Chapter 8
Molecular Basis of Pheromonogenesis Regulation in Moths

J. Joe Hull and Adrien Fónagy

Abstract Sexual communication among the vast majority of moths typically involves the synthesis and release of species-specific, multicomponent blends of sex pheromones (types of insect semiochemicals) by females. These compounds are then interpreted by conspecific males as olfactory cues regarding female reproductive readiness and assist in pinpointing the spatial location of emitting females. Studies by multiple groups using different model systems have shown that most sex pheromones are synthesized *de novo* from acetyl-CoA by functionally specialized cells that comprise the pheromone gland. Although significant progress was made in identifying pheromone components and elucidating their biosynthetic pathways, it wasn't until the advent of modern molecular approaches and the increased availability of genetic resources that a more complete understanding of the molecular basis underlying pheromonogenesis was developed. Pheromonogenesis is regulated by a neuropeptide termed Pheromone Biosynthesis Activating Neuropeptide (PBAN) that acts on a G protein-coupled receptor expressed at the surface of pheromone gland cells. Activation of the PBAN receptor (PBANR) triggers a signal transduction cascade that utilizes an influx of extracellular Ca^{2+} to drive the concerted action of multiple enzymatic steps (i.e. chain-shortening, desaturation, and fatty acyl reduction) that generate the multicomponent pheromone blends specific to each species.

In this chapter, we provide a brief overview of moth sex pheromones before expanding on the molecular mechanisms regulating pheromonogenesis, and conclude by highlighting recent developments in the literature that disrupt/exploit this critical pathway.

J. J. Hull (✉)
USDA-ARS, US Arid Land Agricultural Research Center, Maricopa, AZ, USA
e-mail: joe.hull@ars.usda.gov

A. Fónagy
Plant Protection Institute, Centre for Agricultural Research of Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary
e-mail: fonagy.adrien@agrar.mta.hu

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

J.-F. Picimbon (ed.), *Olfactory Concepts of Insect Control - Alternative to Insecticides*, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-05060-3_8

1 Introduction

Sexual communication in most moths is dependent on the female's ability to relay information regarding conspecificity, reproductive status, and location to receptive males. Research in earnest into the underpinnings of this chemical-based sexual communication originated with the seminal structure elucidation study published more than 50 years ago by Butenandt and co-workers (Butenandt et al. 1959). In that study, the authors reported the first chemical identification of an insect sex pheromone, (*E,Z*)-10,12-hexadecadien-1-ol (i.e. bombykol), extracted from 500,000 female silkworm moth (*Bombyx mori*) abdominal glands. Similar herculean efforts lead to the structural identification of sex pheromones from the cabbage looper *Trichoplusia ni* (Berger 1966) and the gypsy moth *Lymantria dispar* (Bierl et al. 1970). Since then, advances in analytical methodologies have facilitated elucidation of sex pheromones from several hundred lepidopteran species (El-Sayed 2014).

Sex pheromones are frequently de novo synthesized as multicomponent blends from acetyl-CoA (a process termed pheromonogenesis) in a specialized organ commonly referred to as the pheromone gland (PG) that is comprised of a single layer of modified epidermal cells between the eighth and ninth abdominal segments (Tillman et al. 1999; Jurenka 2003). Most moths produce Type I sex pheromones, which consist of long, straight chain hydrocarbons (C_{10-18}) with varying double bonds and functional modifications (alcohol, aldehyde, or acetate ester) of the carbonyl carbon (Tillman et al. 1999; Jurenka 2003, 2004; Ando et al. 2004). In contrast, Type II sex pheromones account for a small percentage (~15%) of the known lepidopteran compounds and are characterized by unmodified carbonyl carbons that consist of longer polyunsaturated hydrocarbons (C_{17-23}) and their epoxide derivatives (Ando et al. 2004; also see Chap. 11 volume 2). Early research on sex pheromone biosynthetic pathways clearly established that fatty acid metabolism intermediates (e.g. palmitic acid/hexadecanoic acid) provided the framework for downstream modifications. Using radiolabeled precursors, researchers were further able to elucidate specific biochemical steps to determine that pheromonogenesis, at least of the Type I pheromones, was derived from the dynamic interplay of selective β-oxidation reactions (i.e. chain-shortening), unique desaturases, and diverse reductive modifications (Bjöstad et al. 1987).

Despite years of foundational biochemical/chemical research, continued interest in the sex pheromone field has been fueled by its clear potential in integrated pest management strategies (Witzgall et al. 2008, 2010) and the ability to offer intriguing evolutionary insights (Roelofs et al. 2002; Lassance et al. 2010; Albre et al. 2013). Recent advances in genome/transcriptome sequencing, expansion of available molecular databases, and the advent of gene knockdown/knockout methodologies (e.g. RNA interference, CRISPR and TALENs) have greatly facilitated our understanding of moth pheromonogenesis at the cellular and molecular levels. This review will focus on the molecular mechanisms governing initiation and propagation

of the signal that drives moth pheromonogenesis with a final section highlighting studies that describe approaches to disrupt and/or exploit this critical pathway.

2 Regulation of Pheromonogenesis

2.1 Hormonal and Neuroendocrine Regulation

2.1.1 Hormonal Regulation

Early observations that the production and release of pheromones in some insect species coincided with female reproductive cycles lead to the hypothesis that pheromone production was hormonally regulated (Barth 1965). The two predominant hormones in insects, juvenile hormone (JH) and 20-hydroxyecdysone (20E), are now recognized as critical regulators of pheromone production in cockroaches (Schal et al. 2003), beetles (Seybold and Vanderwel 2003; Haberer et al. 2010), flies (Wicker-Thomas et al. 2009; Bilen et al. 2013), ants (Cuvillier-Hot et al. 2004; Holman 2012), and wasps (Kelstrup et al. 2014). In moths, the role of JH varies. For relatively long-lived moths, in which sex pheromone production is delayed and activities related to migration and reproduction are asynchronous (i.e. noctuid species such as the armyworm *Pseudaletia unipuncta*, the black cutworm moth *Agrotis ipsilon,* and the cotton bollworm *Heliothis armigera*), JH functions in the control of pheromone production (Cusson and McNeil 1989; Picimbon et al. 1995; Fan et al. 1999; Zhou et al. 2000). In *A. ipsilon*, JH stimulates the release of a peptidergic factor (see Sect. 2.1.2) from production sites in the brain to trigger pheromone production in 4-day old sexually mature females (Picimbon et al. 1995). In species with shorter lifespans, such as *H. armigera*, in which females initiate pheromone production at an earlier stage, JH (JH-II) primes the female PG to respond to the peptidergic factor (Fan et al. 1999). Conversely, JH has also been implicated in pheromonostasis, i.e. suppression of pheromone production after mating (Webster and Cardé 1984). Exogenous JH has been shown to suppress pheromone production in some moth species (Rafaeli and Bober 2005; Bober et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2014b), and the male-derived sex peptide that mediates the post-mating behavioral switch in *Drosophila* has both allatotropic (triggering JH biosynthesis) and pheromonostatic effects in *H. armigera* (Fan et al. 1999, 2000; Hanin et al. 2012).

Non-JH hormonal factors from the bursa copulatrix have also been reported to be required for pheromone production in the redbanded leafroller (*Argyrotaenia velutinana*), the eastern spruce budworm (*Choristoneura fumiferana*) and the oblique banded leaf roller (*C. rosaceana*) (Fabriàs et al. 1992; Delisle et al. 1999). It has been postulated that the relative importance of the bursa copulatrix in the hormonal regulation of pheromone production may be related to the evolution of enzyme desaturation systems in specific pheromone biosynthetic pathways, as found for instance in tortricid moths (Delisle et al. 1999).

2.1.2 Neuroendocrine and Neural Regulation

Pheromonogenic control in yet other moth species has been shown to proceed by a non-hormonal mechanism, as surgical removal of the *corpora allata* (CA; site of JH synthesis) had no discernible effect on the calling behavior of female saturnid moths (Riddiford and Williams 1971) and injection of CA homogenates also failed to stimulate pheromone production in *Helicoverpa* (*Heliothis) zea* (Raina and Klun 1984). Furthermore, circadian oscillations in pheromone production and emission coinciding with specific points of the day:night cycle (Raina and Klun 1984; Hunt and Haynes 1990; Delisle and Royer 1994; Kamimura and Tatsuki 1994; Gemeno and Haynes 2000; Foster 2000; Rosén 2002; Mazor and Dunkelblum 2005; Fónagy et al. 2011; Bloch et al. 2013; see Chap. 7) and the presence of a circulating pheromonogenic factor in the hemolymph of moths during scotophase (Ichikawa 1998; Jacquin et al. 1994; Ramaswamy et al. 1995) suggested a neuroendocrine component to pheromonogenic regulation. Biochemical analyses using adult *H. zea* females revealed that the factor was a peptide hormone, subsequently purified to homogeneity (see Sect. 2.2.1) and designated Pheromone Biosynthesis Activating Neuropeptide (PBAN), that was present in the brains and subesophageal ganglion (SOG) (Raina and Klun 1984; Raina et al. 1989). Accumulating evidence has supported circadian regulated release of PBAN from the *corpora cardiaca* into the hemolymph for direct pheromonotropic activity on PGs. However, reports describing pheromonotropic activity of a PBAN-like immunoreactive factor in the ventral nerve cord (VNC) and terminal abdominal ganglion, along with impaired pheromone production after severing the VNC suggest regulation may involve a neural component as well (Marco et al. 1996; Iglesias et al. 1998; Teal et al. 1999; Rosén 2002).

Neural signals from the VNC and depletion of sperm in the spermatheca are also important post-copulatory factors that regulate post-mating inhibition of pheromone production in polyandrous moths (Delisle et al. 2000; Delisle and Simard 2002). Mated females of polyandrous (multiple matings) species usually display a refractory period to reproduction after mating, which is largely due to the transfer of male humoral factors (sperm and seminal fluid) during copulation. Some of these male factors have short-term effects, whereas others can induce long-term suppression of female receptivity, as described in both butterflies and moths (Wedell 2005).

2.2 Purification and Characterization of the Pheromone Biosynthesis Activating Neuropeptide (PBAN)

2.2.1 HPLC-Based Identification of PBAN

Determination that the moth phermonotropic factor (i.e. PBAN) was a peptide hormone present in the brains and SOG of adult *H. zea* females facilitated HPLC (high-performance liquid chromatography) purification of the 33-amino acid PBAN from

2500 *H. zea* female brain-SOG complexes (Raina et al. 1989). Neuropeptides with similar functionalities and moderate overall sequence homology were likewise purified to homogeneity and sequenced from *B. mori* (Kitamura et al. 1989, 1990) and *L. dispar* (Masler et al. 1994). Consistent with its presumed role as the cue driving circadian oscillations in pheromone production, PBAN levels in both the brain and hemolymph fluctuate in accordance with photoperiod (Rafaeli et al. 1991, 1993; Rafaeli 1994; Ramaswamy et al. 1995; Iglesias et al. 2002; Nagalakshmi et al. 2007; Závodská et al. 2009). All PBANs have a conserved FxPRL-NH_2 (Phe-Xxx-Pro-Arg-Leu-amide) C-terminal pentapeptide motif that is critical for pheromonotropic activity (Raina and Kempe 1990; Kitamura et al. 1989). In addition, these pheromonotropic peptides exhibited species cross-reactivity as well as functional cross-reactivity with locust myotropins and tachykinins (Kuniyoshi et al. 1992; Fónagy et al. 1992a, b; Nachman et al. 1993a, b), suggesting that the cognate FxPRL-NH_2 peptide receptors were also similar.

2.2.2 Structure-Function Analysis of PBAN

Initial structure-function analyses of PBAN examined the pheromonotropic efficacy of peptide fragments generated either as a series of N-terminally truncated synthetic peptides (Raina and Kempe 1990) or endoproteinase Glu-C fragments (Kitamura et al. 1989). In both studies, the minimal sequence needed to stimulate pheromone production consisted of the C-terminal pentapeptide core (i.e. FxPRL). Comparison of amidated, hydroxylated, and methyl ester versions of the pentapeptide revealed the critical importance of the C-terminal amide (Kitamura et al. 1989; Kuniyoshi et al. 1992; Nagasawa et al. 1994). Sequential amino acid substitution of the core pentapeptide motif in *B. mori* (FSPRL-NH_2) revealed that Phe and Ser could be replaced with similar residues with little disruption of pheromonotropic activity, whereas Pro, Arg, and Leu could not (Kuniyoshi et al. 1991). Comparison of the pheromonotropic efficacies of FxPRL-NH_2 peptides from diverse species provided further insights into the structure-function relationships and suggested that the variable "x" position had greater pheromonotropic properties if occupied by Thr compared to Val, Ser, or Gly (Abernathy et al. 1995). More recent structure-function analyses revealed that the positively charged basic Arg (R; two positions from the C terminus) is the most critical residue within the hexapeptide motif (Kim et al. 2008; Kawai et al. 2012). It is followed in importance by the branched chain Leu, aromatic Phe, and then to a lesser extent by the other residues (Kim et al. 2008).

To provide greater insights into the role the individual residues in the C-terminal pentapeptide motif might play in receptor activation, Nachman and co-workers used nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, circular dichroism, and molecular dynamics simulations to determine that a cyclic analog of the pentapeptide adopts a C-terminal β turn in solution (Nachman et al. 1991). The analog, which introduced significant conformation constraints and increased the overall rigidity of the pentapeptide, retained biological activity, indicating that this conformation is crucial for receptor activation. Molecular simulations using the linear pentapeptide

active core suggested the conformation was not specific to the cyclization process. Subsequent NMR analyses of a hexapeptide (TFSPRL-NH_2) analog and the full-length *H. zea* PBAN confirmed that the peptide assumes a C-terminal type I′ β turn in solution (Wang et al. 1994; Clark and Prestwich 1996). A more recent NMR study of an 18-amino acid pheromonotropin from *Pseudaletia separata* characterized by a C-terminal FxPRL-NH_2 revealed an extended β sheet structure devoid of the previously identified β turn (Bhattacharya et al. 2015). However, that study was performed in water as opposed to a more polar solvent (e.g. trifluoroethanol/water or dimethyl sulfoxide/water) that would presumably more accurately mimic the lipid bilayer environment in which the cell surface receptors are embedded.

2.3 Molecular-Based identification of PBAN

2.3.1 PBAN Transcripts

Following purification of the respective PBANs, cloning methods employing sequence information provided by the isolated peptides facilitated molecular elucidation of the *B. mori* and *H. zea* PBAN gene products (Davis et al. 1992; Kawano et al. 1992; Sato et al. 1993; Ma et al. 1994). In both instances, post-translational proteolytic processing of the encoded open reading frames was predicted to yield the respective PBANs and four additional peptides with C-terminal FxPRL-NH_2 motifs identified as diapause hormone (DH) and α, β, and γ subesophageal neuropeptides (i.e. SGNPs). Among the four additional peptides, DH had previously been isolated to homogeneity and shown to function in embryonic diapause (Imai et al. 1991). Synthetic α, β, and γ SGNPs were reported to have pheromonotropic activity in *H. zea* (Ma et al. 1994), but in *B. mori* the α and γ SGNPs were less effective than PBAN (β SGNP was comparable) at stimulating pheromone production and all three were less potent than DH in diapause induction (Sato et al. 1993). Later studies using PBANR receptors heterologously expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes, however, reported that the three SGNPs were more potent than PBAN in generating chloride currents (Watanabe et al. 2007).

Organization of the FxPRL-NH_2 open reading frames is conserved in both the *B. mori* and *H. zea* transcripts with the DH sequence downstream of the signal peptide followed by the α and β SGNPs, PBAN, and then γ SGNP. Since initial cloning, PBAN-encoding cDNAs with similar sequence architecture have been published for 22 lepidopterans with additional sequences deposited in GenBank or the Transcriptome Shotgun Assembly (TSA) sequence databases (Table 8.1) with most of the peptides composed of 33 residues (Fig. 8.1). Outliers include the *Ascotis selenaria cretacea* (Japanese giant looper) PBAN, which is 27 amino acids, and the 37 amino acid *Omphisa fuscidentalis* PBAN. A second 37 amino acid PBAN recently identified in *Ostrinia nubilalis* suggests close conservation of PBAN gene architecture between the closely related crambid subfamilies Pyraustinae and Spilomelinae (Fodor et al. 2017). The *A. s. cretacea* PBAN transcript is also unique

Table 8.1 Accession numbers for PBAN and PBANR sequences identified in lepidopteran species

PBAN		PBANR	
Species	GenBank protein accession no.	Species	GenBank protein accession no.
Published sequences			
Adoxophyes sp.	AAK72980	*Agrotis segetum*	AID66638
Agrotis ipsilon	CAA08774/O76818	*Bombyx mori*	AEX31546, AEX15646, AEX15643/BAD44726, AEX15640
Antheraea pernyi	AAR17699	*Helicoverpa armigera*	AEX31547, AEX15647/AAW47417, AEX15644, AEX15641
Ascotis selenaria cretacea	BAF64458	*Helicoverpa zea*	AAP93921, AEO17028, AFP19101
Bombyx mandarina	AAM88285	*Heliothis peltigera*	AEQ33641
Bombyx mori	BAA05954/AAB24327	*Heliothis virescens*	ABU93812, ABU93813, ABV58013
Chlumetia transversa	AIY72749	*Mamestra brassicae*	ARO85771-ARO85773
Clostera anastomosis	ABR04093	*Ostrinia nubilalis*	AGL12066-AGL12068
Helicoverpa armigera	AAM43840/AAL05596/AAQ82626	*Plutella xylostella*	AAY34744/AEP25401
Helicoverpa assulta	AAC64293	*Pseudaletia separata*	AEX31548, AEX15648, AEX15645, AEX15642
Helicoverpa zea	P11159/AAA20661	*Spodoptera exigua*	ABY62317
Heliothis virescens	AAO20095	*Spodoptera littoralis*	ABD52277
Holcocerus hippophaecolus	n/a[a]		
Mamestra brassicae	AAC02094		
Manduca sexta	AAO18192		
Maruca vitrata	AGI96545		
Omphisa fuscidentalis	AFP87384		
Ostrinia nubilalis	AOY34014		
Plutella xylostella	AAX99220		
Samia cynthia ricini	AAP41132		

(continued)

Table 8.1 (continued)

PBAN		PBANR	
Species	GenBank protein accession no.	Species	GenBank protein accession no.
Spodoptera exigua	AAT64424/AAR87744		
Spodoptera littoralis	AAK84160		
Spodoptera litura	AJT60314		
Unpublished sequences (GenBank annotations only)			
Chilo suppressalis	ALM30314	*Chilo suppressalis*	ALM88337-ALM88338
Omphisa fuscidentalis	AFP87384	*Manduca sexta*	ACQ90219-ACQ90222
Orgyia thyellina	BAE94185	*Spodoptera litura*	AJW32184
Ostrinia furnacalis	BAQ21230		
Genome Annotations			
Amyelois transitella	XP_013189838	*Amyelois transitella*	XP_013187133
Danaus plexippus	EHJ67284	*Papilio machaon*	XP_014362489,XP_014362488,XP_014362487
Papilio machaon	XP_014371142	*Papilio polytes*	XP_013142894,XP_013142893,XP_013142892
Papilio polytes	XP_013144402	*Papilio xuthus*	XP_013176026, XP_013176019, XP_013176012
Papilio xuthus	XP_013168299/XP_013163175/ XP_013168300		
Transcriptome Shotgun Assembliesb			
Athetis lepigone	GARB01004345	*Actias selene*	GBZL01006651
Biston suppressaria	GCJP01035652	*Antheraea yamamai*	GBZJ01027120
Chilo suppressalis	GAJS01037377	*Athetis lepigone*	GARB01028884
Dyseriocrania subpurpurella	GASY02017090	*Biston suppressaria*	GCJP01052341
Nemophora degeerella	GATC02010886	*Cadra cautella*	GBXH01027379
Papilio zelicaon	JP623453	*Helicoverpa assulta*	GBTA01046701/GBTA01046700

Polyommatus icarus	GAST02017042	*Nemophora degeerella*	GATC02017805
Spodoptera frugiperda	GESP01042864.1	*Ostrinia furnacalis*	GAQJ01060384
Triodia sylvina	GAVB02014270	*Parides eurimedes*	GAXH02029056
Yponomeuta evonymellus	GASG02034409	*Polyommatus icarus*	GAST02014754
		Spodoptera frugiperda	GESP01096852
		Yponomeuta evonymellus	GASG02024048

[a]See Li J, Zhou J, Sun R, et al (2013) Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 82:183–195. doi: 10.1002/arch.21084

[b]tBLASTn against TSA archive (08/28/2016) using *B. mori* PBAN (AAB24327) with e value $<1e^{-05}$ or PBANR (BAD44726) e value $<1e^{-60}$



Fig. 8.1 Multiple sequence alignment of PBAN coding sequences from diverse lepidopteran species. The alignment was made using MUSCLE implemented in Geneious 7. Sequences correspond to the portion of the DH/PBAN transcript from the FxPRL portion of the β SGNP through the predicted proteolytic cleavage site at the C terminus of the PBAN sequence. Predicted cleavage and amidation sites are indicated. The essential FxPRL active core of PBAN is indicated by the dashed grey lines. Genome-based butterfly sequences are clustered at the bottom of the alignment. Protein accession numbers are indicated in parentheses

in that it generates a fused β SGNP/PBAN with a double FxPRL motif (Kawai et al. 2007). Alignment of multiple lepidopteran PBAN sequences revealed that the variable position in the FxPRL-NH_2 motif reported to have an effect on pheromonotropic activity (Abernathy et al. 1995) is a conserved Ser (Fig. 8.1). The exception is *Maruca vitrata* (legume pod borer), which has Asn, an uncharged polar residue with a bulkier sidechain than Ser (Chang and Ramasamy 2014). Furthermore, all of the published PBAN cDNAs to date contain a dibasic KK motif upstream of the α SGNP sequence. While KK cleavage has been reported to be infrequent (Veenstra 2000), proteolytic processing of the PBAN prepropeptides was confirmed via HPLC-based fractionation of *B. mori* SOGs (Sato et al. 1993) and MALDI (matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization) mass spectrometry of individual *H. zea* SOG neuronal clusters (Ma et al. 2000).

The presence of PBAN sequence (and/or prepropeptide) variants was initially described in *B. mori* following HPLC-based purification of two peptides (PBAN-I and PBAN-II) with pheromonotropic activity that differed from one another by a single N-terminal Arg residue (Kitamura et al. 1989, 1990). Since then potential sequence variants have been deposited with the NCBI database for a number of species including *B. mori* (three point mutations between AAB24327 and

BAA05954-K109 N, M139I, and E146V), *Spodoptera litura* (one point mutation between AJT60314 and AKT95050-E53G), and *Helicoverpa armigera* (three point mutations between AAL05596 and AAM43840-deletion of N3, insertion of G before G30, and M179I).

At this point, it is uncertain if these variants represent population differences, are differentially expressed variants, or are merely the result of sequence errors introduced during cloning. However, differentially expressed PBAN prepropeptide transcript variants have been reported in the sand fly *Phlebotomus papatasi* (Choi et al. 2015) and are suggested based on a band doublet observed on an RT-PCR gel of fire ant thoraces (Choi et al. 2011). More recently, transcripts that vary in the length and composition of their 3′UTRs (untranslated regions) have been identified in *O. nubilalis* (Fodor et al. 2017).

2.3.2 PBAN Gene Structure

The lepidopteran PBAN genomic structure is conserved with PBAN genes in *B. mori* (Xu et al. 1995), *H. armigera* (Zhang et al. 2005), *M. vitrata* (Chang and Ramasamy 2014), and *Clostera anastomosis* (Jing et al. 2007) encompassing six exons with identical exon coding (Fig. 8.2). Exon one encodes the signal peptide and a portion of DH, exon two the remaining portion of DH, exon three an uncharacterized peptidergic sequence, exon four the α and β SGNPs and a portion of PBAN, and exon five the remaining portion of PBAN and γ SGNP. The stop codon is located in exon six. Splicing of all four genes follows the GT-AG rule and utilizes 0, 2, 1, 2, 1 phasing; however, despite the similarities, the overall sizes of the genes differ with varying intron lengths (Fig. 8.2). The *O. nubilalis* PBAN was recently reported to have the same genomic structure (Fodor et al. 2017).

Limited promoter analyses, which focused on elucidating how DH expression was regulated in relation to embryonic diapause as opposed to pheromonogenesis, identified potential differences in transcription between the *B. mori* and *H. armigera* genes. POU-M2, a eukaryotic transcription factor with a bipartite DNA binding domain implicated in neuroendocrine function, activated expression from the *B. mori* PBAN promoter *in vitro* but failed to do so with a conserved region of the *H. armigera* promoter (Zhang et al. 2004a, 2005). In contrast, an E-box element (CAGCTG) present in the *H. armigera* promoter was reported to be critical for transcriptional activation (Hong et al. 2006), which was dependent on co-ordinate interactions with upstream activating and inhibitory regions. Taken together, the findings suggest that the two species utilize variations in transcriptional regulation to drive the respective differences in diapause programs. Additionally, an ecdysone response element was identified in the promoter region of the *B. mori* PBAN gene (Xu et al. 1995). While ecdysteroids have not been associated with diapause control, they are critical regulators of lepidopteran reproduction (Van Wielendaele et al. 2013; De Loof et al. 2016). Consequently, the response element may link PBAN transcription with reproductive competence; however, the role it has in pheromonogenesis remains to be revealed.









Fig. 8.2 Genomic organization of the DH-PBAN gene in four moth species. Schematic comparison of genomic DNA and the translated peptides for the DH-PBAN gene in *Bombyx mori* (Xu et al. 1995), *Helicoverpa zea* (Zhang et al. 2005), *Clostera anastomosis* (Jing et al. 2007), and *Maruca vitrata* (Chang and Ramasamy 2014). Darker shaded boxes indicate exons, whereas lighter shaded boxes indicate the encoded peptides. Horizontal solid lines represent introns with the corresponding intron phase in parentheses. GKR, KK, GR, and GRR indicate probable endoproteolytic cleavage sites. SP - signal peptide; DH-diapause hormone; α-α SGNP; β-β SGNP; PBAN - pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide; γ-γ SGNP. Note, while the sizes of exons and introns are indicated, the models are not drawn to scale

2.4 Other FxPRL-NH_2 Peptides

The critical C-terminal pentapeptide is now recognized as a defining feature of the PBAN/pyrokinin (FxPRL) family of pleiotropic neuropeptides present throughout Insecta and includes pyrokinins, PBANs, myotropins, DH, and the α, β, and γ SGNPs (Predel and Nachman 2006; Jurenka and Nusawardani 2011; Altstein et al. 2013; Jurenka 2015; Yaginuma and Niimi 2015). In addition to the pheromonotropic effects in moths, FxPRL-NH_2 peptides also regulate the induction of cuticular melanization in moth larvae (Matsumoto et al. 1992; Altstein et al. 1996), the induction of embryonic diapause and seasonal polyphenism in moths (Imai et al. 1991; Uehara et al. 2011), the termination of pupal diapause in heliothine moths (Xu and Denlinger 2003; Zhang et al. 2004b, c; Zhao et al. 2004), prothoracic gland ecdysteroidogenesis (Zhang et al. 2004c; Watanabe et al. 2007), visceral muscle contraction in cockroaches (Holman et al. 1986; Nachman et al. 1986; Predel et al. 2001), acceleration of puparium formation in flies (Zdárek and Nachman 1997; Zdárek et al. 1998, 2002, 2004), production of fatty acid components in male *H. armigera* hair-pencil aedeagus complexes (Bober and Rafaeli 2010), and the biosynthesis of trail pheromones in *Solenopsis invicta* (Choi and Vander Meer 2012). This multifunctionality is similar to the structural variation described for the chemosensory protein (CSP) family of multi-function transporters, which are widely expressed in diverse tissues including the PG (see Chaps. 6, 9, and 10, volume 2; Xuan et al. 2014, 2016; Picimbon 2017).

PBAN control of pheromonogenesis, however, is not ubiquitous throughout the moths (Tang et al. 1989; Subchev and Jurenka 2001; Fujii et al. 2010) nor is it specific to moths that produce Type I pheromone components (albeit our knowledge of this system is more complete) as it has been reported to regulate production of Type II pheromones in the giant looper *A. s. cretacea* (Wei et al. 2004; Fujii et al. 2007). Furthermore, some Lepidopteran species such as *T. ni* do not exhibit diel periodicity in pheromone production (Hunt and Haynes 1990; also see references in Rafaeli and Jurenka 2003; Altstein 2004a), and as such would be expected to have little need for PBAN-mediated regulation. However, *T. ni* brain extracts were found to have pheromonotropic activities in other moth species (Tang et al. 1989). Since then it has become apparent that PBAN is a pleiotropic regulator of diverse activities (see above). Indeed, the elucidated primary structure of the HPLC-purified *B. mori* peptide responsible for larval cuticular melanization (i.e. melanization and reddish coloration hormone) was identical to the PBAN sequence (Matsumoto et al. 1990).

2.5 *Identification of the PBAN Receptor (PBANR)*

2.5.1 PBANR: Early Studies

The involvement of a cell surface receptor that mediates the pheromonotropic effects of PBAN was demonstrated early on following direct stimulation of dissected PGs by PBAN (Soroker and Rafaeli 1989; Jurenka et al. 1991b; Fónagy et al. 1992a, c). Pharmacological profiling with NaF (sodium fluoride), a potent G protein activator that had pheromonotropic effects (Rafaeli and Gileadi 1996a, b) further pointed to the involvement of a G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR). The photoaffinity labeling of a ~50 kDa membrane protein in *H. armigera* PG cells with a biotinylated PBAN analog provided incontrovertible evidence of a PG-derived cell surface protein (Rafaeli and Gileadi 1999; Rafaeli et al. 2003, 2007). However, molecular identification of the moth PBAN receptor (PBANR) ultimately depended on publication of the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome (Adams et al. 2000).

2.5.2 Homology-Based Cloning of PBANR

Sequence homologies between mammalian receptors and putative GPCRs in the *Drosophila* genome led researchers to propose that co-evolution of receptors and their ligands would yield closely aligned receptor families (Hewes and Taghert 2001). Based on this hypothesis, similarities in the active core of FxPRL-NH_2 peptides and neuromedin U (FRPRN-NH_2) suggested that the respective receptors are evolutionarily related. Functional analyses demonstrated that three *Drosophila* GPCRs (CG8784, CG8795, and CG9918) that clustered in phylogenetic analyses with the neuromedin U receptor (NmUR) clade were activated to varying degrees by FxPRL-NH_2 peptides (Park et al. 2002). A subsequent study reported pheromonotropic effects of mammalian NmU in *H. zea*, which further bolstered the receptor co-evolution hypothesis and showed that homology-based methods could be used to clone receptors from the NmUR clade (Choi et al. 2003). The *H. zea* GPCR identified in that study was amplified from PG cDNAs and, when heterologously expressed in cultured Sf9 cells, dose-dependently triggered an influx of extracellular Ca^{2+} in response to synthetic *H. zea* PBAN. This was interpreted as evidence that the authors had identified the first PBANR (i.e. HelzePBANR). Using a similar approach, the *B. mori* PBANR (BommoPBANR) was likewise cloned from PG cDNAs. BommoPBANR mobilized extracellular Ca^{2+} in response to PBAN stimulation, had significant sequence similarity with NmUR homologs, and was up-regulated on the day preceding adult eclosion (Hull et al. 2004), a time period that coincides with *B. mori* pheromonogenesis (Matsumoto et al. 2007, 2010).

2.5.3 The Complexity of PBANR

Identification of PBANR Variants

Perplexingly, the ~50 kDa protein labeled with the biotinylated PBAN analog in the intersegmental membranes that comprise the *H. armigera* PG (Rafaeli and Gileadi 1999; Rafaeli et al. 2003, 2007) was closer in size to BommoPBANR (45.9 kDa) than the smaller HelzePBANR (38.6 kDa). Despite presumably mediating similar biological responses and significant sequence identity through the seventh transmembrane domain (TM7), BommoPBANR was differentiated by the presence of a 67-aa C-terminal extension critical for ligand-induced internalization (Hull et al. 2004, 2005), an endocytotic mechanism associated with GPCR feedback regulation and desensitization (Moore et al. 2007; Marchese et al. 2008). Further confounding the issue, PBANRs subsequently identified in *H. armigera* (Rafaeli et al. 2007), *S. littoralis* (Zheng et al. 2007), *Spodoptera exigua* (Cheng et al. 2010), and *Plutella xylostella* (Lee et al. 2011) also lacked the C-terminal extension, suggesting feedback regulation of these receptors differed from BommoPBANR. The prevalence of the "short" PBANRs raised questions concerning the evolutionary significance of the BommoPBANR extension. Initially, comparisons were made with type I gonadotropin-releasing hormone receptors in which non-mammalian receptors have a C-terminal tail and undergo rapid ligand-induced internalization, whereas mammalian receptors lack the extended C terminus and have significantly different internalization kinetics (Pawson et al. 1998; McArdle et al. 2002). The potential biological significance of the "short" and "long" PBANRs also led to speculation that the varied C-terminal lengths reflected differences in the importance of the second messenger 3′,5′-cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) in the respective species. The identification of three PBANR variants concomitantly expressed in *Helicoverpa virescens* (referred to as HelviPBANR A-C) with a conserved N-terminal sequence, but with differing C-terminal lengths (Kim et al. 2008), further underscored the complexity of the PBAN signaling system. Similar to BommoPBANR, the HelviPBANR-C variant has an extended C terminus and contains a defined internalization motif (see 2.7.7), whereas the C-terminal end of the HelviPBANR-A variant resembles HelzePBANR. Moreover, HelviPBANR-C was preferentially amplified from PGs and generated robust Ca^{2+} mobilization responses following stimulation with *H. zea* PBAN. In contrast, the other two variants were amplified from larval tissues and failed to respond to the concentration of the synthetic PBAN assayed (Kim et al. 2008). These results initiated a re-evaluation of the species-specific "short" and "long" PBANR paradigm.

Using modified cloning methods, multiple PBANR variants (PBANR-As, -A, -B, and -C) were amplified from PGs of *B. mori*, *H. zea*, *H. armigera*, and *P. separata* (also referred to as *Mythimna separata*) that differed only in the length of their respective C-terminal ends (Fig. 8.3a). Similar to *H. virescens*, the most abundant PG transcripts were the "long" PBANR-C variants (Fig. 8.3b), all of which underwent ligand-induced internalization (Lee et al. 2012a). In contrast, the "short" PBANR-A variants were less abundant, mobilized extracellular Ca^{2+} poorly in



Fig. 8.3 Identification of multiple PBANR variants in *Bombyx mori* pheromone gland. (**a**) Schematic diagram depicting the sizes and structures of the various BommoPBANR variants cloned. (**b**) RT-PCR based expression profile of BommoPBANR variants in various tissues and at varying developmental time points relative to adult emergence (day 0). Abbreviations: *PG* pheromone gland, *Br* brain, *FM* flight muscle, *Eg* unfertilized egg, *MT* Malpighian tubule, *FB* fat body, *MG* midgut. This research was originally published in Frontiers of Endocrinology. Lee et al. (2012a). (**c**) Genomic organization and alternative splicing of the *Bombyx mori* PBANR gene. The four BommoPBANR variants (As, **A**, **B**, and **C**) are depicted. Light grey shading corresponds to untranslated exons, medium grey to translated exons, and dark grey to a non-translated exon that is unique to the As variant. Non-shaded boxes indicate non-spliced intronic sequences. Initiation (ATG) and stop sites (TGA or TAG) are indicated by their respective codons. (Figure adapted from Lee et al. 2012a)

response to a range of PBAN concentrations, and exhibited different internalization kinetics (Lee et al. 2012a, b). Previous preferential amplification of the shorter variants (Choi et al. 2003; Rafaeli et al. 2007; Cheng et al. 2010; Lee et al. 2011) was attributed to the high GC content (55–80%) of the extended C-terminal ends (Lee et al. 2012a), which can reduce PCR amplification efficiencies by serving as pause

or termination sites (McDowell et al. 1998). Thus it is now apparent that the ~50 kDa protein labeled with the biotinylated PBAN analog (Rafaeli and Gileadi 1999; Rafaeli et al. 2003, 2007) was most likely the HelarPBANR-C variant (51.1 kDa) rather than a glycosylated HelarPBANR-A variant (38.7 kDa) as first proposed.

PBANR Variants Arise from Alternative Splicing

Alternative splicing has been extensively documented for GPCRs (Minneman 2001; Markovic and Challiss 2009) and is one of the principal means by which organisms generate functional protein diversity in a temporal- and/or tissue-dependent manner. The modular aspect of the PBANR variants (i.e. variation specific to the C terminus) is consistent with alternative splicing. The availability of the *B. mori* genome (Mita et al. 2004; Duan et al. 2010) allowed further exploration of that hypothesis. BommoPBANR localizes to a >50 kb segment of chromosome 12 and encompasses six exons and five introns (Fig. 8.3c). The N terminus through the last transmembrane domain (i.e. TM7) are encoded on exons 2–4, the C terminus on exons 5–6, and the 5′ untranslated region on exon 1. The introduction of premature stop codons following retention of introns 3 or 4 yields the BommoPBANR-As and BommoPBANR-A variants, respectively. BommoPBANR-C arises from a five-nucleotide frame shift insertion at the 3′ end of exon 5 that changes codons for the remaining ten amino acids (residues 404–413) and introduces a stop codon that generates a 67 amino acid C terminus. In contrast, BommoPBANR-B is generated from conventional splicing of exons 2–6 (Lee et al. 2012a). As more lepidopteran genomes become available, it will be interesting to see if the splicing mechanisms that generate the BommoPBANR variants are conserved in other species and what cellular/transcriptional factors trigger those splicing events.

PBANR Variants: Fine-Tuning the PBAN Signal?

To date, PBANRs have been reported or annotated in 15 species (Table 8.1) with multiple variants present in *O. nubilalis* (Nusawardani et al. 2013), *Manduca sexta* (FJ240221-FJ240224), *Chilo suppressalis* (KT031039-KT031040), *Mamestra brassicae* (Fodor et al. 2018), and based on genomic sequencing data, three *Papilio* species.

While the biological significance of concomitant expression of multiple PBANRs in PGs remains to be determined, one possibility is that they provide a mechanism for fine-tuning cellular responsiveness to the respective PBAN signals. In one model, nominally non-responsive PBANR-A receptors expressed at the cell surface could potentially function as ligand sinks that compete with PBANR-C for ligand binding. The net result would be less bioactive peptide available to trigger the cellular response thus decreasing overall sensitivity. In a second model, heterodimerization of the shorter variants with the longer variants could impede trafficking to

the cell surface, thereby decreasing the pool of available receptors for ligand binding, which would likewise decrease overall cellular sensitivity. When co-expressed in cultured cells with their predominant full-length receptor forms, truncated variants of some mammalian receptors have been reported to exert dominant negative effects on signaling (Seck et al. 2005; Zmijewski and Slominski 2009; Chow et al. 2012). Alternatively, because many receptor variants exhibit distinct spatial and temporal expression profiles as well as altered ligand binding, atypical feedback regulation, and differential activation of downstream effector pathways (Markovic and Challiss 2009), the multiple PBANR transcripts may reflect a spatio-temporal dependence of functionality. This hypothesis is especially attractive given the pleiotropic complexity of PBAN, the multiplicity of reports detailing PBANR activation by multiple FxPRL-NH_2 peptides (Choi et al. 2003; Watanabe et al. 2007; Kim et al. 2008; Hariton-Shalev et al. 2013; Shalev and Altstein 2015), and the varied expression profile of PBANR transcripts, which have been amplified from diverse tissues including the PG, brain, SOG, ventral nerve cord, thoracic ganglion, ovary, and male abdominal tip (Rafaeli et al. 2007; Watanabe et al. 2007; Bober and Rafaeli 2010; Cheng et al. 2010). Indeed, PBANR expression in larval tissues (Zheng et al. 2007; Kim et al. 2008) suggested possible roles in melanization and/or pupal diapause. Recent studies seem to support this hypothesis with larval-derived and PG-derived PBANRs differing markedly in their three-dimensional conformations, regions/degrees of electrostatic potential, and ligand binding properties (Hariton-Shalev et al. 2013; Shalev and Altstein 2015). While suggestive, these findings require further validation using alternative expression systems, the inclusion of more PBANRs, and the use of various potential endogenous ligands.

2.6 Other FxPRL-NH_2 Receptors

Although significant progress has been made in molecular characterization of PBANRs, the presence of transcripts in diverse tissues, pleiotropic activation (i.e. DH, PBAN, and SGNPs), and the concomitant expression of multiple variants have collectively raised questions regarding the spatio-temporal interactions between the receptor and the FxPRL-NH_2 peptides that regulate pheromonogenesis. These questions were both clarified (and further obscured) following identification of the *B. mori* DH receptor (BommoDHR) (Homma et al. 2006). DH is one of the five FxPRL-NH_2 peptides encoded on the PBAN prepropeptide gene and functions in induction of embryonic diapause and seasonal polyphenism (Imai et al. 1991; Uehara et al. 2011), the termination of pupal diapause in heliothine moths (Xu and Denlinger 2003; Zhang et al. 2004b; Zhao et al. 2004), and prothoracic gland ecdysteroidogenesis (Zhang et al. 2004c; Watanabe et al. 2007). Although BommoDHR was cloned from developing ovaries using a homology-based approach similar to that used for the PBANRs, sequence identity between BommoDHR and the BommoPBANR variants is only ~40% (Homma et al. 2006). DHRs have since been either cloned or identified based on sequence homology from a number of

lepidopterans (Jurenka and Nusawardani 2011). The two receptor types, along with homologs in other insect orders referred to as pyrokinin 1 receptor (PKR1; DHR-like) and pyrokinin 2 receptor (PKR2; PBANR-like), are phylogenetically distinct (Jurenka and Nusawardani 2011; Nusawardani et al. 2013; Jiang et al. 2014). Despite these differences, the activities of DH and PBAN on HelzePBANR and BommoPBANR were reported to be comparable (Choi et al. 2003, 2007; Watanabe et al. 2007). Conversely, PBAN had >20-fold lower activity on BommoDHR (Homma et al. 2006) and no activity on OstnuDHR (Nusawardani et al. 2013), suggesting that greater ligand discrimination occurs with DHR than PBANR. However, functional analyses performed by other groups using different expression systems and assays, came to different conclusions as DH had 15-fold lower activity than PBAN on HelviPBANR-C (Kim et al. 2008) and PBAN activity on HelzeDHR was virtually indistinguishable from DH (Jiang et al. 2014). While these discrepancies likely reflect methodological variances and/or complications associated with heterologous expression (Zhang et al. 2014a), in vitro differences in the efficacy of the two peptides (Stern et al. 2007; Watanabe et al. 2007; Hariton-Shalev et al. 2013; Shalev and Altstein 2015) support regulation of distinct functionalities by the respective ligand-receptor pairs. However, the reduction in pheromonogenesis observed in response to RNA interference (RNAi)-mediated knockdown of PBANRs in *B. mori* (Ohnishi et al. 2006), *P. xylostella* (Lee et al. 2011), and male *H. armigera* (Bober and Rafaeli 2010) have provided unequivocal demonstration of PBANR involvement in mediating the biological effects of PBAN. In those studies, pheromonogenesis was only partially inhibited (~50% reduction) not abolished, suggesting limited penetrance of the dsRNA into the PG cells or that receptor levels, while reduced, were still sufficient to propagate the pheromonogenic signal. Alternatively, those findings may indicate that a full pheromonogenic effect depends on additional endocrine signals and/or other FxPRL-NH_2 receptor/ligand pairs. Despite increasing the complexity of our model for pheromone regulation, the latter hypothesis is attractive as transcripts for both PBANR and DHR have been amplified from PG cDNAs (Watanabe et al. 2007; Nusawardani et al. 2013).

2.7 Structure-Function Analysis of PBANR

2.7.1 Elucidating GPCR Structural Requirements Critical to Ligand Binding and Activation

Targeted disruption of insect neuropeptide signaling, which modulates virtually all aspects of insect biology, physiology and behavior, has been proposed as a novel pest control strategy with great potential for development by the agro-chemical industry (Altstein and Nässel 2010; Audsley and Down 2015). Successful exploitation of this strategy, however, requires a comprehensive understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying ligand binding and receptor activation. Efforts to determine the atomic structures of GPCRs by standard NMR and X-ray

crystallography methods were initially hampered by the necessity of a lipid bilayer suspension. Consequently, researchers turned to in silico methods using structurally related templates and/or structure-function analyses of GPCR mutants to gain insights into GPCR functionality. Chimeric receptors that incorporate domains from distant, but related GPCRs have also provided insights into the molecular determinants that govern ligand-receptor interactions (Yin et al. 2004) and revealed roles for the N terminus and extracellular loops (ECL) in ligand binding/discrimination (Peeters et al. 2011; also see Chap. 4 volume 2).

2.7.2 PBANR Extracellular Domains

To elucidate the structural determinants governing PBANR activation, Choi et al. (2007) generated a series of chimeric GPCRs that swapped the extracellular domains of HelzePBANR and the *D. melanogaster* pyrokinin receptor 1 (DromePKR1; analogous to DHR), which is ~100-fold less responsive to PBAN. Ligand discrimination was found to largely reside in ECL3, and to a lesser extent the N terminus (Choi et al. 2007), two domains that have been implicated in peptide ligand-GPCR interactions (Gether 2000; Gether et al. 2002; Peeters et al. 2011). Impaired activity following a swap of the respective ECL2 domains was attributed to disruption of the disulfide linkage connecting ECL2 and TM3 that is critical for GPCR folding and ligand binding (de Graaf et al. 2008). To further explore the role of HelzePBANR ECL3 in ligand discrimination, three separate point mutations were later made to residues (G297, S300, and F303) with functional groups that could potentially interact with a peptide ligand (Fig. 8.4a). Alanine substitution of S300 and F303 reduced the efficiency of Ca^{2+} mobilization compared to non-mutated controls in response to PBAN stimulation, suggesting that both residues may comprise potential contact points or contribute to the overall stabilization of the ligand binding pocket (Choi and Jurenka 2010). The role of *N*-glycosylation, which has been linked with efficient cell surface trafficking (Duvernay et al. 2005), was also examined within the context of HelzePBANR-mediated Ca^{2+} influx (Choi et al. 2007). Glutamine substitution of two consensus *N*-glycosylation sites (N19 and N22) in the HelzePBANR N terminus (Fig. 8.4a) negatively impacted PBAN-stimulated Ca^{2+} influx, an effect that was attributed to disruption of forces stabilizing the overall HelzePBANR structure (Choi et al. 2007). However, it is unclear what kind of effect, if any, the substitutions had on receptor trafficking. Deletion of the first 27 residues from the BommoPBANR N terminus, which likewise has two consensus *N*-glycosylation sites (N18 and N21), had no effect on receptor trafficking, ligand binding, or ligand-induced internalization (Hull et al. 2011). This variation in responses may be an artifact of the different assays used to assess functionality, or could reflect intrinsic differences between the respective receptors as *N*-glycosylation effects on GPCR trafficking and activity have been reported to be receptor-dependent (Duvernay et al. 2005).



Fig. 8.4 Schematic illustration of sites in *Helicoverpa zea* and *Bombyx mori* PBANRs. (**a**) Residues predicted to comprise the PBAN ligand-binding pocket in HelzePBANR. (**b**) Residues predicted to comprise the PBAN ligand-binding pocket in BommoPBANR. (**c**) Schematic illustration of sites in BommoPBANR-C that have undergone functional analysis via site-directed mutagenesis

2.7.3 HelzePBANR Ligand Pocket

Although rhodopsin (a light sensitive GPCR) is an imperfect template for modeling peptide GPCRs (Sabio et al. 2008; Mobarec et al. 2009; Congreve et al. 2011) it can offer structural insights into potential regions of ligand contact (Congreve et al. 2011). Using molecular docking techniques with a PBAN analog (YFSPRL-NH_2) and a sequence optimized HelzePBANR conformation that utilized coordinates from the bovine rhodopsin crystal X-ray structure, Stern et al. (2007) identified twenty amino acid residues that potentially comprise the ligand binding pocket (Fig. 8.4a). This in silico HelzePBANR structure was also used to evaluate the conformational effects of the ECL swaps (see Sect. 2.7.2) between HelzePBANR and DromePKR1 (Choi et al. 2007). In that evaluation, each domain swap reduced the number of putative ligand contact points. The largest reduction was observed with the ECL2 swap, an effect that likely resulted from misorientation of the cysteines composing the ECL2-TM3 disulfide bridge (Choi et al. 2007). In a complementary approach, Jurenka and Nusawardani (2011) used an evolutionary trace method that mapped conserved residues to a three-dimensional model of HelzePBANR to identify sites critical for ligand selection and binding. The authors of that study further refined their predictions based on the presupposition that the spatial coordinates of GPCR binding domains are frequently evolutionarily conserved. Overall, they identified eleven TM residues potentially comprising a conserved FxPRL-NH_2 binding domain (Fig. 8.4a). They also suggested that the charged residues in HelzePBANR ECL3 (K294, E297, and D301) could potentially contribute to the ligand specificity revealed in the ECL3 domain swap between HelzePBANR and DromePKR1 (Choi et al. 2007), which is consistent with previous reports that ECL3 is involved in ligand specific conformational changes (Gether 2000; Gether et al. 2002; Peeters et al. 2011). However, mutagenesis analysis of E297 had little effect on receptor activity (Choi and Jurenka 2010). Because of the different in silico approaches, the two HelzePBANR models that were developed yielded different aspects of the potential binding pocket. The structural approach focused on the potential role of the ECLs, whereas the evolutionary trace approach focused on identifying the conserved GPCR binding pocket bounded by the TM helices. Taken together, the approaches identified a number of potential ligand interaction points that still await experimental verification.

2.7.4 BommoPBANR Ligand Pocket

In a separate in silico study (Kawai et al. 2014), coordinates based on crystal structures for two class A GPCRS (human β2 adrenergic receptor and human A_{2A} adrenergic receptor) facilitated identification of twenty-seven potential ligand interaction sites in BommoPBANR (Fig. 8.4b). Only three of the twenty potential residues implicated in the rhodopsin-based HelzePBANR structure (Stern et al. 2007) were identified in the BommoPBANR model. However, all of the contact points predicted by the evolutionary trace method (Jurenka and Nusawardani 2011) were

present. Sequential Ala-substitution of the residues revealed roles in ligand binding, receptor activation (i.e. mobilization of extracellular Ca^{2+}), and cell surface trafficking/protein stability. Given their interhelical localization, the four residues (S207, F211, F212, and H284) that affected cell surface expression are predicted to contribute to stabilization of the TM helical bundle. Consequently, the impaired expression observed by the authors was likely the result of receptor misfolding. Kawai and co-workers (2014) further reported a reduction in both ligand binding and receptor activation following Ala-substitution of eleven residues (E95, E120, N124, V195, F276, W280, F283, R287, Y307, T311 and F319), whereas three residues (F209, F303, G315) were implicated in ligand binding alone, and a single residue (Y318) in receptor activation. In this last case, Ala-substitution generated a mutant that exhibited normal ligand binding but impaired receptor activation, suggesting that it may be crucial in the PBAN-induced conformational change that converts the receptor from the non-activated to the activated state. Furthermore, the defects observed with five of the putative binding sites (F212, F276, W280, F283, and F319) may not be exclusively related to ligand binding as they are highly conserved in class A GPCRs and may function in the receptor conformational switch (Holst et al. 2010).

Molecular docking simulations using the BommoPBANR structure and a 5-aa FSPRL-NH_2 analog identified a number of receptor-ligand interactions largely localized to the TM bundle (Kawai et al. 2014). Similar simulations using a NmUR model and a 5-aa analog of NmU further revealed that points of contact between the critical Leu and amide in the respective ligands and the putative binding pockets were conserved: PBANR E95/NmUR E117 (TM2), PBANR E120/NmUR E142 (TM3), PBANR F283/NmUR F313 (TM6), PBANR Y318/NmUR F345 (TM7), and PBANR F319/NmUR Y346 (TM7). The Glu residues in TM2 and TM3 appear to be critically important for ligand binding among the NmUR clade of receptors, as conservation of those sites in other class A GPCRs is more limited (Kawai et al. 2014).

While the ligand-binding pocket described by Kawai and co-workers (2014) is sufficient to accommodate the C-terminal FSPRL-NH_2 active core, steric hindrance precludes it from accepting the full-length 33-aa peptide, suggesting that the non-essential N-terminal portion of PBAN interacts with the ECLs. These interactions could potentially contribute to the stabilization of ligand binding as well as serve as a selectivity filter for differentiating between ligands with similar active cores (i.e. PBAN *vs* DH). In support of this model, two ECL residues (V195 in ECL2 and F303 in ECL3) important in binding the 10-aa PBAN analog were not identified as contact points for the 5-aa analog (Kawai et al. 2014). Furthermore, FxPRL-NH_2 ligand discrimination has been demonstrated experimentally (Homma et al. 2006; Stern et al. 2007; Watanabe et al. 2007; Hariton-Shalev et al. 2013; Nusawardani et al. 2013; Shalev and Altstein 2015) and when functionally important residues in BommoPBANR and BommoDHR are compared, all are conserved with the exception of V195 (Glu in DHR) and F303 (Pro in DHR).

2.7.5 PBANR Intracellular Domains

In contrast to the ligand binding functions of the ECL domains, the C terminus and intracellular loops (ICLs) are critical for propagation and termination of the ligand signal. Ligand binding promotes G-protein dissociation, activation of downstream signal transduction cascades, and subsequent negative feedback regulation/desensitization of the activated GPCR, typically effectuated via endocytotic removal of the receptor from the cell surface (Ferguson 2001; Kristiansen 2004). Knowledge of the specific structural motifs within GPCRs (insect GPCRs in particular) that mediate these processes, however, is limited. Structure-function studies have begun to address this deficiency by providing insights into the mechanisms underlying propagation of the PBAN signal.

2.7.6 G Protein-Coupling

Pheromonogenesis is dependent on an influx of extracellular Ca^{2+} (Jurenka et al. 1991a; Choi and Jurenka, 2004, 2006; Rafaeli 2009; see Sect. 3.2.1). In *B. mori*, this event is mediated by receptor dissociation of a Gαq heterotrimeric G protein (Hull et al. 2010). Receptor-G protein coupling frequently involves ionic interactions between cationic residues near TM6 of the receptor and anionic residues in the C terminus of the G protein (Yang et al. 2002; Kleinau et al. 2010). Alignment of PBANRs with other NmU-clade GPCRs revealed a dibasic site (R263 and R264 in BommoPBANR, see Fig. 8.4c) at this junction (Hull et al. 2011). Ligand-induced internalization, a cellular event that occurs downstream of receptor activation, was significantly reduced following site-directed mutagenesis of these residues (Hull et al. 2011). The disruption in internalization suggests that PBANR signaling was impacted, providing indirect evidence for this region in PBANR-G protein coupling.

2.7.7 C-Terminal Motifs Critical for Ligand-Induced Internalization

A number of conserved C-terminal motifs play critical roles in GPCR desensitization and endocytosis (Ferguson 2001; Kristiansen 2004). The C-terminal region of BommoPBANR has two such motifs, NPxxY (residues 325–329) and YxxΦ (residues 360–363) (Fig. 8.4c). Although NPxxY has been reported to function in the internalization of multiple vertebrate GPCRs (Barak et al. 1995; Gripentrog et al. 2000; He et al. 2001; Bouley et al. 2003), its role in endocytosis is receptor dependent (Slice et al. 1994; Hunyady et al. 1995). The YxxΦ motif (Y = Tyr, x = any amino acid, and Φ = amino acid with a bulky hydrophobic sidechain) has also been implicated in ligand-induced internalization (Paing et al. 2004; Pandey 2009) and is present in the C terminus of numerous peptide GPCRs. Using a series of C-terminal truncations, the BommoPBANR internalization motif was mapped to a 10-aa region spanning residues 357–367, which contain the YxxΦ motif (Hull et al. 2005).

Ala-substitution of the critical residues in the motif likewise impaired internalization (Hull et al. 2005), albeit not to the same extent as the C-terminal truncation, which suggests that, similar to other receptors (Johnson et al. 1990; Nussenzveig et al. 1993; Thomas et al. 1995), the PBANR endocytotic mechanism utilizes multiple signals. The C-terminal YxxΦ motif, YSAL, is highly conserved among the lepidopteran PBANRs and a number of related receptors (i.e. PKR2) in other species, but has diverged in DHRs (YTAM/V), and is not readily apparent in PKR1. This variance suggests that regulation of those receptors either utilizes a different internalization signal or proceeds via a non-endocytotic pathway. Whether or not this sequence is sufficient in and of itself to promote internalization of PBANRs from other species has yet to be experimentally determined.

2.7.8 Phosphorylation-Dependent Internalization of BommoPBANR

Desensitization and internalization of GPCRs are triggered in response to ligand-induced phosphorylation of sites in the ICLs and/or C terminus by G protein-coupled receptor kinases (GRKs) and/or second messenger-dependent kinases, such as protein kinase C (PKC) (Ferguson 2001; Kristiansen 2004). Consistent with this paradigm, BommoPBANR internalization was blocked by the general kinase inhibitor staurosporine (Hull et al. 2005) and significantly impaired following double Ala-substitution of two consensus PKC sites in the BommoPBANR C terminus, S333 and S366 (Hull et al. 2011). In support of PKC-mediated phosphorylation as an internalization trigger, RNAi knockdown of endogenous PKC in Sf9 insect cells also blocked PBANR internalization (Hull et al. 2011). Furthermore, localization of S366 within the 10-aa region (i.e. residues 357–367) critical for ligand-induced internalization (Fig. 8.4c) and the incomplete blockage of internalization following Ala-substitution of the YxxΦ motif are consistent with S366 functioning as a pivotal site for PBANR internalization. Sequence alignments have shown that both the S333 and S366 PKC sites are highly conserved in other PBANRs, which may indicate that feedback regulation of this class of receptors is evolutionarily conserved. Although PKC sites are predicted in the C terminus of most DHRs, the S366 site has not been conserved, providing additional evidence that DHR regulation may proceed via a different pathway.

3 PBAN Signal Transduction

The driving element of numerous studies over the years has been to elucidate the molecular basis underlying conversion of the external PBAN signal into the biological response of pheromone production and release. Initial studies sought to unravel the complex signaling interconnections by examining the effects of various pharmacological compounds (both inhibitors and activators) on pheromonogenesis. While data generated using these compounds can be ambiguous given the possibility of non-specific pharmacological effects and target specificity that varies with

concentration (e.g. NaF at 10 mM acts as a phosphatase inhibitor but at 1–2 mM acts as a G protein activator), it can provide insights into potential mechanisms. Advances in molecular techniques, in particular the applicability of RNAi, have provided additional tools to decipher the molecular components underlying the PBAN signaling cascade. This cascade, which has been most extensively elucidated in heliothine moths (*H. zea*, *H. virescens*, and *H. armigera*) as well as *B. mori*, is now thought to diverge depending on the step in the biosynthetic pathway that is ultimately activated (i.e. early step *vs* late step).

3.1 G Protein Activation

The initial step in most extracellular signal transduction cascades requires dissociation of heterotrimeric guanine nucleotide binding proteins (i.e. G proteins α, β, and γ) from cell surface receptors and subsequent activation of downstream effectors (Cabrera-Vera et al. 2003). Receptor association/dissociation is dependent on the guanine nucleotide binding and hydrolysis activity of Gα subunits, which have been classified based on sequence variation and effector pathways activated into five subtypes: Gαs (stimulate cAMP production), Gαi/o (inhibit cAMP production), Gαq (stimulate Ca^{2+} influx), $G\alpha_t$ (phototransduction), and $G\alpha_{12/13}$ (actin cytoskeletal remodeling) (Cabrera-Vera et al. 2003; Meigs and Lyakhovich 2012). Prior to PBANR identification, PBAN-induced elevation of cAMP levels (Rafaeli and Soroker 1989; also see Sect. 3.3.1) and the pheromonotropic effects of NaF (1–2 mM) on isolated PGs (Rafaeli and Gileadi 1996a) suggested the involvement of G proteins in the PBAN signal transduction cascade. Using homology-based cloning and genomic mining methods, transcripts for four Gα subunits (two Gαs, a Gαo, and a Gαq) were amplified from *B. mori* PGs (Hull et al. 2007a, 2010). Sequential RNAi knockdown of the four Gα subunits revealed that only Gαq had a role in transmitting the PBAN pheromonotropic signal (Hull et al. 2010).

3.2 PBAN-Induced Influx of Ca^{2+}

3.2.1 Essential Role of Extracellular Ca^{2+}

Initial studies using isolated PGs from diverse moth species demonstrated that the pheromonotropic effects of PBAN require extracellular Ca^{2+} (Jurenka et al. 1991a; Fónagy et al. 1992d; Jurenka et al. 1994; Ma and Roelofs 1995; Matsumoto et al. 1995b; Soroker and Rafaeli 1995; Zhao et al. 2002; Choi and Jurenka 2004, 2006; Hull et al. 2007a). Moreover, pharmacological manipulation (e.g. ionomycin, A23187, or thapsigargin) of intracellular Ca^{2+} levels could trigger pheromone production (Jurenka et al. 1991a; Fónagy et al. 1992c, d; Rafaeli 1994; Jurenka et al. 1994; Ma and Roelofs 1995; Matsumoto et al. 1995a, b; Soroker and Rafaeli 1995;

Rafaeli and Gileadi 1996a; Zhao et al. 2002; Hull et al. 2007a), whereas inorganic Ca^{2+} channel blockers inhibited pheromone production (Jurenka et al. 1991a; Fónagy et al. 1992d; Ma and Roelofs 1995; Matsumoto et al. 1995b; Choi and Jurenka 2004). Taken together, these findings provided indirect evidence for PBAN-dependent opening of cell surface ion channels and the concomitant influx of Ca^{2+}. Subsequent advances in fluorescent Ca^{2+} imaging techniques provided direct evidence for the rise in intracellular Ca^{2+} in response to PBAN binding in isolated *H. zea* and *B. mori* PGs (Choi and Jurenka 2006; Hull et al. 2007a).

3.2.2 Identification of the PBAN-Activated Ca^{2+} Channels

The most pervasive Ca^{2+}-permeable ion channels in cells are voltage-operated channels (VOCs) (Lacinova 2005) and receptor-activated Ca^{2+} channels (RACCs) (Prakriya and Lewis 2015; Redondo and Rosado 2015), which include diacylglycerol (DAG)-dependent channels and store-operated channels (SOCs). Consistent with the early prediction of receptor involvement, VOC blockers had no effect on pheromone production in *H. zea* (Jurenka et al. 1991a; Choi and Jurenka 2006) or *B. mori* (Hull et al. 2007a), whereas SKF-96365, an inhibitor of both VOC and RACC, had pronounced pheromonostatic effects in *H. virescens* and *B. mori* (Jurenka 1996; Hull et al. 2007a). Further pharmacological manipulation of channel activity using inhibitors/activators of SOCs suggested that PBAN signals through an SOC pathway rather than a DAG-dependent channel (Hull et al. 2007a).

For many systems, the SOC pathway consists of stromal interaction molecule 1 (STIM1) functioning as a Ca^{2+} sensor and Orai1 as the pore-forming unit of the channel (López et al. 2016). Consistent with a role in the PBAN-activated SOC pathway, targeted knockdown of *B. mori* homologs of STIM1 and Orai1 negatively affected pheromone production without affecting non-pheromonotropic enzyme activities (Hull et al. 2009). The dependence on extracellular Ca^{2+} in PBAN-regulated pheromone pathways and the presence of STIM1 and Orai1 transcripts in moth PG transcriptomes (Ding and Löfstedt 2015) suggests that the STIM1-Orai1 SOC pathway is likely conserved in moths.

3.3 *Role of Other Second Messengers*

3.3.1 cAMP

While extracellular Ca^{2+} has been shown to be an absolute requirement for pheromonotropic activity in every moth species studied to date, the role of cAMP in the PBAN signal cascade appears to be species-dependent. Early cAMP radioimmunoassays demonstrated a PBAN-mediated increase of cAMP levels in isolated *H. armigera* PGs (Rafaeli and Soroker 1989; Rafaeli 1994; Soroker and Rafaeli 1995; Rafaeli and Gileadi 1996a). Furthermore, pharmacological manipulation (e.g.

cAMP analogs, phosphodiesterase inhibition, or adenylate cyclase activation) of PG cAMP levels promoted pheromone production in *H. armigera* (Rafaeli and Soroker 1989; Soroker and Rafaeli 1995; Rafaeli and Gileadi 1996a), *H. zea* (Jurenka et al. 1991a), *H. virescens* (Jurenka 1996), and *Argyrotaenia velutinana* (Jurenka et al. 1994). In contrast, similar studies failed to find cAMP-linked pheromonotropic effects in *B. mori* (Fónagy et al. 1992d), *S. litura* (Matsumoto et al. 1995b), or *O. nubilalis* (Ma and Roelofs 1995) and no evidence was found of PBAN-mediated cAMP elevation in *B. mori* PGs (Hull et al. 2007b). There is, however, a strong correlation between this second messenger event and the pheromone biosynthetic activity under PBAN control. In species that utilize cAMP, the pheromonotropic control point resides in fatty acid biosynthesis, most likely the acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Tang et al. 1989; Jurenka et al. 1991b; Tsfadia et al. 2008). However, in species that do not undergo cAMP elevation, PBAN regulates a step(s) further along in the biosynthetic pathway, usually fatty acyl reduction (Fabriàs et al. 1994; Ma and Roelofs 1995; Ozawa et al. 1995; Ozawa and Matsumoto 1996; Moto et al. 2003; Eltahlawy et al. 2007) and, *in B. mori*, a second step involving cytoplasmic lipid droplet lipolysis (Fónagy et al. 2000; Ohnishi et al. 2006). While the evidence is currently too limited to draw broad conclusions regarding the relationship between cAMP signaling and PBAN regulation, the predictable associations suggest an avenue of potential research, in particular within species (*Thaumetopoea pityocampa*, *M. sexta*, *Sesamia nonagrioides*) in which the pheromonotropic control point is known be a step late in biosynthesis (Fabriàs et al. 1995; Fang et al. 1995; Mas et al. 2000) or species (*Ostrinia furnacalis*, *M. brassicae*, *Dendrolimus punctatus*, *P. separata*) where PBAN regulates a step in the fatty acid pathway (Jacquin et al. 1994; Zhao and Li 1996; Zhao et al. 2002; Fónagy et al. 2011; Köblös et al. 2015). It would likewise be interesting to examine the role of the PBANR variants in the contrasting signal transduction cascades. Jurenka and Rafaeli (2011) proposed that structural variations in the C-terminal lengths of the PBANR variants may contribute to the differing downstream responses with shorter C-terminal tail PBANRs linked to cAMP dependent pathways and the longer C-terminal PBANRs linked to Ca^{2+} influx alone.

3.3.2 IP_3

Similar to Ca^{2+} and cAMP, the phosphoinositide IP_3 (inositol 1, 4, 5-triphosphate) is a signal transduction messenger. IP_3 is generated from phospholipase C (PLC)-mediated hydrolysis of PIP_2 (phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate) in response to receptor activation and typically functions in the propagation of receptor-mediated Ca^{2+} signaling by mobilizing intracellular Ca^{2+} stores (Balakrishnan et al. 2015). An early study on the PBAN mode of action reported that pheromonotropic activity of *H. armigera* PGs was reduced following pharmacological depletion of IP_3 (Rafaeli 1994). A later study in *B. mori* reported that total inositol phosphate levels in isolated PGs rose in response to PBAN and that RNAi knockdown of a putative IP_3 receptor suppressed pheromone production (Hull et al. 2010). These findings

implicated PBANR-mediated activation of PLC. In support of this, pharmacological inhibition of PLC activity with either U73122 or compound 48/80 negatively impacted pheromone production in *B. mori*, whereas the inactive analog of U73122 had no effect (Hull et al. 2010). The pheromonostatic effects of compound 48/80, however, differed from a previous study that found no effect on *B. mori* pheromone production (Matsumoto et al. 1995a). Given that the preponderance of evidence available with the more recent study strongly pointed to PLC activity, the contrasting result was attributed to methodological differences. Separate studies demonstrating the critical importance of SOC components STIM1 and Orai1 (see Sect. 3.2.2 and Hull et al. 2009) in pheromone production likewise implicated PLC activity.

3.4 PBAN-Mediated PLC Activity

PCL-dependent activation of SOCs is predominantly driven by PLCβ and PLCγ (Drin and Scarlata 2007). PLCβ is generally activated downstream of GPCRs (Drin and Scarlata 2007), whereas PLCγ functions downstream of tyrosine kinase and non-receptor tyrosine kinases (Patterson et al. 2005). Using genomic mining methods, PLCβ1, PLCβ4, and PLCγ transcripts were amplified from *B. mori* PGs (Hull et al. 2010). Consistent with the expected signaling paradigm, RNAi-mediated knockdown of PLCβ1 significantly reduced pheromone production. PLCγ knockdown likewise mitigated the pheromonotropic effects of PBAN (Hull et al. 2010). Based on findings in other systems (Patterson et al. 2005), PLCγ was postulated to function in PBAN signaling as a molecular scaffold that stabilizes the protein-protein interactions essential for formation of the SOC complex rather than catalyzing PIP_2 hydrolysis.

3.5 Signal Transduction Post-PBAN-Mediated Ca^{2+} Influx

3.5.1 Calmodulin

As discussed above, the role of cAMP in PBAN signaling appears to differentiate the enzymatic step in the respective sex pheromone biosynthetic pathways under PBAN control. The GPCR-mediated generation of cAMP can be an indication that the receptor couples through Gαs, which stimulates adenylate cyclase activity following receptor dissociation. However, cAMP production in *H. armigera* reportedly occurred downstream of Ca^{2+} influx (Soroker and Rafaeli 1995), suggesting the involvement of a Ca^{2+}-dependent adenylate cyclase. Additional pharmacological profiling of the PBAN cascade revealed that inhibition of calmodulin, a multifunctional Ca^{2+} binding protein that interacts with diverse proteins, blocked the PBAN-mediated increase of cAMP in *H. armigera* (Rafaeli and Gileadi 1996a) and

mitigated the pheromonotropic effects of PBAN in *H. armigera* (Soroker and Rafaeli 1995) as well as *S. litura* and *B. mori* (Matsumoto et al. 1995a, b; Ozawa and Matsumoto 1996). In support of these results, a calmodulin homolog identical to the *D. melanogaster* protein was purified from *B. mori* PGs (Iwanaga et al. 1998). Among the enzymatic activities reportedly mediated by Ca^{2+}-bound calmodulin are adenylate cyclases (Halls and Cooper 2011), suggesting that the Ca^{2+}-dependent increase in cAMP observed in heliothine moths is likely driven by one of these cyclases. Because many calmodulin interacting proteins are directly or indirectly involved in protein phosphorylation, the results observed in *S. litura* and *B. mori*, neither of which utilizes cAMP in PBAN signaling, may be attributable to impaired phosphorylation cascades.

3.5.2 Kinase Activity

GPCR-mediated activation of biosynthetic pathway enzymes typically involves a phosphorylation cascade driven by diverse kinase (phosphorylation) and phosphatase (dephosphorylation) steps. The generation of cAMP, the critical role of calmodulin, and the importance of PKC in feedback regulation of BommoPBANR in vitro (see Sect. 2.7.8) strongly suggested kinase activity in PBAN signaling. While early studies assessing the effect of both broad spectrum and specific kinase inhibitors found no effect on pheromone production in either *B. mori* (Matsumoto et al. 1995a) or *H. armigera* (Soroker and Rafaeli 1995), the PKC activator, phorbol 12-myrstate 13-acetate (PMA), was found to have pheromonotropic activity in *H. armigera* (Soroker and Rafaeli 1995). This effect, however, did not extend to *B. mori* or *S. litura* (Matsumoto et al. 1995b; Ozawa et al. 1995). A more recent study using anti-phosphoamino acid antibodies found clear evidence of PBAN-mediated phosphorylation in *B. mori* (Ohnishi et al. 2011). Furthermore, RNAi-mediated knockdown of a Ca^{2+}-bound calmodulin dependent kinase II (CaMKII) in *B. mori* PGs reduced PBAN-induced pheromone production and diminished phosphorylation of a critical lipid droplet-associated protein, whereas knockdown of putative protein kinase A (PKA) and PKC transcripts had no effect (Ohnishi et al. 2011).

3.5.3 Phosphatase Activity

In contrast to the early kinase inhibitor studies, pharmacological inhibition of phosphatase activity had pronounced pheromonostatic effects in *B. mori* (Matsumoto et al. 1995a, b; Ozawa and Matsumoto 1996; Fónagy et al. 1999) as well as *H. zea* and *H. virescens* (Jurenka 1996). Inhibition of ionophore-induced pheromone production in *H. zea* suggested that phosphatase activity occurs downstream of Ca^{2+} influx (Jurenka 1996), thus ruling out an effect similar to LiCl, which inhibits IP_3 generation. The effectiveness of inhibitors specific for calcineurin (Fónagy et al.

1999), a protein phosphatase b activated by Ca^{2+}-bound calmodulin, was consistent with previous studies demonstrating calmodulin activity. In support of this role, both calcineurin subunits were amplified from *B. mori* PGs (Yoshiga et al. 2002). Determination of the rate-limiting steps in heliothine moths and *B. mori* suggest that calcineurin or calcineurin-like phosphatase activity comprises the penultimate control point in PBAN signaling. In heliothine moths, PBAN activates acetyl-CoA carboxylase, the critical point in fatty acid biosynthesis that catalyzes carboxylation of acetyl-CoA to yield malonyl-CoA. In *B. mori* (and other moths), PBAN regulates a fatty acyl reductase that shares biochemical characteristics with HMG-CoA reductase (Ozawa et al. 1995). In both cases (i.e. acetyl-CoA carboxylase and HMG-CoA reductase), enzymatic activity is phosphorylation-dependent (Zammit and Easom 1987; Brownsey et al. 2006).

3.6 Model of Pheromone Regulation by PBAN Signaling

Based on diverse studies spanning more than 20 years (many of which were briefly described above), a model for the molecular signaling cascade underlying PBAN-mediated regulation of pheromone production has emerged (Fig. 8.5). Circadian activation of extero-receptors and brain hormones such as allatotropins/allatostatins that influence JH biosynthesis (Cusson and McNeil 1989; Woodhead et al. 1989; Picimbon et al. 1995; Stay and Tobe 2007) may have a role in PBAN release into the hemolymph where it interacts with PBANRs localized at the plasma membrane of PG cells. The ensuing conformational change in PBANR results in dissociation of the heterotrimeric G protein complex with subsequent Gαq activation of PLCβ1-mediated hydrolysis of PIP2 into DAG and IP_3. The soluble IP_3 diffuses through the cytosol to activate IP_3 receptors in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane, which promotes release of stored Ca^{2+}. The drop in luminal Ca^{2+} levels results in translocation of STIM1 to the plasma membrane where it triggers an influx of extracellular Ca^{2+} through Orai1 channels, presumably via interactions with a scaffolding complex that includes PLCγ. The concomitant rise in intracellular Ca^{2+} allows for formation of Ca^{2+}-calmodulin complexes, at which point the pathway exhibits species-dependent divergence. In heliothines and species that utilize cAMP, the Ca^{2+}-calmodulin complexes stimulate adenylyl cyclase activity. The rise in cAMP then drives a cascade culminating in activation of the fatty acid biosynthetic pathway enzyme, acetyl CoA-carboxylase. In *B. mori,* and presumably species in which PBAN regulates a step late in pheromonogenesis, the Ca^{2+}-calmodulin complexes activate both calcineurin (a protein phosphatase) and calmodulin-dependent kinase II (CamKII). Calcineurin in turn activates fatty acyl reductase, the terminal step in pheromone biosynthesis, while CamKII-dependent phosphorylation of lipid storage droplet protein-1 promotes lipolytic release of stored pheromone precursors (Fig. 8.5).



Fig. 8.5 Proposed PBAN signal transduction cascade. (*1*) PBAN circulating in the hemolymph binds to PBANR in the plasma membrane of PG cells. (*2*) PBAN binding promotes dissociation of Gαq from PBANR with subsequent activation of PLCβ1. (*3*) PLC-mediated hydrolysis of PIP_2 yields DAG and soluble IP_3. (*4*) Cytosolic IP_3 interacts with IP_3 receptors in the ER membrane. (*5*) Activation of IP_3 receptors promotes release of stored Ca^{2+}. (*6*) The reduction in ER luminal Ca^{2+} levels promotes interactions between STIM1 and Orai1 channels in the plasma membrane. The resulting complex formation may be stabilized by protein-protein interactions with SH3 domains in PLCγ. (*7*) The activated Orai1 channels open allowing an influx of extracellular Ca^{2+}. (*8*) Free calmodulin complexes with the intracellular Ca^{2+}. (*9a*) In heliothines, the Ca^{2+}-calmodulin complex stimulates adenylate cyclase activity and the production of cAMP, which subsequently initiates a protein kinase A/C phosphorylation cascade. PBAN signaling culminates in activation of acetyl-CoA carboxylase, the limiting step in fatty acid biosynthesis. Given evidence in the literature that this enzyme is activated in response to dephosphorylation and that pharmacological inhibition of phosphatase activity in *H. zea* and *H. virescens* has pheromonostatic effects, it is likely that a protein phosphatase, possibly calcineurin, may function in acetyl-CoA carboxylase activation. (*9b*) In *B. mori*, calcineurin is activated by the Ca^{2+}-calmodulin complex, which also activates (*9c*) a calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CamKII). (*10a*) CamKII phosphorylates a lipid droplet storage protein critical for lipolytic release of pheromone precursors stored in cytosolic lipid droplets. (*10b*) Calcineurin dephosphorylates fatty acyl reductase, the terminal enzymatic reaction in the *B. mori* pheromone biosynthetic pathway. Abbreviations: *cAMP* cyclic adenosine 3′, 5′-monophosphate, *DAG* diacylglycerol, *ER* endoplasmic reticulum, *GDP* guanosine diphosphate, *Gq* G protein α subunit q, *GTP* guanosine-5′-triphosphate, *IP_3* inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate, *PIP2* phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate, *PLC* phospholipase C, *STIM1* stromal interaction molecule 1

4 Targeted Disruption of PBAN Pathway

Current integrated pest management strategies that focus on mating disruption frequently exploit synthetic pheromone blends (Witzgall et al. 2008; El-Sayed et al. 2009). However, for species that utilize multi-component pheromone blends with cost prohibitive chemistries, targeted disruption of pheromone biosynthetic

pathways has significant potential as an alternative control measure. This is the case for the black cutworm moth, *A. ipsilon*, a polyphagous, polyandrous pest with multi-continental populations and intra-specific genetic variations (Wakamura et al. 1986; Picimbon et al. 1995, 1997; Gadenne et al. 1997; Duportets et al. 1998; Gemeno and Haynes 1998; Gemeno et al. 2000; Du et al. 2015). Insect GPCRs in particular have been proposed as promising targets for the next generation of insecticides (Scherkenbeck and Zdobinsky 2009; Van Hiel et al. 2010; Bai and Palli 2013; Grimmelikhuijzen and Hauser 2013; Audsley and Down 2015). This interest has driven significant efforts in developing peptidomimetics that overcome limitations (i.e. environmental instability, poor cuticular penetrance, and susceptibility to proteolytic degradation in the hemolymph) inherent to peptides that make them unsuitable for pest management. Because this topic has been extensively reviewed elsewhere (Altstein 2001, 2004b; Nachman et al. 2009a; Scherkenbeck and Zdobinsky 2009), we provide only a brief overview of some of the most intriguing developments.

4.1 Peptidomimetics

4.1.1 PBAN Agonists

PBAN agonists, small molecules that activate the receptor in the absence of the endogenous ligand, provide valuable insights into the structural requirements and chemistries crucial for ligand binding and cuticular penetration. In addition, they offer possibilities in pest management as continuous pheromonogenic stimulation via a bound agonist could lead to pheromone release asynchronous with male mating behaviors and/or depleted pheromone. Early peptide engineering studies revealed that modification of the terminal Phe in the pentapeptide FTPRL-NH_2 with a hydrophobic cage-like *o*-carborane moiety (a cluster composed of boron, carbon, and hydrogen), 1-pyrenebutyric acid, 9-fluoreneacetic acid, or 2-amino7-bromofluorene yielded topically active pheromonogenic analogs with enhanced cuticular penetrance and greater hemolymph persistence (Nachman et al. 1996; Teal and Nachman 1997, 2002). Additional studies incorporating β-amino acids further highlight the importance of the Phe residue for pheromonotropic activity (Nachman et al. 2009a).

4.1.2 PBAN Antagonists

The structural, conformational and dynamic features of agonists can serve as the basis for rational design of antagonists, which require the compound to bind the receptor without activating the signal transduction cascade. Replacing the Thr in the pheromonogenic septapeptide RYFTPRL-NH_2 with D-Phe yielded a linear peptide antagonist that significantly inhibited pheromone production following injection

(Zeltser et al. 2000). Backbone cyclization techniques have also yielded antagonists with pheromonostatic effects that can persist for several hours (Altstein et al. 2000). A linear RYF[dF]PRL-NH_2 analog that incorporated an aliphatic amine exhibited enhanced cuticular penetration while retaining pheromonostatic properties (Nachman et al. 2009b).

4.1.3 Receptor Selective Analogs

FxPRL-NH_2 analogs have been reported to have differing receptor effects depending on the activity assayed (e.g. melanotropic *vs* pheromonotropic) despite mediation of both activities by the same peptidergic sequence (Matsumoto et al. 1992; Altstein et al. 1996) and receptor (Zheng et al. 2007; Kim et al. 2008). Sequential D-Phe scan of a modified PBAN sequence (YFSPRL-NH_2) generated a selective antagonist that significantly reduced pheromone production with no effect on pupal melanization (Ben-Aziz et al. 2005). An amphiphilic version of the antagonist, that incorporated an aliphatic amine via succinic acid at the N terminus of the pentapeptide, retained selective antagonist properties while exhibiting enhanced cuticular penetrance (Nachman et al. 2009b). Similarly, replacement of the critical Phe with a β-homo-amino acid yielded an analog that affected melanization but had no effect on pheromone production (Nachman et al. 2009a). Incorporation of a dihydroimidazoline moiety into the FxPRL-NH_2 hexapeptide sequence likewise generated a selective melanotropic antagonist devoid of either pheromonotropic or pheromonostatic activities (Nachman et al. 2010). The selectivity observed in these peptidomimetic studies suggests that the melanotropic receptor tolerates greater conformational deviations in the ligand than the pheromonotropic receptor. This ligand selectivity is corroborated by both in vitro and in silico studies of FxPRL-NH_2 receptors that show dissimilar three-dimensional conformations, electrostatic potentials, and ligand preferences (Hariton-Shalev et al. 2013; Shalev and Altstein 2015). While the development of selective antagonists will undoubtedly provide additional insights into the development of novel pest management agents, it is apparent that despite years of study, our understanding of FxPRL-NH_2 pleiotropism at the molecular level will remain a fertile area of research.

4.2 RNAi: The New Frontier?

As a biorational approach that can be specifically tailored to individual pest species, RNAi holds great promise for the future of insect pest management (Price and Gatehouse 2008; Burand and Hunter 2013). Though still in its infancy, the viability of using transgenic plants that trigger RNAi-mediated suppression of select pest genes has been effectively demonstrated (Baum et al. 2007; Mao et al. 2007, 2011; Pitino et al. 2011). While those studies focused on the control potential associated with knockdown of diverse enzymes, current studies assessing the effects of

neuropeptide/GPCR RNAi knockdown on peptidergic regulation of insect biology (e.g. Terhzaz et al. 2007; Arakane et al. 2008; Badisco et al. 2011; Bai et al. 2011; Terhzaz et al. 2015; Zandawala et al. 2015) may provide an additional biorational set of tools for the development of next generation pest management strategies.

4.2.1 RNAi-Knockdown: PBAN

To date, RNAi-mediated knockdown of PBAN has only been reported for two species, *H. zea* (Choi et al. 2012) and *S. litura* (Lu et al. 2015). In both species, injection of double-stranded RNAs (dsRNAs) corresponding to a fragment of the respective DH-PBAN gene markedly reduced sex pheromone production. In *H. zea*, however, the PBAN dsRNA injections, which were performed using 4–5 day old female pupae, also affected adult emergence with a significantly higher percentage of injected pupae unable to eclose (Choi et al. 2012). A similar phenotype was reported in another heliothine moth following knockdown of PBAN, but not PBANR, suggesting that the failure to eclose properly may be linked to DH, which functions in termination of pupal diapause in heliothine moths (Xu and Denlinger 2003; Sun et al. 2003).

4.2.2 Genome Editing: PBAN

Advances in genome editing methodologies have extended targeted gene mutagenesis capabilities. One such approach utilizes Transcription Activator-Like Effector Nucleases (TALENs) to introduce small deletions or insertions at the gene level that cause frameshift mutations/truncations. Recently, Shiomi et al. (2015) used this method to make targeted deletions in the *B. mori* DH-PBAN gene yielding prepropeptides severely truncated within the signal peptide region precluding generation of the PBAN sequence. While the mutations clearly affected the induction of embryonic diapause, the pheromonogenic effects, which were not the focus of the study and were thus only assessed superficially, appeared to be muted with a slight reduction in the male behavioral response.

4.2.3 RNAi-Knockdown: PBANR

PBANR transcripts have been knocked-down in *B. mori* (Ohnishi et al. 2006), *P. xylostella* (Lee et al. 2011), and *H. armigera* (Bober and Rafaeli 2010). Injection of dsRNAs corresponding to a 417-nt fragment of BommoPBANR into 1-day-old pupae triggered receptor knockdown and significantly impaired sex pheromone production and disrupted lipolysis of cytoplasmic lipid droplets (Ohnishi et al. 2006). Similarly, knockdown of PluxyPBANR in pupae 1 day prior to adult emergence with dsRNAs corresponding to a 549-nt fragment resulted in a ~50% reduction in sex pheromone production and a 20–40% reduction in female mating (Lee et al.

2011). That group also reported decreased expression of two desaturases thought to be involved in the *P. xylostella* sex pheromone biosynthetic pathway following PluxyPBANR knockdown (Lee and Kim 2011). Unlike *B. mori* and *P. xylostella*, the effects of HelarPBANR knockdown were evaluated in adult male moths. An earlier study reported expression of HelarPBANR in the male aedeagus, a reproductive organ adjacent to the male abdomen through which sperm from the testis is transferred during copulation and which is usually associated with male-derived sex pheromone-like compounds (Rafaeli et al. 2007). Injection of dsRNAs corresponding to a 880-nt fragment of HelarPBANR in 1-day-old adult male *H. armigera* significantly reduced PBAN-stimulated production of male volatile compounds (Bober and Rafaeli 2010). While the relevance of these compounds in *H. armigera* mating behavior remains to be demonstrated, similar compounds have been linked to stimulation of female receptivity and inhibition of male competition (Teal and Tumlinson 1984; Kehat and Dunkelblum 1990; Huang et al. 1997; Hillier and Vickers 2004; Hillier et al. 2006). In the European corn borer, *O. nubilalis*, the male scent odor is crucial for the acceptance of the male by the female (Royer and McNeil 1992; Picimbon 1996; Farrell and Andow 2017). Regardless, the results demonstrate that in a wide variety of moths the role of PBANR functionality in pheromone biosynthesis is certainly not restricted to females and further underscores the pleiotropic nature of the receptor and its multifunctional ligand.

5 Concluding Remarks

The past 30 years have witnessed significant progress in our understanding of pheromonogenesis in moths and its neuroendocrine regulation. Interestingly, rather than clarifying our understanding of pheromonotropic control, elucidation of the "black box" has illuminated yet another layer of complexity and provided new puzzles for us to unravel.

Some of the questions raised with this new framework of entomology, chemical ecology, physiology and molecular biology research that we find the most intriguing include:

– What is the molecular basis for regulation of the pleiotropic FxPRL-NH_2 peptide/receptor system?
– How is ligand selectivity of PBANRs/DHRs achieved?
– What is the evolutionary significance of the different control points (fatty acid biosynthesis *vs* terminal modification) in the PBAN pathway, and how did this divergence arise?
– What biological role do the concomitantly expressed PBANR variants play in PBAN signaling?
– How are transcription and alternative splicing of PBANR regulated?

Undoubtedly, rapid developments in mRNA sequencing, bioinformatics, molecular engineering, and proteomics will play a significant role in resolving these new

questions. In addition, advances such as CRISPR in insect genome editing (Taning et al. 2017), and RNAi (see Chap. 5), despite the current limitations of this technology in lepidopterans (Terenius et al. 2011), can provide unequivocal demonstration of the roles calmodulin, calcineurin, and acetyl-CoA carboxylase have in heliothine pheromonogenesis and finally reveal how conserved PBAN signaling pathways function across species. Similar application of these technologies can also provide insights into the role of antagonistic peptidomimetics in receptor regulation.

Continued research into the mechanisms underlying PBANR function in moths, as well as related receptors in other species, will help answer questions regarding the biological significance of the FxPRL-NH_2 family and how alternative splicing plays a role in mediating that biology. This knowledge will provide insights into the complexities of GPCRs, and can potentially be applied towards the development of novel biorationally designed insect control agents. These fundamental studies will also continue to provide insights into mammalian endocrinology, lipid biology, and the molecular interactions underlying peptidergic binding/activation of pleiotropic GPCRs.

Acknowledgements We wish to thank Dr. Shogo Matsumoto for both his guidance and support of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, which played a pivotal role in our respective careers. We also thank the many members of the former Molecular Entomology Laboratory at the RIKEN Advanced Science Institute and the numerous colleagues and peers who have contributed to advancing our basic understanding of pheromonogenesis regulation. Partial funding for work described herein and during the writing of this chapter was provided by Hungarian Research Fund OTKA K104011 to Adrien Fónagy. Mention of trade names or commercial products in this article is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the U. S. Department of Agriculture. USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

References

Abernathy RL, Nachman RJ, Teal PEA, Yamashita O, Tumlinson JH (1995) Pheromonotropic activity of naturally occurring pyrokinin insect neuropeptides (FXPRLamide) in *Helicoverpa zea*. Peptides 16:215–219

Adams MD, Celniker SE, Holt RA, Evans CA, Gocayne JD, Amanatides PG, Scherer SE, Li PW, Hoskins RA, Galle RF, George RA, Lewis SE, Richards S, Ashburner M, Henderson SN et al (2000) The genome sequence of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Science 287:2185–21954

Albre J, Steinwender B, Newcomb RD (2013) The evolution of desaturase gene regulation involved in sex pheromone production in leafroller moths of the genus *Planotortrix*. J Hered 104:627–638

Altstein M (2001) Insect neuropeptide antagonists. Biopolymers 60:460–473

Altstein M (2004a) Role of neuropeptides in sex pheromone production in moths. Peptides 25:1491–1501

Altstein M (2004b) Novel insect control agents based on neuropeptide antagonists: the PK/PBAN family as a case study. J Mol Neurosci 22:147–157

Altstein M, Nässel DR (2010) Neuropeptide signaling in insects. Adv Exp Med Biol 692:155–165

Altstein M, Gazit Y, Aziz OB, Gabay T, Marcus R, Vogel Z, Barg J (1996) Induction of cuticular melanization in *Spodoptera littoralis* larvae by PBAN/MRCH: development of a quantitative bioassay and structure function analysis. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 31:355–370

Altstein M, Ben-Aziz O, Schefler I, Zeltser I, Gilon C (2000) Advances in the application of neuropeptides in insect control. Crop Prot 19:547–555

Altstein M, Hariton A, Nachman R (2013) FXPRLamide (pyrokinin/PBAN) family. In: Kastin AJ (ed) Handbook of biologically active peptides, 2nd edn. Academic, pp 255–266

Ando T, Inomata SI, Yamamoto M (2004) Lepidopteran sex pheromones. In: Schulz S (ed) The chemistry of pheromones and other semiochemicals I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, pp 51–96

Arakane Y, Li B, Muthukrishnan S, Beeman RW, Kramer KJ, Park Y (2008) Functional analysis of four neuropeptides, EH, ETH, CCAP and bursicon, and their receptors in adult ecdysis behavior of the red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum*. Mech Dev 125:984–995

Audsley N, Down RE (2015) G Protein coupled receptors as targets for next generation pesticides. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 67:1–32

Badisco L, Marchal E, Van Wielendaele P, Verlinden H, Vleugels R, Vanden Broeck J (2011) RNA interference of insulin-related peptide and neuroparsins affects vitellogenesis in the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria*. Peptides 32:573–580

Bai H, Palli SR (2013) G protein-coupled receptors as target sites for insecticide discovery. In: Ishaaya I, Palli SR, Horowitz R (eds) Advanced technologies for managing insect pests. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 57–82

Bai H, Zhu F, Shah K, Palli SR (2011) Large-scale RNAi screen of G protein-coupled receptors involved in larval growth, molting and metamorphosis in the red flour beetle. BMC Genomics 12:388

Balakrishnan SS, Basu U, Raghu P (2015) Phosphoinositide signalling in *Drosophila*. Biochim Biophys Acta 1851:770–784

Barak LS, Ménard L, Ferguson SSG, Colapietro A-M, Caron MG (1995) The conserved seven-transmembrane sequence NP(X)2,3Y of the G-protein-coupled receptor superfamily regulates multiple properties of the beta 2-adrenergic receptor. Biochemistry 34:15407–15414

Barth RH (1965) Insect mating behavior: endocrine control of a chemical communication system. Science 149:882–883

Baum JA, Bogaert T, Clinton W, Heck GR, Feldmann P, Ilagan O, Johnson S, Plaetinck G, Munyikwa T, Pleau M, Vaughn T, Roberts J (2007) Control of coleopteran insect pests through RNA interference. Nat Biotechnol 25:1322–1326

Ben-Aziz O, Zeltser I, Altstein M (2005) PBAN selective antagonists: inhibition of PBAN induced cuticular melanization and sex pheromone biosynthesis in moths. J Insect Physiol 51:305–314

Berger RS (1966) Isolation, identification, and synthesis of the sex attractant of the cabbage looper, *Trichoplusia ni*. Ann Entomol Soc Am 59:767–771

Bhattacharya D, Mishra N, Coutinho EC, Srivastava S, Pissurlenkar RRS, Shaikh M (2015) Conformational study on pheromonotropin neuropeptide using NMR and molecular dynamics. Pharmacol Anal Acta 6:5

Bierl BA, Beroza M, Collier CW (1970) Potent sex attractant of the gypsy moth: its isolation, identification, and synthesis. Science 170:87–89

Bilen J, Atallah J, Azanchi R, Levine JD, Riddiford LM (2013) Regulation of onset of female mating and sex pheromone production by juvenile hormone in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:18321–18326

Björstad LB, Wolf WA, Roelofs WL (1987) Pheromone biosynthesis in lepidopterans: desaturation and chain shortening. In: Blomquist GJ, Prestwich GD (eds) Pheromone biochemistry. Academic, Orlando, pp 77–120

Bloch G, Hazan E, Rafaeli A (2013) Circadian rhythms and endocrine functions in adult insects. J Insect Physiol 59:56–69

Bober R, Rafaeli A (2010) Gene-silencing reveals the functional significance of pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide receptor (PBAN-R) in a male moth. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:16858–16862

Bober R, Azrielli A, Rafaeli A (2010) Developmental regulation of the pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide-receptor (PBAN-R): re-evaluating the role of juvenile hormone. Insect Mol Biol 19:77–86

Bouley R, Sun T-X, Chenard M, McLaughlin M, McKee M, Lin HY, Brown D, Ausiello DA (2003) Functional role of the NPxxY motif in internalization of the type 2 vasopressin receptor in LLC-PK1 cells. Am J Physiol-Cell Physiol 285:C750–C762

Brownsey RW, Boone AN, Elliott JE, Kulpa JE, Lee WM (2006) Regulation of acetyl-CoA carboxylase. Biochem Soc Trans 34:223–227

Burand JP, Hunter WB (2013) RNAi: future in insect management. J Invertebr Pathol 112:S68–S74

Butenandt A, Beckmann R, Stamm D, Hecker E (1959) Über den Sexuallockstoff des Seidenspinners *Bombyx mori*. Reindarstellung und Konstitution. Z Naturforsch 14b:283–284

Cabrera-Vera TM, Vanhauwe J, Thomas TO, Medkova M, Preininger A, Mazzoni MR, Hamm HE (2003) Insights into G protein structure, function, and regulation. Endocr Rev 24:765–781

Chang JC, Ramasamy S (2014) Identification and expression analysis of diapause hormone and pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide (DH-PBAN) in the legume pod borer, *Maruca vitrata* Fabricius. PLoS One 9:e84916–e84911

Cheng Y, Luo L, Jiang X, Zhang L, Niu C (2010) Expression of pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide and its receptor (PBANR) mRNA in adult female *Spodoptera exigua* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 75:13–27

Choi MY, Jurenka RA (2004) PBAN stimulation of pheromone biosynthesis by inducing calcium influx in pheromone glands of *Helicoverpa zea*. J Insect Physiol 50:555–560

Choi MY, Jurenka RA (2006) Role of extracellular Ca2+ and calcium channel activated by a G protein-coupled receptor regulating pheromone production in *Helicoverpa zea* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Ann Entomol Soc Am 99:905–909

Choi MY, Jurenka RA (2010) Site-directed mutagenesis and PBAN activation of the *Helicoverpa zea* PBAN-receptor. FEBS Lett 584:1212–1216

Choi MY, Vander Meer RK (2012) Ant trail pheromone biosynthesis is triggered by a neuropeptide hormone. PLoS One 7:e50400

Choi MY, Fuerst E-J, Rafaeli A, Jurenka RA (2003) Identification of a G protein-coupled receptor for pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide from pheromone glands of the moth *Helicoverpa zea*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:9721–9726

Choi MY, Fuerst E-J, Rafaeli A, Jurenka R (2007) Role of extracellular domains in PBAN/pyrokinin GPCRs from insects using chimera receptors. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 37:296–306

Choi MY, Vander Meer RK, Shoemaker D, Valles SM (2011) PBAN gene architecture and expression in the fire ant, *Solenopsis invicta*. J Insect Physiol 57:161–165

Choi MY, Vander Meer RK, Coy M, Scharf ME (2012) Phenotypic impacts of PBAN RNA interference in an ant, *Solenopsis invicta*, and a moth, *Helicoverpa zea*. J Insect Physiol 58:1159–1165

Choi MY, Sanscrainte ND, Estep AS, Vander Meer RK, Becnel JJ (2015) Identification and expression of a new member of the pyrokinin/pban gene family in the sand fly *Phlebotomus papatasi*. J Insect Physiol 79:55–62

Chow KBS, Sun J, Chu KM, Cheung WT, Cheng CHK, Wise H (2012) The truncated ghrelin receptor polypeptide (GHS-R1b) is localized in the endoplasmic reticulum where it forms heterodimers with ghrelin receptors (GHS-R1a) to attenuate their cell surface expression. Mol Cell Endocrinol 348:247–254

Clark B, Prestwich GD (1996) Evidence for a C-terminal turn in PBAN: an NMR and distance geometry study. Int J Pept Protein Res 47:361–368

Congreve M, Langmead CJ, Mason JS, Marshall FH (2011) Progress in structure based drug design for G protein-coupled receptors. J Med Chem 54:4283–4311

Cusson M, McNeil JN (1989) Involvement of juvenile hormone in the regulation of pheromone release activities in a moth. Science 243:210–212

Cuvillier-Hot V, Lenoir A, Peeters C (2004) Reproductive monopoly enforced by sterile police workers in a queenless ant. Behav Ecol 15:970–975

Davis MT, Vakharia VN, Henry J, Kempe TG, Raina AK (1992) Molecular cloning of the pheromone biosynthesis-activating neuropeptide in *Helicoverpa zea*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 89:142–146

de Graaf C, Foata N, Engkvist O, Rognan D (2008) Molecular modeling of the second extracellular loop of G-protein coupled receptors and its implication on structure-based virtual screening. Proteins 71:599–620

De Loof A, Schoofs L, Huybrechts R (2016) The endocrine system controlling sexual reproduction in animals: Part of the evolutionary ancient but well conserved immune system? Gen Comp Endocrinol 226:56–71

Delisle J, Royer L (1994) Changes in pheromone titer of oblique-banded leafroller, *Choristoneura rosaceana*, virgin females as a function of time of day, age, and temperature. J Chem Ecol 20:45–69

Delisle J, Simard J (2002) Factors involved in the post-copulatory neural inhibition of pheromone production in *Choristoneura fumiferana* and *C. roasaceana* females. J Insect Physiol 48:181–188

Delisle J, Picimbon JF, Simard J (1999) Physiological control of pheromone production in *Choristoneura fumiferana* and *C. rosaceana*. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 42:253–265

Delisle J, Picimbon JF, Simard J (2000) Regulation of pheromone inhibition in mated females of *Choristoneura fumiferana* and *C. rosaceana*. J Insect Physiol 46:913–921

Ding BJ, Löfstedt C (2015) Analysis of the *Agrotis segetum* pheromone gland transcriptome in the light of sex pheromone biosynthesis. BMC Genomics 16:1–21

Drin G, Scarlata S (2007) Stimulation of phospholipase Cbeta by membrane interactions, interdomain movement, and G protein binding – how many ways can you activate an enzyme? Cell Signal 19:1383–1392

Du Y, Feng B, Li H, Liu C, Zeng J, Pan L, Yu Q (2015) Field application of *Agrotis ipsilon* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) pheromone blends and their application to monitoring moth populations in China. Environ Entomol 44:724–733

Duan J, Li R, Cheng D, Fan W, Zha X, Cheng T, Wu Y, Wang J, Mita K, Xiang Z, Xia Q (2010) SilkDB v2.0: a platform for silkworm (*Bombyx mori*) genome biology. Nucleic Acids Res 38:D453–D456

Duportets L, Gadenne C, Dufour MC, Couillaud F (1998) The pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide (PBAN) of the black cutworm moth, *Agrotis ipsilon*: immunohistochemistry, molecular characterization and bioassay of its peptide sequence. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 28:591–599

Duvernay MT, Filipeanu CM, Wu G (2005) The regulatory mechanisms of export trafficking of G protein-coupled receptors. Cell Signal 17:1457–1465

El-Sayed AM (2014) The pherobase: database of insect pheromones and semiochemicals. http://www.pherobase.com

El-Sayed AM, Suckling DM, Byers JA, Jang EB, Wearing CH (2009) Potential of "lure and kill" in long-term pest management and eradication of invasive species. J Econ Entomol 102:815–835

Eltahlawy HS, Buckner JS, Foster SP (2007) Regulation of pheromone biosynthesis in the "Z strain" of the European corn borer, *Ostrinia nubilalis*. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 65:29–38

Fabriàs G, Jurenka RA, Roelofs WL (1992) Stimulation of sex pheromone production proteinaceous extracts of the bursa copulatrix in the red banded leafroller moth. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 20:75–86

Fabriàs G, Marco MP, Camps F (1994) Effect of the pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide on sex pheromone biosynthesis in *Spodoptera littoralis* isolated glands. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 27:77–87

Fabriàs G, Barrot M, Camps F (1995) Control of the sex pheromone biosynthetic pathway in *Thaumetopoea pityocampa* by the pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 25:655–660

Fan YL, Rafaeli A, Gileadi C, Appelbaum SW (1999) Juvenile hormone induction of pheromone gland PBAN-responsiveness in *Helicoverpa armigera* females. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 29:635–641

Fan YL, Rafaeli A, Moshitzky P, Kubli E, Choffat Y, Applebaum SW (2000) Common functional elements of *Drosophila melanogaster* seminal peptides involved in reproduction of *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Helicoverpa armigera* females. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 30:805–812

Fang N, Teal PEA, Tumlinson JH (1995) PBAN regulation of pheromone biosynthesis in female tobacco hornworm moths, *Manduca sexta* (L.). Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 29:35–44

Farrell SL, Andow DA (2017) Highly variable male courtship behavioral sequences in a crambid moth. J Ethol 35:221–236

Ferguson S (2001) Evolving concepts in G protein-coupled receptor endocytosis: the role in receptor desensitization and signaling. Pharmacol Rev 53:1–24

Fodor J, Köblös G, Kákai Á, Kárpáti Z, Molnár BP, Dankó T, Bozsik G, Bognár C, Szőcs G, Fónagy A (2017) Molecular cloning, expression and sequence analysis of the pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide (PBAN) gene from the European corn borer, *Ostrinia nubilalis*. Insect Mol Biol 26:616–632

Fodor J, Hull JJ, Köblös G, Jacquin-Joly E, Szlanka T, Fónagy A (2018) Identification and functional characterization of the pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide receptor isoforms from *Mamestra brassicae*. Gen Comp Endocrinol 258:60–69

Fónagy A, Matsumoto S, Schoofs L, De Loof A, Mitsui T (1992a) In vivo and in vitro pheromonotropic activity of two locustatachykinin peptides in *Bombyx mori*. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 56:1692–1693

Fónagy A, Schoofs L, Matsumoto S, De Loof A, Mitsui T (1992b) Functional cross-reactivities of some locustamyotropins and Bombyx pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide. J Insect Physiol 38:651–657

Fónagy A, Matsumoto S, Uchiumi K, Orikasa C, Mitsui T (1992c) Action of pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide on pheromone glands of *Bombyx mori* and *Spodoptera litura*. J Pestic Sci 17:47–54

Fónagy A, Matsumoto S, Uchiumi K, Mitsui T (1992d) Role of calcium ion and cyclic nucleotides in pheromone production in *Bombyx mori*. J Pest Sci 17:115–121

Fónagy A, Yokoyama N, Ozawa R, Okano K, Tatsuki S, Maeda S, Matsumoto S (1999) Involvement of calcineurin in the signal transduction of PBAN in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* (Lepidoptera). Comp Biochem Physiol B 124:51–60

Fónagy A, Yokoyama N, Okano K, Tatsuki S, Maeda S, Matsumoto S (2000) Pheromone-producing cells in the silkmoth, *Bombyx mori*: identification and their morphological changes in response to pheromonotropic stimuli. J Insect Physiol 46:735–744

Fónagy A, Moto K, Ohnishi A, Kurihara M, Kis J, Matsumoto S (2011) Studies of sex pheromone production under neuroendocrine control by analytical and morphological means in the oriental armyworm, *Pseudaletia separata*, Walker (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Gen Comp Endocrinol 172:62–76

Foster SP (2000) Periodicity of sex pheromone biosynthesis, release and degradation in the lightbrown apple moth, *Epiphyas postvittana* (Walker). Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 43:125–136

Fujii T, Suzuki MG, Kawai T, Tzuneizumi K, Ohnishi A, Kurihara M, Matsumoto S, Ando T (2007) Determination of the pheromone-producing region that has epoxidation activity in the abdominal tip of the Japanese giant looper, *Ascotis selenaria cretacea* (Lepidoptera: Geometridae). J Insect Physiol 53:312–318

Fujii T, Nakano R, Takubo Y, Qian S, Yamakawa R, Ando T, Ishikawa Y (2010) Female sex pheromone of a lichen moth *Eilema japonica* (Arctiidae, Lithosiinae): components and control of production. J Insect Physiol 56:1986–1991

Gadenne C, Picimbon JF, Bécard JM, Lalanne-Cassou B, Renou M (1997) Development and pheromone communication systems in hybrids of *Agrotis ipsilon* and *Agrotis segetum* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). J Chem Ecol 23:191–209

Gemeno C, Haynes KF (1998) Chemical and behavioral evidence for a third pheromone component in a North American population of the black cutworm moth, *Agrotis ipsilon*. J Chem Ecol 24:999–1011

Gemeno C, Haynes KF (2000) Periodical and age-related variation in chemical communication system of black cutworm moth, *Agrotis ipsilon*. J Chem Ecol 26:329–342

Gemeno C, Lutfallah AF, Haynes KF (2000) Pheromone blend variation and cross-attraction among populations of the black cutworm moth (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Ann Entomol Soc Am 93:1322–1328

Gether U (2000) Uncovering molecular mechanisms involved in activation of G protein-coupled receptors. Endocr Rev 21:90–113

Gether U, Asmar F, Meinild AK, Rasmussen SGF (2002) Structural basis for activation of G-protein-coupled receptors. Pharmacol Toxicol 91:304–312

Grimmelikhuijzen CJ, Hauser F (2013) Arthropod genomics and pest management targeting GPCRs. In: Ishaaya I, Palli SR, Horowitz R (eds) Advanced technologies for managing insect pests: an overview. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 165–177

Gripentrog JM, Jesaitis AJ, Miettinen HM (2000) A single amino acid substitution (N297A) in the conserved NPXXY sequence of the human N-formyl peptide receptor results in inhibition of desensitization and endocytosis, and a dose-dependent shift in p42/44 mitogen-activated protein kinase activation and chemotaxis. Biochem J 352:399–407

Haberer W, Steiger S, Müller JK (2010) (E)-methylgeranate, a chemical signal of juvenile hormone titre and its role in the partner recognition system of burying beetles. Anim Behav 79:17–24

Halls ML, Cooper DMF (2011) Regulation by Ca2+-signaling pathways of adenylyl cyclases. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 3:a004143–a004143

Hanin O, Azrielli A, Applebaum SW, Rafaeli A (2012) Functional impact of silencing the *Helicoverpa armigera* sex-peptide receptor on female reproductive behaviour. Insect Mol Biol 21:161–167

Hariton-Shalev A, Shalev M, Adir N, Belausov E, Altstein M (2013) Structural and functional differences between pheromonotropic and melanotropic PK/PBAN receptors. Biochim Biophys Acta 1830:5036–5048

He R, Browning DD, Ye RD (2001) Differential roles of the NPXXY motif in formyl peptide receptor signaling. J Immunol 166:4099–4105

Hewes RS, Taghert PH (2001) Neuropeptides and neuropeptide receptors in the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome. Genome Res 11:1126–1142

Hillier NK, Vickers NJ (2004) The role of heliothine hairpencil compounds in female *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) behavior and mate acceptance. Chem Senses 29:499–511

Hillier NK, Kleineidam C, Vickers NJ (2006) Physiology and glomerular projections of olfactory receptor neurons on the antenna of female *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) responsive to behaviorally relevant odors. J Comp Physiol A 192:199–219

Holman L (2012) Costs and constraints conspire to produce honest signaling: insights from an ant queen pheromone. Evolution 66:2094–2105

Holman GM, Cook BJ, Nachman RJ (1986) Primary structure and synthesis of a blocked myotropic neuropeptide isolated from the cockroach, *Leucophaea maderae*. Comp Biochem Physiol C Comp Pharmacol 85:219–224

Holst B, Nygaard R, Valentin-Hansen L, Bach A, Engelstoft MS, Petersen PS, Frimurer TM, Schwartz TW (2010) A conserved aromatic lock for the tryptophan rotameric switch in TM-VI of seven-transmembrane receptors. J Biol Chem 285:3973–3985

Homma T, Watanabe K, Tsurumaru S, Kataoka H, Imai K, Kamba M, Niimi T, Yamashita O, Yaginuma T (2006) G protein-coupled receptor for diapause hormone, an inducer of *Bombyx* embryonic diapause. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 344:386–393

Hong B, Zhang ZF, Tang SM, Yi YZ, Zhang TY, Xu WH (2006) Protein-DNA interactions in the promoter region of the gene encoding diapause hormone and pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide of the cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera*. Biochim Biophys Acta 1759:177–185

Huang Y, Xu S, Tang X, Zhao Z, Du J (1997) Male orientation inhibitor of cotton bollworm: inhibitory effects of alcohols in wind-tunnel and in the field. Insect Sci 4:173–181

Hull JJ, Ohnishi A, Moto K, Kawasaki Y, Kurata R, Suzuki MG, Matsumoto S (2004) Cloning and characterization of the pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide receptor from the silkmoth, *Bombyx mori*. Significance of the carboxyl terminus in receptor internalization. J Biol Chem 279:51500–51507

Hull JJ, Ohnishi A, Matsumoto S (2005) Regulatory mechanisms underlying pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide (PBAN)-induced internalization of the *Bombyx mori* PBAN receptor. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 334:69–78

Hull JJ, Kajigaya R, Imai K, Matsumoto S (2007a) Sex pheromone production in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*, is mediated by store-operated Ca(2+) channels. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 71:1993–2001

Hull JJ, Kajigaya R, Imai K, Matsumoto S (2007b) The *Bombyx mori* sex pheromone biosynthetic pathway is not mediated by cAMP. J Insect Physiol 53:782–793

Hull JJ, Lee JM, Kajigaya R, Matsumoto S (2009) *Bombyx mori* homologs of STIM1 and Orai1 are essential components of the signal transduction cascade that regulates sex pheromone production. J Biol Chem 284:31200–31213

Hull JJ, Lee JM, Matsumoto S (2010) Gqα-linked phospholipase Cβ1 and phospholipase Cγ are essential components of the pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide (PBAN) signal transduction cascade. Insect Mol Biol 19:553–566

Hull JJ, Lee JM, Matsumoto S (2011) Identification of specific sites in the third intracellular loop and carboxyl terminus of the *Bombyx mori* pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide receptor crucial for ligand-induced internalization. Insect Mol Biol 20:801–811

Hunt RE, Haynes KF (1990) Periodicity in the quantity and blend ratios of pheromone components in glands and volatile emissions of mutant and normal cabbage looper moths, *Trichoplusia ni*. J Insect Physiol 36:769–774

Hunyady L, Bor M, Baukal AJ, Balla T, Catt KJ (1995) A conserved NPLFY sequence contributes to agonist binding and signal transduction but is not an internalization signal for the type 1 angiotensin II receptor. J Biol Chem 270:16602–16609

Ichikawa T (1998) Activity patterns of neurosecretory cells releasing pheromonotropic neuropeptides in the moth I. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:4055–4060

Iglesias F, Marco MP, Jacquin-Joly E, Camps F, Fabriàs G (1998) Regulation of sex pheromone biosynthesis in two noctuid species, *S. littoralis* and *M. brassicae*, may involve both PBAN and the ventral nerve cord. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 37:295–304

Iglesias F, Marco P, François MC, Camps F, Fabriàs G, Jacquin-Joly E (2002) A new member of the PBAN family in *Spodoptera littoralis*: molecular cloning and immunovisualisation in scotophase hemolymph. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 32:901–908

Imai K, Konno T, Nakazawa Y, Komiya T, Isobe M, Koga K, Goto T, Yaginuma T, Sakakibara K, Hasegawa K, Yamashita O (1991) Isolation and structure of diapause hormone of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. Proc Jpn Acad Ser B: Phys Biol Sci 67:98–101

Iwanaga M, Dohmae N, Fónagy A, Takio K, Kawasaki H, Maeda S, Matsumoto S (1998) Isolation and characterization of calmodulin in the pheromone gland of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol 120:761–767

Jacquin E, Jurenka RA, Ljungberg H, Nagnan P, Löfstedt C, Descoins C, Roelofs WL (1994) Control of sex pheromone biosynthesis in the moth *Mamestra brassicae* by the pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 24:203–211

Jiang H, Wei Z, Nachman RJ, Park Y (2014) Molecular cloning and functional characterization of the diapause hormone receptor in the corn earworm *Helicoverpa zea*. Peptides 53:243–249

Jing TZ, Wang ZY, Qi FH, Liu KY (2007) Molecular characterization of diapause hormone and pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide from the black-back prominent moth, *Clostera anastomosis* (L.) (Lepidoptera, Notodontidae). Insect Biochem Mol Biol 37:1262–1271

Johnson KF, Chan W, Kornfeld S (1990) Cation-dependent mannose 6-phosphate receptor contains two internalization signals in its cytoplasmic domain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 87:10010–10014

Jurenka RA (1996) Signal transduction in the stimulation of sex pheromone biosynthesis in moths. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 33:245–258

Jurenka RA (2003) Biochemistry of female moth sex pheromones. In: Blomquist GJ, Vogt RG (eds) Insect pheromone biochemistry and molecular biology-The biosynthesis and detection of pheromones and plant volatiles. Elsevier Academic Press, SanDiego/London, pp 53–80

Jurenka RA (2004) Insect pheromone biosynthesis. In: Schulz S (ed) The chemistry of pheromones and other semiochemicals I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 97–132
Jurenka RA (2015) The PRXamide neuropeptide signalling system: conserved in animals. In: Advances in insect physiology. Academic, San Diego, pp 123–170
Jurenka RA, Nusawardani T (2011) The pyrokinin/ pheromone biosynthesis-activating neuropeptide (PBAN) family of peptides and their receptors in Insecta: evolutionary trace indicates potential receptor ligand-binding domains. Insect Mol Biol 20:323–334
Jurenka RA, Rafaeli A (2011) Regulatory role of PBAN in sex pheromone biosynthesis of heliothine moths. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2:46
Jurenka RA, Jacquin E, Roelofs WL (1991a) Stimulation of pheromone biosynthesis in the moth *Helicoverpa zea*: action of a brain hormone on pheromone glands involves Ca2+ and cAMP as second messengers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 88:8621–8625
Jurenka RA, Jacquin E, Roelofs WL (1991b) Control of the pheromone biosynthetic pathway in *Helicoverpa zea* by the pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 17:81–91
Jurenka RA, Fabriàs G, DeVoe L, Roelofs WL (1994) Action of PBAN and related peptides on pheromone biosynthesis in isolated pheromone glands of the redbanded leafroller moth, *Argyrotaenia velutinana*. Comp Biochem Physiol Pharmacol Toxicol Endocrinol 108:153–160
Kamimura M, Tatsuki S (1994) Effects of photoperiodic changes on calling behavior and pheromone production in the Oriental tobacco budworm moth, *Helicoverpa assulta* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). J Insect Physiol 40:731–734
Kawai T, Ohnishi A, Suzuki MG, Fujii T, Matsuoka K, Kato I, Matsumoto S, Ando T (2007) Identification of a unique pheromonotropic neuropeptide including double FXPRL motifs from a geometrid species, *Ascotis selenaria cretacea*, which produces an epoxyalkenyl sex pheromone. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 37:330–337
Kawai T, Lee JM, Nagata K, Matsumoto S, Tanokura M, Nagasawa H (2012) The arginine residue within the C-terminal active core of *Bombyx mori* pheromone biosynthesis-activating neuropeptide is essential for receptor binding and activation. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 3:42
Kawai T, Katayama Y, Guo L, Liu D, Suzuki T, Hayakawa LJM, Nagamine T, Hull JJ, Matsumoto S, Nagasawa H, Tanokura M, Nagata K (2014) Identification of functionally important residues of the silkmoth pheromone biosynthesis-activating neuropeptide receptor, an insect ortholog of the vertebrate neuromedin U receptor. J Biol Chem 289:19150–19163
Kawano T, Kataoka H, Nagasawa H, Isogai A (1992) cDNA cloning and sequence determination of the pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 189:221–226
Kehat M, Dunkelblum E (1990) Behavioral responses of male *Heliothis armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) moths in a flight tunnel to combinations of components identified from female sex pheromone glands. J Insect Behav 3:75–83
Kelstrup HC, Hartfelder K, Nascimento FS, Riddiford LM (2014) The role of juvenile hormone in dominance behavior, reproduction and cuticular pheromone signaling in the caste-flexible epiponine wasp, *Synoeca surinama*. Front Zool 11:78
Kim YJ, Nachman R, Aimanova K, Gill S, Adams ME (2008) The pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide (PBAN) receptor of *Heliothis virescens*: identification, functional expression, and structure-activity relationships of ligand analogs. Peptides 29:268–275
Kitamura A, Nagasawa H, Kataoka H, Inoue T, Matsumoto S, Ando T, Suzuki A (1989) Amino acid sequence of pheromone-biosynthesis-activating neuropeptide (PBAN) of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 163:520–526
Kitamura A, Nagasawa H, Kataoka H, Ando T, Suzuki A (1990) Amino acid sequence of pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide-II (PBAN-II) of the silkmoth, *Bombyx mori*. Agric Biol Chem 54:2495–2497
Kleinau G, Jaeschke H, Worth CL, Mueller S, Gonzalez J, Paschke R, Krause G (2010) Principles and determinants of G-protein coupling by the rhodopsin-like thyrotropin receptor. PLoS One 5:e9745

Köblös G, Dankó T, Sipos K, Geiger A, Szlanka T, Fodor J, Fónagy A (2015) The regulation of Δ11-desaturase gene expression in the pheromone gland of *Mamestra brassicae* (Lepidoptera; Noctuidae) during pheromonogenesis. Gen Comp Endocrinol 221:217–227

Kristiansen K (2004) Molecular mechanisms of ligand binding, signaling, and regulation within the superfamily of G-protein-coupled receptors: molecular modeling and mutagenesis approaches to receptor structure and function. Pharmacol Ther 103:21–80

Kuniyoshi H, Kitamura A, Nagasawa H, Chuman T, Shimazaki K, Ando T, Suzuki A (1991) Structure-activity relationship of pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide (PBAN) from the silkmoth, *Bombyx mori*. In: Suzuki A (ed) Peptide chemistry. Protein Research Foundation, Osaka, pp 251–254

Kuniyoshi H, Nagasawa H, Ando T, Suzuki A, Nachman RJ, Holman GM (1992) Cross-activity between pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide (PBAN) and myotropic pyrokinin insect peptides. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 56:167–168

Lacinova L (2005) Voltage-dependent calcium channels. Gen Physiol Biophys 24:1–78

Lassance JM, Groot AT, Liénard MA, Antony B, Borgwardt C, Andersson F, Hedenström E, Heckel DG, Löfstedt C (2010) Allelic variation in a fatty-acyl reductase gene causes divergence in moth sex pheromones. Nature 466:486–489

Lee DW, Kim Y (2011) RNA interference of PBAN receptor suppresses expression of two fatty acid desaturases in female *Plutella xylostella*. J Asia-Pac Entomol 14:405–410

Lee DW, Shrestha S, Kim AY, Park SJ, Yang CY, Kim Y, Koh YH (2011) RNA interference of pheromone biosynthesis-activating neuropeptide receptor suppresses mating behavior by inhibiting sex pheromone production in *Plutella xylostella* (L.). Insect Biochem Mol Biol 41:236–243

Lee JM, Hull JJ, Kawai T, Goto C, Kurihara M, Tanokura M, Nagata K, Nagasawa H, Matsumoto S (2012a) Re-evaluation of the PBAN receptor molecule: characterization of PBANR variants expressed in the pheromone glands of moths. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 3:6

Lee JM, Hull JJ, Kawai T, Tzuneizumi K, Kurihara M, Tanokura M, Nagata K, Nagasawa H, Matsumoto S (2012b) Establishment of Sf9 transformants constitutively expressing PBAN receptor variants: application to functional evaluation. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 3:56

López JJ, Albarran L, Gómez LJ, Smani T, Salido G, Rosado JA (2016) Molecular modulators of store-operated calcium entry. Biochim Biophys Acta 1863:2037–2043

Lu Q, Huang LY, Chen P, Yu JF, Xu J, Deng JY, Ye H (2015) Identification and RNA interference of the pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide (PBAN) in the common cutworm moth *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). J Econ Entomol 108:1344–1353

Ma PWK, Roelofs WL (1995) Calcium involvement in the stimulation of sex pheromone production by PBAN in the European corn borer, *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Insect Biochem Mol Biol 25:467–473

Ma PWK, Knipple DC, Roelofs WL (1994) Structural organization of the *Helicoverpa zea* gene encoding the precursor protein for pheromone biosynthesis-activating neuropeptide and other neuropeptides. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 91:6506–6510

Ma PWK, Garden RW, Niermann JT, O'Connor M, Sweedler JV, Roelofs WL (2000) Characterizing the Hez-PBAN gene products in neuronal clusters with immunocytochemistry and MALDI MS. J Insect Physiol 46:221–230

Mao YB, Cai WJ, Wang JW, Hong GJ, Tao XY, Wang LJ, Huang YP, Chen XY (2007) Silencing a cotton bollworm P450 monooxygenase gene by plant-mediated RNAi impairs larval tolerance of gossypol. Nat Biotechnol 25:1307–1313

Mao YB, Tao XY, Xue XY, Wang LJ, Chen XY (2011) Cotton plants expressing CYP6AE14 double-stranded RNA show enhanced resistance to bollworms. Transgenic Res 20:665–673

Marchese A, Paing MM, Temple BRS, Trejo J (2008) G protein-coupled receptor sorting to endosomes and lysosomes. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 48:601–629

Marco MP, Fabriàs G, Lazaro G, Camps F (1996) Evidence for both humoral and neural regulation of sex pheromone biosynthesis in *Spodoptera littoralis*. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 31:157–167

Markovic D, Challiss RAJ (2009) Alternative splicing of G protein-coupled receptors: physiology and pathophysiology. Cell Mol Life Sci 66:3337–3352

Mas E, Llòria J, Quero C, Camps F, Fabriàs G (2000) Control of the biosynthetic pathway of *Sesamia nonagrioides* sex pheromone by the pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 30:455–459

Masler EP, Raina AK, Wagner RM, Kochansky JP (1994) Isolation and identification of a pheromonotropic neuropeptide from the brain-suboesophageal ganglion complex of *Lymantria dispar*: A new member of the PBAN family. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 24:829–836

Matsumoto S, Kitamura A, Nagasawa H, Katoaka H, Orikasa C, Mitsui T, Suzuki A (1990) Functional diversity of a neurohormone produced by the suboesophageal ganglion: molecular identity of melanization and reddish coloration hormone and pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide. J Insect Physiol 36:427–432

Matsumoto S, Yamashita O, Fónagy A (1992) Functional diversity of a pheromonotropic neuropeptide: induction of cuticular melanization and embryonic diapause in lepidopteran insects by *Pseudaletia* pheromonotropin. J Insect Physiol 38:847–851

Matsumoto S, Ozawa R, Nagamine T, Kim G-H, Uchiumi K, Shono T, Mitsui T (1995a) Intracellular transduction in the regulation of pheromone biosynthesis of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*: suggested involvement of calmodulin and phosphoprotein phosphatase. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 59:560–562

Matsumoto S, Ozawa R, Uchiumi K, Kurihara M, Mitsui T (1995b) Intracellular signal transduction of PBAN action in the common cutworm, *Spodoptera litura*: effects of pharmacological agents on sex pheromone production in vitro. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 25:1055–1059

Matsumoto S, Hull JJ, Ohnishi A, Moto K, Fónagy A (2007) Molecular mechanisms underlying sex pheromone production in the silkmoth, *Bombyx mori*: characterization of the molecular components involved in bombykol biosynthesis. J Insect Physiol 53:752–759

Matsumoto S, Ohnishi A, Lee J, Hull JJ (2010) Unraveling the pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide (PBAN) signal transduction cascade that regulates sex pheromone production in moths. Vitam Horm 83:425–445

Mazor M, Dunkelblum E (2005) Circadian rhythms of sexual behavior and pheromone titers of two closely related moth species *Autographa gamma* and *Cornutiplusia circumflexa1*. J Chem Ecol 31:2153–2168

McArdle CA, Franklin J, Green L, Hislop JN (2002) Signalling, cycling and desensitisation of gonadotrophin-releasing hormone receptors. J Endocrinol 173:1–11

McDowell DG, Burns NA, Parkes HC (1998) Localised sequence regions possessing high melting temperatures prevent the amplification of a DNA mimic in competitive PCR. Nucleic Acids Res 26:3340–3347

Meigs TE, Lyakhovich A (2012) G protein alpha 12. In: Choi S (ed) Encyclopedia of signaling molecules. Springer, New York, pp 689–698

Minneman KP (2001) Splice variants of G protein-coupled receptors. Mol Interv 1:108–116

Mita K, Kasahara M, Sasaki S, Nagayasu Y, Yamada T, Kanamori H, Namiki N, Kitagawa M, Yamashita H, Yasukochi Y, Kadono-Okuda K, Yamamoto K, Ajimura M, Ravikumar G, Shimomura M et al (2004) The genome sequence of silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. DNA Res 11:27–35

Mobarec JC, Sanchez R, Filizola M (2009) Modern homology modeling of G-protein coupled receptors: which structural template to use? J Med Chem 52:5207–5216

Moore CAC, Milano SK, Benovic JL (2007) Regulation of receptor trafficking by GRKs and arrestins. Annu Rev Physiol 69:451–482

Moto K, Yoshiga T, Yamamoto M, Takahashi S, Okano K, Ando T, Nakata T, Matsumoto S (2003) Pheromone gland-specific fatty-acyl reductase of the silkmoth, *Bombyx mori*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:9156–9161

Nachman RJ, Holman GM, Cook BJ (1986) Active fragments and analogs of the insect neuropeptide leucopyrokinin: structure-function studies. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 137:936–942

Nachman RJ, Roberts VA, Dyson HJ, Holman GM, Tainer JA (1991) Active conformation of an insect neuropeptide family. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 88:4518–4522

Nachman RJ, Kuniyoshi H, Roberts VA, Holman GM, Suzuki A (1993a) Active conformation of the pyrokinin/PBAN neuropeptide family for pheromone biosynthesis in the silkworm. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 193:661–666

Nachman RJ, Holman GM, Schoofs L, Yamashita O (1993b) Silkworm diapause induction activity of myotropic pyrokinin (FXPRLamide) insect neuropeptides. Peptides 14:1043–1048

Nachman RJ, Teal PE, Radel PA, Holman GM, Abernathy RL (1996) Potent pheromonotropic/myotropic activity of a carboranyl pseudotetrapeptide analogue of the insect pyrokinin/PBAN neuropeptide family administered via injection or topical application. Peptides 17:747–752

Nachman RJ, Ben-Aziz O, Davidovitch M, Zubrzak P, Isaac RE, Strey A, Reyes-Rangel G, Juaristi E, Williams HJ, Altstein M (2009a) Biostable beta-amino acid PK/PBAN analogs: agonist and antagonist properties. Peptides 30:2174–2181

Nachman RJ, Teal PEA, Aziz OB, Davidovitch M, Zubrzak P, Altstein M (2009b) An amphiphilic, PK/PBAN analog is a selective pheromonotropic antagonist that penetrates the cuticle of a heliothine insect. Peptides 30:616–621

Nachman R, Ben A, Davidovitch M, Kaczmarek K, Zabrocki J, Williams H, Strey A, Altstein M (2010) A novel dihydroimidazoline, trans-Pro mimetic analog is a selective PK/PBAN agonist. Front Biosci (Elite Ed) 2:195

Nagalakshmi VK, Applebaum SW, Azrielli A, Rafaeli A (2007) Female sex pheromone suppression and the fate of sex-peptide-like peptides in mated moths of *Helicoverpa armigera*. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 64:142–155

Nagasawa H, Kuniyoshi H, Arima R, Kawano T, Ando T, Suzuki A (1994) Structure and activity of *Bombyx* PBAN. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 25:261–270

Nusawardani T, Kroemer JA, Choi M-Y, Jurenka RA (2013) Identification and characterization of the pyrokinin/pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide family of G protein-coupled receptors from *Ostrinia nubilalis*. Insect Mol Biol 22:331–340

Nussenzveig DR, Heinflink M, Gershengorn MC (1993) Agonist-stimulated internalization of the thyrotropin-releasing hormone receptor is dependent on two domains in the receptor carboxyl terminus. J Biol Chem 268:2389–2392

Ohnishi A, Hull JJ, Matsumoto S (2006) Targeted disruption of genes in the *Bombyx mori* sex pheromone biosynthetic pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:4398–4403

Ohnishi A, Hull JJ, Kaji M, Hashimoto K, Lee JM, Tsuneizumi K, Suzuki T, Dohmae N, Matsumoto S (2011) Hormone signaling linked to silkmoth sex pheromone biosynthesis involves Ca2+/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II-mediated phosphorylation of the insect PAT family protein *Bombyx mori* lipid storage droplet protein-1 (BmLsd1). J Biol Chem 286:24101–24112

Ozawa R, Matsumoto S (1996) Intracellular signal transduction of PBAN action in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*: involvement of acyl CoA reductase. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 26:259–265

Ozawa R, Matsumoto S, Kim GH, Uchiumi K, Kurihara M, Shono T, Mitsui T (1995) Intracellular signal transduction of PBAN action in lepidopteran insects: inhibition of sex pheromone production by compactin, an HMG CoA reductase inhibitor. Regul Pept 57:319–327

Paing MM, Temple BRS, Trejo J (2004) A tyrosine-based sorting signal regulates intracellular trafficking of protease-activated receptor-1: multiple regulatory mechanisms for agonist-induced G protein-coupled receptor internalization. J Biol Chem 279:21938–21947

Pandey KN (2009) Functional roles of short sequence motifs in the endocytosis of membrane receptors. Front Biosci 14:5339–5360

Park Y, Kim YJ, Adams ME (2002) Identification of G protein-coupled receptors for *Drosophila* PRXamide peptides, CCAP, corazonin, and AKH supports a theory of ligand-receptor coevolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99:11423–11428

Patterson R, Vanrossum D, Nikolaidis N, Gill DL, Snyder SH (2005) Phospholipase C-γ: diverse roles in receptor-mediated calcium signaling. Trends Biochem Sci 30:688–697

Pawson AJ, Katz A, Sun YM, Lopes J, Illing N, Millar RP, Davidson JS (1998) Contrasting internalization kinetics of human and chicken gonadotropin-releasing hormone receptors mediated by C-terminal tail. J Endocrinol 156:R9–R12

Peeters MC, van Westen G, Li Q, Ijzerman AP (2011) Importance of the extracellular loops in G protein-coupled receptors for ligand recognition and receptor activation. Trends Pharmacol Sci 32:35–42
Picimbon JF (1996) La phéromone du mâle facilite l'acceptation du mâle par la femelle chez la pyrale du maïs (Lep., Pyralidae). CIFCA 96, First Francophone International Congress on animal behavior, June 9–13th, Laval University, Quebec, Canada
Picimbon JF (2017) A new view of genetic mutations. Australas Med J 10:701–715
Picimbon JF, Bécard JM, Sreng L, Clément JL, Gadenne C (1995) Juvenile hormone stimulates pheromonotropic brain factor release in the female black cutworm, *Agrotis ipsilon*. J Insect Physiol 41:377–382
Picimbon JF, Gadenne C, Bécard JM, Clément JL, Sreng L (1997) Sex pheromone of the French black cutworm moth, *Agrotis ipsilon* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae): identication and regulation of a multicomponent blend. J Chem Ecol 23:211–230
Pitino M, Coleman AD, Maffei ME, Ridout CJ, Hogenhout SA (2011) Silencing of aphid genes by dsRNA feeding from plants. PLoS One 6:e25709
Prakriya M, Lewis RS (2015) Store-operated calcium channels. Physiol Rev 95:1383–1436
Predel R, Nachman RJ (2006) The FXPRLamide (pyrokinin/PBAN) peptide family. In: Kastin AJ (ed) Handbook of biologically active peptides, 2nd edn. Academic, pp 207–212
Predel R, Nachman RJ, Gäde G (2001) Myostimulatory neuropeptides in cockroaches: structures, distribution, pharmacological activities, and mimetic analogs. J Insect Physiol 47:311–324
Price DRG, Gatehouse JA (2008) RNAi-mediated crop protection against insects. Trends Biotechnol 26:393–400
Rafaeli A (1994) Pheromonotropic stimulation of moth pheromone gland cultures in vitro. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 25:287–299
Rafaeli A (2009) Pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide (PBAN): regulatory role and mode of action. Gen Comp Endocrinol 162:69–78
Rafaeli A, Soroker V (1989) Cyclic AMP mediation of the hormonal stimulation of 14C-acetate incorporation by *Heliothis armigera* pheromone glands *in vitro*. Mol Cell Endocrinol 65:43–48
Rafaeli A, Gileadi C (1996a) Down-regulation of pheromone biosynthesis: cellular mechanisms of pheromonostatic responses. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 26:797–807
Rafaeli A, Gileadi C (1996b) Multi-signal transduction of moth pheromone biosynthesis-activating neuropeptide (PBAN) and its modulation: involvement of G-proteins? In: Krisch B, Mentlein R (eds) The peptidergic neuron. Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, pp 239–244
Rafaeli A, Gileadi C (1999) Synthesis and biological activity of a photoaffinity-biotinylated pheromone-biosynthesis activating neuropeptide (PBAN) analog. Peptides 20:787–794
Rafaeli A, Jurenka RA (2003) PBAN regulation of pheromone biosynthesis in female moths. In: Blomquist GJ, Vogt RG (eds) Insect pheromone biochemistry and molecular biology-the biosynthesis and detection of pheromones and plant volatiles. Elsevier Academic Press, SanDiego/London, pp 107–136
Rafaeli A, Bober R (2005) The effect of the juvenile hormone analog, fenoxycarb on the PBAN-receptor and pheromone production in adults of the moth *Helicoverpa armigera*: an "aging" hormone in adult females? J Insect Physiol 51:401–410
Rafaeli A, Hirsch J, Soroker V, Kamensky B, Raina AK (1991) Spatial and temporal distribution of pheromone biosynthesis-activating neuropeptide in *Helicoverpa* (*Heliothis*) *armigera* using RIA and in vitro bioassay. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 18:119–129
Rafaeli A, Soroker V, Hirsch J (1993) Influence of photoperiod and age on the competence of pheromone glands and on the distribution of immunoreactive PBAN in *Helicoverpa* spp. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 22:169–180
Rafaeli A, Zakharova T, Lapsker Z, Jurenka RA (2003) The identification of an age- and female-specific putative PBAN membrane-receptor protein in pheromone glands of *Helicoverpa armigera*: possible up-regulation by Juvenile Hormone. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 33:371–380
Rafaeli A, Bober R, Becker L, Choi MY, Fuerst EJ, Jurenka RA (2007) Spatial distribution and differential expression of the PBAN receptor in tissues of adult *Helicoverpa* spp. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Insect Mol Biol 16:287–293

Raina AK, Klun JA (1984) Brain factor control of sex pheromone production in the female corn earworm moth. Science 225:531–533

Raina AK, Kempe TG (1990) A pentapeptide of the C-terminal sequence of PBAN with pheromonotropic activity. Insect Biochem 20:849–851

Raina A, Jaffe H, Kempe T, Keim P, Blacher RW, Fales HM, Riley CT, Klun JA, Ridgway RL, Hayes DK (1989) Identification of a neuropeptide hormone that regulates sex pheromone production in female moths. Science 244:796–798

Ramaswamy S, Jurenka R, Linn C (1995) Evidence for the presence of a pheromonotropic factor in hemolymph and regulation of sex pheromone production in *Helicoverpa zea*. J Insect Physiol 41:501–508

Redondo PC, Rosado JA (2015) Store-operated calcium entry: unveiling the calcium handling signalplex. Int Rev Cell Mol Biol 316:183–226

Riddiford LM, Williams CM (1971) Role of the corpora cardiaca in the behavior of saturniid moths. I. Release of sex pheromone. Biol Bull 140:1–7

Roelofs WL, Liu W, Hao G, Jiao H, Rooney AP, Linn CE (2002) Evolution of moth sex pheromones via ancestral genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99:13621–13626

Rosén WQ (2002) Endogenous control of circadian rhythms of pheromone production in the turnip moth, *Agrotis segetum*. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 50:21–30

Royer L, McNeil JN (1992) Evidence for a male sex pheromone in the European corn borer, *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Can Entomol 124:113–116

Sabio M, Jones K, Topiol S (2008) Use of the X-ray structure of the beta2-adrenergic receptor for drug discovery. Part 2: identification of active compounds. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 18:5391–5395

Sato Y, Oguchi M, Menjo N, Imai K, Saito H, Ikeda M, Isobe M, Yamashita O (1993) Precursor polyprotein for multiple neuropeptides secreted from the suboesophageal ganglion of the silkworm *Bombyx mori*: characterization of the cDNA encoding the diapause hormone precursor and identification of additional peptides. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 90:3251–3255

Schal C, Fan Y, Blomquist GJ (2003) Regulation of pheromone biosynthesis, transport, and emission in cockroaches. In: Blomquist GJ, Vogt RG (eds) Insect pheromone biochemistry and molecular biology-The biosynthesis and detection of pheromones and plant odor volatiles. Elsevier Academic Press, SanDiego/London, pp 283–322

Scherkenbeck J, Zdobinsky T (2009) Insect neuropeptides: structures, chemical modifications and potential for insect control. Bioorg Med Chem 17:4071–4084

Seck T, Pellegrini M, Florea AM, Grignoux V, Baron R, Mierke DF, Horne WC (2005) The delta e13 isoform of the calcitonin receptor forms a six-transmembrane domain receptor with dominant-negative effects on receptor surface expression and signaling. Mol Endocrinol 19:2132–2144

Seybold SJ, Vanderwel D (2003) Biosynthesis and endocrine regulation of pheromone production in the Coleoptera. In: Blomquist GJ, Vogt RG (eds) Insect pheromone biochemistry and molecular biology-The biosynthesis and detection of pheromones and plant odor volatiles. Elsevier Academic Press, SanDiego/London, pp 137–200

Shalev AH, Altstein M (2015) Pheromonotropic and melanotropic PK/PBAN receptors: differential ligand-receptor interactions. Peptides 63:81–89

Shiomi K, Takasu Y, Kunii M, Tsuchiya R, Mukaida M, Kobayashi M, Sezutsu H, Takahama MI, Mizoguchi A (2015) Disruption of diapause induction by TALEN-based gene mutagenesis in relation to a unique neuropeptide signaling pathway in *Bombyx*. Sci Rep 5:1–10

Slice LW, Wong HC, Sternini C, Grady EF, Bunnett NW, Walsh JH (1994) The conserved NPXnY motif present in the gastrin-releasing peptide receptor is not a general sequestration sequence. J Biol Chem 269:21755–21761

Soroker V, Rafaeli A (1989) In vitro hormonal stimulation of [14C] acetate incorporation by *Heliothis armigera* pheromone glands. Insect Biochem 19:1–5

Soroker V, Rafaeli A (1995) Multi-signal transduction of the pheromonotropic response by pheromone gland incubations of *Helicoverpa armigera*. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 25:1–9

Stay B, Tobe S (2007) The role of allatostatins in juvenile hormone synthesis in insects and crustaceans. Annu Rev Entomol 52:277–299

Stern PS, Yu L, Choi MY, Jurenka RA, Becker L, Rafaeli A (2007) Molecular modeling of the binding of pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide to its receptor. J Insect Physiol 53:803–818

Subchev M, Jurenka RA (2001) Sex pheromone levels in pheromone glands and identification of the pheromone and hydrocarbons in the hemolymph of the moth *Scoliopteryx libatrix* L. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 47:35–43

Sun JS, Zhang TY, Zhang QR, Xu WH (2003) Effect of the brain and suboesophageal ganglion on pupal development in *Helicoverpa armigera* through regulation of FXPRLamide neuropeptides. Regul Pept 116:163–171

Tang JD, Charlton RE, Jurenka RA, Wolf WA, Phelan PL, Sreng L, Roelofs WL (1989) Regulation of pheromone biosynthesis by a brain hormone in two moth species. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 86:1806–1810

Taning CNT, Van Eynde B, Yu N, Ma S, Smagghe G (2017) CRISPR/Cas9 in insects: applications, best practices and biosafety concerns. J Insect Physiol 98:245–257

Teal PEA, Nachman RJ (1997) Prolonged pheromonotropic activity of pseudopeptide mimics of insect pyrokinin neuropeptides after topical application or injection into a moth. Regul Pept 72:161–167

Teal PEA, Nachman RJ (2002) A brominated-fluorene insect neuropeptide analog exhibits pyrokinin/PBAN-specific toxicity for adult females of the tobacco budworm moth. Peptides 23:801–806

Teal PEA, Tumlinson JH (1984) (Z)-11-Hexadecen-1-ol: a behavioral modifying chemical present in the pheromone gland of female *Heliothis zea* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Can Entomol 116:777–779

Teal PEA, Davis NT, Meredith JA, Christensen TA, Hildebrand JG (1999) Role of the ventral nerve cord and terminal abdominal ganglion in the regulation of sex pheromone production in the tobacco budworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Ann Entomol Soc Am 92:891–901

Terenius O et al (2011) RNA interference in Lepidoptera: an overview of successful and unsuccessful studies and implications for experimental design. J Insect Physiol 57:231–245

Terhzaz S, Rosay P, Goodwin SF, Veenstra JA (2007) The neuropeptide SIFamide modulates sexual behavior in Drosophila. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 352:305–310

Terhzaz S, Teets NM, Cabrero P, Henderson L, Ritchie MG, Nachman RJ, Dow JAT, Denlinger DA, Davies SA (2015) Insect capa neuropeptides impact desiccation and cold tolerance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:2882–2887

Thomas WG, Baker KM, Motel TJ, Thekkumkara TJ (1995) Angiotensin II receptor endocytosis involves two distinct regions of the cytoplasmic tail. A role for residues on the hydrophobic face of a putative amphipathic helix. J Biol Chem 270:22153–22159

Tillman JA, Seybold SJ, Jurenka RA, Blomquist GJ (1999) Insect pheromones – an overview of biosynthesis and endocrine regulation. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 29:481–514

Tsfadia O, Azrielli A, Falach L, Zada A, Roelofs W, Rafaeli A (2008) Pheromone biosynthetic pathways: PBAN-regulated rate-limiting steps and differential expression of desaturase genes in moth species. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 38:552–567

Uehara H, Senoh Y, Yoneda K, Kato Y, Shiomi K (2011) An FXPRLamide neuropeptide induces seasonal reproductive polyphenism underlying a life-history tradeoff in the tussock moth. PLoS One 6:e24213

Van Hiel MB, Van Loy T, Poels J, Vandersmissen HP, Verlinden H, Badisco L, Vanden Broeck J (2010) Neuropeptide receptors as possible targets for development of insect pest control agents. Adv Exp Med Biol 692:211–226

Van Wielendaele P, Badisco L, Vanden Broeck J (2013) Neuropeptidergic regulation of reproduction in insects. Gen Comp Endocrinol 188:23–34

Veenstra JA (2000) Mono- and dibasic proteolytic cleavage sites in insect neuroendocrine peptide precursors. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 43:49–63

Wakamura S, Struble DL, Matsuura H, Sato M, Kegasawa K (1986) Sex pheromone of the black cutworm moth, *Agrotis ipsilon* Hufnagel (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae): attractant synergist and improved formulation. Appl Entomol Zool 21:299–304

Wang YS, Kempe TG, Raina AK, Mazzocchi PH (1994) Conformation of a biologically active C-terminal hexapeptide analog of the pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide by NMR spectroscopy. Int J Pept Protein Res 43:277–283

Watanabe K, Hull JJ, Niimi T, Imai K, Matsumoto S, Yaginuma T, Kataoka H (2007) FXPRL-amide peptides induce ecdysteroidogenesis through a G-protein coupled receptor expressed in the prothoracic gland of *Bombyx mori*. Mol Cell Endocrinol 273:51–58

Webster RP, Cardé RT (1984) The effects of mating, exogenous juvenile hormone and a juvenile hormone analogue on pheromone titre, calling and oviposition in the omnivorous leafroller moth (*Platynota stultana*). J Insect Physiol 30:113–118

Wedell N (2005) Female receptivity in butterflies and moths. J Exp Biol 208:3433–3440

Wei W, Yamamoto M, Asato T, Fujii T, Pu G-Q, Ando T (2004) Selectivity and neuroendocrine regulation of the precursor uptake by pheromone glands from hemolymph in geometrid female moths, which secrete epoxyalkenyl sex pheromones. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 34:1215–1224

Wicker-Thomas C, Guenachi I, Keita YF (2009) Contribution of oenocytes and pheromones to courtship behaviour in *Drosophila*. BMC Biochem 10:21

Witzgall P, Stelinski L, Gut L, Thomson D (2008) Codling moth management and chemical ecology. Annu Rev Entomol 53:503–522

Witzgall P, Kirsch P, Cork A (2010) Sex pheromones and their impact on pest management. J Chem Ecol 36:80–100

Woodhead AP, Stay B, Seidel SL, Khan MA, Tobe SS (1989) Primary structure of four allatostatins: neuropeptide inhibitors of juvenile hormone biosynthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 86:5997–6001

Xu WH, Denlinger DL (2003) Molecular characterization of prothoracicotropic hormone and diapause hormone in *Heliothis virescens* during diapause, and a new role for diapause hormone. Insect Mol Biol 12:509–516

Xu WH, Sato Y, Ikeda M, Yamashita O (1995) Molecular characterization of the gene encoding the precursor protein of diapause hormone and pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide (DH-PBAN) of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* and its distribution in some insects. Biochim Biophys Acta 1261:83–89

Xuan N, Bu X, Liu YY, Yang X, Liu GX, Fan ZX, Bi YP, Yang LQ, Lou QN, Rajashekar B, Leppik G, Kasvandik S, Picimbon JF (2014) Molecular evidence of RNA editing in *Bombyx* chemosensory protein family. PLoS One 9:e86932

Xuan N, Rajashekar B, Kasvandik S, Picimbon JF (2016) Structural components of chemosensory protein mutations in the silkworm moth, *Bombyx mori*. Agri Gene 2:53–58

Yaginuma T, Niimi T (2015) FXPRLamide peptide family. In: Takei Y, Ando H, Tsutsui K (eds) Handbook of hormones: comparative endocrinology for basic and clinical research. Academic, pp 395–402

Yang M, Wang W, Zhong M, Philippi A, Lichtarge O, Sanborn BM (2002) Lysine 270 in the third intracellular domain of the oxytocin receptor is an important determinant for G alpha(q) coupling specificity. Mol Endocrinol 16:814–823

Yin D, Gavi S, Wang HY, Malbon CC (2004) Probing receptor structure/function with chimeric G-protein-coupled receptors. Mol Pharmacol 65:1323–1332

Yoshiga T, Yokoyama N, Imai N, Ohnishi A, Moto K, Matsumoto S (2002) cDNA cloning of calcineurin heterosubunits from the pheromone gland of the silkmoth, *Bombyx mori*. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 32:477–486

Zammit VA, Easom RA (1987) Regulation of hepatic HMG-CoA reductase in vivo by reversible phosphorylation. Biochim Biophys Acta 927:223–228

Zandawala M, Hamoudi Z, Lange AB, Orchard I (2015) Adipokinetic hormone signalling system in the Chagas disease vector, *Rhodnius prolixus*. Insect Mol Biol 24:264–276

Závodská R, von Wowern G, Löfstedt C, Rosén WQ, Sauman I (2009) The release of a pheromonotropic neuropeptide, PBAN, in the turnip moth *Agrotis segetum,* exhibits a circadian rhythm. J Insect Physiol 55:435–440

Zdárek J, Nachman RJ (1997) Insect neuropeptides of the pyrokinin/PBAN family accelerate pupariation in the fleshfly (*Sarcophaga bullata*) larvae. Ann N Y Acad Sci 814:67–72

Zdárek J, Nachman RJ, Hayes TK (1998) Structure-activity relationships of insect neuropeptides of the pyrokinin/PBAN family and their selective action on pupariation in fleshfly (*Neobelleria bullata*) larvae. Eur J Entomol 95:9–16

Zdárek J, Myška P, Zemek R, Nachman RJ (2002) Mode of action of an insect neuropeptide leucopyrokinin (LPK) on pupariation in fleshfly (*Sarcophaga bullata*) larvae (Diptera: Sarcophagidae). J Insect Physiol 48:951–959

Zdárek J, Verleyen P, Mareš M, Dolečková L, Nachman RJ (2004) Comparison of the effects of pyrokinins and related peptides identified from arthropods on pupariation behaviour in flesh fly (*Sarcophaga bullata*) larvae (Diptera: Sarcophagidae). J Insect Physiol 50:233–239

Zeltser I, Gilon C, Ben-Aziz O, Schefler I, Altstein M (2000) Discovery of a linear lead antagonist to the insect pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide (PBAN). Peptides 21:1457–1465

Zhang Q, Piermarini PM, Nachman RJ, Denlinger DL (2014a) Molecular identification and expression analysis of a diapause hormone receptor in the corn earworm, *Helicoverpa zea*. Peptides 53:250–257

Zhang S, Liu X, Zhu B, Yin X, Du M, Song Q, An S (2014b) Identification of differentially expressed genes in the pheromone glands of mated and virgin *Bombyx mori* by digital gene expression profiling. PLoS One 9:e111003

Zhang TY, Kang L, Zhang ZF, Xu WH (2004a) Identification of a POU factor involved in regulating the neuron-specific expression of the gene encoding diapause hormone and pheromone biosynthesis-activating neuropeptide in *Bombyx mori*. Biochem J 380:255–263

Zhang TY, Sun JS, Zhang LB, Shen JL, Xu WH (2004b) Cloning and expression of the cDNA encoding the FXPRL family of peptides and a functional analysis of their effect on breaking pupal diapause in *Helicoverpa armigera*. J Insect Physiol 50:25–33

Zhang TY, Sun JS, Zhang QR, Xu J, Jiang RJ, Xu WH (2004c) The diapause hormone-pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide gene of *Helicoverpa armigera* encodes multiple peptides that break, rather than induce, diapause. J Insect Physiol 50:547–554

Zhang TY, Sun JS, Liu WY, Kang L, Shen JL, Xu WH (2005) Structural characterization and transcriptional regulation of the gene encoding diapause hormone and pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide in the cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera*. Biochim Biophys Acta 1728:44–52

Zhao CH, Li Q (1996) Control of sex pheromone biosynthetic pathway by PBAN in asian corn borer *Ostrinia furnacalis*. Insect Sci 3:354–367

Zhao CH, Li Q, Gao W (2002) Stimulation of sex pheromone production by PBAN-like substance in the pine caterpillar moth, *Dendrolimus punctatus* (Lepidoptera: Lasiocampidae). Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 49:137–148

Zhao JY, Xu WH, Kang L (2004) Functional analysis of the SGNP I in the pupal diapause of the oriental tobacco budworm, *Helicoverpa assulta* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Regul Pept 118:25–31

Zheng L, Lytle C, Njauw CN, Altstein M, Martins-Green M (2007) Cloning and characterization of the pheromone biosynthesis activating neuropeptide receptor gene in *Spodoptera littoralis* larvae. Gene 393:20–30

Zhou XF, Coll M, Appelbaum SA (2000) Effect of temperature and photoperiod on juvenile hormone biosynthesis and sexual maturation in the cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera*: implications for life history traits. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 30:863–868

Zmijewski MA, Slominski AT (2009) CRF1 receptor splicing in epidermal keratinocytes: potential biological role and environmental regulations. J Cell Physiol 218:593–602