

Mixed Connective Tissue Disease

Marta Mosca, Rosaria Talarico and Stefano Bombardieri

Abstract Mixed connective tissue disease (MCTD) is a condition characterized by the overlap of features of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), systemic sclerosis (SSc) and polydermatomyositis (PM/DM) in association with high titers of antibodies against a ribonuclear protein (RNP). Clinical manifestations of MCTD are extremely variable and disease onset may be undifferentiated.

Raynaud phenomenon, swollen fingers, arthritis, myositis, esophageal dysfunction and pulmonary hypertension represent the most frequent clinical manifestations. In the original description, MCTD was described as benign conditions characterized by the absence of renal and neurological manifestations and a good response to corticosteroid therapy. This observation has not been confirmed by studies that have shown that one-third of MCTD patients have a severe disease and require corticosteroid and immunosuppressive therapy. The major cause of death in these patients is pulmonary hypertension, followed by infections.

A debate is still open on whether MCTD represent a distinct clinical entity or rather an overall between different established connective tissue diseases (CTDs). The answer to this question might become clear as more data on disease pathogenesis will be known.

Keywords Mixed connective tissue disease · classification criteria · anti-U1RNP antibodies

Introduction

Mixed connective tissue disease (MCTD) was first described by Sharp in 1972 as a condition characterized by the overlap of features of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), systemic sclerosis (SSc) and polydermatomyositis (PM/DM) in association with high titers of antibodies against a ribonuclear protein (RNP) (1). A debate is still open on whether MCTD constitutes a distinct clinical entity or rather represents the overlap of well-defined conditions or a “bridge” between different connective tissue diseases (CTDs) within the spectrum of systemic autoimmunity (2, 3).

Clinical Manifestations

The clinical manifestations of MCTD at onset and during the follow-up are reported in Table 8.1. The onset of MCTD is variable and is often characterized by the presence of Raynaud phenomenon or arthritis (4, 5, 6, 7).

Raynaud phenomenon is observed in up to 90% of patients and is included in all the different classification

criteria. Half of the patients have nailfold capillary changes similar to those found in SSc (8).

Joint involvement is common and is characterized by symmetric arthritis of hands and wrists. In 20% of the patients, an erosive arthritis as well as a tendency to develop Jaccoud arthritis has been observed (4, 5).

Infrequent at disease onset, myositis is observed in up to 70% of the patients during the follow-up. Muscle involvement in MCTD seems less severe than that of PM/DM and more responsive to therapy. Histologically, myositis in MCTD resembles DM more closely. Many patients present with diffuse myalgias, which should be distinguished from myositis (4, 5, 6, 9).

Pulmonary involvement is primarily represented by pulmonary hypertension, which is the primary cause of death in these patients (10, 11, 12). Pulmonary hypertension appears to be correlated with the presence of vasculopathy of pulmonary arteries rather than interstitial lung disease. Interstitial lung disease may also be observed; in a recent study of 144 MCTD patients, chest X rays were abnormal in 91% of patients, of whom 66.6% had active interstitial lung disease detected by high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) (11).

TABLE 8.1. Clinical manifestations of mixed connective tissue disease (MCTD) patients at onset and during the disease course.

	At onset (%)	Cumulative (%)
Raynaud's phenomenon	74	90–96
Arthritis/arthralgias	68	95–96; erosions 20
Esophageal dysfunction	9	66–80
Pulmonary dysfunction	0	66–75
Swollen hands	45	66–75
Sclerodactyly	11	40–50
Myositis	2	25–51
Skin rash	13	50–53
Leukopenia	9	53
Pleuritis/pericarditis	19	30–43
Pulmonary hypertension	0	23–30
Diffuse sclerosis	0	19
Nervous system disease	2	15–17
Renal disease	0	10–11

Two-thirds of MCTD patients develop swollen hands with sausage fingers, and some patients develop sclerodactyly; however, an extensive skin sclerosis seems rare. Other cutaneous manifestations are represented by skin rashes similar to subacute or discoid cutaneous lupus or DM (Gottron papules and heliotrope rash). Some patients may also present livedo reticularis and telangiectasias (4, 5, 6).

Esophageal dysfunction has been observed in up to 75% of patients, but may be asymptomatic. Dysphagia has been reported in average 38% of patients (4, 5, 6).

The most frequent form of cardiac involvement is pericarditis, which usually does not cause tamponade. In a study of 16 MCTD patients, noninvasive assessment showed evidence of cardiac abnormalities in 38% of patients and evidence of pericarditis in 25% of patients (13).

Renal involvement is not frequent; renal manifestations include glomerulonephritis, nephrotic syndrome and scleroderma renal crisis. Mesangial and membranoproliferative lesions have been observed. Neurological involvement is rare and is represented by trigeminal neuralgia and vascular headache. Hematological manifestations are represented by cytopenias, particularly leukopenia and thrombocytopenia (4, 5, 6).

Histologically, MCTD is characterized by a widespread vasculopathy that may involve small- and medium-sized vessels. This vasculopathy differs from that observed in SSc as it is less associated with fibrosis and presents immunoglobulin and complement deposits in the vessel walls (4).

Laboratory Abnormalities

MCTD is associated with the presence of high titers of anti-U1RNP antibodies specifically directed against the proteins A, C or 70 K complexed with U1RNA (1, 3, 5, 7, 14). Anti-RNP antibodies, however, are not specific for MCTD as they are observed in other CTDs also such as

SLE, rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and SSc. Although anti-U1RNP antibodies are crucial for the classification of MCTD, their pathogenetic role in the development of the disease has not been established yet.

Anti-Ro, anti-La and anti-phospholipid antibodies have also been described in MCTD patients with lower frequency.

HLA Type and MCTD

Different studies have shown an association between MCTD and the specific HLA haplotype HLA DR4 (3, 15, 16). In a study comparing MCTD patients, SLE patients and healthy controls, HLA DRw4 was observed in 45% of MCTD patients, 14 of SLE patients and 18% of controls (15). Some authors have suggested the presence of an association between HLA DR4 and the occurrence of erosive arthritis in MCTD patients.

Prognosis

In the original description by Sharp and colleagues, MCTD was described as a rather benign condition characterized by a good response to corticosteroid therapy (1). However, further follow-up of the originally described patients and long-term data on other cohorts of patients have not confirmed this description (4, 5, 17, 18). In a study assessing the long-term outcome of 47 MCTD patients, 38% had continued active disease requiring corticosteroid or immunosuppressive therapy or had died (4). It has been estimated that about one-third of MCTD patients have a favorable outcome, one-third have a more aggressive disease, and the remaining have a good outcome but require continuous therapy with either corticosteroids or immunosuppressive drugs.

The main causes of death in MCTD patients are represented by pulmonary hypertension, respiratory insufficiency, heart failure and infections.

Diagnostic Criteria

Three different sets of classification criteria for MCTD have been validated and are used in the literature (Table 8.2) (1, 19, 20). The original Sharp criteria have been found to have a high sensitivity, but a low specificity. The sensitivity of Alarcon-Segovia criteria varies between 62 and 96% with a high specificity (86–96%), similar to Kasukawa criteria (88% sensitivity and 65.5–87% specificity). Alarcon-Segovia criteria appear the best classification criteria for MCTD (21, 22).

TABLE 8.2. Three different sets of classification criteria for mixed connective tissue disease (MCTD).

Sharp et al. 1972	Alarcon-Segovia et al.	Kasukawa et al.
Major	Serologic	Common symptoms
Myositis, severe	Anti-U1 RNP hemagglutination titer $\geq 1:1600$	Raynaud's phenomenon
Pulmonary involvement		Swollen fingers or hands
Raynaud's phenomenon	Clinical	Anti-RNP antibodies
Swollen hands or sclerodactyly	Edema of the hands	Mixed symptoms
Anti-ENA $\geq 1:10,000$ and anti-U1RNP positive and anti-Sm negative	Synovitis	1. SLE-like features
	Myositis	polyarthritis
Minor	Raynaud's phenomenon	lymphadenopathy
Alopecia	acrosclerosis	facial erythema
Leukopenia		pericarditis or pleuritis
Anemia		leuko-thrombocytopenia
Pleuritis		2. SSc-like symptoms
Pericarditis		sclerodactyly
Arthritis		pulmonary fibrosis, restrictive changes of lung, reduced diffusion capacity
Trigeminal neuropathy		hypomotility or dilatation capacity
Malar rash		3. PM-like findings
Thrombocytopenia		muscle weakness
Mild myositis		elevated serum levels of muscle enzymes
History of swollen hands		Myogenic alterations on EMG
Anti-U1RNP antibodies titer $\geq 1:4000$ AND at least 4 major criteria or anti-U1RNP antibodies titer $\geq 1:1000$ AND 2 major criteria among 1,2, 3 AND 2 minor criteria	Serological criteria AND at least 3 clinical criteria, including myositis or synovitis	Anti-U1-RNP AND 1 of the 2 common symptoms AND 1 or more of the mixed symptoms in at least 2 categories

Is MCTD a Distinct Clinical Entity?

Since its description debate still exists on whether MCTD represents a distinct clinical entity or the overlap between other CTDs. Some authors have proposed to consider MCTD as an undifferentiated condition waiting to evolve to more definite CTD (2, 3).

The criticisms to the concept of MCTD are the following: (a) MCTD is not the benign condition initially described, (b) patients may be diagnosed as having other CTD or will develop other CTD during the follow-up, (c) anti-U1RNP antibodies can be observed in other CTD also, (4) the clinical picture of MCTD has been observed in the absence of anti-U1RNP antibodies also, and (5) there are no data suggesting a pathogenetic role for anti-U1RNP antibodies.

Nevertheless, it is certain that MCTDs have peculiar clinical, serological, histological and genetic features. Whether these are sufficient to constitute a distinct clinical entity is difficult to define and probably only a better understanding of pathogenesis and etiology of autoimmune diseases might help in solving this issue (6).

References

1. Sharp GC, Irvin WS, Tan EM, Gould RG, Holman HR. Mixed connective tissue disease – an apparently distinct rheumatic disease syndrome associated with a specific antibody to an extractable nuclear antigen (ENA). *Am J Med* 1972; 52: 148–159.
2. Aringer M, Steiner G, Smolen JS. Does mixed connective tissue disease exist? Yes. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2005; 31: 411–420.
3. Swanton J, Isenberg D. Mixed connective tissue disease: still crazy after all these years. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2005; 31: 421–436.
4. Burdt MA, Hoffman RW, Deutscher SL, Wang GS, Johnson JC, Sharp GC. Long term outcome in mixed connective tissue disease. Longitudinal clinical and serologic findings. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999; 42: 899–909.
5. Lundberg I, Hedfors E. Clinical course of patients with anti-RNP antibodies. A prospective study of 32 patients. *J Rheumatol* 1991; 18: 1511–1519.
6. Maddison PJ. Mixed connective tissue disease: overlap syndromes. *Baillieres Clin Rheumatol* 2000; 14: 111–124.
7. Kasukawa R. Mixed connective tissue disease. *Internal Med* 1999; 38: 386–393.
8. Grader-Beck T, Wigley FM. Raynaud's phenomenon in mixed connective tissue disease. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2005; 31: 465–481.
9. Hall S, Hanrahan P. Muscle involvement in mixed connective tissue disease. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2005; 31: 509–517.
10. Bull TM, Fagan KA, Badesch DB. Pulmonary vascular manifestations of mixed connective tissue disease. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2005; 31: 451–464.
11. Bodolay E, Szekanecz Z, Devenyi K, et al. Evaluation of lung disease in mixed connective tissue disease (MCTD). *Rheumatology* 2005; 44: 656–661.
12. Vegh J, Szodoray P, Kappelmayer J, Csipo I, Udvary M, Lakos G, et al. Clinical and immunoserological characteristics of mixed connective tissue disease associated with pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Scan J Immunol* 2006; 64: 69–76.

13. Oetgen WJ, Mutter ML, Lawless OJ, Davia JE. Cardiac abnormalities in mixed connective tissue disease. *Chest* 1983; 83: 185–188.
14. Greidiger EL, Hoffman RW. Autoantibodies in the pathogenesis of MCTD. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2005; 31: 437–450.
15. Ruuska P, Hameenkorpi R, Forsberg S, et al. Differences in HLA antigens between patients with mixed connective tissue disease and systemic lupus erythematosus. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1992; 51: 52–55.
16. Gendi NST, Welsh KI, Van Venrooij WJ, Vancheeswaran R, Gilroy J, Black CM. HLA type as predictor of mixed connective tissue disease differentiation. *Arthritis Rheum* 1995; 38: 259–266.
17. Nimelstein SH, Brody S, McShane D, Holman NR. Mixed connective tissue disease: a subsequent evaluation of the original 25 patients. *Medicine* 1980; 59: 239–248.
18. Lundberg IE. The prognosis of mixed connective tissue disease. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2005; 31: 535–547.
19. Kasukawa R, Tojo T, Miyawaki S. Preliminary diagnostic criteria for classification of MCTD. Kasukawa R, Sharp GC eds. *Mixed Connective Tissue Diseases*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1987: pp. 23–32.
20. Alarcon-Segovia D, Villareal M. Classification and diagnostic criteria for mixed connective tissue diseases. In: *Mixed Connective Tissue Diseases and Antinuclear Antibodies*. Kasukawa R, Sharp GC. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1987: pp. 23–32.
21. Amigues JM, Cantagrel A, Abbal M, and Mazieres B. Comparative study of 4 diagnosis sets for mixed connective tissue disease in patients with anti-rnp antibodies. *J Rheumatol* 1998; 25: 2055–2062.
22. Alarcon-Segovia D and Cardiel MH. Comparison between 3 diagnostic criteria for mixed connective tissue disease. *J Rheumatol* 1989; 16: 328–334.