# Chapter 2

## Immunohistochemical Methods for Measuring Tissue Lymphangiogenesis

## **Daniel J. Royston, Steven Clasper, and David G. Jackson**

#### **Abstract**

The field of lymphatic research has benefited enormously from the discovery of "marker" proteins that permit not only the identification and quantitation of lymphatic vessels in tissue sections for tumor pathology but also the isolation of primary lymphatic endothelial cells for basic research. This chapter focuses on the use of these markers for the immunohistochemical analysis of lymphangiogenesis in both frozen and paraffin-embedded tissue sections and discusses current protocols including newer versions employing biotin tyramide amplification and their associated problems.

**Key words** Lymphatic, Lymphangiogenesis, LYVE-1, Podoplanin, Immunofluorescence, Peroxidase, Chalkley grid

#### **1 Introduction**

The measurement of lymphangiogenesis is of great significance in understanding the role of this process during many pathological conditions. Clearly the most prominent example has been cancer, specifically the metastatic spread of tumors through lymphatic vessels; other examples include lymphedema, wound healing, and inflammation  $\begin{bmatrix} 1, 2 \end{bmatrix}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 1, 2 \end{bmatrix}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 1, 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . The measurement of lymphangiogenesis within a tissue is usually approached by assessing the density of lymphatic vessels, although in the case of tumor vessels, it should be borne in mind that isolated measurements of this kind can mask artifactual increases that are caused by tissue compression and fortuitous growth next to preexisting lymphatic networks as well as genuine proliferation [[3\]](#page-13-2).

Traditionally the microscopic identification of lymphatic vessels has relied on the skilled analysis of morphology, absence of red blood cells within the lumen, and negative staining for blood vascular markers. However, the ready availability of lymphatic marker proteins has simplified this task  $\lceil 3-5 \rceil$ . Here we describe the use of two of these markers, LYVE-1 [[6,](#page-13-4) [7\]](#page-13-5) and podoplanin [[8](#page-13-6)], to

Stewart G. Martin and Peter W. Hewett (eds.), *Angiogenesis Protocols*, Methods in Molecular Biology, vol. 1430,

DOI 10.1007/978-1-4939-3628-1\_2, © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

identify lymphatic vessels in frozen or paraffin sections of the human tissue. The level of lymphangiogenesis itself is determined by measurement of lymphatic vessel density (LVD) either throughout the tissue specimen or within vessel "hot spots" using the Chalkley point graticule method (*see* refs. [9,](#page-13-7) [10](#page-13-8)), combined with estimation based on markers of nuclear division  $\lceil 3 \rceil$ . In addition, we outline the use of a particularly sensitive immunostaining technique (Dako CSA kit) that can be used to detect extremely low levels of these or other novel target antigens expressed by lymphatic vessels [[11](#page-13-9)].

#### **2 Materials**



- 3. Catalyzed Signal Amplification (CSA) System (Dako K1500).
- 4. Aquamount (BDH).

1. Goat anti-rabbit IgG Alexafluor 488 conjugated (Molecular Probes). *2.5 Immunofluorescence*

- 2. Goat anti-mouse IgG Alexafluor 568 conjugated (Molecular Probes).
- 3. Streptavidin, Alexafluor 488/568 conjugated (Molecular probes).
- <span id="page-2-0"></span>4. Vectashield with Dapi (Vector Laboratories).

### **3 Methods**







9. Apply streptavidin-biotin complex (prepared 30 min in advance as per CSA instructions) and incubate for 15 min.

- 10. Repeat *step 6*.
- 11. Apply amplification reagent from Dako CSA kit and incubate for 15 min.
- 12. Repeat *step 6*.
- 13. Apply streptavidin peroxidase from Dako CSA kit and incubate for 15 min.
- 14. Repeat *step 6*.
- 15. Apply substrate-chromogen (prepared in advance as per CSA instructions) and incubate for 5 min. Rinse gently for 5 min in distilled water.
- 16. Place slides in a jar of hematoxylin solution for approximately 2 min.
- 17. Wash slides with normal tap water.
- 18. Carefully dry slides and place in the staining tray.
- 19. Apply a few drops of Aquamount medium to each section and place a coverslip on top.
- 20. Invert each slide and press down firmly on a flat pile of paper towels to evenly spread the mounting medium.
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>21. Seal each slide by painting around the edge of the coverslip with clear nail varnish.
	- 1. Carefully dry slides and place in the staining tray.
	- 2. Apply primary antibodies (anti-LYVE-1 and D2-40) at 10 μg/ ml each in 5 % v/v FCS/PBS. Use approximately 200 μl per section. Incubate for 30 min (*see* **Note 4**).
- 3. Rinse slides carefully over a sink using a wash bottle of PBS and place in a jar of PBS for 5 min.
- 1. Carefully dry slides and place in the staining tray.
- 2. Dilute both fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies together 1 in 500 in 5 % v/v FCS/PBS and apply approximately 200 μl to each section. Incubate for 30 min, ensuring that the lightproof lid is in place for this step.
- 3. Rinse slides carefully over a sink using a wash bottle of PBS and place in a jar of PBS for 5 min.
- 4. Carefully dry slides and place in the staining tray.
- 5. Apply a few drops of Vectashield to each section and place a coverslip on top.
- 6. Invert each slide and press down firmly on a flat pile of paper towels to evenly spread the mounting medium.
- 7. Seal each slide by painting around the edge of the coverslip with nail varnish.
- 8. Store slides at 4 °C in a lightproof box.

#### *3.4 Immunofluorescence*

*3.4.1 Standard Immunofluorescence Staining*

Primary Antibodies

Secondary Antibodies and Mounting

*3.4.2 Dako CSA Kit Immunofluorescence Staining*

- 1. Perform *steps 1–12* as for Dako CSA kit immunohistochemistry.
- 2. Add fluorescently labeled streptavidin 1 in 200 in 5 %  $v/v$ FCS/PBS and apply approximately 200 μl to each section. Incubate for 30 min, ensuring that the lightproof lid is in place for this step.
- 3. Rinse slides carefully over a sink using a wash bottle of PBS and place in a jar of PBS for 5 min.
- 4. Carefully dry slides and place in the staining tray.
- 5. Apply a few drops of Vectashield to each section and place a coverslip on top.
- 6. Invert each slide and press down firmly on a flat pile of paper towels to evenly spread the mounting medium.
- 7. Seal each slide by painting around the edge of the coverslip with nail varnish.
- 8. Store slides at 4 °C in a lightproof box.

Note: For double immunofluorescent labeling of slides using the Dako CSA kit, a second compatible antibody of a different species that does not require significant amplification can be added after *step 3*. The protocol outlined in Subheading [3.4](#page-5-0) for conventional immunofluorescence staining should then be followed.

Lymphatic vessels should be clearly visible as stained structures within the tissue. However there may be a wide variation in size and morphology. Initial lymphatics may appear as small structures (diameter range 10–50 μm), while larger capillaries may appear as elongated structures, sometimes with a collapsed lumen (diameter range 100–200 μm). Tumor-associated lymphatic vessels may appear as small basketlike structures within the tumor mass or as a continuous endothelium surrounding a tumor embolus [[12](#page-13-11)]. Figure [1](#page-7-0) shows typical lymphatic morphologies in normal and tumor tissue detected by immunohistochemistry with antiserum against LYVE-1. Additionally the contrast in morphology between a lymphatic and a blood vessel can be seen. *3.5 Identification of Lymphatic Vessels 3.5.1 Detection of Lymphatic Vessels*

Positive staining of a structure for a single lymphatic marker protein should not be regarded as definitive proof of lymphatic identity. For sections stained with antibodies to LYVE-1 and podoplanin by immunofluorescence, it is a simple matter to check the coexpression of marker proteins. For specimens stained by immunohistochemistry, we recommend the staining of consecutive serial sections with each antibody to confirm identification. Two-color staining is also possible using immunohistochemistry, for example, by combining peroxidase and alkaline phosphatase-conjugated antibodies. However, it is this author's opinion that the procedure is more satisfactory for mutually exclusive staining of distinct cell types or for cases where the two markers localize to different *3.5.2 Confirmation of Lymphatic Identity*

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

**Fig. 1** Immunohistochemical staining of lymphatic vessels in soft tissue. Patent lymphatic vessels of the normal human tongue show strong staining of LYVE-1, while the erythrocyte-containing blood vessel (*red arrow*) shows no staining and a typical thickened vessel wall (×32 objective) (**a**). Abundant LYVE-1 positive lymphatic vessels can be seen beneath the epithelium (×10 objective) (**b**). LYVE-1 positive intratumoral lymphatic vessels within squamous carcinoma of the tongue (×20 objective) (**c**). Adapted from Beasley et al. [[12](#page-13-11)]

regions of the same cell as described later. Regardless of whether immunohistochemistry or immunofluorescence staining is chosen, the results should still be interpreted with caution (*see* **Note 5**). Discrimination between lymphatic vessels and blood vessels is rarely a problem when using these markers together (*see* **Note 6**).

In normal tissue, e.g., the dermis, lymphatic vessels may be evenly distributed. However, in tumors these vessels may be concentrated within discrete areas termed "hot spots" induced by agents enriched in the local microenvironment such as lymphangiogenic growth factors (VEGF-C, VEGF-D, PDGF, etc.). Hot spots rather than randomly chosen areas are frequently targeted for tumor vessel counts in the assessment of lymphangiogenesis, although the validity of this practice has been disputed (*see*, e.g., ref. [13](#page-13-12)). *3.5.3 Vessel Hot Spots*

This method relies upon the ability of the observer to distinguish discrete lymphatic vessels and count them within a known area, thus giving an actual measurement of lymphatic vessel density within the plane of the section. *3.6 Measurement of Mean Lymphatic Vessel Density*

Consult the microscope manufacturer's handbook to obtain the field of view distance in mm for each objective lens to be used. Use this number to calculate the area of view with the formula: *3.6.1 Calculation of Area of View*

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Area(mm<sup>2</sup>) =  $\pi$ (field of view / 2)<sup>2</sup>

- 1. Using a low power objective, a field of view containing lymphatic vessels (e.g., hot spot) should be identified, and a suitable objective lens should be chosen to magnify this area. This size of objective should be constant throughout all of the samples.
	- 2. Each discrete individual stained lymphatic structure (see above), irrelevant of size, is counted as a vessel, and the total within the area of view is recorded.
	- 3. A different region within the same section is then chosen and the vessel number again recorded. This is repeated at least three times and the mean vessel number is calculated.
	- 4. The process is repeated for each section, making sure to keep the objective lens constant.

1. The vessel density is calculated for each section:

*of Lymphatic Vessel Density*

*3.6.3 Calculation* 

*3.6.2 Counting of Lymphatic Vessels*

Lymphatic vessel density (mm<sup>-2</sup>) = mean vessel number / area of view (mm<sup>2</sup>)

2. The application of a suitable statistical method can be used to compare mean vessel densities between tissues and hence levels of lymphangiogenesis (*see* **Note 7**).



- *the Chalkley Count*
- 1. Using a low power objective, a field of view containing lymphatic vessels (i.e., hot spot) should be identified, and a suitable objective lens should be chosen to magnify this area. This size of objective should be constant throughout all of the samples.
	- 2. The graticule is carefully rotated until the maximum number of dots overlaps lymphatic vessels (note that these do not need to be separate vessels), and the number of these dots (maximum score 25) is recorded. *See* Fig. [2](#page-10-0) for an example of this.
	- 3. The procedure is repeated a minimum of three times using different regions within the same section.
	- 4. The mean number of dots overlapping lymphatic vessels on a section is the Chalkley count.
	- 5. The application of a suitable statistical method can be used to compare Chalkley counts between tissues (applying cutoffs where appropriate) and hence levels of lymphangiogenesis (*see* **Note 7**).



- to the nuclear compartment. Follow the instructions described in Subheading [3.1.1](#page-2-0) for fixing with paraformaldehyde.
	- 2. Before blocking, rinse the section and incubate for 5 min with 0.5 %  $(w/v)$  Triton X-100 in PBS.
	- 3. Rinse with PBS and proceed to blocking.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

**Fig. 2** The Chalkley counting method for estimating lymphatic vessel density. Intratumoral lymphatic vessels of tongue squamous carcinoma stained for expression of LYVE-1 (**a**) are overlayed with a representation of a Chalkley grid (**b**). Dots which overlap lymphatic vessels are highlighted in *red* (×20 objective). Adapted from Beasley et al. [\[12\]](#page-13-11)

*3.8.2 Immunofluorescence*

*3.8.3 Immunohistochemistry*

*3.8.4 Evaluation of Staining*

The protocol for frozen or paraffin sections should be followed with the exchange of the podoplanin D2-40 antibody with a mouse monoclonal raised against the proliferation marker Ki-67.

The Dako EnVision G|2 Doublestain system should be used with anti LYVE-1 and anti Ki-67 according to the manufacturer's instructions.

- 1. Proliferating lymphatic vessels will contain cells which are stained positively for both LYVE-1 and Ki-67 expression (*see* Fig. [3\)](#page-11-0). The observer should be aware that tumor tissue and areas of inflammation or wound healing are likely to contain an abundance of proliferating non-lymphatic cells.
	- 2. Mean proliferating lymphatic vessel density may be calculated in a way analogous to the calculation of mean lymphatic density as described in Subheading [3.6](#page-8-0) when only vessels containing proliferating cells are scored.
	- 3. Immunohistochemistry may be combined with a Chalkley graticule to give a Chalkley count for proliferating vessels.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

**Fig. 3** Immunohistochemical measurement of lymphatic vessel proliferation. Newly dividing intratumoral lymphatic vessels are detected by double staining for the Ki-67 proliferation antigen (*brown* nuclear staining) and LYVE-1 (*pink* membrane staining). Panels (**a**–**d**) show examples of dividing LYVE-1/Ki-67 double-positive small lymph vessels (*black arrows*) surrounded by large numbers of LYVE-1-negative/Ki-67-positive squamous carcinoma cells. Panel (**a**) ×20 objective, panels (**b**) and (**c**) ×100 objective, and panel (**d**) ×40 objective. Adapted from Beasley et al. [[12](#page-13-11)]

4. Using either method, a measurement of the ratio of proliferating to nonproliferating vessels gives an insight into the degree of lymphangiogenesis in the tissue.

While the analysis of lymphangiogenesis within human tissue samples is clearly important in a diagnostic and prognostic role, it is appreciated that experimental models are widely used both during the study of adult disease and embryonic development. The techniques above are equally valid using mouse tissues providing the correct antibodies are used (*see* **Note 8**). However, the lack of a Dako EnVision kit for rat antibodies limits the immunohistochemistry that can be performed using the particular protocols described above. As an alternative, we suggest use of the Vector Laboratories ImmPRESSTM HRP Anti-Rat IgG, Mouse adsorbed (Peroxidase) Polymer detection kit (Catalogue no. MP-7444). *3.9 Measuring Lymphangiogenesis in Mouse Tissues*

#### **4 Notes**

- 1. Use a wash bottle with a wide bore spout. Hold the slide almost vertically and aim the jet at a point above the section, allowing the PBS to gently flow down over the section.
- 2. It is beneficial from this stage onward to ensure that the sections do not dry out. Therefore the slides should be dried in small batches before adding the next solution.
- 3. When processing large numbers of slides, it may be easier to place the dewaxing solutions in individual small glass tanks and transfer the slides in a rack from tank to tank. Dewaxing solutions may be stored and used for several cycles before replacement with fresh solutions.
- 4. The use of a negative control antibody is necessary to confirm the validity of the staining. This should either be an isotypematched antibody or a preimmune serum from the relevant species.
- 5. LYVE-1, while being a widely used marker protein for lymphatic endothelium, is expressed by other cell types including certain macrophages, liver sinusoidal endothelium, and certain lung alveolar cells. In light of this, single cells staining positive for LYVE-1 should be identified with care, while the use of LYVE-1 as a marker for hepatic lymphatic endothelium is not recommended. It is also worth noting that the expression of LYVE-1 on lymphatic endothelium is downregulated during inflammation. This may clearly lead to an underestimation of lymphatic vessel density during inflammatory conditions. Similarly, podoplanin is expressed by several different cell types (e.g., epithelia and fibroblasts) in addition to lymphatic endothelia and is present in several tumor types, particularly at the

invasive front. Care is therefore required in the interpretation of tumor lymphangiogenesis using this marker. Should problems be encountered with the specificity of LYVE-1 and podoplanin expression in the chosen tissue, antibodies to other marker proteins may be tried. These include the nuclear transcription factor Prox1 and VEGFR3, although again it should be borne in mind that these proteins are also not exclusively expressed by lymphatic endothelium, the former being expressed by hepatocytes and the latter by macrophages and blood vessels associated with tumors and wound healing.

- 6. Identification of blood vessels in human tissues can be confirmed by positive staining with the antibody PAL-E.
- 7. It is recommended that all slides are evaluated either single- or double-blindedly by two independent observers to prevent bias.
- 8. Polyclonal antisera to mouse LYVE-1 are commercially available (R&D Systems, Reliatech, etc.) as is a hamster monoclonal antibody to podoplanin. Most rabbit antisera to human Prox1 appear to cross-react with the mouse protein. The rat antibody MECA32 works well as a mouse blood endothelialspecific marker.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-13-0"></span>1. Alitalo K, Tammela T, Petrova TV (2005) Lymphangiogenesis in development and human disease. Nature 438:946–953
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>2. Stacker S, Hughes RA, Williams RA, Achen MG (2006) Current strategies for modulating lymphangiogenesis signalling pathways in human disease. Curr Med Chem 13:783–792
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>3. Van der Auwera I, Cao Y, Tille JC et al (2006) First International consensus on the methodology of lymphangiogenesis quantification in solid human tumours. Br J Cancer 95:1611–1625
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>4. Jackson DG (2001) New molecular markers for the study of tumour lymphangiogenesis. Anticancer Res 21:4279–4283
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>5. Sleeman JP, Krishnan J, Kirkin V, Baumann P (2001) Markers for the lymphatic endothelium: in search of the holy grail? Microsc Res Tech 55:61–69
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>6. Banerji S, Ni J, Wang SX et al (1999) LYVE-1, a new homologue of the CD44 glycoprotein, is a lymph-specific receptor for hyaluronan. J Cell Biol 144:789–801
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>7. Jackson DG (2004) Biology of the lymphatic marker LYVE-1 and applications in research into lymphatic trafficking and lymphangiogenesis. APMIS 112:526–538
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>8. Breiteneder-Geleff S, Soleiman A, Kowalski H et al (1999) Angiosarcomas express mixed

endothelial phenotypes of blood and lymphatic capillaries: podoplanin as a specific marker for lymphatic endothelium. Am J Pathol 154: 385–394

- <span id="page-13-7"></span>9. Fox SB, Leek RD, Weekes MP, Whitehouse RM, Gatter KC, Harris AL (1995) Quantitation and prognostic value of breast cancer angiogenesis: comparison of microvessel density, Chalkley count, and computer image analysis. J Pathol 177:275–283
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>10. Vermeulen PB, Gasparini G, Fox SB et al (1996) Quantification of angiogenesis in solid human tumours: an international consensus on the methodology and criteria of evaluation. Eur J Cancer 32:2474–2484
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>11. Clasper S, Royston D, Baban D, Cao Y, Ewers S, Butz S, Vestweber D, Jackson DG (2008) A novel gene expression profile in lymphatics associated with tumor growth and nodal metastasis. Cancer Res 68:7293–7303
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>12. Beasley NJ, Prevo R, Banerji S et al (2002) Intratumoral lymphangiogenesis and lymph node metastasis in head and neck cancer. Cancer Res 62:1315–1320
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>13. Shields JD, Borsetti M, Rigby H et al (2004) Lymphatic density and metastatic spread in human malignant melanoma. Br J Cancer 90:693–700