J, Marowitch J, Chen J, Chen J (2013) Magnetic gold nanoparticles as a vehicle for fluorescein isothiocyanate and DNA delivery into plant cells. Botany 91:457–466

- Hao Y, Ma C, Zhang Z, Song Y, Cao W, Guo J, Zhou G, Rui Y, Liu L, Xing B (2018) Carbon nanomaterials alter plant physiology and soil bacterial community composition in a rice-soilbacterial ecosystem. Environ Pollut 232:123–136
- He Y, Hu R, Zhong Y, Zhao X, Chen Q, Zhu H (2018) Graphene oxide as a water transporter promoting germination of plants in soil. Nano Res 11:1928–1937
- Hojjat SS, Hojjat H (2016) Effects of silver nanoparticle exposure on germination of Lentil (Lens culinaris Medik.). Int J Farm Allied Sci 5:248–252
- Hong F, Yang F, Liu C, Gao Q, Wan Z, Gu F, Wu C, Ma Z, Zhou J, Yang P (2005) Influences of nano-TiO₂ on the chloroplast aging of spinach under light. Biol Trace Elem Res 104:249–260
- Hong J, Rico CM, Zhao L (2015) Toxic effects of copper-based nanoparticles or compounds to lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) and alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*). Environ Sci Processes Impacts 17:177–185
- Husen A (2017) Gold nanoparticles from plant system: synthesis, characterization and application. In: Ghorbanpourn M, Manika K, Varma A (eds) Nanoscience and plant–soil systems, vol 48. Springer International Publication, Cham, pp 455–479
- Husen A, Siddiqi KS (2014a) Plants and microbes assisted selenium nanoparticles: characterization and application. J Nanobiotechnol 12:28
- Husen A, Siddiqi KS (2014b) Carbon and fullerene nanomaterials in plant system. J Nanobiotechnol 12:16
- Husen A, Siddiqi KS (2014c) Phytosynthesis of nanoparticles: concept, controversy and application. Nano Res Lett 9:229
- Hussain M, Raja NI, Iqbal M, Sabir S, Yasmeen F (2017) In vitro seed germination and biochemical profiling of Artemisia absinthium exposed to various metallic nanoparticles. 3 Biotech 7:101
- Iannone MF, Groppa MD, de Sousa ME, van Raap MB, Benavides MP (2016) Impact of magnetite iron oxide nanoparticles on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) development: evaluation of oxidative damage. Environ Exp Bot 131:77–88
- Iram F, Iqbal MS, Athar MM (2014) Glucoxylan-mediated green synthesis of gold and silver nanoparticles and their phyto-toxicity study. Carbohydr Polym 104:29–33
- Jacob DL, Borchardt JD, Navaratnam L, Otte ML, Bezbaruah AN (2013) Uptake and translocation of Ti from nanoparticles in crops and wetland plants. Int J Phytoremediation 15:142–153
- Jayarambabu N, Kumari BS, Rao KV, Prabhu YT (2014) Germination and growth characteristics of mungbean seeds (*Vigna radiata* L.) affected by synthesized zinc oxide nanoparticles. Int J Curr Eng Technol 4:3411–3416
- Jia G, Wang H, Yan L, Wang X, Pei R, Yan T, Zhao Y, Guo X (2005) Cytotoxicity of carbon nanomaterials: single-wall nanotube, multi-wall nanotube, and fullerene. Environ Sci Technol 39:1378–1383
- Judy JD, Unrine JM, Rao W, Wirick S, Bertsch PM (2012) Bioavailability of gold nanomaterials to plants: importance of particle size and surface coating. Environ Sci Technol 46:8467–8474
- Juhel G, Batisse E, Hugues Q, Daly D, van Pelt FN, O'Halloran J, Jansen MA (2011) Alumina nanoparticles enhance growth of *Lemna minor*. Aquat Toxicol 105:328–336
- Karunakaran G, Suriyaprabha R, Rajendran V, Kannan N (2016) Influence of ZrO₂, SiO₂, Al₂O₃ and TiO₂ nanoparticles on maize seed germination under different growth conditions. IET Nanobiotechnol 10:171–177
- Khataee A, Movafeghi A, Nazari F, Vafaei F, Dadpour MR, Hanifehpour Y, Joo SW (2014) The toxic effects of L-cysteine-capped cadmium sulfide nanoparticles on the aquatic plant *Spirodela polyrrhiza*. J Nanopart Res 16:1–10
- Kim S, Lee S, Lee I (2012) Alteration of phytotoxicity and oxidant stress potential by metal oxide nanoparticles in *Cucumis sativus*. Water Air Soil Pollut 223:2799–2806
- Koo Y, Wang J, Zhang Q, Zhu H, Chehab EW, Colvin VL, Alvarez PJ, Braam J (2014) Fluorescence reports intact quantum dot uptake into roots and translocation to leaves of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and subsequent ingestion by insect herbivores. Environ Sci Technol 49:626–632
- Kranjc E, Mazej D, Regvar M, Drobne D, Remskar M (2018) Foliar surface free energy affects platinum nanoparticle adhesion, uptake, and translocation from leaves to roots in arugula and escarole. Environ Sci Nano 5:520–532

- Kumar V, Guleria P, Kumar V, Yadav SK (2013) Gold nanoparticle exposure induces growth and yield enhancement in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Sci Total Environ 461:462–468
- Kurepa J, Paunesku T, Vogt S, Arora H, Rabatic BM, Lu J, Wanzer MB, Woloschak GE, Smalle JA (2010) Uptake and distribution of ultrasmall anatase TiO₂ alizarin red S nanoconjugates in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Nano Lett 10:2296–2302
- Landa P, Vankova R, Andrlova J, Hodek J, Marsik P, Storchova H, White JC, Vanek T (2012) Nanoparticle-specific changes in *Arabidopsis thaliana* gene expression after exposure to ZnO, TiO₂, and fullerene soot. J Hazard Mater 241:55–62
- Larue C, Khodja H, Herlin-Boime N, Brisset F, Flank AM, Fayard B, Chaillou S, Carrière M (2011) Investigation of titanium dioxide nanoparticles toxicity and uptake by plants. Journal of physics: conference series, IOP Publishing, 304(1), 012057
- Lee WM, An YJ, Yoon H, Kweon HS (2008) Toxicity and bioavailability of copper nanoparticles to the terrestrial plants mung bean (*Phaseolus radiatus*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*): plant agar test for water-insoluble nanoparticles. Environ Toxicol Chem 27:1915–1921
- Lee CW, Mahendra S, Zodrow K, Li D, Tsai YC, Braam J, Alvarez PJ (2010) Developmental phytotoxicity of metal oxide nanoparticles to *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Environ Toxicol Chem 29:669–675
- Lee WM, Kwak JI, An YJ (2012) Effect of silver nanoparticles in crop plants *Phaseolus radiatus* and *Sorghum bicolor*: media effect on phytotoxicity. Chemosphere 86:491–499
- Lee S, Chung H, Kim S, Lee I (2013) The genotoxic effect of ZnO and CuO nanoparticles on early growth of buckwheat, *Fagopyrum esculentum*. Water Air Soil Pollut 224:1–11
- Li P, Song A, Li ZJ, Fan F, Liang Y (2015a) Silicon ameliorates manganese toxicity by regulating both physiological processes and expression of genes associated with photosynthesis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Plant Soil 397:289e301
- Li X, Yang Y, Gao B, Zhang M (2015b) Stimulation of peanut seedling development and growth by zero-valent iron nanoparticles at low concentrations. PLoS One 10:e0122884
- Li H, Ye X, Guo X, Geng Z, Wang G (2016a) Effects of surface ligands on the uptake and transport of gold nanoparticles in rice and tomato. J Hazard Mater 314:188–196
- Li J, Hu J, Ma C, Wang Y, Wu C, Huang J, Xing B (2016b) Uptake, translocation and physiological effects of magnetic iron oxide (γ-Fe 2 O 3) nanoparticles in corn (*Zea mays* L.). Chemosphere 159:326–334
- Lin D, Xing B (2007) Phytotoxicity of nanoparticles: inhibition of seed germination and root growth. Environ Pollut 150:243–250
- Lin S, Reppert J, Hu Q, Hudson JS, Reid ML, Ratnikova TA, Rao AM, Luo H, Ke PC (2009) Uptake, translocation, and transmission of carbon nanomaterials in rice plants. Small 5:1128–1132
- Liu J, Wang FH, Wang LL, Xiao SY, Tong CY, Tang DY, Liu XM (2008) Preparation of fluorescence starch-nanoparticle and its application as plant transgenic vehicle. J Cent S Univ Technol 15:768–773
- Liu R, Zhang H, Lal R (2016) Effects of stabilized nanoparticles of copper, zinc, manganese, and iron oxides in low concentrations on lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) seed germination: nanotoxicants or nanonutrients? Water Air Soil Pollut 227:1–14
- Lopez-Moreno ML, de la Rosa G, Hernández-Viezcas JÁ, Castillo-Michel H, Botez CE, Peralta-Videa JR, Gardea-Torresdey JL (2010) Evidence of the differential biotransformation and genotoxicity of ZnO and CeO₂ nanoparticles on soybean (*Glycine max*) plants. Environ Sci Technol 44:7315–7320
- López-Moreno ML, de la Rosa G, Cruz-Jiménez G, Castellano L, Peralta-Videa JR, Gardea-Torresdey JL (2017) Effect of ZnO nanoparticles on corn seedlings at different temperatures; X-ray absorption spectroscopy and ICP/OES studies. Microchem J 134:54–61
- Lv J, Zhang S, Luo L (2015) Accumulation, speciation and uptake pathway of ZnO nanoparticles in maize. Environ Sci Nano 2:68–77
- Ma Y, He X, Zhang P, Zhang Z, Guo Z, Tai R, Xu Z, Zhang L, Ding Y, Zhao Y, Chai Z (2011) Phytotoxicity and biotransformation of La₂O₃ nanoparticles in a terrestrial plant cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*). Nanotoxicology 5:743–753

- Ma H, Brennan A, Diamond SA (2012) Photocatalytic reactive oxygen species production and phototoxicity of titanium dioxide nanoparticles are dependent on the solar ultraviolet radiation spectrum. Environ Toxicol Chem 31(9):2099–2107
- Ma C, Chhikara S, Xing B, Musante C, White JC, Dhankher OP (2013) Physiological and molecular response of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) to nanoparticle cerium and indium oxide exposure. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 1:768–778
- Maiti S, El Fahime E, Benaissa M, Brar SK (2015) Nano-ecotoxicology of natural and engineered nanoparticles for plants. In: Brar SK, Zhang TC, Verma M, Surampalli RY, Tyagi RD (eds) Nanomaterials in the environment. American Society of Civil Engineers, USA, pp 469–485
- Martinez-Fernandez D, Komárek M (2016) Comparative effects of nanoscale zero-valent iron (nZVI) and Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles on root hydraulic conductivity of *Solanum lycopersicum* L. Environ Exp Bot 131:128–136
- Martin-Ortigosa S, Valenstein JS, Lin VSY, Trewyn BG, Wang K (2012) Gold functionalized mesoporous silica nanoparticle mediated protein and DNA codelivery to plant cells via the biolistic method. Adv Funct Mater 22:3576–3582
- Maurer-Jones MA, Gunsolus IL, Murphy CJ, Haynes CL (2013) Toxicity of engineered nanoparticles in the environment. Anal Chem 85:3036–3049
- McKnight TE, Melechko AV, Griffin GD, Guillorn MA, Merkulov VI, Serna F, Hensley DK, Doktycz MJ, Lowndes DH, Simpson ML (2003) Intracellular integration of synthetic nanostructures with viable cells for controlled biochemical manipulation. Nanotechnology 14:551
- Mehrian SK, Heidari R, Rahmani F, Najafi S (2016) Effect of chemical synthesis silver nanoparticles on germination indices and seedlings growth in seven varieties of *Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill (tomato) plants. J Clust Sci 27:327–340
- Mehta CM, Srivastava R, Arora S, Sharma AK (2016) Impact assessment of silver nanoparticles on plant growth and soil bacterial diversity. 3 Biotech 6:254
- Miralles P, Church TL, Harris AT (2012) Toxicity, uptake, and translocation of engineered nanomaterials in vascular plants. Environ Sci Technol 46:9224–9239
- Musante C, White JC (2012) Toxicity of silver and copper to *Cucurbita pepo*: differential effects of nano and bulk-size particles. Environ Toxicol 27(9):510–517
- Nair PMG, Chung M (2015) Study on the correlation between copper oxide nanoparticles induced growth suppression and enhanced lignification in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 113:302–313
- Navarro E, Baun A, Behra R, Hartmann NB, Filser J, Miao AJ, Quigg A, Santschi PH, Sigg L (2008) Environmental behavior and ecotoxicity of engineered nanoparticles to algae, plants, and fungi. Ecotoxicology 17:372–386
- Navarro DA, Bisson MA, Aga DS (2012) Investigating uptake of water-dispersible CdSe/ZnS quantum dot nanoparticles by *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants. J Hazard Mater 211:427–435
- Neumann PM (2008) Coping mechanisms for crop plants in drought-prone environments. Ann Bot 101:901–907
- Ngo QB, Dao TH, Nguyen HC, Tran XT, Van Nguyen T, Khuu TD, Huynh TH (2014) Effects of nanocrystalline powders (Fe, Co and Cu) on the germination, growth, crop yield and product quality of soybean (Vietnamese species DT-51). Adv Nat Sci Nanosci Nanotechnol 5:015016
- Ostiguy C, IRSST (Québec) (2006) Les effets à la santé reliés aux nanoparticules. Rapp Tech R451. IRSST
- Peralta-Videa JR, Hernandez-Viezcas JA, Zhao L, Diaz BC, Ge Y, Priester JH, Holden PA, Gardea-Torresdey JL (2014) Cerium dioxide and zinc oxide nanoparticles alter the nutritional value of soil cultivated soybean plants. Plant Physiol Biochem 80:128–135
- Perreault F, Samadani M, Dewez D (2014) Effect of soluble copper released from copper oxide nanoparticles solubilisation on growth and photosynthetic processes of *Lemna gibba* L. Nanotoxicology 8:374–382
- Prasad TNVKV, Sudhakar P, Sreenivasulu Y, Latha P, Munaswamy V, Reddy KR, Sreeprasad TS, Sajanlal PR, Pradeep T (2012) Effect of nanoscale zinc oxide particles on the germination, growth and yield of peanut. J Plant Nutr 35:905–927

- Prasad TN, Adam S, Rao PV, Reddy BR, Krishna TG (2016) Size dependent effects of antifungal phytogenic silver nanoparticles on germination, growth and biochemical parameters of rice (*Oryza sativa* L), maize (*Zea mays* L) and peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L). IET Nanobiotechnol 11:277–285
- Qi M, Liu Y, Li T (2013) Nano-TiO₂ improve the photosynthesis of tomato leaves under mild heat stress. Biol Trace Elem Res 156:323–328
- Racuciu M, Creanga DE (2007) TMA-OH coated magnetic nanoparticles internalized in vegetal tissues. Rom J Phys 52:395–402
- Radu DR, Lai CY, Jeftinija K, Rowe EW, Jeftinija S, Lin VS (2004) A polyamidoamine dendrimercapped mesoporous silica nanosphere-based gene transfection reagent. J Am Chem Soc 126:13216–13217
- Rafique R, Zahra Z, Virk N, Shahid M, Pinelli E, Park TJ, Kallerhoff J, Arshad M (2018) Dosedependent physiological responses of *Triticum aestivum* L. to soil applied TiO₂ nanoparticles: alterations in chlorophyll content, H₂O₂ production, and genotoxicity. Agric Ecosyst Environ 255:95–101
- Rani PU, Yasur J, Loke KS, Dutta D (2016) Effect of synthetic and biosynthesized silver nanoparticles on growth, physiology and oxidative stress of water hyacinth: *Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart) Solms. Acta Physiol Plant 38:1–9
- Rawat S, Pullagurala VL, Hernandez-Molina M, Sun Y, Niu G, Hernandez-Viezcas JA, Peralta-Videa JR, Gardea-Torresdey JL (2018) Impacts of copper oxide nanoparticles on bell pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) plants: a full life cycle study. Environ Sci Nano 5:83–95
- Remédios C, Rosário F, Bastos V (2012) Environmental nanoparticles interactions with plants: morphological, physiological, and genotoxic aspects. J Bot 2012.: Article ID 751686:8. https:// doi.org/10.1155/2012/751686
- Rico CM, Majumdar S, Duarte-Gardea M, Peralta-Videa JR, Gardea-Torresdey JL (2011) Interaction of nanoparticles with edible plants and their possible implications in the food chain. J Agric Food Chem 59:3485–3498
- Rico CM, Hong J, Morales MI, Zhao L, Barrios AC, Zhang JY, Peralta-Videa JR, Gardea-Torresdey JL (2013a) Effect of cerium oxide nanoparticles on rice: a study involving the antioxidant defense system and *in vivo* fluorescence imaging. Environ Sci Technol 47:5635–5642
- Rico CM, Morales MI, McCreary R, Castillo-Michel H, Barrios AC, Hong J, Tafoya A, Lee WY, Varela-Ramirez A, Peralta-Videa JR, Gardea-Torresdey JL (2013b) Cerium oxide nanoparticles modify the antioxidative stress enzyme activities and macromolecule composition in rice seedlings. Environ Sci Technol 47:14110–14118
- Roy I, Ohulchanskyy TY, Bharali DJ (2005) Optical tracking of organically modified silica nanoparticles as DNA carriers: a nonviral, nanomedicine approach for gene delivery. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:279–284
- Santos AR, Miguel AS, Macovei A, Maycock C, Balestrazzi A, Oliva A, Fevereiro P (2013) CdSe/ ZnS quantum dots trigger DNA repair and antioxidant enzyme systems in *Medicago sativa* cells in suspension culture. BMC Biotechnol 13:111
- Saquib Q, Faisal M, Alatar AA, Al-Khedhairy AA, Ahmed M, Ansari SM, Alwathnani HA, Okla MK, Dwivedi S, Musarrat J, Praveen S (2016) Genotoxicity of ferric oxide nanoparticles in *Raphanus sativus*: deciphering the role of signaling factors, oxidative stress and cell death. J Environ Sci 47:49–62
- Schwab F, Zhai G, Kern M, Turner A, Schnoor JL, Wiesner MR (2016) Barriers, pathways and processes for uptake, translocation and accumulation of nanomaterials in plants–critical review. Nanotoxicology 10:257–278
- Schwabe F, Schulin R, Limbach LK, Stark W, Bürge D, Nowack B (2013) Influence of two types of organic matter on interaction of CeO₂ nanoparticles with plants in hydroponic culture. Chemosphere 91:512–520
- Seeger EM, Baun A, Kästner M, Trapp S (2009) Insignificant acute toxicity of TiO_2 nanoparticles to willow trees. J Soils Sediments 9:46–53
- Servin AD, Morales MI, Castillo-Michel H, Hernandez-Viezcas JA, Munoz B, Zhao L, Nunez JE, Peralta-Videa JR, Gardea-Torresdey JL (2013) Synchrotron verification of TiO₂ accumulation

in cucumber fruit: a possible pathway of TiO_2 nanoparticle transfer from soil into the food chain. Environ Sci Technol 47:11592–11598

- Shah V, Belozerova I (2009) Influence of metal nanoparticles on the soil microbial community and germination of lettuce seeds. Water Air Soil Pollut 197:143–148
- Sharma P, Bhatt D, Zaidi MGH, Saradhi PP, Khanna PK, Arora S (2012) Silver nanoparticlemediated enhancement in growth and antioxidant status of *Brassica juncea*. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 167:2225–2233
- Shaw AK, Ghosh S, Kalaji HM, Bosa K, Brestic M, Zivcak M, Hossain Z (2014) Nano-CuO stress induced modulation of antioxidative defense and photosynthetic performance of Syrian barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). Environ Exp Bot 102:37–47
- Siddiqi KS, Husen A (2016) Engineered gold nanoparticles and plant adaptation potential. Nano Res Lett 11:400
- Siddiqi KS, Husen A (2017) Plant response to engineered metal oxide nanoparticles. Nano Res Lett 12:92
- Siddiqi KS, Rahman A, Tajuddin, Husen A (2016) Biogenic fabrication of iron/iron oxide nanoparticles and their application. Nano Res Lett 11:498
- Siddiqi KS, Husen A, Rao RAK (2018) A review on biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles and their biocidal properties. J Nanobiotechnol 16:14
- Siddiqui MH, Al-Whaibi MH, Faisal M, Al Sahli AA (2014) Nano-silicon dioxide mitigates the adverse effects of salt stress on *Cucurbita pepo* L. Environ Toxicol Chem 33:2429–2437
- Sillen WM, Thijs S, Abbamondi GR, Janssen J, Weyens N, White JC, Vangronsveld J (2015) Effects of silver nanoparticles on soil microorganisms and maize biomass are linked in the rhizosphere. Soil Biol Biochem 91:14–22
- Silver J, Ou W (2005) Photoactivation of quantum dot fluorescence following endocytosis. Nano Lett 5:1445–1449
- Singh A, Singh NB, Hussain I, Singh H, Yadav V, Singh SC (2016) Green synthesis of nano zinc oxide and evaluation of its impact on germination and metabolic activity of *Solanum lycopersicum*. J Biotechnol 233:84–94
- Slomberg DL, Schoenfisch MH (2012) Silica nanoparticle phytotoxicity to Arabidopsis thaliana. Environ Sci Technol 46(18):10247–10254
- Song U, Lee S (2016) Phytotoxicity and accumulation of zinc oxide nanoparticles on the aquatic plants *Hydrilla verticillata* and *Phragmites Australis*: leaf-type-dependent responses. Environ Sci Pollut Res 23:8539–8545
- Song G, Gao Y, Wu H, Hou W, Zhang C, Ma H (2012) Physiological effect of anatase TiO₂ nanoparticles on *Lemna minor*. Environ Toxicol Chem 31:2147–2152
- Song A, Li P, Fan FL, Li Z, Liang Y (2014) The effect of silicon on photosynthesis and expression of its relevant genes in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under high zinc stress. PLoS One 9:e113782
- Sosan A, Svistunenko D, Straltsova D, Tsiurkina K, Smolich I, Lawson T, Subramaniam S, Golovko V, Anderson D, Sokolik A, Colbeck I (2016) Engineered silver nanoparticles are sensed at the plasma membrane and dramatically modify the physiology of *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants. Plant J 85:245–257
- Spielman-Sun E, Lombi E, Donner E, Howard D, Unrine JM, Lowry GV (2017) Impact of surface charge on cerium oxide nanoparticle uptake and translocation by wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Environ Sci Technol 51:7361–7368
- Stampoulis D, Sinha SK, White JC (2009) Assay-dependent phytotoxicity of nanoparticles to plants. Environ Sci Technol 43:9473–9479
- Steudle E, Peterson CA (1988) How does water get through roots? J Exp Bot 49:775-788
- Sun D, Hussain HI, Yi Z, Rookes JE, Kong L, Cahill DM (2016) Mesoporous silica nanoparticles enhance seedling growth and photosynthesis in wheat and lupin. Chemosphere 152:81–91
- Tan W, Du W, Barrios AC, Armendariz R, Zuverza-Mena N, Ji Z, Chang CH, Zink JI, Hernandez-Viezcas JA, Peralta-Videa JR, Gardea-Torresdey JL (2017) Surface coating changes the physiological and biochemical impacts of nano-TiO₂ in basil (*Ocimum basilicum*) plants. Environ Pollut 222:64–72

- Thuesombat P, Hannongbua S, Akasit S, Chadchawan S (2014) Effect of silver nanoparticles on rice (*Oryza sativa* L. cv. KDML 105) seed germination and seedling growth. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 104:302–309
- Torney F, Trewyn BG, Lin VSY, Wang K (2007) Mesoporous silica nanoparticles deliver DNA and chemicals into plants. Nat Nanotechnol 2:295–300
- Trujillo-Reyes J, Majumdar S, Botez CE, Peralta-Videa JR, Gardea-Torresdey JL (2014) Exposure studies of core–shell Fe/Fe₃O₄ and Cu/CuO NPs to lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) plants: are they a potential physiological and nutritional hazard? J Hazard Mater 267:255–263
- Van Aken B (2015) Gene expression changes in plants and microorganisms exposed to nanomaterials. Curr Opin Biotechnol 33:206–219
- Venkatachalam P, Priyanka N, Manikandan K, Ganeshbabu I, Indiraarulselvi P, Geetha N, Muralikrishna K, Bhattacharya RC, Tiwari M, Sharma N, Sahi SV (2017) Enhanced plant growth promoting role of phycomolecules coated zinc oxide nanoparticles with P supplementation in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Plant Physiol Biochem 110:118–127
- Wang Z, Xie X, Zhao J, Liu X, Feng W, White JC, Xing B (2012) Xylem-and phloem-based transport of CuO nanoparticles in maize (Zea mays L.). Environ Sci Technol 46:4434–4441
- Wang X, Yang X, Chen S, Li Q, Wang W, Hou C, Gao X, Wang L, Wang S (2015) Zinc oxide nanoparticles affect biomass accumulation and photosynthesis in arabidopsis. Front Plant Sci 6:1243
- Wei H, Wang E (2013) Nanomaterials with enzyme-like characteristics (nanozymes): nextgeneration artificial enzymes. Chem Soc Rev 42:6060–6093
- Xia T, Kovochich M, Liong M (2009) Polyethyleneimine coating enhances the cellular uptake of mesoporous silica nanoparticles and allows safe delivery of siRNA and DNA constructs. ACS Nano 3:3273–3286
- Xuming W, Fengqing G, Linglan M, Jie L, Sitao Y, Ping Y, Fashui H (2008) Effects of nanoanatase on ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase mRNA expression in spinach. Biol Trace Elem Res 126:280–289
- Yang L, Watts DJ (2005) Particle surface characteristics may play an important role in phytotoxicity of alumina nanoparticles. Toxicol Lett 158:122–132
- Yang F, Hong F, You W, Liu C, Gao F, Wu C, Yang P (2006) Influence of nano-anatase TiO₂ on the nitrogen metabolism of growing spinach. Biol Trace Elem Res 110:179–190
- Yang X, Pan H, Wang P, Zhao FJ (2016) Particle-specific toxicity and bioavailability of cerium oxide (CeO₂) nanoparticles to Arabidopsis thaliana. J Hazard Mater 322:292–300
- Yanik F, Vardar F (2015) Toxic effects of aluminum oxide (Al₂O₃) nanoparticles on root growth and development in *Triticum aestivum*. Water Air Soil Pollut 226:1–13
- Zhang R, Zhang H, Tu C, Hu X, Li L, Luo Y, Christie P (2015) Phytotoxicity of ZnO nanoparticles and the released Zn (II) ion to corn (*Zea mays* L.) and cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) during germination. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22:11109–11117
- Zhao L, Peng B, Hernandez-Viezcas JA, Rico C, Sun Y, Peralta-Videa JR, Tang X, Niu G, Jin L, Varela-Ramirez A, Zhang JY (2012a) Stress response and tolerance of *Zea mays* to CeO₂ nanoparticles: cross talk among H₂O₂, heat shock protein, and lipid peroxidation. ACS Nano 6:9615–9622
- Zhao L, Peralta-Videa JR, Varela-Ramirez A, Castillo-Michel H, Li C, Zhang J, Aguilera RJ, Keller AA, Gardea-Torresdey JL (2012b) Effect of surface coating and organic matter on the uptake of CeO₂ NPs by corn plants grown in soil: insight into the uptake mechanism. J Hazard Mater 225:131–138
- Zhao L, Sun Y, Hernandez-Viezcas JA, Servin AD, Hong J, Niu G, Peralta-Videa JR, Duarte-Gardea M, Gardea-Torresdey JL (2013) Influence of CeO₂ and ZnO nanoparticles on cucumber physiological markers and bioaccumulation of Ce and Zn: a life cycle study. J Agric Food Chem 61:11945–11951
- Zheng L, Hong F, Lu S, Liu C (2005) Effect of nano-TiO₂ on strength of naturally aged seeds and growth of spinach. Biol Trace Elem Res 104:83–91
- Zhu H, Njuguna J (2014) Nanolayered silicates/clay minerals: uses and effects on health. Health Environ Saf Nanomater, Woodhead Publishing Limited:133–146

- Zhu H, Han J, Xiao JQ, Jin Y (2008) Uptake, translocation, and accumulation of manufactured iron oxide nanoparticles by pumpkin plants. J Environ Monit 10:713–717
- Zhu ZJ, Wang H, Yan B, Zheng H, Jiang Y, Miranda OR, Rotello VM, Xing B, Vachet RW (2012) Effect of surface charge on the uptake and distribution of gold nanoparticles in four plant species. Environ Sci Technol 46:12391–12398
- Zuverza-Mena N, Armendariz R, Peralta-Videa JR, Gardea-Torresdey JL (2016) Effects of silver nanoparticles on radish sprouts: root growth reduction and modifications in the nutritional value. Front Plant Sci 7:90