Ribosome-Inactivating Proteins

Fiorenzo Stirpe

INTRODUCTION

The designation of *ribosome-inactivating proteins* (RIPs; reviews in refs. 1-4) has been applied to plant proteins that enzymatically damage ribosomes in a catalytic manner, thus inhibiting protein synthesis (Table 1). The first identified RIPs were two potent toxins, known for more than a century: ricin, from the seeds of *Ricinus communis*, and abrin, from the seeds of *Abrus precatorius*.

Subsequently, many more RIPs were identified; they can be divided into type 1 RIPs, single-chain proteins of approx 30 kDa, and type 2 RIPs, consisting of two peptide chains, an A chain of about 30 kDa with enzymatic activity, linked to a B chain of about 35 kDa with lectin activity, capable of binding to oligosaccharides containing galactose. A category of type 3 RIPs has been proposed for a maize b-32 RIP, which is synthesized as a proenzyme and is activated after the removal of a short internal peptide segment leaves two segments of 16.5 and 8.5 kDa (5), and for JIP60, an RIP from barley in which a segment similar to type 1 RIP is combined with another segment of similar size but no known function (6). It seems unjustified to define a new class of proteins on the basis of two disparate cases, and for the time being, it seems preferable to consider these two proteins as peculiar type 1 RIPs. A schematic representation of RIP structure is shown in Fig. 1.

Type 2 RIPs can bind to galactose residues on cell membranes, thus agglutinating the cells. Furthermore, this binding leads to entry of the molecule into the cells. Ricin, which contains mannose, also is taken up by Kupffer cells and other macrophages via mannose receptors (7–9). The entry into cells and the intracellular fate of type 2 RIPs and of ricin A chain has been well studied. It has been found that they are transported to the Golgi

Table 1 Purified Ribosome-Inactivating Protein	ns
Family, genus, species, and plant tissues	Name
Type 1 ribosome-inactivating proteins	
Angiospermae	
Aizoaceae	
Mesembryanthemum crystallinum	
cDNA	
Amarantaceae	
Amaranthus viridis	
Leaves	Amaranthin
Asparagaceae	
Asparagus officinalis	
Seeds	Asparins
Basellaceae	
Basella rubra	
Seeds	
Caprifoliaceae	
Sambucus ebulus	
Leaves	Ebulitins
Sambucus nigra	
Bark	Nigritin
Caryophyllaceae	
Agrostemma githago	
Seeds	Agrostins
Dianthus barbatus	
Leaves	Dianthin 29
Dianthus caryophyllus	
Leaves	Dianthins
Dianthus sinensis	
Leaves	
Gypsophila elegans	
Leaves	Gypsophilin
Lychnis chalcedonica	
Seeds	Lychnin
Petrocoptis glaucifolia	
Whole plant	Petroglaucin
Petrocoptis grandiflora	
Whole plant	Petrograndin
Saponaria ocymoides	
Seeds	Ocymoidin
	(continued on next page)

Saponaria officinalis	
Leaves, roots, seeds	Saporins
Stellaria aquatica	
Leaves	Stellarin
Vaccaria pyramidata	
Seeds	
Chenopodiaceae	
β vulgaris	
Seedling cDNA	Betavulgin
Spinacia oleracea	Spinacia oleracea protein
leaves	(SOP)
Cucurbitaceae	
Bryonia dioica	
Leaves, roots	Bryodins
Citrullus colocynthis	
Seeds	Colocins
Cucurbita moschata	
Sarcocarp	Cucurmosin
Cucurbita pepo	
Sarcocoarp	Pepopcin
Luffa acutangola	
Seeds	Luffaculin
Luffa cylindrica	
Seeds	Luffins
Marah oreganus	
Seeds	MOR
Momordica balsamina	
Seeds	Momordin II
Momordica charantia	
Seeds	Momordins
Momordica cochinchinensis	
Seeds	Momorcochin
Sechium edule	
Seeds	Sechiumin
Trichosanthes sp. Bac Kan 8-98	Trichobakin
Trichosanthes anguina	
Seeds	Trichoanguin
Trichosanthes cucumeroides	
Tubers	β-Trichosanthin
Trichosanthes kirilowii	
Roots, seeds	Trichosanthins, trichokirin, Trichosanthins antiviral proteins (TAP 29)

(continued on next page)

Tubers	Trichomaglin
Euphorbiaceae	
Gelonium multiflorum	
Seeds	Gelonin
Hura crepitans	
Latex	H. crepitans RIP
Manihot palmata	
Seeds	Mapalmin
Manihot utilissima	
Seeds	Manutins
Iridaceae	
Iris hollandica	
Bulbs	Iris RIPs (IRIPs)
Lamiaceae	
Clerodendron aculeatum	
Leaves cDNA	
Lauraceae	
Cinnamomum camphora	
Seeds	Camphorin
Liliaceae	L
Asparagus officinalis	
Seeds	Asparins
Muscari armeniacum	
Bulbs	Musarmins
Yucca recurvifolia	YLP
leaves	
Nyctaginaceae	
Bougainvillea spectabilis	Bouganin
Leaves	0
Mirabilis expansa	
Roots, cell cultures	ME
Mirabilis ialapa	<u>1</u>
Seeds, roots, tissue culture	Mirabilis antiviral protein (MAP)
Phytolaccaceae	······
Phytolacca americana	
Leaves, seeds, tissue culture, roots	Pokeweed antiviral protein (PAP)
Phytolacca dioica	
Seeds, leaves	Phytolacca dioica RIPs
Phytolacca dodecandra	
Leaves tissue culture	Dodecandrins
Phytolacca insularis	Dodeeunarinis
Leaves cDNA	Insularin (Phytolacca insularis
	protein [PIP])
Poaceae	
	(continued on next page)

Ribosome-Inactivating Proteins

Hordeum vulgare	
Seeds	Barley RIP
Secale cereale	
Seeds	Secale cereale RIP
Triticum aestivum	
Germ, seeds	Tritins
Zea mays	
Seeds	Maize RIP
Sambucaceae	
Sambucus ebulus	
Leaves	Ebulitin
Cryptogamia	
Laminaria japonica	
Leaves	Lamjapin
Mushroomsa	
Volvariella volvacea	V. volvacea RIP
Fruiting bodies	
Type 2 ribosome-inactivating proteins	
Toxic ribosome-inactivating proteins	3
Funhorbiaceae	
Ricinus communis	
Seeds	Ricins Ricinus applutinin
Fabaceae	Riems, Riemas aggiutinin
Abrus precatorius	
Seeds	Abrins
Passifloraceae	1011113
Adenia digitata	
Roots	Modeccins
Adenia volkensii	Wodecenis
Roots	Volkensin
Viscaceae	Voncenshi
Phoradendron californicum	
Leaves	P californicum lectin
Viscum album	
Leaves	Mistletoe lectin L viscumin
Nontoxic ribosome-inactivating proteins	
Cucurbitaceae	,
Momordica charantia	
Seeds	M charantia lectin
Euphorbiaceae	
Ricinus communis	R. communis agglutinin
Iridaceae	
Iris hollandica	
Bulbs	(RA

(continued on next page)

Lauraceae	
Cinnamomum camphora	
Seeds	Cinnamomin
Cinnamomum porrectum	
Seeds	Porrectin
Liliaceae	
Polygonatum multiflorum	
Leaves	PM RIP
Ranunculaceae	
Eranthis hyemalis	
Bulbs	EHL
Sambucaceae	
Sambucus ebulus	
Leaves	Ebulin 1

^aThe isolation of RIPs from other mushrooms (*Boletus affinis, Flammulina velutipes, Hypsizigus marmoreus, Lentinus edodes, Lyophyllum shimeji*, and *Pleurotus tuber-regium*) has been reported. However, these proteins do not appear to meet the stringent criteria required to identify RIPs.

and endoplasmic reticulum and subsequently translocate to the cytoplasm. The matter has been exhaustively reviewed (10-12) and is not dealt with here. Once inside the cytoplasm, the A chains, through their enzymatic activity, cause irreversible damage to ribosomes and possibly other structures, eventually killing the cell (*see* Fig. 2). However, some type 2 RIPs have been identified with a structure very similar to that of the toxins, but with much less toxicity.

Type 1 RIPs, devoid of a binding chain, are internalized much less efficiently by cells, mainly by fluid phase pinocytosis (13) or through the α 2macroglobulin receptor (14), and consequently have relatively low toxicity. However, they can be rendered as toxic as type 2 RIPs if they can enter, or are forced into, cells. This occurs when they are included in liposomes (15); in erythrocyte ghosts that can be fused with cells (16); in viral envelopes (17); when cells are infected by viruses (18); when RIPs are linked to proteins capable of binding to cells, such as lectins, antibodies, growth factors, and cytokines; and when cells are permeabilized with complement (19). Entry of RIPs into cells can be facilitated also by electrical pulses (20), shock waves (21,22), or photochemical internalization (23). A summary of the properties of types 1 and 2 RIPs is given in Table 2.

DISTRIBUTION IN NATURE

Ribosome-inactivating proteins are widely present in the plant kingdom, with type 1 found more frequently. Most RIPs were isolated from plants



Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the structure of type 1 and 2 ribosome-inactivating proteins (RIPs). The prototypical type 1 RIP is saporin that consists only of the enzymatic polypeptide without any binding capacity. The prototype for type 2 RIPs is ricin, which consists of a binding polypeptide (B chain) disulfide connected to the enzymatically active A chain. Both saporin and ricin A chain have been artificially attached to binding moieties to produce toxins with specific targeting properties determined by the binding moiety. Examples include substance P-saporin, which targets cells expressing the neurokinin-1 receptor, and OX7-ricin A chain, which targets cells expressing Thy 1.

belonging to the Angiospermae, but at least one was found also in a mushroom (*Volvariella volvacea*; 24) and one in an alga (*Laminaria japonica*; 25). They can be detected in virtually all tissues examined (roots, stems, leaves, flowers, fruits, seeds, latex, cultured cells), sometimes in different forms in the same tissue. Others are more restricted in distribution; for instance, ricin is present in the seeds but not in other tissues of *Ricinus communis*, compared to the several forms of saporin, which are found in seeds, leaves, and roots of *Saponaria officinalis* (26). A higher level of RIP has been found in stressed, senescent, or virally infected plant tissues (27–29).

Many plant materials (more than 300 in our laboratory) were examined for the presence of RIPs, and type 1 RIPs appeared to be more frequent than type 2 and preferentially distributed among plants belonging to some families (e.g., *Caryophyllaceae*, *Cucurbitaceae*, *Euphorbiaceae*). It should be noted, however, that most screening studies were performed not to study the distribution of RIPs, but to find materials containing a high level of them.



ENZYMATIC MECHANISM OF ACTION OF RIPs ON 80 S RIBOSOMES

*α-Sarcin target

Fig. 2. Schematic representation of the biochemical action of ribosome-inactivating proteins (RIPs) such as ricin and saporin. The enzymatic activity is directed at removing an adenine within the α -sarcin site on the large (28S) ribosomal subunit, which results in failure of binding of elongation factor-2 and cessation of protein synthesis by the altered ribosome.

Consequently, plants belonging to families in which RIPs had been found were tested more frequently, and materials showing activity below a set threshold were excluded.

RIP activity was detected in some plants, but even when the presence of a RIP was excluded not all tissues were examined, an RIP could have been present at a very low concentration below detection level, and the search for these proteins was based on the effect of crude extracts on protein synthesis, generally using a rabbit reticulocyte lysate. RIPs acting on different ribosomes could have been missed. Thus, RIPs could be more widespread, even ubiquitous, in the plant kingdom. Furthermore, the bacterial Shiga and shigalike toxins are RIPs (*30*), and an enzymatic activity similar to that of RIPs has been detected in animal cells and tissues (*31*). Consequently, the issue of the distribution of RIPs in nature remains open.

		0	
	Type 1	Type 2	
Structure	One chain	Two chains	
		Toxic	Nontoxic
Molecular weight	26 kDa	60–65 kDa	56–58 kDa
Inhibition of protein			
synthesis		(IC ₅₀ , nM)	
Cell free	0.002-4.0	45-48	ND
		A chain 0–3.5	A chain 0.1–0.3
HeLa cells	140-33,000	0.0003-0.008	>200
Toxicity to mice (LD50, μg/kg)	950–40,000	0.7-80	>1600

Table 2General Properties of Ribosome-Inactivating Proteins

MECHANISM OF ACTION

The first clue to the mechanism of action of RIPs came from studies on ricin. It was found that this toxin inhibits protein synthesis in cells and in cell-free extracts. This was because of irreversible damage to ribosomes, produced in a catalytic manner, suggestive of an enzymatic activity. This was studied in detail by Endo and colleagues, who found that ricin cleaves the glycosidic bond of a single adenine residue (A₄₃₂₄ in rat liver ribosomal ribonucleic acid [rRNA]) from 28S rRNA, thus removing the base from RNA (*32*). This key residue is adjacent to the site of cleavage of rRNA by α -sarcin in a tetranucleotide GA₄₃₂₄GA of a highly conserved loop at the top of a stem, now termed the α -sarcin/ricin loop. This observation was extended to other RIPs, which were officially classified as rRNA *N*-glycosidases (rRNA *N*-glycohydrolases, EC 3.2.2.22).

It was found that some RIPs remove more than one adenine from ribosomes, and subsequently that all RIPs remove adenine from deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and some from other polynucleotides (33,34). Consequently, the denomination of polynucleotide adenine glycosylase was proposed for these proteins (31). This activity is variable from RIP to RIP and from one substrate to another. These new findings shed a different light on the mechanism of cytotoxicity and antiviral activity of RIPs, as is discussed in the section on antiviral activity. Hudak et al. (35) found that pokeweed antiviral protein (PAP) removes adenine from capped but not from uncapped BMV RNA and concluded that these proteins could inhibit protein synthesis by depurinating capped messenger RNA (mRNA). Presumably, whenever RIPs reach nucleic acids inside a cell, they would create unstable abasic sites liable to be cleaved.

A controversial matter is the lyase activity of RIPs reported by several investigators (reviewed in ref. 4). In at least two laboratories, it was clearly shown that the nuclease activity of RIPs was caused by contamination by nucleases (36,37) and that the glycosylase is the only enzymatic activity of RIPs (38).

TOXICITY AND CYTOTOXICITY

Toxicity to Animals

The first RIPs identified, ricin and abrin, are potent toxins, and when their structure became known, it was ascertained that their B chain with lectinic properties binds to galactosyl residues on the cell membrane. This allows and actually facilitates the entry of the toxin into cells, in which the A chain exerts its enzymatic activity, damaging ribosomes and inhibiting protein synthesis. These findings were extended and confirmed for other type 2 RIPs subsequently identified and led to the conclusions that:

- 1. Type 2 RIPs were toxins.
- 2. This was the mechanism through which type 2 RIPs exerted their toxic action.

These two concepts were accepted for several years, until new information was obtained both on the properties of RIPs and on the mechanism of their enzymatic activity.

The toxic RIPs include (besides ricin and abrin, known for more than a century) the more recently identified modeccin, volkensin, viscumin, and a *Phoradendron californicum* lectin. These toxic RIPs have a very similar structure, and still their median lethal doses (LD_{50} 's) are different, sometimes by two orders of magnitude, as in the case of RIPs from taxonomically related plants, such as modeccin and volkensin (both from Passifloraceae). Also, the LD_{50} for different animal species may vary. The LD_{50} of volkensin for rats is 20-fold lower than that for mice, and its value of 50–60 ng/kg makes volkensin the most potent known toxin from a plant (*39*).

Different lesions result from the various toxins. Thus, only ricin affects primarily Kupffer cells (7); modeccin (40) and volkensin (unpublished results from our laboratory) cause very severe necrotic changes in the liver of rats. Ricin poisoning also causes severe inflammation of intestinal and lymphoid organs and consistently stimulates the production of inflammatory cytokines by blood mononuclear cells (41). In contrast, abrin did not affect liver and brought about necrosis of acinar pancreatic cells (42) and apoptotic changes in the intestine and lymphoid tissues of the rat (43). No lesions that

could account for death were observed in rats poisoned with viscumin or with doses of volkensin high enough to cause death within 6–8 h (unpublished observations from our laboratory). This, together with seizures observed just before death, suggests the possible involvement of damage to the nervous system. This is consistent with the following observations:

- 1. All toxic type 2 RIPs tested are retrogradely transported along peripheral nerves, although only modeccin and volkensin undergo a similar "suicide transport" if injected in the central nervous system (reviewed in refs. 44 and 45).
- 2. Ricin injected outside the nerves into several tissues reaches the relevant autonomic ganglia (reviewed in ref. 1).

Some lectins identified from *Sambucus* species, camphor tree and iris, have a structure very similar to that of ricin and related toxins in that they consist of an A chain with enzymatic activity and of a B chain with similar lectin properties, but they still have much lower cytotoxicity. The reasons for this difference are not known yet, although in a comparative study of ricin and nigrin, a nontoxic lectin, it was found that the two lectins enter equally well into cells, but nigrin undergoes more rapid degradation and excretion than ricin (46).

The less-toxic type 1 RIPs were discovered more recently, and very few studies of their toxicity to animals are reported. The pathology of mice given lethal doses of various type 1 RIPs consisted of cell necrosis in the liver, kidney, and spleen (47). Liver lesions induced by saporin were histologically very similar to those induced by ricin, although a difference was observed in the effects on liver xanthine oxidoreductase, which was converted from the dehydrogenase into the oxidase form in ricin-poisoned but not in saporin-poisoned rats and leaked from the liver into blood only in the latter animals (48).

Cytotoxicity

At the cellular level, it was found that RIPs, either type 2 (43,49) or type 1 (50,51), induce apoptosis and subsequently, or at higher doses, necrosis both in organs of poisoned animals (43) and in a variety of cultured cells (52-56).

The mechanism through which ricin induces apoptosis has been studied. The involvement of various caspases, caspase-like and serine proteases (54–56), and poly(ADP-ribose) [poly(adenosine 5'-diphosphate-ribose)] cleavage (57) was reported. It was suggested also (56) that protein synthesis inhibition was not the sole cause of ricin-induced apoptosis. Very early nuclear changes observed in cells poisoned by ricin or Shiga toxin appear to

be independent of the inhibition of protein synthesis because they were not seen when a comparable inhibition of protein synthesis was induced by cycloheximide (57). Changes in genomic DNA also were observed in cells exposed to saporin, and it was ascertained that both rRNA *N*-glycosidase and internucleosomal DNA fragmentation contribute to cytotoxicity (58). This suggests that the effect of RIPs on both RNA and DNA may contribute to the pathogenesis of cell damage.

It is noteworthy that the toxicity of each RIP to different cells varies, with IC_{50} 's ranging over two orders of magnitude (1), at least partly related to pinocytotic activity of the cells. Those with normally high pinocytotic/ph-agocytic activity (e.g., macrophages) are more highly sensitive to ricin (8,9).

All RIPs are immunogenic. Ricin is a potent allergen and brings about formation of immunoglobulin E (IgE) against ricin itself and other antigens (reviewed in ref. *1*), and many type 1 RIPs were found to cause allergy (unpublished observations from our laboratory).

ANTIPARASITIC ACTIVITY

Antiviral Activity

It has been known since 1925 that a pokeweed leaf extract has antiviral activity against plant viruses (59). After 50 yr, the antiviral factor was purified as PAP, and it was found that it inhibited protein synthesis (60) by inactivating ribosomes (61) and thus was the first purified RIP. Subsequently, it was found that all RIPs, either type 1 or 2, had antiviral activity against plant viruses (62). Investigations were extended to animal viruses, and it was found that several type 1 RIPs inhibited replication of poliovirus, influenza virus, herpes simplex virus, and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) (reviewed in refs. 63 and 64).

These findings led to the investigation of possible practical applications. Attempts to treat patients infected with HIV were unsuccessful and actually caused mental (65) or neurological adverse reactions (66,67).

More promising were the attempts to use RIPs to protect plants against viruses; several plants transfected with RIPs genes actually showed resistance to viral infections. However, transfected plants had an altered phenotype when PAP (68) or barley jasmonate-induced protein (JIP60) (69) was expressed at a high level, indicating that these RIPs also damaged plants.

The mechanism of the antiviral activity of RIPs is still not completely clear. It was thought for some years that the subcellular segregation of RIPs was broken as a consequence of cell damage caused by viral infection, and then the proteins could reach and inactivate ribosomes, thus killing the infected cells and preventing viral replication. This notion is supported by the sensitivity of plant ribosomes to conspecific RIPs (70), but is not consistent with some observations:

- 1. Trichosanthin inhibited HIV replication at concentrations lower than that inhibiting protein synthesis (71).
- 2. A mutant of PAP that did not damage pokeweed ribosomes still was able to prevent viral replication (72).

Together, these results indicate that ribosomal damage cannot account entirely for the antiviral activity of RIPs. The observations about the depurination of nucleic acids other than rRNA suggest possible damage to viral RNA or to the virus-induced DNA as an alternative or at least parallel mechanism.

Antifungal Activity

It was reported that barley RIPs, in association with glucanase and chitinase, have antifungal activity (73) and confer resistance against fungal attack to transfected plants (74). Presumably, the other enzymes are necessary to disrupt the tegument of fungi, thus allowing the entry of RIPs into cells. Increased resistance to *Rhizoctonia solani* was found in plants transfected with maize b-32 RIP (75) or PAP (reviewed in ref. 76). It was reported that three RIPs (ricin A chain, saporin-S6, and an RIP from *Mirabilis expansa*) have antifungal activity and inactivate fungal ribosomes (77).

CELL TARGETING

Experimental Studies

Ribosome-inactivating proteins have been used in attempts to eliminate unwanted cells in a selective manner for both experimental and therapeutic purposes. The subject has been exhaustively reviewed and is discussed here in general terms only.

The general principle was to link the proteins to appropriate molecules capable of entering, or at least binding to, the cells to be killed. Antibodies were used in most of experiments as the carriers with the highest specificity, but growth factors, lectins, hormones, neuropeptides, and cytokines were also employed. Both type 1 and type 2 RIPs have been used; the latter are highly toxic, but have the disadvantage of binding to virtually any cell through their B chains. Thus, conjugates were prepared as follows:

- 1. With ricin with blocked B chain binding site.
- 2. With isolated A chains of type 2 RIPs (mostly ricin A chain).
- 3. With type 1 RIPs.

Conjugates were prepared either chemically, introducing a link, most often a disulfide bond, between the RIP and the carrier or as fusion recombinant proteins.

For experimental purposes, the most widely used conjugates are those made with saporin and monoclonal antibodies against components of the central nervous system, as described elsewhere in this book. Few conjugates were prepared for other experimental purposes, which is surprising because these should be very useful experimental tools to remove any kind of cells selectively, as shown by the removal of fibroblasts from pancreatic cells in culture (78).

The great majority of conjugates prepared for clinical use were against tumor cells (reviewed in ref. 79) or against immunoreactive cells for the treatment of autoimmune diseases (reviewed in ref. 80), graft-vs-host reaction (reviewed in ref. 81), or to prevent graft rejection (reviewed in ref. 82). In other more limited studies, immunotoxins were prepared against various cells, such as corneal endothelial cells, to prevent corneal vascularization (83); retinal pigment epithelial cells (84); or muscle cells for the experimental therapy of muscular spasms (85).

Clinical Trials

Several clinical trials were performed with immunotoxins, most of them prepared with modified ricin or ricin A chain (reviews in refs. 86-89) or with type 1 RIPs momordin (90), PAP, and saporin (reviewed in ref. 79). The great majority concerned the experimental therapy not only of tumors, graft-vs-host disease, and autoimmune diseases, but also of other ailments, such as diabetes (91) and opacification of the posterior capsule of the eye (92). Immunotoxins either were administered to patients or were used for ex vivo purging of cell suspensions (e.g., bone marrow) to be infused in patients.

The results were often encouraging, possibly more than those obtained with the early trials of chemotherapeutic agents, particularly in the case of hematological malignancies (87). The main limitations resulting from these studies were:

- 1. The poor penetration of the conjugates inside solid tumors.
- 2. Adverse side effects, such as myalgias, fatigue, fever, capillary leak syndrome.
- 3. The immune response against both the antibody and the toxin, which prevented repeated administrations.

The poor penetration into solid tumors could be overcome using smaller conjugates (e.g., with scFv fragments or with immunotoxins against endothelial cells of tumour vasculature), which would cause thrombosis with con-

sequent ischemia of the tumor (93). The peculiar capillary leak syndrome, the most important side effect (94), might be reduced in various ways (reviewed in ref. 95) and controlled with careful dosage of the immunotoxins.

The immune response is currently the major obstacle to the use of immunotoxins in the clinic because their administration cannot be repeated except in severely immunodeficient patients. It is hoped that this difficulty may be overcome in the future using conjugates of human antibodies linked to the human enzymes, perhaps eventually including the equivalent of RIPs (31).

For the time being, immunotoxins constructed with RIPs or other toxic moieties, in addition to usefulness as experimental tools, could be employed in the clinic for ex vivo purging and therapy of topical tumors (i.e., of bladder cancer), as suggested by in vitro studies (96,97) and clinical trials (90,98). Finally, it is common opinion among many scientists working in the field (e.g., 99) that, because the decrease of tumor masses was observed after a short-term treatment in some clinical trials, one or two administrations of an immunotoxin could eliminate completely small groups of cells and even now might be useful in the treatment of the minimal residual disease.

SUMMARY

The RIPs from plants were described. The known RIPs are divided into type 1, consisting of a single chain with enzymatic properties, and type 2, consisting of an enzymatic A chain linked to B chain with the properties of a lectin specific for sugar with the galactose structure. Some type 2 RIPs are potent toxins, ricin being the best known, whereas others are much less toxic. All RIPs damage irreversibly ribosomes, by removing an adenine residue from rRNA, and depurinate also other nucleic acids. The distribution in nature, the mechanism of action, the toxicity and the main biological properties of RIPs were described, as well and their use as components of conjugates with antibodies (immunotoxins) and other carriers were mentioned.

NOTE ADDED IN PROOF

Reviews coverings several aspects of ribosome-inactivating protein appeared in ref 100.

REFERENCES

- 1. Barbieri L, Battelli MG, Stirpe F. Ribosome-inactivating proteins from plants. Biochim Biophys Acta 1993;1154:237–282.
- 2. Nielsen K, Boston RS. Ribosome-inactivating proteins: a plant perspective. Annu Rev Physiol Plant Mol Biol 2001;52:785–816.

- 3. Peumans WJ, Hao Q, Van Damme EJM. Ribosome-inactivating proteins from plants: more than RNA *N*-glycosidases? FASEB J 2001;15:1493–1506.
- 4. Van Damme EJM, Hao Q, Barre A, et al. Ribosome-inactivating proteins: a family of plant proteins that do more than inactivate ribosomes. Crit Rev Plant Sci 2001;20:395–465.
- 5. Walsh TA, Morgan AE, Hey TD. Characterization and molecular cloning of a proenzyme form of a ribosome-inactivating protein from maize—novel mechanism of proenzyme activation by proteolytic removal of a 2.8-kilodalton internal peptide segment. J Biol Chem 1991;266:23,422–23,427.
- 6. Reinbothe S, Reinbothe C, Lehmann J, Becker W, Apel K, Parthier B. JIP60, a methyl jasmonate-induced ribosome-inactivating protein involved in plant stress reactions. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1994;91:7012–7016.
- 7. Derenzini M, Bonetti E, Marinozzi V, Stirpe F. Toxic effects of ricin. Studies on the pathogenesis of liver lesions. Virchows Arch B Cell Pathol 1976;20:15–28.
- 8. Skilleter DN, Paine AJ, Stirpe F. A comparison of the accumulation of ricin by hepatic parenchymal and non-parenchymal cells and its inhibition of protein synthesis. Biochim Biophys Acta 1981;677:495–500.
- 9. Simmons BM, Stahl PD, Russell JH. Mannose receptor-mediated uptake of ricin toxin and ricin A chain by macrophages. Multiple intracellular pathways for A chain translocation. J Biol Chem 1986;261:7912–7920.
- 10. Sandvig K, van Deurs B. Entry of ricin and Shiga toxin into cells: molecular mechanisms and medical perspectives. EMBO J 2000;19:5943–5950.
- 11. Sandvig K, van Deurs B. Transport of protein toxins into cells: pathways used by ricin, cholera toxin and Shiga toxin. FEBS Lett 2002;529:49–53.
- 12. Sandvig K, Grimmer S, Lauvrak U, et al. Pathways followed by ricin and Shiga toxin into cells. Histochem Cell Biol 2002;117:131–141.
- 13. Madan S, Ghosh PC. Interaction of gelonin with macrophages. Effect of lysosomotropic amines. Exp Cell Res 1991;198:52–58.
- Cavallaro U, Nykjaer A, Nielsen M, Soria M. α2-Macroglobulin receptor mediates binding and cytotoxicity of plant ribosome-inactivating proteins. Eur J Biochem 1995;232:165–171.
- 15. McIntosh DP, Heath TD. Liposome-mediated delivery of ribosome inactivating proteins to cells in vitro. Biochim Biophys Acta 1982;690:224–230.
- 16. Foxwell B, Long J, Stirpe F. Cytotoxicity of erythrocyte ghosts loaded with ribosome-inactivating proteins following fusion with CHO cells. Biochem Int 1984;8:811–819.
- Sargiacomo M, Barbieri L, Stirpe F, Tomasi M. Cytotoxicity acquired by ribosome-inactivating proteins carried by reconstituted Sendai virus envelopes. FEBS Lett 1983;157:150–154.
- Fernández-Puentes C, Carrasco L. Viral infection permeabilizes mammalian cells to protein toxins. Cell 1980;20:769–775.
- 19. Goldmacher VS, Anderson J, Blättler WA, Lambert JM, Senter PD. Antibody complement-mediated cytotoxicity is enhanced by ribosome-inactivating proteins. J Immunol 1985;135:3648–3651.

- Mir LM, Banoun H, Paoletti C. Introduction of definite amounts of nonpermeant molecules into living cells after electropermeabilization: direct access to cytosol. Exp Cell Res 1988;175:15–25.
- 21. Delius M, Adams G. Shock wave permeabilization with ribosome inactivating proteins: a new approach to tumor therapy. Cancer Res 1999;59:5227–5232.
- 22. Kodama T, Doukas AG, Hamblin MR. Delivery of ribosome-inactivating protein toxin into cancer cells with shock waves. Cancer Lett 2003;189:69–75.
- 23. Selbo PK, Hogset A, Prasmickaite L, Berg K. Photochemical internalisation: a novel drug delivery system. Tumour Biol 2002;23:103–112.
- 24. Yao Q-Z, Yu MM, Ooi LSM, et al. Isolation and characterization of a type 1 ribosome-inactivating protein from fruiting bodies of the edible mushroom (*Volvariella volvacea*). J Agric Food Chem 1998;46:788–792.
- Liu RS, Yang JH, Liu WY. Isolation and enzymatic characterization of lamjapin, the first ribosome-inactivating protein from cryptogamic algal plant (*Laminaria japonica* A). Eur J Biochem 2002;269:4746–4752.
- Ferreras JM, Barbieri L, Girbés T, et al. Distribution and properties of major ribosome-inactivating proteins (28 S rRNA *N*-glycosidases) of the plant *Saponaria officinalis* L. (Caryophyllaceae). Biochim Biophys Acta 1993;1216:31–42.
- 27. Reinbothe S, Mollenhauer B, Reinbothe C. JIPs and RIPs: the regulation of plant gene expression by jasmonate in response to environmental cues and pathogens. Plant Cell 1994;6:1197–1209.
- 28. Stirpe F, Barbieri L, Gorini P, Valbonesi P, Bolognesi A, Polito L. Activities associated with the presence of ribosome-inactivating proteins increase in senescent and stressed leaves. FEBS Lett 1996;382:309–312.
- 29. Girbés T, de Torre C, Iglesias R, Ferreras JM, Méndez E. RIP for viruses. Nature 1996;379:777–778.
- Reisbig R, Olsnes S, Eiklid K. The cytotoxic activity of *Shigella* toxin. Evidence for catalytic inactivation of the 60S ribosomal subunit. J Biol Chem 1981;256:8739–8744.
- Barbieri L, Valbonesi P, Bondioli M, et al. Adenine glycosylase activity in mammalian tissues: an equivalent of ribosome-inactivating proteins. FEBS Lett 2001;505:196–197.
- 32. Endo Y, Mitsui K, Motizuki K, Tsurugi K. The mechanism of action of ricin and related toxic lectins on eukaryotic ribosomes. The site and characteristics of the modification in 28S ribosomal RNA caused by the toxins. J Biol Chem 1987;262:5908–5912.
- Nicolas E, Goodyer ID, Taraschi TF. An additional mechanism of ribosomeinactivating protein cytotoxicity: degradation of extrachromosomal DNA. Biochem J 1997;327:413–417.
- Barbieri L, Valbonesi P, Bonora E, Gorini P, Bolognesi A, Stirpe F. Polynucleotide:adenosine glycosidase activity of ribosome-inactivating proteins: effect on DNA, RNA and poly(A). Nucleic Acids Res 1997;25:518–522.
- 35. Hudak KA, Bauman JD, Tumer NE. Pokeweed antiviral protein binds to the cap structure of eukaryotic mRNA and depurinates the mRNA downstream of the cap. RNA 2002;8:1148–1159.

- 36. Day PJ, Lord JM, Roberts LM. The deoxyribonuclease activity attributed to ribosome-inactivating proteins is due to contamination. Eur J Biochem 1998;258:540–545.
- Valbonesi P, Barbieri L, Bolognesi A, Bonora E, Polito L, Stirpe F. Preparation of highly purified momordin II without ribonuclease activity. Life Sci 1999;65:1485–1491.
- Barbieri L, Valbonesi P, Righi F, et al. Polynucleotide:adenosine glycosidase is the sole activity of ribosome-inactivating proteins on DNA. J Biochem 2000;128:883–889.
- 39. Stirpe F, Barbieri L, Abbondanza A, et al. Properties of volkensin, a toxic lectin from *Adenia volkensii*. J Biol Chem 1985;260:14,589–14,595.
- 40. Sperti S, Montanaro L, Derenzini M, Gasperi-Campani A, Stirpe F. Effect of modeccin on rat liver ribosomes in vivo. Biochim Biophys Acta 1979;562:495–503.
- Licastro F, Morini MC, Bolognesi A, Stirpe F. Ricin induces the production of tumour necrosis factor-α and interleukin-1β by human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Biochem J 1993;294:517–520.
- 42. Barbieri L, Gasperi-Campani A., Derenzini M., Betts CM, Stirpe F. Selective lesions of acinar pancreatic cells in rats poisoned with abrin. A morphological and biochemical study. Virchows Arch B Cell Pathol 1979;30:15–24.
- 43. Griffiths GD, Leek MD, Gee DJ. The toxic plant proteins ricin and abrin induce apoptotic changes in mammalian lymphoid tissues and intestine. J Pathol 1987;151:221–229.
- 44. Wiley RG, Lappi DA. *Suicide Transport and Immunolesioning*. Austin, TX: RG Landes; 1995.
- 45. Wiley RG, Kline RH IV. Neuronal lesioning with axonally transported toxins. J Neurosci Methods 2000;103:73–82.
- 46. Battelli MG, Citores L, Buonamici L, et al. Toxicity and cytotoxicity of nigrin b, a two-chain ribosome-inactivating protein from *Sambucus nigra*: a comparison with ricin. Arch Toxicol 1997;71:360–364.
- 47. Battelli MG, Barbieri L, Stirpe F. Toxicity of, and histological lesions caused by, ribosome-inactivating proteins, their IgG-conjugates, and their homopolymers. Acta Pathol Microbiol Immunol Scand 1990;98:585–593.
- 48. Battelli MG, Buonamici L, Polito L, Bolognesi A, Stirpe F. Hepatotoxicity of ricin, saporin or a saporin immunotoxin: xanthine oxidase activity in rat liver and blood serum. Virchows Arch 1996;427:529–535.
- 49. Büssing A. Induction of apoptosis by the mistletoe lectins: a review on the mechanisms of cytotoxicity mediated by *Viscum album* L. Apoptosis 1996;1:25–32.
- Bergamaschi G, Perfetti V, Tonon L, et al. Saporin, a ribosome-inactivating protein used to prepare immunotoxins, induces cell death via apoptosis. Brit J Haematol 1996;93:789–794.
- Bolognesi A, Tazzari PL, Olivieri F, Polito L, Falini B, Stirpe F. Induction of apoptosis by ribosome-inactivating proteins and related immunotoxins. Int J Cancer 1996;68:349–355.

- 52. Hughes JN, Lindsay CD, Griffiths GD. Morphology of ricin and abrin exposed endothelial cells is consistent with apoptotic cell death. Human Exp Toxicol 1996;15:443–451.
- 53. Williams JM, Lea N, Lord JM, Roberts LM, Milford DV, Taylor CM. Comparison of ribosome-inactivating proteins in the induction of apoptosis. Toxicol Lett 1997;91:121–127.
- 54. Komatsu N, Oda T, Muramatsu T. Involvement of both caspase-like proteases and serine proteases in apoptotic cell death induced by ricin, modeccin, diphtheria toxin, and *Pseudomonas* toxin. J Biochem 1998;124:1038–1044.
- 55. Gan YH, Peng SQ, Liu HY. Molecular mechanisms of apoptosis induced by ricin in HeLa cells. Acta Pharmacol Sin 2000;21:243–253.
- Hu R-G, Zhai, Q-W, Liu, W-Y, Liu, X-Y. An insight into the mechanism of cytotoxicity of ricin to hepatoma cell: roles of Bcl-2 family proteins, caspases, Ca(2+)-dependent proteases and protein kinase C. J Cell Biochem 2001;81:583–593.
- 57. Brigotti M, Alfieri R, Sestili P, et al. Damage to nuclear DNA induced by Shiga toxin 1 and by ricin in human endothelial cells. FASEB J 2002;16:365–372.
- 58. Bagga S, Seth D, Batra JK. The cytotoxic activity of ribosome-inactivating protein saporin-6 is attributed to rRNA *N*-glycosidase and internucleosomal DNA fragmentation. J Biol Chem 2003;278:4813–4820.
- 59. Duggar BM, Armstrong JK. The effect of treating the virus of tobacco mosaic with the juices of various plants. Ann Mo Bot Gard 1925;12:359–366.
- 60. Irvin JD. Purification and partial characterization of the antiviral protein from *Phytolacca americana* which inhibits eukaryotic protein synthesis. Arch Biochem Biophys 1975;169:522–528.
- 61. Dallal JA, Irvin JD. Enzymatic inactivation of eukaryotic ribosomes by the pokeweed antiviral protein. FEBS Lett 1978;89:257–259.
- 62. Stevens WA Spurdon C, Onyon LJ, Stirpe F. Effect of inhibitors of protein synthesis from plants on tobacco mosaic virus infection. Experientia 1981;37:257–259.
- Battelli MG, Stirpe F. Ribosome-inactivating proteins from plants. In: Chessin M, DeBorde D, Zipf A, eds. *Antiviral Proteins in Higher Plants*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 1995:39–64.
- 64. Wang P, Tumer NE. Virus resistance mediated by ribosome inactivating proteins. Adv Virus Res 2000;55:325–355.
- 65. Byers VS, Levin AS, Waites LA, et al. A phase I/II study of trichosanthin treatment of HIV disease. AIDS 1990;4:1189–1196.
- 66. Kahn JO, Gorelick KJ, Arri CJ, et al. Safety and pharmacokinetics of GLQ223 in subjects with AIDS and AIDS-related complex. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1994;38:260–267.
- 67. Garcia PA, Bredesen DE, Vinters HV, et al. Neurological reactions in HIVinfected patients treated with trichosanthin. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol 1993;19:402–405.

- 68. Lodge JK., Kaniewski K, Tumer NE. Broad-spectrum virus resistance in transgenic plants expressing pokeweed antiviral protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1993;90:7089–7093.
- 69. Görschen E, Dunaeva M, Hause B, Reeh I., Wasternack C, Parthier B. Expression of the ribosome-inactivating protein JIP60 from barley in transgenic tobacco leads to an abnormal phenotype and alterations on the level of translation. Planta 1997;202:470–478.
- 70. Prestle J, Schönfelder M, Adam G, Mundry K-W. Type 1 ribosome-inactivating protein depurinate plant 25S rRNA without species specificity. Nucleic Acids Res 1992;20:3179–3182.
- 71. Lee-Huang S, Huang PL, Kung H-F, et al. TAP 29: An anti-human immunodeficiency virus protein from *Trichosanthes kirilowii* that is nontoxic to intact cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1991;88:6570–6574.
- 72. Tumer NE, Hwang D-J, Bonness M. C-terminal deletion mutant of pokeweed antiviral protein inhibits viral infection but does not depurinate host ribosomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1997;94:3866–3871.
- 73. Leah R, Tommerup H, Svendsen I, Mundy J. Biochemical and molecular characterization of three barley seed proteins with antifungal properties. J Biol Chem 1991;266:1564–1573.
- 74. Jach G, Gornhardt B, Mundy J, et al. Enhanced quantitative resistance against fungal disease by combinatorial expression of different barley antifungal proteins in transgenic tobacco. Plant J 1995;8:97–109.
- 75. Maddaloni M, Forlani F, Balmas V, et al. Tolerance to the fungal pathogen *Rhizoctonia solani* AG4 of transgenic tobacco expressing the maize ribosome-inactivating protein b-32. Transgenic Res 1997;6:393–401.
- 76. Tumer NE, Hudak K, Di R, Coetzer C, Wang P, Zoubenko O. Pokeweed antiviral protein and its applications. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 1999;240:139–158.
- 77. Park SW, Stevens NM, Vivanco JM. Enzymatic specificity of three ribosomeinactivating proteins against fungal ribosomes, and correlation with antifungal activity. Planta 2002;216:227–234.
- 78. Beattie GM, Lappi DA, Baird A, Hayek A. Selective elimination of fibroblasts from pancreatic islets monolayers by basic fibroblast growth factor-saporin mitotoxin. Diabetes 1990;39:1002–1005.
- 79. Frankel AE, Kreitman RJ, Sausville EA. Targeted toxins. Clin Cancer Res 2000;6:326–334.
- 80. Wu M. Are immunoconjugates useful for therapy with autoimmune diseases? Int J Immunopharmacol 1997;19:83–93.
- 81. Vallera DA. Targeting T cells for GVHD therapy. Semin Cancer Biol 1996;7:57-64.
- 82. Knechtle SJ. Treatment with immunotoxin. Phil Trans R Soc Lond B 2001;356:681–689.
- Fulcher S, Lui G, Houston LL, et al. Use of immunotoxin to inhibit proliferating human corneal endothelium. Invest Ophthalmol Visual Sci 1988;29:755–759.

- 84. Jaffe GJ, Earnest K, Fulcher S, Durham NC, Lui GM, Houston LL. Antitransferrin receptor immunotoxin inhibits proliferating human retinal pigment epithelial cells. Arch Ophthalmol 1990;108:1163–1168.
 - Hott JS, Dalakas MC, Sung C, Hallett M, Youle RJ. Skeletal muscle-specific immunotoxin for the treatment of focal muscle spasm. Neurology 1998;50:485–491.
 - 86. Frankel AE, Tagge EP, Willingham MC. Clinical trials of targeted toxins. Semin Cancer Biol 1995;6:307–317.
 - Engert A, Sausville EA, Vitetta E. The emerging role of ricin A-chain immunotoxins in leukemia and lymphoma. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 1998;234:13–33.
 - 88. Ghetie MA, Ghetie V, Vitetta ES. Immunotoxins for the treatment of B-cell lymphomas. Mol Med 1997;3:420–427.
 - O'Toole JE, Esseltine D, Lynch TJ, Lambert JM, Grossbard ML. Clinical trials with blocked ricin immunotoxins. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 1998;234:35–56.
 - Yu L, Gu F, Zhang C, Xie S, Guo Y. Targeted diagnosis and treatment of superficial bladder cancer with monoclonal antibody BDI-1. Chin Med J 1998;111:404–407.
 - 91. Skyler JS, Lorenz TJ, Schwartz S, et al. Effects of an anti-CD5 immunoconjugate (CD5-plus) in recent onset type I diabetes mellitus: a preliminary investigation. The CD5 Diabetes Project Team. J Diabetes Complications 1993;7:224–232.
 - Clark DS, Emery JM, Munsell MF. Inhibition of posterior capsule opacification with an immunotoxin specific for lens epithelial cells: 24 month clinical results. J Cataract Refract Surg 1998;24:1614–1620.
 - 93. Veenendaal LM, Jin H, Ran S, et al. In vitro and in vivo studies of a VEGF121/ rGelonin chimeric fusion toxin targeting the neovasculature of solid tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002;99:7866–7871.
 - 94. Vitetta ES. Immunotoxins and vascular leak syndrome. Cancer J 2000;suppl 3:S218–S224.
 - 95. Pennell CA, Erickson HA. Designing immunotoxins for cancer therapy. Immunol Res 2002;25:177–191.
 - 96. Thiesen H-J, Juhl H, Arndt R. Selective killing of human bladder cancer cells by combined treatment with A and B chain ricin antibody conjugates. Cancer Res 1987;47:419–423.
 - Battelli MG, Polito L, Bolognesi A, Lafleur L, Fradet Y, Stirpe F. Toxicity of ribosome-inactivating proteins-containing immunotoxins to a human bladder carcinoma cell line. Int J Cancer 1996;65:485–490.
 - 98. Zang Z, Xu H, Yu L, et al. Intravesical immunotoxin as adjuvant therapy to prevent the recurrence of bladder cancer. Chin Med J 2000;113:1002–1006.
- 99. Flavell DJ. Saporin immunotoxins. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 1998;234:57-61.
- 100. Stirpe F. (ed.). Ribosome-inactivating proteins. Mini Rev Med Chem 2004;4:461-595.